

**ON GRADED IDENTITIES OF BLOCK-TRIANGULAR
MATRICES WITH THE GRADING OF DI
VINCENZO-VASILOVSKY**

LUCIO CENTRONE AND THIAGO CASTILHO DE MELLO

ABSTRACT. The algebra of $n \times n$ matrices over a field F has a natural \mathbb{Z}_n -grading. Its graded identities have been described by Vasilovsky who extended a previous work of Di Vincenzo for the algebra of 2×2 matrices. In this paper we study the graded identities of block-triangular matrices with the grading inherited by the grading of $M_n(F)$. We show that its graded identities follow from the graded identities of $M_n(F)$ and from its monomial identities of degree up to $2n - 2$. In the case of blocks of sizes $n - 1$ and 1 , we give a complete description of its monomial identities, and exhibit a minimal basis for its $T_{\mathbb{Z}_n}$ -ideal.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let F be a field and A be an associative algebra over F . We say that A is a polynomial identity algebra (PI-algebra, for short), if there exists a non-zero polynomial $f(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ in the non-commutative variables of the set $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$, such that f vanishes under any substitution by elements of A . In this case, we say that $f = 0$ is a polynomial identity for A . If A is a finite dimensional algebra of dimension $d < n$, then it satisfies the standard polynomial identity of degree n

$$s_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^\sigma x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)} = 0.$$

In particular, the $n \times n$ matrix algebra over the field F , $M_n(F)$, is PI, since it satisfies the standard polynomial s_{n^2+1} . In fact by the well known theorem of Amitsur-Levitzky, $M_n(F)$ satisfies the identity s_{2n} .

The set of all polynomial identities of a given algebra A , denoted by $T(A)$, is an ideal of $F\langle X \rangle$, the free associative algebra freely generated by X , i.e., the algebra of non-commutative polynomials in the variables of X . Moreover, $T(A)$ is invariant under the image of any endomorphism of $F\langle X \rangle$. An ideal with this property is called a verbal ideal or a T-ideal. Given a set of polynomials $\mathcal{F} \subseteq F\langle X \rangle$ we say that I is the T-ideal generated by \mathcal{F} , if I is the smallest T-ideal containing \mathcal{F} . In this case we say that \mathcal{F} is a basis for I or that the elements of I follow from the elements of \mathcal{F} .

One of the central problems in the PI-theory, known as the Specht's problem, is to determine if there exists a finite basis for the polynomial identities of a given algebra. In characteristic zero, this problem has been completely solved by Kemer [11]. Although the existence of a finite basis is known, it is a very difficult problem to find a finite basis for the T-ideal of a given algebra. If F has characteristic

T. C. de Mello is supported by the FAPESP grant (No. 2012/16838-0).

zero, the polynomials $[[x, y]^2, z]$ and $s_{2n}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ form a basis for the polynomial identities of $M_2(F)$. Nevertheless the problem of finding a finite basis for $T(M_n(F))$, for $n > 2$, is still far to be solved. In light of this it is more useful to study “weaker” polynomial identities such as identities with trace, identities with involution, identities with group actions, and graded identities. The graded identities, for example, played an important role in the solution of the Specht’s problem in the work of Kemer. We recall that the Specht’s problem has been solved positively in the graded case by Aljadeff and Kanel-Belov [1].

In this paper we study the graded identities of block-triangular matrix algebras. If d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m are positive integers, such that $d_1 + \dots + d_m = n$, we denote by $BT(d_1, \dots, d_m; F)$ the subalgebra of the matrix algebra $M_n(F)$ consisting of matrices of the type

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & \cdots & A_{1m} \\ 0 & A_{22} & \cdots & A_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & A_{mm} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $A_{ij} \in M_{d_i \times d_j}(F)$ for each i, j .

The algebra $BT(d_1, \dots, d_m; F)$ is called the algebra of block-triangular matrices of size d_1, \dots, d_m over F . In a similar way, one defines $BT(d_1, \dots, d_m; R)$, of block-triangular matrices with entries from an arbitrary algebra R .

The block-triangular matrices are quite important in the description of the so called *minimal varieties* of algebras with respect to their codimension growth, see [9, 10, 8]. Based on a theorem of Lewin [13], Giambruno and Zaicev [7] have shown that such algebras have the “factoring property”, i.e., their T-ideals factor as the product of the T-ideals of their diagonal blocks.

