

On the Lie algebroid of a derived self-intersection

Damien Calaque^{*}, Andrei Căldăraru[†], Junwu Tu[‡]

Abstract. Let $i : X \hookrightarrow Y$ be a closed embedding of smooth algebraic varieties. Denote by N the normal bundle of X in Y . The present paper contains two constructions of certain Lie structure on the shifted normal bundle $N[-1]$ encoding the information of the formal neighborhood of X in Y . We also present a few applications of these Lie theoretic constructions in understanding the algebraic geometry of embeddings.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	The dg-Lie algebroid of a closed embedding	6
3	Monads	13
4	Deformation theory of sheaves of algebras	15
5	Obstructions	20

1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is to study the derived self-intersection of a closed X into Y , when X and Y are smooth algebraic varieties. The word “derived” appears here because self-intersections are badly-behaved (e.g. they are not transverse and their actual dimension does not coincide with the expected one). If X and Y were to be differentiable manifolds we could consider an appropriate small perturbation X_ϵ of X and take $X \cap X_\epsilon$, but this approach has several drawbacks:

- it does not preserve the set-theoretical intersection;
- it does lead to some category theoretic problems (no functorial choice for X_ϵ);
- we can’t do this with algebraic varieties.

^{*}Department of Mathematics, ETH Zürich, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland, *e-mail*: damien.calaque@math.ethz.ch. On leave from Institut Camille Jordan, CNRS & Université Lyon 1, France.

[†]Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin–Madison, 480 Lincoln Drive, Madison WI 53706-1388, USA, *e-mail*: andreic@math.wisc.edu.

[‡]Mathematics Department, University of Oregon, Eugene OR 97403, USA, *e-mail*: junwut@uoregon.edu.

It has been known for a long time that a possible replacement for actual geometric perturbation is homological perturbation. More precisely, if $i : X \hookrightarrow Y$ is a closed embedding then we will consider a resolution \mathcal{R} of \mathcal{O}_X by a differential graded (dg) $i^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y$ -algebra, and equip X with the sheaf of dg-rings $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{i^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathcal{O}_X := \mathcal{R} \otimes_{i^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{O}_X$. The pair $(X, \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{i^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathcal{O}_X)$ is a dg-scheme that we will denote $X \times_Y^h X$ and call the derived self-intersection of X into Y (or more generally the self homotopy fiber product of X over Y for a general morphism $X \rightarrow Y$).

Observe that different choices of resolutions give rise to weakly equivalent results, and that there exists functorial choices for such resolutions.

In the rest of the introduction we allow ourselves to deal informally with schemes as if they would be topological spaces, where resolutions have to be thought as fibrant replacements¹.

1.1 The diagonal embedding (after M. Kapranov)

Let us consider the example of a diagonal embedding $\Delta : X \hookrightarrow X \times X$. One way to compute the homotopy fiber product is to factor $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times X$ into an acyclic cofibration followed by a fibration: $X \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{X} \rightarrow X \times X$. This is achieved by taking $\tilde{X} := \{\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X \times X \mid \gamma(0) \in \Delta(X)\}$ and $\gamma \mapsto \gamma(1)$ as fibration. Therefore the derived self-intersection of the diagonal is

$$\tilde{X} \times_{X \times X} X = \{\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X \times X \mid \gamma(0), \gamma(1) \in \Delta(X)\},$$

which happens to be weakly equivalent to the loop space of X (via the map sending a path γ in $X \times X$ with both ends in the diagonal to the loop $\tilde{\gamma}$ in X defined by $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = \begin{cases} \pi_1(\gamma(2t)) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 1/2 \\ \pi_2(\gamma(2-2t)) & \text{if } 1/2 \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases}$).

By analogy with what happens to topological spaces we get that $X \times_{X \times X}^h X$ is a derived group X-scheme².

What is the Lie algebra of $X \times_{X \times X}^h X$?

The answer to this question is contained in a beautiful paper of Kapranov [13]: informally speaking this is the Atiyah extension $S^2(T_X) \rightarrow T_X[1]$, viewed as a Lie bracket $\wedge^2(T_X[-1]) \rightarrow T_X[-1]$ on $T_X[-1]$ in $\mathbf{D}(X)$. Let us mention that this is the induced Lie bracket, on cohomology, of the differential graded Lie algebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}_X := \mathbb{T}_{X/X \times X}$. We should therefore obtain, by homotopy transfer, higher (Massey) brackets on the cohomology $T_X[-1]$, which were also constructed by other means in Kapranov's paper.

¹This can be made precise using model categories and the advanced technology of homotopical and derived algebraic geometry, after Toën-Vezzosi [19, 20] and Lurie [15]. Despite the fact that this is certainly the appropriate framework to work with, we will nevertheless stay within the realm of dg-schemes (after [6]), which are sufficient for our purposes.

²It is an X-scheme because $X \times X$ itself is an X-scheme through one of the projections $X \times X \rightarrow X$. Moreover, the choice of one or the other is “homotopically irrelevant” in our context. Notice nevertheless that it would be a bit more subtle if we were looking at the 2-groupoid structure on $X \times_{X \times X}^h X$.

What is the universal enveloping algebra of this Lie algebra ?

The answer to this question was given by Markarian [16]: this is the Hochschild cochain complex $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}_X := (\pi_1)_* \mathbb{R}\mathcal{H}\text{om}_{X \times X}(\Delta_* \mathcal{O}_X, \Delta_* \mathcal{O}_X)$ of X . The PBW theorem then reads

$$S(T_X[-1]) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}_X \quad (\text{in } \mathbf{D}(X)),$$

which is nothing but the HKR theorem [11, 21].

Observe moreover that any object E in $\mathbf{D}(X)$ is naturally a representation over the Lie algebra $T_X[-1]$, via its own Atiyah class, and that morphisms in $\mathbf{D}(X)$ are all $T_X[-1]$ -linear. The $T_X[-1]$ -invariant space of an object E is then the space of morphisms from \mathcal{O}_X , the trivial representation, to E : these are (derived) global sections. Assuming that the Duflo isomorphism³ is valid in dg or triangulated categories (which is unknown), we would then have an isomorphism of algebras

$$H^*(X, S(T_X[-1])) \xrightarrow{\sim} HH_X^* := \text{Ext}_{X \times X}^*(\Delta_* \mathcal{O}_X, \Delta_* \mathcal{O}_X).$$

This result has been proved using a different approach in [5, 7].

What is the Chevalley-Eilenberg algebra of \mathfrak{g}_X ?

The answer to this question is again in Kapranov's paper: $C^*(\mathfrak{g}_X)$ is quasi-isomorphic to the structure ring $\mathcal{O}_{X \times X}^\infty$ of the formal neighborhood $X_{X \times X}^\infty$ of the diagonal into $X \times X$.

1.2 The general case of a closed embedding (this paper)

For a general closed embedding $i : X \hookrightarrow Y$ we again resolve it by considering a path space

$$\tilde{X} := \{\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow Y \mid \gamma(0) \in i(X)\}$$

and $\gamma \mapsto \gamma(1)$ as fibration. Therefore the derived self-intersection of X into Y is

$$\tilde{X} \times_Y X = \{\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow Y \mid \gamma(0), \gamma(1) \in i(X)\},$$

which this time does not appear to be a group X -scheme. Nevertheless $X \times_Y^h X$ happens to be the space of arrows of a derived groupoid Y -scheme having X as space of objects.

What is the Lie algebroid of $X \times_Y^h X$?

We answer that, informally speaking, the Lie algebroid of the groupoid scheme $X \times_Y^h X$ is the shifted normal bundle $N[-1]$ of X , with anchor map being the Kodaira-Spencer class $N[-1] \rightarrow T_X$. We observe again that this is the induced anchor map, on cohomology, of the base change morphism $T_{X/Y} \rightarrow T_{X/\text{pt}}$. To state this observation precisely, recall from [6, Section 2.6] that over the scheme Y we may resolve $i_* \mathcal{O}_X$ by a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_Y -algebra of the form $\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(E[1])$ where $E = E_0 \oplus E_{-1} \oplus E_{-2} \cdots$ is a non-positively graded \mathcal{O}_Y -locally free sheaf such that each graded component E_j is of finite rank. We

³Which states that for a finite dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ are isomorphic as algebras.

denote the differential graded ringed space $(Y, \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(E[1]))$ by \tilde{X} . By construction, there are morphisms of dg ringed spaces

$$X \xrightarrow{j} \tilde{X}, \text{ and } \tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$$

with composition $\pi \circ j = i$. We call this data a smooth resolution of the morphism i .

Proposition 1.1. *Let $X \xrightarrow{j} \tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$ be a smooth resolution of the inclusion morphism $i : X \hookrightarrow Y$. Then the pair $(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}, T_{\tilde{X}/Y})$ is a dg-Lie algebroid over \mathcal{O}_Y and it is the dg-Lie algebroid of the following groupoid in dg- Y -schemes: $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X} \times_Y \tilde{X})$.*

Recall that $j^* : \mathbf{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$ is a triangulated equivalence and that $T_{X/Y} := j^* T_{\tilde{X}/Y} \cong N[-1]$ in $\mathbf{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$. In particular, we get from the above that $(i_* \mathcal{O}_X, i_* N[-1])$ is a Lie algebroid in $\mathbf{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$.

What is the universal enveloping algebra of this Lie algebroid ?

The enveloping algebra of a Lie algebroid with base X has to be an $\mathcal{O}_{X \times X}$ -module set-theoretically supported on the diagonal. We answer that the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebroid of $X \times_Y^h X$ is the kernel K of $i^* i_! = (i^* i_*)^\vee$, where the functor $i_!$ is the left adjoint of i^* (see Subsection 2.5 for a formula of $i_!$). The algebra structure $K \circ K \rightarrow K$ is given by the natural transformation $i^* i_! i^* i_! \Rightarrow i^* i_!$. More precisely (see Subsection 2.5 and Section 3):

Theorem 1.2. *Let $X \xrightarrow{j} \tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$ be a smooth resolution of the inclusion morphism $i : X \hookrightarrow Y$. Then we have a natural quasi-isomorphism of dg-algebras $\mathbf{U}(T_{\tilde{X}/Y}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}\text{om}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}})$. In other words, $\mathbf{U}(T_{\tilde{X}/Y})$ represents the monad $i^* i_!$.*

One can actually prove that the above identifications are somehow “compatible with coproducts”. This can be seen *via* the following dual statement:

Theorem 1.3. *Let $X \xrightarrow{j} \tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$ be a smooth resolution of the inclusion morphism $i : X \hookrightarrow Y$. Let $\mathbf{J}(T_{\tilde{X}/Y})$ be the infinite jet algebra of the Lie algebroid $T_{\tilde{X}/Y}$. Then we have a natural quasi-isomorphism of dg-algebras $\mathbf{J}(T_{\tilde{X}/Y}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X} \times_Y \tilde{X}}$. In other words, $\mathbf{J}(T_{\tilde{X}/Y})$ represents the monad $i^* i_*$.*

What is the Chevalley-Eilenberg algebra of this Lie algebroid ?

We answer that this is quasi-isomorphic to the structure ring $\mathcal{O}_{X_Y^\infty}$ of the formal neighborhood X_Y^∞ of the embedding $i : X \hookrightarrow Y$, generalizing Kapranov’s result in the case diagonal embeddings. See Theorem 2.4.

By a kind of homotopy transfer procedure one should get a minimal L_∞ -algebroid structure on $N[-1]$ (see Definition 5.3). We actually don’t know how to get homotopy transfer for sheaves, but are able to prove the following (it appears as Proposition 5.5 in Section 5):

Proposition 1.4. *There exists a minimal L_∞ -algebroid structure on $N[-1]$ whose Chevalley-Eilenberg algebra is quasi-isomorphic $\mathcal{O}_{X_Y^\infty}$.*

We obtain that way “higher anchor maps” that can be interpreted as successive obstructions (which already appeared in [1]) against being able to split the embedding of X into its l -th infinitesimal neighborhood $X_Y^{(l)}$. We also obtain “higher brackets” which are interpreted as successive obstructions (which also appeared in [1]) against linearizing $X_Y^{(l)}$.

1.3 Plan of the paper

We start in Section 2 by describing the dg-Lie algebroid associated with a closed embedding. We first recall quickly some known facts about the (co)tangent complex and about Lie algebroids, with some emphasis on relative derivations. The Lie algebroid structure on the relative tangent complex of a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ then becomes obvious. We finally prove that, in the case of a closed embedding $i : X \hookrightarrow Y$, the Chevalley-Eilenberg algebra of this Lie algebroid is quasi-isomorphic to the structure sheaf of $X_Y^{(\infty)}$, its jet algebra represents i^*i_* and its universal enveloping algebra represents $i^*i_!$.

