

A MINIMAL GENERATING SET OF THE LEVEL 2 MAPPING CLASS GROUP OF A NON-ORIENTABLE SURFACE

SUSUMU HIROSE AND MASATOSHI SATO

ABSTRACT. We construct a minimal generating set of the level 2 mapping class group of a nonorientable surface of genus g , and determine its abelianization for $g \geq 4$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let N_g be a non-orientable closed surface of genus g , i.e. N_g is a connected sum of g real projective planes. The group $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$ of isotopy classes of diffeomorphisms over N_g is called *the mapping class group* of N_g . Let \cdot be the mod 2 intersection form on $H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, and $\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}), \cdot)$ the group of automorphisms of $H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ preserving the mod 2 intersection form. McCarthy and Pinkall [10] showed that the homomorphism from $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$ to $\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}), \cdot)$ defined by the action of $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$ on $H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is surjective. The kernel $\Gamma_2(N_g)$ of this surjection is called *the level 2 mapping class group of $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$* . In this paper, we construct a minimal generating set for $\Gamma_2(N_g)$, and determine its abelianization.

Lickorish [8] showed that $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$ is generated by Dehn twists and Y -homeomorphisms, and Korkmaz [7] determined its first homology group. Furthermore, Chillingworth [4] found a finite system of generators for $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$. Birman and Chillingworth [1] obtained the finite system of generators by using the argument on the orientable two fold covering of N_g . The group $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$ is not generated by Dehn twists, namely, Lickorish [9] showed that the subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$ generated by Dehn twists is an index 2 subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$. On the other hand, a Y -homeomorphism acts on $H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ trivially, hence, the group $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$ is not generated by Y -homeomorphisms. In [13], Szepietowski proved that (Theorem 5.5) the level 2 mapping class group $\Gamma_2(N_g)$ is generated by Y -homeomorphisms, and that (Corollary 5.6) $\Gamma_2(N_g)$ is generated by involutions. Therefore, $H_1(\Gamma_2(N_g); \mathbb{Z})$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

The first author was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (No. 20540096), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

The second author was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (Start-up) (No. 24840023), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

Y -homeomorphisms are defined as follows. A simple closed curve γ_1 (resp. γ_2) in N_g is *two-sided* (resp. *one-sided*) if the regular neighborhood of γ_1 (resp. γ_2) is an annulus (resp. Möbius band). For a one-sided simple closed curve μ and a two-sided simple closed curve α which intersect transversely in one point, let $K \subset N_g$ be a regular neighborhood of $\mu \cup \alpha$, which is a union of the tubular neighborhood of μ and that of α and then is homeomorphic to the Klein bottle with a hole. Let M be a regular neighborhood of μ . We denote by $Y_{\mu,\alpha}$ a homeomorphism over N_g which is described as the result of pushing M once along α keeping the boundary of K fixed (see Figure 1). We call $Y_{\mu,\alpha}$ a Y -homeomorphism. For a two sided simple closed curve γ on N_g , we




FIGURE 1. M with circle indicates a place where to attach a Möbius band.

denote by T_γ a Dehn twist about γ . Then $T_\gamma^2 \in \Gamma_2(N_g)$.

For $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, g\}$, we define an oriented simple closed curve α_I as in Figure 2. For short, we define $Y_{i_1; i_2, \dots, i_k} = Y_{\alpha_{i_1}, \alpha_{\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\}}}$, $T_{i_1, \dots, i_k} = T_{\alpha_{\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}}}$.




FIGURE 2. The curve α_I for $I = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\}$. The small arrow beside α_I indicates the direction of the Dehn twist t_{α_I} .

Szepietowski showed the following.

Theorem 1.1. [14, Theorem 3.2, Remark 3.10] *When $g \geq 4$, $\Gamma_2(N_g)$ is generated by the following two types of elements,*

- (i) $Y_{i;j}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, g-1\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, g\}$ and $i \neq j$,
- (ii) $T_{i,j,k,l}^2$ for $i < j < k < l$.

In this paper, we reduce the number of generators of $\Gamma_2(N_g)$, and show that it is minimal.

Theorem 1.2. *When $g \geq 4$, $\Gamma_2(N_g)$ is generated by the following two types of elements,*

- (i) $Y_{i;j}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, g-1\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, g\}$ and $i \neq j$,
- (ii) $T_{1,j,k,l}^2$ for $j < k < l$.

Corollary 1.3. *When $g \geq 4$, the set given in the above Theorem 1.2 is a minimal generating set for $\Gamma_2(N_g)$.*

In Section 2, we prove Theorem 1.2 and obtain an upper bound for the dimension of the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -module $H_1(\Gamma_2(N_g); \mathbb{Z})$.

