

On the characteristic polynomial of Laplacian Matrices of Caterpillars

Domingos Moreira Cardoso

CIDMA-Center for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications,
Department of Mathematics, University of Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal
dcardoso@ua.pt

Maria A. A. de Freitas

Instituto de Matemática and COPPE/Produção,
Universidade Federal de Rio de Janeiro,
maguieiras@im.ufrj.br

Enide Andrade Martins

CIDMA-Center for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications,
Department of Mathematics, University of Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal
enide@ua.pt

María Robbiano and Bernardo San Martín

Departamento de Matemáticas
Universidad Católica del Norte
Av. Angamos 0610 Antofagasta, Chile
mrobbiano@ucn.cl & sanmarti@ucn.cl

October 25, 2021

Abstract

The characteristic polynomials of the adjacency matrix of line graphs of caterpillars and then the characteristic polynomials of their Laplacian or signless Laplacian matrices are characterized, using recursive formulas. Furthermore, the obtained results are applied on the determination of upper and lower bounds on the algebraic connectivity of these graphs.

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider undirected simple graphs G (that is, without loops and parallel edges), simply called graphs. The vertex set of G is denoted $V(G)$

and its cardinality is called the order of G . The edge set of G is denoted $E(G)$. Two vertices $x, y \in V(G)$ are *adjacent* when they are connected by an edge $xy \in E(G)$. A *complete* graph of order n , K_n , is a graph where each pair of vertices are adjacent. The graph K_1 is the trivial graph (with just one vertex) and in this text K_0 denotes the graph without vertices. The *neighbors* of a vertex are the vertices adjacent to it. The set of neighbors of a vertex $v \in V(G)$ (the *neighborhood* of v) is denoted $N_G(v)$ and its cardinality, that is, the *degree* by $d(v)$. A p -regular graph is a graph where each vertex has degree p . A *pendant* vertex of G is a vertex of degree 1.

The *adjacency matrix* of the graph G is the $n \times n$ symmetric matrix $A(G) = (a_{ij})$ where $a_{ij} = 1$ if $ij \in E(G)$ and $a_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. The *Laplacian* (*signless Laplacian*) matrix of G is the matrix $L(G) = D(G) - A(G)$ ($Q(G) = D(G) + A(G)$), where $D(G)$ is the $n \times n$ diagonal matrix of vertex degrees of G . Since all these matrices are real and symmetric, their eigenvalues are all real (nonnegative in the Laplacian and signless Laplacian cases). The *spectrum* of a square matrix M of order n , that is, the multiset of its eigenvalues, $\lambda_1(M), \dots, \lambda_n(M)$, is denoted σ_M . In the particular cases of $L(G)$ and $Q(G)$, their spectra are denoted by $\sigma_L(G)$ and $\sigma_Q(G)$, respectively. Throughout the paper $\sigma_L(G) = \{\mu_1^{[i_1]}, \dots, \mu_p^{[i_p]}\}$ ($\sigma_Q(G) = \{q_1^{[k_1]}, \dots, q_r^{[k_r]}\}$) means that μ_j (q_j) is a Laplacian (signless Laplacian) eigenvalue with multiplicity i_j (k_l), for $j = 1, \dots, p$ ($l = 1, \dots, r$). As usually, we denote the eigenvalues of $L(G)$ ($Q(G)$) in non increasing order by $\mu_1(G) \geq \dots \geq \mu_n(G)$ ($q_1(G) \geq \dots \geq q_n(G)$). For details on the spectral properties of $L(G)$ and $Q(G)$ we refer the reader to [4, 5, 6, 10] and [1, 3], respectively. A *path* with k vertices, P_k , of G is a sequence of k vertices v_1, \dots, v_k , such that $v_i v_{i+1} \in E(G)$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$

and all vertices are distinct except eventually v_1 and v_k . When $v_1 = v_k$, P_k is a closed path which is called *cycle*. The *length* of a path P_k , is the number of its edges, that is, $k - 1$. A graph is *connected* when there is a path between each pair of vertices. A *tree* is a connected graph without cycles. For $k \geq 0$, a *star* with $k + 1$ vertices, S_k , is a tree with a central vertex with degree k and all remaining vertices are pendant. A *caterpillar* is a tree of order $n \geq 5$ (notice that a tree of order less than 5 is a path or a star) such that removing all the pendant vertices produces a path with at least two vertices. In particular, the caterpillar $T(q_1, \dots, q_k)$ is obtained from a path P_k , with $k \geq 2$, attaching the central vertex of the star S_{q_i} ($1 \leq i \leq k$) to the i th vertex of the path P_k . Then, the order of the caterpillar is $n = q_1 + \dots + q_k + k$. For a graph G , the *line graph* of G , $\mathcal{L}(G)$, is a graph with vertex set $V(\mathcal{L}(G)) = E(G)$ and edge set

$$E(\mathcal{L}(G)) = \{e_i e_j : e_i, e_j \in E(G) \text{ and these edges have a common vertex in } G\}.$$

Let $I(G)$ be the (vertex-edge) *incidence matrix* of the graph G defined as the $n \times m$ matrix whose (i, j) -entry is 1 if the vertex v_i is an end-vertex of the edge e_j and 0 otherwise. Consider the following well known identities:

$$I(G) I(G)^t = A(G) + D(G) = Q(G) \tag{1}$$

$$I(G)^t I(G) = 2I_m + A_{\mathcal{L}}(G), \tag{2}$$

where I_m denotes the identity matrix of order m and $A_{\mathcal{L}}(G)$ is the adjacency matrix of the line graph $\mathcal{L}(G)$ of the graph G (see, for instance, [7]). Since when A and B are matrices of orders $t \times s$ and $s \times t$, respectively, AB and BA have the same nonzero eigenvalues [8], we may conclude that the nonzero eigenvalues of $Q(G)$ and $A_{\mathcal{L}}(G)$ are shifted by 2. On the other hand, as it is well known, the spectra of $L(G)$ and $Q(G)$ coincide if and only if G is a bipartite

graph (see [4, 6]). Therefore, the nonzero eigenvalues of $Q(G)$ and $L(G)$ can be obtained from $A_{\mathcal{L}}(G)$, when G is bipartite, as it is the case of caterpillar graphs. Assuming that G is a connected graph, we may synthesize all of these conclusions in the following result.

Theorem 1 *If G is a bipartite connected graph, then*

$$\sigma(Q(G)) = \sigma(L(G)) = (\sigma(A_{\mathcal{L}}(G)) + 2)^+ \cup \{0\},$$

where $\sigma(A_{\mathcal{L}}(G)) + 2$ denotes the spectrum of $A_{\mathcal{L}}(G)$ with each eigenvalue added by 2 and $(\sigma(A_{\mathcal{L}}(G)) + 2)^+$ is the multiset of positive elements of $\sigma(A_{\mathcal{L}}(G)) + 2$.