In Section 3 we show that the above identifications are compatible with natural Hopf-like structures on these objects.

In Section 4 we review about cosimplicial methods and a correspondence between Maurer-Cartan elements and non-abelian 1-cocycles.

Section 5 is devoted to the construction of an L_∞ -algebroid structure on the shifted normal bundle $N[-1]$ of X into Y , which is such that its Chevalley-Eilenberg algebra is also quasi-isomorphic to the structure sheaf of $X_Y^{(\infty)}$. We then prove that the structure maps of this L_∞ -algebroid structure provides obstructions to splitting the inclusion $X \rightarrow X_Y^{(k)}$ and linearizing $X_Y^{(k)}$. This allows us to recover recent results of [1].

1.4 Acknowledgements

Shilin Yu [22] independently obtained similar results for complex manifolds (more in the spirit of Kapranov's original formulation). We warmly thank him for the numerous enlightening discussions we had before and during the writing of the present paper. We are also grateful to Alexander Polishchuk from whom we learned the proof of Lemma 5.2. The work of D.C. is supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant number 200021_137778).

1.5 Notation

We work over an algebraically closed field \mathbf{k} of characteristic zero. Unless otherwise specified all (dg-)algebras, (dg-)schemes, varieties, etc... are over \mathbf{k} .

We often denote by \mathbf{pt} the terminal dg-scheme. Namely, $\mathbf{pt} := \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbf{k})$.

Whenever we have a dg-algebra A we denote by A^\sharp the underlying graded algebra and by d_A its differential. Then $A = (A^\sharp, d_A)$. Similarly, given a dg-scheme X we denote by X_\sharp the dg-scheme having the same underlying scheme and structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X_\sharp} := \mathcal{O}_X^\sharp$.

For a graded module E and an integer k , we denote by $E[k]$ the graded module whose l -th graded piece is the $k + l$ -th graded piece of E . The obvious maps $E \rightarrow E[-1]$ and $E \rightarrow E[1]$ are respectively denoted by s and $e \mapsto \bar{e}$.

We freely extend the above notation to sheaves.

By a Lie algebroid we mean a sheaf of Lie-Rinehart algebras (L-R algebras in [18], to which we refer for more details).

2 The dg-Lie algebroid of a closed embedding

In this section we construct a differential graded Lie algebroid associated to a closed embedding $i : X \hookrightarrow Y$ of smooth algebraic varieties. We show that the Chevalley-Eilenberg algebra of this differential graded Lie algebroid is quasi-isomorphic to the formal neighborhood algebra of X in Y ; while the dual of its universal enveloping algebra is quasi-isomorphic to the formal neighborhood of X inside the derived self-intersection $X \times_Y^h X$. We shall work with Ciocan-Fontanine and Kapranov's dg-schemes [6, Section 2].

2.1 Short review of the relative (co)tangent complex

Let $i : X \hookrightarrow Y$ be a closed embedding of smooth algebraic varieties with normal bundle N . Let us also assume that Y is quasi-projective to ensure existence of resolutions by locally free sheaves on Y . By the constructions of [6, Theorem 2.7.6] the map i can be factored as

$$X \xrightarrow{j} \tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\pi} Y,$$

where j is a quasi-isomorphic closed embedding and π is smooth. Moreover since we are assuming Y is quasi-projective and smooth, the ordinary scheme underlying the dg-scheme \tilde{X} can in fact be taken to be just Y . Its structure sheaf is of the form

$$\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} := (\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(E[1]), Q)$$

for some non-positively graded locally free sheaf E on Y , and Q is a degree one \mathcal{O}_Y -linear derivation on $\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(E[1])$ that squares to zero. The appearance of a shift on E is to mimic the case when X is a complete intersection in Y . By construction there is a quasi-isomorphism of dg- \mathcal{O}_Y -algebras

$$\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \longrightarrow j_* \mathcal{O}_X.$$

The relative cotangent complex $\mathbb{L}_{X/Y}$ is by definition

$$\mathbb{L}_{X/Y} := j^* L_{\tilde{X}/Y}, \quad \text{where} \quad L_{\tilde{X}/Y} := (\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}/\mathcal{O}_Y}^1, L_Q).$$

The differential L_Q is given by the Lie derivation action on the graded space of 1-forms $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}/\mathcal{O}_Y}^1$. Since Q is an odd derivation that squares to zero, its Lie derivative L_Q also squares to zero because $L_Q^2 = \frac{1}{2} L_{[Q,Q]} = 0$. It is well-defined up to quasi-isomorphism (see [6, Proposition 2.7.7] for a precise statement). Moreover, the restriction morphism $L_{\tilde{X}/Y} \longrightarrow j_* j^* L_{\tilde{X}/Y} = j_* \mathbb{L}_{X/Y}$ is a quasi-isomorphism. In particular this implies that the dg-sheaf $L_{\tilde{X}/Y}$ is isomorphic to $j_* N^\vee[1]$ as an object in $\mathbf{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(\tilde{X})$. Namely, we have two distinguished triangles

$$i^* \Omega_{Y/\text{pt}}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{X/\text{pt}}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{X/Y} \xrightarrow{+1} \quad \text{and} \quad N^\vee \longrightarrow i^* \Omega_{Y/\text{pt}}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{X/\text{pt}}^1 \xrightarrow{+1}.$$

Dually we can define the tangent complex $\mathbb{T}_{X/Y}$ as $j^* T_{\tilde{X}/Y}$, where $T_{\tilde{X}/Y} := \text{Der}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}})$ is endowed with the differential $[Q, -]$. The fact that this differential squares to zero follows from the graded version of Jacobi identity for vector fields. The dg-sheaf $T_{\tilde{X}/Y}$ is isomorphic to $i_* N[-1]$ in $\mathbf{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(Y)$ ⁴.

⁴We are making here a slight abuse of language. Strictly speaking, $T_{\tilde{X}/Y}$ is quasi-isomorphic to $j_* N[-1]$ as an $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ -

2.2 Recollection on (dg-)Lie algebroids

There is a natural Lie bracket on $T_{\tilde{X}/Y}$, being the space of \mathcal{O}_Y -linear derivations of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$. More precisely, the pair $(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}, T_{\tilde{X}/Y})$ is a dg-Lie algebroid. In this subsection we recall definitions of three dg-algebras naturally associated with a dg-Lie algebroid: the Chevalley-Eilenberg algebra, the universal enveloping algebra, and the jet algebra.

2.2.1 Chevalley-Eilenberg algebra of a Lie algebroid

Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{g})$ be a dg-Lie algebroid with anchor map ρ and Lie bracket μ . We first consider the dg-commutative algebra $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{g}^{\vee}[-1])$, which is the adic-completion of the symmetric algebra w.r.t. the kernel of the augmentation $\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{g}^{\vee}[-1]) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. We then replace the differential d by $D := d + d_{\text{CE}}$, where d_{CE} is defined on generators $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}^{\vee}[-1]$ as follows⁵:

- $d_{\text{CE}}(\mathfrak{a}) := s \circ \rho^{\vee}(d\mathfrak{a})$, and
- the operator $d_{\text{CE}}(\xi) \in \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{A}}^2 \mathfrak{g}^{\vee}[-1]$ acts on a pair of $(v, w) \in \mathfrak{g}^2$ by formula

$$d_{\text{CE}}(\xi)(v, w) := \rho(v)(\xi(w)) - \rho(w)(\xi(v)) - \xi(\mu(v, w)).$$

Then the Chevalley-Eilenberg algebra $C^*(\mathfrak{g})$ is the dg-commutative algebra $(\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{g}^{\vee}[-1])^{\sharp}, D)$. We also denote by $C^{(k)}(\mathfrak{g})$ its quotient by the $k+1$ -th power of the augmentation kernel.

Example 2.1 (Relative De Rham complex). Let $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a morphism of (sheaves of) dg-commutative algebras, and set $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Der}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{A})$. We equip $(\mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{g})$ with a dg-Lie algebroid structure: \mathfrak{g} has $[d_{\mathcal{A}}, -]$ as differential, the graded commutator as Lie bracket, and the inclusion $\text{Der}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{A}) \hookrightarrow \text{Der}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathcal{A})$ as anchor map. The Chevalley-Eilenberg algebra is then the completion of the relative De Rham algebra $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B}}^*$. As a graded algebra $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B}}^*$ is $\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{A}^{\sharp}}(\Omega_{\mathcal{A}^{\sharp}/\mathcal{B}^{\sharp}}^1[-1])$, and the two commuting differentials are $d = L_{d_{\mathcal{B}}}$ and $d_{\text{CE}} = d_{\text{DR}}$.

2.2.2 Universal enveloping algebra of a Lie algebroid

We borrow the notation from the previous paragraph. First observe that \mathcal{A} being acted on by \mathfrak{g} one can consider the semi-direct product dg-Lie algebra $\mathcal{A} \rtimes \mathfrak{g}$ and take its (ordinary) universal enveloping algebra $\mathbf{U}(\mathcal{A} \rtimes \mathfrak{g})$, which is \mathbf{k} -augmented. We define the universal enveloping algebra $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{g})$ as the quotient of the augmentation ideal $\mathbf{U}^+(\mathcal{A} \rtimes \mathfrak{g})$ by the following relations: for any $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x \in \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{a}x = \mathfrak{a} \cdot x$, where $\mathfrak{a}x$ stands for the product in the algebra $\mathbf{U}(\mathcal{A} \rtimes \mathfrak{g})$, and \cdot denotes the \mathcal{A} -module structure on $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$. It is a (non-central) dg- \mathcal{A} -algebra. As such it is naturally endowed with a compatible \mathcal{A} -bimodule structure.

Moreover, $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is also endowed with the structure of a cocommutative coring in the category of *left* \mathcal{A} -modules: we have a coproduct $\Delta : \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, where we only consider the *left*

module and thus $\pi_* T_{\tilde{X}/Y}$ is quasi-isomorphic to $i_* N[-1]$ as an \mathcal{O}_Y -module. But for an $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ -module F , $\pi_* F$ is simply the same sheaf (on Y) viewed as an \mathcal{O}_Y -module in the obvious way. We will therefore allow ourselves to omit π_* from the notation when the context is clear enough.

⁵We omit (de)suspension maps from the second formulæ.

\mathcal{A} -module structure on $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ when taking the tensor product. Notice that $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ also acts on \mathcal{A} . All these algebraic structures and their compatibilities can be summarized in the following way: $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a (dg-)bialgebroid (see [3] and references therein for a survey of the equivalent definitions).

Example 2.2 (Relative differential operators). Let $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and \mathfrak{g} be as in Example 2.1. In this case $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ coincides with $\text{Diff}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{A})$, the sheaf of \mathcal{B} -linear differential operators on \mathcal{A} endowed with the differential $[d_{\mathcal{B}}, -]$. We easily see that the \mathcal{A} -bimodule structure factors through an action of $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A}$. Finally, the coproduct is given by $\Delta(P)(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}') = P(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}')$.

2.2.3 The jet algebra of a Lie algebroid

We still borrow the notation from Paragraph 2.2.1. We define the jet \mathcal{A} -bimodule $\mathbf{J}(\mathfrak{g})$ as the left \mathcal{A} -dual of $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$: $\mathbf{J}(\mathfrak{g}) := \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{A}\text{-mod}}(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}), \mathcal{A})$. Therefore $\mathbf{J}(\mathfrak{g})$ becomes a commutative ring in the category of *left* \mathcal{A} -modules. The \mathcal{A} -bimodule structure on $\mathbf{J}(\mathfrak{g})$ can then be described by two dg-algebra morphisms $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{J}(\mathfrak{g})$: $\mathfrak{a} \mapsto (P \mapsto \mathfrak{a}P(1))$ and $\mathfrak{a} \mapsto (P \mapsto P(\mathfrak{a}))$.

Observe that $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is endowed with an increasing filtration obtained by assigning degree 0, resp. degree 1, to elements of \mathcal{A} , resp. \mathfrak{g} . All the algebraic structures we have seen on $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ are compatible with the filtration; in particular $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})^{\leq k}$ is a sub- \mathcal{A} -coring in $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. Therefore the quotient $\mathbf{J}^{(k)}(\mathfrak{g}) := \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{A}\text{-mod}}(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})^{\leq k}, \mathcal{A})$ of $\mathbf{J}(\mathfrak{g})$ inherits from it an \mathcal{A} -algebra structure.