In a forthcoming paper [2] the authors give sufficient conditions on the graded PI-algebra R such that $BT(d_1, \dots, d_m; R)$ has the factoring property. In [6] Di Vincenzo and La Scala studied the graded identities of the algebra of block-triangular matrices over a field, graded by a finite abelian group G . They showed that if R is block-triangular with diagonal blocks A and B , then R has the factoring property if and only if one between A and B is G -regular. Nevertheless, the G -regularity condition does not cover the following case. Let us consider $M_n(F)$ graded by the Di Vincenzo-Vasilovsky grading and consider the subalgebra of block-triangular matrices, $BT(d_1, \dots, d_m; F)$. In this case $BT(d_1, \dots, d_m; F)$ satisfies monomial identities and does not have the factoring property. Nevertheless, we are still interested in finding a description of monomial identities and a finite basis for the ideal of graded identities of these algebras. Let us consider a block-triangular matrix algebra such that all its diagonal blocks are isomorphic to the base field F , the so called upper-triangular matrix algebra. In [4, 5, 12] we have a complete description of the G -graded polynomial identities for the upper-triangular matrix algebra when the grading group G is finite abelian.

In this paper we show that the graded polynomial identities of a subalgebra of $M_n(F)$ endowed with the grading of Di Vincenzo-Vasilovsky and generated by elementary matrices, follow from the Vasilovsky identities of $M_n(F)$ and from its graded monomial identities. In particular, we show that the monomial identities of $BT(d_1, \dots, d_m; F)$ follow from monomial identities of degree up to $2n - 2$. We also give a complete description of the monomial identities of $BT(n - 1, 1; F)$.

2. GRADED STRUCTURES AND GRADED IDENTITIES OF $M_n(F)$

All fields we refer to are assumed to be of characteristic zero and all algebras we consider are associative and unitary.

Let $(G, \cdot) = \{g_1, \dots, g_r\}$ be any finite group, and let F be a field. If A is an F -algebra, we say that A is a G -graded algebra (or G -algebra) if there are subspaces A^g for each $g \in G$ such that

$$A = \bigoplus_{g \in G} A^g \text{ and } A^g A^h \subseteq A^{gh}.$$

If $0 \neq a \in A^g$ we say that a is *homogeneous of G -degree g* or simply that a has degree g , and we write $\deg(a) = g$.

We define a free object; let $\{X^g \mid g \in G\}$ be a family of disjoint countable sets of indeterminates. We consider $X = \bigcup_{g \in G} X^g$ and we denote by $F\langle X|G \rangle$ the free associative algebra freely generated by X . An indeterminate $x \in X$ is said to be of *homogeneous G -degree g* , written $\deg(x) = g$, if $x \in X^g$. The homogeneous G -degree of a monomial $m = x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_k}$ is defined as $\deg(m) = \deg(x_{i_1}) \cdot \deg(x_{i_2}) \cdots \deg(x_{i_k})$. For every $g \in G$, we denote by $F\langle X|G \rangle^g$ the subspace of $F\langle X|G \rangle$ spanned by all the monomials having homogeneous G -degree g . Notice that $F\langle X|G \rangle^g F\langle X|G \rangle^{g'} \subseteq F\langle X|G \rangle^{gg'}$ for all $g, g' \in G$. Thus

$$F\langle X|G \rangle = \bigoplus_{g \in G} F\langle X|G \rangle^g$$

is a G -graded algebra, called the *the free associative G -graded algebra*. We shall call *G -graded polynomials* or, simply, *graded polynomials* the elements of $F\langle X|G \rangle$. An ideal I of $F\langle X|G \rangle$ is said to be a T_G -ideal if it is invariant under all F -endomorphisms $\varphi : F\langle X|G \rangle \rightarrow F\langle X|G \rangle$ such that $\varphi(F\langle X|G \rangle^g) \subseteq F\langle X|G \rangle^g$ for all $g \in G$. If A is a G -graded algebra, a G -graded polynomial $f(x_1, \dots, x_t)$ is said to be a *graded polynomial identity* of A if $f(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t) = 0$ for all $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t \in \bigcup_{g \in G} A^g$ such that $a_k \in A^{\deg(x_k)}$, $k = 1, \dots, t$. If A has a non-zero graded polynomial identity, we say that A is a *G -graded polynomial identity algebra* (GPI-algebra). We denote by $T_G(A)$ the ideal of all graded polynomial identities of A . We recall that $T_G(A)$ is a T_G -ideal of $F\langle X|G \rangle$. If A is ungraded, i.e., graded by the trivial group, we talk about polynomial identities and T-ideal of A . We recall that if two GPI-algebras A and B satisfy the same graded identities, i.e., $T_G(A) = T_G(B)$, then they satisfy the same identities, i.e., $T(A) = T(B)$.

Given a subset $Y \subseteq X$ one can talk about the least T_G -ideal of $F\langle X|G \rangle$ containing the set Y . Such T_G -ideal will be denoted by $\langle Y \rangle^{T_G}$ and will be called the T_G -ideal generated by Y . We say that an element of $\langle Y \rangle^{T_G}$ is a *consequence* of Y , or simply, it follows from Y . As in the ordinary case, given a G -algebra A one of the main problems in PI-theory is to find a finite set Y such that $T_g(A) = \langle Y \rangle^{T_G}$. Such a Y is called a *basis* for the G -graded polynomial identities of A . We denote by $\mathcal{U}^G(A)$ the factor algebra $\frac{F\langle X|G \rangle}{F\langle X|G \rangle \cap T_G(A)}$ and we shall call it the *relatively free G -algebra of A* .

