

RELATIONS IN UNIVERSAL LIE NILPOTENT ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRAS OF CLASS 4

EUDES ANTONIO DA COSTA AND ALEXEI KRASILNIKOV

ABSTRACT. Let K be a unital associative and commutative ring and let $K\langle X \rangle$ be the free unital associative K -algebra on a non-empty set X of free generators. Define a left-normed commutator $[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n]$ inductively by $[a_1, a_2] = a_1 a_2 - a_2 a_1$, $[a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n] = [[a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}], a_n]$ ($n \geq 3$). For $n \geq 2$, let $T^{(n)}$ be the two-sided ideal in $K\langle X \rangle$ generated by all commutators $[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n]$ ($a_i \in K\langle X \rangle$).

It can be easily seen that the ideal $T^{(2)}$ is generated (as a two-sided ideal in $K\langle X \rangle$) by the commutators $[x_1, x_2]$ ($x_i \in X$). It is well-known that $T^{(3)}$ is generated by the polynomials $[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ and $[x_1, x_2][x_3, x_4] + [x_1, x_3][x_2, x_4]$ ($x_i \in X$). A similar generating set for $T^{(4)}$ contains 3 types of polynomials in $x_i \in X$ if $\frac{1}{3} \in K$ and 5 types if $\frac{1}{3} \notin K$. In the present article we exhibit a generating set for $T^{(5)}$ that contains 8 types of polynomials in $x_i \in X$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be a unital associative and commutative ring and let A be a unital associative K -algebra. Define a left-normed commutator $[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n]$ inductively by $[a_1, a_2] = a_1 a_2 - a_2 a_1$, $[a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n] = [[a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}], a_n]$ ($n \geq 3$). For $n \geq 2$, let $T^{(n)}(A)$ be the two-sided ideal in A generated by all commutators $[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n]$ ($a_i \in A$). An algebra A is called *Lie nilpotent* (of class at most $n - 1$) if $T^{(n)}(A) = 0$ for some $n \geq 2$.

Let $K\langle X \rangle$ be the free unital associative K -algebra on a non-empty set X of free generators. Define $T^{(n)} = T^{(n)}(K\langle X \rangle)$. The quotient algebra $K\langle X \rangle/T^{(n)}$ can be viewed as the universal Lie nilpotent associative K -algebra of class $n - 1$ generated by X .

The study of Lie nilpotent associative rings and algebras was started by Jennings [18] in 1947. Since then Lie nilpotent associative rings and algebras have been investigated in various papers from various points of view; see, for instance, [2, 14, 15, 16, 21, 24, 25, 26] and the bibliography there.

Recent interest in Lie nilpotent associative algebras was motivated by the study of the quotients L_i/L_{i+1} of the lower central series of the associated Lie algebra of an associative algebra A ; here L_n is the linear span in A of the set of all commutators $[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n]$ ($a_i \in A$). The study of these quotients L_i/L_{i+1} was initiated in 2007 in a pioneering article of Feigin and Shoikhet [12] for $A = \mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle$; further results on this subject can be found, for example, in [1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 19, 20]. Since $T^{(n)}(A)$ is the ideal in A generated by L_n , some results about the quotients $T^{(i)}(A)/T^{(i+1)}(A)$ were obtained in these articles as well; in [7, 11, 19, 20] the latter quotients were the primary objects of study.

It can be easily seen that the ideal $T^{(2)}$ is generated (as a two-sided ideal in $K\langle X \rangle$) by the commutators $[x_1, x_2]$ ($x_i \in X$). It is well-known that $T^{(3)}$ is generated by the polynomials

$$[x_1, x_2, x_3], \quad [x_1, x_2][x_3, x_4] + [x_1, x_3][x_2, x_4] \quad (x_i \in X)$$

(see, for instance, [6, 13, 17, 23]). If $\frac{1}{3} \in K$ then a similar generating set for $T^{(4)}$ contains the polynomials of 3 types (see [11, 14, 24, 26]):

- (1) $[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4] \quad (x_i \in X),$
- (2) $[x_1, x_2][x_3, x_4, x_5] \quad (x_i \in X),$
- (3) $([x_1, x_2][x_3, x_4] + [x_1, x_3][x_2, x_4])[x_5, x_6] \quad (x_i \in X).$

If $\frac{1}{3} \notin K$ then a set of generators of $T^{(4)}$ consists of the polynomials of types (1), (3) and the polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} & [x_1, x_2, x_3][x_4, x_5, x_6] \quad (x_i \in X), \\ & [x_1, x_2, x_3][x_4, x_5] + [x_1, x_2, x_4][x_3, x_5] \quad (x_i \in X), \\ & [x_1, x_2, x_3][x_4, x_5] + [x_1, x_4, x_3][x_2, x_5] \quad (x_i \in X) \end{aligned}$$

(see [8, Theorem 1.3]). In the latter case, in general, the polynomials $[x_1, x_2][x_3, x_4, x_5]$ ($x_i \in X$) of type (2) do not belong to $T^{(4)}$ but $3[x_1, x_2][x_3, x_4, x_5] \in T^{(4)}$ (see [22]).

The aim of the present article is to exhibit a similar generating set for $T^{(5)}$. Our result is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let K be a unital associative and commutative ring and let $K\langle X \rangle$ be the free K -algebra on a non-empty set X of free generators. Then the ideal $T^{(5)}$ is generated as a two-sided ideal of $K\langle X \rangle$ by the following polynomials:*

$$\begin{aligned} (4) \quad & [x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5] \quad (x_i \in X); \\ (5) \quad & [x_1, x_2, x_3][x_4, x_5, x_6] \quad (x_i \in X); \\ (6) \quad & [x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4][x_5, x_6, x_7] \quad (x_i \in X); \\ (7) \quad & [x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4][x_5, x_6] + [x_1, x_2, x_3, x_5][x_4, x_6] \quad (x_i \in X); \\ (8) \quad & [x_1, x_2, x_3]([x_4, x_5][x_6, x_7] + [x_4, x_6][x_5, x_7]) \quad (x_i \in X); \\ (9) \quad & [([x_1, x_2][x_3, x_4] + [x_1, x_3][x_2, x_4]), x_5, x_6] \quad (x_i \in X); \\ (10) \quad & [([x_1, x_2][x_3, x_4] + [x_1, x_3][x_2, x_4]), x_5][x_6, x_7] \\ & + [([x_1, x_2][x_3, x_4] + [x_1, x_3][x_2, x_4]), x_6][x_5, x_7] \quad (x_i \in X); \\ (11) \quad & ([x_1, x_2][x_3, x_4] + [x_1, x_3][x_2, x_4])([x_5, x_6][x_7, x_8] + [x_5, x_7][x_6, x_8]) \quad (x_i \in X). \end{aligned}$$

It can be easily seen that the additive group of the ring $\mathbb{Z}\langle X \rangle/T^{(2)}$ is free abelian. It was shown in [6] that the additive group of $\mathbb{Z}\langle X \rangle/T^{(3)}$ is also free abelian. On the other hand, the additive group of the ring $\mathbb{Z}\langle X \rangle/T^{(4)}$ is a direct sum $A \oplus B$ of a free abelian group A and an elementary abelian 3-group B (see [22]); bases of A and B were found in [22] and [8], respectively. Computational data by Cordwell, Fei and Zhou presented in [7, Appendix A] suggests that if $n \geq 6$ then the additive group of the ring $\mathbb{Z}\langle X \rangle/T^{(n)}$ is also a direct sum of a free abelian group and a non-trivial elementary abelian 3-group while for $n = 5$ this group is free abelian. It is still an open problem whether the additive group of $\mathbb{Z}\langle X \rangle/T^{(5)}$ is free abelian indeed and, more generally, whether $K\langle X \rangle/T^{(5)}$ is a free K -module; we hope that our result might be the first step in solving it.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Let A be an associative K -algebra. In the proof we will make use of the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned} (12) \quad & [u, v_1 v_2] = v_1[u, v_2] + [u, v_1]v_2; \quad [u_1 u_2, v] = u_1[u_2, v] + [u_1, v]u_2; \\ & [u_1 u_2, v, w] = u_1[u_2, v, w] + [u_1, w][u_2, v] + [u_1, v][u_2, w] + [u_1, v, w]u_2; \\ & [u_1 u_2, v, w, t] = u_1[u_2, v, w, t] + [u_1, t][u_2, v, w] + [u_1, w][u_2, v, t] + [u_1, w, t][u_2, v] \\ & \quad + [u_1, v][u_2, w, t] + [u_1, v, t][u_2, w] + [u_1, v, w][u_2, t] + [u_1, v, w, t]u_2. \end{aligned}$$

These identities hold for all $u_i, v_j, u, v, w, t \in A$; they can be checked by straightforward calculation or using the Leibniz rule since, for each $v \in A$, the map $u \rightarrow [u, v]$ is a derivation of A .

Let I be the two-sided ideal of $K\langle X \rangle$ generated by the polynomials (4)–(11). To prove the theorem one has to verify that $I \subseteq T^{(5)}$ and $T^{(5)} \subseteq I$.

To prove that $I \subseteq T^{(5)}$ we will check that all polynomials (4)–(11) belong to $T^{(5)}$. It is clear that the polynomials of the form (4) belong to $T^{(5)}$.

Further, for all $a_i \in K\langle X \rangle$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 a_5, a_6] &= [(a_4[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_5] + [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4]a_5), a_6] \\ &= a_4[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_5, a_6] + [a_4, a_6][a_1, a_2, a_3, a_5] + [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4][a_5, a_6] \\ &\quad + [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6]a_5 = a_4[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_5, a_6] + [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_5][a_4, a_6] \\ &\quad + [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4][a_5, a_6] - [[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4], [a_5, a_6]] + [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6]a_5. \end{aligned}$$

Since $[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 a_5, a_6]$, $a_4[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_5, a_6]$, $[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6]a_5 \in T^{(5)}$ and

$$[[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4], [a_5, a_6]] = [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6] - [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6, a_5] \in T^{(5)},$$

we have

$$(13) \quad [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4][a_5, a_6] + [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_5][a_4, a_6] \in T^{(5)}$$

for all $a_i \in K\langle X \rangle$. Thus, the polynomials of the form (7) belong to $T^{(5)}$.

