

NON-EXISTENCE OF AN INVARIANT MEASURE FOR A HOMOGENEOUS ELLIPSOID ROLLING ON THE PLANE

LUIS C. GARCÍA-NARANJO AND JUAN C. MARRERO

ABSTRACT. It is known that the reduced equations for an axially symmetric homogeneous ellipsoid that rolls without slipping on the plane possess a smooth invariant measure. We show that such an invariant measure does not exist in the case when all of the semi-axes of the ellipsoid have different length.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Algorithm to investigate the existence of invariant measures	2
3. A homogeneous ellipsoid rolling without slipping on the plane	3
APPENDIX. Some comments on the algorithm to study the existence of invariant measures	7
References	8

1. INTRODUCTION

The existence of a smooth invariant measure is a very important property for a system of autonomous ordinary differential equations. To date, there are a number of research publications, e.g. [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14] and others, that analyze the existence of such an invariant for different mechanical systems with symmetry that are subjected to nonholonomic constraints.

In a recent paper [8] we presented a general method and an algorithm to examine the existence of smooth invariant measure for the reduced equations of purely kinetic nonholonomic mechanical systems with symmetry. In this note we apply the algorithm to show that the reduced equations of motion of a tri-axial homogeneous ellipsoid that rolls without slipping on the plane in the absence of gravity do not possess a smooth invariant measure.

A related publication to this work is [3]. Here the authors analyze the existence of an invariant measure for a family of inhomogeneous ellipsoids rolling without slipping on the plane in the presence of gravity. Their method relies on the linear analysis of certain relative equilibria (vertical rotations) that only exist for special distributions of mass on the ellipsoid (that do not contain the homogeneous distribution if the ellipsoid is tri-axial). We also mention that the rolling of an ellipsoid on the plane, with an additional no-spin (rubber) constraint was recently considered in [6].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37C40,37J60,70F25,70G45,70G65.

Key words and phrases. nonholonomic mechanical systems, invariant volume forms, symmetries, reduction.

This work has been partially supported by MEC (Spain) Grants MTM2009-13383, MTM2011-15725-E, MTM2012-34478 and the project of the Canary Government ProdID20100210.

LGN acknowledges the hospitality at the Departamento de Matemática Fundamental, at Universidad de la Laguna, for his recent stay there.

The configuration space for our system is $Q = SO(3) \times \mathbb{R}^2$. The $SO(3)$ part indicates the orientation of the ellipsoid while the \mathbb{R}^2 part gives the (x, y) coordinates of the center of the ellipsoid on the plane where the rolling takes place. The symmetry group is the euclidean Lie group $SE(2)$ that corresponds to the isotropy and homogeneity of the rolling plane.

2. ALGORITHM TO INVESTIGATE THE EXISTENCE OF INVARIANT MEASURES

We briefly recall the part of the algorithm presented in [8] to determine if the reduced equations of a nonholonomic system with symmetry possess an invariant measure that is relevant for our system. It applies to systems that satisfy the following conditions:

- C1.** The first de Rham cohomology group of the shape space $\widehat{Q} = Q/G$ is trivial.
- C2.** There exists an open dense set $\widehat{U} \subset \widehat{Q}$ with a global chart.

In our example, the space $\widehat{Q} = (SO(3) \times \mathbb{R}^2)/SE(2) \cong S^2$, that satisfies both conditions **C1** and **C2** (it is sufficient to take spherical coordinates on S^2).

Let $D \subset TQ$ be the non-integrable distribution on the configuration space Q defined by the nonholonomic constraints. We denote by $p : Q \rightarrow \widehat{Q}$ the orbit projection and by $\mathcal{V}p \subset TQ$ the vertical subbundle of p . That is $\mathcal{V}p(q) = T_q \text{Orb}_G(q)$ for all $q \in Q$, where $\text{Orb}_G(q) \subset Q$ is the group orbit through q . We shall see that in our example the intersection

$$\mathcal{V}^D p(q) := D(q) \cap \mathcal{V}p(q)$$

has constant rank one, and that the *dimension assumption* (see [1]) $T_q Q = D(q) + \mathcal{V}p(q)$ holds for all $q \in Q$. Let \mathcal{G} denote the G -invariant Riemannian metric in Q defined by the kinetic energy of the system and define $\mathcal{H} := (\mathcal{V}^D p)^\perp \cap D$ where the orthogonal complement is taken with respect to \mathcal{G} . We note that \mathcal{H} is the horizontal space of the nonholonomic connection defined in [1].