Broadbent-Farb-Putman [3], Kawazumi [6] (see also [12, Part V]), and Perron [11] constructed mod d Johnson homomorphisms in different manners on the level d mapping class groups of orientable surfaces for a positive integer d , independently. In Section 3, we consider *the mod 2 Johnson homomorphism* on the level 2 mapping class group of a non-orientable surface to determine its abelianization. In Appendix A, we give another definition of τ_1 in the same manner as Johnson's original homomorphism in [5].

In Section 4, by using this homomorphism, we give a lower bound for the dimension of the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -module $H_1(\Gamma_2(N_g); \mathbb{Z})$. Since our lower and upper bound are equal (see Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 4.1), we obtain the following.

Theorem 1.4. *When $g \geq 4$,*

$$H_1(\Gamma_2(N_g); \mathbb{Z}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\binom{g}{3} + \binom{g}{2}}.$$

Since the dimension of $H_1(\Gamma_2(N_g); \mathbb{Z})$ is equal to the number of our generators given in Theorem 1.2, we obtain Corollary 1.3.

2. A MINIMAL GENERATING SET FOR $\Gamma_2(N_g)$

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2. We first fix some notation. For $\varphi = [f]$ and $\psi = [g] \in \mathcal{M}(N_g)$, we define a product $\varphi\psi$ as the isotopy class $[g \circ f]$. For $[\alpha], [\beta] \in \pi_1(N_g)$, the product $[\alpha] \cdot [\beta]$ is represented by the closed curve following α at first and then following β .

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let G be the subgroup of $\Gamma_2(N_g)$ generated by the elements (i) (ii) in the statement of Theorem 1.2. We will show that $T_{j,k,l,m}^2 \in G$ for any $1 \leq j < k < l < m \leq g$.




FIGURE 3. The curves used in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Let N be a regular neighborhood of $\alpha_{1,j} \cup \alpha_{j,k} \cup \alpha_{k,l} \cup \alpha_{l,m}$ in N_g . Then $N = \Sigma_{2,1}$. We define $B = \partial N$. Let N' be a regular neighborhood of $\alpha_{1,j} \cup \alpha_{j,k} \cup \alpha_{k,l} \cup \alpha_{l,m} \cup \alpha_{1,j,k,l}$ in N . Then $N' = \Sigma_{2,2}$ and one component of $\partial N'$ is isotopic to B and the other component C of $\partial N'$ bounds a disk in N .

For simplicity, we set the notations $a = T_{1,j}$, $b = T_{j,k}$, $c = T_{k,l}$, $d = T_{l,m}$, $e = T_{1,j,k,l}$. Then, by chain relation, we see $(abcde)^6 = T_B \cdot T_C = T_B$, where the last equation is valid in $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$ because C bounds a disk in N_g . By braid relations, we see,

$$\begin{aligned} (abcde)^6 &= abcdeedcba \cdot bcdeedcb \cdot cdeedc \cdot deed \cdot ee \\ &= abcdeed\bar{c}\bar{b}\bar{a} \cdot abcdd\bar{c}\bar{b}\bar{a} \cdot abcc\bar{b}\bar{a} \cdot abb\bar{a} \cdot aa \cdot bcdeed\bar{c}\bar{b} \cdot bcdd\bar{c}\bar{b} \cdot bcc\bar{b} \cdot bb \\ &\quad \cdot cdeed\bar{c} \cdot cdd\bar{c} \cdot cc \cdot deed\bar{c} \cdot dd \cdot ee, \end{aligned}$$

where \bar{x} means x^{-1} . Since $(\alpha_{1,j,k,l})\bar{d}\bar{c}\bar{b}\bar{a} = \alpha_{j,k,l,m}$, we see $abcdeed\bar{c}\bar{b}\bar{a} = T_{j,k,l,m}^2$. By drawing some figures, we observe that $(\alpha_{l,m})\bar{c}\bar{b}\bar{a} Y_{m;l} Y_{m;k} Y_{m;j} = \alpha_{1,m}$, $(\alpha_{k,l})\bar{b}\bar{a} Y_{l;k} Y_{l;j} = \alpha_{1,l}$, $(\alpha_{j,k})\bar{a} Y_{k;j} = \alpha_{1,k}$, $(\alpha_{1,j,k,l})\bar{d}\bar{c}\bar{b} Y_{j;k} = \alpha_{1,k,l,m}$, $(\alpha_{l,m})\bar{c}\bar{b} Y_{m;l} Y_{m;k} = \alpha_{j,m}$, $(\alpha_{k,l})\bar{b} Y_{l;k} = \alpha_{j,l}$, $(\alpha_{1,j,k,l})\bar{d}\bar{c} = \alpha_{1,j,l,m}$, $(\alpha_{l,m})\bar{c} Y_{m;l} = \alpha_{k,m}$, $(\alpha_{1,j,k,l})\bar{d} Y_{l;m} = \alpha_{1,j,k,m}$. By the above observations and the equation $T_{i,j}^2 = Y_{i;j}^{-1} Y_{j;i}$ shown by Szepietowski [14, Lemma 3.3], we see $abcdd\bar{c}\bar{b}\bar{a} \cdot abcc\bar{b}\bar{a} \cdot abb\bar{a} \cdot aa \cdot bcdeed\bar{c}\bar{b} \cdot bcdd\bar{c}\bar{b} \cdot bcc\bar{b} \cdot bb \cdot cdeed\bar{c} \cdot cdd\bar{c} \cdot cc \cdot deed\bar{c} \cdot dd \cdot ee \in G$. On the other hand, for each $n \neq 1, j, k, l, m$, there are two-sided curves β_n intersecting α_n transversely in one point and satisfy