To proceed further we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *For all $a_i \in K\langle X \rangle$, we have $[a_1, a_2, a_3][a_4, a_5, a_6] \in T^{(5)}$.*

Proof. Let u_1, u_2 be commutators of length 2 in a_i ($a_i \in K\langle X \rangle$) and let $y_1, y_2 \in K\langle X \rangle$. Then $[u_1, y_1 y_2, u_2] \in T^{(5)}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} [u_1, y_1 y_2, u_2] &= [(y_1[u_1, y_2] + [u_1, y_1]y_2), u_2] \\ &= y_1[u_1, y_2, u_2] + [y_1, u_2][u_1, y_2] + [u_1, y_1][y_2, u_2] + [u_1, y_1, u_2]y_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $[u_1, y_1 y_2, u_2]$, $y_1[u_1, y_2, u_2]$, $[u_1, y_1, u_2]y_2 \in T^{(5)}$, we have

$$[y_1, u_2][u_1, y_2] + [u_1, y_1][y_2, u_2] \in T^{(5)}.$$

Let $u_1 = [a_1, a_2]$, $u_2 = [a_4, a_5]$, $y_1 = a_3$, $y_2 = a_6$. Then

$$[y_1, u_2][u_1, y_2] + [u_1, y_1][y_2, u_2] = [a_3, [a_4, a_5]][a_1, a_2, a_6] + [a_1, a_2, a_3][a_6, [a_4, a_5]]$$

so

$$(14) \quad [a_1, a_2, a_3][a_4, a_5, a_6] + [a_1, a_2, a_6][a_4, a_5, a_3] \in T^{(5)}.$$

Further, if $u_1 = [a_1, a_2]$ then $[u_1, a_3 a_4, a_5, a_6] \in T^{(5)}$. By (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [u_1, a_3 a_4, a_5, a_6] &= [(a_3[u_1, a_4] + [u_1, a_3]a_4), a_5, a_6] \\ &= a_3[u_1, a_4, a_5, a_6] + [a_3, a_6][u_1, a_4, a_5] + [a_3, a_5][u_1, a_4, a_6] + [a_3, a_5, a_6][u_1, a_4] \\ &\quad + [u_1, a_3][a_4, a_5, a_6] + [u_1, a_3, a_6][a_4, a_5] + [u_1, a_3, a_5][a_4, a_6] + [u_1, a_3, a_5, a_6]a_4. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $[u_1, a_3 a_4, a_5, a_6]$, $a_3[u_1, a_4, a_5, a_6]$, $[u_1, a_3, a_5, a_6]a_4 \in T^{(5)}$. By (13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [a_3, a_6][u_1, a_4, a_5] + [a_3, a_5][u_1, a_4, a_6] &\in T^{(5)}, \\ [u_1, a_3, a_6][a_4, a_5] + [u_1, a_3, a_5][a_4, a_6] &\in T^{(5)}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $[a_3, a_5, a_6][u_1, a_4] + [u_1, a_3][a_4, a_5, a_6] \in T^{(5)}$, that is,

$$(15) \quad [a_1, a_2, a_3][a_4, a_5, a_6] + [a_1, a_2, a_4][a_3, a_5, a_6] \in T^{(5)}.$$

Let $a_i \in K\langle X \rangle$ ($1 \leq i \leq 6$). It is clear that

$$[a_1, a_2, a_3][a_4, a_5, a_6] = \text{sgn } \sigma [a_{\sigma(1)}, a_{\sigma(2)}, a_{\sigma(3)}][a_{\sigma(4)}, a_{\sigma(5)}, a_{\sigma(6)}]$$

if $\sigma = (12)$ or $\sigma = (45)$. By (14) and (15),

$$(16) \quad [a_1, a_2, a_3][a_4, a_5, a_6] \equiv \text{sgn } \sigma [a_{\sigma(1)}, a_{\sigma(2)}, a_{\sigma(3)}][a_{\sigma(4)}, a_{\sigma(5)}, a_{\sigma(6)}] \pmod{T^{(5)}}$$

if $\sigma \in \{(36), (34), (16)\}$. It is easy to check that the transpositions (12), (45), (36), (34) and (16) generate the entire group S_6 of the permutations on the set $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. It follows that the congruence (16) holds for all $\sigma \in S_6$ and all $a_i \in K\langle X \rangle$ ($1 \leq i \leq 6$).

Now we are in a position to complete the proof of the lemma. By the Jacobi identity, we have

$$([a_1, a_2, a_3] + [a_2, a_3, a_1] + [a_3, a_1, a_2])[a_4, a_5, a_6] = 0$$

By (16), this implies

$$(17) \quad 3 [a_1, a_2, a_3][a_4, a_5, a_6] \in T^{(5)}.$$

Further, it is clear that

$$[a_1, a_2, a_3][a_4, a_5, a_6] \equiv [a_4, a_5, a_6][a_1, a_2, a_3] \pmod{T^{(5)}}.$$

On the other hand, by (16) we have

$$[a_1, a_2, a_3][a_4, a_5, a_6] \equiv -[a_4, a_5, a_6][a_1, a_2, a_3] \pmod{T^{(5)}}.$$

It follows that

$$(18) \quad 2 [a_1, a_2, a_3][a_4, a_5, a_6] \in T^{(5)}.$$

Now (17) and (18) imply that $[a_1, a_2, a_3][a_4, a_5, a_6] \in T^{(5)}$ for all $a_i \in K\langle X \rangle$ ($1 \leq i \leq 6$), as required. The proof of Lemma 2.1 is completed. \square

It follows immediately from Lemma 2.1 that the polynomials of the forms (5) and (6) belong to $T^{(5)}$.

Further, by (12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} [a_5 a_6, a_4, a_7] &= a_5 [a_6, a_4, a_7] + [a_5, a_4][a_6, a_7] + [a_5, a_7][a_6, a_4] + [a_5, a_4, a_7] a_6 \\ &= [a_6, a_4, a_7] a_5 - [a_6, a_4, a_7, a_5] - [a_4, a_5][a_6, a_7] - [a_4, a_6][a_5, a_7] + [[a_5, a_7], [a_6, a_4]] + [a_5, a_4, a_7] a_6 \end{aligned}$$

for all $a_i \in K\langle X \rangle$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} [a_1, a_2, a_3][a_5 a_6, a_4, a_7] &= [a_1, a_2, a_3][a_6, a_4, a_7] a_5 - [a_1, a_2, a_3][a_6, a_4, a_7, a_5] \\ &\quad - [a_1, a_2, a_3]([a_4, a_5][a_6, a_7] + [a_4, a_6][a_5, a_7]) + [a_1, a_2, a_3][[a_5, a_7], [a_6, a_4]] + [a_1, a_2, a_3][a_5, a_4, a_7] a_6. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1, the polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} [a_1, a_2, a_3][a_5 a_6, a_4, a_7], \quad [a_1, a_2, a_3][a_6, a_4, a_7] a_5, \quad [a_1, a_2, a_3][a_6, a_4, a_7, a_5], \\ [a_1, a_2, a_3][[a_5, a_7], [a_6, a_4]], \quad [a_1, a_2, a_3][a_5, a_4, a_7] a_6 \end{aligned}$$

belong to $T^{(5)}$. It follows that for all $a_i \in K\langle X \rangle$

$$(19) \quad [a_1, a_2, a_3]([a_4, a_5][a_6, a_7] + [a_4, a_6][a_5, a_7]) \in T^{(5)}.$$

Thus, the polynomials of the form (8) belong to $T^{(5)}$.

Similarly, Lemma 2.1, (19) and the equality

$$\begin{aligned} [a_2 a_3, a_1, a_4]([a_5, a_6][a_7, a_8] + [a_5, a_7][a_6, a_8]) \\ = a_2 [a_3, a_1, a_4]([a_5, a_6][a_7, a_8] + [a_5, a_7][a_6, a_8]) \\ - ([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4])([a_5, a_6][a_7, a_8] + [a_5, a_7][a_6, a_8]) \\ + [[a_2, a_4], [a_3, a_1]]([a_5, a_6][a_7, a_8] + [a_5, a_7][a_6, a_8]) \\ + (a_3 [a_2, a_1, a_4] + [a_2, a_1, a_4, a_3])([a_5, a_6][a_7, a_8] + [a_5, a_7][a_6, a_8]) \end{aligned}$$

imply that for all $a_i \in K\langle X \rangle$

$$([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4])([a_5, a_6][a_7, a_8] + [a_5, a_7][a_6, a_8]) \in T^{(5)}.$$

It follows that the polynomials of the form (11) belong to $T^{(5)}$.

One can check in a similar way using the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} [a_2 a_3, a_1, a_4, a_5, a_6] = & \left[\left(a_2 [a_3, a_1, a_4] - ([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4]) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + [[a_2, a_4], [a_3, a_1]] + [a_2, a_1, a_4] a_3 \right), a_5, a_6 \right] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & [a_2 a_3, a_1, a_4, a_5][a_6, a_7] + [a_2 a_3, a_1, a_4, a_6][a_5, a_7] \\ = & \left[\left(a_2 [a_3, a_1, a_4] - ([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4]) + [[a_2, a_4], [a_3, a_1]] + [a_2, a_1, a_4] a_3 \right), a_5 \right] [a_6, a_7] \\ & + \left[\left(a_2 [a_3, a_1, a_4] - ([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4]) + [[a_2, a_4], [a_3, a_1]] + [a_2, a_1, a_4] a_3 \right), a_6 \right] [a_5, a_7], \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1 and (13) that the polynomials of the forms (9) and (10) belong to $T^{(5)}$ as well.

Thus, all polynomials of the forms (4)–(11) are contained in $T^{(5)}$. It follows that $I \subseteq T^{(5)}$.

Now we prove that $T^{(5)} \subseteq I$. Since the ideal $T^{(5)}$ is generated by the polynomials $[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5]$ where $a_i \in K \langle X \rangle$ for all i , it suffices to check that, for all $a_i \in K \langle X \rangle$, the polynomial

$$(20) \quad [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5]$$

belongs to I . Clearly, one may assume without loss of generality that all a_i in (20) are monomials.

In order to prove that each polynomial of the form (20) belongs to I we will prove that, for all monomials $a_i \in K \langle X \rangle$, the following polynomials belong to I as well:

$$(21) \quad ([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4])([a_5, a_6][a_7, a_8] + [a_5, a_7][a_6, a_8]);$$

$$(22) \quad [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4][a_5, a_6, a_7];$$

$$(23) \quad [a_1, a_2, a_3]([a_4, a_5][a_6, a_7] + [a_4, a_6][a_5, a_7]);$$

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left[([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4]), a_5 \right] [a_6, a_7] \\ & + \left[([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4]), a_6 \right] [a_5, a_7]; \end{aligned}$$

$$(25) \quad \left[([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4]), a_5, a_6 \right];$$

$$(26) \quad [a_1, a_2, a_3][a_4, a_5, a_6];$$

$$(27) \quad [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4][a_5, a_6] + [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_5][a_4, a_6].$$

The proof is by induction on the degree $m = \deg f$ of a polynomial f that is of one of the forms (20)–(27). It is clear that for such f we have $m \geq 5$. If $m = 5$ then f is of the form (20) with all monomials a_i of degree 1. Hence, f is of the form (4) so $f \in I$. This establishes the base of the induction.

To prove the induction step suppose that $m = \deg f > 5$ and that all polynomials of the forms (20)–(27) of degree less than m belong to I .