In this case, the algorithm presented in [8] indicates the steps that are described below. In such a description, the latin subindices a, b, e run over the range of the vertical space $\mathcal{V}^D p$, the greek subindices α, β, γ run over the range of the horizontal space \mathcal{H} , and the capital latin subindices I, J, K run over the joint range of a, b, e and α, β, γ .

Step 1. Find a basis $\{W_I\} = \{Z_a, Y_\alpha\}$ of G -invariant vector fields of D in such a way that $\{Z_a\}$ is a basis of sections of $\mathcal{V}^D p$ and $\{Y_\alpha\}$ is a basis of sections of \mathcal{H} . In other words, the vector fields $\{Z_a\}$ are p -vertical and we have $\mathcal{G}(Z_a, Y_\alpha) = 0$ for all a, α .

Step 2. Compute the structure coefficients C_{aI}^J defined by

$$\mathcal{P}([Z_a, Z_b]) = C_{ab}^d Z_d + C_{ab}^\alpha Y_\alpha, \quad \mathcal{P}([Z_a, Y_\alpha]) = C_{a\alpha}^b Z_b + C_{a\alpha}^\beta Y_\beta,$$

where \mathcal{P} is the \mathcal{G} -orthogonal projection onto D (that is $TQ = D \oplus D^\perp$ and $\mathcal{P} : TQ \rightarrow D$ is the orthogonal projector) and $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is the standard commutator of vector fields. Notice that by G -invariance of the basis $\{Z_a, Y_\alpha\}$ and the metric \mathcal{G} , the structure coefficients C_{aI}^J are functions on the shape space \widehat{Q} .

Step 3. A necessary condition for the existence of an invariant measure is that

$$C_{ab}^b + C_{a\alpha}^\alpha = 0, \quad \text{for all } a.$$

We shall see that the latter condition only holds if two of the semi-axes of the ellipsoid are equal.

A rough explanation of the ideas behind the steps of the algorithm described above is presented in the appendix.

3. A HOMOGENEOUS ELLIPSOID ROLLING WITHOUT SLIPPING ON THE PLANE

Consider the motion of a homogeneous ellipsoid that rolls without slipping on the plane. We assume that its semi-axes have lengths $a, b, c > 0$. If two of the semi-axes have equal length, e.g. the ellipsoid is a solid of revolution, then there exists an invariant measure, see e.g. [2].

The space frame $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ is chosen so that the rolling takes place on the plane $z = 0$. We consider a body frame $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$, whose origin is located at center O of the ellipsoid and is aligned with the principal axes of symmetry of the body. We denote by \mathbf{r} the vector that connects O with the contact point P of the ellipsoid and the plane written in body coordinates, and by $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ the *Poisson vector* that is the unit normal vector e_3 to the plane written in body coordinates. See figure 3.1.




FIGURE 3.1. Ellipsoid rolling on the plane

The vectors \mathbf{r} and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ are related by:

$$\mathbf{r} = \left(\frac{-a^2\gamma_1}{\sqrt{a^2\gamma_1^2 + b^2\gamma_2^2 + c^2\gamma_3^2}}, \frac{-b^2\gamma_2}{\sqrt{a^2\gamma_1^2 + b^2\gamma_2^2 + c^2\gamma_3^2}}, \frac{-c^2\gamma_3}{\sqrt{a^2\gamma_1^2 + b^2\gamma_2^2 + c^2\gamma_3^2}} \right)^t,$$

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma} = \left(\frac{-b^2c^2r_1}{\sqrt{b^4c^4r_1^2 + a^4c^4r_2^2 + a^4b^4r_3^2}}, \frac{-a^2c^2r_2}{\sqrt{b^4c^4r_1^2 + a^4c^4r_2^2 + a^4b^4r_3^2}}, \frac{-a^2b^2r_3}{\sqrt{b^4c^4r_1^2 + a^4c^4r_2^2 + a^4b^4r_3^2}} \right)^t.$$