We consider now a particular \mathbb{Z}_n -grading over $M_n(F)$, the algebra of $n \times n$ matrices with entries from F . For each $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let e_{ij} denote the $n \times n$ matrix whose (i, j) -entry is 1 and all the other entries are 0. These matrices, called *elementary matrices*, form a basis of $M_n(F)$ as a vector space. For each

$t \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, let $M_n^{(t)}$ be the subspace of $M_n := M_n(F)$, spanned by the elementary matrices e_{ij} , such that $j - i \equiv t \pmod{n}$. The component 0 consists of diagonal matrices and the component $t \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ consists of matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{1,t+1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & a_{2,t+2} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{n-t,n} \\ a_{n-t+1,1} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & a_{n,t} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the $a_{i,i+t}$ are elements of F . Then $M_n(F)$ is the direct sum

$$M_n(F) = \bigoplus_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_n} M_n^{(t)}.$$

Since the elementary matrices satisfy

$$e_{ij}e_{kl} = \begin{cases} e_{il} & \text{if } j = k \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq k \end{cases},$$

we have $M_n^{(t)}M_n^{(s)} \subseteq M_n^{(t+s)}$ for each $t, s \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, which means that the decomposition $M_n(F) = \bigoplus_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_n} M_n^{(t)}$ is a \mathbb{Z}_n -grading for $M_n(F)$. The graded identities for $M_n(F)$ have been described by Di Vincenzo for $n = 2$ (see [3]) and by Vasilovsky for arbitrary n (see [14]). The main result of Vasilovsky in [14] is the following.

Theorem 1. *All graded polynomial identities of the \mathbb{Z}_n -graded algebra $M_n(F)$ follow from the graded identities*

$$(1) \quad [x_1, x_2] = 0, \quad \text{if } \deg(x_1) = \deg(x_2) = 0$$

$$(2) \quad x_1x_2x_3 - x_3x_2x_1 = 0, \quad \text{if } \deg(x_1) = -\deg(x_2) = \deg(x_3)$$

We denote by I_n the $T_{\mathbb{Z}_n}$ -ideal generated by the polynomials from (1) and (2). Let $m = x_1 \cdots x_r$ be a monomial of $F\langle X|G \rangle$, then by a *standard substitution* of $m = x_1 \cdots x_r$ we mean a substitution S of the form

$$x_1 \mapsto e_{i_1, j_1}, x_2 \mapsto e_{i_2, j_2}, \dots, x_r \mapsto e_{i_r, j_r},$$

where $\overline{j_s - i_s} = \deg(x_s)$, so that $e_{i_s, j_s} \in M_n^{\deg(x_s)}$, $s \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ and we denote by $m|_S$ the evaluation of m by S . In what follows we shall use the following notation. We denote by m_σ the monomial $\sigma * m$, where $*$ is the standard left action of the symmetric group S_r on multilinear polynomials of total degree r , i.e., $\sigma * (x_1 \cdots x_r) = x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(r)}$.

In the proof of the theorem above, Vasilovsky uses the next lemma.

Lemma 2. *Let $m = x_1 \cdots x_k$ be a graded monomial of total degree k . If σ and $\tau \in S_k$ and there exists a standard substitution S such that $m_\sigma|_S = m_\tau|_S \neq 0$, then*

$$m_\sigma \equiv m_\tau \pmod{I_n}.$$

3. GRADED IDENTITIES FOR BLOCK-TRIANGULAR SUBALGEBRAS OF $M_n(F)$

We consider a graded subalgebra of $M_n(F)$ generated by elementary matrices in order to study its graded identities. In what follows we shall say *monomial identity* for a monomial that is graded polynomial identity.

Theorem 3. *Let A be a graded subalgebra of $M_n(F)$, generated by elementary matrices. Then the graded polynomial identities of A follow from I_n and from the monomial identities of A .*

Proof. Let J_n be the $T_{\mathbb{Z}_n}$ -ideal generated by the graded monomial identities of A and by I_n . Since the characteristic of the field F is zero, it is enough to prove that the multilinear graded identities of A are in J_n . Let $f(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ be a graded multilinear identity of A and let r be the least non-negative integer such that f can be expressed modulo J_n as a linear combination of r multilinear monomials:

$$f \equiv \sum_{q=1}^r a_{\sigma_q} m_{\sigma_q} \pmod{J_n},$$

where $0 \neq a_{\sigma_q} \in K$, $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r \in S_k$. We show that $r = 0$.