$$T_B = \left(\prod_{n \neq i,j,k,l,m} Y_{\alpha_n, \beta_n} \right)^2.$$

Szepietowski showed $Y_{\alpha_n, \beta_n} \in G$ in [14, Lemma 3.5]. Therefore, we see $T_{j,k,l,m}^2 \in G$. \square

By the above argument, we can show the following two results.

Proposition 2.1. *For any separating simple closed curve B on N_g , $[T_B] = 0$ as an element of $H_1(\Gamma_2(N_g); \mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. At least one component, say N' , of $N_g - B$ is non-orientable. Since this surface N' is constructed from a disk by removing several disks and attaching several Möbius bands, we can show that T_B is the square of the product of Y -homeomorphisms, by using the same argument of the proof for Theorem 1.2. Since $H_1(\Gamma_2(N_g); \mathbb{Z})$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -module, we see $[T_B] = 0$. \square

Lemma 2.2. *When $g \geq 4$,*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} H_1(\Gamma_2(N_g); \mathbb{Z}) \leq \binom{g}{3} + \binom{g}{2}.$$

Proof. Since $\Gamma_2(N_g)$ is generated by involutions, $H_1(\Gamma_2(N_g); \mathbb{Z})$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -module. As we saw in Theorem 1.2, $\Gamma_2(N_g)$ is generated by $\binom{g}{3} + \binom{g}{2}$ elements. Hence, the abelianization is also generated by $\binom{g}{3} + \binom{g}{2}$ elements as a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -module. \square

3. THE MOD 2 JOHNSON HOMOMORPHISM $\tau_1 : \Gamma_2(N_g^*) \rightarrow (H^{\otimes 3})^{S_3}$

Let $D \subset N_g$ be a closed disk in the surface N_g , and pick a point $*$ in ∂D . We denote by $\mathcal{M}(N_g^*)$ the group of isotopy classes of diffeomorphisms over N_g fixing $*$, and by $\Gamma_2(N_g^*)$ the level 2 mapping class group $\text{Ker}(\mathcal{M}(N_g^*) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}), \cdot))$. For $i = 1, 2, \dots, g$, let $\gamma_i \in \pi_1(N_g - \text{Int } D, *)$ denote the element represented by a loop as in Figure 4. The fundamental group $\pi_1(N_g - \text{Int } D, *)$ is a free group of rank g generated by $\{\gamma_i\}_{i=1}^g$. We denote by H the first homology $H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, and denote by $C_i \in H$




FIGURE 4. loops γ_i

the homology class represented by γ_i . The group H is a free $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -module of rank g , generated by $\{C_i\}_{i=1}^g \subset H$. Let us denote $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^g C_i^{\otimes 2} \in H^{\otimes 2}$. In this section, we construct an $\mathcal{M}(N_g^*)$ -equivariant homomorphism $\tau_1 : \Gamma_2(N_g^*) \rightarrow H^{\otimes 3}/(H \otimes \langle \omega \rangle)$, where $\langle \omega \rangle \subset H^{\otimes 2}$ is the submodule generated by ω . We also “lift” it to a homomorphism $\tau_1 : \Gamma_2(N_g^*) \rightarrow (H^{\otimes 3})^{S_3}$.

First, we review the Magnus expansion. See, for example, [2] and [6]. Let $\hat{T} := \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} H^{\otimes m}$ denote the completed tensor algebra generated by H , and let \hat{T}_i denote the subalgebra $\hat{T}_i = \prod_{m \geq i} H^{\otimes m}$. Note that the subset $1 + \hat{T}_1 = \{1 + v \in \hat{T} \mid v \in \hat{T}_1\}$ is a subgroup of the multiplicative group \hat{T} . Define a homomorphism

$$\theta : \pi_1(N_g - \text{Int } D, *) \rightarrow 1 + \hat{T}_1$$

by $\theta(\gamma_i) = 1 + C_i$, which is called the standard Magnus expansion. Denote by $\theta_2 : \pi_1(N_g - \text{Int } D, *) \rightarrow H^{\otimes 2}$ the composition map of θ and the projection $\hat{T} \rightarrow H^{\otimes 2}$.