It should be noted that, if G has no cycles, -2 is not an eigenvalue of $A_{\mathcal{L}}(G)$ and then $(\sigma(A_{\mathcal{L}}(G)) + 2)^+ = \sigma(A_{\mathcal{L}}(G)) + 2$.

Some results on the Laplacian and signless Laplacian eigenvalues of a caterpillar were obtained in [12, 13, 14]. In particular, in [12], the caterpillars with maximum and minimum algebraic connectivity (concept introduced in [9]) were found and the algebraic connectivity of symmetric caterpillars are characterized by the smallest eigenvalue of a 2×2 block tridiagonal matrix; in [13] the caterpillars of type $T(1, \dots, 1, q_i, 1, \dots, 1, q_{k+1-i}, 1, \dots, 1)$, where $q_i \leq q_{k+1-i}$ and $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$ were studied and in [14] the eigenvalues and the energy of $\mathcal{L}(T(q_1, \dots, q_k))$ are characterized, taking into account that this line graph becomes a sequence of complete graphs $K_{q_1+1}, K_{q_2+2}, \dots, K_{q_{k-1}+2}, K_{q_k+1}$, such that two consecutive complete graphs have in common exactly one vertex. Furthermore, explicit formulas are given when $q_1 = \dots = q_k$.

In this paper, a recursive formula for the characteristic polynomial of the Laplacian matrix of a caterpillar $T(q_1, \dots, q_k)$ is obtained by means of a recursive formula for the characteristic polynomial of the adjacency matrix of the

line graph $\mathcal{L}(T(q_1, \dots, q_k))$, using the concept of H -join [2] (generalized composition in [16]). Additionally, some upper and lower bounds on the algebraic connectivity of caterpillars are introduced and a few computational experiments are presented.

2 Characterization of Laplacian eigenvalues of caterpillars using H -joins

We start this section with the definition of H -Join of a family of k graphs.

Definition 2 [2] Consider a family of k graphs, $\mathcal{F} = \{G_1, \dots, G_k\}$, where each graph G_j has order n_j , for $j = 1, \dots, k$, and a graph H such that $V(H) = \{1, \dots, k\}$. Each vertex $j \in V(H)$ is assigned to the graph $G_j \in \mathcal{F}$. The H -join of G_1, \dots, G_k is the graph $G = \bigvee_H \{G_j : j \in V(H)\}$ such that $V(G) = \bigcup_{j=1}^k V(G_j)$ and

$$E(G) = \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^k E(G_j) \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{rs \in E(H)} \{uv : u \in V(G_r), v \in V(G_s)\} \right).$$

Notice that when $H = K_2$, the H -join of G_1 and G_2 is the usual join operation $G_1 \vee G_2$.

Consider a caterpillar $T(q_1, \dots, q_k)$. From the above definition, it is immediate that $\mathcal{L}(T(q_1, \dots, q_k))$ is the H -join of the family of graphs

$$F = \{K_{q_1}, K_1, K_{q_2}, K_1, \dots, K_{q_{k-1}}, K_1, K_{q_k}\},$$

where, defining the function $\delta(q) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } q > 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$, the graph H is the line graph of the caterpillar $T(\delta(q_1), \dots, \delta(q_k))$. Therefore, we have the following result.

Theorem 3 Consider a caterpillar $T(q_1, \dots, q_k)$. Then


$$\mathcal{L}(T(q_1, \dots, q_k)) = \bigvee_H \mathcal{F},$$

where $\mathcal{F} = \{G_{v_{q_1}} = K_{q_1}, G_{v_{12}} = K_1, G_{v_{q_2}} = K_{q_2}, G_{v_{23}} = K_1, \dots, G_{v_{q_{k-1}}} = K_{q_{k-1}}, G_{v_{(q-1)q}} = K_1, G_{v_{q_k}} = K_{q_k}\}$ and $H = \mathcal{L}(T(\delta(q_1), \dots, \delta(q_k)))$ is such that $V(H) = P \cup K$, with $P = \{v_{q_1}, v_{12}, v_{23}, \dots, v_{(k-1)k}, v_{q_k}\}$, $K = \{v_{q_i} : q_i > 0, 2 \leq i \leq k-1\}$, $H[P]$ is the path defined by the sequence of $k+1$ vertices $v_{q_1}, v_{12}, v_{23}, \dots, v_{(k-1)k}, v_{q_k}$ and for all $v_{q_i} \in K$, $v_{(i-1)i}v_{q_i}, v_{q_i}v_{i(i+1)} \in E(H)$.

Example 4 Consider the caterpillar $T(q_1, q_2, 0, 0, 0, q_6, q_7, q_8, q_9)$, with $q_1 > 0, q_2 > 0, q_6 > 0, q_7 > 0, q_8 > 0, q_9 > 0$. Then, $\mathcal{L}(T(q_1, q_2, 0, 0, 0, q_6, q_7, q_8, q_9))$ is the H -join of the family of graphs $\mathcal{F} = \{G_{q_1} = K_{q_1}, G_{v_{12}} = K_1, G_{q_2} = K_{q_2}, G_{v_{23}} = K_1, G_{v_{34}} = K_1, G_{v_{45}} = K_1, G_{v_{56}} = K_1, G_{q_6} = K_{q_6}, G_{v_{67}} = K_1, G_{q_7} = K_{q_7}, G_{v_{78}} = K_1, G_{q_8} = K_{q_8}, G_{v_{89}} = K_1, G_{q_9} = K_{q_9}\}$, with

$$H = \mathcal{L}(T(1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1)).$$

The graph H is depicted in Figure 1.



The graph H of Example 4

Figure 1: The graph H such that $\mathcal{L}(T(q_1, q_2, 0, 0, 0, q_6, q_7, q_8, q_9))$, with $q_1 > 0, q_2 > 0, q_6 > 0, q_7 > 0, q_8 > 0$ and $q_9 > 0$, is the H -join of the family \mathcal{F} of graphs of Example 4.

Now, it is worth pointing out the following previous result.

Theorem 5 [2] Let \mathcal{F} be a family of d_j -regular graphs, G_j of order n_j , for $1 \leq j \leq k$ and let H be a graph such that $V(H) = \{1, \dots, k\}$. Then

$$\sigma\left(\bigvee_H \mathcal{F}\right) = \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^k (\sigma(G_j) \setminus \{d_j\}) \right) \cup \sigma(C),$$

where the symmetric matrix C is as follows:

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & \rho_{1,2} & \cdots & \rho_{1,k-1} & \rho_{1,k} \\ \rho_{1,2} & d_2 & \cdots & \rho_{2,k-1} & \rho_{2,k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \rho_{1,k} & \rho_{2,k} & \cdots & \rho_{k-1,k} & d_k \end{pmatrix} \quad (3)$$

with $\rho_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{n_i n_j} & \text{if } ij \in E(H) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ and $j \in \{i+1, \dots, k\}$.

