

On The Fučík Spectrum Of Non-Local Elliptic Operators

Sarika Goyal* and K. Sreenadh†

Department of Mathematics,
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi
Hauz Khaz, New Delhi-16, India

Abstract

In this article, we study the Fučík spectrum of fractional Laplace operator which is defined as the set of all $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} (-\Delta)^s u &= \alpha u^+ - \beta u^- \text{ in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega. \end{aligned} \right\}$$

has a non-trivial solution u , where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with Lipschitz boundary, $n > 2s$, $s \in (0, 1)$. The existence of a first nontrivial curve \mathcal{C} of this spectrum, some properties of this curve \mathcal{C} , e.g. Lipschitz continuous, strictly decreasing and asymptotic behavior are studied in this article. A variational characterization of second eigenvalue of the fractional eigenvalue problem is also obtained. At the end, we study a nonresonance problem with respect to Fučík spectrum.

Key words: Non-local operator, fractional Laplacian, Fučík spectrum, Nonresonance.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35R11, 35R09, 35A15.

*email: sarika1.iitd@gmail.com

†e-mail: sreenadh@gmail.com

1 Introduction

The Fučík spectrum of fractional Laplace operator is defined as the set of all $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u = \alpha u^+ - \beta u^- \text{ in } \Omega \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega. \end{cases}$$

has a non-trivial solution u , where $s \in (0, 1)$ and $(-\Delta)^s$ be fractional Laplacian operator defined as

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(x+y) + u(x-y) - 2u(x)}{|y|^{n+2s}} dy \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

In general, we study the Fučík spectrum of an equation driven by the non-local operator \mathcal{L}_K which is defined as

$$\mathcal{L}_K u(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u(x+y) + u(x-y) - 2u(x)) K(y) dy \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $K : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ satisfies the following:

- (i) $mK \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $m(x) = \min\{|x|^2, 1\}$,
- (ii) There exist $\lambda > 0$ and $s \in (0, 1)$ such that $K(x) \geq \lambda|x|^{-(n+2s)}$,
- (iii) $K(x) = K(-x)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

In case $K(x) = |x|^{-(n+2s)}$, \mathcal{L}_K is the fractional Laplace operator $-(\Delta)^s$.

The Fučík spectrum of the non-local operator \mathcal{L}_K is defined as the set \sum_K of $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} -\mathcal{L}_K u &= \alpha u^+ - \beta u^- \text{ in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.1)$$

(1.1) has a nontrivial solution u . Here $u^\pm = \max(\pm u, 0)$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary. For $\alpha = \beta$, Fučík spectrum of (1.1) becomes the usual spectrum of

$$\left. \begin{aligned} -\mathcal{L}_K u &= \lambda u \text{ in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.2)$$

Let $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_k \leq \dots$ denote the distinct eigenvalues of (1.2). It is proved in [18] that the first eigenvalue λ_1 of (1.2) is simple, isolated and can be characterized as follows

$$\lambda_1 = \inf_{u \in X_0} \left\{ \int_Q (u(x) - u(y))^2 K(x-y) dx dy : \int_\Omega u^2 = 1 \right\}.$$

The author also proved that the eigenfunction corresponding to λ_1 are of constant sign. We observe that \sum_K clearly contains (λ_k, λ_k) for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and two lines $\lambda_1 \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R} \times \lambda_1$. \sum_K is symmetric with respect to diagonal. In this paper we will prove that the two lines $\mathbb{R} \times \lambda_1$ and $\lambda_1 \times \mathbb{R}$ are isolated in \sum_K and give a variational characterization of second eigenvalue λ_2 of $-\mathcal{L}_K$.

When $s = 1$, the fractional Laplacian operator become the usual Laplace operator. Fučík spectrum is introduced by Fučík in 1976. The negative Laplacian in one dimension with periodic boundary condition is studied in [9]. Also study of Fučík spectrum in case of Laplacian, p-Laplacian equation with Dirichlet, Neumann and robin boundary condition has been studied by many authors [1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 13, 12, 15, 16]. A nonresonance problem with respect to Fučík spectrum is also discussed in many papers [3, 14, 17]. To best of our knowledge, no work has been done to find the Fučík spectrum for non-local operator. Recently a lot of attention is given to the study of fractional and non-local operator of elliptic type due to concrete real world applications in finance, thin obstacle problem, optimization, quasi-geostrophic flow etc [17, 19, 20, 21]. Here we use the similar approach to find Fučík spectrum that is used in [3]. In [17], Servadei and Valdinoci discussed Dirichlet boundary value problem in case of fractional Laplacian using the Variational techniques. We also used the similar variational technique to find \sum_K . Due to non-localness of the fractional Laplacian, the space $(X_0, \|\cdot\|_{X_0})$ is introduced by Servadei. We introduce this space as follows:

$$X = \left\{ u \mid u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is measurable, } u|_{\Omega} \in L^2(\Omega) \text{ and } (u(x) - u(y)) \sqrt{K(x-y)} \in L^2(Q) \right\},$$

where $Q = \mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus (\mathcal{C}\Omega \times \mathcal{C}\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{C}\Omega := \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$. The space X is endowed with the norm defined as

$$\|u\|_X = \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \left(\int_Q |u(x) - u(y)|^2 K(x-y) dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then we define

$$X_0 = \{u \in X : u = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega\}$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{X_0} = \left(\int_Q |u(x) - u(y)|^2 K(x-y) dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

is an Hilbert space. Note that the norm $\|\cdot\|_{X_0}$ involves the interaction between Ω and $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$.

Remark 1.1 (i) $C_c^2(\Omega) \subseteq X_0$, $X \subseteq H^s(\Omega)$ and $X_0 \subseteq H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $H^s(\Omega)$ denotes the usual fractional Sobolev space endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{H^s(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{L^2} + \left(\int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

(ii) The embedding $X_0 \hookrightarrow L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^{2^*}(\Omega)$ is continuous, where $2^* = \frac{2n}{n-2s}$. To see the detailed of these embeddings, one can refer [8, 17].

Definition 1.2 A function $u \in X_0$ is a weak solution of (1.1), if for every $v \in X_0$, u satisfies

$$\int_Q (u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y))K(x-y) dx dy = \alpha \int_{\Omega} u^+ v dx - \beta \int_{\Omega} u^- v dx,$$

Weak solutions of (1.1) are exactly the critical points of the functional $J : X_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_Q (u(x) - u(y))^2 K(x - y) dx dy - \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega} (u^+)^2 dx - \frac{\beta}{2} \int_{\Omega} (u^-)^2 dx.$$

J is Fréchet differentiable in X_0 and

$$\langle J'(u), \phi \rangle = \int_Q (u(x) - u(y))(\phi(x) - \phi(y)) K(x - y) dx dy - \alpha \int_{\Omega} u^+ \phi dx - \beta \int_{\Omega} u^- \phi dx.$$

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2 we construct a first nontrivial curve in \sum_K , described as $(p + c(p), c(p))$. In section 3 we prove that the lines $\lambda_1 \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R} \times \lambda_1$ are isolated in \sum_K , the curve that we obtained in section 2 is the first nontrivial curve and give the variational characterization of second eigenvalue of $-\mathcal{L}_K$. In section 4 we prove some properties of the first curve. A non-resonance problem with respect to Fučík spectrum is also studied in section 5.

We shall throughout use the function space X_0 with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{X_0}$ and we use the standard $L^p(\Omega)$ space whose norms are denoted by $\|u\|_{L^p}$. Also ϕ_1 is the eigenfunction of $-\mathcal{L}_K$ corresponding to λ_1 .

2 Fučík Spectrum \sum_K for $-\mathcal{L}_K$

In this section we study the existence of first nontrivial curve in the Fučík spectrum \sum_K of $-\mathcal{L}_K$. We find that the points in \sum_K are associated with the critical value of some restricted functional.