Denote by $\mathbf{x} = (x, y, z)^t$ the spatial coordinates of the center of the ellipsoid. A matrix $g \in SO(3)$ specifies the orientation of the ellipsoid by relating the body and the space frame. The Poisson vector $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = g^{-1}e_3$. The constraint of rolling without slipping is expressed by the vectorial relation $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = -\dot{g}\mathbf{r}$. This vectorial constraint includes the holonomic constraint $z = -\mathbf{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ where “ \cdot ” denotes the euclidean inner product in \mathbb{R}^3 (to see this note that $\dot{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma} = 0$). Therefore, the configuration space

is $Q = \mathbb{R}^2 \times SO(3)$ where (x, y) are coordinates in the \mathbb{R}^2 part. We will use Euler angles as local coordinates for $SO(3)$. We use the *x-convention*, see e.g. [11] and write a matrix $g \in SO(3)$ as

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \psi \cos \varphi - \cos \theta \sin \varphi \sin \psi & -\sin \psi \cos \varphi - \cos \theta \sin \varphi \cos \psi & \sin \theta \sin \varphi \\ \cos \psi \sin \varphi + \cos \theta \cos \varphi \sin \psi & -\sin \psi \sin \varphi + \cos \theta \cos \varphi \cos \psi & -\sin \theta \cos \varphi \\ \sin \theta \sin \psi & \sin \theta \cos \psi & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix},$$

where the Euler angles $0 < \varphi, \psi < 2\pi$, $0 < \theta < \pi$. According to this convention, we obtain $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = (\sin \theta \sin \psi, \sin \theta \cos \psi, \cos \theta)^t$.

The holonomic constraint, coming from the third component of the relation $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = -\dot{g}\mathbf{r}$, is explicitly given by

$$z = z(\theta, \psi) = \sqrt{a^2 \sin^2 \theta \sin^2 \psi + b^2 \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \psi + c^2 \cos^2 \theta}.$$

The nonholonomic constraints of rolling without slipping, coming from the first two components of the relation $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = -\dot{g}\mathbf{r}$, are explicitly given by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= A(\varphi, \theta, \psi) \dot{\varphi} + z(\theta, \psi) \sin \varphi \dot{\theta} + E(\varphi, \theta, \psi) \dot{\psi}, \\ \dot{y} &= B(\varphi, \theta, \psi) \dot{\varphi} - z(\theta, \psi) \cos \varphi \dot{\theta} + F(\varphi, \theta, \psi) \dot{\psi}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A(\varphi, \theta, \psi) &= \frac{\sin \theta}{z(\theta, \psi)} (a^2(-\sin \psi \cos \psi \sin \varphi - \cos \theta \cos \varphi \sin^2 \psi) \\ &\quad + b^2(\cos \psi \sin \psi \sin \varphi - \cos^2 \psi \cos \theta \cos \varphi) + c^2 \cos \varphi \cos \theta), \\ B(\varphi, \theta, \psi) &= \frac{\sin \theta}{z(\theta, \psi)} (a^2(\sin \psi \cos \psi \cos \varphi - \cos \theta \sin \varphi \sin^2 \psi) \\ &\quad + b^2(-\cos \psi \sin \psi \cos \varphi - \cos^2 \psi \cos \theta \sin \varphi) + c^2 \sin \varphi \cos \theta), \\ E(\varphi, \theta, \psi) &= \frac{\sin \theta}{z(\theta, \psi)} (a^2(-\sin^2 \psi \cos \varphi - \sin \psi \cos \theta \sin \varphi \cos \psi) \\ &\quad + b^2(-\cos^2 \psi \cos \varphi + \cos \psi \cos \theta \sin \varphi \sin \psi)), \\ F(\varphi, \theta, \psi) &= \frac{\sin \theta}{z(\theta, \psi)} (a^2(-\sin^2 \psi \sin \varphi + \sin \psi \cos \theta \cos \varphi \cos \psi) \\ &\quad + b^2(-\cos^2 \psi \sin \varphi - \cos \psi \cos \theta \cos \varphi \sin \psi)). \end{aligned}$$

The kinetic energy of the ellipsoid is given by

$$\mathcal{K} = \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{I} \boldsymbol{\Omega}, \boldsymbol{\Omega} \rangle + \frac{m}{2} \|\dot{\mathbf{x}}\|^2, \quad (3.1)$$

where m is the total mass of the ellipsoid,

$$\mathbf{I} = \frac{m}{5} \begin{pmatrix} b^2 + c^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a^2 + c^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a^2 + b^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

is the inertia tensor of the ellipsoid with respect to O and with our choice of body axes. In order to think of \mathcal{K} as a Riemannian metric \mathcal{G} in $Q = SO(3) \times \mathbb{R}^2$ it is understood that one needs to put