Corollary 6 Consider the caterpillar $T(q_1, \dots, q_k)$. Then

$$\sigma(\mathcal{L}(T(q_1, \dots, q_k))) = \{-1^{[\sum_{r=1}^k q_r - \delta(q_r)]}\} \cup \sigma^*(C(q_1, \dots, q_k)),$$

where $C(q_1, \dots, q_k)$ is the following $(2k-1) \times (2k-1)$ matrix:

$$\begin{matrix} & v_{q_1} & v_{12} & v_{q_2} & v_{23} & v_{q_3} & v_{34} & v_{q_4} & \cdots & v_{q_{k-1}} & v_{(k-1)k} & v_{q_k} \\ v_{q_1} & \left(\begin{array}{cccccccccccc} q_1^+ - 1 & \sqrt{q_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{q_1} & 0 & \sqrt{q_2} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{q_2} & q_2^+ - 1 & \sqrt{q_2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \sqrt{q_2} & 0 & \sqrt{q_3} & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{q_3} & q_3^+ - 1 & \sqrt{q_3} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \sqrt{q_3} & 0 & \sqrt{q_4} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{q_4} & q_4^+ - 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ v_{q_{k-1}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & q_{k-1}^+ - 1 & \sqrt{q_{k-1}} & 0 \\ v_{(k-1)k} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \sqrt{q_{k-1}} & 0 & \sqrt{q_k} \\ v_{q_k} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \sqrt{q_k} & q_k^+ - 1 \end{array} \right) & \end{matrix},$$

where $q_i^+ - 1 = \max\{0, q_i - 1\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, and $\sigma^*(C(q_1, \dots, q_k))$ is the spectrum of the matrix obtained from $C(q_1, \dots, q_k)$ after deleting the all zeros rows and columns.

Example 7 Consider the graph H such that $\mathcal{L}(T(q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4))$, with $q_1 = 4$, $q_2 = 9$, $q_3 = 0$ and $q_4 = 1$, is the H -join of the family of graphs $\mathcal{F} =$

$\{K_4, K_1, K_9, K_1, K_0, K_1, K_1\}$, where $H = \mathcal{L}(T(\delta(4), \delta(9), \delta(0), \delta(1)))$. Then, according to Corollary 6, the matrix $C(4, 9, 0, 1)$ is as follows:

$$\begin{matrix} & v_{q_1} & v_{12} & v_{q_2} & v_{23} & v_{q_3} & v_{34} & v_{q_4} \\ \begin{matrix} v_{q_1} \\ v_{12} \\ v_{q_2} \\ v_{23} \\ v_{q_3} \\ v_{34} \\ v_{q_4} \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 3 & \sqrt{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{4} & 0 & \sqrt{9} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{9} & 8 & \sqrt{9} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \sqrt{9} & 0 & \sqrt{0} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{0} & 0 & \sqrt{0} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \sqrt{0} & 0 & \sqrt{1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}.$$

From now on, let us define $p(q_1, \dots, q_k; \lambda) = \det(C(q_1, \dots, q_k) - \lambda I)$. For the particular cases of $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, we obtain:

$$p(q_1; \lambda) = q_1^+ - 1 - \lambda. \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} p(q_1, q_2; \lambda) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} q_1^+ - 1 - \lambda & \sqrt{q_1} & 0 \\ \sqrt{q_1} & -\lambda & \sqrt{q_2} \\ 0 & \sqrt{q_2} & q_2^+ - 1 - \lambda \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (\lambda^2 - (q_1^+ - 1)\lambda - q_1)(q_2^+ - 1 - \lambda) - (q_1^+ - 1 - \lambda)q_2. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} p(q_1, q_2, q_3; \lambda) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} q_1^+ - 1 - \lambda & \sqrt{q_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{q_1} & -\lambda & \sqrt{q_2} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{q_2} & q_2^+ - 1 - \lambda & \sqrt{q_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \sqrt{q_2} & -\lambda & \sqrt{q_3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{q_3} & q_3^+ - 1 - \lambda \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (\lambda^2 - (q_1^+ - 1)\lambda - q_1)p(q_2, q_3, \lambda) \\ &\quad + (q_1^+ - 1 - \lambda)(q_2(2 + \lambda) - (q_2^+ - 1 - \lambda))(q_3^+ - 1 - \lambda) \\ &\quad + (q_1^+ - 1 - \lambda)q_2q_3. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Taking into account Corollary 6, we may conclude the following result.

Lemma 8 Consider the caterpillar $T(q_1, \dots, q_k)$, with $k \geq 4$. Then $p(q_1, \dots, q_k; \lambda) =$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (\lambda^2 - (q_1^+ - 1)\lambda - q_1) p(q_2, \dots, q_k; \lambda) \\
&\quad + (q_1^+ - 1 - \lambda) \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} (-1)^j \left(\prod_{i=2}^{j-1} q_i \right) (q_j(2 + \lambda) - (q_j^+ - 1 - \lambda)) p(q_{j+1}, \dots, q_k; \lambda) \\
&\quad + (-1)^{k+1} (q_1^+ - 1 - \lambda) q_2 \cdots q_{k-2} q_{k-1} q_k,
\end{aligned}$$

assuming that $\prod_2^1 q_i = 1$.

Proof. Consider the matrix $C = C(q_1, \dots, q_k)$ of Corollary 6 and apply the generalized Laplacian theorem to the first two rows of the matrix $C(q_1, \dots, q_k) - \lambda I$. Thus we obtain, $p(q_1, \dots, q_k; \lambda) =$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\det \begin{pmatrix} q_1^+ - 1 - \lambda & \sqrt{q_1} \\ \sqrt{q_1} & -\lambda \end{pmatrix} \\
&\det \begin{pmatrix} q_2^+ - 1 - \lambda & \sqrt{q_2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{q_2} & -\lambda & \sqrt{q_3} & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{q_3} & q_3^+ - 1 - \lambda & \sqrt{q_3} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \sqrt{q_3} & -\lambda & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{q_4} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -\lambda & \sqrt{q_k} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \sqrt{q_k} & q_k^+ - 1 - \lambda \end{pmatrix} \quad (7) \\
&- \det \begin{pmatrix} q_1^+ - 1 - \lambda & 0 \\ \sqrt{q_1} & \sqrt{q_2} \end{pmatrix} \\
&\det \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{q_2} & \sqrt{q_2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -\lambda & \sqrt{q_3} & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{q_3} & q_3^+ - 1 - \lambda & \sqrt{q_3} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \sqrt{q_3} & -\lambda & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{q_4} & q_4^+ - 1 - \lambda & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -\lambda \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \sqrt{q_k} & q_k^+ - 1 - \lambda \end{pmatrix} \quad (8) \\
&+ \det \begin{pmatrix} q_1^+ - 1 - \lambda & 0 \\ \sqrt{q_1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
&\det \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{q_2} & q_2^+ - 1 - \lambda & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \sqrt{q_2} & \sqrt{q_3} & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q_3^+ - 1 - \lambda & \sqrt{q_3} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{q_3} & -\lambda & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{q_4} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -\lambda & \sqrt{q_k} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \sqrt{q_k} & q_k^+ - 1 - \lambda \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)
\end{aligned}$$