In the following, we denote by $\pi = \pi_1(N_g, *)$ and $\pi' = \pi_1(N_g - \text{Int } D, *)$.

Lemma 3.1. *The map $\theta_2 : \pi' \rightarrow H^{\otimes 2}$ induces a map $\bar{\theta}_2 : \pi \rightarrow H^{\otimes 2} / \langle \omega \rangle$.*

Proof. The boundary curve $\partial(N_g - \text{Int } D)$ is represented by $r = \prod_{i=1}^g \gamma_i^2 \in \pi'$. Since π is the fundamental group of the surface N_g , $\text{Ker}(\pi' \rightarrow \pi)$ is generated by $\{xrx^{-1} \mid x \in \pi'\}$. For $x, y \in \pi'$,

$$\theta_2(yxrx^{-1}) = \theta_2(y) + \theta_2(xrx^{-1}) = \theta_2(y) + \omega.$$

Hence, for any $s \in \text{Ker}(\pi' \rightarrow \pi)$, we have $\theta_2(ys) - \theta_2(y) \in \langle \omega \rangle$. Thus, θ_2 induces a map $\bar{\theta}_2 : \pi \rightarrow H^{\otimes 2} / \langle \omega \rangle$. \square

As a corollary of [6, Lemma 2.1], we have:

Lemma 3.2. *Let $g \geq 2$. The map*

$$\tau_1 : \mathcal{M}(N_g^*) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\pi, H^{\otimes 2} / \langle \omega \rangle)$$

defined by $\tau_1(\varphi)(\gamma) = \bar{\theta}_2(\gamma) - \varphi_ \bar{\theta}_2(\varphi^{-1}(\gamma))$ is a crossed homomorphism.*

By Poincaré duality, we have

$$\text{Hom}(\pi, H^{\otimes 2} / \langle \omega \rangle) \cong H \otimes (H^{\otimes 2} / \langle \omega \rangle) \cong H^{\otimes 3} / (H \otimes \langle \omega \rangle).$$

Since the level 2 mapping class group $\Gamma_2(N_g^*)$ acts on H trivially, the restriction

$$\tau_1 : \Gamma_2(N_g^*) \rightarrow \frac{H^{\otimes 3}}{H \otimes \langle \omega \rangle}$$

is an $\mathcal{M}(N_g^*)$ -equivariant homomorphism.

For $X, Y, Z \in H$, let us denote

$$\begin{aligned} S(X, Y, Z) &= X \otimes Y \otimes Z + Y \otimes Z \otimes X + Z \otimes X \otimes Y \\ &\quad + X \otimes Z \otimes Y + Z \otimes Y \otimes X + Y \otimes X \otimes Z, \\ S(X, Y) &= X \otimes X \otimes Y + X \otimes Y \otimes X + Y \otimes X \otimes X. \end{aligned}$$

Recall the forgetful exact sequence

$$\pi \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{M}(N_g^*) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(N_g) \longrightarrow 1,$$

where the map $\iota : \pi \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(N_g^*)$ is so-called the pushing map. Note that $\iota(\pi) \subset \Gamma_2(N_g^*)$ since $\iota(\pi)$ acts trivially on $H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z})$. We can compute values of τ_1 as follows. For an integer $n \geq 2$, let $(H^{\otimes n})^{S_n}$ denote the S_n -invariant part of $H^{\otimes n}$.

Lemma 3.3. *For $i, j \in \{1, \dots, g\}$ and $i \neq j$,*

$$\tau_1(Y_{i;j}) = S(C_i, C_i + C_j), \quad \tau_1(T_{i;j}^2) = (C_i + C_j)^{\otimes 3}, \quad \tau_1(\iota(\gamma_i)) = \sum_{j=1}^g S(C_j, C_i).$$

In particular, we have

$$\tau_1(\Gamma_2(N_g^*)) \subset \text{Im} \left((H^{\otimes 3})^{S_3} \rightarrow \frac{H^{\otimes 3}}{H \otimes \langle \omega \rangle} \right),$$

where $(H^{\otimes 3})^{S_3} \rightarrow H^{\otimes 3}/(H \otimes \langle \omega \rangle)$ is the composition map of the inclusion $(H^{\otimes 3})^{S_3} \rightarrow H^{\otimes 3}$ and the projection $H^{\otimes 3} \rightarrow H^{\otimes 3}/(H \otimes \langle \omega \rangle)$.