For this we fix $p \in \mathbb{R}$ and for $p \geq 0$, consider the functional $J_p : X_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$J_p(u) = \int_Q (u(x) - u(y))^2 K(x - y) dx dy - p \int_{\Omega} (u^+)^2 dx. \quad (2.1)$$

Then $J_p \in C^1(X_0, \mathbb{R})$ and for any $\phi \in X_0$

$$\langle J'_p(u), \phi \rangle = 2 \int_Q (u(x) - u(y))(\phi(x) - \phi(y)) K(x - y) dx dy - 2p \int_{\Omega} u^+(x) \phi(x) dx.$$

Also $\tilde{J}_p := J_p|_{\mathcal{P}}$ is $C^1(X_0, \mathbb{R})$, where \mathcal{P} is defined as

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ u \in X_0 : I(u) := \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx = 1 \right\}.$$

We first note that $u \in \mathcal{P}$ is a critical point of \tilde{J}_p if and only if there exists $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\int_Q (u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y)) K(x - y) dx dy - p \int_{\Omega} u^+ v dx = t \int_{\Omega} u v dx, \quad (2.2)$$

for all $v \in X_0$. Hence $u \in \mathcal{P}$ is a nontrivial weak solution of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathcal{L}_K u &= (p + t)u^+ - tu^- \text{ in } \Omega; \\ u &= 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

which exactly means $(p+t, t) \in \Sigma_K$. Putting $v = u$ in (2.2), we get $t = \tilde{J}_p(u)$. Thus we have the following result, which describe the relationship between the critical points of \tilde{J}_p and the spectrum Σ_K .

Lemma 2.1 *For $p \geq 0$, $(p+t, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ belongs to the spectrum Σ_K if and only if there exists a critical point $u \in \mathcal{P}$ of \tilde{J}_p such that $t = \tilde{J}_p(u)$, a critical value.*

Now we look for the minimizers of \tilde{J}_p .

Proposition 2.2 *The first eigenfunction ϕ_1 is a global minimum for \tilde{J}_p with $\tilde{J}_p(\phi_1) = \lambda_1 - p$. The corresponding point in Σ_K is $(\lambda_1, \lambda_1 - p)$ which lies on the vertical line through (λ_1, λ_1) .*

Proof. It is easy to see that $\tilde{J}_p(\phi_1) = \lambda_1 - p$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{J}_p(u) &= \int_Q (u(x) - u(y))^2 K(x-y) dx dy - p \int_{\Omega} (u^+)^2 dx \\ &\geq \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx - p \int_{\Omega} (u^+)^2 dx \geq \lambda_1 - p. \end{aligned}$$

Thus ϕ_1 is a global minimum of \tilde{J}_p with $\tilde{J}_p(\phi_1) = \lambda_1 - p$. \square

Now we have a second critical point of \tilde{J}_p at $-\phi_1$ corresponding to strict local minimum.

Proposition 2.3 *The negative eigenfunction $-\phi_1$ is a strict local minimum for \tilde{J}_p with $\tilde{J}_p(-\phi_1) = \lambda_1$. The corresponding point in Σ_K is $(\lambda_1 + p, \lambda_1)$, which lies on the horizontal line through (λ_1, λ_1) .*

Proof. Let us suppose by contradiction that there exists a sequence $u_k \in \mathcal{P}$, $u_k \neq -\phi_1$ with $\tilde{J}_p(u_k) \leq \lambda_1$, $u_k \rightarrow -\phi_1$ in X_0 . Firstly, we show that u_k changes sign for sufficiently large k . Since $u_k \neq -\phi_1$, it must be ≤ 0 for some $x \in \Omega$. If $u_k \leq 0$ for a.e $x \in \Omega$, then

$$\tilde{J}_p(u_k) = \int_Q (u_k(x) - u_k(y))^2 K(x-y) dx dy > \lambda_1, \quad (2.3)$$

since $u_k \neq \pm\phi_1$ and we get contradiction as $\tilde{J}_p(u_k) \leq \lambda_1$. So u_k changes sign for sufficiently large k . Define $w_k := \frac{u_k^+}{\|u_k^+\|_{L^2}}$ and

$$r_k := \int_Q (w_k(x) - w_k(y))^2 K(x-y) dx dy.$$

Now we claim that $r_k \rightarrow \infty$. Let us suppose by contradiction that r_k is bounded. Then there exists a subsequence of w_k still denoted by w_k and $w \in X_0$ such that $w_k \rightharpoonup w$ weakly in X_0 and $w_k \rightarrow w$ strongly in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore $\int_{\Omega} w^2 dx = 1$, $w \geq 0$ a.e. and so for some $\epsilon > 0$, $\delta = |\{x \in X_0 : w(x) \geq \epsilon\}| > 0$. As $u_k \rightarrow -\phi_1$ in X_0 and hence in $L^2(\Omega)$. Therefore

$|\{x \in \Omega : u_k(x) \geq \epsilon\}| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and so $|\{x \in \Omega : w_k(x) \geq \epsilon\}| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ which is a contradiction as $\delta > 0$. Hence the claim. Next,

$$\begin{aligned} (u_k(x) - u_k(y))^2 &= ((u_k^+(x) - u_k^+(y)) - (u_k^-(x) - u_k^-(y)))^2 \\ &= (u_k^+(x) - u_k^+(y))^2 + (u_k^-(x) - u_k^-(y))^2 - 2(u_k^+(x) - u_k^+(y))(u_k^-(x) - u_k^-(y)) \\ &= (u_k^+(x) - u_k^+(y))^2 + (u_k^-(x) - u_k^-(y))^2 + 2u_k^+(x)u_k^-(y) + 2u_k^-(x)u_k^+(y), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $u_k^+(x)u_k^-(x) = 0$. Using $K(x) = K(-x)$ we have

$$\int_Q u_k^+(x)u_k^-(y)K(x-y)dxdy = \int_Q u_k^+(y)u_k^-(x)K(x-y)dxdy. \quad (2.4)$$

Then from above estimates, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{J}_p(u_k) &= \int_Q (u_k(x) - u_k(y))^2 K(x-y)dxdy - p \int_\Omega (u_k^+)^2 dx \\ &= \int_Q (u_k^+(x) - u_k^+(y))^2 K(x-y)dxdy + \int_Q (u_k^-(x) - u_k^-(y))^2 K(x-y)dxdy \\ &\quad + 4 \int_Q u_k^+(x)u_k^-(y)K(x-y)dxdy - p \int_\Omega (u_k^+)^2 dx \\ &\geq (r_k - p) \int_\Omega (u_k^+)^2 dx + \lambda_1 \int_\Omega (u_k^-)^2 dx + 4 \int_Q u_k^+(x)u_k^-(y)K(x-y)dxdy \\ &\geq (r_k - p) \int_\Omega (u_k^+)^2 dx + \lambda_1 \int_\Omega (u_k^-)^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

As $u_k \in \mathcal{P}$, we get

$$\tilde{J}_p(u_k) \leq \lambda_1 = \lambda_1 \int_\Omega (u_k^+)^2 dx + \lambda_1 \int_\Omega (u_k^-)^2 dx.$$

Combining both the inequalities we have,

$$(r_k - p) \int_\Omega (u_k^+)^2 dx + \lambda_1 \int_\Omega (u_k^-)^2 dx \leq \lambda_1 \int_\Omega (u_k^+)^2 dx + \lambda_1 \int_\Omega (u_k^-)^2 dx.$$

This implies $(r_k - p - \lambda_1) \int_\Omega (u_k^+)^2 dx \leq 0$, and hence $r_k - p \leq \lambda_1$, which contradicts the fact that $r_k \rightarrow +\infty$, as required. \square

We will now find the third critical point based on mountain pass Theorem. A norm of derivative of the restriction \tilde{J}_p of J_p at $u \in \mathcal{P}$ is defined as

$$\|\tilde{J}_p(u)\|_* = \min\{\|\tilde{J}_p'(u) - tI'(u)\|_{X_0} : t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Definition 2.4 *We say that J_p satisfies the Palais-Smale (in short, (P.S)) condition on \mathcal{P} if for any sequence $u_k \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $J_p(u_k)$ is bounded and $\|\tilde{J}_p'(u_k)\|_* \rightarrow 0$, then there exists a subsequence that converges strongly in X_0 .*

Now we state here the version of mountain pass theorem, that will be used later.

Proposition 2.5 *Let E be a Banach space, $g, f \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$, $M = \{u \in E \mid g(u) = 1\}$ and $u_0, u_1 \in M$. let $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\|u_1 - u_0\| > \epsilon$ and*

$$\inf\{f(u) : u \in M \text{ and } \|u - u_0\|_E = \epsilon\} > \max\{f(u_0), f(u_1)\}.$$

Assume that f satisfies the (P.S) condition on M and that

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([-1, 1], M) : \gamma(-1) = u_0 \text{ and } \gamma(1) = u_1\}$$

is non empty. Then $c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{u \in \gamma[-1, 1]} f(u)$ is a critical value of $f|_M$.

