

MODULAR REPRESENTATIONS OF HEISENBERG ALGEBRAS

FERNANDO SZECHTMAN

ABSTRACT. Let F be an arbitrary field and let $\mathfrak{h}(n)$ be the Heisenberg algebra of dimension $2n + 1$ over F . It was shown by Burde that if F has characteristic 0 then the minimum dimension of a faithful $\mathfrak{h}(n)$ -module is $n + 2$. We show here that his result remains valid in prime characteristic p , as long as $(p, n) \neq (2, 1)$.

We construct, as well, various families of faithful irreducible $\mathfrak{h}(n)$ -modules if F has prime characteristic, and classify these when F is algebraically closed. Applications to matrix theory are given.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let F be an arbitrary field. For $n \geq 1$, let $\mathfrak{h}(n, F)$, or just $\mathfrak{h}(n)$, stand for the Heisenberg algebra of dimension $2n + 1$ over F . This is a 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra with 1-dimensional center. It was shown by Burde [B] that when F has characteristic 0 the minimum dimension of a faithful $\mathfrak{h}(n)$ -module is $n + 2$. Further results on low dimensional imbeddings of nilpotent Lie algebras when $\text{char}(F) = 0$ can be found in [B], [CR], [BM].

Here we extend Burde's result to arbitrary fields by showing that the minimum dimension of a faithful $\mathfrak{h}(n)$ -module is always $n + 2$, except only when $n = 1$ and $\text{char}(F) = 2$. See §3 for details. Our main tool if F has prime characteristic is the classification of faithful irreducible $\mathfrak{h}(n)$ -modules when F is algebraically closed. As we were unable to find a proof of this in the literature, one is included in §2. We construct, as well, various families of faithful irreducible $\mathfrak{h}(n)$ -modules when F is an arbitrary field of prime characteristic (see §4 and §5) and furnish applications to matrix theory, found in §2.

We fix throughout a symplectic basis $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n, z$ of $\mathfrak{h}(n)$, i.e., one with multiplication table $[x_i, y_i] = z$. Clearly, a representation $R : \mathfrak{h}(n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is faithful if and only if $R(z) \neq 0$. All representations will be finite dimensional, unless otherwise mentioned. If $R : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ and $T : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ are representations of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , we refer to T and R as equivalent if there is $\Omega \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ such that T is similar to $R \circ \Omega$.

2. FAITHFUL IRREDUCIBLE REPRESENTATIONS OF $\mathfrak{h}(n)$

Proposition 2.1. *Let $F[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be the polynomial algebra in n commuting variables X_1, \dots, X_n over F . For $q \in F[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, let m_q be the linear endomorphism "multiplication by q " of $F[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. Let $\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in F$, where $\alpha \neq 0$. Then*

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B10.

Key words and phrases. Heisenberg algebra; irreducible representation.

The author was supported in part by an NSERC discovery grant.

(1) $F[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is a faithful $\mathfrak{h}(n)$ -module via

$$z \mapsto \alpha \cdot I, x_i \mapsto \beta_i \cdot I + \alpha \cdot \partial/\partial X_i, y_i \mapsto \gamma_i \cdot I + m_{X_i}.$$

(2) $F[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is irreducible if and only if F has characteristic 0.

(3) Suppose F has prime characteristic p . Then (X_1^p, \dots, X_n^p) is an $\mathfrak{h}(n)$ -invariant subspace of $F[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and

$$V_{\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n} = F[X_1, \dots, X_n]/(X_1^p, \dots, X_n^p)$$

is a faithful irreducible $\mathfrak{h}(n)$ -module of dimension p^n . Moreover, $V_{\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n}$ is isomorphic to $V_{\alpha', \beta'_1, \dots, \beta'_n, \gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_n}$ if and only if $\alpha = \alpha'$ and $\beta_i = \beta'_i, \gamma_i = \gamma'_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Furthermore, $V_{\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n}$ is equivalent to $V_{1, 0, \dots, 0}$.

Proof. This is straightforward. \square

Theorem 2.2. Suppose F has prime characteristic p . Let $R : \mathfrak{h}(n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be a faithful irreducible representation. Assume each $z, x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n$ acts on V with at least one eigenvalue in F , say $\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in F$, respectively (this is automatic if F is algebraically closed). Then V is isomorphic to $V_{\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n}$.

Proof. We divide the proof into various steps.