$\dot{z} = \frac{\partial z}{\partial \theta} \dot{\theta} + \frac{\partial z}{\partial \psi} \dot{\psi}$ in the expression for the kinetic energy (3.1). The vector $\mathbf{\Omega}$ is the angular velocity of the sphere written in body coordinates and in terms of Euler angles is given by

$$\mathbf{\Omega} = \left(\dot{\theta} \cos \psi + \dot{\varphi} \sin \psi \sin \theta, -\dot{\theta} \sin \psi + \dot{\varphi} \cos \psi \sin \theta, \dot{\varphi} \cos \theta + \dot{\psi} \right)^t.$$

Symmetries

There is a freedom in the choice of origin and orientation of the space axes $\{e_1, e_2\}$. This corresponds to a symmetry of the system defined by a left action of the Euclidean group $SE(2)$ on Q . Let

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \vartheta & -\sin \vartheta & v \\ \sin \vartheta & \cos \vartheta & w \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

denote a generic element on $SE(2)$. The action of h on a point $q \in Q$ with local coordinates $(\varphi, \theta, \psi, x, y)$ is given by

$$h \cdot q = (\varphi + \vartheta, \theta, \psi, x \cos \vartheta - y \sin \vartheta + v, x \sin \vartheta + y \cos \vartheta + w).$$

One can check that both the constraints and the kinetic energy are invariant under the lift of the action to TQ . The action of $SE(2)$ on Q is free and proper and the shape space $\widehat{Q} = Q/G = S^2$. In our local coordinates the orbit projection $p : Q \rightarrow S^2$ is given by

$$p(\varphi, \psi, \theta, x, y) = (\theta, \psi),$$

where (θ, ψ) are spherical coordinates on the unit sphere $\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2 + \gamma_3^2 = 1$, defined by

$$\gamma_1 = \sin \theta \sin \psi, \quad \gamma_2 = \sin \theta \cos \psi, \quad \gamma_3 = \cos \theta.$$

The vertical subbundle $\mathcal{V}p$ is spanned by

$$\mathcal{V}p = \text{span} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right\}.$$

On the other hand, the constraint distribution D is spanned by the $SE(2)$ -invariant vector fields

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{a=1} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} + A \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + B \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \\ X_{\alpha=1} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + z(\theta, \psi) \sin \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - z(\theta, \psi) \cos \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \\ X_{\alpha=2} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} + E \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + F \frac{\partial}{\partial y}. \end{aligned}$$

It is then clear that the intersection $\mathcal{V}^D p = D \cap \mathcal{V}p$ has constant rank 1 and is spanned by $Z_{a=1}$.

The following vector fields, together with $Z_{a=1}$ satisfy the requirements of step 1 of the algorithm:

$$Y_\alpha := X_\alpha - \frac{\mathcal{G}(Z_{a=1}, X_\alpha)}{\mathcal{G}(Z_{a=1}, Z_{a=1})} Z_{a=1} \quad \alpha = 1, 2.$$

Since the sub-index a only takes the value 1, and the sub-indices α, β only take the values 1, 2 the condition in step 3 of the algorithm simplifies to

$$C_{a=1, \alpha=1}^{\alpha=1} + C_{a=1, \alpha=2}^{\alpha=2} = 0.$$

We will now study the above condition. We start by computing the standard commutators:

$$\begin{aligned} [Z_{a=1}, Y_{\alpha=1}] &= [Z_{a=1}, X_{\alpha=1}] + \lambda_1 Z_{a=1}, \\ [Z_{a=1}, Y_{\alpha=2}] &= [Z_{a=1}, X_{\alpha=2}] + \lambda_2 Z_{a=1}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\lambda_1 = Z_{a=1} \left(-\frac{\mathfrak{G}(Z_{a=1}, X_{\alpha=1})}{\mathfrak{G}(Z_{a=1}, Z_{a=1})} \right), \quad \lambda_2 = Z_{a=2} \left(-\frac{\mathfrak{G}(Z_{a=1}, X_{\alpha=1})}{\mathfrak{G}(Z_{a=1}, Z_{a=1})} \right).$$