Lemma 2.6 J_p *satisfies the (P.S) condition on \mathcal{P} .*

Proof. Let $\{u_k\}$ be a (P.S) sequence. i.e., there exists $K > 0$ and t_k such that

$$|J_p(u_k)| \leq K, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\int_Q (u_k(x) - u_k(y))(v(x) - v(y))K(x - y)dx dy - p \int_{\Omega} u_k^+ v - t_k \int_{\Omega} u_k v = o_k(1) \|v\|_{X_0}. \quad (2.6)$$

From (2.5), we get u_k is bounded in X_0 . So we may assume that up to a subsequence $u_k \rightharpoonup u_0$ weakly in X_0 , and $u_k \rightarrow u_0$ strongly in $L^2(\Omega)$. Putting $v = u_k$ in (2.6), we get t_k is bounded and up to a subsequence t_k converges to t . We now claim that $u_k \rightarrow u_0$ strongly in X_0 . As $u_k \rightharpoonup u_0$ weakly in X_0 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Q (u_k(x) - u_k(y))(v(x) - v(y))K(x - y)dx dy \\ & \rightarrow \int_Q (u_0(x) - u_0(y))(v(x) - v(y))K(x - y)dx dy \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

for all $v \in X_0$. Also $\tilde{J}'_p(u_k)(u_k - u_0) = o_k(1)$. Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_Q (u_k(x) - u_k(y))^2 K(x - y)dx dy - \int_Q (u_k(x) - u_k(y))(u_0(x) - u_0(y))K(x - y)dx dy \right| \\ & \leq O(\epsilon_k) + p \|u_k^+\|_{L^2} \|u_k - u_0\|_{L^2} + |t_k| \|u_k\|_{L^2} \|u_k - u_0\|_{L^2} \\ & \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $v = u_0$ in (2.7), we get

$$\int_Q (u_k(x) - u_k(y))(u_0(x) - u_0(y))K(x - y)dx dy \rightarrow \int_Q (u_0(x) - u_0(y))^2 K(x - y)dx dy.$$

From above two equations, we have

$$\int_Q (u_k(x) - u_k(y))^2 K(x - y)dx dy \rightarrow \int_Q (u_0(x) - u_0(y))^2 K(x - y)dx dy.$$

Thus $\|u_k\|_{X_0}^2 \rightarrow \|u_0\|_{X_0}^2$. Now using this and $v = u_0$ in (2.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_k - u_0\|_{X_0}^2 &= \|u_k\|_{X_0}^2 + \|u_0\|_{X_0}^2 - 2 \int_Q (u_k(x) - u_0(x))(u_k(y) - u_0(y))K(x-y)dx dy \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $u_k \rightarrow u_0$ strongly in X_0 . □

Lemma 2.7 *Let $\epsilon_0 > 0$ be such that*

$$\tilde{J}_p(u) > \tilde{J}_p(-\phi_1)$$

for all $u \in B(-\phi_1, \epsilon_0) \cap \mathcal{P}$ with $u \neq -\phi_1$, where the ball is taken in X_0 . Then for any $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$,

$$\inf\{\tilde{J}_p(u) : u \in \mathcal{P} \text{ and } \|u - (-\phi_1)\|_{X_0} = \epsilon\} > \tilde{J}_p(-\phi_1). \quad (2.8)$$

Proof. Assume by contradiction that infimum in (2.8) is equal to $\tilde{J}_p(-\phi_1) = \lambda_1$ for some ϵ with $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$. Then there exists a sequence $u_k \in \mathcal{P}$ with $\|u_k - (-\phi_1)\|_{X_0} = \epsilon$ such that

$$\tilde{J}_p(u_k) \leq \lambda_1 + \frac{1}{2k^2}.$$

Consider the set $C = \{u \in \mathcal{P} : \epsilon - \delta \leq \|u - (-\phi_1)\|_{X_0} \leq \epsilon + \delta\}$, where δ is chosen such that $\epsilon - \delta > 0$ and $\epsilon + \delta < \epsilon_0$. From (2.8) and given hypotheses, it follows that $\inf\{\tilde{J}_p(u) : u \in C\} = \lambda_1$. Now for each k , we apply Ekeland's variational principle to the functional \tilde{J}_p on C to get the existence of $v_k \in C$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{J}_p(v_k) &\leq \tilde{J}_p(u_k), \quad \|v_k - u_k\|_{X_0} \leq \frac{1}{k}, \\ \tilde{J}_p(v_k) &\leq \tilde{J}_p(u) + \frac{1}{k}\|u - v_k\|_{X_0} \quad \forall u \in C \end{aligned}$$

We claim that v_k is a (P.S) sequence for \tilde{J}_p on \mathcal{P} i.e. $\tilde{J}_p(v_k)$ is bounded and $\|\tilde{J}'_p(v_k)\|_* \rightarrow 0$. Once this is proved we get by Lemma 2.6, up to a subsequence $v_k \rightarrow v$ in X_0 . Clearly $v \in \mathcal{P}$ and satisfies $\|v - (-\phi_1)\|_{X_0} \leq \epsilon + \delta < \epsilon_0$ and $\tilde{J}_p(v) = \lambda_1$ which contradicts the given hypotheses. Then proof of the claim can be proved similar as in Lemma 2.9 of [3] by replacing $\|\cdot\|_{1,p}$ by $\|\cdot\|_{X_0}$. □

Proposition 2.8 *Let $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\|\phi_1 - (-\phi_1)\|_{X_0} > \epsilon$ and*

$$\inf\{\tilde{J}_p(u) : u \in \mathcal{P} \text{ and } \|u - (-\phi_1)\|_{X_0} = \epsilon\} > \max\{\tilde{J}_p(-\phi_1), \tilde{J}_p(\phi_1)\}.$$

Then $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([-1, 1], \mathcal{P}) : \gamma(-1) = -\phi_1 \text{ and } \gamma(1) = \phi_1\}$ is non empty and

$$c(p) = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{u \in \gamma[-1, 1]} J_p(u) \quad (2.9)$$

is a critical value of \tilde{J}_p . Moreover $c(p) > \lambda_1$.

Proof. Let $\phi \in X_0$ be such that $\phi \notin \mathbb{R}\phi_1$ and consider the path $\gamma(t) = \frac{t\phi_1 + (1-|t|)\phi}{\|t\phi_1 + (1-|t|)\phi\|_{L^2}}$, then $\gamma(t) \in \Gamma$. Moreover by Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7, \tilde{J}_p satisfies (P.S) condition and the geometric assumptions. Then by Proposition 2.5, $c(p)$ is a critical value of \tilde{J}_p . Using the definition of $c(p)$ we have $c(p) > \max\{\tilde{J}_p(-\phi_1), \tilde{J}_p(\phi_1)\} = \lambda_1$. \square

Thus we have proved the following:

Theorem 2.9 *For each $p \geq 0$, the point $(p + c(p), c(p))$, where $c(p) > \lambda_1$ is defined by the minimax formula (2.9), then the point $(p + c(p), c(p))$ belongs to \sum_K .*

It is a trivial fact that \sum_K is symmetric with respect to diagonal. The whole curve, that we obtain using Theorem 2.9 and symmetrizing, is denoted by

$$\mathcal{C} := \{(p + c(p), c(p)), (c(p), p + c(p)) : p \geq 0\}.$$

3 First Nontrivial Curve

We start this section by establishing that the lines $\mathbb{R} \times \{\lambda_1\}$ and $\{\lambda_1\} \times \mathbb{R}$ are isolated in \sum_K . Then we state some topological properties of the functional \tilde{J}_p and finally we prove that the curve \mathcal{C} constructed in the previous section is the first nontrivial curve in the spectrum \sum_K .