Suppose $r > 0$, then since m_{σ_1} is not a graded identity, it follows that there exists a standard substitution S such that $m_{\sigma_1}|_S \neq 0$. Since for each $q \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, $m_{\sigma_q}|_S \in \{e_{i,j} \mid i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}\} \cup \{0\}$ and

$$a_{\sigma_1} m_{\sigma_1}|_S = \sum_{q=2}^r (-a_{\sigma_q}) m_{\sigma_q}|_S,$$

there exists $p \in \{2, \dots, k\}$ such that $m_{\sigma_1}|_S = m_{\sigma_p}|_S \neq 0$. By Lemma 2, $m_{\sigma_1} \equiv m_{\sigma_p} \pmod{I_n}$. Since $I_n \subseteq J_n$, we also have $m_{\sigma_1} \equiv m_{\sigma_p} \pmod{J_n}$, which implies that

$$f \equiv (a_{\sigma_1} + a_{\sigma_p}) m_{\sigma_1} + \sum_{q \neq p, q=2}^r a_{\sigma_q} m_{\sigma_q} \pmod{J_n},$$

which contradicts the minimality of r and we are done. \square

Let us consider the algebra of block-triangular matrices, $BT(d_1, \dots, d_r; F)$, with $d_1 + \dots + d_m = n$. If $M_n(F)$ is G -graded, then $BT(d_1, \dots, d_r; F)$ inherits the structure of a G -graded subalgebra of $M_n(F)$. In what follows we shall consider $BT(d_1, \dots, d_m; F)$, with the grading induced by that of Di Vincenzo-Vasilovsky. It is easy to see that $BT(d_1, \dots, d_m; F)$ satisfies monomial identities. For example, if $\deg(x_1) = \dots = \deg(x_n) = \bar{1}$, then $x_1 x_2 \dots x_n = 0$ is an identity for $BT(d_1, \dots, d_m; F)$. By Theorem 3, in order to find the identities of $BT(d_1, \dots, d_m; F)$, it is enough to find its monomial identities. We begin with the following result.

Lemma 4. *Let $m = x_1 \dots x_t$ be a monomial identity. If there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ such that $\deg(x_i) = 0$, then m is a consequence of the identity $m' = x_1 \dots x_{i-1} x_{i+1} \dots x_t$.*

We consider the following definition.

Definition 5. *Let A, B be elements of $M_n(F)$. We say that A has an empty line if there exists a line such that its entries are all 0, and we denote by f_A the number of empty lines of A . Of course $n - f_A$ is the number of non-empty lines. We say that AB has a fall between A and B , if $f_{AB} < f_A$. We shall indicate by $F(A, B)$ the number of falls of AB between A and B , i.e., the number $F(A, B) = f_{AB} - f_A$.*

We have the following easy lemma.

Lemma 6. *Let A, B be homogeneous elements of $M_n(F)$. Then $F(A, B) > 0$ if and only if there exists j such that the j -th column of A is non-zero and the j -th line of B is zero.*

Proposition 7. *Let A, B be homogeneous elements of $M_n(F)$. Then $F(A, B) \leq f_B$. Moreover, $F(A, B) = f_B$ if and only if for every i such that A has the i -th line empty, B has the $(i + \deg(A))$ -th line non-empty.*

Proof. Since A and B are homogeneous, we have $A = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{i,j} e_{i,j}$, with $j = i + \deg(A)$ and $B = \sum_{i=1}^n b_{i,j} e_{i,j}$, with $j = i + \deg(B)$. Then $AB = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{i,k} b_{k,j} e_{i,j}$ with $k = i + \deg(A)$ and $j = i + \deg(A) + \deg(B)$. Hence, $f_{AB} \leq f_A + f_B$, which proves the inequality. Now we observe that we have equality if and only if $a_{i,k} = 0$ implies $b_{k,j} \neq 0$, for every i, j , and k such that $k = i + \deg(A)$, and $j = i + \deg(A) + \deg(B)$. \square

We consider the relatively-free \mathbb{Z}_n -graded algebra of $BT(d_1, \dots, d_m; F)$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and set $Y = \{y_{ij}^{(r)} \mid i, j = 1, \dots, n; r \geq 1\}$. We shall construct $BT(d_1, \dots, d_m; F)$ as a generic algebra, i.e., as a matrix algebra with entries from the commutative polynomial ring $F[Y]$. For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, let B_i be the canonical basis of the component $BT(d_1, \dots, d_m; F)^{(i)}$.

For every $r \geq 1$, and $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, let us consider

$$G_r^{(i)} = \sum_{e_{pq} \in B_i} y_{pq}^{(r)} e_{pq}.$$

Then we have the following classical result.

Theorem 8. *Let $n \geq 1$, then $\mathcal{U}^{\mathbb{Z}_n}(BT(d_1, \dots, d_m; F)) \cong F\langle G_r^{(i)} \mid r \geq 1 \rangle$.*

In light of Theorem 8 we may generalize the definition 5 to the relatively-free graded algebra of $BT(d_1, \dots, d_m; F)$. We note that Proposition 7 and Lemma 6 also remain valid in this case. From now on let us put $G := F\langle G_r^{(i)} \mid r \geq 1 \rangle$. Moreover, let us denote by S the set of the $G_r^{(i)}$'s generating G . Then we have the following.