Proof. When $i < j$, the diffeomorphism $Y_{i;j}^{-1}$ acts on π as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{i;j}^{-1}(\gamma_i) &= (\gamma_{i+1}^2 \cdots \gamma_{j-1}^2 \gamma_j \gamma_{j-1}^{-2} \cdots \gamma_{i+1}^{-2})^{-1} \gamma_i^{-1} (\gamma_{i+1}^2 \cdots \gamma_{j-1}^2 \gamma_j \gamma_{j-1}^{-2} \cdots \gamma_{i+1}^{-2}), \\ Y_{i;j}^{-1}(\gamma_j) &= \gamma_j (\gamma_{i+1}^2 \cdots \gamma_j^2)^{-1} \gamma_i^2 (\gamma_{i+1}^2 \cdots \gamma_j^2), \\ Y_{i;j}^{-1}(\gamma_k) &= \gamma_k \text{ for } k \neq i, j. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1(Y_{i;j})(\gamma_i) &= \bar{\theta}_2(\gamma_i) - \bar{\theta}_2((\gamma_{i+1}^2 \cdots \gamma_{j-1}^2 \gamma_j \gamma_{j-1}^{-2} \cdots \gamma_{i+1}^{-2})^{-1} \gamma_i^{-1} (\gamma_{i+1}^2 \cdots \gamma_{j-1}^2 \gamma_j \gamma_{j-1}^{-2} \cdots \gamma_{i+1}^{-2})) \\ &= C_i \otimes C_j + C_j \otimes C_i + C_i^{\otimes 2}, \\ \tau_1(Y_{i;j})(\gamma_j) &= \bar{\theta}_2(\gamma_j) - \bar{\theta}_2(\gamma_j (\gamma_{i+1}^2 \cdots \gamma_j^2)^{-1} \gamma_i^2 (\gamma_{i+1}^2 \cdots \gamma_j^2)) \\ &= C_i^{\otimes 2}, \\ \tau_1(Y_{i;j})(\gamma_k) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain $\tau_1(Y_{i;j}) = S(C_i, C_i + C_j)$ when $i < j$.

When $i > j$, the diffeomorphism $Y_{i;j}^{-1}$ acts on π as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{i;j}^{-1}(\gamma_i) &= (\gamma_i^{-2} \cdots \gamma_{j+1}^{-2} \gamma_j \gamma_{j+1}^2 \cdots \gamma_i^2)^{-1} \gamma_i^{-1} (\gamma_i^{-2} \cdots \gamma_{j+1}^{-2} \gamma_j \gamma_{j+1}^2 \cdots \gamma_i^2), \\ Y_{i;j}^{-1}(\gamma_j) &= \gamma_j (\gamma_{j+1}^2 \cdots \gamma_{i-1}^2) \gamma_i^2 (\gamma_{j+1}^2 \cdots \gamma_{i-1}^2)^{-1}, \\ Y_{i;j}^{-1}(\gamma_k) &= \gamma_k \text{ for } k \neq i, j. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we also obtain $\tau_1(Y_{i;j}) = S(C_i, C_i + C_j)$ when $i > j$.

Next, by [14, Lemma 3.3], we have

$$T_{i,j}^2 = Y_{i;j}^{-1} Y_{j;i}$$

for $i \neq j$. Hence, we have

$$\tau_1(T_{i,j}^2) = -\tau_1(Y_{i;j}) + \tau_1(Y_{j;i}) = S(C_i, C_i + C_j) + S(C_j, C_i + C_j) = (C_i + C_j)^{\otimes 3}.$$

Finally, we consider $\iota(\gamma_i)$. For $i \neq j$,

$$\iota(\gamma_i)(\gamma_i) = \gamma_i, \quad \iota(\gamma_i)(\gamma_j) = \gamma_i \gamma_j \gamma_i^{-1}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\tau_1(\iota(\gamma_i)) = \sum_{j=1}^g (C_j \otimes C_i \otimes C_j + C_j \otimes C_j \otimes C_i) = \sum_{j=1}^g S(C_j, C_i) \in H^{\otimes 3} / (H \otimes \langle \omega \rangle).$$

□

Lemma 3.4. *When $g \geq 2$,*

$$(H \otimes \langle \omega \rangle) \cap (H^{\otimes 3})^{S_3} = 0.$$

Proof. Let $w_1 \in H^1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ denote the first Stiefel-Whitney class. Define a $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$ -equivariant map $f : H^{\otimes 3} \rightarrow H^{\otimes 2}$ by $f(X \otimes Y \otimes Z) = w_1(X)Y \otimes Z + w_1(Y)Z \otimes X$. Then, we have

$$f((H^{\otimes 3})^{S_3}) = 0, \text{ and } f(C_i \otimes \omega) = \omega + \sum_{j=1}^g C_j \otimes C_i.$$

Therefore, we see that $(H^{\otimes 3})^{S_3} \subset \text{Ker } f$, and the restriction map $f|_{H \otimes \langle \omega \rangle}$ is injective. They imply that $(H \otimes \langle \omega \rangle) \cap (H^{\otimes 3})^{S_3} = 0$. □

By Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, we have:

Lemma 3.5. *The map*

$$\tau_1 : \Gamma_2(N_g^*) \rightarrow \frac{H^{\otimes 3}}{H \otimes \langle \omega \rangle}$$

lifts to the map $\tau_1 : \Gamma_2(N_g^) \rightarrow (H^{\otimes 3})^{S_3}$ with respect to the injective homomorphism $(H^{\otimes 3})^{S_3} \rightarrow (H^{\otimes 3}) / (H \otimes \langle \omega \rangle)$.*

4. A LOWER BOUND

The goal of this section is to prove the following lemma, which gives a lower bound of the dimension of $H_1(\Gamma_2(N_g); \mathbb{Z})$ as a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

Lemma 4.1. *When $g \geq 4$,*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} H_1(\Gamma_2(N_g); \mathbb{Z}) \geq \binom{g}{3} + \binom{g}{2}.$$

By Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 4.1, we can determine the dimension of the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -module $H_1(\Gamma_2(N_g); \mathbb{Z})$, and complete the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Let us denote $H_{\text{even}} = \text{Ker } w_1$. Define a homomorphism $c : H^{\otimes 3} \rightarrow H^{\otimes 2}$ by

$$c(X \otimes Y \otimes Z) = w_1(X)Y \otimes Z.$$

Lemma 4.2. *When $g \geq 3$,*

$$0 \longrightarrow (H_{\text{even}}^{\otimes 3})^{S_3} \longrightarrow (H^{\otimes 3})^{S_3} \xrightarrow{c} (H^{\otimes 2})^{S_2} \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Proof. The set $\{C_i^{\otimes 2}\}_{i=1}^g \cup \{C_i \otimes C_j + C_j \otimes C_i\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq g}$ is a basis of $(H^{\otimes 2})^{S_2}$. Since

$$c(C_i^{\otimes 3}) = C_i^{\otimes 2}, \quad c(S(C_i, C_j)) = C_i \otimes C_j + C_j \otimes C_i + C_i^{\otimes 2},$$

we have $c((H^{\otimes 3})^{S_3}) = (H^{\otimes 2})^{S_2}$.

Next, we consider $\text{Ker } c$. It is clear that $(H_{\text{even}}^{\otimes 3})^{S_3} \subset \text{Ker } c$. For $i = 1, 2, \dots, g-1$, let us denote $X_i = C_i + C_{i+1}$. Since H_{even} is a free $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -module generated by $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{g-1}$, the set

$$\{X_i^{\otimes 3}\}_{i=1}^{g-1} \cup \{S(X_i, X_j)\}_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq g-1 \\ i \neq j}} \cup \{S(X_i, X_j, X_k)\}_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq g-1}$$

is a basis of $(H_{\text{even}}^{\otimes 3})^{S_3}$. In particular,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} (H_{\text{even}}^{\otimes 3})^{S_3} = \binom{g-1}{3} + 2 \binom{g-1}{2} + \binom{g-1}{1}.$$

In the same way, we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} (H^{\otimes 3})^{S_3} = \binom{g}{3} + 2 \binom{g}{2} + \binom{g}{1}.$$

and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} (H^{\otimes 2})^{S_2} = \binom{g}{2} + \binom{g}{1}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} \text{Ker } c = \dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} (H^{\otimes 3})^{S_3} - \dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} (H^{\otimes 2})^{S_2} = \binom{g-1}{3} + 2 \binom{g-1}{2} + \binom{g-1}{1}.$$

Since the dimensions of $\text{Ker } c$ and $(H_{\text{even}}^{\otimes 3})^{S_3}$ are equal, we have $\text{Ker } c = (H_{\text{even}}^{\otimes 3})^{S_3}$. \square

Lemma 4.3. *When $g \geq 4$,*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} \tau_1(\Gamma_2(N_g^*)) \geq \binom{g}{3} + \binom{g}{2} + \binom{g}{1}.$$

Proof. In the proof of Lemma 3.3, we have $\tau_1(T_{i,i+1}^2) = X_i^{\otimes 3}$. Since $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$ acts on $H_{\text{even}} - \{0\}$ transitively and τ_1 is $\mathcal{M}(N_g^*)$ -equivariant, we have $X^{\otimes 3} \in \tau_1(\Gamma_2(N_g^*))$ for any $X \in H_{\text{even}}$.