We need the following.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_8 \in K \langle X \rangle$ be monomials such that $\sum_{i=1}^8 \deg b_i = m$. Suppose that all polynomials of the forms (20)–(27) of degree less than m belong to I . Then*

$$[b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4]([b_5, b_6][b_7, b_8] + [b_5, b_7][b_6, b_8]) \in I.$$

Proof. We have

$$(28) \quad [b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4]([b_5, b_6][b_7, b_8] + [b_5, b_7][b_6, b_8]) = [b_1, b_2, b_3]([b_5, b_6][b_7, b_8] + [b_5, b_7][b_6, b_8])b_4 \\ - b_4[b_1, b_2, b_3]([b_5, b_6][b_7, b_8] + [b_5, b_7][b_6, b_8]) - [b_1, b_2, b_3]([b_5, b_6][b_7, b_8] + [b_5, b_7][b_6, b_8]), b_4].$$

Here

$$[b_1, b_2, b_3]([b_5, b_6][b_7, b_8] + [b_5, b_7][b_6, b_8]) \in I$$

because it is a polynomial of type (23) of degree less than m . It follows that

$$(29) \quad [b_1, b_2, b_3]([b_5, b_6][b_7, b_8] + [b_5, b_7][b_6, b_8])b_4 - b_4[b_1, b_2, b_3]([b_5, b_6][b_7, b_8] + [b_5, b_7][b_6, b_8]) \in I.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} [[b_5, b_6][b_7, b_8], b_4] &= [b_5, b_6, b_4][b_7, b_8] + [b_5, b_6][b_7, b_8, b_4] \\ &= [b_5, b_6, b_4][b_7, b_8] + [b_7, b_8, b_4][b_5, b_6] - [[b_7, b_8, b_4], [b_5, b_6]] \\ &\equiv [b_5, b_6, b_4][b_7, b_8] + [b_7, b_8, b_4][b_5, b_6] \pmod{I} \end{aligned}$$

because

$$[[b_7, b_8, b_4], [b_5, b_6]] = [b_7, b_8, b_4, b_5, b_6] - [b_7, b_8, b_4, b_6, b_5]$$

and $[b_7, b_8, b_4, b_5, b_6], [b_7, b_8, b_4, b_6, b_5] \in I$ since these two polynomials are of type (20) of degree less than m . Similarly,

$$[[b_5, b_7][b_6, b_8], b_4] \equiv [b_5, b_7, b_4][b_6, b_8] + [b_6, b_8, b_4][b_5, b_7] \pmod{I}.$$

It follows that

$$(30) \quad [b_1, b_2, b_3]([b_5, b_6][b_7, b_8] + [b_5, b_7][b_6, b_8]), b_4 \equiv [b_1, b_2, b_3]([b_5, b_6, b_4][b_7, b_8] \\ + [b_7, b_8, b_4][b_5, b_6] + [b_5, b_7, b_4][b_6, b_8] + [b_6, b_8, b_4][b_5, b_7]) \pmod{I}.$$

Note that if $k, \ell \in \{5, 6, 7, 8\}$, $k \neq \ell$ then

$$(31) \quad [b_1, b_2, a_3][b_k, b_\ell, b_4] \in I$$

because it is a polynomial of type (26) of degree less than m . It follows from (30) and (31) that

$$(32) \quad [b_1, b_2, b_3]([b_5, b_6][b_7, b_8] + [b_5, b_7][b_6, b_8]), b_4 \in I$$

and, therefore, by (28), (29) and (32),

$$[b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4]([b_5, b_6][b_7, b_8] + [b_5, b_7][b_6, b_8]) \in I,$$

as required. \square

Since $[[b_1, b_2], [b_3, b_4]] = [b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4] - [b_1, b_2, b_4, b_3]$, we have the following:

Corollary 2.3. *Suppose that b_1, b_2, \dots, b_8 are as in Lemma 2.2. Then*

$$[[b_1, b_2], [b_3, b_4]]([b_5, b_6][b_7, b_8] + [b_5, b_7][b_6, b_8]) \in I.$$

It is clear that the following lemma holds.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5 \in K\langle X \rangle$ be monomials such that $\sum_{i=1}^5 \deg b_i < m$. Suppose that all polynomials of the forms (20)–(27) of degree less than m belong to I . Then*

$$b_1[b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5] \equiv [b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5]b_1 \pmod{I} \quad \text{and} \quad [b_1, b_2, b_3][b_4, b_5] \equiv [b_4, b_5][b_1, b_2, b_3] \pmod{I}.$$

Now we prove the induction step. We split the proof into 8 cases.

Case 1. Suppose that f is of the form (21),

$$f = f(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_8) = ([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4])([a_5, a_6][a_7, a_8] + [a_5, a_7][a_6, a_8]).$$

If $\deg f = 8$ then each monomial a_i is of degree 1 so f is of the form (11) and, therefore, $f \in I$. If $\deg f > 8$ then, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq 8$, we have $a_i = a'_i a''_i$ where $\deg a'_i, \deg a''_i < \deg a_i$. We claim that to check that $f \in I$ one may assume without loss of generality that $i = 1$, that is, $a_1 = a'_1 a''_1$.

Indeed, by the induction hypothesis,

$$\left[([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4]), a_k, a_\ell \right] \in I$$

if $5 \leq k, \ell \leq 8$, $k \neq \ell$, because the commutator above is a polynomial of type (25) and of degree less than $m = \deg f$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4]), [a_k, a_\ell] \right] \\ &= \left[([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4]), a_k, a_\ell \right] - \left[([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4]), a_\ell, a_k \right] \in I. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & ([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4])([a_5, a_6][a_7, a_8] + [a_5, a_7][a_6, a_8]) \\ & \equiv ([a_5, a_6][a_7, a_8] + [a_5, a_7][a_6, a_8])([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4]) \pmod{I}, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$(33) \quad f(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7, a_8) \equiv f(a_5, a_6, a_7, a_8, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \pmod{I}.$$

Further, let $g = ([a_5, a_6][a_7, a_8] + [a_5, a_7][a_6, a_8])$; then, by Corollary 2.3, we have

$$[[a_1, a_2], [a_3, a_4]]g \equiv 0 \pmod{I}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & ([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4])g \equiv ([a_2, a_1][a_4, a_3] + [a_2, a_4][a_1, a_3])g \pmod{I} \\ & \equiv ([a_3, a_4][a_1, a_2] + [a_3, a_1][a_4, a_2])g \pmod{I} \equiv ([a_4, a_3][a_2, a_1] + [a_4, a_2][a_3, a_1])g \pmod{I}, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$(34) \quad \begin{aligned} & f(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, \dots) \equiv f(a_2, a_1, a_4, a_3, a_5, \dots) \pmod{I} \\ & \equiv f(a_3, a_4, a_1, a_2, a_5, \dots) \pmod{I} \equiv f(a_4, a_3, a_2, a_1, a_5, \dots) \pmod{I}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (33) and (34) that if $a_i = a'_i a''_i$ for some i , $1 \leq i \leq 8$, then we may assume without loss of generality that $i = 1$, that is, $a_1 = a'_1 a''_1$, as claimed.

Further, we have

$$[a'_1 a''_1, a_i] = a'_1 [a''_1, a_i] + [a'_1, a_i] a''_1 = a'_1 [a''_1, a_i] + a''_1 [a'_1, a_i] + [a'_1, a_i, a''_1]$$

where $i \in \{2, 3\}$ so

$$(35) \quad \begin{aligned} f &= ([a'_1 a''_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a'_1 a''_1, a_3][a_2, a_4])g = a'_1 ([a''_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a''_1, a_3][a_2, a_4])g \\ &+ a''_1 ([a'_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a'_1, a_3][a_2, a_4])g + ([a'_1, a_2, a''_1][a_3, a_4] + [a'_1, a_3, a''_1][a_2, a_4])g. \end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis,

$$([b, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [b, a_3][a_2, a_4])g = ([b, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [b, a_3][a_2, a_4])([a_5, a_6][a_7, a_8] + [a_5, a_7][a_6, a_8]) \in I$$

if $b \in \{a'_1, a''_1\}$ so

$$(36) \quad a'_1 ([a''_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a''_1, a_3][a_2, a_4])g + a''_1 ([a'_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a'_1, a_3][a_2, a_4])g \in I.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.4,

$$(37) \quad ([a'_1, a_2, a''_1][a_3, a_4] + [a'_1, a_3, a''_1][a_2, a_4])g \equiv ([a_3, a_4][a'_1, a_2, a''_1] + [a_2, a_4][a'_1, a_3, a''_1])g \pmod{I}.$$

By the induction hypothesis, we have

$$[a'_1, a_2, a''_1]g = [a'_1, a_2, a''_1]([a_5, a_6][a_7, a_8] + [a_5, a_7][a_6, a_8]) \in I$$

because this is a polynomial of type (23) of degree less than m . Hence, $[a_3, a_4][a'_1, a_2, a''_1]g \in I$ and, similarly, $[a_2, a_4][a'_1, a_3, a''_1]g \in I$. By (37), we have

$$(38) \quad ([a'_1, a_2, a''_1][a_3, a_4] + [a'_1, a_3, a''_1][a_2, a_4])g \in I$$

so, by (35), (36) and (38), $f \in I$.

Thus, each polynomial

$$f = ([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4])([a_5, a_6][a_7, a_8] + [a_5, a_7][a_6, a_8])$$

of the form (21) of degree m belongs to I .

Case 2. Suppose now that f is of the form (22),

$$f = [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4][a_5, a_6, a_7].$$

If $\deg f = 7$ then f is of the form (6) so $f \in I$. If $\deg f > 7$ then for some i , $1 \leq i \leq 7$, we have $a_i = a'_i a''_i$, where $\deg a'_i, \deg a''_i < \deg a_i$.

Suppose that $a_1 = a'_1 a''_1$. By (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f &= [a'_1 a''_1, a_2, a_3, a_4][a_5, a_6, a_7] \\ &= (a'_1[a''_1, a_2, a_3, a_4] + [a'_1, a_2][a''_1, a_3, a_4] + [a'_1, a_3][a''_1, a_2, a_4] + [a'_1, a_4][a''_1, a_2, a_3] \\ &\quad + [a'_1, a_2, a_3][a''_1, a_4] + [a'_1, a_2, a_4][a''_1, a_3] + [a'_1, a_3, a_4][a''_1, a_2] + [a'_1, a_2, a_3, a_4]a''_1)[a_5, a_6, a_7] \end{aligned}$$

so, by Lemma 2.4,

$$(39) \quad \begin{aligned} f &\equiv (a'_1[a''_1, a_2, a_3, a_4] + [a'_1, a_2][a''_1, a_3, a_4] + [a'_1, a_3][a''_1, a_2, a_4] + [a'_1, a_4][a''_1, a_2, a_3] \\ &\quad + [a''_1, a_4][a'_1, a_2, a_3] \\ &\quad + [a''_1, a_3][a'_1, a_2, a_4] + [a''_1, a_2][a'_1, a_3, a_4] + a''_1[a'_1, a_2, a_3, a_4])[a_5, a_6, a_7] \pmod{I}. \end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis, we have $[b, a_2, a_3, a_4][a_5, a_6, a_7] \in I$ if $b \in \{a'_1, a''_1\}$ so

$$(40) \quad (a'_1[a''_1, a_2, a_3, a_4] + a''_1[a'_1, a_2, a_3, a_4])[a_5, a_6, a_7] \in I.$$

Further, by the induction hypothesis, $[b, a_k, a_\ell][a_5, a_6, a_7] \in I$ if $b \in \{a'_1, a''_1\}$ and $2 \leq k, \ell \leq 4$, $k \neq \ell$, so

$$(41) \quad \begin{aligned} &([a'_1, a_2][a''_1, a_3, a_4] + [a'_1, a_3][a''_1, a_2, a_4] + [a'_1, a_4][a''_1, a_2, a_3] + [a''_1, a_4][a'_1, a_2, a_3] \\ &\quad + [a''_1, a_3][a'_1, a_2, a_4] + [a''_1, a_2][a'_1, a_3, a_4])[a_5, a_6, a_7] \in I \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (39), (40) and (41) that $f \in I$ if $a_1 = a'_1 a''_1$. Similarly, $f \in I$ if $a_2 = a'_2 a''_2$.