Example 2.3 (Relative jets). Let $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and \mathfrak{g} be as in Example 2.1, and denote by \mathcal{J} the (dg-)ideal of the multiplication map $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. We have a morphism of dg-algebras

$$\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{J}(\mathfrak{g}), \mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathfrak{a}' \mapsto (P \mapsto \mathfrak{a}P(\mathfrak{a}')).$$

This induces morphisms $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{J}^{(k)}(\mathfrak{g})$, which happen to be isomorphisms whenever the underlying morphism of graded algebra $\mathcal{B}^{\sharp} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\sharp}$ is smooth. This gives an isomorphism between $\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A} := \varprojlim \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}^{k+1}$ and $\mathbf{J}(\mathfrak{g})$. This is essentially (a dg-version of) Grothendieck's description of differential operators via formal neighborhood of the diagonal map [8, (16.8.4)].

2.3 The Chevalley-Eilenberg algebra is the formal neighborhood of X into Y

Let $\mathcal{J} := \ker(\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow i_*\mathcal{O}_X)$ be the ideal sheaf of X into Y , $\mathcal{O}_X^{(k)} := \mathcal{O}_Y/\mathcal{J}^{k+1}$, and $\mathcal{O}_X^{(\infty)} := \varprojlim \mathcal{O}_X^{(k)}$. We also write $\Omega_{\tilde{X}/Y}^* := \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}/Y}}^*$, and $\Omega_{\tilde{X}/Y}^{(k)}$ for its quotient by the $(k+1)$ -th power of the augmentation ideal. Recall from Example 2.1 that we have $C^*(T_{\tilde{X}/Y}) = \varprojlim \Omega_{\tilde{X}/Y}^{(k)}$.

Theorem 2.4. *There are quasi-isomorphisms of sheaves of dg-algebras on Y*

$$\varphi^{(k)} : \Omega_{\tilde{X}/Y}^{(k)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{(k)}.$$

Moreover these maps are compatible with the inverse systems on both sides, and taking inverse limits induces a quasi-isomorphism of algebras

$$\varphi : C^*(T_{\tilde{X}/Y}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{(\infty)}.$$

Proof. We define an $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}^\sharp$ -linear map $\varphi : \Omega_{\tilde{X}/Y}^1[-1] \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y$ by the composition

$$\Omega_{\tilde{X}/Y}^1[-1] \xrightarrow{-\iota_Q} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}^\sharp = \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(E[1]) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathcal{O}_Y,$$

where the last map is just the canonical \mathcal{O}_Y -augmentation.

Lemma 2.5. *The map φ constructed above has image inside the ideal sheaf \mathcal{I} .*

Proof. Locally on Y the space of one-forms is generated by elements of the form $\mathbf{ad}_{\mathrm{DR}}(e)$ for local sections $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ and $e \in E$. Then we have

$$\varphi(\mathbf{ad}_{\mathrm{DR}}(e)) = \epsilon(-\iota_Q(\mathbf{ad}_{\mathrm{DR}}(e))) = -\epsilon(\mathbf{a}Q(e)) = -\epsilon(\mathbf{a})\epsilon(Q(e)).$$

The composed map $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \mathbf{i}_* \mathcal{O}_X$ being a quasi-isomorphism, we have in particular that the image of $Q(e)$ through it is zero. Therefore $\epsilon(Q(e)) \in \mathcal{I}$. \square

By the universal property of symmetric algebras, the map φ induces a morphism of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}^\sharp$ -algebras

$$\Omega_{\tilde{X}/Y}^* = \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}^\sharp}(\Omega_{\tilde{X}/Y}^1[-1]) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y.$$

We shall still denote this map by φ .

Lemma 2.6. *φ is a morphism of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ -algebras. In other words, it is a cochain map.*

Proof. Locally on Y , a k -form α can be written as $\mathbf{f} \mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{DR}}(e_1) \cdots \mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{DR}}(e_k)$ for local sections $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ and $e_i \in E$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. We want to show that $\varphi(D(\alpha)) = 0$. This is a direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(D(\alpha)) &= \varphi\left(L_Q(\mathbf{f} \mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{DR}}(e_1) \cdots \mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{DR}}(e_k)) + \mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{DR}}(\mathbf{f} \mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{DR}}(e_1) \cdots \mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{DR}}(e_k))\right) \\ &= \varphi\left(Q(\mathbf{f}) \mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{DR}}(e_1) \cdots \mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{DR}}(e_k) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} (-1)^{|\mathbf{f}| + |e_1| + \cdots + |e_{i-1}| + (i-1)} \mathbf{f} \mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{DR}}(e_1) \cdots L_Q(\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{DR}}(e_i)) \cdots \mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{DR}}(e_k) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{DR}}(\mathbf{f}) \mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{DR}}(e_1) \cdots \mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{DR}}(e_k)\right) \\ &= \epsilon\left((-1)^k Q(\mathbf{f}) Q(e_1) \cdots Q(e_k) + (-1)^{k + |\mathbf{f}| + |e_1| + \cdots + |e_{i-1}| + (i-1)} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} \mathbf{f} Q(e_1) \cdots (\iota_Q L_Q \mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{DR}}(e_i)) \cdots Q(e_k) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (-1)^{k+1} Q(\mathbf{f}) Q(e_1) \cdots Q(e_k)\right). \end{aligned}$$

The first and the last terms in the above sum cancel each other. For the middle term observe that $\iota_Q L_Q \mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{DR}} = \iota_Q \mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{DR}} \iota_Q \mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{DR}} = Q Q = 0$. \square

By Lemma 2.5 the map φ sends the augmentation ideal (which is generated by $\Omega_{\tilde{X}/Y}^1[-1]$) into the ideal \mathcal{I} . Hence φ induces an inverse system of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ -algebra morphisms

$$\varphi^{(k)} : \Omega_{\tilde{X}/Y}^{(k)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{(k)}.$$

We have to show that the maps $\varphi^{(k)}$ defined as above are quasi-isomorphisms. We proceed by induction. For the case $k = 0$ this reduces to the fact that $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ is a resolution of $i_*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Y/J$. Assuming $\varphi^{(k)}$ is a quasi-isomorphism we would like to show it is also the case for $\varphi^{(k+1)}$. For this we consider the following morphism of short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(E[1]) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}^{k+1}(E) & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\tilde{X}/Y}^{(k+1)} & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\tilde{X}/Y}^{(k)} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \varphi^{(k+1)} \downarrow & & \varphi^{(k)} \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & i_*\left(\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}^{k+1}(N^\vee)\right) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X^{(k+1)} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X^{(k)} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

The leftmost vertical map is a quasi-isomorphism by the projection formula, and $\varphi^{(k)}$ is so by the induction hypothesis. Thus $\varphi^{(k+1)}$ is also a quasi-isomorphism. The Theorem is proved. \square

Remark 2.7. This result means that the dg-Lie algebroid structure on $T_{\tilde{X}/Y}$ encodes all the formal neighborhood of X into Y . Moreover our proof of the theorem works for a general closed embedding of quasi-projective dg-schemes. For a general morphism $X \rightarrow Y$ of quasi-projective dg-schemes this gives a *definition* of the “derived” formal neighborhood of X in Y : take the Chevalley-Eilenberg algebra of $T_{\tilde{X}/Y}$ for a smooth factorization of $X \rightarrow Y$ through \tilde{X} .

Example 2.8 (The case of a global complete intersection). As an example we now write down an explicit free resolution for the formal neighborhood algebra of an embedding $i : X \hookrightarrow Y$ in the case when X is a global complete intersection in Y . It turns out that our construction recovers the classical Eagon-Northcott resolution of formal neighborhood of X in Y (see [4]).

To fix the notation we assume that X is defined as the zero locus of a section s of a vector bundle E^\vee on Y . Then the sheaf $i_*\mathcal{O}_X$ has a locally free resolution of the form

$$(\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(E[1]), \lrcorner s) = \cdots \xrightarrow{\lrcorner s} \wedge^2 E \xrightarrow{\lrcorner s} E \xrightarrow{\lrcorner s} \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow 0 \cdots$$

Note that this is a resolution of $i_*\mathcal{O}_X$ as an \mathcal{O}_Y -algebra. Thus we take \tilde{X} to be the dg-scheme defined by $(\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(E[1]), \lrcorner s)$ on Y . Let us write down the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex of $T_{\tilde{X}/Y}$. This is by definition the completed De Rham complex of $(\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(E[1]), \lrcorner s)$ which is given by $\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(E[1]) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(E)$ as a quasi-coherent sheaf on Y , with differential acting on the component $\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}^i(E[1]) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}^j(E)$ by the sum of the following two operators:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\lrcorner s}((e_1 \cdots e_i) \otimes (f_1 \cdots f_j)) &= \sum_{l=1}^i (-1)^{l-1} ((e_1 \cdots (\lrcorner s, e_l) \cdots e_i) \otimes (f_1 \cdots f_j)); \\ d_{\text{DR}}((e_1 \cdots e_i) \otimes (f_1 \cdots f_j)) &= \sum_{l=1}^i (-1)^{l-1} ((e_1 \cdots \hat{e}_l \cdots e_i) \otimes (e_l f_1 \cdots f_j)). \end{aligned}$$

2.4 The jet algebra represents i^*i_*

Our goal in this subsection is to understand the jet algebra $\mathbf{J}(T_{\tilde{X}/Y})$ of the dg-Lie algebroid $T_{\tilde{X}/Y}$. Our main result identifies $\mathbf{J}(T_{\tilde{X}/Y})$ with the formal neighborhood of \tilde{X} inside $\tilde{X} \times_Y \tilde{X}$.

Let us begin with a description of the derived self-intersection $\tilde{X} \times_Y \tilde{X}$: by the definition of fiber product of dg-schemes its underlying scheme is $\tilde{X}^0 \times_Y \tilde{X}^0 = Y$ (recall that $\tilde{X}^0 = Y$) and its structure sheaf is $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$. The diagonal map $\Delta_{\tilde{X}/Y} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X} \times_Y \tilde{X}$ is defined by the identity map on the underlying scheme Y and the multiplication map $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ on the structure sheaves. We denote its kernel by \mathcal{J} , and we define $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}^{(k)} := \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X} \times_Y \tilde{X}} / \mathcal{J}^{k+1}$ ($k \geq 0$) and $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}^{(\infty)} := \varprojlim \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}^{(k)}$. According to Example 2.3 we have the following description of $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{T}_{\tilde{X}/Y})$.

Proposition 2.9. *There is an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}^{(\infty)} \cong \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{T}_{\tilde{X}/Y})$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X} \times_Y \tilde{X}}$ -algebras.*

This result in fact holds for any morphism of dg-schemes $X \rightarrow Y$. The specificity of a closed embedding $i : X \hookrightarrow Y$ of varieties is that the formal neighborhood of the diagonal in $\tilde{X} \times_Y \tilde{X}$ is quasi-equivalent to $\tilde{X} \times_Y \tilde{X}$ itself, as we prove now.

Theorem 2.10. *For a closed embedding $i : X \hookrightarrow Y$ of smooth schemes assume that Y is quasi-projective. Then the formal neighborhood algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}^{(\infty)}$ of the embedding $\tilde{X} \hookrightarrow \tilde{X} \times_Y \tilde{X}$ is quasi-isomorphic to the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X} \times_Y \tilde{X}}$.*

In particular, combined with Proposition 2.9, we conclude that $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{T}_{\tilde{X}/Y})$ is the structure sheaf of $\tilde{X} \times_Y \tilde{X}$.

Proof. There is a natural map of sheaves of algebras $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X} \times_Y \tilde{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}^{(\infty)}$ induced from the natural quotient maps $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X} \times_Y \tilde{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}^{(k)}$. Moreover as the derived tensor product the cohomologies of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X} \times_Y \tilde{X}}$ are given by $\underline{\mathrm{Tor}}_Y^i(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ where the cohomological grading is compatible with the filtration degree on $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X} \times_Y \tilde{X}}$. Since $\underline{\mathrm{Tor}}_Y^i(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ vanishes for large i for a smooth space Y and the dg-ideal \mathcal{J} of the diagonal map $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X} \times_Y \tilde{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ is concentrated on strictly negative degrees, the natural quotient maps $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X} \times_Y \tilde{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}^{(k)}$ are quasi-isomorphisms for large k . The theorem follows after taking inverse limit. (Note that taking inverse limit does not in general commute with taking cohomology. However in our case this is true since the cohomologies of the inverse system stabilize.) \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We should prove that, for a closed embedding $i : X \hookrightarrow Y$, $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{T}_{\tilde{X}/Y})$ represents the functor i^*i_* . This has to be interpreted correctly. Namely we have factored the map i as $\pi \circ j$ for a quasi-equivalence $j : X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ and a smooth map $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow Y$. Since the map π is smooth, the sheaf $h_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X} \times_Y \tilde{X}}$ where h is the embedding $\tilde{X} \times_Y \tilde{X} \hookrightarrow \tilde{X} \times \tilde{X}$, gives the kernel for $\pi^*\pi_* : \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{coh}}^b(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{coh}}^b(\tilde{X})$. Thanks to Proposition 2.9 and Theorem 2.10 we have a quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X} \times_Y \tilde{X}} \cong \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{T}_{\tilde{X}/Y}).$$

Thus we conclude that $h_*\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{T}_{\tilde{X}/Y}) \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{coh}}^b(\tilde{X} \times \tilde{X})$ is the kernel representing the functor $\pi^*\pi_*$. Finally we observe that the functor $\pi^*\pi_*$ corresponds to i^*i_* via the equivalence $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{coh}}^b(X) \cong \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{coh}}^b(\tilde{X})$. Hence the sheaf $(j \times j)^*h_*\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{T}_{\tilde{X}/Y})$ on $X \times X$ represents i^*i_* .