For $i, j, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, g-1\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (X_i + X_j)^{\otimes 3} &= X_i^{\otimes 3} + X_j^{\otimes 3} + S(X_i, X_j) + S(X_j, X_i) \\ (X_i + X_j + X_k)^{\otimes 3} &= X_i^{\otimes 3} + X_j^{\otimes 3} + X_k^{\otimes 3} + S(X_i, X_j) + S(X_j, X_i) \\ &\quad + S(X_j, X_k) + S(X_k, X_j) + S(X_k, X_i) + S(X_i, X_k) + S(X_i, X_j, X_k). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain $S(X_i, X_j) + S(X_j, X_i), S(X_i, X_j, X_k) \in \tau_1(\Gamma_2(N_g^*))$. It implies

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} (\tau_1(\Gamma_2(N_g^*)) \cap \text{Ker } c) \geq \binom{g-1}{3} + \binom{g-1}{2} + \binom{g-1}{1}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$c\tau_1(Y_{i;j}) = C_i \otimes C_j + C_j \otimes C_i, \quad c\tau_1(\iota(\gamma_1)) = \omega + \sum_{i=1}^g (C_i \otimes C_1 + C_1 \otimes C_i).$$

It shows

$$c\tau_1(\Gamma_2(N_g^*)) = \langle \{C_i \otimes C_j + C_i \otimes C_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq g}, \omega \rangle \subset (H^{\otimes 2})^{S_2}.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} c\tau_1(\Gamma_2(N_g^*)) \geq \binom{g}{2} + 1.$$

The equation

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} \tau_1(\Gamma_2(N_g^*)) = \dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} (\tau_1(\Gamma_2(N_g^*)) \cap \text{Ker } c) + \dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} c\tau_1(\Gamma_2(N_g^*))$$

implies what we want. \square

We can now prove Lemma 4.1.

Proof of Lemma 4.1. By Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} H_1(\Gamma_2(N_g^*); \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \geq \binom{g}{3} + \binom{g}{2} + \binom{g}{1}.$$

The forgetful exact sequence $\pi \rightarrow \Gamma_2(N_g^*) \rightarrow \Gamma_2(N_g) \rightarrow 1$ induces the exact sequence

$$H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_1(\Gamma_2(N_g^*); \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_1(\Gamma_2(N_g); \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

They imply

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} H_1(\Gamma_2(N_g); \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \geq \binom{g}{3} + \binom{g}{2}.$$

□

In particular, by Theorem 1.4 and Lemma 4.3, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.4. *When $g \geq 4$, the induced homomorphism*

$$(\tau_1)_* : H_1(\Gamma_2(N_g^*); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow (H^{\otimes 3})^{S_3}$$

is injective.

APPENDIX A. ANOTHER DEFINITION OF τ_1

We give another definition of the mod 2 Johnson homomorphism in the same manner as original one given by Johnson [5].

Lemma A.1. *For $g \geq 1$,*

$$\frac{\pi^2}{[\pi, \pi^2]} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cong \frac{(H^{\otimes 2})^{S_2}}{\langle \omega \rangle}$$

as an $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$ -module.

Proof. The exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \pi^2 \longrightarrow \pi \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow 1$$

induces the five term exact sequence between their homology groups with $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -coefficients. Thus, we have

$$H_2(\pi; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_2(H; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_1(\pi^2; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_\pi \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since we have

$$H_1(\pi^2; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_\pi = H_1(\pi^2; \mathbb{Z})_\pi \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = \frac{\pi^2}{[\pi, \pi^2]} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z},$$

it suffices to show that

$$\text{Coker}(H_2(\pi; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_2(H; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})) \cong \frac{(H^{\otimes 2})^{S_2}}{\langle \omega \rangle}.$$

By Künneth formula, we have $H_2(H; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = (H^{\otimes 2})^{S_2}$. Actually, this is an $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$ -module isomorphism. Let G be an arbitrary group defined by the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1,$$

where F and R are the sets of generators and relators, respectively. If we consider the five term exact sequence between their homology groups with $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -coefficients, we have

$$H_2(G; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Ker}(H_1(R; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_F \rightarrow H_1(F; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})) \cong \frac{F^2 \cap R}{[F, R]R^2}.$$

This can be considered as the Hopf formula with $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -coefficients. Recall that π' is a free group, and $\text{Ker}(\pi' \rightarrow \pi)$ is normally generated by the only one relator $r = \prod_{i=1}^g \gamma_i^2$. If we put $F = \pi'$ and $G = \pi$, the cycle

$$\sum_{i=1}^g [\gamma_i | \gamma_i] + \sum_{i=1}^{g-1} [\gamma_1^2 \cdots \gamma_i^2 | \gamma_{i+1}^2]$$

represents a generator of $H_2(\pi; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ corresponds to r . Under the homomorphism $H_2(\pi; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_2(H; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, it maps to $\omega \in H^{\otimes 2}$. Thus, we have obtained the isomorphism as stated. \square

Remark A.2. The isomorphism

$$(\pi^2/[\pi, \pi^2]) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow (H^{\otimes 2})^{S_2} / \langle \omega \rangle$$

maps $[x^2]$ to $[x]^{\otimes 2}$ for $x \in \pi$, where $[x^2]$ is the element in $\pi^2/[\pi, \pi^2] \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ represented by $x^2 \in \pi^2$, and $[x] \in H$ is the first homology class represented by $x \in \pi$.