Suppose that $a_3 = a'_3 a''_3$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} f &= [a_1, a_2, a'_3 a''_3, a_4][a_5, a_6, a_7] = [a'_3[a_1, a_2, a''_3] + [a_1, a_2, a'_3]a''_3][a_5, a_6, a_7] \\ &= (a'_3[a_1, a_2, a''_3, a_4] + [a'_3, a_4][a_1, a_2, a''_3] + [a_1, a_2, a'_3][a''_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_2, a'_3, a_4]a''_3)[a_5, a_6, a_7] \\ &\equiv (a'_3[a_1, a_2, a''_3, a_4] + [a'_3, a_4][a_1, a_2, a''_3] + [a''_3, a_4][a_1, a_2, a'_3] + a''_3[a_1, a_2, a'_3, a_4])[a_5, a_6, a_7] \pmod{I}. \end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis, $[a_1, a_2, b, a_4][a_5, a_6, a_7] \in I$ and $[a_1, a_2, b][a_5, a_6, a_7] \in I$ if $b \in \{a'_3, a''_3\}$ so

$$(a'_3[a_1, a_2, a''_3, a_4] + [a'_3, a_4][a_1, a_2, a''_3] + a''_3[a_1, a_2, a'_3, a_4] + [a''_3, a_4][a_1, a_2, a'_3])[a_5, a_6, a_7] \in I.$$

It follows that $f \in I$ if $a_3 = a'_3 a''_3$.

Suppose that $a_4 = a'_4 a''_4$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f &= [a_1, a_2, a_3, a'_4 a''_4][a_5, a_6, a_7] = (a'_4[a_1, a_2, a_3, a''_4] + [a_1, a_2, a_3, a'_4]a''_4)[a_5, a_6, a_7] \\ &\equiv (a'_4[a_1, a_2, a_3, a''_4] + a''_4[a_1, a_2, a_3, a'_4])[a_5, a_6, a_7] \pmod{I}. \end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis, $[a_1, a_2, a_3, b][a_5, a_6, a_7] \in I$ if $b \in \{a'_4, a''_4\}$. It follows that $f \in I$ if $a_4 = a'_4 a''_4$.

Now suppose that $a_5 = a'_5 a''_5$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f &= [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4][a'_5 a''_5, a_6, a_7] \\ &= [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4](a'_5[a''_5, a_6, a_7] + [a'_5, a_7][a''_5, a_6] + [a'_5, a_6][a''_5, a_7] + [a'_5, a_6, a_7]a''_5) \\ &\equiv a'_5[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4][a''_5, a_6, a_7] + [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4]([a'_5, a_7][a''_5, a_6] + [a'_5, a_6][a''_5, a_7]) \\ &\quad + [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4][a'_5, a_6, a_7]a''_5 \pmod{I}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $a'_5[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4][a''_5, a_6, a_7] \in I$ and $[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4][a'_5, a_6, a_7]a''_5 \in I$ by the induction hypothesis and $[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4]([a'_5, a_7][a''_5, a_6] + [a'_5, a_6][a''_5, a_7]) = -[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4]([a'_5, a_7][a_6, a''_5] + [a'_5, a_6][a_7, a''_5]) \in I$ by Lemma 2.2. Therefore, $f \in I$ if $a_5 = a'_5 a''_5$. Similarly, $f \in I$ if $a_6 = a'_6 a''_6$.

Finally, suppose that $a_7 = a'_7 a''_7$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} f &= [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4][a_5, a_6, a'_7 a''_7] = [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4]a'_7[a_5, a_6, a''_7] + [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4][a_5, a_6, a'_7]a''_7 \\ &\equiv a'_7[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4][a_5, a_6, a''_7] + [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4][a_5, a_6, a'_7]a''_7 \pmod{I}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $a'_7[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4][a_5, a_6, a''_7] \in I$ and $[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4][a_5, a_6, a'_7]a''_7 \in I$ by the induction hypothesis so $f \in I$ if $a_7 = a'_7 a''_7$.

Thus, each polynomial f of the form (22) and of degree m belongs to I .

Case 3. Suppose that f is of the form (23),

$$f = f(a_1, \dots, a_7) = [a_1, a_2, a_3]([a_4, a_5][a_6, a_7] + [a_4, a_6][a_5, a_7]).$$

If $\deg f = 7$ then each monomial a_i is of degree 1 so f is of the form (8) and, therefore, $f \in I$. If $\deg f > 7$ then for some i , $1 \leq i \leq 7$, we have $a_i = a'_i a''_i$, where $\deg a'_i, \deg a''_i < \deg a_i$. We claim that to check that $f \in I$ one may assume without loss of generality that $i \in \{1, 3, 4\}$.

Indeed, it is clear that

$$(42) \quad f(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_7) = -f(a_2, a_1, a_3, \dots, a_7).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} [a_1, a_2, a_3]([a_4, a_5], [a_6, a_7]) &= [a_1, a_2, a_3]([a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7] - [a_4, a_5, a_7, a_6]) \\ &\equiv ([a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7] - [a_4, a_5, a_7, a_6])[a_1, a_2, a_3] \pmod{I} \equiv 0 \pmod{I} \end{aligned}$$

because, by Case 2, the polynomials of the form (22) of degree m belong to I . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} [a_1, a_2, a_3]([a_4, a_5][a_6, a_7] + [a_4, a_6][a_5, a_7]) &\equiv [a_1, a_2, a_3]([a_5, a_4][a_7, a_6] + [a_5, a_7][a_4, a_6]) \pmod{I} \\ &\equiv [a_1, a_2, a_3]([a_6, a_7][a_4, a_5] + [a_6, a_4][a_7, a_5]) \pmod{I} \\ &\equiv [a_1, a_2, a_3]([a_7, a_6][a_5, a_4] + [a_7, a_5][a_6, a_4]) \pmod{I}, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$(43) \quad \begin{aligned} f(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7) &\equiv f(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_5, a_4, a_7, a_6) \pmod{I} \\ &\equiv f(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_6, a_7, a_4, a_5) \pmod{I} \equiv f(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_7, a_6, a_5, a_4) \pmod{I}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (42) and (43) that one may assume without loss of generality that $i \in \{1, 3, 4\}$, as claimed.

Suppose first that $a_1 = a'_1 a''_1$. Let $g = ([a_4, a_5][a_6, a_7] + [a_4, a_6][a_5, a_7])$. By (12), we have

$$(44) \quad \begin{aligned} f &= [a'_1 a''_1, a_2, a_3]g \\ &= (a'_1[a''_1, a_2, a_3] + [a'_1, a_3][a''_1, a_2] + [a'_1, a_2][a''_1, a_3] + a''_1[a'_1, a_2, a_3] + [a'_1, a_2, a_3, a''_1])g. \end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis,

$$[b, a_2, a_3]g = [b, a_2, a_3]([a_4, a_5][a_6, a_7] + [a_4, a_6][a_5, a_7]) \in I$$

if $b \in \{a'_1, a''_1\}$ so

$$(45) \quad (a'_1[a''_1, a_2, a_3] + a''_1[a'_1, a_2, a_3])g \in I.$$

Further,

$$(46) \quad \begin{aligned} & ([a'_1, a_3][a''_1, a_2] + [a'_1, a_2][a''_1, a_3])g = -([a'_1, a_3][a_2, a''_1] + [a'_1, a_2][a_3, a''_1])g \\ & = -([a'_1, a_3][a_2, a''_1] + [a'_1, a_2][a_3, a''_1])([a_4, a_5][a_6, a_7] + [a_4, a_6][a_5, a_7]) \in I \end{aligned}$$

because this polynomial is (up to sign) of the form (21) and of degree m so, by Case 1, it belongs to I . Finally, by Lemma 2.2,

$$(47) \quad [a'_1, a_2, a_3, a''_1]g = [a'_1, a_2, a_3, a''_1]([a_4, a_5][a_6, a_7] + [a_4, a_6][a_5, a_7]) \in I.$$

It follows from (44), (45), (46) and (47) that $f \in I$ if $a_1 = a'_1 a''_1$.

Suppose that $a_3 = a'_3 a''_3$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f &= [a_1, a_2, a'_3 a''_3]([a_4, a_5][a_6, a_7] + [a_4, a_6][a_5, a_7]) \\ &= (a'_3[a_1, a_2, a''_3] + a''_3[a_1, a_2, a'_3] + [a_1, a_2, a'_3, a''_3])([a_4, a_5][a_6, a_7] + [a_4, a_6][a_5, a_7]) \end{aligned}$$

where $(a'_3[a_1, a_2, a''_3] + a''_3[a_1, a_2, a'_3])([a_4, a_5][a_6, a_7] + [a_4, a_6][a_5, a_7]) \in I$ by the induction hypothesis and $[a_1, a_2, a'_3, a''_3]([a_4, a_5][a_6, a_7] + [a_4, a_6][a_5, a_7]) \in I$ by Lemma 2.2. It follows that $f \in I$ if $a_3 = a'_3 a''_3$.