Proposition 9. *Let $m \in F\langle X|G \rangle$ be a monomial of total degree t such that*

$$m = x_1 \cdots x_t,$$

where for each $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$, $\deg(x_i) = g_i$. Let us consider

$$M = G_1 \cdots G_t$$

a monomial of G of total degree t , where for each $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ $\deg(G_i) = g_i$. Then m is a monomial identity for $BT(d_1, \dots, d_m; F)$ if and only if there exist M_1, \dots, M_l submonomials of M , such that

$$M = M_1 \cdots M_l$$

and for any $i \in \{2, \dots, l\}$, $F(M_1 \cdots M_{i-1}, M_i) = \alpha_i$ and $\sum_{i=2}^l \alpha_i = n - f_{M_1}$.

In light of Proposition 9 and using the same notations, if M is a monomial of G such that m is a monomial identity, we may decompose M as

$$(3) \quad M = G_1 G_2 C_2 G_{i_3} \cdots C_{s-1} G_{i_s} \cdots C_{l-1} G_{i_l} C_l,$$

where $M_1 = G_1$, $M_2 = G_2$, $M_s = C_{s-1} G_{i_s}$, if $s > 2$ and the C_s 's are such that $F(G_1 G_2 \cdots G_{i_s}, C_s) = 0$, the G_{i_s} 's satisfy $F(G_1 G_2 \cdots G_{i_s} C_s, G_{i_{s+1}}) > 0$ and $l \leq n$.

By Lemma 4, we suppose $\deg(x_i) \neq 0$, for all i . In this case, Lemma 6 implies $F(G_1, G_2) > 0$. If $c_s = \deg(C_s)$ and $z_s = \deg(Z_s)$, then C_s can be written as

$$C_s = G_{s_1} \cdots G_{s_d},$$

such that $\deg(G_{s_j}) = d_{s_j}$ and $\sum_j d_{s_j} = c_s$. We have the following easy lemma.

Lemma 10. *Let m and M be as above such that*

$$M = G_1 G_2 C_2 Z_3 \cdots C_{i-1} Z_i \cdots C_{l-1} Z_l C_l.$$

Let

$$M' = Y'_1 Y'_2 X'_2 Y'_3 \cdots Y'_l X'_l \in G,$$

where the Y'_i 's are elements of S such that $\deg(Y'_i) = z_i$ and $\deg(X'_i) = c_i$. Then for each $i \in \{2, \dots, l\}$, we have

$$F(G_1 G_2 C_2 \cdots C_{i-1}, Z_i) \leq F(Y'_1 Y'_2 X'_2 \cdots X'_{i-1}, Y'_i).$$

Corollary 11. *Let m , M and M' be as above and let m' be the monomial in $F\langle X|G \rangle$ corresponding to M' . Then m is an identity if and only if m' is an identity for $BT(d_1, \dots, d_m; F)$.*

Proof. Of course m is a consequence of m' . So if m' is an identity, also is m . Conversely, by Lemma 10, if m is an identity, we have

$$n - f_{G_1} = \sum_{i=2}^l F(G_1 C_1 Z_2 C_2 \cdots C_{i-1}, Z_i) \leq \sum_{i=2}^l F(Y'_1 Y'_2 X'_2 \cdots X'_{i-1}, Y'_i) \leq n - f_{Y_1}.$$

Since $f_{G_1} = f_{Y_1}$, we obtain equalities which proves that m' is an identity. \square

As a consequence of Corollary 11 we have the following.

Proposition 12. *Every monomial identity for $BT(d_1, \dots, d_m; F)$ follows from one of degree up to $2n - 2$.*

The above proposition shows that the graded polynomial identities of $BT(d_1, \dots, d_m; F)$, follow from I_n and from monomial identities of degree up to $2n - 2$.

4. GRADED IDENTITIES OF $BT(n - 1, 1; F)$

We shall consider the algebra A of block-triangular matrices with two blocks of sizes $n - 1$ and 1 , then in light of Theorem 3 it is enough to find its monomial identities in order to describe its $T_{\mathbb{Z}_n}$ -ideal. With abuse of notation, we shall write $j = i$ instead of $j \equiv i \pmod{n}$. In what follows we use the following. If $m = m_1 m_2$ is a monomial, and S_1 is a substitution of m_1 and S_2 a substitution of m_2 , we denote by $S_1 S_2$ the substitution of m acting as S_1 on m_1 and as S_2 on m_2 .