Proposition 3.1 *The lines $\mathbb{R} \times \{\lambda_1\}$ and $\{\lambda_1\} \times \mathbb{R}$ are isolated in \sum_K . In other words, there exists no sequence $(\alpha_k, \beta_k) \in \sum_K$ with $\alpha_k > \lambda_1$ and $\beta_k > \lambda_1$ such that $(\alpha_k, \beta_k) \rightarrow (\alpha, \beta)$ with $\alpha = \lambda_1$ or $\beta = \lambda_1$.*

Proof. Suppose by contradiction that there exists a sequence $(\alpha_k, \beta_k) \in \sum_K$ with $\alpha_k, \beta_k > \lambda_1$ and $(\alpha_k, \beta_k) \rightarrow (\alpha, \beta)$ with α or $\beta = \lambda_1$. Let $u_k \in X_0$ be a solution of

$$-\mathcal{L}_K u_k = \alpha_k u_k^+ - \beta_k u_k^- \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u_k = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega \quad (3.1)$$

with $\|u_k\|_{L^2} = 1$. Then we have

$$\int_Q (u_k(x) - u_k(y))^2 K(x - y) dx dy = \alpha_k \int_\Omega (u_k^+)^2 dx - \beta_k \int_\Omega (u_k^-)^2 dx \leq \alpha_k,$$

which shows that u_k is bounded sequence in X_0 . Therefore up to a subsequence $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in X_0 and $u_k \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^2(\Omega)$. Then the limit u satisfies

$$\int_Q (u(x) - u(y))^2 K(x - y) dx dy = \lambda_1 \int_\Omega (u^+)^2 dx - \beta \int_\Omega (u^-)^2 dx,$$

since $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in X_0 and $\langle \tilde{J}'_p(u_k), u_k - u \rangle \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. i.e u is a weak solution of

$$-\mathcal{L}_K u = \alpha u^+ - \beta u^- \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega \quad (3.2)$$

where we have consider the case $\alpha = \lambda_1$. Multiplying by u^+ in (3.2), integrate, using

$$(u(x) - u(y))(u^+(x) - u^+(y)) = (u^+(x) - u^+(y))^2 + u^+(x)u^-(y) + u^+(y)u^-(x)$$

and (2.4), we get

$$\int_Q (u^+(x) - u^+(y))^2 K(x-y) dx dy + 2 \int_Q u^+(x)u^-(y)K(x-y) dx dy = \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} (u^+)^2 dx.$$

Using this we have,

$$\lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} (u^+)^2 dx \leq \int_Q (u^+(x) - u^+(y))^2 K(x-y) dx dy \leq \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} (u^+)^2 dx$$

Thus

$$\int_Q (u^+(x) - u^+(y))^2 K(x-y) dx dy = \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} (u^+)^2 dx,$$

so that either $u^+ \equiv 0$ or $u = \phi_1$. If $u^+ \equiv 0$ then $u \leq 0$ and (3.2) implies that u is an eigenfunction with $u \leq 0$ so that $u = -\phi_1$. So in any case u_k converges to either ϕ_1 or $-\phi_1$ in $L^p(\Omega)$. Thus for every $\epsilon > 0$

$$\text{either } |\{x \in \Omega : u_k(x) \leq \epsilon\}| \rightarrow 0 \text{ or } |\{x \in \Omega : u_k(x) \geq \epsilon\}| \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.3)$$

On the other hand, taking u_k^+ as test function in (3.1), we get

$$\int_Q (u_k^+(x) - u_k^+(y))^2 K(x-y) dx dy + 2 \int_Q u_k^+(x)u_k^-(y)K(x-y) dx dy = \alpha_k \int_{\Omega} (u_k^+)^2 dx.$$

Using this, Hölders inequality and Sobolev embeddings we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Q (u_k^+(x) - u_k^+(y))^2 K(x-y) dx dy \\ & \leq \int_Q (u_k^+(x) - u_k^+(y))^2 K(x-y) dx dy + 2 \int_Q u_k^+(x)u_k^-(y)K(x-y) dx dy \\ & = \alpha_k \int_{\Omega} (u_k^+)^2 dx \\ & \leq \alpha_k C |\{x \in \Omega : u_k(x) > 0\}|^{1-\frac{2}{q}} \|u_k^+\|_{X_0}^2 \end{aligned}$$

with a constant $C > 0$, $2 < q \leq 2^* = \frac{2n}{n-2s}$. Then we have

$$|\{x \in \Omega : u_k(x) > 0\}|^{1-\frac{2}{q}} \geq \alpha_k^{-1} C^{-1}.$$

Similarly, one can show that

$$|\{x \in \Omega : u_k(x) < 0\}|^{1-\frac{2}{q}} \geq \beta_k^{-1} C^{-1}.$$

Since (α_k, β_k) does not belong to the trivial lines $\lambda_1 \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R} \times \lambda_1$ of \sum_K , by using (3.1) we have that u_k changes sign. Hence, from the above inequalities, we get a contradiction with (3.3). Hence the trivial lines $\lambda_1 \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R} \times \lambda_1$ are isolated in \sum_K .

Lemma 3.2 [3] Let $\mathcal{P} = \{u \in X_0 : \int_{\Omega} u^2 = 1\}$ then

1. \mathcal{P} is locally arcwise connected.
2. Any open connected subset \mathcal{O} of \mathcal{P} is arcwise connected.
3. If \mathcal{O}' is any connected component of an open set $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{P}$, then $\partial\mathcal{O}' \cap \mathcal{O} = \emptyset$.

Lemma 3.3 Let $\mathcal{O} = \{u \in \mathcal{P} : \tilde{J}_p(u) < r\}$, then any connected component of \mathcal{O} contains a critical point of \tilde{J}_p .

Proof. Proof follows in the same lines as Lemma 3.6 of [3] by replacing $\|\cdot\|_{1,p}$ by $\|\cdot\|_{X_0}$. \square

Theorem 3.4 Let $p \geq 0$ then the point $(p + c(p), c(p))$ is the first nontrivial point in the intersection between \sum_K and the line $(p, 0) + t(1, 1)$.

Proof. Assume by contradiction that there exists μ such that $\lambda_1 < \mu < c(p)$ and $(p + \mu, \mu) \in \sum_K$. Using the fact that $\{\lambda_1\} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R} \times \{\lambda_1\}$ are isolated in \sum_K and \sum_K is closed we can choose such a point with μ minimum. In other words \tilde{J}_p has a critical value μ with $\lambda_1 < \mu < c(p)$, but there is no critical value in (λ_1, μ) . If we construct a path connecting from $-\phi_1$ to ϕ_1 such that $\tilde{J}_p \leq \mu$, then we get a contradiction with the definition of $c(p)$, which completes the proof.

Let $u \in \mathcal{P}$ be a critical point of \tilde{J}_p at level μ . Then u satisfies,

$$\int_Q (u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y))K(x - y)dxdy = (p + \mu) \int_{\Omega} u^+ v dx - \mu \int_{\Omega} u^- v dx.$$

for all $v \in X_0$. Replacing v by u^+ and u^- , we have

$$\int_Q (u^+(x) - u^+(y))^2 K(x - y)dxdy + 2 \int_Q u^+(x)u^-(y)K(x - y)dxdy = (p + \mu) \int_{\Omega} (u^+)^2 dx,$$

and

$$\int_Q (u^-(x) - u^-(y))^2 K(x - y)dxdy + 2 \int_Q u^+(x)u^-(y)K(x - y)dxdy = \mu \int_{\Omega} (u^-)^2 dx.$$

Thus we obtain,

$$\tilde{J}_p(u) = \mu, \quad \tilde{J}_p\left(\frac{u^+}{\|u^+\|_{L^2}}\right) = \mu - \frac{2 \int_Q u^+(x)u^-(y)K(x - y)dxdy}{\|u^+\|_{L^2}^2},$$

$$\tilde{J}_p\left(\frac{u^-}{\|u^-\|_{L^2}}\right) = \mu - p - \frac{2 \int_Q u^+(x)u^-(y)K(x - y)dxdy}{\|u^-\|_{L^2}^2},$$

and

$$\tilde{J}_p\left(-\frac{u^-}{\|u^-\|_{L^2}}\right) = \mu - \frac{2 \int_Q u^+(x)u^-(y)K(x - y)dxdy}{\|u^-\|_{L^2}^2}.$$