Finally, suppose that $a_4 = a'_4 a''_4$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} f &= [a_1, a_2, a_3]([a'_4 a''_4, a_5][a_6, a_7] + [a'_4 a''_4, a_6][a_5, a_7]) \\ &= [a_1, a_2, a_3](a'_4[a''_4, a_5][a_6, a_7] + [a'_4, a_5]a''_4[a_6, a_7] + a'_4[a''_4, a_6][a_5, a_7] + [a'_4, a_6]a''_4[a_5, a_7]) \\ &= a'_4[a_1, a_2, a_3]([a''_4, a_5][a_6, a_7] + [a''_4, a_6][a_5, a_7]) + [a_1, a_2, a_3, a'_4]([a''_4, a_5][a_6, a_7] + [a''_4, a_6][a_5, a_7]) \\ &+ a''_4[a_1, a_2, a_3]([a'_4, a_5][a_6, a_7] + [a'_4, a_6][a_5, a_7]) + [a_1, a_2, a_3, a''_4]([a'_4, a_5][a_6, a_7] + [a'_4, a_6][a_5, a_7]) \\ &+ [a_1, a_2, a_3]([a'_4, a_5, a''_4][a_6, a_7] + [a'_4, a_6, a''_4][a_5, a_7]). \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} & a'_4[a_1, a_2, a_3]([a''_4, a_5][a_6, a_7] + [a''_4, a_6][a_5, a_7]) + a''_4[a_1, a_2, a_3]([a'_4, a_5][a_6, a_7] + [a'_4, a_6][a_5, a_7]) \\ & + [a_1, a_2, a_3]([a'_4, a_5, a''_4][a_6, a_7] + [a'_4, a_6, a''_4][a_5, a_7]) \in I \end{aligned}$$

by the induction hypothesis and

$$[a_1, a_2, a_3, a'_4]([a''_4, a_5][a_6, a_7] + [a''_4, a_6][a_5, a_7]) + [a_1, a_2, a_3, a''_4]([a'_4, a_5][a_6, a_7] + [a'_4, a_6][a_5, a_7]) \in I$$

by Lemma 2.2. It follows that $f \in I$ if $a_4 = a'_4 a''_4$.

Thus, each polynomial of the form (23) and of degree m belongs to I .

Case 4. Suppose now that f is of the form (24),

$$\begin{aligned} f &= f(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_7) \\ &= \left[([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4]), a_5 \right] [a_6, a_7] + \left[([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4]), a_6 \right] [a_5, a_7]. \end{aligned}$$

If $\deg f = 7$ then f is of the form (10) so $f \in I$. If $\deg f > 7$ then for some i , $1 \leq i \leq 7$, we have $a_i = a'_i a''_i$, where $\deg a'_i, \deg a''_i < \deg a_i$. We claim that to check that $f \in I$ one may assume without loss of generality that $i \in \{4, 6, 7\}$.

Indeed, it is clear that

$$(48) \quad f(a_1, \dots, a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7) = f(a_1, \dots, a_4, a_6, a_5, a_7).$$

On the other hand, if $\{k, \ell, k', \ell'\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ then, by the induction hypothesis,

$$[[a_k, a_\ell], [a_{k'}, a_{\ell'}], a_5] = [a_k, a_\ell, a_{k'}, a_{\ell'}, a_5] - [a_k, a_\ell, a_{\ell'}, a_{k'}, a_5] \in I.$$

It follows that

$$(49) \quad \begin{aligned} f(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, \dots) &\equiv f(a_2, a_1, a_4, a_3, a_5, \dots) \pmod{I} \\ &\equiv f(a_3, a_4, a_1, a_2, a_5, \dots) \pmod{I} \equiv f(a_4, a_3, a_2, a_1, a_5, \dots) \pmod{I}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (48) and (49) that one may assume without loss of generality that $i \in \{4, 6, 7\}$, as claimed.

Suppose that $a_4 = a'_4 a''_4$. Then

(50)

$$\begin{aligned}
f &= f(a_1, a_2, a_3, a'_4 a''_4, a_5, a_6, a_7) \\
&= \left[([a_1, a_2][a_3, a'_4 a''_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a'_4 a''_4]), a_5 \right] [a_6, a_7] + \left[([a_1, a_2][a_3, a'_4 a''_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a'_4 a''_4]), a_6 \right] [a_5, a_7] \\
&= \left[([a_1, a_2][a_3, a'_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a'_4]) a''_4, a_5 \right] [a_6, a_7] + \left[([a_1, a_2][a_3, a''_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a''_4]) a'_4, a_5 \right] [a_6, a_7] \\
&\quad - \left[([a_1, a_2][a_3, a''_4, a'_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a''_4, a'_4]), a_5 \right] [a_6, a_7] + \left[([a_1, a_2][a_3, a'_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a'_4]) a''_4, a_6 \right] [a_5, a_7] \\
&\quad + \left[([a_1, a_2][a_3, a''_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a''_4]) a'_4, a_6 \right] [a_5, a_7] - \left[([a_1, a_2][a_3, a''_4, a'_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a''_4, a'_4]), a_6 \right] [a_5, a_7]
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(51) \quad & \left[([a_1, a_2][a_3, a''_4, a'_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a''_4, a'_4]), a_5 \right] [a_6, a_7] \\
& + \left[([a_1, a_2][a_3, a''_4, a'_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a''_4, a'_4]), a_6 \right] [a_5, a_7] \\
& = ([a_1, a_2, a_5][a_3, a''_4, a'_4] + [a_1, a_3, a_5][a_2, a''_4, a'_4]) [a_6, a_7] + ([a_1, a_2, a_6][a_3, a''_4, a'_4] \\
& \quad + [a_1, a_3, a_6][a_2, a''_4, a'_4]) [a_5, a_7] + [a_1, a_2] ([a_3, a''_4, a'_4, a_5][a_6, a_7] + [a_3, a''_4, a'_4, a_6][a_5, a_7]) \\
& \quad + [a_1, a_3] ([a_2, a''_4, a'_4, a_5][a_6, a_7] + [a_2, a''_4, a'_4, a_6][a_5, a_7]) \in I
\end{aligned}$$

because, by the induction hypothesis,

$$[a_k, a''_4, a'_4, a_\ell][a_{\ell'}, a_7] + [a_k, a''_4, a'_4, a_{\ell'}][a_\ell, a_7] \in I$$

and $[a_1, a_k, a_\ell][a_{k'}, a''_4, a'_4] \in I$ if $\{k, k'\} = \{2, 3\}$ and $\{\ell, \ell'\} = \{5, 6\}$.

Further,

(52)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[([a_1, a_2][a_3, a'_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a'_4]) a''_4, a_5 \right] [a_6, a_7] + \left[([a_1, a_2][a_3, a'_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a'_4]) a''_4, a_6 \right] [a_5, a_7] \\
& = a''_4 \left(\left[([a_1, a_2][a_3, a'_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a'_4]), a_5 \right] [a_6, a_7] + \left[([a_1, a_2][a_3, a'_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a'_4]), a_6 \right] [a_5, a_7] \right) \\
& \quad + \left[([a_1, a_2][a_3, a'_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a'_4]), a_5, a''_4 \right] [a_6, a_7] + \left[([a_1, a_2][a_3, a'_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a'_4]), a_6, a''_4 \right] [a_5, a_7] \\
& \quad + ([a_1, a_2][a_3, a'_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a'_4]) ([a''_4, a_5][a_6, a_7] + [a''_4, a_6][a_5, a_7]) \in I
\end{aligned}$$

because the polynomials of types (24) and (25) of degree less than m belong to I by the induction hypothesis and the polynomial of type (21) of degree m belongs to I by Case 1. Similarly,

(53)

$$\left[([a_1, a_2][a_3, a''_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a''_4]) a'_4, a_5 \right] [a_6, a_7] + \left[([a_1, a_2][a_3, a''_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a''_4]) a'_4, a_6 \right] [a_5, a_7] \in I.$$

It follows from (50), (51), (52) and (53) that $f \in I$ if $a_4 = a'_4 a''_4$.

Now suppose that $a_6 = a'_6 a''_6$. Let $g = [a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4]$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(54) \quad f &= [g, a_5][a'_6 a''_6, a_7] + [g, a'_6 a''_6][a_5, a_7] = [g, a_5] a'_6 [a''_6, a_7] + [g, a_5][a'_6, a_7] a''_6 + a'_6 [g, a''_6][a_5, a_7] \\
& \quad + [g, a'_6] a''_6 [a_5, a_7] = a'_6 ([g, a_5][a''_6, a_7] + [g, a''_6][a_5, a_7]) + [g, a_5, a'_6][a''_6, a_7] + ([g, a_5][a'_6, a_7] \\
& \quad + [g, a'_6][a_5, a_7]) a''_6 - [g, a'_6][a_5, a_7, a''_6].
\end{aligned}$$

Here

(55)

$$\begin{aligned}
& a'_6([g, a_5][a''_6, a_7] + [g, a''_6][a_5, a_7]) + [g, a_5, a'_6][a''_6, a_7] + ([g, a_5][a'_6, a_7] + [g, a'_6][a_5, a_7])a''_6 \\
&= a'_6\left(\left([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4]\right), a_5\right)[a''_6, a_7] + \left(\left([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4]\right), a'_6\right)[a_5, a_7] \\
&+ \left(\left([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4]\right), a_5, a'_6\right)[a''_6, a_7] + \left(\left([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4]\right), a_5\right)[a'_6, a_7] \\
&+ \left(\left([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4]\right), a'_6\right)[a_5, a_7]a''_6 \in I
\end{aligned}$$

because polynomials of types (24) and (25) of degree less than m belong to I by the induction hypothesis. Further,

$$\begin{aligned}
(56) \quad & [g, a'_6][a_5, a_7, a''_6] = \left(\left([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4]\right), a'_6\right)[a_5, a_7, a''_6] \\
&= ([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4, a'_6] + [a_1, a_2, a'_6][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4, a'_6] + [a_1, a_3, a'_6][a_2, a_4])[a_5, a_7, a''_6] \\
&\equiv \left([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4, a'_6] + [a_3, a_4][a_1, a_2, a'_6] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4, a'_6] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + [a_2, a_4][a_1, a_3, a'_6]\right)[a_5, a_7, a''_6] \pmod{I} \equiv 0 \pmod{I}
\end{aligned}$$

because the polynomials of the form (26) of degree less than m belong to I by the induction hypothesis. It follows from (54), (55) and (56) that $f \in I$ if $a_6 = a'_6 a''_6$.

Finally, suppose that $a_7 = a'_7 a''_7$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
f &= [g, a_5][a_6, a'_7 a''_7] + [g, a_6][a_5, a'_7 a''_7] = [g, a_5]a'_7[a_6, a''_7] + [g, a_5][a_6, a'_7]a''_7 + [g, a_6]a'_7[a_5, a''_7] \\
&+ [g, a_6][a_5, a'_7]a''_7 = ([g, a_5][a_6, a''_7] + [g, a_6][a_5, a''_7])a'_7 + ([g, a_5][a_6, a'_7] + [g, a_6][a_5, a'_7])a''_7 \\
&- [g, a_5][a_6, a''_7, a'_7] - [g, a_6][a_5, a''_7, a'_7].
\end{aligned}$$

One can prove repeating the argument used for $i = 6$ (when $a_6 = a'_6 a''_6$) that $f \in I$ if $a_7 = a'_7 a''_7$.

Thus, each polynomial f of the form (24) and of degree m belongs to I .

Case 5. Suppose that f is of the form (25),

$$f = \left(\left([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4]\right), a_5, a_6\right).$$

If $\deg f = 6$ then each monomial a_i is of degree 1 so f is of the form (9) and, therefore, $f \in I$. If $\deg f > 6$ then, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq 6$, we have $a_i = a'_i a''_i$ where $\deg a'_i, \deg a''_i < \deg a_i$.