As for the monad structure on $\pi^*\pi_*$, it is the algebra structure on $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X} \times_Y \tilde{X}}$. \square

Remark 2.11. It is interesting to observe that there is an iterative process arising from an embedding of algebraic varieties $i : X \hookrightarrow Y$. Namely we have associated to the embedding a natural dg-Lie

algebroid T_i (here we changed the notation for $T_{\tilde{X}/Y}$ to emphasize the morphism i). But the morphism i defines another embedding of dg-schemes $\Delta_i : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X} \times_Y \tilde{X}$. The dg-Lie algebroid associated to this latter embedding Δ_i will be denoted by T_{Δ_i} . There is an interesting relationship between T_i and T_{Δ_i} in view of Theorem 2.4 and Proposition 2.9:

$$C^*(T_{\Delta_i}) \xrightarrow{2.4} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{X} \times_Y \tilde{X}}^{(\infty)} \xrightarrow{2.9} J(T_i).$$

In particular we see that the Lie algebroid T_{Δ_i} encodes less information than that of T_i since in the above isomorphism we do not see the Lie structure on T_i from that of T_{Δ_i} . Moreover observe that $T_{\Delta_i} \cong T_i[-1]$, hence its Chevalley-Eilenberg algebra is of the form $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}(T_i^\vee)$ endowed with the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential. The isomorphism $C^*(T_{\Delta_i}) \cong J(T_i)$ then certainly resembles the PBW theorem for T_i . It is thus natural from this isomorphism to conjecture that the PBW property holds for T_i if-and-only-if certain Lie structure vanishes on T_{Δ_i} . This is in fact the main point of the paper [2] where the authors study the HKR property for an embedding $i : X \rightarrow Y$. Their result on the derived level asserts that the vanishing of the Lie structure on $N[-2] = T_{\Delta_i}$ is equivalent to the HKR property for $N[-1] = T_i$.

2.5 The universal enveloping algebra represents i^*i_+

First we recall that the functor i_+ is the left adjoint of

$$i^* : \mathbf{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(X).$$

Explicitly for an object $E \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$, we have

$$i_+(E) = i_*(E \otimes \det N)[\dim X - \dim Y].$$

Let us sketch a proof of Theorem 1.2. By the universal property of $\mathbf{U}(T_{\tilde{X}/Y})$ we get a morphism $\mathbf{U}(T_{\tilde{X}/Y}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}\text{om}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}})$ of dg-algebras in $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ -bimodules over \mathcal{O}_Y . One can prove that, in the case of a closed embedding, it is a quasi-isomorphism (the proof is very similar to the one of Theorem 2.10, with $\underline{\text{Tor}}$'s being replaced by $\underline{\text{Ext}}$'s). In particular we have an algebra isomorphism $\mathbf{U}(i_*N[-1]) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\text{xt}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(i_*\mathcal{O}_X, i_*\mathcal{O}_X)$ in $\mathbf{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(Y)$, and thus by seeing $\mathbf{U}(i_*N[-1])$ as an object of $\mathbf{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(X \times X)$ set-theoretically supported on the diagonal, we get that it is the kernel representing the functor $i^*i_+ : \mathbf{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$. The monad structure on i^*i_+ coming from the product on $\mathcal{E}\text{xt}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(i_*\mathcal{O}_X, i_*\mathcal{O}_X)$ easily identifies with the one coming from the projection formula $i^*i_+i^*i_+ \Rightarrow i^*i_+$. \square

Let us provide yet another approach. Borrowing the notation from Paragraph 2.2.2, we have the following

Lemma 2.12. *The functor $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} - : \mathcal{A}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$ is left adjoint to $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}\text{-mod}}(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}), -)$. Here we use that for any left \mathcal{A} -module M , the right \mathcal{A} -module structure on $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ turns $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}\text{-mod}}(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}), M)$ into a left \mathcal{A} -module.*

Proof. Let M, N be \mathcal{A} -modules. As usual the one-to-one correspondence

$$\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}\text{-mod}}\left(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} M, N\right) \xleftarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}\text{-mod}}\left(M, \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}\text{-mod}}(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}), N)\right) \ni \psi$$

is given by $\varphi(P \otimes m) = \psi(m)(P)$. \square

Then notice that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}\text{-mod}}(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}), -) = \mathbf{J}(\mathfrak{g}) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}} -$, where the \mathcal{A} -bimodule structure on $\mathbf{J}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the one described in Paragraph 2.2.3.

We finally consider the case when $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbf{T}_{\widetilde{X}/Y}$. It follows from Theorem 1.3 (which we proved in the previous Paragraph) that $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{T}_{\widetilde{X}/Y})$ represents the kernel for the left adjoint functor to i^*i_* , which is $i^*i_!$. This has to be understood as $(j \times j)^*h_*\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{T}_{\widetilde{X}/Y})$, which is nothing but $\mathbf{U}(i_*N[-1])$ viewed as an object of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{coh}}^b(X \times X)$, being the kernel representing $i^*i_!$.

3 Monads

In the previous Section we have identified $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{T}_{\widetilde{X}/Y})$ with the kernel of $i^*i_!$. It happens that both are equipped with an associative product (they even both carry a Hopf-like structure). Identifying these additional structures is the subject of the present Section.

3.1 The Hopf monad associated with the universal enveloping algebra

Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{g})$ be a Lie algebroid. We have seen that $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a bialgebroid. It actually has a very specific feature: source and target maps $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ are the same. Therefore, the forgetful functor $U : \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$ is *strong* monoidal (recall that $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ being a bialgebroid its category of left modules is monoidal, see e.g. [3] and references therein)⁶.

Observe that U has a left adjoint: $F : \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} - : \mathcal{A}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod}$. Moreover, U being strong monoidal, then its left adjoint F is *colax* monoidal and hence the monad $T := UF$ is a *Hopf monad* in the sense of [17]: it is a monad in the 2-category OpMon having monoidal categories as objects, colax monoidal functors as 1-morphisms and natural transformations of those as 2-morphisms.

3.1.1 The dual Hopf comonad associated with the jet algebra

Notice that the strong monoidal functor U also has a right adjoint $G := \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{A}\text{-mod}}(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}), -)$, which is *lax* monoidal. Going along the same lines as above one sees that $S := UG$ (which is right adjoint to T) is a *Hopf comonad*, meaning that it is a comonad in the 2-category Mon having monoidal categories as objects, lax monoidal functors as 1-morphisms and natural transformations of those as 2-morphisms.

Finally recall that $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{A}\text{-mod}}(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}), -) \cong \mathbf{J}(\mathfrak{g}) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}} -$, where the \mathcal{A} -bimodule structure on $\mathbf{J}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the one described in Paragraph 2.2.3. Notice that, on the one hand, the lax monoidal structure on S is given by the coproduct on $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, and thus by the product on $\mathbf{J}(\mathfrak{g})$:

$$\left(\mathbf{J}(\mathfrak{g}) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}} - \right) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \left(\mathbf{J}(\mathfrak{g}) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}} - \right) \cong \left(\mathbf{J}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbf{J}(\mathfrak{g}) \right) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}} (- \otimes -) \implies \mathbf{J}(\mathfrak{g}) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}} (- \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} -).$$

⁶The forgetful functor usually goes to \mathcal{A} -bimodules, but here its essential image is the monoidal subcategory consisting of those bimodules which have the same underlying left and right module structure. It is isomorphic to the monoidal category of \mathcal{A} -modules.

On the other hand, the comonad structure on S is given by the product on $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, and hence by the coproduct on $\mathbf{J}(\mathfrak{g})$:

$$\mathbf{J}(\mathfrak{g}) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}} - \implies \left(\mathbf{J}(\mathfrak{g}) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbf{J}(\mathfrak{g}) \right) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}} - \cong \mathbf{J}(\mathfrak{g}) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}} \left(\mathbf{J}(\mathfrak{g}) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}} - \right).$$

3.2 The Hopf (co)monad associated with a closed embedding

Similarly to the above, the left adjoint i_{\dagger} , resp. the right adjoint i_* , to the strong monoidal functor i^* is colax monoidal, resp. lax monoidal. Hence i^*i_{\dagger} is a Hopf monad and i^*i_* is a Hopf comonad.

We already know (see Subsections 2.4 and 2.5) that there are isomorphisms of functor $i^*i_* \cong UG$ and $i^*i_{\dagger} \cong UF$ whenever $(\mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{g}) = (\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}, \mathbf{T}_{\tilde{X}/Y})$. It remains to be shown that the Hopf (co)monad structures coincide.

3.2.1 The Hopf comonad associated with a morphism of algebras

In this paragraph, functors are not derived. Let $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a morphism of (sheaves of dg-)algebras. The strong monoidal (dg-)functor $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} - : \mathcal{B}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$ admits the “forgetful” functor $\mathcal{A}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\text{-mod}$ as a right adjoint, which is then lax monoidal. Again, this turns $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} - : \mathcal{A}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$ into a (dg-)Hopf comonad. Notice that the lax monoidal structure $(\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} -) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} (\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} -) \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} (- \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} -)$ is given by the product of \mathcal{A} while the comonad structure $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} - \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} (\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} -)$ is given by the morphism $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A}, a \mapsto a \otimes 1$.

Observe that this Hopf comonad can be seen as the Hopf comonad associated with a Hopf algebroid in a similar way to what happens with the jet algebra. Namely, the algebra $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A}$ is equipped with two obvious algebra maps $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A}$ and a coproduct

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta : \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A} &\longrightarrow (\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} (\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A}) \cong \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A} \\ a \otimes a' &\longmapsto a \otimes 1 \otimes a' \end{aligned}$$

which satisfy (similarly to $\mathbf{J}(\mathfrak{g})$) the axioms of a cogroupoid object in (sheaves of dg-)commutative algebras. This proves the functor $(\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} - : \mathcal{A}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$ with the structure of a Hopf comonad: as in the case of $\mathbf{J}(\mathfrak{g})$, the lax monoidal structure comes from the product of $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A}$ while the comonad structure comes from its coproduct.

Proposition 3.1. *There is a natural isomorphism $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} - \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} -$ of Hopf comonads.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{M} be an \mathcal{A} -module. One observes that the natural isomorphism $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{M}$ is given by $a \otimes m \mapsto a \otimes 1 \otimes m$ and obviously commutes with the comonad and lax monoidal structures. \square

3.2.2 Identifying i^*i_* and UG as Hopf comonads

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and \mathfrak{g} be as in Example 2.1. Then the dg-algebra morphism*

$$\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathbf{J}(\mathfrak{g})$$

introduced in Example 2.3 is a dg-Hopf algebroid morphism.

Proof. Straightforward. \square

Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ and $\mathfrak{g} = T_{\tilde{X}/Y}$. Then it follows from Theorem 2.10 that $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A} \cong \mathbf{J}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Recall that $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X} \times_Y \tilde{X}}$ and $\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}^{(\infty)}$. The next result then follows from Propositions 3.1 and 3.2.

Theorem 3.3. *There is a natural quasi-isomorphism $\pi^* \pi_* \Rightarrow S$ of dg-Hopf comonads on $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}\text{-mod}$.*

If one denotes by the same symbols dg-functors and the induces functors on the homotopy category, one then has

Corollary 3.4. *The Hopf comonads $i^* i_*$ and S on $\mathbf{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$ are naturally isomorphic. Therefore their respective left adjoint Hopf monads $i^* i_{\dagger}$ and T are isomorphic too.*

4 Deformation theory of sheaves of algebras

Let \mathbf{k} be a base field which is of characteristic zero. All algebras are \mathbf{k} -algebras, and derivations of algebras are \mathbf{k} -linear. In this section we recollect basic facts on the folklore that deformations of a sheaf of commutative algebras \mathcal{A} is governed by a differential graded Lie algebra resolving the tangent lie algebra $T_{\mathcal{A}}$. To ensure convergence we restrict to a pronilpotent Lie subalgebra $T_{\mathcal{A}}^+$ of $T_{\mathcal{A}}$, and assume that the exponential map

$$\exp : T_{\mathcal{A}}^+ \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$$

is well-defined. We denote the image $\exp(T_{\mathcal{A}}^+)$ by $\text{Aut}^+(\mathcal{A})$. This is a sheaf of prounipotent groups.