Since u changes sign, the following paths are well-defined on \mathcal{P} :

$$u_1(t) = \frac{(1-t)u + tu^+}{\|(1-t)u + tu^+\|_{L^2}}, \quad u_2(t) = \frac{tu^- + (1-t)u^+}{\|tu^- + (1-t)u^+\|_{L^2}},$$

$$u_3(t) = \frac{-tu^- + (1-t)u}{\|-tu^- + (1-t)u\|_{L^2}}.$$

Then by using above calculation one can easily get that for all $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{J}_p(u_1(t)) &= \frac{\int_Q [(u^+(x) - u^+(y))^2 + (1-t)^2(u^-(x) - u^-(y))^2] K(x-y) dx dy}{\|u^+ - (1-t)u^-\|_{L^2}^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{4(1-t) \int_Q u^-(x)u^-(y)K(x-y) dx dy - p \int_\Omega (u^+)^2 dx}{\|u^+ - (1-t)u^-\|_{L^2}^2} \\ &= \mu - \frac{2t^2 \int_Q u^+(x)u^-(y)K(x-y) dx dy}{\|u^+ - (1-t)u^-\|_{L^2}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{J}_p(u_2(t)) &= \frac{\int_Q [(1-t)^2(u^+(x) - u^+(y))^2 + t^2(u^-(x) - u^-(y))^2] K(x-y) dx dy}{\|(1-t)u^+ + tu^-\|_{L^2}^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{4t(1-t) \int_Q u^+(x)u^-(y)K(x-y) dx dy + p(1-t)^2 \int_\Omega (u^+)^2 + pt^2 \int_\Omega (u^-)^2}{\|(1-t)u^+ + tu^-\|_{L^2}^2} \\ &= \mu - \frac{2 \int_Q u^+(x)u^-(y)K(x-y) dx dy}{\|(1-t)u^+ + tu^-\|_{L^2}^2} - \frac{pt^2 \int_\Omega (u^-)^2 dx}{\|(1-t)u^+ + tu^-\|_{L^2}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{J}_p(u_3(t)) &= \frac{\int_Q [(1-t)^2(u^+(x) - u^+(y))^2 + (u^-(x) - u^-(y))^2] K(x-y) dx dy}{\|(1-t)u^+ - u^-\|_{L^2}^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{4(1-t) \int_Q u^+(x)u^-(y)K(x-y) dx dy - p(1-t)^2 \int_\Omega (u^+)^2 dx}{\|(1-t)u^+ - u^-\|_{L^2}^2} \\ &= \mu - \frac{2t^2 \int_Q u^+(x)u^-(y)K(x-y) dx dy}{\|(1-t)u^+ - u^-\|_{L^2}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{O} = \{v \in \mathcal{P} : \tilde{J}_p(v) < \mu - p\}$. Then clearly $\phi_1 \in \mathcal{O}$, while $-\phi_1 \in \mathcal{O}$ if $\mu - p > \lambda_1$. Moreover ϕ_1 and $-\phi_1$ are the only possible critical points of \tilde{J}_p in \mathcal{O} because of the choice of μ .

We note that $\tilde{J}_p\left(\frac{u^-}{\|u^-\|_{L^2}}\right) \leq \mu - p$, $\frac{u^-}{\|u^-\|_{L^2}}$ does not change sign and vanishes on a set of positive measure, it is not a critical point of \tilde{J}_p . Therefore there exists a C^1 path $\eta : [-\epsilon, \epsilon] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ with $\eta(0) = \frac{u^-}{\|u^-\|_{L^2}}$ and $\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{J}_p(\eta(t))|_{t=0} \neq 0$. Using this path we can move from $\frac{u^-}{\|u^-\|_{L^2}}$ to a point v with $\tilde{J}_p(v) < \mu - p$. Taking a connected component of \mathcal{O} containing v and applying Lemma 3.3 we have that either ϕ_1 or $-\phi_1$ is in this component. Let us assume that it is ϕ_1 . So we continue by a path $u_4(t)$ from $\left(\frac{u^-}{\|u^-\|_{L^2}}\right)$ to ϕ_1 which is at level less than μ . Then the path $-u_4(t)$ connects $\left(-\frac{u^-}{\|u^-\|_{L^2}}\right)$ to $-\phi_1$. We observe that

$$|\tilde{J}_p(u) - \tilde{J}_p(-u)| \leq p.$$

Then it follows that

$$\tilde{J}_p(-u_4(t)) \leq \tilde{J}_p(u_4(t)) + p \leq \mu - p + p = \mu \quad \forall t.$$

Connecting $u_1(t)$, $u_2(t)$ and $u_4(t)$, we get a path from u to ϕ_1 and joining $u_3(t)$ and $-u_4(t)$ we get a path from u to $-\phi_1$. These yields a path $\gamma(t)$ on \mathcal{P} joining from $-\phi_1$ to ϕ_1 such that $\tilde{J}_p(\gamma(t)) \leq \mu$ for all t , which concludes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.5 *The second eigenvalue λ_2 of (1.2) has the variational characterization given as*

$$\lambda_2 = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{u \in \gamma[-1,1]} \int_Q (u(x) - u(y))^2 K(x - y) dx dy,$$

where Γ is same as in Proposition 2.8.

Proof. Take $s = 0$ in Theorem 3.4. Then we have $c(0) = \lambda_2$ and (2.9) concludes the proof. \square

4 Properties of the curve

In this section we prove that the curve \mathcal{C} is Lipschitz continuous, has a certain asymptotic behavior and strictly decreasing.

Proposition 4.1 *The curve $p \rightarrow (p + c(p), c(p))$, $p \in \mathbb{R}^+$ is Lipschitz continuous.*

Proof. Proof follows as in Proposition 4.1 of [3]. For completeness we give details. Let $p_1 < p_2$ then $\tilde{J}_{p_1}(u) > \tilde{J}_{p_2}(u)$ for all $u \in \mathcal{P}$. So we have $c(p_1) \geq c(p_2)$. Now for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that

$$\max_{u \in \gamma[-1,1]} \tilde{J}_{p_2}(u) \leq c(p_2) + \epsilon,$$

and so

$$0 \leq c(p_1) - c(p_2) \leq \max_{u \in \gamma[-1,1]} \tilde{J}_{p_1}(u) - \max_{u \in \gamma[-1,1]} \tilde{J}_{p_2}(u) + \epsilon.$$

Let $u_0 \in \gamma[-1, 1]$ such that

$$\max_{u \in \gamma[-1,1]} \tilde{J}_{p_1}(u) = \tilde{J}_{p_1}(u_0)$$

then

$$0 \leq c(p_1) - c(p_2) \leq \tilde{J}_{p_1}(u_0) - \tilde{J}_{p_2}(u_0) + \epsilon \leq p_2 - p_1 + \epsilon,$$

as $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary so the curve \mathcal{C} is Lipschitz continuous with constant ≤ 1 .

Lemma 4.2 *Let A, B be two bounded open sets in \mathbb{R}^n , with $A \subsetneq B$ and B is connected then $\lambda_1(A) > \lambda_1(B)$.*

Proof. From the Theorem 1 and 2 of [20], we see that ϕ_1 is continuous and is a solution of (1.2) in viscosity sense. Then from Lemma 12 of [10], $\phi_1 > 0$. Now the variational characterization we see that for $A \subset B$, $\lambda_1(A) \geq \lambda_1(B)$. Since $\phi_1(B) > 0$ in B , we get the strict inequality as claimed.

Lemma 4.3 *Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{C}$, and let $\alpha(x), \beta(x) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfying*

$$\lambda_1 \leq \alpha(x) \leq \alpha, \quad \lambda_1 \leq \beta(x) \leq \beta. \quad (4.1)$$

Assume that

$$\lambda_1 < \alpha(x) \text{ and } \lambda_1 < \beta(x) \text{ on subsets of positive measure.} \quad (4.2)$$

Then any non-trivial solution u of

$$-\mathcal{L}_K u = \alpha(x)u^+ - \beta(x)u^- \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega. \quad (4.3)$$

changes sign in Ω and

$$\alpha(x) = \alpha \text{ a.e. on } \{x \in \Omega : u(x) > 0\}, \quad \beta(x) = \beta \text{ a.e. on } \{x \in \Omega : u(x) < 0\}.$$

Proof. Let u be a nontrivial solution of (4.3). Replacing u by $-u$ if necessary. we can assume that the point (α, β) in \mathcal{C} is such that $\alpha \geq \beta$. We first claim that u changes sign in Ω . Suppose by contradiction that this is not true, first consider the case $u \geq 0$, (case $u \leq 0$ can be prove similarly). Then u solves

$$-\mathcal{L}_K u = \alpha(x)u \text{ in } \Omega \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega.$$

This implies that the first eigenvalue of $-\mathcal{L}_K$ on X_0 with respect to weight $\alpha(x)$ is equal to 1. i.e

$$\inf \left\{ \frac{\int_Q (v(x) - v(y))^2 K(x-y) dx dy}{\int_\Omega \alpha(x) v^2 dx} : v \in X_0, v \neq 0 \right\} = 1. \quad (4.4)$$

We deduce from (4.1), (4.2) and (4.4) that

$$1 = \frac{\int_Q (\phi_1(x) - \phi_1(y))^2 K(x-y) dx dy}{\lambda_1} > \frac{\int_Q (\phi_1(x) - \phi_1(y))^2 K(x-y) dx dy}{\int_\Omega \alpha(x) \phi_1^2 dx} \geq 1,$$

a contradiction and hence the claim.