We claim that to check that $f \in I$ one may assume without loss of generality that $i \in \{4, 5, 6\}$. Indeed, it follows from the induction hypothesis that if $\{k, \ell, k', \ell'\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ then

$$[[a_k, a_\ell], [a_{k'}, a_{\ell'}], a_5] = [a_k, a_\ell, a_{k'}, a_{\ell'}, a_5] - [a_k, a_\ell, a_{k'}, a_{\ell'}, a_5] \in I.$$

Hence, $[[a_k, a_\ell], [a_{k'}, a_{\ell'}], a_5, a_6] \in I$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\left([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4]\right), a_5, a_6\right) &\equiv \left(\left([a_2, a_1][a_4, a_3] + [a_2, a_4][a_1, a_3]\right), a_5, a_6\right) \pmod{I} \\
&\equiv \left(\left([a_3, a_4][a_1, a_2] + [a_3, a_1][a_4, a_2]\right), a_5, a_6\right) \pmod{I} \\
&\equiv \left(\left([a_4, a_3][a_2, a_1] + [a_4, a_2][a_3, a_1]\right), a_5, a_6\right) \pmod{I},
\end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
& f(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6) \equiv f(a_2, a_1, a_4, a_3, a_5, a_6) \pmod{I} \\
&\equiv f(a_3, a_4, a_1, a_2, a_5, a_6) \pmod{I} \equiv f(a_4, a_3, a_2, a_1, a_5, a_6) \pmod{I}.
\end{aligned}$$

The claim follows.

Suppose first that $a_6 = a'_6 a''_6$. Let $g = ([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4])$. We have

$$f = [g, a_5, a'_6 a''_6] = a'_6 [g, a_5, a''_6] + [g, a_5, a'_6] a''_6.$$

By the induction hypothesis, $[g, a_5, b] = \left(([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4]), a_5, b \right) \in I$ for $b \in \{a'_6, a''_6\}$ so in this case $f \in I$.

Suppose that $a_5 = a'_5 a''_5$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f &= [g, a'_5 a''_5, a_6] = [a'_5 [g, a''_5] + [g, a'_5] a''_5, a_6] \\ &= a'_5 [g, a''_5, a_6] + [a'_5, a_6] [g, a''_5] + [g, a'_5] [a''_5, a_6] + [g, a'_5, a_6] a''_5. \end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis, $[g, b, a_6] = \left(([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4]), b, a_6 \right) \in I$ for $b \in \{a'_5, a''_5\}$. On the other hand,

$$[a'_5, a_6] [g, a''_5] + [g, a'_5] [a''_5, a_6] = [g, a''_5] [a'_5, a_6] + [g, a'_5] [a''_5, a_6] + [g, a''_5, [a'_5, a_6]].$$

Since each polynomial of degree m of the form (24) belongs to I , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &[g, a'_5] [a''_5, a_6] + [g, a''_5] [a'_5, a_6] \\ &= \left(([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4]), a'_5 \right) [a''_5, a_6] + \left(([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4]), a''_5 \right) [a'_5, a_6] \in I \end{aligned}$$

and, by the induction hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} [g, a''_5, [a'_5, a_6]] &= [g, a''_5, a'_5, a_6] - [g, a''_5, a_6, a'_5] \\ &= \left(([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4]), a''_5, a'_5, a_6 \right) - \left(([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4]), a''_5, a_6, a'_5 \right) \in I. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, in this case $f \in I$.

Now suppose that $a_4 = a'_4 a''_4$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \left(([a_1, a_2][a_3, a'_4 a''_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a'_4 a''_4]), a_5, a_6 \right) \\ &= \left(([a_1, a_2] a'_4 [a_3, a''_4] + [a_1, a_3] a'_4 [a_2, a''_4]), a_5, a_6 \right) + \left(([a_1, a_2][a_3, a'_4] a''_4 + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a'_4] a''_4), a_5, a_6 \right) \\ &= \left(a'_4 ([a_1, a_2][a_3, a''_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a''_4]), a_5, a_6 \right) + \left(([a_1, a_2][a_3, a'_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a'_4]) a''_4, a_5, a_6 \right) \\ &\quad + \left(([a_1, a_2, a'_4][a_3, a''_4] + [a_1, a_3, a'_4][a_2, a''_4]), a_5, a_6 \right). \end{aligned}$$

By (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &[a'_4 ([a_1, a_2][a_3, a''_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a''_4]), a_5, a_6] \\ &= a'_4 \left(([a_1, a_2][a_3, a''_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a''_4]), a_5, a_6 \right) + [a'_4, a_5] \left(([a_1, a_2][a_3, a''_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a''_4]), a_6 \right) \\ &\quad + [a'_4, a_6] \left(([a_1, a_2][a_3, a''_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a''_4]), a_5 \right) + [a'_4, a_5, a_6] ([a_1, a_2][a_3, a''_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a''_4]) \\ &= a'_4 \left(([a_1, a_2][a_3, a''_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a''_4]), a_5, a_6 \right) - \left(([a_1, a_2][a_3, a''_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a''_4]), a_6 \right) [a_5, a'_4] \\ &\quad + \left(([a_1, a_2][a_3, a''_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a''_4]), a_5 \right) [a_6, a'_4] + [a'_4, a_5, a_6] ([a_1, a_2][a_3, a''_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a''_4]) \\ &\quad - \left(([a_1, a_2][a_3, a''_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a''_4]), a_6 \right), [a_5, a'_4] - \left(([a_1, a_2][a_3, a''_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a''_4]), a_5 \right), [a_6, a'_4]. \end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis, $\left(([a_1, a_2][a_3, a''_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a''_4]), a_5, a_6 \right) \in I$. Further,

$$[a'_4, a_5, a_6] ([a_1, a_2][a_3, a''_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a''_4])$$

and

$$\left[([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4''] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4'']), a_6 \right] [a_5, a_4'] + \left[([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4''] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4'']), a_5 \right] [a_6, a_4']$$

are polynomials of degree m of the forms (23) and (24), respectively, so they belong to I . Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\left[([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4''] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4'']), a_6 \right], [a_5, a_4'] \right] \\ &= \left[([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4''] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4'']), a_6, a_5, a_4' \right] - \left[([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4''] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4'']), a_6, a_4', a_5 \right] \end{aligned}$$

belongs to I by the induction hypothesis and so does the commutator

$$\left[\left[([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4''] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4'']), a_5 \right], [a_6, a_4'] \right].$$

It follows that

$$\left[a_4' ([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4''] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4'']), a_5, a_6 \right] \in I.$$

One can check in a similar way that

$$\left[([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4'] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4']) a_4'', a_5, a_6 \right] \in I.$$

Finally, by (12), the commutator

$$(57) \quad \left[([a_1, a_2, a_4'] [a_3, a_4''] + [a_1, a_3, a_4'] [a_2, a_4'']), a_5, a_6 \right]$$

can be written as a sum of products of two commutators in a_4', a_4'' and a_i ($i \in \{1, 2, 3, 5, 6\}$). The commutators in the products are either of length 2 and 5 or of length 3 and 4. By the induction hypothesis, the commutators of length 5 belong to I and so do the products that contain such commutators. The products of commutators of length 3 and 4 are, modulo I , of the form (22) and of degree m so, by Case 2, they also belong to I . Hence, the commutator (57) belongs to I and so does f if $a_4 = a_4' a_4''$.

Thus, each polynomial $f = \left[([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_3][a_2, a_4]), a_5, a_6 \right]$ of the form (25) of degree m belongs to I .

Case 6. Suppose that f is of the form (26),

$$f = f(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_6) = [a_1, a_2, a_3][a_4, a_5, a_6].$$

If $\deg f = 6$ then each monomial a_i is of degree 1 so f is of the form (5) and, therefore, $f \in I$. If $\deg f > 6$ then, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq 6$, we have $a_i = a_i' a_i''$ where $\deg a_i', \deg a_i'' < \deg a_i$. We claim that to check that $f \in I$ one may assume without loss of generality that $i = 5$ or $i = 6$. Indeed, it is clear that $f(\dots, a_4, a_5, a_6) = -f(\dots, a_5, a_4, a_6)$. Also,

$$(58) \quad \left[[a_1, a_2, a_3], [a_4, a_5, a_6] \right] \in I.$$

Indeed, this commutator is a linear combination of the (left-normed) commutators of the form

$$[a_{k_1}, a_{k_2}, a_{k_3}, a_{k_4}, a_{k_5}, a_{k_6}]$$

where $\{k_1, \dots, k_5, k_6\} = \{1, \dots, 5, 6\}$. Since, by the induction hypothesis, each commutator $[a_{k_1}, \dots, a_{k_5}]$ belongs to I , so does each commutator $[a_{k_1}, \dots, a_{k_5}, a_{k_6}]$; therefore, (58) holds. By (58), we have

$$f(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6) \equiv f(a_4, a_5, a_6, a_1, a_2, a_3) \pmod{I}.$$

The claim follows.

Suppose that $a_6 = a_6' a_6''$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} f &= [a_1, a_2, a_3][a_4, a_5, a_6' a_6''] = [a_1, a_2, a_3] (a_6' [a_4, a_5, a_6''] + [a_4, a_5, a_6'] a_6'') \\ &= a_6' [a_1, a_2, a_3][a_4, a_5, a_6''] + [a_1, a_2, a_3][a_4, a_5, a_6'] a_6'' + [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_6'] [a_4, a_5, a_6'']. \end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis, $[a_1, a_2, a_3][a_4, a_5, b] \in I$ if $b \in \{a'_6, a''_6\}$. Also, $[a_1, a_2, a_3, a'_6][a_4, a_5, a''_6] \in I$ because this is a polynomial of the form (22) and of degree m so, by Case 2, it belongs to I . It follows that $f \in I$.

Suppose that $a_5 = a'_5 a''_5$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f &= [a_1, a_2, a_3][a_4, a'_5 a''_5, a_6] = [a_1, a_2, a_3] \left[(a'_5[a_4, a''_5] + [a_4, a'_5]a''_5), a_6 \right] \\ &= [a_1, a_2, a_3] (a'_5[a_4, a''_5, a_6] + [a'_5, a_6][a_4, a''_5] + [a_4, a'_5][a''_5, a_6] + [a_4, a'_5, a_6]a''_5). \end{aligned}$$

As in the previous case,

$$\begin{aligned} [a_1, a_2, a_3] (a'_5[a_4, a''_5, a_6] + [a_4, a'_5, a_6]a''_5) &= a'_5[a_1, a_2, a_3][a_4, a''_5, a_6] \\ + [a_1, a_2, a_3][a_4, a'_5, a_6]a''_5 + [a_1, a_2, a_3, a'_5][a_4, a''_5, a_6] &\in I. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$[a_1, a_2, a_3] ([a'_5, a_6][a_4, a''_5] + [a_4, a'_5][a''_5, a_6]) = [a_1, a_2, a_3] ([a'_5, a_6][a_4, a''_5] + [a'_5, a_4][a_6, a''_5])$$

is a polynomial of the form (23) of degree m so, by Case 3, it belongs to I . It follows that in this case $f \in I$.