4.1 Thom-Whitney resolutions of sheaves of algebras

Let $\mathcal{U} := \{\mathcal{U}_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{J}}$ be an open covering of a topological space X . For an open subset $\mathcal{U}_i \subset X$ we denote by ρ_i the inclusion $\mathcal{U}_i \hookrightarrow X$. For a multi-index $I = (i_0, \dots, i_k) \in \mathcal{J}^{k+1}$ we denote by \mathcal{U}_I the intersection $\mathcal{U}_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{U}_{i_k}$, and by ρ_I the inclusion $\mathcal{U}_I \hookrightarrow X$. Associated to this data is a simplicial space

$$\mathcal{U}_{\bullet} := \left(\dots \coprod_{I \in \mathcal{J}^{k+1}} \mathcal{U}_I \cdots \rightrightarrows \coprod_{(i_0, i_1) \in \mathcal{J}^2} \mathcal{U}_{i_0, i_1} \rightrightarrows \coprod_{i_0 \in \mathcal{J}} \mathcal{U}_{i_0} \right).$$

Let \mathcal{A} be a sheaf of algebras on a topological space X . We get a cosimplicial sheaf of algebras

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\bullet} := \left(\coprod_{i_0 \in \mathcal{J}} (\rho_{i_0})_* (\rho_{i_0})^* \mathcal{A} \rightrightarrows \coprod_{(i_0, i_1) \in \mathcal{J}^2} (\rho_{i_0, i_1})_* (\rho_{i_0, i_1})^* \mathcal{A} \rightrightarrows \dots \coprod_{I \in \mathcal{J}^{k+1}} (\rho_I)_* (\rho_I)^* \mathcal{A} \right)$$

on X . Its global sections $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\bullet}(X)$ form a cosimplicial algebra.

The (sheaf version) Čech complex $\mathcal{C}^*(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{A}) := \text{Tot}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\bullet})$ (or simply $\mathcal{C}^*(\mathcal{A})$) of the cosimplicial sheaf of algebras $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\bullet}$ gives a resolution of \mathcal{A} . We denote by $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ its global sections which form a differential graded algebra. However if \mathcal{A} were commutative, the Čech resolution $\mathcal{C}^*(\mathcal{A})$ is in general not commutative. For purposes of this paper we need to consider commutative (or Lie) resolution of sheaves of commutative (Lie respectively) algebras. Since the Čech resolution does not respect the symmetric monoidal structure, we need to use a better resolution.

4.1.1 Thom-Whitney complex

We now describe a symmetric monoidal functor TW from cosimplicial cochain complexes to cochain complexes. We refer to the Appendix in [9] for a more detailed discussion. Given a cosimplicial cochain complex $V^\bullet = V^0 \rightrightarrows V^1 \rightrightarrows V^2 \cdots$, we define a cochain complex $\text{TW}(V^\bullet)$ as follows. Denote by

$$d^{n,k} : V^{n-1} \rightarrow V^n$$

the structure maps of V^\bullet for $n \geq 1$, and $0 \leq k \leq n$. Denote by $\Omega_{\Delta^n}^*$ algebraic differential forms on the n -simplex. More precisely, this is the differential graded commutative algebra generated by degree zero variables t_0, \dots, t_n and degree one variables dt_0, \dots, dt_n with relations:

$$\Omega_{\Delta^n}^* := k[t_0, \dots, t_n, dt_0, \dots, dt_n] / \langle t_0 + \dots + t_n = 1, dt_0 + \dots + dt_n = 0 \rangle.$$

Its differential is defined by $d(t_i) = dt_i$ and $d(dt_i) = 0$ on generators. The collection $\{\Omega_{\Delta^n}^*\}_{n=0}^\infty$ form a simplicial ring whose structure map

$$\partial_{n,k} : \Omega_{\Delta^n}^* \rightarrow \Omega_{\Delta^{n-1}}^*$$

is the pull-back map induced by the k -th ($0 \leq k \leq n$) inclusion map $\Delta^{n-1} \hookrightarrow \Delta^n$ of standard simplices. The cochain complex $\text{TW}(V^\bullet)$ is defined to be the sub-complex of the product

$$\prod_{n=0}^\infty V^n \otimes \Omega_{\Delta^n}^*,$$

consisting of elements of the form $\prod_{n=0}^\infty x_n$ such that

$$(d^{n+1,k} \otimes \text{id})x_n = (\text{id} \otimes \partial_{n+1,k})x_{n+1} \quad \forall n \geq 0, 0 \leq k \leq n+1.$$

In short, the cochain complex $\text{TW}(V^\bullet)$ is simply the equalizer

$$\text{TW}(V^\bullet) = \text{eq} \left(\prod_n V^n \otimes \Omega_{\Delta^n}^* \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\varphi_* \otimes \text{id}} \\ \xleftarrow{\text{id} \otimes \varphi^*} \end{array} \prod_{\varphi \in \Delta([k],[l])} V^k \otimes \Omega_{\Delta^l}^* \right).$$

The cochain complex $\text{TW}(V^\bullet)$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\text{Tot}(V^\bullet)$. In fact there is a homotopy retraction between the two complexes

$$\begin{aligned} I : \text{Tot}(V^\bullet) &\rightarrow \text{TW}(V^\bullet) \\ P : \text{TW}(V^\bullet) &\rightarrow \text{Tot}(V^\bullet) \\ H : \text{TW}(V^\bullet) &\rightarrow \text{TW}(V^\bullet) \end{aligned}$$

where the maps I , P and H are given by explicit formulas.

The functor TW provides a nice (i.e. functorial) way of describing homotopy limits of cosimplicial diagrams within the category of cochain complexes. Being symmetric monoidal it sends commutative, Lie and associative cosimplicial dg-algebras to commutative, Lie and associative dg-algebras, respectively. It also sends cosimplicial dg-modules (over a cosimplicial dg-algebra) to dg-modules,

and preserves the tensor product of those (because TW commutes with finite colimits, and thus with push-outs). Observe that TW extends to complete topological cochain complexes, with the completed tensor product $\hat{\otimes}$ as monoidal product.

Given a sheaf of algebras \mathcal{A} and an open cover \mathcal{U} , we have a cosimplicial sheaf of algebras $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\bullet}$ as described above. Applying the Thom-Whitney functor (in the category of sheaves) we get a quasi-isomorphism of sheaves of differential graded algebras

$$\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^*(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{A}) = \text{Tot}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\bullet}) \xrightarrow{\text{I}} \text{TW}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\bullet}).$$

This provides a flasque resolution of \mathcal{A} as soon as \mathcal{A} is flasque on every open subset \mathcal{U}_{i_0} . In the following we use the notation $\mathcal{C}_{\text{TW}}^*(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{A})$ (or simply $\mathcal{C}_{\text{TW}}^*(\mathcal{A})$) to denote the Thom-Whitney resolution. Its global sections will be denoted by $\mathcal{C}_{\text{TW}}^*(\mathcal{A})$. Due to the monoidal properties of the functor TW , the resolution $\mathcal{C}_{\text{TW}}^*(\mathcal{A})$ is commutative (or Lie) whenever \mathcal{A} is.

4.1.2 The Totalization functor

We will need to describe another kind of homotopy limit. Namely, there is a functor Tot ⁷ from cosimplicial simplicial sets to simplicial sets defined, on a given cosimplicial simplicial set X^{\bullet} , by

$$\text{Tot}(X^{\bullet}) := \text{eq} \left(\prod_n (X^n)^{\Delta^n} \xrightleftharpoons[\varphi^*]{\varphi_*} \prod_{\varphi \in \Delta([k], [l])} (X^k)^{\Delta^l} \right).$$

Notice that $(X^k)^{\Delta^l} = X_l^k$, where we put the simplicial degree in the lower index. The functor Tot provides a nice way of describing homotopy limits of cosimplicial diagrams within the category of simplicial sets.

4.2 Maurer-Cartan elements versus non-abelian 1-cocycles

We shall define two groupoids naturally associated to a cosimplicial pronilpotent Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}^{\bullet} . Recall the Maurer-Cartan set $\text{MC}(\mathfrak{g})$ of a pronilpotent differential graded Lie algebra is defined by

$$\text{MC}(\mathfrak{g}) := \left\{ \theta \in \mathfrak{g}_1 \mid d\theta + \frac{1}{2}[\theta, \theta] = 0 \right\}.$$

A degree zero element $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ acts on the set $\text{MC}(\mathfrak{g})$ by

$$\theta \mapsto e^{\mathfrak{a}} * \theta := e^{\text{ad}(\mathfrak{a})}(\theta) - \frac{e^{\text{ad}(\mathfrak{a})} - 1}{\text{ad}(\mathfrak{a})}(\text{d}\mathfrak{a}).$$

The Deligne groupoid $\text{Del}(\mathfrak{g})$ of \mathfrak{g} is the action groupoid associated to this action. We define the Deligne groupoid of a cosimplicial pronilpotent Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}^{\bullet} to be $\text{Del}(\text{TW}(\mathfrak{g}^{\bullet}))$.

The second groupoid $Z^1(\exp(\mathfrak{g}^{\bullet}))$ associated to \mathfrak{g}^{\bullet} is defined as follows. We denote by $G^{\bullet} := \exp(\mathfrak{g}^{\bullet})$ the cosimplicial pronilpotent group associated to \mathfrak{g}^{\bullet} ⁸. The set of objects of $Z^1(\exp(\mathfrak{g}^{\bullet}))$ is the set of

⁷We used the same notation Tot when forming total complexes, but this should not cause any confusion.

⁸As a set G is \mathfrak{g} and the group structure is given by the Campbell-Hausdorff formula.

nonabelian 1-cocycles, i.e. $T \in \mathfrak{g}^1$ such that $e^{\partial_0(T)} e^{-\partial_1(T)} e^{\partial_2(T)} = \text{id}$. An element $a \in G^0$ acts on the set of nonabelian 1-cocycles by

$$e^T \mapsto e^{-\partial_1(a)} e^T e^{\partial_0(a)}.$$

We define $Z^1(\exp(\mathfrak{g}^\bullet))$ to be the groupoid associated to this action.

Theorem 4.1. *Let \mathfrak{g}^\bullet be a cosimplicial pronilpotent Lie algebra and $G^\bullet := \exp(\mathfrak{g}^\bullet)$ the corresponding cosimplicial \mathbf{k} -prounipotent group. Then there is an equivalence*

$$\text{Del}(\text{TW}(\mathfrak{g}^\bullet)) \cong Z^1(\exp(\mathfrak{g}^\bullet)).$$

Proof. We start with some recollection of homotopy theory. We temporarily forget about the cosimplicial structure and let \mathfrak{g} be a pronilpotent Lie algebra, and $G := \exp(\mathfrak{g})$. We consider the two following simplicial sets: the nerve $N(G) := (\cdots G^2 \rightrightarrows G \rightrightarrows *)$ of the group G and the simplicial set $\text{MC}_\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$ defined by

$$\text{MC}_\bullet(\mathfrak{g}) := \text{MC}(\Omega_{\Delta^\bullet} \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{g}) = \left\{ \theta \in \Omega_{\Delta^\bullet}^1 \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{g} \mid d_{\text{dR}}(\theta) + \frac{1}{2}[\theta, \theta] = 0 \right\}.$$

Lemma 4.2 (Folklore). *$N(G)$ is weakly equivalent to $\text{MC}_\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$.*

Sketch of proof. We construct an explicit map $e : \text{MC}_\bullet(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow N(G)$. Any $\theta \in \text{MC}_n(\mathfrak{g})$ determines a flat connection $\nabla_\theta := d_{\text{dR}} + \theta$ on the trivial G -bundle over Δ^n . We then define an element $e(\theta) \in G^n$ as follows: recalling that the vertices of Δ^n are labelled by $\{0, \dots, n\}$, we define $e(\theta)_i$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, to be the holonomy of ∇_θ along the segment joining $i-1$ to i . It turns out that e induces a weak equivalence $\text{MC}_\bullet(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow N(G)$. \square

Now let \mathfrak{g}^\bullet be a cosimplicial pronilpotent Lie algebra, so that $G^\bullet = \exp(\mathfrak{g}^\bullet)$ is a cosimplicial group.