are functions of (φ, θ, ψ) . We should now compute the \mathfrak{G} -orthogonal projection of the commutators in equation (3.2) onto D and express them as a linear combination of $Z_{a=1}, Y_{\alpha=1}, Y_{\alpha=2}$ to determine the coefficients $C_{a=1,\alpha}^I$. In fact, looking ahead at step 3 of the algorithm, we are interested in computing $C_{a=1,\alpha}^\alpha$ for $\alpha = 1, 2$. A simple linear algebra argument shows that $C_{a=1,\alpha=1}^{\alpha=1}$ coincides with the component of $X_{\alpha=1}$ when the \mathfrak{G} -orthogonal projection of

$$[Z_{a=1}, X_{\alpha=1}] = \left(z(\theta, \psi) \cos \varphi - \frac{\partial A}{\partial \theta} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \left(z(\theta, \psi) \sin \varphi - \frac{\partial B}{\partial \theta} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

onto D is expressed in terms of the basis $Z_{a=1}, X_{\alpha=1}, X_{\alpha=2}$. The same idea can be used to compute $C_{a=1,\alpha=2}^{\alpha=2}$. Using these observations and with the aid of MAPLETM we obtain:

$$C_{a=1,\alpha=1}^{\alpha=1} + C_{a=1,\alpha=2}^{\alpha=2} = \frac{3m^3 \sin^4 \theta \cos \theta \sin \psi \cos \psi}{50 \det(T) z(\theta, \psi)^4} (a^2 - b^2)(b^2 - c^2)(c^2 - a^2) G(\theta, \psi), \quad (3.3)$$

where T is the (positive definite) matrix

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{G}(Z_{a=1}, Z_{a=1}) & \mathfrak{G}(Z_{a=1}, X_{\alpha=1}) & \mathfrak{G}(Z_{a=1}, X_{\alpha=2}) \\ \mathfrak{G}(Z_{a=1}, X_{\alpha=1}) & \mathfrak{G}(X_{\alpha=1}, X_{\alpha=1}) & \mathfrak{G}(X_{\alpha=1}, X_{\alpha=2}) \\ \mathfrak{G}(Z_{a=1}, X_{\alpha=2}) & \mathfrak{G}(X_{\alpha=1}, X_{\alpha=2}) & \mathfrak{G}(X_{\alpha=2}, X_{\alpha=2}) \end{pmatrix},$$

and the function G is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} G(\theta, \psi) &= (2a^2b^2 + 3a^2c^2 + 3b^2c^2) + (b^2 - a^2)c^2(1 - \cos(2\theta)) \cos(2\psi) \\ &\quad + (-2a^2b^2 + a^2c^2 + b^2c^2) \cos(2\theta). \end{aligned}$$

The necessary condition for the existence of an invariant measure, coming from step 3 of the algorithm, is that the expression (3.3) vanishes identically for all (θ, ψ) in the chart, that is, for all $0 < \theta < \pi$, $0 < \psi < 2\pi$. It is easily seen that $G(\theta, \psi)$ is not identically zero for any allowed values of the parameters a, b and c . Hence, the quantity (3.3) can only be identically zero if any two out of the three semi-axis' lengths a, b and c are equal. As mentioned before, in this case the ellipsoid is a solid of revolution and it is known [13] (see also [2]) that an invariant measure exists. It is shown in [2] that the reduced equations of motion, for an arbitrary shape of the ellipsoid, can be presented in the vectorial form

$$\dot{\mathbf{K}} = \mathbf{K} \times \boldsymbol{\Omega} + m\dot{\mathbf{r}} \times (\boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r}), \quad \dot{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} = \boldsymbol{\gamma} \times \boldsymbol{\Omega},$$

and in the case when $a = b$ possess the invariant measure:

$$\frac{d\boldsymbol{\gamma} \wedge d\mathbf{K}}{\sqrt{\frac{2}{25}m^2a^2(a^2 + c^2) + m\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{I}\mathbf{r} \rangle}} = \left(\frac{m}{5}(a^2 + c^2) + m\|\mathbf{r}\|^2 \right) \sqrt{\frac{2}{25}m^2a^2(a^2 + c^2) + m\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{I}\mathbf{r} \rangle} d\boldsymbol{\gamma} \wedge d\boldsymbol{\Omega}.$$

In the above formulae \mathbf{K} is the angular momentum of the ellipsoid with respect to the contact point, also written with respect to the body frame. Explicitly we have:

$$\mathbf{K} = \mathbb{I}\boldsymbol{\Omega} + m\mathbf{r} \times (\boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r}).$$

Therefore we have:

Theorem 3.1. *The reduced equations for a homogeneous ellipsoid that rolls without slipping on the plane possess an invariant measure if and only if at least two of its semi-axes are equal.*

We stress that the above conditions were known to be sufficient but we have shown that they are also necessary.