STEP 1. $R(z) = \alpha \cdot I$, where $\alpha \neq 0$.

By assumption $R(z)$ has an eigenvalue $\alpha \in F$. Let U be the α -eigenspace of $R(z)$. Since $z \in Z(\mathfrak{h}(n))$, we see that U is a non-zero $\mathfrak{h}(n)$ -invariant subspace of V . But V is irreducible, so $U = V$, i.e., $R(z) = \alpha \cdot I$. Since R is faithful, $\alpha \neq 0$.

STEP 2. For every $v \in V$ and every $x, y \in \mathfrak{h}(n)$ such that $[x, y] = z$, we have

$$x^m y v = y x^m v + m \alpha x^{m-1} v, \quad m \geq 1.$$

This follows easily by induction by means of Step 1.

STEP 3. Let $x \in \mathfrak{h}(n)$ and suppose $\beta \in F$ is an eigenvalue of $R(x)$. Let

$$V(\beta) = \{v \in V \mid (x - \beta)^m v = 0 \text{ for some } m \geq 1\}.$$

Then $V = V(\beta)$.

By Step 1 we may assume that $x \notin Z(\mathfrak{h}(n))$. Then there exists $y \in \mathfrak{h}(n)$ such that $[x, y] = z$. Clearly $V(\beta)$ is a subspace of V , which is non-zero by assumption. We claim that it is $\mathfrak{h}(n)$ -invariant. Since $\mathfrak{h}(n) = C_{\mathfrak{h}(n)}(x) \oplus F \cdot y$, it suffices to show that $V(\beta)$ is y -invariant. Let $v \in V(\beta)$. Then $(x - \beta)^m v = 0$ for some $m \geq 1$. Since $[x - \beta/\alpha \cdot z, y] = 0$, Steps 1 and 2 give

$$(x - \beta)^{m+1} y v = y (x - \beta)^m v + m \alpha (x - \beta)^m v = 0.$$

As V is irreducible, we deduce $V = V(\beta)$.

STEP 4. Suppose $x, y \in \mathfrak{h}(n)$ satisfy $[x, y] = z$ and that $w \in V$ is an eigenvector of $R(x)$ with eigenvalue β . Let U be the F -span of all $y^i w$, $i \geq 0$. Then U is invariant under x , and has basis $w, yw, \dots, y^{p-1} w$ for some $m \geq 1$. The matrix of $R(x)|_U$ relative to this basis is the direct sum of m copies of $M_{\alpha, \beta} \in \mathfrak{gl}(p)$, defined by

$$(2.1) \quad M_{\alpha, \beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta & \alpha & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \beta & 2\alpha & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \beta & (p-1)\alpha \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, the minimal polynomial of x acting on U is $(X - \beta)^p$.

Since $[y, -x] = z$, Step 2 gives

$$(2.2) \quad xy^m w = \beta y^m w + m\alpha y^{m-1} w, \quad m \geq 1.$$

It follows from (2.2) that U is invariant under the Heisenberg subalgebra $\langle x, y, z \rangle$ of $\mathfrak{h}(n)$. In particular, z acts with trace 0 on U . Since z acts through α , with $\alpha \neq 0$, we must have $p \mid \dim U$. On the other hand, U is the y -invariant subspace of V generated by w , so it has basis $w, yw, \dots, y^{d-1}w$, where d is the first positive exponent such that $y^d w$ is a linear combination of $w, yw, \dots, y^{d-1}w$. Since $p \mid \dim U$, we must have $d = pm$ for some $m \geq 1$. It is now clear from (2.2) that the matrix of x acting on U relative to the basis $w, yw, \dots, y^{pm-1}w$ is the direct sum of m copies of $M_{\alpha, \beta}$.

STEP 5. *There is a common eigenvector $v \in V$ for the action of z, x_1, \dots, x_n ; the F -span of all $y^{i_1} \cdots y_n^{i_n} v$ such that $0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n < p$ is V ; the minimal polynomials of $R(x_k)$ and $R(y_k)$ are $(X - \beta_k)^p$ and $(X - \gamma_k)^p$, respectively, for every $1 \leq k \leq n$.*

By hypothesis and Step 3 each $R(z), R(x_1), \dots, R(x_n)$ is triangularizable. Since they commute pairwise, they are simultaneously triangularizable. In particular, there is a common eigenvector $v \in V$ for the action of z, x_1, \dots, x_n .