Lemma 13. *Let $r < n$ be an integer and let $m = x_1 \cdots x_r$ be a graded monomial. Then the substitution S given by $x_t \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} e_{ij}$, where $j - i = \deg(x_t)$ yields $m|_S = \sum_{i \in I} e_{ij}$, where $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, $j - i = \deg(m)$, for every j and $|I| \geq n - r$. Moreover, if $x_1 \cdots x_{r-1}$ does not contain submonomial of degree 0, then $|I| = n - r$.*

Proof. If $r = 1$ the assertion is obvious. Suppose now $r > 1$ and consider the monomial $m' = x_1 \cdots x_{r-1}$, then $m = m' x_r$. Consider a substitution S' on m' such that $m'|_{S'} = \sum_{i \in I'} e_{ij}$, where $I' \subseteq \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$, $j - i = \deg(m')$ and $|I'| \geq n - r + 1$. We consider now the substitution S on m . We obtain $m|_S =$

$m'|_{S'} x_r|_{S_r}$, where $x_r|_{S_r} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} e_{ij}$, with $j - i = \deg(x_r)$. Then $m|_S = \sum_{i \in I} e_{ij}$, with $j - i = \deg(m') + \deg(x_r) = \deg(m)$ and, $|I| \geq n - r$, in light of Proposition 7.

To the second part we observe that the substitution S above yields $m|_S = \sum_{i \in I} e_{ij}$, where

$$I = \{1, \dots, n-1\} \setminus \{n - \deg(x_1), n - \deg(x_1) - \deg(x_2), \dots, n - \deg(x_1) - \dots - \deg(x_{r-1})\}$$

and if m' does not contain submonomial of degree 0, it means that the numbers $n, n - \deg(x_1), n - \deg(x_1) - \deg(x_2), \dots, n - \deg(x_1) - \dots - \deg(x_{r-1})$ are all pairwise distinct (mod n), which implies that $|I| = n - r$. \square

As a consequence, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 14. *Let $m = x_1 \dots x_r$ be a graded monomial. If $r < n$, then m is not a graded monomial identity for A .*

Lemma 15. *Let $m = z_1 \dots z_k x_1 \dots x_r z_{k+1} \dots z_{n-r}$ be a graded monomial of degree n such that $k + r < n$ and $\deg(x_1 \dots x_r) = 0$. If $r > 0$ then m is not a graded identity for A .*

Proof. If $r > 0$, then by Corollary 14 $m_1 = z_1 \dots z_k$ and $m_2 = z_{k+1} \dots z_{n-r}$ are not identities for A . Moreover by Lemma 13, there exist substitutions S_1 and S_2 such that $m_1|_{S_1} = \sum_{j \in J_1} e_{ij}$ where $j - i = \deg(m_1)$, $m_2|_{S_2} = \sum_{i \in J_2} e_{ij}$ where $j - i = \deg(m_2)$ and $|J_1| \geq n - k$ and $|J_2| \geq k + r$. Since $J_1, J_2 \subseteq \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ and $|J_1| + |J_2| \geq n + r$, we have $|J_1 \cap J_2| \geq r$. By Lemma 13, there exists a substitution S_3 for $x_1 \dots x_r$ such that $x_1 \dots x_r|_{S_3} = \sum_{i \in I} e_{ii}$ and $|I| \geq n - r$. Since $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$, and $|J_1 \cap J_2| + |I| \geq n$, $I \cap J_1 \cap J_2 \neq \emptyset$. Then the substitution $S = S_1 S_3 S_2$, does not vanish on m and we are done. \square

Lemma 16. *Let $m = x_1 \dots x_n$ be a graded monomial. Then m is a graded identity for A if and only if $\deg(x_i) \neq 0$, for each i , and $m' = x_1 \dots x_{n-1}$ does not contain submonomials of degree 0, i.e., if and only if for any $1 \leq r < s \leq n - 1$ we have $\sum_{t=r}^s \deg(x_t) \neq 0$.*

Proof. We observe that m is a graded identity if and only if it vanishes under the substitution S given by $x_t \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} e_{ij}$, where $j - i = \deg(x_t)$. If m' does not contain a submonomial of degree 0, then $m'|_S = e_{i,n}$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ by Lemma 13 and $m|_S = 0$. The converse is also true in light of Lemma 15. \square

We give now an example of such result. In what follows, the expression $x_i^{(j)}$ means that the variable x_i has degree j .

Example 17. *Let us consider $BT(4, 1; F)$, then the monomial*

$$x_1^{(1)} x_2^{(2)} x_3^{(3)} x_4^{(4)} x_5^{(4)} = x_1^{(1)} m x_4^{(4)} x_5^{(4)},$$

where $m_1 = x_2^{(2)} x_3^{(3)}$, is not a monomial identity for $BT(4, 1; F)$, since m_1 is a submonomial of $x_1^{(1)} x_2^{(2)} x_3^{(3)} x_4^{(4)}$ of homogeneous degree 0. On the other hand, the monomial

$$x_1^{(1)} x_2^{(2)} x_3^{(1)} x_4^{(3)} x_5^{(4)}$$

is a monomial identity for $BT(4, 1; F)$ because none of the submonomials of $x_1^{(1)} x_2^{(2)} x_3^{(1)} x_4^{(3)}$ has homogeneous degree 0.