Again we assume by contradiction that either

$$|\{x \in X_0 : \alpha(x) < \alpha \text{ and } u(x) > 0\}| > 0 \quad (4.5)$$

or

$$|\{x \in X_0 : \beta(x) < \beta \text{ and } u(x) < 0\}| > 0. \quad (4.6)$$

Suppose that (4.5) holds (a similar argument will hold for (4.6)). Put $\alpha - \beta = p \geq 0$. Then $\beta = c(p)$, where $c(p)$ is given by (2.9). We show that there exists a path $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that

$$\max_{u \in \gamma[-1,1]} \tilde{J}_p(u) < \beta, \quad (4.7)$$

which yields a contradiction with the definition of $c(p)$.

In order to construct γ we show that there exists of a function $v \in X_0$ such that it changes sign and satisfies

$$\frac{\int_Q (v^+(x) - v^+(y))^2 K(x-y) dx dy}{\int_\Omega (v^+)^2 dx} < \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\int_Q (v^-(x) - v^-(y))^2 K(x-y) dx dy}{\int_\Omega (v^-)^2 dx} < \beta. \quad (4.8)$$

For this let \mathcal{O}_1 be a component of $\{x \in \Omega : u(x) > 0\}$ satisfying

$$|x \in \mathcal{O}_1 : \alpha(x) < \alpha| > 0,$$

which is possible by (4.5). Let \mathcal{O}_2 be a component of $\{x \in \Omega : u(x) < 0\}$ satisfying

$$|x \in \mathcal{O}_2 : \beta(x) < \beta| > 0,$$

which is possible by (4.6). Then we claim that

$$\lambda_1(\mathcal{O}_1) < \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_1(\mathcal{O}_2) \leq \beta, \quad (4.9)$$

where $\lambda_1(\mathcal{O}_i)$ denotes the first eigenvalue of $-\mathcal{L}_k$ on $X_0|_{\mathcal{O}_i} = \{u \in X|_{\mathcal{O}_i} : u = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{O}_i\}$. Clearly $u|_{\mathcal{O}_i} \in X_0|_{\mathcal{O}_i}$ then we have

$$\frac{\int_{Q|_{\mathcal{O}_1}} (u(x) - u(y))^2 K(x-y) dx dy}{\int_{\mathcal{O}_1} u^2 dx} < \alpha \frac{\int_{Q|_{\mathcal{O}_1}} (u(x) - u(y))^2 K(x-y) dx dy}{\int_{\mathcal{O}_1} \alpha(x) u^2 dx} = \alpha$$

which implies that $\lambda_1(\mathcal{O}_1) < \alpha$. The other inequality in (4.9) is proved similarly. Now with some modification on the sets \mathcal{O}_1 and \mathcal{O}_2 , we construct the sets $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_2$ such that $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1 \cap \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_2 = \emptyset$ and $\lambda_1(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1) < \alpha$ and $\lambda_1(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_2) < \beta$. For $\nu \geq 0$, $\mathcal{O}_1(\nu) = \{x \in \mathcal{O}_1 : \text{dist}(x, \mathcal{O}_1^c) > \nu\}$. Clearly $\lambda_1(\mathcal{O}_1(\nu)) \geq \lambda_1(\mathcal{O}_1)$ and moreover $\lambda_1(\mathcal{O}_1(\nu)) \rightarrow \lambda_1(\mathcal{O}_1)$ as $\nu \rightarrow 0$. Then there exists $\nu_0 > 0$ such that

$$\lambda_1(\mathcal{O}_1(\nu)) < \alpha \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq \nu \leq \nu_0. \quad (4.10)$$

Let $x_0 \in \partial\mathcal{O}_2 \cap \Omega$ (is not empty as $\mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2 = \emptyset$) and choose $0 < \nu < \min\{\nu_0, \text{dist}(x_0, \Omega^c)\}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1 = \mathcal{O}_1(\nu)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_2 = \mathcal{O}_2 \cap B(x_0, \frac{\nu}{2})$. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1 \cap \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_2 = \emptyset$ and by (4.10), $\lambda_1(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1) < \alpha$. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_2$ is connected then by (4.9) and Lemma 4.2, we get $\lambda_1(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_2) < \beta$. Now we define $v = v_1 - v_2$, where v_i are the the extension by zero outside $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_i$ of the eigenfunctions associated to $\lambda_i(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_i)$.

Then v satisfies (4.8). Thus there exist $v \in X_0$ which changes sign and satisfies condition (4.8), and moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{J}_p \left(\frac{v}{\|v\|_{L^2}} \right) &= \frac{\int_Q (v^+(x) - v^+(y))^2 K(x-y) dx dy}{\|v\|_{L^2}^2} + \frac{\int_Q (v^-(x) - v^-(y))^2 K(x-y) dx dy}{\|v\|_{L^2}^2} \\ &\quad - 2 \frac{\int_Q v^+(x) v^-(y) K(x-y) dx dy}{\|v\|_{L^2}^2} - p \frac{\int_\Omega (v^+)^2 dx}{\|v\|_{L^2}^2} \\ &< (\alpha - p) \frac{\int_\Omega (v^+)^2 dx}{\|v\|_{L^2}^2} + \beta \frac{\int_\Omega (v^-)^2 dx}{\|v\|_{L^2}^2} - 2 \frac{\int_Q v^+(x) v^-(y) K(x-y) dx dy}{\|v\|_{L^2}^2} < \beta. \end{aligned}$$

$$\tilde{J}_p \left(\frac{v^+}{\|v^+\|_{L^2}} \right) < \alpha - p = \beta, \quad \tilde{J}_p \left(\frac{v^-}{\|v^-\|_{L^2}} \right) < \beta - p.$$

Using Lemma 3.3, we have that there exists a critical point in the connected component of the set $\mathcal{O} = \{u \in \mathcal{P} : \tilde{J}_p(u) < \beta - p\}$. As the point $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{C}$, the only possible critical point is ϕ_1 , then we can construct a path from $-\phi_1$ to ϕ_1 exactly in the same manner as in Theorem 3.4 only by taking v in place of u . Thus we have construct a path satisfying (4.7), and hence the result follows. \square

Corollary 4.4 *Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{C}$ and let $\alpha(x), \beta(x) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfying $\lambda_1 \leq \alpha(x) \leq \alpha$ a.e., $\lambda_1 \leq \beta(x) \leq \beta$ a.e. Assume that $\lambda_1 < \alpha(x)$ and $\lambda_1 < \beta(x)$ on subsets of positive measure. If either $\alpha(x) < \alpha$ a.e in Ω or $\beta(x) < \beta$ a.e. in Ω . Then (4.3) has only the trivial solution.*

Lemma 4.5 *The curve $p \rightarrow (p + c(p), c(p))$ is strictly decreasing, (in the sense that $p_1 < p_2$ implies $p_1 + c(p_1) < p_2 + c(p_2)$ and $c(p_1) > c(p_2)$).*

Proof. Let $p_1 < p_2$ and suppose by contradiction that either (i) $p_1 + c(p_1) \geq p_2 + c(p_2)$ or (ii) $c(p_1) \leq c(p_2)$. In case (i) we deduce from $p_1 + c(p_1) \geq p_2 + c(p_2) > p_1 + c(p_2)$ that $c(p_1) \geq c(p_2)$. If we take $(\alpha, \beta) = (p_1 + c(p_1), c(p_1))$ and $(\alpha(x), \beta(x)) = (p_2 + c(p_2), c(p_2))$, then by Corollary 4.4, only solution of (4.3) with $(\alpha(x), \beta(x))$ is the trivial solution which contradicts the fact that $(p_2 + c(p_2), c(p_2)) \in \sum_K$. If (ii) holds then $p_1 + c(p_1) \leq p_1 + c(p_2) < p_2 + c(p_2)$, if we take $(\alpha, \beta) = (p_2 + c(p_2), c(p_2))$ and $(\alpha(x), \beta(x)) = (p_1 + c(p_1), c(p_1))$, then only solution of (4.3) with $(\alpha(x), \beta(x))$ is the trivial one which contradicts the fact that $(p_1 + c(p_1), c(p_1)) \in \sum_K$ and hence the result follows. \square

As $c(p)$ is decreasing and positive so limit of $c(p)$ exists as $p \rightarrow \infty$. In the next Theorem we find the asymptotic behavior of the first nontrivial curve.