Thus, each polynomial $f = [a_1, a_2, a_3][a_4, a_5, a_6]$ of the form (26) of degree m belongs to I .

Case 7. Suppose that f is of the form (27),

$$f = [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4][a_5, a_6] + [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_5][a_4, a_6].$$

If $\deg f = 6$ then each monomial a_i is of degree 1 so f is of the form (7) and, therefore, $f \in I$. If $\deg f > 6$ then, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq 6$, we have $a_i = a'_i a''_i$ where $\deg a'_i, \deg a''_i < \deg a_i$.

Suppose first that $a_6 = a'_6 a''_6$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} f &= [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4][a_5, a'_6 a''_6] + [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_5][a_4, a'_6 a''_6] \\ &= [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4] (a'_6[a_5, a''_6] + [a_5, a'_6]a''_6) + [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_5] (a'_6[a_4, a''_6] + [a_4, a'_6]a''_6) \\ &\equiv a'_6 ([a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4][a_5, a''_6] + [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_5][a_4, a''_6]) \\ &\quad + ([a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4][a_5, a'_6] + [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_5][a_4, a'_6])a''_6 \pmod{I}. \end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis, $[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4][a_5, b] + [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_5][a_4, b] \in I$ for $b \in \{a'_6, a''_6\}$. It follows that in this case $f \in I$.

Suppose that $a_5 = a'_5 a''_5$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f &= [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4][a'_5 a''_5, a_6] + [a_1, a_2, a_3, a'_5 a''_5][a_4, a_6] \\ &= [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4] (a'_5[a''_5, a_6] + [a''_5, a_6]a'_5) + (a'_5[a_1, a_2, a_3, a'_5] + [a_1, a_2, a_3, a'_5]a''_5)[a_4, a_6] \\ &\equiv a'_5 ([a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4][a''_5, a_6] + [a_1, a_2, a_3, a''_5][a_4, a_6]) + ([a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4][a'_5, a_6] + [a_1, a_2, a_3, a'_5][a_4, a_6])a''_5 \\ &\quad - [a_1, a_2, a_3, a'_5][a_4, a_6, a''_5] \pmod{I}. \end{aligned}$$

We have $[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4][b, a_6] + [a_1, a_2, a_3, b][a_4, a_6] \in I$ for $b \in \{a'_5, a''_5\}$ by the induction hypothesis and $[a_1, a_2, a_3, a'_5][a_4, a_6, a''_5] \in I$ since, by Case 2, the polynomials of the form (22) of degree m belong to I . Hence, in this case $f \in I$. Similarly, $f \in I$ if $a_4 = a'_4 a''_4$.

Suppose that $a_3 = a'_3 a''_3$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
f &= [a_1, a_2, a'_3 a''_3, a_4][a_5, a_6] + [a_1, a_2, a'_3 a''_3, a_5][a_4, a_6] \\
&= \left[(a'_3 [a_1, a_2, a''_3] + [a_1, a_2, a'_3] a''_3), a_4 \right] [a_5, a_6] + \left[(a'_3 [a_1, a_2, a''_3] + [a_1, a_2, a'_3] a''_3), a_5 \right] [a_4, a_6] \\
&= a'_3 [a_1, a_2, a''_3, a_4][a_5, a_6] + a'_3 [a_1, a_2, a''_3, a_5][a_4, a_6] + [a'_3, a_4][a_1, a_2, a''_3][a_5, a_6] \\
&\quad + [a'_3, a_5][a_1, a_2, a''_3][a_4, a_6] + [a_1, a_2, a'_3][a''_3, a_4][a_5, a_6] + [a_1, a_2, a'_3][a''_3, a_5][a_4, a_6] \\
&\quad + [a_1, a_2, a'_3, a_4] a''_3 [a_5, a_6] + [a_1, a_2, a'_3, a_5] a''_3 [a_4, a_6] \\
&\equiv a'_3 ([a_1, a_2, a''_3, a_4][a_5, a_6] + [a_1, a_2, a''_3, a_5][a_4, a_6]) + [a_1, a_2, a''_3] ([a'_3, a_4][a_5, a_6] + [a'_3, a_5][a_4, a_6]) \\
&\quad + [a_1, a_2, a'_3] ([a''_3, a_4][a_5, a_6] + [a''_3, a_5][a_4, a_6]) \\
&\quad + a''_3 ([a_1, a_2, a'_3, a_4][a_5, a_6] + [a_1, a_2, a'_3, a_5][a_4, a_6]) \pmod{I}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis, for $b \in \{a'_3, a''_3\}$ we have $[a_1, a_2, b, a_4][a_5, a_6] + [a_1, a_2, b, a_5][a_4, a_6] \in I$; also we have $[a_1, a_2, b_1]([b_2, a_4][a_5, a_6] + [b_2, a_5][a_4, a_6]) \in I$ since, by Case 3, the polynomials of the form (23) of degree m belong to I . Hence, in this case $f \in I$.

Now suppose that $a_2 = a'_2 a''_2$. Then, by (12),

$$\begin{aligned}
f &= [a_1, a'_2 a''_2, a_3, a_4][a_5, a_6] + [a_1, a'_2 a''_2, a_3, a_5][a_4, a_6] \\
&= \left[(a'_2 [a_1, a''_2] + [a_1, a'_2] a''_2), a_3, a_4 \right] [a_5, a_6] + \left[(a'_2 [a_1, a''_2] + [a_1, a'_2] a''_2), a_3, a_5 \right] [a_4, a_6] \\
&= (a'_2 [a_1, a''_2, a_3, a_4] + [a'_2, a_4][a_1, a''_2, a_3] + [a_1, a'_2, a_3][a''_2, a_4] + [a_1, a'_2, a_3, a_4] a''_2) [a_5, a_6] \\
&\quad + \left[([a'_2, a_3][a_1, a''_2] + [a_1, a'_2][a''_2, a_3]), a_4 \right] [a_5, a_6] + (a'_2 [a_1, a''_2, a_3, a_5] + [a'_2, a_5][a_1, a''_2, a_3] \\
&\quad + [a_1, a'_2, a_3][a''_2, a_5] + [a_1, a'_2, a_3, a_5] a''_2) [a_4, a_6] + \left[([a'_2, a_3][a_1, a''_2] + [a_1, a'_2][a''_2, a_3]), a_5 \right] [a_4, a_6].
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
f &= a'_2 [a_1, a''_2, a_3, a_4][a_5, a_6] + a'_2 [a_1, a''_2, a_3, a_5][a_4, a_6] + [a'_2, a_4][a_1, a''_2, a_3][a_5, a_6] \\
&\quad + [a'_2, a_5][a_1, a''_2, a_3][a_4, a_6] + [a_1, a'_2, a_3][a''_2, a_4][a_5, a_6] + [a_1, a'_2, a_3][a''_2, a_5][a_4, a_6] \\
&\quad + [a_1, a'_2, a_3, a_4] a''_2 [a_5, a_6] + [a_1, a'_2, a_3, a_5] a''_2 [a_4, a_6] \\
&\quad + \left[([a'_2, a_3][a_1, a''_2] + [a_1, a'_2][a''_2, a_3]), a_4 \right] [a_5, a_6] + \left[([a'_2, a_3][a_1, a''_2] + [a_1, a'_2][a''_2, a_3]), a_5 \right] [a_4, a_6] \\
&\equiv a'_2 ([a_1, a''_2, a_3, a_4][a_5, a_6] + [a_1, a''_2, a_3, a_5][a_4, a_6]) + a''_2 ([a_1, a'_2, a_3, a_4][a_5, a_6] + [a_1, a'_2, a_3, a_5][a_4, a_6]) \\
&\quad + \left[([a'_2, a_3][a_1, a''_2] + [a'_2, a_1][a_3, a''_2]), a_4 \right] [a_5, a_6] + \left[([a'_2, a_3][a_1, a''_2] + [a'_2, a_1][a_3, a''_2]), a_5 \right] [a_4, a_6] \\
&\quad + [a_1, a''_2, a_3] ([a'_2, a_4][a_5, a_6] + [a'_2, a_5][a_4, a_6]) + [a_1, a'_2, a_3] ([a''_2, a_4][a_5, a_6] + [a''_2, a_5][a_4, a_6]) \pmod{I}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis, $[a_1, b, a_3, a_4][a_5, a_6] + [a_1, b, a_3, a_5][a_4, a_6] \in I$ for $b \in \{a'_2, a''_2\}$. We have also

$$[a_1, b_1, a_3]([b_2, a_4][a_5, a_6] + [b_2, a_5][a_4, a_6]) \in I$$

if $\{b_1, b_2\} = \{a'_2, a''_2\}$ and

$$[[a'_2, a_3][a_1, a''_2] + [a'_2, a_1][a_3, a''_2], a_4][a_5, a_6] + [[a'_2, a_3][a_1, a''_2] + [a'_2, a_1][a_3, a''_2], a_5][a_4, a_6] \in I$$

because, by Cases 3 and 4, the polynomials of degree m of the forms (23) and (24) belong to I . Hence, in this case $f \in I$.

Thus, if f is a polynomial of the form (27) of degree m then f belongs to I .

Case 8. Finally, suppose that f is of the form (20), $f = [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5]$. Since $m = \deg f > 5$, we have $a_i = a'_i a''_i$ for some i , $1 \leq i \leq 5$, where $\deg a'_i, \deg a''_i < \deg a_i$.

Suppose that $a_5 = a'_5 a''_5$. Then

$$f = [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a'_5 a''_5] = a'_5 [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a''_5] + [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a'_5] a''_5$$

so, by the induction hypothesis, $f \in I$.

Now suppose that $a_4 = a'_4 a''_4$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f &= [a_1, a_2, a_3, a'_4 a''_4, a_5] = \left[(a'_4 [a_1, a_2, a_3, a''_4] + [a_1, a_2, a_3, a'_4] a''_4), a_5 \right] \\ &= a'_4 [a_1, a_2, a_3, a''_4, a_5] + [a'_4, a_5] [a_1, a_2, a_3, a''_4] + [a_1, a_2, a_3, a'_4] [a''_4, a_5] + [a_1, a_2, a_3, a'_4, a_5] a''_4 \\ &\equiv a'_4 [a_1, a_2, a_3, a''_4, a_5] + [a_1, a_2, a_3, a'_4] [a''_4, a_5] + [a_1, a_2, a_3, a'_4] [a''_4, a_5] + [a_1, a_2, a_3, a'_4, a_5] a''_4 \pmod{I}. \end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis, we have $[a_1, a_2, a_3, b, a_5] \in I$ for $b \in \{a'_4, a''_4\}$. On the other hand,

$$[a_1, a_2, a_3, a'_4] [a''_4, a_5] + [a_1, a_2, a_3, a'_4] [a''_4, a_5] \in I$$

because, by Case 7, the polynomials of the form (27) of degree m belong to I . Hence, in this case $f \in I$.