Let W be the F -span of all $y^{i_1} \cdots y_n^{i_n} v$, where $i_1, \dots, i_n \geq 0$. It follows from (2.2) that W is $\mathfrak{h}(n)$ -invariant. Since W is non-zero, we deduce $W = V$.

Fix $1 \leq k \leq n$. Given any sequence of non-negative integers $i_1, \dots, \widehat{i_k}, \dots, i_n$, consider the vector $w = y_1^{i_1} \cdots \widehat{y_k^{i_k}} \cdots y_n^{i_n} v$, where the symbol under the hat is to be omitted. Let U_w be the subspace of V spanned by all $y_k^{i_k} w$, $i_k \geq 0$. By Step 4, U_w is x -invariant and either $U_w = 0$ or x acts on U_w with minimal polynomial $(X - \beta_k)^p$. Since U_w is non-zero and V is the sum of all U_w , the minimal polynomial of $R(x_k)$ is $(X - \beta_k)^p$.

Since $y_1, \dots, y_n, -x_1, \dots, -x_n, z$ is also a symplectic basis of V , we deduce from above that every $R(y_k)$ has minimal polynomial $(X - \gamma_k)^p$.

It follows that V is spanned by all $y^{i_1} \cdots y_n^{i_n} v$ such that $0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n < p$.

STEP 6. *The vectors $y^{i_1} \cdots y_n^{i_n} v$, where $0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n < p$, form a basis of V .*

We argue by induction on n . The case $n = 1$ was proven in Step 4. Suppose $n > 1$ and the result is true for $n - 1$. Let S be the F -span of all $y^{i_1} \cdots y_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}} v$ such that $0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} < p$. By Step 5, we have

$$(2.3) \quad S + y_n S + \cdots + y_n^{p-1} S = V.$$

We claim that this sum is direct. Indeed, let $s_0, \dots, s_{p-1} \in S$ and assume

$$s_0 + y_n s_1 + \cdots + y_n^{p-1} s_{p-1} = 0.$$

Suppose, if possible, that not all $y^j s_j$ are 0, and choose j as large as possible subject to $y^j s_j \neq 0$. Using (2.2), we obtain

$$0 = (x_n - \beta_n)^j (s_0 + y_n s_1 + \cdots + y_n^{p-1} s_{p-1}) = j! \alpha^j s_j \neq 0,$$

a contradiction.

Now S is a non-zero $\mathfrak{h}(n - 1)$ -submodule of V . Suppose, if possible, that S is reducible and let T be a non-zero proper $\mathfrak{h}(n - 1)$ -submodule of S . Then

$$T \oplus y_n T \oplus \cdots \oplus y_n^{p-1} T$$

is a non-zero proper $\mathfrak{h}(n)$ -submodule of V , a contradiction. Therefore S is irreducible. This and Step 3 allow us to apply the inductive hypothesis to obtain that all $y^{i_1} \cdots y_n^{i_{n-1}} v$, such that $0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} < p$, are linearly independent. This, the fact that the sum (2.3) is direct and Step 4 imply that all vectors $y^{i_1} \cdots y_n^{i_n} v$, such that $0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n < p$, are linearly independent.

STEP 7. V is isomorphic to $V_{\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n}$.

Since $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1 - \gamma_1/\alpha \cdot z, \dots, y_n - \gamma_n/\alpha \cdot z, z$ is also a symplectic basis of $\mathfrak{h}(n)$, it follows from Step 6 that all $(y_1 - \gamma_1)^{i_1} \cdots (y_n - \gamma_n)^{i_n} v$, such that $0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n < p$, also form a basis of V . We easily verify that the action of $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n, z$ on this basis is the same as the action of these elements on the basis of $V_{\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n}$ associated to all $X_1^{i_1} \cdots X_n^{i_n}$, where $0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n < p$. \square

Corollary 2.3. *Suppose F has prime characteristic p . Let $R : \mathfrak{h}(n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be a faithful irreducible representation. Then $\dim V = p^n \times m$ for some $m \geq 1$.*