Let us denote the determinant of type (8) by $g(q_i, \dots, q_k; \lambda)$, for $2 \leq i \leq k-1$,

that is,

$$g(q_i, \dots, q_k; \lambda) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{q_i} & \sqrt{q_i} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -\lambda & \sqrt{q_{i+1}} & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{q_{i+1}} & q_{i+1}^+ - 1 - \lambda & \sqrt{q_{i+1}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \sqrt{q_{i+1}} & -\lambda & \sqrt{q_{i+2}} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{q_{i+2}} & q_{i+2}^+ - 1 - \lambda & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -\lambda & \sqrt{q_k} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \sqrt{q_k} & q_k^+ - 1 - \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

for $2 \leq i \leq k-1$,

and the determinant of type (9) by $h(q_i, \dots, q_k; \lambda)$, for $2 \leq i \leq k-1$, that is,

$$h(q_i, \dots, q_k; \lambda) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{q_i} & q_i^+ - 1 - \lambda & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \sqrt{q_i} & \sqrt{q_{i+1}} & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q_{i+1}^+ - 1 - \lambda & \sqrt{q_{i+1}} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{q_{i+1}} & -\lambda & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{q_{i+2}} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -\lambda & \sqrt{q_k} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \sqrt{q_k} & q_k^+ - 1 - \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

for $2 \leq i \leq k-1$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} h(q_i, \dots, q_k; \lambda) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{q_i} & q_i^+ - 1 - \lambda \\ 1 & \sqrt{q_i} \end{pmatrix} p(q_{i+1}, \dots, q_k; \lambda) \\ &= (q_i - (q_i^+ - 1 - \lambda)) p(q_{i+1}, \dots, q_k; \lambda) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g(q_i, \dots, q_k; \lambda) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{q_i} & \sqrt{q_i} \\ 1 & -\lambda \end{pmatrix} p(q_{i+1}, \dots, q_k; \lambda) \\ &- \det \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{q_i} & 0 \\ 1 & \sqrt{q_{i+1}} \end{pmatrix} g(q_{i+1}, \dots, q_k; \lambda) \\ &+ \det \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{q_i} & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} h(q_{i+1}, \dots, q_k; \lambda) \\ &= -\sqrt{q_i}(1 + \lambda) p(q_{i+1}, \dots, q_k; \lambda) \\ &- \sqrt{q_i} \sqrt{q_{i+1}} g(q_{i+1}, \dots, q_k; \lambda) + \sqrt{q_i} (q_{i+1} - (q_{i+1}^+ - 1 - \lambda)) p(q_{i+2}, \dots, q_k; \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Since the determinant in (7) is equal to $p(q_2, \dots, q_k; \lambda)$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} p(q_1, \dots, q_k; \lambda) &= (\lambda^2 - (q_1^+ - 1)\lambda - q_1) p(q_2, \dots, q_k; \lambda) \\ &\quad + (q_1^+ - 1 - \lambda) h(q_2, \dots, q_k; \lambda) \\ &\quad - \sqrt{q_2} (q_1^+ - 1 - \lambda) g(q_2, \dots, q_k; \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Expanding $g(q_2, \dots, q_k; \lambda)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p(q_1, \dots, q_k; \lambda) &= (\lambda^2 - (q_1^+ - 1)\lambda - q_1) p(q_2, \dots, q_k; \lambda) \\ &\quad + (q_1^+ - 1 - \lambda) (q_2(2 + \lambda) - (q_2^+ - 1 - \lambda)) p(q_3, \dots, q_k; \lambda) \\ &\quad - (q_1^+ - 1 - \lambda) q_2 (q_3(2 + \lambda) - (q_3^+ - 1 - \lambda)) p(q_4, \dots, q_k; \lambda) \\ &\quad + (q_1^+ - 1 - \lambda) q_2 q_3 (q_4(2 + \lambda) - (q_4^+ - 1 - \lambda)) p(q_5, \dots, q_k; \lambda) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad + (-1)^{k-2} (q_1^+ - 1 - \lambda) q_2 \cdots q_{k-3} (q_{k-2}(2 + \lambda) - (q_{k-2}^+ - 1 - \lambda)) p(q_{k-1}, q_k; \lambda) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{k-1} (q_1^+ - 1 - \lambda) q_2 q_3 \cdots q_{k-2} (q_{k-1} - (q_{k-1}^+ - 1 - \lambda)) p(q_k; \lambda) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{k-1} (q_1^+ - 1 - \lambda) q_2 \cdots q_{k-2} q_{k-1} (q_k^+ - 1 - \lambda) (1 + \lambda) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{k-1} (q_1^+ - 1 - \lambda) q_2 \cdots q_{k-2} q_{k-1} q_k. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the result is derived. ■

As a consequence of Corollary 6 and Theorem 1, applying Lemma 8, we are able to determine the characteristic polynomial of the Laplacian matrix of a caterpillar $T(q_1, \dots, q_k)$.

Theorem 9 *Consider a caterpillar $T(q_1, \dots, q_k)$, with $k \geq 4$. Then the Laplacian eigenvalues of $T(q_1, \dots, q_k)$ are roots of the polynomial*

$$\det(L(T) - \mu I) = \mu \frac{(\mu - 1)^{\sum_{r=1}^k (q_r - \delta(q_r))}}{(\mu - 2)^{(k - \sum_{r=1}^k \delta(q_r))}} p(q_1, \dots, q_k; \mu - 2).$$

Proof. Let $T = T(q_1, \dots, q_k)$. By Theorem 1,

$$\det(L(T) - \mu I) = \mu \det(A_{\mathcal{L}}(T) - (\mu - 2)I). \quad (10)$$

On the other hand, by Corollary 6, the eigenvalues of $A_{\mathcal{L}}(T)$ are -1 with multiplicity $q = \sum_{r=1}^k (q_r - \delta(q_r))$ and the eigenvalues of the matrix $C = C(q_1, \dots, q_r)$, after deleting its all zero rows and columns. That is,

$$\det(A_{\mathcal{L}}(T) - \lambda I) = \frac{(\lambda + 1)^q}{\lambda^{k - \sum_{r=1}^k \delta(q_r)}} \det(C - \lambda I). \quad (11)$$

Notice that the power of λ in the denominator corresponds to the number of all zero rows (columns) of the matrix C that should be eliminated. Then, since $\det(C - (\mu - 2)I) = p(q_1, \dots, q_k; \mu - 2)$, taking into account (10), the result follows. ■

3 Lower and upper bounds on the algebraic connectivity of caterpillars

Since, when G is bipartite, the nonzero eigenvalues of the Laplacian matrix of G are the eigenvalues of its line graph, $\mathcal{L}(G)$, plus 2, and when the graph is a tree, T , with at least two edges (that is, T is not complete), the least eigenvalue of $\mathcal{L}(T)$ is greater than -2 and less than or equal -1 , it follows that the algebraic connectivity of T is greater than 0 and less than or equal 1. Therefore, if T is a caterpillar, $T(q_1, \dots, q_k)$, taking into account (10) and (11), its algebraic connectivity is the least eigenvalue of the matrix $C(q_1, \dots, q_k)$ plus 2, that is, the minimal root of the polynomial $p(q_1, \dots, q_k; \lambda - 2)$. From now on, the algebraic connectivity of the caterpillar $T(q_1, \dots, q_k)$ is denoted $\mu(q_1, \dots, q_k)$.