Proposition 18. *Let $k \geq n$ and let $m = x_1 \cdots x_k$ be a graded monomial. Then m is an identity for A if and only if m is a consequence of a monomial identity of degree n .*

Proof. Let m be a graded monomial identity for A , then we consider its decomposition in $\mathcal{U}^{\mathbb{Z}_n}(M_n^k)$ as well as in (3),

$$M = G_1 G_2 C_2 G_{i_3} C_3 \cdots G_{s_i} C_i \cdots G_{s_l} C_l.$$

Write $M_1 = G_1$, $M_s = G_{i_s} C_s$, $s \in \{2, \dots, l\}$. We consider the monomial $m' = z_1 \cdots z_l \in F\langle X|G \rangle$, such that $\deg(z_s) = \deg(M_s)$, for $s \in \{1, \dots, l\}$.

It turns out that m' has degree $l \leq n$. Since $f_{G_1} = 1$, by Proposition 9, for any $s \in \{1, \dots, l-1\}$, one has $F(M_1 M_2 \cdots M_s, M_{s+1}) = 1$. Consequently, $l = n$. Let us prove now that m' is an identity for A . By Lemma 16 it is enough to prove that $z_1 \cdots z_{n-1}$ has no submonomials of degree 0. If not, let Y be such a monomial, where $Y = m_i \cdots m_j$. In light of Proposition 9 we have $F(m_1 \cdots m_{i-1}, m_i) = 1$. If $m_1 \cdots m_{i-1} = \sum_{s=1}^{l_1} p_{i_s j_s}(y) e_{i_s j_s}$, where $p_{i_s j_s}(y)$ is a monomial in the y 's, then

$$m_1 \cdots m_{i-1} m_i = \sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq t}}^{l_1} p_{i_s j_s}(y) e_{i_s j_s},$$

where $j_t = n$. Since $\deg(m_1 \cdots m_{i-1} Y) = \deg(m_1 \cdots m_{i-1})$, we have $m_1 \cdots m_{i-1} Y = \sum_{s \in T} p_{i_s j_s}(y) e_{i_s j_s}$, where T is a proper subset of $\{j_1, \dots, j_{l_1}\}$. Now the proof follows once we observe that $F(G_1 G_2 C_2 G_{i_3} C_3 \cdots G_{s_j} C_j, G_{j+1}) = 1$ but $n \notin T$. \square

In light of Proposition 18, we have the following.

Theorem 19. *The graded polynomial identities of $A = BT(n-1, 1; F)$ follow from the graded identities (1), (2) and from the monomial identities of degree n , i.e., the monomials $m = x_1 \cdots x_n$ such that for any $1 \leq r < s \leq n-1$ we have $\sum_{t=r}^s \deg(x_t) \neq 0$. Moreover, such identities form a minimal basis for the graded identities of A .*

Proof. The fact that such identities form a basis for the identities of A follows from Theorem 3 and Proposition 18. To show that such base is minimal, it is enough to observe that a monomial identity of degree n cannot be a consequence of another monomial of degree n modulo I_n , or we would have a submonomial of degree 0, which does not occur in monomial identities. \square

5. CONCLUSIONS

We observe that the bound obtained in Proposition 12 does not depend on d_1, \dots, d_m and Proposition 18 shows that for $BT(n-1, 1; F)$ such bound is exactly n . In light of this we state the following conjecture.

Conjecture 20. *Every monomial identity of $BT(d_1, \dots, d_m; F)$ follows from monomial identities of degree up to n .*

We also have computed the monomial identities for $BT(2, 2; F)$ of degree up to 6 ($=2n-2$). In this case, all monomial identities follow from identities of degree up to 3. Hence the n in the above conjecture is not minimal, in general.

We can notice that in the proof of Proposition 18 we merely used the decomposition (3) with $M_s = G_{i_s} C_s$. One question arises naturally: why did we not choose

$M_s = C_{s-1}G_{i_s}$? Let us consider, for example, $BT(4, 1; F)$. It is easy to check that the monomial

$$m = x_1^{(1)} x_2^{(2)} x_3^{(3)} x_4^{(4)} x_5^{(4)} x_6^{(3)} x_7^{(1)} = 0$$

is a graded identity for $BT(4, 1; F)$. Decomposing m as in (3), we obtain

$$G_1 = x_1^{(1)}, G_2 = x_2^{(2)}, G_3 = x_3^{(3)}, C_3 = x_4^{(4)} x_5^{(4)}, G_{i_4} = x_6^{(3)}, G_{i_5} = x_7^{(1)}.$$

Using the second choice of monomials M_i , we obtain

$$m = m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4 m_5,$$

where $m_1 = x_1^{(1)}$, $m_2 = x_2^{(2)}$, $m_3 = x_3^{(3)}$, $m_4 = x_4^{(4)} x_5^{(4)} x_6^{(3)}$ and $m_5 = x_7^{(1)}$, but the monomial

$$m' = x_1^{(1)} x_2^{(2)} x_3^{(3)} x_4^{(1)} x_5^{(1)}$$

is not a graded identity for $BT(4, 1; F)$.