Theorem 4.6 *If $n \geq 2s$ then the limit of $c(p)$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$ is λ_1 .*

Proof. For $n \geq 2s$, we can choose a function $\phi \in X_0$ such that there does not exist $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\phi(x) \leq r\phi_1(x)$ a.e. in Ω . For this it suffices to take $\phi \in X_0$ such that it is unbounded from above in a neighborhood of some point $x \in X_0$. Then by contradiction argument, one can similarly show $c(p) \rightarrow \lambda_1$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$ as in Proposition 4.4 of [3]. \square

5 Non Resonance between (λ_1, λ_1) and \mathcal{C}

In this section we study the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\mathcal{L}_K u = f(x, u) \text{ in } \Omega \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

where $f(x, u)/u$ lies asymptotically between (λ_1, λ_1) and $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{C}$. Let $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying $L^\infty(\Omega)$ Caratheodory conditions. Given a point $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{C}$, we assume following:

$$\gamma_\pm(x) \leq \liminf_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{f(x, s)}{s} \leq \limsup_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{f(x, s)}{s} \leq \Gamma_\pm(x) \quad (5.2)$$

hold uniformly with respect to x , where $\gamma_\pm(x)$ and $\Gamma_\pm(x)$ are L^∞ functions which satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 \leq \gamma_+(x) \leq \Gamma_+(x) \leq \alpha \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \\ \lambda_1 \leq \gamma_-(x) \leq \Gamma_-(x) \leq \beta \text{ a.e. in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (5.3)$$

Write $F(x, s) = \int_0^s f(x, t)dt$, we also assume the following inequalities:

$$\delta_\pm(x) \leq \liminf_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{2F(x, s)}{s^2} \leq \limsup_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{2F(x, s)}{s^2} \leq \Delta_\pm(x) \quad (5.4)$$

hold uniformly with respect to x , where $\delta_\pm(x)$ and $\Delta_\pm(x)$ are L^∞ functions which satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 \leq \delta_+(x) \leq \Delta_+(x) \leq \alpha \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \\ \lambda_1 \leq \delta_-(x) \leq \Delta_-(x) \leq \beta \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \\ \delta_+(x) > \lambda_1 \text{ and } \delta_-(x) > \lambda_1 \text{ on subsets of positive measure,} \\ \text{either } \Delta_+(x) < \alpha \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \text{ or } \Delta_-(x) < \beta \text{ a.e. in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (5.5)$$

Theorem 5.1 *Let (5.2), (5.3), (5.4), (5.5) hold and $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{C}$. Then problem (5.1) admits at least one solution u in X_0 .*

Define the energy functional $\Psi : X_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\Psi(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_Q (u(x) - u(y))^2 K(x - y) dx dy - \int_\Omega F(x, u) dx$$

Then Ψ is a C^1 functional on X_0 and $\forall v \in X_0$

$$\langle \Psi'(u), v \rangle = \int_Q (u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y)) K(x - y) dx dy - \int_\Omega f(x, u) v dx$$

and critical points of Ψ are exactly the weak solutions of (5.1).

Lemma 5.2 *Ψ satisfies the (P.S) condition on X_0 .*

Proof. Let u_k be a (P.S) sequence in X_0 , i.e

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi(u_k)| &\leq c, \\ |\langle \Psi'(u_k), v \rangle| &\leq \epsilon_k \|v\|_{X_0}, \quad \forall v \in X_0, \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

where c is a constant and $\epsilon_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. It suffices to show that u_k is a bounded sequence in X_0 . Assume by contradiction that u_k is not a bounded sequence. Then define $v_k = \frac{u_k}{\|u_k\|_{X_0}}$. Then v_k is a bounded sequence. Therefore there exists a subsequence v_k of v_k and $v_0 \in X_0$ such that $v_k \rightharpoonup v_0$ weakly in X_0 , $v_k \rightarrow v_0$ strongly in $L^2(\Omega)$ and $v_k(x) \rightarrow v_0(x)$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n . Also by using (5.2) and (5.3), we have $f(x, u_k)/\|u_k\|_{X_0} \rightharpoonup f_0(x)$ weakly in $L^2(\Omega)$. Take $v = v_k - v_0$ in (5.6) and divide by $\|u_k\|_{X_0}$ we get $v_k \rightarrow v_0$. In particular $\|v_0\|_{X_0} = 1$. One can easily seen from (5.6) that

$$\int_Q (v_0(x) - v_0(y))(v(x) - v(y))K(x - y)dxdy - \int_\Omega f_0(x)v dx = 0 \quad \forall v \in X_0.$$

Now by standard argument based on assumption (5.2), $f_0(x) = \alpha(x)v_0^+ - \beta(x)v_0^-$ for some L^∞ functions $\alpha(x)$, $\beta(x)$ satisfying (4.1). In the expression of $f_0(x)$, the value of $\alpha(x)$ (resp. $\beta(x)$) on $\{x : v_0(x) \leq 0\}$ (resp. $\{x : v_0(x) \geq 0\}$) are irrelevant, and consequently we can assume that

$$\alpha(x) > \lambda_1 \text{ on } \{x : v_0(x) \leq 0\} \text{ and } \beta(x) > \lambda_1 \text{ on } \{x : v_0(x) \geq 0\}. \quad (5.7)$$

So v_0 is a nontrivial solution of equation (4.3). It then follows from Lemma 4.3 that either (i) $\alpha(x) = \lambda_1$ a.e in Ω or (ii) $\beta(x) = \lambda_1$ a.e in Ω , or (iii) v_0 is an eigenfunction associated to the point $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{C}$. We show that in each cases we get a contradiction. If (i) holds then by (5.7), $v_0 > 0$ a.e. in Ω and (4.3) gives $\int_Q (v_0(x) - v_0(y))^2 K(x - y)dxdy = \lambda_1 \int_\Omega v_0^2$, which implies that v_0 is a multiple of ϕ_1 . Dividing (5.6) by $\|u_k\|_{X_0}^2$ and taking limit we get,

$$\lambda_1 \int_\Omega v_0^2 = \int_Q (v_0(x) - v_0(y))^2 K(x - y)dxdy = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega \frac{2F(x, u_k)}{\|u_k\|_{X_0}^2} \geq \int_\Omega \delta_+(x)v_0^2 dx.$$

This contradicts assumption (5.5). The case (ii) is treated similarly. Now if (iii) holds, we deduce from (5.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \alpha(v_0^+)^2 + \beta(v_0^-)^2 &= \int_Q (v_0(x) - v_0(y))^2 K(x - y)dxdy = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega \frac{2F(x, u_k)}{\|u_k\|_{X_0}^2} \\ &\leq \int_\Omega \Delta_+(x)(v_0^+)^2 + \Delta_-(x)(v_0^-)^2. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts assumption (5.5), since v_0 changes sign. Hence u_k is bounded sequence in X_0 . \square

Now we study the geometry of Ψ .

Lemma 5.3 *There exists $R > 0$ such that*

$$\max\{\Psi(R\phi_1), \Psi(-R\phi_1)\} < \max_{u \in \gamma[-1,1]} \Psi(u) \quad (5.8)$$

for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_1 := \{\gamma \in C([-1, 1], X_0) : \gamma(\pm 1) = \pm R\phi_1\}$.