In the next subsections, we use two approaches in order to obtain bounds on the algebraic connectivity of caterpillars. In the first approach, taking advan-

tage of the structure of the matrix $C(q_1, \dots, q_k)$, we determine an upper bound on the algebraic connectivity of the caterpillar $T(q_1, \dots, q_k)$, from the spectrum of caterpillars $T(q_j, q_{j+1})$, $1 \leq j \leq k - 1$. In this approach, the spectrum of these caterpillars are presented in an explicit form and the upper bound on $\mu(q_1, \dots, q_k)$ is produced with low computational effort. The second approach is based on a result published in [15] and, despite to need more computational effort than the previous approach, accordingly with the computational experiments presented in the end of the paper, the produced lower and upper bounds are very accurate.

3.1 Upper bounds on the algebraic connectivity of caterpillars determined using Cardano's formula

Considering a caterpillar $T(q_1, \dots, q_k)$, with $k \geq 4$ and $q_1 \neq 0 \neq q_k$, and deleting the fourth column and the fourth row of the matrix $C = C(q_1, \dots, q_k)$, we obtain a submatrix C' with two diagonal blocks, $C(q_1, q_2)$ and $C(q_3, \dots, q_k)$. The spectrum of C' is the union of the spectrum of $C(q_1, q_2)$ with the spectrum of $C(q_3, \dots, q_k)$. By interlacing, it follows that $\lambda_{2k-1}(C) \leq \lambda_{2k-2}(C') \leq \dots \leq \lambda_1(C') \leq \lambda_1(C)$ and then $\lambda_{2k-1}(C) \leq \lambda_3(C(q_1, q_2))$.

Theorem 10 *Let $T(q_1, \dots, q_k)$ be a caterpillar, with $k \geq 4$. Then the least eigenvalue of the matrix $C = C(q_1, \dots, q_k)$, $\lambda_{2k-1}(C)$, has the upper bound:*

$$\lambda_{2k-1}(C) \leq \min_{j \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}} \lambda_3(C(q_j, q_{j+1})),$$

where $\lambda_3(C(q_j, q_{j+1}))$ is the least eigenvalue of $C(q_j, q_{j+1})$.

Proof. If $j = 1$ the result follows from the above analysis. If $j > 1$, we may consider the line graph of the graph formed by a pair of caterpillars obtained by deleting the edge $v_{q_{j-1}}v_{q_j}$. The spectrum of this line graph includes the union

of the spectrum of the matrices $C(q_1, \dots, q_{j-1})$ and $C(q_j, \dots, q_k)$. If $k = j + 1$, then $C(q_j, \dots, q_k) = C(q_j, q_{j+1})$ and the result holds. If $k > j + 1$, the above procedure must be repeated to the matrix $C(q_j, \dots, q_k)$, and the obtained matrix C' has the diagonal blocks $C(q_j, q_{j+1})$ and $C(q_{j+2}, \dots, q_k)$. In any case, we have

$$\lambda_{2k-1}(C) \leq \lambda_{2(k-j)+1}(C(q_j, \dots, q_k)) \leq \lambda_3(C(q_j, q_{j+1})).$$

■

Corollary 11 *If $T(q_1, \dots, q_k)$ is a caterpillar, with $k \geq 4$ and $q_1 \neq 0 \neq q_k$, then*

$$\mu(q_1, \dots, q_k) \leq \min_{j \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}} \lambda_3(C(q_j, q_{j+1})) + 2. \quad (12)$$

The next theorem characterizes the three eigenvalues of a matrix $C(q_1, q_2)$.

Theorem 12 *Let $T(q_1, q_2)$ be a caterpillar such that $q_1 + q_2 > 0$ and $C = C(q_1, q_2)$.*

1. *If $q_2 = 0$, then $\lambda_3(C) = \lambda_2(C) = -1$ and $\lambda_1(C) = q_1$.*
2. *If $q_1 \neq 0 \neq q_2$, then $\lambda_1(C), \lambda_2(C), \lambda_3(C) \in \{\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3\}$, with $\zeta_j, j = 0, 1, 2$, determined as follows:*

$$\zeta_j = 2\sqrt{-\frac{r}{3}} \cos\left(\frac{\theta + 2\pi j}{3}\right) + \frac{q_1 + q_2 - 2}{3},$$

where $\theta = \arg\left(-s/2 + i\sqrt{-(\frac{r}{3})^3 - (\frac{s}{2})^2}\right)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} r &= ((q_1 - 1)(q_2 - 1) - q_1 - q_2) - \frac{(2 - q_1 - q_2)^2}{3}, \\ s &= 2\left(\frac{2 - q_1 - q_2}{3}\right)^3 - \frac{(2 - q_1 - q_2)((q_1 - 1)(q_2 - 1) - q_1 - q_2)}{3} \\ &\quad + q_1(q_2 - 1) + q_2(q_1 - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let us prove each part of this theorem independently.

1. Assuming $q_1 \neq 0 = q_2$, it follows that $\mathcal{L}(T(q_1, 0))$ is a complete graph of order $q_1 + 1$ and then its adjacency eigenvalues are -1 and q_1 . Therefore the matrix $C(q_1, 0)$ has the eigenvalues -1 with multiplicity 2, and q_1 with multiplicity 1.
2. Consider that $q_1 \neq 0 \neq q_2$. From (5), since $q_1^+ = q_1$ and $q_2^+ = q_2$, we obtain the monic polynomial,

$$-p(q_1, q_2; \zeta) = \zeta^3 + (2 - q_1 - q_2)\zeta^2 + ((q_1 - 1)(q_2 - 1) - q_1 - q_2)\zeta + q_1(q_2 - 1) + q_2(q_1 - 1),$$

which obviously has the same roots as $p(q_1, q_2, \zeta)$. As it is well known, setting $\zeta = \gamma - \frac{2 - q_1 - q_2}{3}$, we obtain the depressed form of the cubic equation

$$-p(q_1, q_2; \zeta) = 0,$$

$$\gamma^3 + r\gamma + s = 0, \tag{13}$$

where r and s are defined as stated in the theorem. Now, applying the Cardano's formula for the depressed cubic equation (13), it follows that

$$\gamma = \left(-s/2 + \sqrt{\left(\frac{r}{3}\right)^3 + \left(\frac{s}{2}\right)^2} \right)^{1/3} + \left(-s/2 - \sqrt{\left(\frac{r}{3}\right)^3 + \left(\frac{s}{2}\right)^2} \right)^{1/3}. \tag{14}$$

The discriminant of the depressed cubic equation (13) is $\Delta = -4r^3 - 27s^2$ and the cubic equation (13) has three real roots if and only if $\Delta \geq 0$ (see [17]). In this case, since the roots of $p(q_1, q_2; \lambda)$ are real, the roots of (13) are also real.