Conversely let us consider $BT(4, 4; F)$, then the monomial

$$m = x_1^{(1)} x_2^{(1)} x_3^{(1)} x_4^{(1)} x_5^{(7)} x_6^{(7)} x_7^{(7)} x_8^{(1)} x_9^{(1)} x_{10}^{(1)} x_{11}^{(7)} x_{12}^{(1)} x_{13}^{(1)} = 0$$

is a graded identity for $BT(4, 4; F)$. Decomposing m as in (3), and using the first choice of monomials, we obtain

$$m = m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4 m_5 m_6 m_7 m_8,$$

where $m_1 = x_1^{(1)}$, $m_2 = x_2^{(1)}$, $m_3 = x_3^{(1)}$, $m_4 = x_4^{(1)}$, $m_5 = x_5^{(7)}$, $m_6 = x_6^{(7)}$, $m_7 = x_7^{(7)} x_8^{(1)} x_9^{(1)} x_{10}^{(1)} x_{11}^{(7)} x_{12}^{(1)}$, $m_8 = x_{13}^{(1)}$ but the monomial

$$m' = x_1^{(1)} x_2^{(1)} x_3^{(1)} x_4^{(1)} x_5^{(7)} x_6^{(7)} x_7^{(2)} x_8^{(1)}$$

is not a graded identity for $BT(4, 4; F)$. Hence the decomposition used to prove Proposition 18 does not hold in general for $BT(n - k, k; F)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Aljadeff, A. Kanel-Belov, Representability and Specht's problem for G -graded algebras, *Adv. Math.* 225(5) (2010), 2391-2428.
- [2] L. Centrone and T.C. de Mello, *A model for the relatively-free graded algebra of block-triangular matrices with entries from a graded PI-algebra*, arXiv:1211.6310 (2013).
- [3] O. M. Di Vincenzo, On the graded identities of $M_{1,1}(E)$, *Israel J. Math.* 80 (1992), 323-335.
- [4] O. M. Di Vincenzo, P. Koshlukov, A. Valenti, Gradings on the algebra of upper triangular matrices and their graded identities, *J. Algebra*, 275 (2004), 550-566.
- [5] O. M. Di Vincenzo, P. Koshlukov, A. Valenti, Gradings and graded identities for the upper triangular matrices over an infinite field, *Groups, Rings, and Group Rings*, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math., 248 (2006), 91-106.
- [6] O. M. Di Vincenzo, R. La Scala, Block-triangular matrix algebras and factorable ideals of graded polynomial identities, *J. Algebra* 279 (2004), 260-279.
- [7] A. Giambruno, M. V. Zaicev, *Polynomial Identities and Asymptotic Methods*, Math. Surveys and Monog. 122 (2005), AMS.
- [8] A. Giambruno, M. V. Zaicev, Codimension growth and minimal superalgebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 355 (2003), 5091-5117.
- [9] A. Giambruno, M. V. Zaicev, Exponential codimension growth of P.I. algebras: an exact estimate, *Adv. Math.* 142 (1999), 221-243.
- [10] A. Giambruno, M. V. Zaicev, On codimension growth of finitely generated associative algebras, *Adv. Math.* 140 (1998), 145-155.
- [11] A. R. Kemer, Varieties and \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded algebras (Russian), *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat.* 48 (1984), 1042-1059. Translation: *Math. USSR, Izv.* 25 (1985), 359-374.

- [12] P. Koshlukov, A. Valenti, Graded identities for the algebra of $n \times n$ upper triangular matrices over an infinite field, *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.* 13 (2003) 517–526.
- [13] J. Lewin, A matrix representation for associative algebras I, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 188 (1974), 293-308.
- [14] S. Y. Vasilovsky, \mathbb{Z}_n -graded polynomial identities of the full matrix algebra of order n , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 127(12) (1999), 3517-3524.

IMECC, UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL DE CAMPINAS, RUA SÉRGIO BUARQUE DE HOLANDA, 651, CIDADE UNIVERSITÁRIA “ZEFERINO VAZ”, DISTR. BARÃO GERALDO, CAMPINAS, SÃO PAULO, BRAZIL, CEP 13083-859

E-mail address: `centrone@ime.unicamp.br`

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO, RUA DO MATÃO 1010, CAIXA POSTAL 66281, SÃO PAULO, SP, 05315-970 BRASIL

E-mail address: `tcmello@ime.usp.br`