Lemma 4.3. *There is a natural weak equivalence*

$$\text{Tot}(\text{MC}_\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^\bullet)) \cong \text{MC}_\bullet(\text{TW}(\mathfrak{g}^\bullet)).$$

Sketch of proof. One knows that this is true for nilpotent Lie algebras after [10, Theorem 4.1]. The pronilpotent case comes from the fact that the functor MC commutes with limits, as well as small limits mutually commute. \square

The above lemma, together with the fact that $\pi_{\leq 1}(\text{Tot}(N(G^\bullet))) = Z^1(G^\bullet)$, give the result. \square

4.3 Deformations of sheaves of algebras

Back to the geometric situation, we consider the cosimplicial pronilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^\bullet = T_{\mathcal{A}}^{+\bullet}(X)$. Its corresponding cosimplicial prounipotent Lie group G^\bullet which governs the (positive) deformation theory of the sheaf \mathcal{A} . Indeed objects of $Z^1(G^\bullet)$ are non-abelian 1-cocycles, i.e. a collection $\{\Phi_{i_0 i_1}\}$ such that $\Phi_{i_0 i_1} \in \text{Aut}^+(\mathcal{A})|_{\mathcal{U}_{i_0 i_1}}$ and on $\mathcal{U}_{i_0 i_1 i_2}$ we have

$$\Phi_{i_2 i_0} \circ \Phi_{i_1 i_2} \circ \Phi_{i_0 i_1} = \text{id}.$$

Two such deformations are equivalent if one can be transformed to the other by an element $(\Psi_{i_0})_{i_0 \in \mathcal{I}}$ of the non-abelian Čech 0-cochains acting on non-abelian 1-cocycles by

$$(\Phi_{i_0 i_1}) \mapsto (\Psi_{i_1}^{-1} \circ \Phi_{i_0 i_1} \circ \Psi_{i_0}).$$

Thus Theorem 4.1 implies the following corollary.

Corollary 4.4. *There is an equivalence of groupoid*

$$\text{Del}(C_{\text{TW}}^*(T_{\mathcal{A}}^+)) \cong Z^1(\exp(T_{\mathcal{A}}^{+\bullet}(X)).$$

4.4 Deformation of Thom-Whitney resolutions

Let $\{\Phi_{i_0 i_1} = \exp(T_{i_0 i_1})\}$ be a non-abelian 1-cocycle in G^1 . Using $\Phi_{i_0 i_1}$'s to glue local pieces $\mathcal{A}|_{U_{i_0}}$ we get another sheaf of algebras which is locally isomorphic to \mathcal{A} , but not globally. We denote this new sheaf of algebras by \mathcal{B} . Since the sheaf $\mathcal{C}_{\text{TW}}^*(\mathcal{A})$ is a resolution of \mathcal{A} , it is natural to ask how to deform this resolution to give a resolution of \mathcal{B} .

By Corollary 4.4, there is a Maurer-Cartan element θ in the differential graded Lie algebra $C_{\text{TW}}^*(T_{\mathcal{A}}^+)$ corresponding to the non-abelian 1-cocycle Φ . This element may be considered as an operator Q acting on $C_{\text{TW}}^*(\mathcal{A})$ thanks to the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.5. *There is a morphism of differential graded Lie algebras*

$$\alpha : C_{\text{TW}}^*(T_{\mathcal{A}}^+) \rightarrow \text{Der}(C_{\text{TW}}^*(\mathcal{A}), C_{\text{TW}}^*(\mathcal{A})).$$

Proof. Using that $\text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ acts on \mathcal{A} together with the monoidal structure of TW , we get a cochain map $C_{\text{TW}}^*(T_{\mathcal{A}}^+) \otimes C_{\text{TW}}^*(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow C_{\text{TW}}^*(\mathcal{A})$, which leads to $\alpha : C_{\text{TW}}^*(T_{\mathcal{A}}^+) \rightarrow \text{End}(C_{\text{TW}}^*(\mathcal{A}))$. It is a straightforward computation to check that α is a Lie algebra map and that its image lies in derivations. \square

We denote by $Q := \alpha(\theta)$ the operator associated to θ corresponding to the non-abelian 1-cocycle Φ . Thus Q is a Maurer-Cartan element of the differential graded Lie algebra $\text{Der}(C_{\text{TW}}^*(\mathcal{A}))$, which implies that we can deform the differential d on $C_{\text{TW}}^*(\mathcal{A})$ to $d + Q$. Similarly, on the level of sheaves, we get a deformation of $\mathcal{C}_{\text{TW}}^*(\mathcal{A})$ whose differential will again be denoted by $d + Q$.

Theorem 4.6. *There is a quasi-isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{B} \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}_{\text{TW}}^*(\mathcal{A}), d + Q)$$

of sheaves of differential graded algebras.

Proof. For each $i \in \mathcal{I}$, we first show that the cohomology of $(\mathcal{C}_{\text{TW}}^*(\mathcal{A}), d + Q)|_{U_i}$ is quasi-isomorphic to \mathcal{A}_i . For this we apply Corollary 4.4 on U_i using the covering $\{U_i \cap U_{i_0}\}_{i_0 \in \mathcal{J}}$. Observe that the 1-cocycle $\Phi|_{U_i}$ is isomorphic to the trivial cocycle by the gauge transformation defined by the degree 0 element $\{\Phi_{i i_0}\}_{i_0 \in \mathcal{J}}$. By Corollary 4.4 we conclude that the Maurer-Cartan section θ corresponding to Φ , when restricted to U_i , is gauge equivalent to 0 via a unique element $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{TW}}^0(T_{\mathcal{A}}^+)(U_i)$ (corresponding to the gauge transformation $\{\Phi_{i i_0}\}_{i_0 \in \mathcal{J}}$) such that

$$e^{\alpha_i} * (0) = \theta|_{U_i}.$$

Moreover the degree zero Thom-Whitney cochain \mathbf{a}_i is of the form $(\prod_{i_0 \in \mathcal{J}} T_{ii_0}, \dots)$. This latter assertion follows from the proof of [10, Theorem 4.1]. Via the representation α we get an isomorphism of complexes of sheaves

$$(\mathcal{C}_{\text{TW}}^*(\mathcal{A}), \mathbf{d})|_{\mathcal{U}_i} \xrightarrow{\alpha(e^{\mathbf{a}_i})} (\mathcal{C}_{\text{TW}}^*(\mathcal{A}), \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{Q})|_{\mathcal{U}_i}.$$

The complex on the left hand side is quasi-isomorphic to \mathcal{A}_i via composition

$$\mathcal{A}_i \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^*(\mathcal{A})|_{\mathcal{U}_i} \xrightarrow{I} (\mathcal{C}_{\text{TW}}^*(\mathcal{A}), \mathbf{d})|_{\mathcal{U}_i}.$$

Let \mathcal{U}_i and \mathcal{U}_j be two open subsets in the covering \mathcal{U} . we consider the following diagram of maps on the intersection \mathcal{U}_{ij}

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{A}|_{\mathcal{U}_{ij}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}^*(\mathcal{A})|_{\mathcal{U}_{ij}} & \xrightarrow{I} & (\mathcal{C}_{\text{TW}}^*(\mathcal{A}), \mathbf{d})|_{\mathcal{U}_{ij}} & \xrightarrow{\alpha(e^{\mathbf{a}_i})} & (\mathcal{C}_{\text{TW}}^*(\mathcal{A}), \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{Q})|_{\mathcal{U}_{ij}} \\ & & & & & & \text{id} \downarrow \\ \mathcal{A}|_{\mathcal{U}_{ij}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}^*(\mathcal{A})|_{\mathcal{U}_{ij}} & \xleftarrow{P} & (\mathcal{C}_{\text{TW}}^*(\mathcal{A}), \mathbf{d})|_{\mathcal{U}_{ij}} & \xleftarrow{\alpha(e^{-\mathbf{a}_j})} & (\mathcal{C}_{\text{TW}}^*(\mathcal{A}), \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{Q})|_{\mathcal{U}_{ij}}. \end{array}$$

We can use this diagram to calculate the induced gluing map on the cohomology of the sheaf $(\mathcal{C}_{\text{TW}}^*(\mathcal{A}), \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{Q})$. Using explicit formulas for I , P , and the fact that \mathbf{a}_i is of the form $(\prod_{i_0 \in \mathcal{J}} T_{ii_0}, \dots)$, we conclude that this gluing map is Φ_{ij} . The proof is complete. \square

5 Obstructions

In Section 2 we see that the resolution $T_{\tilde{X}/Y}$ of $N[-1]$ carries a differential graded Lie algebroid structure. In this section, we present a second construction of certain Lie algebroid structure on $N[-1]$ associated to a closed embedding $i : X \hookrightarrow Y$ of smooth algebraic varieties. In spirit this construction might be thought of as obtained from the one of Section 2 by applying homological transfer technique. Indeed we shall end up with a strong homotopy Lie (or L_∞ -) algebroid structure on $N[-1]$.

5.1 $X_Y^{(\infty)}$ versus $X_N^{(\infty)}$

Let k be either a positive integer or ∞ , and denote by $X_Y^{(k)}$ the k -th infinitesimal neighbourhood of X into Y , where by convention $X_Y^{(\infty)} := \varinjlim X_Y^{(k)}$. Similarly, we have $X_N^{(\infty)}$, the formal neighborhood of X in the normal bundle. We borrow the notations from Subsection 2.3. Notice in particular that $\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Y/\mathcal{J}$ and $N^\vee = \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^2$.

Since the underlying topological space of the formal scheme $X_Y^{(\infty)}$ is just X , its structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X^{(\infty)}$ gives a sheaf of commutative algebras on X (which is not necessarily an \mathcal{O}_X -algebra, or not even an \mathcal{O}_X -module). Similarly, the structure sheaf of the formal scheme $X_N^{(\infty)}$ gives another sheaf of commutative algebras on X which is just $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(N^\vee)$. This latter algebra is canonically an \mathcal{O}_X -algebra via the splitting map $X_N^{(\infty)} \rightarrow N \rightarrow X$.

We would like to compare the two sheaves of algebras $\mathcal{O}_X^{(\infty)}$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathbf{N}^\vee)$. The first algebra $\mathcal{O}_X^{(\infty)}$ is simply the \mathcal{J} -adic completion of \mathcal{O}_Y . Namely, there is a decreasing filtration on \mathcal{O}_Y given by

$$\mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{J} \supset \mathcal{J} \supset \mathcal{J}^2 \supset \mathcal{J}^3 \supset \dots.$$

The sheaf of algebras $\mathcal{O}_X^{(\infty)}$ is, by definition, the inverse limit $\varprojlim \mathcal{O}_Y/\mathcal{J}^k$. On the other hand, the direct product of the associated graded components

$$\prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{J}^k/\mathcal{J}^{k+1}$$

inherits another commutative algebra structure from \mathcal{O}_Y . Furthermore, by the smoothness of X and Y , it is well-known that we have a canonical isomorphism of algebras

$$\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathbf{N}^\vee) \cong \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{J}^k/\mathcal{J}^{k+1}. \quad (5.1)$$

Lemma 5.1. *Assume that $\Phi : \mathcal{O}_X^{(\infty)} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathbf{N}^\vee)$ is a filtered isomorphism of sheaves of algebras. Then the associated graded homomorphism of Φ is given by 5.1 if and only if $\mathrm{gr}^{\leq 1} \Phi$ is the canonical isomorphism $\mathrm{gr}(\mathcal{O}_X^{(1)}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathbf{N}^\vee$.*

Proof. This follows from that as an algebra, $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathbf{N}^\vee)$ is generated by \mathcal{O}_X and \mathbf{N}^\vee . \square

5.1.1 Affine case

Lemma 5.2. *Assume that $Y = \mathrm{Spec} R$ and $X = \mathrm{Spec} R/I$ are two smooth affine spaces. Then there exists a filtered algebra isomorphism*

$$\Phi : \mathcal{O}_X^{(\infty)} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathbf{N}^\vee)$$

which, after taking the associated graded operation, agrees with the canonical isomorphism 5.1.

Proof. First, we prove there exists an algebra splitting of the canonical quotient map $\mathcal{O}_X^{(\infty)} = \varprojlim \mathcal{O}_Y/\mathcal{J}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y/\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{O}_X$. Under the affineness condition, this is equivalent to construct a splitting

$$s : R/I \rightarrow \varprojlim R/I^k.$$

For $k = 1$, there is the identity morphism $s_1 : R/I \rightarrow R/I$. In general, we consider the lifting problem

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & R/I^{k+1} \\ & \nearrow s_{k+1} & \downarrow \\ R/I & \xrightarrow{s_k} & R/I^k \end{array}$$

Since the kernel of the vertical map is I^k/I^{k+1} which squares to zero, by Grothendieck's formal smoothness criterion, we conclude the required lifting s_{k+1} exists. Taking inverse limit $s = \varprojlim s_k$ gives the splitting we wanted.