However, since $\left(\frac{r}{3}\right)^3 + \left(\frac{s}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{-\Delta}{108} < 0$, (14) must be determined in \mathbb{C} (recall that when we add complex conjugates, we get double their real part) and then $\gamma = \left(-s/2 + i\sqrt{-\left(\frac{r}{3}\right)^3 - \left(\frac{s}{2}\right)^2} \right)^{1/3} + \left(-s/2 - i\sqrt{-\left(\frac{r}{3}\right)^3 - \left(\frac{s}{2}\right)^2} \right)^{1/3}$.

Setting $\theta = \arg(-s/2 + i\sqrt{-(\frac{r}{3})^3 - (\frac{s}{2})^2})$, the three roots are:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_j &= \left(\sqrt{s^2/4 + (-\frac{r}{3})^3 - (\frac{s}{2})^2} \right)^{1/3} \left(\exp^{\frac{\theta+2\pi j}{3}i} + \exp^{-\frac{\theta+2\pi j}{3}i} \right) \\ &= 2\sqrt{-\frac{r}{3}} \cos\left(\frac{\theta+2\pi j}{3}\right), \text{ for } j = 0, 1, 2.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since the roots of $p(q_1, q_2; \zeta)$ are $\zeta_j = \gamma_j - \frac{2-q_1-q_2}{3}$, $j = 0, 1, 2$,

$$\zeta_j = 2\sqrt{-\frac{r}{3}} \cos\left(\frac{\theta+2\pi j}{3}\right) + \frac{q_1+q_2-2}{3}, \text{ for } j = 0, 1, 2.$$

■

It should be noted that $\lambda_3(C(q_1, q_2)) = \min_{j \in \{0,1,2\}} \zeta_j$.

Example 13 *Let us determine an upper bound (12) for the algebraic connectivity of the caterpillar $T(4, 9, 0, 1)$ of Example 7 (which is $\mu(4, 9, 0, 1) = 0.1862$).*

Since $\lambda_3(C(4, 9)) = -1.3955$ and $\lambda_3(C(9, 0)) = \lambda_3(C(0, 1)) = -1$, then

$$\mu(4, 9, 0, 1) \leq -1.3955 + 2 = 0.6045.$$

3.2 Accurate upper and lower bounds on the algebraic connectivity of caterpillars

First, we recall the following result published in [15], where $\text{tr}(M)$ denotes the trace of the square matrix M (this notation is used throughout this section).

Theorem 14 [15] *Let A be an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix with only positive eigenvalues $\lambda_1(A) \geq \lambda_2(A) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n(A) \geq 0$ and B be an $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ principal submatrix of A whose eigenvalues $\lambda_1(B) \geq \lambda_2(B) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{n-1}(B) \geq 0$, interlace the eigenvalues of A . Then $\lambda_n(A) \leq \frac{1}{\text{tr}(A^{-1}) - \text{tr}(B^{-1})}$.*

Let $X(\lambda)$ be an $n \times n$ matrix whose entries are real functions of λ . Then

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \det X(\lambda) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n (-1)^{i+j} \det X \left(\begin{array}{c|c} i & j \end{array} \right) \frac{d}{d\lambda} x_{ij}(\lambda), \quad (15)$$

where $X (i | j)$ denotes the $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$ matrix obtained of X deleting the i -th row and the j -th column of $X (\lambda)$ (see [11]).

Corollary 15 [11] *Let A be an $n \times n$ nonsingular matrix and $\lambda \notin \sigma(A)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \det (A - \lambda I) &= - \sum_{i=1}^n \det ((A - \lambda I) (i | i)) \\ &= - \text{tr}(\text{Adj} (A - \lambda I)) \\ &= - \det (A - \lambda I) \text{tr} \left((A - \lambda I)^{-1} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where $\text{Adj} (B)$ denotes the adjugate or classical adjoint of the square matrix B (that is, the transpose of the cofactor matrix).

As immediate consequence, if $\lambda \notin \sigma(A)$ and $\pi (\lambda) = \det (A - \lambda I)$, from (16), we may conclude that

$$\text{tr} \left((A - \lambda I)^{-1} \right) = - \frac{\frac{d}{d\lambda} \det (A - \lambda I)}{\det (A - \lambda I)} = - \frac{\pi' (\lambda)}{\pi (\lambda)}.$$

In particular, if $0 \notin \sigma(A)$, we find

$$\text{tr} (A^{-1}) = - \frac{\pi' (0)}{\pi (0)}. \quad (17)$$

For $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$, let us denote by $\tilde{C}_{(i)}(q_1, \dots, q_k)$ the submatrix obtained from $C(q_1, \dots, q_k)$, deleting its $2i$ -th row and column, respectively. If $b = 2k - 1$ then $\tilde{C}_{(i)}(q_1, \dots, q_k)$ is a $b - 1 \times b - 1$ symmetric matrix, which is the direct sum of the matrices $C(q_1, \dots, q_i)$ and $C(q_{i+1}, \dots, q_k)$.

Theorem 16 *Consider a caterpillar $T(q_1, \dots, q_k)$. Let $C = C(q_1, \dots, q_k)$, $\mu = \mu(q_1, \dots, q_k)$ and $\tilde{C}_{(i)} = \tilde{C}_{(i)}(q_1, \dots, q_k)$ as defined above. Assuming that C has order b , then*

$$\frac{1}{\text{tr}((2I_b + C)^{-1})} \leq \mu \leq \min_{1 \leq i \leq k-1} \frac{1}{\text{tr}((2I_b + C)^{-1}) - \text{tr}((2I_{b-1} + \tilde{C}_{(i)})^{-1})}$$

Proof. We will prove each inequality, starting with the proof of the right one. Before that, it should be noted that the least eigenvalue of the matrix C is greater than -2 and, therefore, the eigenvalues of $2I_b + C$ and $2I_{b-1} + \tilde{C}_{(i)}$ are all positive.

1. The matrix $2I_b + C(q_1, \dots, q_k)$ is permutational similar to a matrix where $2I_{b-1} + \tilde{C}_{(i)}(q_1, \dots, q_k)$ is its principal submatrix. Therefore, by applying Theorem 14, the upper bound is obtained.
2. Now, we use (17) to obtain the lower bound on μ . Since the eigenvalues $\beta_1 \geq \beta_2 \geq \dots \geq \beta_b$ of $(2I_b + C)^{-1}$ are all positive, the inequality $\beta_1 \leq \text{tr}((2I_b + C)^{-1})$ holds. Therefore, taking into account that $\beta_1 = \frac{1}{\mu}$, it follows that $\frac{1}{\text{tr}((2I_b + C)^{-1})} \leq \mu$.