For $\varphi \in \Gamma_2(N_g^*)$, define a map $\tau_1(\varphi) : \pi \rightarrow (\pi^2/[\pi, \pi^2]) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ by $\tau_1(\varphi)(\alpha) = [\varphi(\alpha)\alpha^{-1}]$. The map $\tau_1(\varphi)$ is a homomorphism, because for $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$, we have

$$\tau_1(\varphi)(\alpha\beta) = [\varphi(\alpha\beta)\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}] = [\varphi(\alpha)\alpha^{-1}] + [\alpha\varphi(\beta)\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}] = \tau_1(\varphi)(\alpha) + \tau_1(\varphi)(\beta).$$

We obtain the same homomorphism as in Lemma 3.2 as follows.

Lemma A.3. For $g \geq 1$, the map

$$\tau_1 : \Gamma_2(N_g^*) \rightarrow \text{Hom} \left(\pi, \frac{\pi^2}{[\pi, \pi^2]} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \right)$$

is an $\mathcal{M}(N_g^*)$ -equivariant homomorphism.

Proof. The proof is the same as that of [5, Lemma 2C and 2D]. For $\varphi, \psi \in \Gamma_2(N_g^*)$,

$$\tau_1(\varphi\psi)(\alpha) = [\varphi(\psi(\alpha)\alpha^{-1})] + [\varphi(\alpha)\alpha^{-1}].$$

Since the isomorphism in Lemma A.1 is $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$ -equivariant, $\Gamma_2(N_g^*)$ acts on $(\pi^2/[\pi, \pi^2]) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ trivially. Thus, we have $[\varphi(\psi(\alpha)\alpha^{-1})] = [\psi(\alpha)\alpha^{-1}]$. Hence we obtain

$$\tau_1(\varphi\psi)(\alpha) = \tau_1(\psi)(\alpha) + \tau_1(\varphi)(\alpha).$$

In the same way as [5, Lemma 2D], we can also show that it is $\mathcal{M}(N_g^*)$ -equivariant. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] **J.S. Birman and D. R. J. Chillingworth**, On the homeotopy group of a non-orientable surface, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 71 (1972), 437–448. Erratum: *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 136 (2004), 441–441.
- [2] **N. Bourbaki**, *Groupes et Algèbres de Lie*, Ch. 2, Hermann, Paris, 1972.
- [3] **N. Broaddus, B. Farb, and A. Putman**, Irreducible Sp-representations and subgroup distortion in the mapping class groups, *Comm. Math. Helv.* 86 no. 3 (2011), 537–556.
- [4] **D. R. J. Chillingworth**, A finite set of generators for the homeotopy group of a non-orientable surface, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 65 (1969), 409–430.
- [5] **D. Johnson**, An abelian quotient of the mapping class group \mathcal{I}_g , *Math. Ann.* 249 (1980), 225–242.
- [6] **N. Kawazumi**, Cohomological aspects of Magnus expansions, preprint, math.GT/0505497 (2005).
- [7] **M. Korkmaz**, First homology group of mapping class groups of nonorientable surfaces, *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* 123 (1998), 487–499.
- [8] **W.B.R. Lickorish**, Homeomorphisms of non-orientable two-manifolds, *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* 59 (1963), 307–317.
- [9] **W.B.R. Lickorish**, On the homeomorphisms of a non-orientable surface, *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* 61 (1965), 61–64.
- [10] **J.D. McCarthy and U. Pinkall**, Representing homology automorphisms of nonorientable surfaces, Max Planck Inst. preprint MPI/SFB 85-11, revised version written on 26 Feb 2004 available from <http://www.math.msu.edu/~mccarthy/publications/selected.papers.html>
- [11] **B. Perron**, Filtration de Johnson et groupe de Torelli modulo p , p premier, *C.R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* 346 no. 11-12 (2008), 667–670.
- [12] **M. Sato**, The abelianization of the level d mapping class group, *J. Topology* 3 no.4 (2010), 847–882.
- [13] **B. Szepietowski**, Crosscap slides and the level 2 mapping class group of a nonorientable surface, *Geom. Dedicata* 160 (2012), 169–183

- [14] **B. Szepietowski**, A finite generating set for the level 2 mapping class group of a nonorientable surface, *Kodai Math. J.* **36** (2013), 1–14.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, TOKYO UNIVERSITY
OF SCIENCE, NODA, CHIBA, 278-8510, JAPAN

E-mail address: `hirose_susumu@ma.noda.tus.ac.jp`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, FACULTY OF EDUCATION, GIFU UNIVERSITY, 1-1
YANAGIDO, GIFU CITY, GIFU 501-1193, JAPAN

E-mail address: `msato@gifu-u.ac.jp`