Now let \widehat{I} be the I -adic completion of itself in the algebra $\widehat{R} = \varprojlim R/I^k$. Via the splitting s , the algebra \widehat{R} is endowed with a R/I -modules structure, which implies that \widehat{I} also has a R/I -module structure. The canonical surjection

$$\widehat{I} = \varprojlim I/I^k \rightarrow I/I^2 \rightarrow 0$$

also admits a splitting as R/I -modules because I/I^2 is locally free, hence projective R/I -module. Let $t : I/I^2 \rightarrow \widehat{I}$ be such a splitting. By the universal property of symmetric algebras, we get an algebra homomorphism

$$\Psi : \widehat{S}_{R/I}(I/I^2) \rightarrow \widehat{R}.$$

Passing to associated graded proves that Ψ is an isomorphism.

Finally, setting $\Phi = \Psi^{-1}$ proves the lemma. Note that by Lemma 5.1, the splitting properties of s and t imply that the associated graded homomorphism of Φ is just 5.1. \square

5.1.2 Back the general case

In the general situation of a closed embedding $i : X \hookrightarrow Y$ of smooth projective varieties. Let U_{i_0} be an affine open subset of X . By Lemma 5.2, there exists an algebra isomorphism

$$\Phi_{i_0} : \mathcal{O}_X^{(\infty)}|_{U_{i_0}} \longrightarrow \widehat{S}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(N^\vee)|_{U_{i_0}}$$

whose associated graded morphism is the canonical isomorphism 5.1.

Let U_{i_0} and U_{i_1} be two such open subsets, and denote by Φ_{i_0} and Φ_{i_1} the associated isomorphisms. On the intersection $U_{i_0 i_1}$, we get an automorphism

$$\Phi_{i_0 i_1} := \Phi_{i_1} \circ \Phi_{i_0}^{-1} : \widehat{S}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(N^\vee)|_{U_{i_0 i_1}} \longrightarrow \widehat{S}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(N^\vee)|_{U_{i_0 i_1}}$$

It moreover has the property that $\text{gr}^{\leq 1}(\Phi_{i_0 i_1})$, which is an automorphism of $\mathcal{O}_X \oplus N^\vee$, is the identity.

Let $\mathcal{U} := \{U_{i_0}\}_{i_0 \in \mathcal{I}}$ be an open affine covering of X , so that we have local trivializations Φ_{i_0} ($i_0 \in \mathcal{I}$). Then the collection of isomorphisms $\{\Phi_{i_0 i_1}\}_{(i_0, i_1) \in \mathcal{I}^2}$ forms a non-abelian 1-cocycle of the sheaf of groups $\text{Aut}^+(\widehat{S}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(N^\vee))$ consisting of filtered automorphisms Φ of $\widehat{S}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(N^\vee)$ such that $\text{gr}^{\leq 1}(\Phi) = \text{id}$.

Let us denote by $\text{Der}^+(\widehat{S}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(N^\vee))$ the sheaf of pronilpotent Lie algebras consisting of continuous derivations θ of $\widehat{S}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(N^\vee)$ satisfying $\text{gr}^{\leq 1}(\theta) = 0$. The exponential map

$$\exp : \text{Der}^+(\widehat{S}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(N^\vee)) \longrightarrow \text{Aut}^+(\widehat{S}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(N^\vee))$$

is an isomorphism.

5.2 Homotopy Lie algebroid structure on $N[-1]$

Let X be a topological space, and let \mathcal{U} be an open covering of X . For a sheaf of abelian groups F on X , we denote by $C_{TW}^*(F)$ the Thom-Whitney resolution of F associated to the covering \mathcal{U} . Basic properties of the functor C_{TW}^* are recalled in the Section 4.

Definition 5.3. Let E be a finitely generated locally free sheaf on a smooth algebraic variety X . An L_∞ -algebroid structure on $E[-1]$ is a \mathbf{k} -linear filtered derivation Q of degree one on the differential graded algebra $\hat{\mathcal{S}}_{C_{TW}^*(\mathcal{O}_X)}(C_{TW}^*(E^\vee))$ such that $(d_{TW} + Q)^2 = 0$, where d_{TW} is the original differential.

It is called *minimal* if $\text{gr}^{\leq 1}(Q) = 0$.

In other words, an L_∞ -algebroid structure is a Maurer-Cartan element Q in $\text{Der}\left(\hat{\mathcal{S}}_{C_{TW}^*(\mathcal{O}_X)}(C_{TW}^*(E^\vee))\right)$. In the following we shall only consider minimal L_∞ -algebroids which are Maurer-Cartan elements in $\text{Der}^+\left(\hat{\mathcal{S}}_{C_{TW}^*(\mathcal{O}_X)}(C_{TW}^*(E^\vee))\right)$.

Since Q is a \mathbf{k} -linear derivation, it is uniquely determined by its restriction to the subspaces $C_{TW}^*(\mathcal{O}_X)$ and $C_{TW}^*(E^\vee)$. On these subspaces Q is the direct product of the following maps

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k : C_{TW}^*(\mathcal{O}_X) &\rightarrow (C_{TW}^*(E^\vee))^k \quad \text{and} \\ l_k : C_{TW}^*(E^\vee) &\rightarrow (C_{TW}^*(E^\vee))^k. \end{aligned}$$

These maps are both of homological degree one. Just like l'_k 's may be thought of as higher Lie brackets, the maps α'_k 's may be viewed as the homotopy version of the anchor map. The minimality condition implies that $\alpha_0 = 0$, $l_0 = l_1 = 0$. Thus they induce an actual Lie algebroid structure on the pair $(\mathcal{O}_X, E[-1])$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ ⁹. The equation $(d_{TW} + Q)^2 = 0$ implies a system of quadratic relations among α_k and l_k 's. The first few of them are

$$\begin{aligned} [d_{TW}, \alpha_1] &= 0 \\ [d_{TW}, \alpha_2] + l_2 \circ \alpha_1 &= 0 \\ [d_{TW}, l_2] &= 0 \\ [d_{TW}, l_3] + (l_2 \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes l_2) \circ l_2 &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

The first and the third equations imply that both α_1 and l_2 are cochain morphisms.

We also observe that there are more structure relations due to the fact that Q is a derivation. This gives, for each $k \geq 1$, the equation

$$[l_k, e(f)] = e(\alpha_{k-1}(f)) \tag{5.3}$$

where $e(-)$ is the operator given by multiplication by $-$. The following lemma is a direct consequence of the above identities.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $E[-1]$ be a L_∞ Lie algebroid over X such that α_1 and α_2 vanish. Then $E[-1]$ is a Lie algebra object in the symmetric monoidal category $\mathbf{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$.*

⁹It coincides with the one induced from $T_{\bar{X}/Y}$.

Proof. By the equation $[l_2, e(f)] = e(a_1(f))$, we see that the vanishing of a_1 implies that l_2 is $\mathcal{C}_{\text{TW}}^*(\mathcal{O}_X)$ -linear. Thus the dual of the map l_2 gives rise to a morphism

$$\Lambda^2(E[-1]) \rightarrow E[-1]$$

in $\mathbf{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$. Next we prove that $a_2 = 0$ implies that this morphism satisfies the Jacobi identity. Indeed, the vanishing of a_2 implies that

$$[l_3, e(f)] = e(a_2(f)) = 0,$$

which proves that l_3 is also $\mathcal{C}_{\text{TW}}^*(\mathcal{O}_X)$ -linear. By the fourth equation in 5.2, this furthermore implies the Jacobi identity. \square

Later we will be able to give a geometric interpretation for the vanishing of a_1 and a_2 in the case of $N[-1]$ associated to $i : X \hookrightarrow Y$, see Proposition 5.8.

Proposition 5.5. *Let $i : X \hookrightarrow Y$ be a closed embedding of smooth algebraic varieties. Then there is a minimal L_∞ -algebroid structure on $N[-1]$ such that its Chevalley-Eilenberg algebras is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_X^{(\infty)}$.*

Proof. We apply Theorem 4.6 in the case $\mathcal{A} = \hat{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{O}_X} N^\vee$, $T_{\mathcal{A}}^+ = \text{Der}^+(\hat{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{O}_X} N^\vee)$, $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{O}_X^{(\infty)}$, and the 1-cocycle Φ constructed in the previous subsection. \square

Remark 5.6. The cocycles a_1 associated to the L_∞ -algebroid structure on $N[-1]$ defines a cohomology class

$$[a_1] \in \text{Ext}^1(\Omega_X, N^\vee) = \text{Ext}^1(N, T_X).$$

Geometrically, the class $[a_1]$ corresponds to the extension class of the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T_X \rightarrow T_Y|_X \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0.$$

Assuming that $a_1 = 0$, by equation 5.3 we deduce that l_2 defines a class

$$[l_2] \in \text{Ext}^1(N^\vee, S^2 N^\vee) = \text{Ext}^1(S^2 N, N).$$

This class is given by the extension class of the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^2/\mathcal{J}^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that since $a_1 = 0$, the tangent sequence above splits, which is equivalent to an algebra splitting $s_1 : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{(1)}$ of the first order neighborhood. Via this splitting, the sheaf $\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^3$, a priori an $\mathcal{O}_X^{(1)}$ -module, maybe viewed as an \mathcal{O}_X -module. Alternatively, fixing a splitting of the tangent sequence, we get an isomorphism

$$T_Y|_X \cong T_X \oplus N.$$

The extension class $[l_2]$ is simply the normal component of the Atiyah class of T_Y pulled back to X .

5.3 Obstructions

The structure maps of the L_∞ algebroid $N[-1]$ can be used to describe various cohomology obstructions when comparing $X_N^{(k)}$ with $X_Y^{(k)}$. Let us set

$$\mathcal{F} := \left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathcal{C}_{\text{TW}}^*(\mathcal{O}_X)}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{TW}}^*(N^\vee)), d_{\text{TW}} + Q \right)$$

the Chevalley-Eilenberg algebra of $N[-1]$ defined in Proposition 5.5. Observe that since $\text{gr}(\Phi_{i_0 i_1}) = 0$, the operator Q preserves the augmentation ideal \mathcal{F}^+ consisting of symmetric tensors of degree at least 1. Thus \mathcal{F}^+ is a differential ideal in \mathcal{F} . We define a quotient differential graded algebra $\mathcal{F}^{(k)} := \mathcal{F}/(\mathcal{F}^+)^{k+1}$ for each $k \geq 0$. By Theorem 4.6 we have a quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_{X/Y}^{(k)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{(k)}.$$

5.3.1 Splittings of $X \hookrightarrow X_Y^{(k)}$

Let $s_k : X_Y^{(k)} \rightarrow X$ be a splitting of the embedding $X \hookrightarrow X_Y^{(k)}$. We again use $s_k : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{(k)}$ to denote the corresponding morphism on rings. In this case when forming the cocycle Φ we can further require that Φ_{i_0} to fill the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_X^{(k)}|_{\mathcal{U}_{i_0}} & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{i_0}^{(k)}} & \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{\leq k} N^\vee|_{\mathcal{U}_{i_0}} \\ s_k \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{O}_X|_{\mathcal{U}_{i_0}} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{O}_X|_{\mathcal{U}_{i_0}} \end{array}$$

where the right vertical arrow is the obvious inclusion map. This restriction implies that the corresponding derivations $T_{i_0 i_1}$ is contained in the subset of $\text{Der}^+(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathcal{O}_X} N^\vee)$ consisting of derivations such that the component

$$\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^i N^\vee$$

vanishes for all $0 \leq i \leq k$. Observe that this is a Lie subalgebra due to the minimality condition. We shall call such a 1-cocycle Φ compatible with s_k .