■

Recalling that $p(q_1, \dots, q_k; \lambda) = \det(C(q_1, \dots, q_k) - \lambda I)$, and defining

$$\pi(q_1, \dots, q_k; \lambda) = \det((2I_b + C(q_1, \dots, q_k)) - \lambda I_b),$$

it follows that $\pi(q_1, \dots, q_k; 0) = p(q_1, \dots, q_k; -2)$ and $\pi'(q_1, \dots, q_k; 0) = p'(q_1, \dots, q_k; -2)$.

Now, taking into account that from (17) we obtain

$$\text{tr}((2I_b + C(q_1, \dots, q_k))^{-1}) = -\frac{\pi'(q_1, \dots, q_k; 0)}{\pi(q_1, \dots, q_k; 0)} = -\frac{p'(q_1, \dots, q_k; -2)}{p(q_1, \dots, q_k; -2)}, \quad (18)$$

using Lemma 8 we may introduce the following algorithm for the determination of $\text{tr}((2I_b + C(q_1, \dots, q_k))^{-1})$.

Algorithm for the determination of $\text{tr}((2I_b + C(q_1, \dots, q_k))^{-1})$

1. Compute $q_1^+, q_2^+, \dots, q_k^+$.

2. Determine, sequentially, the denominators of (18):

$$\begin{aligned}
p(q_1; -2) &= q_1^+ + 1 \\
p(q_1, q_2; -2) &= (2 + 2q_1^+ - q_1)(q_2^+ + 1) - q_2(q_1^+ + 1) \\
p(q_1, q_2, q_3; -2) &= (2 + 2q_1^+ - q_1)p(q_2, q_3; -2) - (q_1^+ + 1)(q_2^+ + 1)(q_3^+ + 1) + \\
&\quad q_2q_3(q_1^+ + 1) \\
&\quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \\
p(q_1, \dots, q_k; -2) &= (2 + 2q_1^+ - q_1)p(q_2, \dots, q_k; -2) + \\
&\quad \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} (-1)^j \left(\prod_{i=2}^{j-1} q_i \right) (q_1^+ + 1)(q_j^+ + 1)p(q_{j+1}, \dots, q_k; -2) + \\
&\quad (-1)^{k+1} (q_1^+ + 1)q_2 \cdots q_{k-2}q_{k-1}q_k.
\end{aligned}$$

3. Determine, sequentially, the numerators of (18):

$$\begin{aligned}
p'(q_a; -2) &= -1 \\
p'(q_a, q_b; -2) &= (-3 - q_a^+) (q_b^+ + 1) - (2 + 2q_a^+ - q_a) + q_b \\
p'(q_a, q_b, q_c; -2) &= (-3 - q_a^+)p(q_b, q_c; -2) + (2 + 2q_a^+ - q_a)p'(q_b, q_c; -2) + \\
&\quad (q_b^+ + 1)(q_c^+ + 1) + (q_b + 1)(q_a^+ + 1)(q_c^+ + 1) + \\
&\quad (q_a^+ + 1)(q_b^+ + 1) - q_bq_c \\
&\quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \\
p'(q_1, \dots, q_k; -2) &= (-q_1^+ - 3) p(q_2, \dots, q_k; -2) + (2 + 2q_1^+ - q_1) p'(q_2, \dots, q_k; -2) + \\
&\quad \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} (-1)^j \left(\prod_{i=2}^{j-1} q_i \right) ((q_j^+ + 1) + (q_j + 1)(q_1^+ + 1))p(q_{j+1}, \dots, q_k; -2) + \\
&\quad \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} (-1)^{j+1} \left(\prod_{i=2}^{j-1} q_i \right) (q_1^+ + 1)(q_j^+ + 1)p'(q_{j+1}, \dots, q_k; -2) + \\
&\quad (-1)^k q_2 \cdots q_{k-2}q_{k-1}q_k.
\end{aligned}$$

4. Determine the trace of the matrix $(2I_b + C(q_1, \dots, q_k))^{-1}$, setting

$$\text{tr} \left((2I_b + C(q_1, \dots, q_k))^{-1} \right) = -\frac{p'(q_1, \dots, q_k; -2)}{p(q_1, \dots, q_k; -2)}. \quad (19)$$

5. **End of the algorithm.**

Example 17 *Let us determine a lower bound on the algebraic connectivity of the caterpillar $T(4, 9, 0, 1)$ of Example 7 (which is $\mu(4, 9, 0, 1) = 0.1862$) applying*

Theorem 16 and using the above algorithm (notice that $4^+ = 4$, $9^+ = 9$, $0^+ = 1$ and $1^+ = 1$). Since

$$p(1; -2) = 2; \quad p(0, 1; -2) = 6; \quad p(9, 0, 1; -2) = 6; \quad p(4, 9, 0, 1; -2) = 36,$$

and

$$p'(1; -2) = -1; \quad p'(9, 0, 1; -2) = -149; \quad p'(4, 9, 0, 1; -2) = -382,$$

it follows that $\frac{1}{\text{tr}((2I_b + C(q_1, \dots, q_k))^{-1})} = \left(\frac{382}{36}\right)^{-1} = 0.0942 \leq \mu = 0.1862$.

We recall that $\tilde{C}_{(i)}(q_1, \dots, q_k)$ is a $b - 1 \times b - 1$ symmetric matrix, which is the direct sum of the matrices $C(q_1, \dots, q_i)$ and $C(q_{i+1}, \dots, q_k)$. As a consequence, the characteristic polynomial of the submatrix $2I_{b-1} + \tilde{C}_{(i)}(q_1, \dots, q_k)$ corresponds to the product $\pi(q_1, \dots, q_i; \lambda)\pi(q_{i+1}, \dots, q_k; \lambda)$. This means that

$$\det\left(2I_{b-1} + \tilde{C}_{(i)}(q_1, \dots, q_k) - \lambda I_b\right) = \pi(q_1, \dots, q_i; \lambda)\pi(q_{i+1}, \dots, q_k; \lambda).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \left(\det\left(2I_{b-1} + \tilde{C}_{(i)}(q_1, \dots, q_k) - \lambda I_b\right) \right) &= \pi'(q_1, \dots, q_i; \lambda)\pi(q_{i+1}, \dots, q_k; \lambda) + \\ &\quad \pi(q_1, \dots, q_i; \lambda)\pi'(q_{i+1}, \dots, q_k; \lambda) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \left(\det\left(2I_{b-1} + \tilde{C}_{(i)}(q_1, \dots, q_k) - \lambda I_b\right) \right) \Big|_{\lambda=0} &= \pi'(q_1, \dots, q_i; 0)\pi(q_{i+1}, \dots, q_k; 0) + \\ &\quad \pi(q_1, \dots, q_i; 0)\pi'(q_{i+1}, \dots, q_k; 0). \end{aligned}$$