Proof. From (5.4), we have for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $a_\epsilon(x) \in L^2(\Omega)$ such that for a.e x ,

$$\begin{cases} (\delta_+(x) - \epsilon)\frac{s^2}{2} - a_\epsilon(x) \leq F(x, s) \leq (\Delta_+(x) + \epsilon)\frac{s^2}{2} + a_\epsilon(x) \quad \forall s > 0 \\ (\delta_-(x) - \epsilon)\frac{s^2}{2} - a_\epsilon(x) \leq F(x, s) \leq (\Delta_-(x) + \epsilon)\frac{s^2}{2} + a_\epsilon(x) \quad \forall s < 0. \end{cases} \quad (5.9)$$

Now consider the following functional associated to the functions $\Delta_\pm(x)$ as

$$\Phi(u) = \int_Q (u(x) - u(y))^2 K(x - y) dx dy - \int_\Omega \Delta_+(x)(u^+)^2 dx - \int_\Omega \Delta_-(x)(u^-)^2 dx.$$

Then we claim that

$$d = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{u \in \gamma[-1,1]} \Psi(u) > 0 \quad (5.10)$$

where Γ is the set of all continuous paths from $-\phi_1$ to ϕ_1 in \mathcal{P} . Write $p = \alpha - \beta \geq 0$. we can choose $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\alpha \geq \beta$ (as replacing u by $-u$ if necessary), we have for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{u \in \gamma[-1,1]} \tilde{J}_p(u) \geq c(p) = \beta. \\ \text{i.e. } & \max_{u \in \gamma[-1,1]} \left(\int_Q (u(x) - u(y))^2 K(x - y) dx dy - \int_\Omega \alpha(u^+)^2 dx - \int_\Omega \beta(u^-)^2 dx \right) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\max_{u \in \gamma[-1,1]} \Phi(u) \geq 0,$$

by (5.5). So $d \geq 0$. On the other hand, since $\delta_\pm(x) \leq \Delta_\pm(x)$,

$$\Phi(\pm\phi_1) \leq \int_\Omega (\lambda_1 - \delta_\pm(x)) \phi_1^2 dx < 0$$

by (5.5). Thus we have a mountain pass geometry for the restriction $\tilde{\Phi}$ of Φ to \mathcal{P} ,

$$\max\{\tilde{\Phi}(\phi_1), \tilde{\Phi}(-\phi_1)\} < 0 \leq \max_{u \in \gamma[-1,1]} \tilde{\Phi}(u)$$

for any path $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and moreover one can verify exactly as in Lemma 2.6 that Φ satisfies the (P.S.) condition on X_0 . Then d is a critical value of $\tilde{\Phi}$ i.e there exists $u \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \Phi(u) = d \\ \langle \Phi'(u), v \rangle = \mu \langle I'(u), v \rangle \quad \forall v \in X_0. \end{cases}$$

Assume by contradiction that $d = 0$. Taking $v = u$ in above, we get $\mu = 0$ so u is a nontrivial solution of

$$-\mathcal{L}_K u = \Delta_+(x)u^+ - \Delta_-(x)u^- \text{ in } \Omega \quad u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$$

Using (5.5), we get a contradiction with Lemma 4.3 . This completes the proof of claim.

Next we show that (5.8) hold. From the left hand side of inequality (5.9), we have for $R > 0$ and $\eta > 0$,

$$\Psi(\pm R\phi_1) \leq \frac{R^2}{2} \int_{\Omega} (\lambda_1 - \delta_{\pm}(x))\phi_1^2 + \frac{\eta R^2}{2} + \|a_{\eta}\|_{L^1},$$

Then $\Psi(\pm R\phi_1) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $R \rightarrow +\infty$, by (5.5) and letting η to be sufficiently small. Fix ϵ with $0 < \epsilon < d$. We can choose $R = R(\epsilon)$ so that

$$\Psi(\pm R\phi_1) < -\|a_{\epsilon}\|_{L^1}, \tag{5.11}$$

where a_{ϵ} is associated to ϵ using (5.9). Consider a path $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$. Then if $0 \in \gamma[-1, 1]$, then by (5.11),

$$\Psi(\pm R\phi_1) < -\|a_{\epsilon}\|_{L^1} \leq 0 = \Psi(0) \leq \max_{u \in \gamma[-1,1]} \Psi(u),$$

so Lemma is proved in this case. If $0 \notin \gamma[-1, 1]$, then we take the normalized path $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = \frac{\gamma(t)}{\|\gamma(t)\|_{L^2}}$ belongs to Γ . Since by (5.9),

$$\Psi(u) \geq \frac{\Phi(u) - \epsilon\|u\|_{L^2}^2}{2} - \|a_{\epsilon}\|_{L^1},$$

we obtain

$$\max_{\gamma \in [-1,1]} \frac{2\Psi(u) + \epsilon\|u\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\|a_{\epsilon}\|_{L^1}}{\|u\|_{L^2}^2} \geq \max_{\tilde{\gamma} \in [-1,1]} \Phi(v) \geq d,$$

and consequently, by choice of ϵ ,

$$\max_{\gamma \in [-1,1]} \frac{2\Psi(u) + 2\|a_{\epsilon}\|_{L^1}}{\|u\|_{L^2}^2} \geq d - \epsilon > 0,$$

This implies that

$$\max_{u \in \gamma[-1,1]} \Psi(u) > -\|a_{\epsilon}\|_{L^1} > \Psi(\pm R\phi_1),$$

by (5.11) and hence the Lemma.

Proof of Theorem 5.1: Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3 completes the proof.

References

- [1] M. Alif, *Fučík spectrum for the Neumann problem with indefinite weights*, Partial differential equations, volume 229 of Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., Dekker, New York, (2002) pp. 45-62.
- [2] M. Arias and J. Campos, *Radial Fučík spectrum of the Laplace operator*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 190 (1995) 654-666.

- [3] M. Cuesta, D. de Figueiredo and J.-P. Gossez, *The Beginning of the Fučík Spectrum for the p -Laplacian*, Journal of Differential Equations, 159 (1999) 212-238.
- [4] M. Cuesta and J.-P. Gossez, *A variational approach to nonresonance with respect to the Fučík spectrum*, Nonlinear Anal., 19 (1992) 487-500.
- [5] Norman Dancer and Kanishka Perera¹, *Some Remarks on the Fučík Spectrum of the p -Laplacian and Critical Groups*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 254 (2001) 164177.
- [6] D. de Figueiredo and J.-P. Gossez, *On the first curve of the Fučík spectrum of an elliptic operator*, Differential Integral Equations, 7 (1994) 1285-1302.
- [7] Dumitru Motreanu and Patrick Winkert, *On the Fučík spectrum of p -Laplacian with Robin boundary condition*, Nonlinear Analysis, 74 (2011) 4671-4681.
- [8] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci and E. Valdinoci, *Hitchhikers guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*, preprint, available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1104.4345> .
- [9] S. Fučík, *Solvability of Nonlinear Equations and Boundary Value Problems*, in: Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 4, D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, 1980.
- [10] E. Lindgren, P. Lindqvist, *Fractional Eigenvalues*, to appear in Calculus of Variations 2013.
- [11] A. M. Micheletti and A. Pistoia, *A note on the resonance set for a semilinear elliptic equation and an application to jumping nonlinearities*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal., 6 (1995) 67-80.
- [12] A. M. Micheletti and A. Pistoia, *On the Fučík spectrum for the p -Laplacian*, Differential Integral Equations, 14 (2001) 867-882.
- [13] Sandra R. Martinez, Julio D. Rossi, *On the Fucik spectrum and a resonance problem for the p -Laplacian with a nonlinear boundary condition*, Nonlinear Analysis Theory Methods and Applications, 59, no. 6 (2004) 813-848.
- [14] K. Perera, *Resonance problems with respect to the Fučík spectrum of the p -Laplacian*. Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, pages No. 36, 10 pp. (electronic), 2002.
- [15] K. Perera., *On the Fučík spectrum of the p -Laplacian*, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., 11 2 (2004) 259-270.
- [16] M. Schechter, *The Fučík spectrum*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 43 (1994) 1139-1157.
- [17] R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci, *Mountain pass solutions for non-local elliptic operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 389 (2012) 887-898.
- [18] R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci, *Variational methods for non-local operators of elliptic type*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 33 no. 5 (2013) 2105-2137
- [19] R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci, *Lewy-Stampacchia type estimates for variational inequalities driven by non-local operators*, to appear in Rev. Mat. Iberoam., 29 (2013).
- [20] R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci, *Weak and Viscosity of the fractional Laplace equation*, to appear.
- [21] R. Servadei, *A Brezis-Nirenberg result for non-local critical equations in low dimension*, Commu. Pure Appl. Math., 12 (2013) 2445-2464