Proof. Let $f \in F[X]$ be the minimal polynomial of $R(z)$. Since z is central in $\mathfrak{h}(n)$ and R is irreducible, we see that f is irreducible. Moreover, since R is faithful, 0 is not a root of f . Let K be an algebraic closure of F . Then $V_K = V \otimes K$ is a faithful representation of $\mathfrak{h}(n, K)$. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ be the roots of f in K . Let $V_K(\alpha_i)$ be the generalized α_i -eigenspace of z acting on V_K . Then

$$V_K = V_K(\alpha_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus V_K(\alpha_r),$$

where each $V_K(\alpha_i)$ is an $\mathfrak{h}(n, K)$ -submodule of V_K . Combining composition series for each individual $V_K(\alpha_i)$ produces a composition series for V_K , where all composition factors are faithful. This and Theorem 2.2 yield the desired result. \square

Corollary 2.4. *Suppose F has prime characteristic p . Let $R : \mathfrak{h}(n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be a faithful representation of dimension p^n . Then*

- (1) R is irreducible and $R(z) = \alpha \cdot I$, where $\alpha \in F$ is non-zero.
- (2) The minimal polynomial of each $R(x_k)$ (resp. $R(y_i)$) is of the form $X^p - \delta_k$ (resp. $X^p - \varepsilon_k$), where $\delta_k \in F$ (resp. $\varepsilon_k \in F$).
- (3) If $R' : \mathfrak{h}(n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V')$ is also faithful representation of dimension p^n then $V' \cong V$ if and only if

$$(2.4) \quad \alpha' = \alpha, \delta_k = \delta'_k, \varepsilon'_k = \varepsilon_k, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

(4) If F is perfect then $V \cong V_{\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n}$, where $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in F$ are the eigenvalues of $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n$ acting on V .

Proof. (1) Let K be an algebraic closure of F . Then $V \otimes K$ is a faithful $\mathfrak{h}(n, K)$ -module of dimension p^n . By Theorem 2.2, $V \otimes K$ is an irreducible $\mathfrak{h}(n, K)$ -module, whence V is an irreducible $\mathfrak{h}(n)$ -module.

Since $V \otimes K$ is irreducible, the minimal polynomial of z acting on $V \otimes K$ has degree 1. This is the same as the minimal polynomial of z acting on V . It follows that $R(z) = \alpha \cdot I$, where $\alpha \in F$ is non-zero.

(2) As seen in the proof of Theorem 2.2, each x_k (resp. y_k) acts on $V \otimes K$ with minimal polynomial $(X - \beta_k)^p = X^p - \beta_k^p$ (resp. $(X - \gamma_k)^p = X^p - \gamma_k^p$), where $\beta_k \in K$ (resp. $\gamma_k \in K$). This is the same as the minimal polynomial of x_k (resp. y_k) acting on V , so $\beta_k^p \in F$ (resp. $\gamma_k^p \in F$).

(3) Clearly, if $V \cong V'$ then (2.4) is true. Suppose, conversely, that (2.4) holds. It follows that every element of the symplectic basis $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n, z$ acts with same eigenvalues on $V \otimes K$ and $V' \otimes K$, whence $V \otimes K \cong V' \otimes K$, by Theorem 2.2.

It follows from [CR2], §29, applied to the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{h}(n)$, that $V \cong V'$.

(4) If F is perfect then $\beta_k \in F$ (resp. $\gamma_k \in F$), whence $V \cong V_{\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n}$, by Theorem 2.2 \square

Corollary 2.5. *Suppose F has prime characteristic p . Let $A, B, C \in \mathfrak{gl}(p)$ be any matrices satisfying*

$$(2.5) \quad [A, B] = C \neq 0, [A, C] = 0 = [B, C].$$

Then

(1) *The only subspaces of the column space $V = F^p$ invariant under A and B are 0 and V .*

(2) *$C = \alpha \cdot I$, where $\alpha \in F$ is non-zero.*

(3) *A (resp. B) is similar to the companion matrix of the polynomial $X^p - |A|$ (resp. $X^p - |B|$).*

(4) *Suppose $A', B', C' \in \mathfrak{gl}(p)$ also satisfy (2.5). Then there exists $X \in \text{GL}(p, F)$ such that*

$$X^{-1}AX = A', X^{-1}BX = B', X^{-1}CX = C'$$

if and only if

$$|A| = |A'|, |B| = |B'|, |C| = |C'|.$$

(5) *If F is perfect then $\beta = |A|^{1/p} \in F$, $\gamma = |B|^{1/p} \in F$ and there exists $X \in \text{GL}(p, F)$ such that*

$$A' = X^{-1}AX \text{ and } B' = X^{-1}BX$$

satisfy

$$A' = \begin{pmatrix} \beta & \alpha & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \beta & 2\alpha & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \beta & (p-1)\alpha \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \beta \end{pmatrix}, B' = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & \gamma & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & \gamma \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. This follows from Corollary 2.4. \square