Remark 3.2. The content of Theorem 3.1 applies to general smooth measures, including those with velocity dependent densities. This is a consequence of Theorems 3.6 and 3.8 in [8]. \diamond

APPENDIX. SOME COMMENTS ON THE ALGORITHM TO STUDY THE EXISTENCE OF INVARIANT MEASURES

Our method relies on the form of the reduced equations of motion when we work in quasi-velocities v^a , v^α defined with respect to the basis of vector fields $\{Z_a, Y_\alpha\}$. The details are given in [8], but for completeness we present a rough exposition in this appendix. We keep the same notation used in section 2. In particular, we keep using the same conventions on the use of the sub-indices a, b, e , α, β, γ , and I, J, K .

The kinetic energy \mathcal{K} of the system, being G -invariant, can be expressed in terms of the quasi-velocities v^a , v^α as

$$\mathcal{K} = \frac{1}{2} (K_{ab}(\hat{q}^i)v^a v^b + K_{\alpha\beta}(\hat{q}^i)v^\alpha v^\beta),$$

where \hat{q}^i are coordinates of the shape space \hat{Q} . In the above expression $K_{ab}(\hat{q}^i)$ and $K_{\alpha\beta}(\hat{q}^i)$ are everywhere positive definite matrices. Notice that there are no crossed terms $v^\alpha v^a$ in the kinetic energy since the vector fields $\{Z_a\}$ and $\{Y_\alpha\}$ were chosen to be \mathfrak{G} -orthogonal.

Define the generalized momentum variables p_a , p_α and the Hamiltonian H of the system as usual,

$$p_a = \frac{\partial \mathcal{K}}{\partial v^a}, \quad p_\alpha = \frac{\partial \mathcal{K}}{\partial v^\alpha}, \quad H = \frac{1}{2} (K^{ab}(\hat{q}^i)p_a p_b + K^{\alpha\beta}(\hat{q}^i)p_\alpha p_\beta),$$

where the matrices $K^{ab}(\hat{q}^i)$ and $K^{\alpha\beta}(\hat{q}^i)$ are inverses of $K_{ab}(\hat{q}^i)$ and $K_{\alpha\beta}(\hat{q}^i)$ respectively. With respect to the coordinates \hat{q}^i and the generalized momenta p_a , p_α , the reduced equations of motion take the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\hat{q}^i}{dt} &= \rho_\beta^i \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_\beta}, \\ \frac{dp_\alpha}{dt} &= -\rho_\alpha^i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \hat{q}^i} - C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma p_\gamma \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_\beta} - C_{\alpha\beta}^a p_a \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_\beta} - C_{ab}^\beta p_b \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_b} - C_{ab}^a p_a \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_b}, \\ \frac{dp_a}{dt} &= -C_{a\beta}^\alpha p_\alpha \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_\beta} - C_{a\beta}^b p_b \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_\beta} - C_{ab}^\alpha p_\alpha \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_b} - C_{ab}^e p_e \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_b}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

In the above equations the coefficients $C_{\alpha\beta}^I$ are defined by the relation

$$\mathcal{P}([Y_\alpha, Y_\beta]) = C_{\alpha\beta}^a Z_a + C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma Y_\gamma,$$

and the coefficients ρ_α^i satisfy

$$Tp(Y_\alpha) = \rho_\alpha^i \partial_{\hat{q}^i},$$

where we recall that $p : Q \rightarrow \widehat{Q}$ is the orbit projection.