If Φ is a 1-cocycle compatible with s_k , then we have a corresponding homotopy L_∞ algebra structure on $N[-1]$. Recall from Lemma 4.5 that the operator Q is the image of a Maurer-Cartan element θ under the morphism

$$\alpha : C_{\text{TW}}^* \left(\text{Der}(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathcal{O}_X} N^\vee) \right) \rightarrow \text{Der}(C_{\text{TW}}^*(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathcal{O}_X} N^\vee))$$

defined in *loc. cit.*. Hence the structure maps α_k and l_k , being the component maps of Q , also lies in the image of α . If we denote by $\theta_{0,k}$ and $\theta_{1,k}$ the components of θ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^{0,k} &\in C_{\text{TW}}^* \left(\text{Der}(\mathcal{O}_X, (N^\vee)^k) \right), \quad \text{and} \\ \theta^{1,k} &\in C_{\text{TW}}^* \left(\text{Hom}_{k_X}(N^\vee, (N^\vee)^k) \right), \end{aligned}$$

then we have

$$\alpha_k = \alpha(\theta^{0,k}), \quad \text{and} \quad l_k = \alpha(\theta^{1,k}).$$

Lemma 5.7. *Let $s_k : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{(k)}$ be a splitting, and let Φ be a 1-cocycle compatible with s_k . Let $\alpha_i = \alpha(\theta^{0,i})$ be the associated structure maps in the L_∞ algebroid structure on $N[-1]$. Then we have $\theta^{0,i} = 0$ and $\alpha_i = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq k$. Moreover both α_{k+1} and $\theta^{0,k+1}$ are cocycles.*

Proof. The vanishing of $\theta^{0,i}$ and α_i is clear from the definition. Now let us show that $\theta^{0,k+1}$ is a cocycle. Indeed since θ satisfies the Maurer-Cartan equation, we have

$$[d_{\text{TW}}, \theta^{0,k+1}] + \sum_{i+j=k+2} \theta^{1,j} \circ \theta^{0,i} = 0.$$

Due to minimality condition the sum above is over $j \geq 2$, which in particular implies that $i \leq k$. Hence the sum $\sum_{i+j=k+2} \theta^{1,j} \circ \theta^{0,i}$ vanishes, and so we get

$$[d_{\text{TW}}, \theta^{0,k+1}] = 0.$$

This proves that $\theta^{0,k+1}$ is a cocycle. Since α_{k+1} is the image of $\theta^{0,k+1}$ under the cochain map α , it is also a cocycle. \square

Thus the element $\theta^{0,k+1}$ is a degree one cocycle in the complex $C_{\text{TW}}^*(\text{Der}(\mathcal{O}_X, (N^\vee)^k))$. Its class $[\theta^{0,k+1}]$ is then a class in $\text{Ext}^1(\mathbf{S}^{k+1}N, T_X)$. Similarly the class $[\alpha_{k+1}]$ is in the first cohomology group $H^1(\text{Hom}(C_{\text{TW}}^*(\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{k+1}N), T_{C_{\text{TW}}^*(\mathcal{O}_X)}))$. It is plausible the two cohomology groups are in fact isomorphic, but the authors do not know a proof of this claim.

Proposition 5.8. *Assume that we are in the same setup as Lemma 5.7, then the following are equivalent:*

- (A) *there exists a splitting $s_{k+1} : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{(k+1)}$ lifting s_k ;*
- (B) *the cohomology class $[\theta^{0,k+1}] \in \text{Ext}^1(\mathbf{S}^{k+1}N, T_X)$ vanishes;*
- (C) *the cohomology class $[\alpha_{k+1}] \in H^1(\text{Hom}(C_{\text{TW}}^*(\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{k+1}N), T_{C_{\text{TW}}^*(\mathcal{O}_X)}))$ vanishes.*

Proof. • (A) \Rightarrow (B): Assuming (A), we can choose another 1-cocycle Φ' to be compatible with s_{k+1} , which in particular implies that it is compatible with s_k . Denote by θ' the corresponding Maurer-Cartan element. Since different choices of Φ give rise to gauge equivalent Maurer-Cartan element by Corollary 4.4, there exist a degree zero element η in the differential graded Lie algebra $C_{\text{TW}}^*(\mathfrak{g})$ such that

$$e^\eta * \theta' = \theta$$

where \mathfrak{g} is the Lie subalgebra of $\text{Der}^+(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathcal{O}_X} N^\vee)$ whose $(0, i)$ components vanishes for $0 \leq i \leq k$. Writing out the above equation in the $(0, k+1)$ -component implies that

$$[d_{\text{TW}}, \eta]^{0,k+1} = \theta^{(0,k+1)}.$$

This proves (B).

- (B) \Rightarrow (C): It suffices to note that on the chain level we have $\alpha_{k+1} = \alpha(\theta^{0,k+1})$.

• (C) \Rightarrow (A): Assume that $\alpha_{k+1} = [d_{\text{TW}}, h]$ for some degree zero $h \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{TW}}^*(\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{k+1}\mathbf{N}), \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}_{\text{TW}}^*(\mathcal{O}_X)}^*)$. We define a morphism

$$\tilde{s}_{k+1} : \mathcal{F}^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{(k+1)}$$

by $s_{k+1}(f) := f + h(f)$. Recall that $\mathcal{F}^{(k)}$ is the k -truncation of $\mathcal{F} := (\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathcal{C}_{\text{TW}}^*(\mathcal{O}_X)}^*(\mathcal{C}_{\text{TW}}^*(\mathbf{N}^\vee)), d_{\text{TW}} + Q)$. One checks that \tilde{s}_{k+1} is a morphism of differential graded algebras. Moreover the composition

$$\mathcal{F}^{(0)} \xrightarrow{\tilde{s}_{k+1}} \mathcal{F}^{(k+1)} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{F}^{(k)}$$

is simply $f \mapsto f$. Thus the induced map on cohomology gives a map of algebras

$$s_{k+1} : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{(k+1)}$$

that lifts the splitting map s_k . □

Corollary 5.9. *Let $i : X \rightarrow Y$ be an embedding of smooth algebraic varieties. Assume that there exists a splitting s_2 of the natural morphism $X \hookrightarrow X^{(2)}$. Then $\mathbf{N}[-1]$ is a Lie algebra object in the symmetric monoidal category $\mathbf{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$.*

Proof. This is a consequence of Proposition 5.8 and Lemma 5.4. □

Remark 5.10. The Lie structure on $\mathbf{N}[-1]$ depends on the choice of s_2 .

5.3.2 When is $X_N^{(k)}$ isomorphic to $X_Y^{(k)}$

Let us assume that there is an isomorphism $t_{k-1} : X_N^{(k-1)} \cong X_Y^{(k-1)}$. We would like to understand when t_{k-1} lifts to an isomorphism between $X_N^{(k)}$ and $X_Y^{(k)}$.

First note that the isomorphism t_{k-1} induces a splitting $s_{k-1} : X_Y^{(k-1)} \rightarrow X$. Hence we can use Proposition 5.8 to analyze the lifting of s_{k-1} to a splitting s_k , which should necessarily exist if t_{k-1} lifts. Thus in the following we assume that there is a splitting $s_k : X_Y^{(k)} \rightarrow X$ compatible with t_{k-1} in the sense that the induced splitting from t_{k-1} agrees with that of s_k .

Given a compatible pair (t_{k-1}, s_k) as above, we can require the isomorphisms Φ_{i_0} to be compatible with (t_{k-1}, s_k) in the sense that it is compatible with s_k , and that there is a commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_X^{(k-1)}|_{\mathcal{U}_{i_0}} & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{i_0}^{(k-1)}} & \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{\leq k-1}\mathbf{N}^\vee|_{\mathcal{U}_{i_0}} \\ \uparrow t_{k-1} & & \parallel \\ \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{\leq k-1}\mathbf{N}^\vee|_{\mathcal{U}_{i_0}} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{\leq k-1}\mathbf{N}^\vee|_{\mathcal{U}_{i_0}} \end{array}$$

The corresponding 1-cocycle $\Phi_{i_0 i_1}$ is then called compatible with (t_{k-1}, s_k) .

Lemma 5.11. *Let (t_{k-1}, s_k) be a compatible pair, and let Φ be a compatible 1-cocycle as described above. Then we have $\alpha_i = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq k$, and $\mathbf{l}_i = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq k-1$. Moreover the morphism \mathbf{l}_k is a cocycle.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 5.7. Indeed the vanishing of α_i and l_i follow from definition while the identity $[d_{TW}, l_k] = 0$ follows from the Maurer-Cartan equation $(d_{TW} + Q) = 0$ and the vanishing of lower degree α_i 's and l_i 's. \square

The morphism l_k is a degree one cocycle in the complex $\text{Hom}_{C_{TW}^*(\mathcal{O}_X)}(C_{TW}^*(N^\vee), C_{TW}^*(S_{\mathcal{O}_X}^k N^\vee))$. Since the functor TW is monoidal this complex computes $\text{Ext}_X^*(N^k, N)$.

Proposition 5.12. *Let the setup be the same as in Lemma 5.11. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (A) *the class $[l_k] \in \text{Ext}_X^1(N^k, N)$ vanishes;*
- (B) *the isomorphism t_{k-1} lifts to an isomorphism $t_k : S_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{\leq k} N^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{(k)}$.*

Proof. • (A) \Rightarrow (B): Assume that $l_k = [d_{TW}, h]$ for some degree zero morphism h in the morphism complex $\text{Hom}_{C_{TW}^*(\mathcal{O}_X)}(C_{TW}^*(N^\vee), C_{TW}^*(S_{\mathcal{O}_X}^k N^\vee))$. We can then define a morphism of algebras $\tilde{t}_k : C_{TW}^*(S_{\mathcal{O}_X}^k N^\vee) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{(k)}$ by formula $\text{id} + h$. Notice that this is a morphism of algebras since h has image in S^k . That it also commutes with differential is a computation using Lemma 5.11 and the identity $[d_{TW}, h] = l_k$. Thus the induced map on cohomology defines a required lifting. Note that it lifts t_{k-1} since $\text{id} + h$ modulo S^k is just id .

• (B) \Rightarrow (A): Assuming that there exists such a lifting, then we can choose a different cocycle Φ' such that the corresponding structure maps satisfy $\alpha_i = 0$ and $l_i = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq k$. Same as in the proof of Proposition 5.8, we can use the fact that Φ and Φ' are gauge equivalent to show that l_k is exact. \square

Remark 5.13. Similar obstruction classes as in Proposition 5.8 and Proposition 5.12 were discussed in [1, Proposition 2.2] and [1, Corollary 3.4 & Corollary 3.6] using complex analytic methods. The existence of such classes can be formulated using the language of gerbes and stacks, see for example [14, Section 4]. The observation that all these obstruction classes come from an L_∞ -algebroid structure seems to be new.

References

- [1] M. Abate, F. Bracci, F. Tovena, Embeddings of submanifolds and normal bundles, *Advances in Math.* **220** (2009), 620–656.
- [2] D. Arinkin, A. Căldăraru, When is the self-intersection of a subvariety a fibration?, preprint [arXiv:1007.1671](https://arxiv.org/abs/1007.1671).
- [3] T. Brzezinski, G. Militaru, Bialgebroids, \times_A -bialgebras and duality, *J. Algebra* **251** (2002), no. 1, 279–294.
- [4] A. Buchsbaum, D. Eisenbud, Generic free resolutions and a family of generically perfect ideals, *Advances in Math.* 18 (1975), no. 3, 245–301
- [5] D. Calaque, M. Van den Bergh, Hochschild cohomology and Atiyah classes, *Advances in Math.* **224** (2010), no. 5, 1839–1889.

- [6] I. Ciocan-Fontanine, M. Kapranov, Derived Quot schemes, *Ann. Scient. ENS*, **34** (2001), no. 3, 403–440.
- [7] V. Dolgushev, D. Tamarkin, B. Tsygan, The homotopy Gerstenhaber algebra of Hochschild cochains of a regular algebra is formal, *J. Noncommut. Geom.* **1** (2007), no. 1, 1–25.
- [8] A. Grothendieck, Éléments de géométrie algébrique IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, Quatrième partie. *Publ. Math. IHÉS* **32** (1967), 361 pp.
- [9] D. Fiorenza, M. Manetti, E. Martinengo cosimplicial DGLAs in deformation theory, *Communications in Algebra* Vol. 40, 2012, 2243–2260.
- [10] V. Hinich, Descent of Deligne groupoids, *IMRN* 1997, no. 5, 223–239.
- [11] G. Hochschild, B. Kostant, A. Rosenberg, Differential forms on regular affine algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **102** (1962), 383–408.
- [12] L. Illusie, Complexe cotangent et déformations II, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **283**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972. vii+304 pp.
- [13] M. Kapranov, Rozansky-Witten invariants via Atiyah classes, *Compositio Math.* **115** (1999), no. 1, 71–113.
- [14] M. Kapranov, Noncommutative geometry based on commutator expansions, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 505 (1998), 73118.
- [15] J. Lurie, Derived Algebraic Geometry V: Structured Spaces, preprint available at <http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/DAG-V.pdf>.
- [16] N. Markarian, The Atiyah class, Hochschild cohomology and the Riemann-Roch theorem, preprint [arXiv:math/0610553](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0610553).
- [17] I. Moerdijk, Monads on tensor categories, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **168** (2002), no. 2-3, 189–208.
- [18] G.S. Rinehart, Differential forms on general commutative algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **108** (1963), no. 2, 195–222.
- [19] B. Toën, G. Vezzosi, From HAG to DAG: derived moduli stacks, in *Axiomatic, enriched and motivic homotopy theory*, 173–216, NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem., 131, KluwerAcad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2004.
- [20] B. Toën, G. Vezzosi, Homotopical Algebraic Geometry II: Geometric Stacks and Applications, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **193** (2008), no. 902, x+224 pp.
- [21] A. Yekutieli, The Continuous Hochschild Cochain Complex of a Scheme, *Canadian J. Math* **54** (2002), no. 6, 1319–1337.
- [22] S. Yu, Dolbeault dga of formal neighborhoods and L_∞ -algebroids, preprint available at <http://www.math.psu.edu/yu/papers/DolbeaultDGA.pdf>.