Corollary 2.6. *Suppose F has prime characteristic p and let $\alpha, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_{p-1} \in F$, where $\alpha \neq 0$. Then the matrix $D \in \mathfrak{gl}(p)$, defined by*

$$(2.6) \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \delta_1 & 0 & 2\alpha & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \delta_2 & \delta_1 & 0 & 3\alpha & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \delta_{p-2} & \dots & \delta_2 & \delta_1 & 0 & (p-1)\alpha \\ \delta_{p-1} & \delta_{p-2} & \dots & \delta_2 & \delta_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is similar to the companion matrix of the polynomial $X^p - |D|$. In particular, if F is perfect, then D is similar to the Jordan block $J_p(|D|^{1/p})$.

Proof. Let A' and B' be as defined in Corollary 2.5 and let $C = \alpha \cdot I$. There is a polynomial $f \in F[X]$ such that $D = A' + f(B')$. Since $[A', B'] = C$, we infer

$$[D, B'] = C, [D, C] = 0 = [B', C],$$

so Corollary 2.5 applies. \square

Example 2.7. Take $\delta_1 = 1$ and $\delta_i = 0$ if $2 \leq i < p$ in Corollary 2.6. If $p = 2$ then D is the companion matrix of $X^2 - \alpha$, while if $p > 2$ then row 1 of D is a linear combination of the remaining odd numbered rows, so D is nilpotent.

Note 2.8. Suppose the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2 are met and let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}(n)$. It is not true, in general, that every $x \in \mathfrak{g} \setminus Z(\mathfrak{g})$ acts on V with minimal polynomial $(X - \beta)^p$ for some $\beta \in F$. But it is almost always true. In fact, the only exception occurs when $p = 2$, $\alpha \notin F^2$ and $x = s + t$, where s and t are in F -span of x_1, \dots, x_n and y_1, \dots, y_n , respectively, and $[s, t] \neq 0$.

Indeed, let $x \in \mathfrak{g} \setminus Z(\mathfrak{g})$ and let K be an algebraic closure of F . Consider the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_K = \mathfrak{g} \otimes K$ over K . It follows from Theorem 2.2 (or Corollary 2.4) that $V_K = V \otimes K$ is an irreducible \mathfrak{g}_K -module. The minimal polynomials of x acting on V and V_K are the same. Call this common polynomial $f \in F[X]$. Since x belongs to a symplectic basis of \mathfrak{g}_K , Theorem 2.2 implies that $f = (X - \beta)^p$, where $\beta \in K$. We need to decide when f has a root in F . Now $x = s + t$, where s is in the F -span of x_1, \dots, x_n and t in the F -span of y_1, \dots, y_n . The hypotheses of Theorem 2.2 ensure that both s and t have eigenvalues in F , say γ and δ , respectively. If $[s, t] = 0$ it easily follows that $R(x)$ has a root in F . Scaling s , if necessary, by a non-zero element of F , we may assume without loss of generality that $[s, t] = z$. Let $s' = s - \gamma/\alpha \cdot z$, $t' = t - \delta/\alpha \cdot z$ and $x' = s' + t'$. Since $R(x)$ and $R(x')$ differ by a scalar operator -the scalar being in F -, we may replace $R(x)$ by $R(x')$ without loss. Now both $R(s')$ and $R(t')$ have minimal polynomial X^p . Let w be an eigenvector for s' . Then $w, t'w, \dots, (t')^{p-1}w$ form a basis for a subspace U of V that is invariant under $\langle s', t', z \rangle$. Relative to this basis, the matrix of $R(x')|_U$ is the matrix D considered in Example 2.7. Thus, if $p > 2$ then D is nilpotent and $R(x')$ has a root in F . If $p = 2$ then the minimal polynomial of $R(x')$ is divisible by, and hence equal to, $X^2 - \alpha$. This has a root in F if and only if $\alpha \in F^2$.