Using (17) we get

$$\text{tr}((2I_{b-1} + \tilde{C}_{(i)}(q_1, \dots, q_k))^{-1}) = -\frac{\pi'(q_1, \dots, q_i; 0)}{\pi(q_1, \dots, q_i; 0)} - \frac{\pi'(q_{i+1}, \dots, q_k; 0)}{\pi(q_{i+1}, \dots, q_k; 0)}$$

and using (18), we obtain

$$\text{tr}((2I_{b-1} + \tilde{C}_{(i)}(q_1, \dots, q_k))^{-1}) = -\frac{p'(q_1, \dots, q_i; -2)}{p(q_1, \dots, q_i; -2)} - \frac{p'(q_{i+1}, \dots, q_k; -2)}{p(q_{i+1}, \dots, q_k; -2)}. \quad (20)$$

Example 18 Let us determine an upper bound on the algebraic connectivity of the caterpillar $T(4, 9, 0, 1)$ of Example 7 (which is $\mu(4, 9, 0, 1) = 0.1862$), taking into account Theorem 16 and determining $\text{tr}((2I_{b-1} + \tilde{C}_{(2)}(4, 9, 0, 1))^{-1})$.

From (20), it follows that $\text{tr}((2I_{b-1} + \tilde{C}_{(2)}(4, 9, 0, 1))^{-1}) = -\frac{p'(4,9;-2)}{p(4,9;-2)} - \frac{p'(0,1;-2)}{p(0,1;-2)}$.

Since $-\frac{p'(4,9;-2)}{p(4,9;-2)} = \frac{67}{15} = 4.4667$ and $-\frac{p'(0,1;-2)}{p(0,1;-2)} = \frac{11}{6} = 1.8333$, then

$$\text{tr}((2I_{b-1} + \tilde{C}_{(i)}(q_1, \dots, q_k))^{-1}) = 4.4667 + 1.8333 = 6.300.$$

From Example 17, we know that $\text{tr}((2I_b + C(4, 9, 0, 1))^{-1}) = \frac{382}{36} = 10.6111$,

and thus the upper bound on the algebraic connectivity of $T(4, 9, 0, 1)$, obtained by Theorem 16, is not greater than

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\text{tr}((2I_b + C(4, 9, 0, 1))^{-1}) - \text{tr}((2I_{b-1} + \tilde{C}_{(i)}(4, 9, 0, 1))^{-1})} &= \frac{1}{10.6111 - 6.300} \\ &= 0.2320. \end{aligned}$$

3.3 A few computational experiments

In this subsection a few computational experiments are presented, with the obtained lower and upper bounds on the algebraic connectivity of caterpillars, comparing the distinct introduced upper bounds to each other.

In the next table, the upper bound (12), and the upper and lower bounds, (ub) and (lb), of Theorem 16 are presented for several caterpillars $T(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k)$. From these results, it seems that the lower and upper bounds of Theorem 16 are very accurate, since in most of the cases they are close to each other.

$(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{k-1}, q_k)$	$\mu(q_1, \dots, q_k)$	(12)	(ub)	(lb)
(3, 2, 1, 0, 5, 4)	0.0601	0.2788	0.0658	0.0372
(2, 0, 3, 4, 7)	0.0893	0.2536	0.1056	0.0514
(3, 5, 0, 0, 9, 10)	0.0398	0.3087	0.0423	0.0270
(9, 5, 5, 4, 2, 0, 3)	0.0407	0.2157	0.0500	0.0290
(5, 0, 5, 0, 5, 0, 5, 0, 5)	0.0285	1.0000	0.0346	0.0167
(3, 9, 10, 0, 5, 0, 4, 2, 0, 7)	0.0173	0.1624	0.0201	0.0108

Acknowledgements. Domingos M. Cardoso and Enide A. Martins supported by *FEDER* funds through *COMPETE*–Operational Programme Factors of Competitiveness and by Portuguese funds through the *Center for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications* (University of Aveiro) and the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (“FCT–Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia”), within project PEst-C/MAT/UI4106/2011 with *COMPETE* number FCOMP-01-0124-FEDER-022690. M. Robbiano partially supported by Fondecyt Grant 11090211, Chile. All the authors are members of the Project PTDC/MAT/112276/2009.

References

- [1] D. M. Cardoso, D. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson, S. K. Simić, A sharp lower bound for the least eigenvalue of the signless Laplacian of non-bipartite graph, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 429 (2008): 2270–2780.
- [2] D. M. Cardoso, M. A. A. Freitas, E. A. Martins, M. Robbiano, Spectra of graphs obtained by a generalization of the join graph operation, *Discrete Math.* 313 (2013): 733-741.
- [3] D. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson, S. Simić, Signless Laplacians of finite graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 423 (2007): 155–171.
- [4] R. Grone, R. Merris, V. S. Sunder, The Laplacian spectrum of a graph. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 11 (1990): 218–238.
- [5] R. Grone, On the geometry and Laplacian of a graph, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 150 (1991): 167-178.

- [6] R. Grone, R. Merris, The Laplacian spectrum of a graph II, *SIAM J. Discr. Math.* 7 (1994): 221–229.
- [7] I. Gutman, D. Kiani, M. Mirzakhah, B. Zhou, On incidence energy of a graph, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 431 (2009): 1223–1233.
- [8] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 1988 (reprint).
- [9] M. Fiedler, Algebraic connectivity of graphs, *Czechoslovak Math. Journal* **23** (98) (1973): 298–305.
- [10] R. Merris. Laplacian matrices of a graph: A survey, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 197&198 (1994): 143–176.
- [11] H. Minc, *Nonnegative Matrices*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1988.
- [12] O. Rojo, L. Medina, N. M. M. Abreu, C. Justel, Extremal algebraic connectivities of certain caterpillar classes and symmetric caterpillars, *Electron. J. Linear Algebra* 20 (2010): 136–157.
- [13] O. Rojo, L. Medina, N. M. M. Abreu, C. Justel, On the algebraic connectivity of some caterpillars: a sharp upper bound and total ordering, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 432 (2010): 586–605.
- [14] O. Rojo, Line graph eigenvalues and line energy of caterpillars, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 435 (2011): 2077–2086.
- [15] O. Rojo, L. Medina. Tight bounds on the algebraic connectivity of Bethe trees, *Lin. Algebra Appl.* 418 (2006): 840-853.

- [16] A. J. Schwenk, Computing the characteristic polynomial of a graph, *Graphs and Combinatorics* (Lecture notes in Mathematics 406, eds. R. Bary and F. Harary), Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1974, pp. 153-172.
- [17] E. B. Vinberg, *A Course in Algebra*, AMS - Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 56, Providence, 2003.