Now the key point is that, since the coefficients ρ_α^i , $C_{\alpha I}^J$ and C_{aI}^J only depend in \hat{q}^i , the second and third of equations (A.1) are homogeneous quadratic in the momentum variables p_a, p_α . As a consequence (see [8] and Remark 3.2), it suffices to search for basic measures of the form

$$e^{\sigma(\hat{q}^i)} d\hat{q}^i dp_\alpha dp_a,$$

where the smooth function σ does not depend on p_a, p_α . Taking the divergence of the vector field defined by equations (A.1) with respect to the above measure and equating to zero yields the following equation for σ :

$$\left(\rho_\beta^i \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial q^i} + \frac{\partial \rho_\beta^i}{\partial q^i} - C_{\alpha\beta}^\alpha - C_{a\beta}^a \right) \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_\beta} + (-C_{ab}^\alpha - C_{ab}^a) \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_b} = 0,$$

where we have used the equality of mixed partial derivatives and the skew-symmetry of the coefficients C_{IJ}^K with respect to the lower indices. Differentiating the above equation with respect to p_e and using the block diagonal form of the Hamiltonian H gives

$$K^{eb}(\hat{q}^i) (C_{ab}^\alpha + C_{ab}^a) = 0.$$

The condition appearing in step 3 of the algorithm now follows by using the invertibility of the matrix $K^{eb}(\hat{q}^i)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] BLOCH A M, KRISHNAPASAD P S, MARSDEN J E AND MURRAY R M,, *Nonholonomic mechanical systems with symmetry*, Arch. Rat. Mech. An. **136** (1996), 21–99.
- [2] BORISOV A V AND MAMAEV I S, *The rolling motion of a rigid body on a plane and a sphere. Hierarchy of dynamics.*, Regul. Chaotic Dyn. **7** (2002), 177–200.
- [3] BORISOV A V AND MAMAEV I S, *The Nonexistence of an Invariant Measure for an Inhomogeneous Ellipsoid Rolling on a Plane.*, Math. Notes **77** (5-6) (2004), 855–857.
- [4] BORISOV A V AND MAMAEV I S,, *Conservation laws. Hierarchy of dynamics and explicit integration of nonholonomic systems*, Regul. Chaotic Dyn. **13** (2008), 443–489.
- [5] BOLSINOV A V, BORISOV A V AND MAMAEV I S, *Rolling of a ball without spinning on a plane: the absence of an invariant measure in a system with a complete set of integrals*, Regul. Chaotic Dyn. **17** (2012), 571–579.
- [6] BORISOV A V, MAMAEV I S AND BIZYAEV I A,, *The hierarchy of dynamics of a rigid body rolling without slipping and spinning on a plane and a sphere*, Regul. Chaotic Dyn. **18** (2013), 227–328.
- [7] CANTRIJN F, CORTÉS J, DE LEÓN M AND MARTÍN DE DIEGO D, *On the geometry of generalized Chaplygin systems*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **132** (2002), no. 2, 323–351.
- [8] FEDOROV Y N, GARCÍA-NARANJO L C AND MARRERO J C, *Unimodularity and preservation of volumes in nonholonomic mechanics*, preprint arXiv:1304.1788v1 (2013).
- [9] JOVANOVIĆ B, *Nonholonomic geodesic flows on Lie groups and the integrable Suslov problem on SO(4)*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **31** (1998), 1415–22.
- [10] KOZLOV V V, *Invariant measures of the Euler-Poincaré equations on Lie algebras*, Funkt. Anal. Prilozh. **22** 69–70 (Russian); English trans.: Funct. Anal. Appl. **22** (1988), 58–59.
- [11] MARSDEN J E AND RATIU T S, *Introduction to Mechanics with symmetry*, Texts in Applied Mathematics **17** Springer-Verlag 1994.
- [12] VESELOV A P AND VESELOVA L E, *Integrable Nonholonomic Systems on Lie Groups*, Mat. Notes **44** (5-6) (1988) 810–819.
- [13] YAROSHCHUK V A, *New cases of the existence of an integral invariant in a problem on the rolling of a rigid body, without slippage, on a fixed surface. (Russian)*, V.estnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh. 1992, no. 6, 26–30
- [14] ZENKOV D V AND BLOCH A M, *Invariant measures of nonholonomic flows with internal degrees of freedom*, Nonlinearity **16**, (2003), 1793–1807.

L. C. GARCÍA-NARANJO: DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS Y MECÁNICA, IIMAS-UNAM, APDO POSTAL 20-726, MEXICO CITY, 01000, MEXICO

E-mail address: `luis@mym.iimas.unam.mx`

JUAN C. MARRERO: ULL-CSIC GEOMETRÍA DIFERENCIAL Y MECÁNICA GEOMÉTRICA, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA FUNDAMENTAL, FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE LA LAGUNA, LA LAGUNA, TENERIFE, CANARY ISLANDS, SPAIN

E-mail address: `jcmarrer@ull.es`