Note 2.9. The condition that F be perfect is essential in Corollary 2.4. Indeed, suppose F is imperfect and let $\gamma \notin F^p$. Then $X^p - \gamma \in F[X]$ is irreducible. Let $\alpha \in F$ be non-zero, let $C \in \mathfrak{gl}(p)$ be the companion matrix of $X^p - \gamma$, and let $M_{\alpha, \beta}$ be defined as in (2.1). Then

$$x_1 \mapsto M_{\alpha, \beta}, y_1 \mapsto C, z \mapsto \alpha \cdot I$$

defines a faithful irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{h}(1)$ of dimension p , through which y_1 acts with no eigenvalues from F .

3. FAITHFUL REPRESENTATIONS OF MINIMUM DEGREE

For the proof of the following result we rely on [B] as well as on the classification of irreducible $\mathfrak{h}(n)$ -modules when F is algebraically closed of prime characteristic, as given in Theorem 2.2

Theorem 3.1. *Let F be any field and let $n \geq 1$. Let $\mathfrak{h}(n)$ be the Heisenberg algebra of dimension $2n + 1$ over F and let $d(n)$ be the minimum dimension of a faithful $\mathfrak{h}(n)$ -module. Then $d(n) = n + 2$, except only that $d(1) = 2$ when $\text{char}(F) = 2$.*

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{h}(1) \cong \mathfrak{sl}(2)$ when F has characteristic 2, it is clear that $d(1) = 2$ in this case. Suppose henceforth that $(n, \text{char}(F)) \neq (1, 2)$.

The existence of a faithful $\mathfrak{h}(n)$ -module of dimension $n + 2$ is well-known, so $d(n) \leq n + 2$.

Let $R : \mathfrak{h}(n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be faithful module. We wish to show $\dim(V) \geq n + 2$. Since R is faithful, we have $R(z) \neq 0$, so there is $v \in V$ such that $R(z)v \neq 0$. Consider the linear map $T : \mathfrak{h}(n) \rightarrow V$ given by $T(x) = R(x)v$. Let $A = \ker T$ and $B = \text{im } T$. Clearly A is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{h}(n)$. Since $[\mathfrak{h}(n), \mathfrak{h}(n)] = F \cdot z$ and $R(z)v \neq 0$, it follows that A is abelian and $z \notin A$, whence $\dim A \leq n$, and a fortiori $\dim B \geq n + 1$. If $\dim(B) \geq n + 2$, we are done. Suppose henceforth that $\dim B = n + 1$. Then $A \oplus F \cdot z$ is a maximal abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{h}(n)$.

CASE 1. $R(z)$ is nilpotent. Suppose, if possible, that $v \in B$. Then $R(x)v = v$ for some $x \in \mathfrak{h}(n)$. Then $x \notin A \oplus F \cdot z$ by the definition of A and the nilpotency of $R(z)$. By the maximality of A and the fact that $[\mathfrak{h}(n), \mathfrak{h}(n)] = F \cdot z$, there is $y \in A$ such that $[x, y] = z$. Therefore,

$$R(z)v = R(x)R(y)v - R(y)R(x)v = 0,$$

a contradiction. Thus, in this case $v \notin B$, whence $\dim V \geq \dim B + 1 = n + 2$.

CASE 2. $R(z)$ is not nilpotent. Let K be an algebraic closure of F . Then $V_K = V \otimes K$ is an $\mathfrak{h}(n, K)$ -module. By assumption, V_K must have at least one faithful composition factor W . By Schur's Lemma, z acts as a scalar operator on W . But z acts with trace 0 on W , so F has prime characteristic p . Hence, by Theorem 2.2, we have $\dim_K W = p^n$. On the other hand $(n, p) \neq (1, 2)$, so $p^n \geq n + 2$. Therefore

$$\dim_F V = \dim_K V_K \geq \dim_K W \geq p^n \geq n + 2.$$

□

4. IRREDUCIBLE REPRESENTATIONS OBTAINED BY RESTRICTION

Proposition 4.1. *Let $K = F[\alpha]$ be a finite field extension of F . Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra over F and let $\mathfrak{g}_K = \mathfrak{g} \otimes K$ be its extension to K . Let $R : \mathfrak{g}_K \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be an irreducible representation, possibly infinite dimensional. Suppose the following condition holds:*

(C) $\alpha \cdot I$ is in the associative F -subalgebra of $\text{End}(V)$ generated by $R(\mathfrak{g})$.

Then V is an irreducible \mathfrak{g} -module.