Further, suppose that $a_3 = a'_3 a''_3$. Then, by (12),

$$\begin{aligned} f &= [a_1, a_2, a'_3 a''_3, a_4, a_5] = \left[(a'_3 [a_1, a_2, a''_3] + [a_1, a_2, a'_3] a''_3), a_4, a_5 \right] \\ &= a'_3 [a_1, a_2, a''_3, a_4, a_5] + [a'_3, a_5] [a_1, a_2, a''_3, a_4] + [a'_3, a_4] [a_1, a_2, a''_3, a_5] + [a'_3, a_4, a_5] [a_1, a_2, a''_3] \\ &\quad + [a_1, a_2, a'_3] [a''_3, a_4, a_5] + [a_1, a_2, a'_3, a_5] [a''_3, a_4] + [a_1, a_2, a'_3, a_4] [a''_3, a_5] + [a_1, a_2, a'_3, a_4, a_5] a''_3 \\ &\equiv a'_3 [a_1, a_2, a''_3, a_4, a_5] - [a_1, a_2, a''_3, a_4] [a_5, a'_3] - [a_1, a_2, a''_3, a_5] [a_4, a'_3] + [a'_3, a_4, a_5] [a_1, a_2, a''_3] \\ &\quad + [a''_3, a_4, a_5] [a_1, a_2, a'_3] - [a_1, a_2, a'_3, a_5] [a_4, a''_3] - [a_1, a_2, a'_3, a_4] [a_5, a''_3] + [a_1, a_2, a'_3, a_4, a_5] a''_3 \pmod{I}. \end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis, $[a_1, a_2, b, a_4, a_5] \in I$ for $b \in \{a'_3, a''_3\}$. We also have

$$[b_1, a_4, a_5] [a_1, a_2, b_2] \in I$$

and

$$[a_1, a_2, b_1, a_5] [a_4, b_2] + [a_1, a_2, b_1, a_4] [a_5, b_2] \in I$$

where $\{b_1, b_2\} = \{a'_3, a''_3\}$ because, by Cases 6 and 7, the polynomials of the forms (26) and (27) of degree m belong to I . Hence, in this case $f \in I$.

Finally suppose that either $a_1 = a'_1 a''_1$ or $a_2 = a'_2 a''_2$. It is clear that without loss of generality we may assume $a_2 = a'_2 a''_2$. Then, by (12),

$$\begin{aligned} f &= [a_1, a'_2 a''_2, a_3, a_4, a_5] = \left[(a'_2 [a_1, a''_2] + [a_1, a'_2] a''_2), a_3, a_4, a_5 \right] \\ &= \left[(a'_2 [a_1, a''_2, a_3] + [a'_2, a_3] [a_1, a''_2] + [a_1, a'_2] [a''_2, a_3] + [a_1, a'_2, a_3] a''_2), a_4, a_5 \right] \\ &= \left[([a'_2, a_3] [a_1, a''_2] + [a_1, a'_2] [a''_2, a_3]), a_4, a_5 \right] + a'_2 [a_1, a''_2, a_3, a_4, a_5] + [a'_2, a_5] [a_1, a''_2, a_3, a_4] \\ &\quad + [a'_2, a_4] [a_1, a''_2, a_3, a_5] + [a'_2, a_4, a_5] [a_1, a''_2, a_3] + [a_1, a'_2, a_3] [a''_2, a_4, a_5] \\ &\quad + [a_1, a'_2, a_3, a_5] [a''_2, a_4] + [a_1, a'_2, a_3, a_4] [a''_2, a_5] + [a_1, a'_2, a_3, a_4, a_5] a''_2 \\ &\equiv \left[([a'_2, a_3] [a_1, a''_2] + [a'_2, a_1] [a_3, a''_2]), a_4, a_5 \right] + a'_2 [a_1, a''_2, a_3, a_4, a_5] - [a_1, a''_2, a_3, a_4] [a_5, a'_2] \\ &\quad - [a_1, a''_2, a_3, a_5] [a_4, a'_2] + [a'_2, a_4, a_5] [a_1, a''_2, a_3] + [a_1, a'_2, a_3] [a''_2, a_4, a_5] \\ &\quad - [a_1, a'_2, a_3, a_5] [a_4, a''_2] - [a_1, a'_2, a_3, a_4] [a_5, a''_2] + [a_1, a'_2, a_3, a_4, a_5] a''_2 \pmod{I}. \end{aligned}$$

We have $[a_1, b, a_3, a_4, a_5] \in I$ for $b \in \{a'_2, a''_2\}$ by the induction hypothesis. Also

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[([a'_2, a_3] [a_1, a''_2] + [a'_2, a_1] [a_3, a''_2]), a_4, a_5 \right] \in I, \\ &[a'_2, a_4, a_5] [a_1, a''_2, a_3], [a_1, a'_2, a_3] [a''_2, a_4, a_5] \in I \end{aligned}$$

and

$$[a_1, b_1, a_3, a_4] [a_5, b_2] + [a_1, b_1, a_3, a_5] [a_4, b_2] \in I$$

where $\{b_1, b_2\} = \{a'_2, a''_2\}$ because, by Cases 5, 6 and 7, all polynomials of the forms (25), (26) and (27) of degree m belong to I . Hence, in this case also $f \in I$.

Thus, if f is a polynomial of the form (20) of degree m then f belongs to I . This establishes the induction step and proves that $T^{(5)} \subseteq I$.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was partially supported by CNPq grant 554712/2009–1. The second author was partially supported by CNPq grant 307328/2012–0.

REFERENCES

- [1] Nabilar Abughazalah, Pavel Etingof, *On properties of the lower central series of associative algebras*, arXiv:1508.00943
- [2] Bernhard Amberg, Yaroslav Sysak, *Associative rings whose adjoint semigroup is locally nilpotent*, Archiv der Mathematik (Basel) **76** (2001), 426–435.
- [3] Noah Arbesfeld, David Jordan, *New results on the lower central series quotients of a free associative algebra*, Journal of Algebra **323** (2010), 1813–1825. arXiv:0902.4899
- [4] Martina Balagović, Anirudha Balasubramanian, *On the lower central series quotients of a graded associative algebra*, Journal of Algebra **328** (2011), 287–300. arXiv:1004.3735
- [5] Asilata Bapat, David Jordan, *Lower central series of free algebras in symmetric tensor categories*, Journal of Algebra **373** (2013), 299–311. arXiv:1001.1375
- [6] Surya Bhupatiraju, Pavel Etingof, David Jordan, William Kuzmaul, Jason Li, *Lower central series of a free associative algebra over the integers and finite fields*, Journal of Algebra **372** (2012), 251–274. arXiv:1203.1893
- [7] Katherine Cordwell, Teng Fei, Kathleen Zhou, *On lower central series quotients of finitely generated algebras over \mathbb{Z}* , Journal of Algebra **423** (2015), 559–572. arXiv:1309.1237
- [8] Galina Deryabina, Alexei Krasilnikov, *The torsion subgroup of the additive group of a Lie nilpotent associative ring of class 3*, Journal of Algebra **428** (2015), 230–255. arXiv:1308.4172
- [9] Galyna Dobrovolska, Pavel Etingof, *An upper bound for the lower central series quotients of a free associative algebra*, International Mathematics Research Notices **2008**, no. 12, Art. ID rnn039, 10 pp. arXiv:0801.1997
- [10] Galyna Dobrovolska, John Kim, Xiaoguang Ma, *On the lower central series of an associative algebra (with an appendix by Pavel Etingof)*, Journal of Algebra **320** (2008), 213–237. arXiv:0709.1905
- [11] Pavel Etingof, John Kim, Xiaoguang Ma, *On universal Lie nilpotent associative algebras*, Journal of Algebra **321** (2009), 697–703. arXiv:0805.1909
- [12] Boris Feigin, Boris Shoikhet, *On $[A, A]/[A, A, A]$ and on a W_n -action on the consecutive commutators of free associative algebras*, Mathematical Research Letters **14** (2007), 781–795. arXiv:math/0610410
- [13] Antonio Giambruno, Plamen Koshlukov, *On the identities of the Grassmann algebra in characteristic $p > 0$* , Israel Journal of Mathematics **122** (2001), 305–316.
- [14] A.S. Gordienko, *Codimensions of commutators of length 4*, Russian Mathematical Surveys **62** (2007), 187–188.
- [15] A.V. Grishin, S.V. Pchelintsev, *On the centers of relatively free algebras with an identity of Lie nilpotency*, to appear in Sbornik. Mathematics.
- [16] Narain Gupta, Frank Levin, *On the Lie ideals of a ring*, Journal of Algebra **81** (1983), 225–231.
- [17] C.K. Gupta, A.N. Krasilnikov, *A solution of a problem of Plotkin an Vovsi and an application to varieties of groups*, Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society (Series A) **67** (1999), 329–355.
- [18] S.A. Jennings, *On rings whose associated Lie rings are nilpotent*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society **53** (1947), 593–597.
- [19] David Jordan, Hendrik Orem, *An algebro-geometric construction of lower central series of associative algebras*, International Mathematics Research Notices **2015** (2015) No. 15, 6330–6352. arXiv:1302.3992
- [20] George Kerchev, *On the filtration of a free algebra by its associative lower central series*, Journal of Algebra **375** (2013), 322–327. arXiv:1101.5741
- [21] A.N. Krasilnikov, *On the semigroup nilpotency and the Lie nilpotency of associative algebras*, Mathematical Notes **62** (1997), 426–433.
- [22] Alexei Krasilnikov, *The additive group of a Lie nilpotent associative ring*, Journal of Algebra **392** (2013), 10–22. arXiv:1204.2674.
- [23] V.N. Latysev, *On the choice of basis in a T -ideal*, Sibirskii Matematicheskii Zhurnal (Siberian Mathematical Journal) **4** (1963) 1122–1127. (in Russian)
- [24] V.N. Latysev, *On finite generation of a T -ideal with the element $[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$* , Sibirskii Matematicheskii Zhurnal (Siberian Mathematical Journal) **6** (1965), 1432–1434. (in Russian)
- [25] D.M. Riley, V. Tasić, *Mal'cev nilpotent algebras*, Archiv der Mathematik (Basel) **72** (1999), 22–27.
- [26] I.B. Volichenko, *The T -ideal generated by the element $[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$* , Preprint 22, Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Belorussian SSR, (1978). (in Russian)

COLEGIADO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO TOCANTINS, 77330-0000, ARRAIAS, TO, BRASIL
E-mail address: `eudes@uft.edu.br`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE BRASÍLIA, 70910-900 BRASÍLIA, DF, BRASIL
E-mail address: `alexei@unb.br`