Proof. Let U be a non-zero \mathfrak{g} -submodule of V . Then KU is a non-zero \mathfrak{g}_K -invariant K -subspace of V , so $KU = V$. Since $K = F[\alpha]$, it follows from (C) that $ku \in U$ for all $u \in U$ and $k \in K$, whence $KU \subseteq U$, so $U = V$. □

Note 4.2. Condition (C) cannot be dropped entirely. Indeed, the natural module for $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is irreducible, but not as $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ -module.

Corollary 4.3. *Suppose that F has prime characteristic p and let α be an algebraic element of degree m over F and set $K = F[\alpha]$. Let $f_1, \dots, f_n, g_1, \dots, g_n \in F[X]$. Then the irreducible $\mathfrak{h}(n, K)$ -module $V_{\alpha, f_1(\alpha), \dots, f_n(\alpha), g_1(\alpha), \dots, g_n(\alpha)}$ of dimension p^n restricts to a faithful irreducible $\mathfrak{h}(n, F)$ -module of dimension $p^n \times m$.*

Note 4.4. Here we furnish a matrix version of Corollary 4.3 when $n = 1$.

Let F have prime characteristic p . Let $m \geq 1$ and suppose $q \in F[X]$ is a monic irreducible polynomial of degree m with companion matrix C . Let $f, g \in F[X]$ and

consider $A, B, D \in \mathfrak{gl}(pm)$ defined in terms of $m \times m$ blocks as follows:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} f(C) & C & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & f(C) & 2C & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & f(C) & (p-1)C \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & f(C) \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} g(C) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ I & g(C) & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & I & g(C) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} C & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & C & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & C \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$x_1 \mapsto A, y_1 \mapsto B, z \mapsto D$$

defines a faithful irreducible $\mathfrak{h}(1)$ -module of dimension $p \times m$.

5. IRREDUCIBLE REPRESENTATIONS OBTAINED FROM COMPANION MATRICES

Here we produce faithful irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{h}(n)$ not equivalent to any obtained earlier, as long as F is not algebraically closed. Recall that a module is said to be uniserial if its submodules form a chain.

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose F has prime characteristic p . Let $\alpha, \beta \in F$, with $\alpha \neq 0$. Let $M = M_{\alpha, \beta} \in \mathfrak{gl}(p)$ be defined as in (2.1). Let $m \geq 1$ and let $f(X) \in F[X]$ be an arbitrary monic polynomial of degree m . Let $C \in \mathfrak{gl}(pm)$ be the companion matrix of $f(X^p)$. Then*

(1) *The linear map $R : \mathfrak{h}(1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(pm)$ given by*

$$x_1 \mapsto M \oplus \dots \oplus M, y_1 \mapsto C, z \mapsto \alpha \cdot I$$

defines a faithful representation of $\mathfrak{h}(1)$, where x_1 acts with minimal polynomial $(X - \beta)^p$ and y_1 with minimal polynomial $f(X^p)$.

(2) *If f is irreducible then R is irreducible.*

(3) *If $f(X) = (X - \gamma^p)^m$ for some $\gamma \in F$, then R is uniserial with m composition factors, all isomorphic to $V_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$.*

Proof. (1) Consider the matrices $N, J \in \mathfrak{gl}(p)$ defined as follows:

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We further let $K_i = k_i J \in \mathfrak{gl}(p)$, $0 \leq i \leq m-1$, where $k_i \in F$. Then

$$y_1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} N & 0 & \dots & \dots & K_0 \\ J & N & 0 & \dots & K_1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & J & N & K_{m-2} \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & J & N + K_{m-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

for suitable $k_0, \dots, k_{m-1} \in F$. It is easy to verify that

$$[M, N] = \alpha \cdot I,$$

and

$$MJ = 0 = JM, \quad MK_i = 0 = K_iM.$$

This shows that R is a faithful representation, where the minimal polynomials of $R(x_1)$ and $R(y_1)$ are as stated.

(2) Let K be an algebraic closure of F . We have $f(X^p) = g(X)^p$ for some $g \in K[X]$. Let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$ be the roots of $g(X)$ in $K[X]$ (these will be distinct if F is perfect, but not necessarily so in general).

Let $S : \mathfrak{h}(1, K) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(pm, K)$ be the extension of R to $\mathfrak{h}(1, K)$. Let V and W stand for the column spaces F^{pm} and K^{pm} , respectively.

Since z and x_1 act on W with single eigenvalues α and β , while y_1 acts with eigenvalues $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$ on W , it follows from Theorem 2.2 that the $\mathfrak{h}(1, K)$ -module W has m composition factors, each of which is isomorphic to some $V_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma_i}$.

Suppose, if possible, that V is a reducible $\mathfrak{h}(1, F)$ -module. Then there is a non-zero proper $\mathfrak{h}(1, F)$ -submodule U of V . Then $U \otimes K$ is an $\mathfrak{h}(1, K)$ -submodule of $V \otimes K \cong W$, so it has k composition factors $V_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma_i}$ for some $1 \leq k < m$. Let $q(X) \in F[X]$ be the characteristic polynomial of y_1 acting on U . Then $q(X)$ is the characteristic polynomial of y_1 acting on $U \otimes K$, so

$$q(X) = \prod_{i \in I} (X - \gamma_i)^p = \prod_{i \in I} (X^p - \gamma_i^p),$$

where I is subset of $\{1, \dots, m\}$ of size k . In particular, $q(X) = h(X^p)$, where $h \in K[X]$ has degree k . Since $q(X) \in F[X]$, we infer $h(X) \in F[X]$. On the other hand, since U is a y_1 -invariant subspace of V , it follows that $q(X)$ is a factor of the characteristic polynomial of y_1 acting on V , namely $f(X^p)$. Then $f(X^p) = h(X^p)a(X)$ for some $a(X) \in F[X]$. This forces $a(X) = b(X^p)$, where $b(X) \in F[X]$. Thus, $f(X^p) = h(X^p)b(X^p)$, which implies $f(X) = h(X)b(X)$. Since $h(X)$ has degree k , where $1 \leq k < m$, the irreducibility of f is contradicted. This proves that V is an irreducible $\mathfrak{h}(1, F)$ -module.

(3) Suppose $f(X) = (X - \gamma^p)^m$ for some $\gamma \in F$. Then $f(X^p) = (X - \gamma)^{pm}$. This is a power of an irreducible polynomial, so the column space $V = F^{pm}$ is uniserial as a module under y and hence as $\mathfrak{h}(1)$ -module. Since $\dim V = pm$, z acts via $\alpha \neq 0$ on V , x_1 has the only eigenvalue β on V and y_1 only γ , it follows from Theorem 2.2 that V has m composition factors, all isomorphic to $V_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$. \square

Note 5.2. It is clear that if $m > 1$ then the faithful irreducible $\mathfrak{h}(n)$ -module obtained in case (2) of Theorem 5.1 is inequivalent to any other previously discussed in the paper.

Suppose F is perfect. Then $f(X^p) = g(X)^p$, where $g(X) \in F[X]$ is irreducible. Let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$ be the distinct roots of g in K . Let $W(\gamma_i)$ be the generalized eigenspace of y_1 acting on W . Since y_1 has minimal polynomial $g(X)^p$ acting on W , we see that

$$W = W(\gamma_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus W(\gamma_m).$$

Here each $W(\gamma_i)$ is a faithful $\mathfrak{h}(1, K)$ -submodule of W , where x_1, y_1, z act with eigenvalues β, γ_i, α , respectively. Since $\dim(W) = pm$, it follows from Theorem 2.2 (or Corollary 2.4) that $W(\gamma_i) \cong V_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma_i}$. Thus, while V is an irreducible $\mathfrak{h}(1, F)$ -module, its extension $W \cong V \otimes K$ is isomorphic, as $\mathfrak{h}(1, K)$ -module, to the direct sum of the m non-isomorphic $\mathfrak{h}(1, K)$ -modules $V_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq m$.

REFERENCES

- [B] D. Burde, *On a refinement of Ado's Theorem*, Arch. Math. 70 (1998) 118-127.
- [BM] D. Burde and W. Moens, *Faithful Lie algebra modules and quotients of the universal enveloping algebra*, J. Algebra 325 (2011) 440-460.
- [CR] L. Cagliero and N. Rojas, *Faithful representations of minimal dimension of current Heisenberg Lie algebras*, Int. J. Math. 20 (2009) 1347-1362.
- [CR2] C. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras*, John Wiley, New York-London, 1962.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF REGINA, CANADA
E-mail address: fernando.szechtman@gmail.com