

GENERALIZED ARTIN-SCHREIER POLYNOMIALS

N. H. GUERSENZVAIG AND FERNANDO SZECHTMAN

ABSTRACT. Let F be a field of prime characteristic p containing \mathbb{F}_{p^n} as a subfield. We refer to $q(X) = X^{p^n} - X - a \in F[X]$ as a generalized Artin-Schreier polynomial. Suppose that $q(X)$ is irreducible and let $C_{q(X)}$ be the companion matrix of $q(X)$. Then $ad C_{q(X)}$ has such highly unusual properties that any $A \in \mathfrak{gl}(m)$ such that $ad A$ has like properties is shown to be similar to the companion matrix of an irreducible generalized Artin-Schreier polynomial.

We discuss close connections with the decomposition problem of the tensor product of indecomposable modules for a 1-dimensional Lie algebra over a field of characteristic p , the problem of finding an explicit primitive element for every intermediate field of the Galois extension associated to an irreducible generalized Artin-Schreier polynomial, and the problem of finding necessary and sufficient conditions for the irreducibility of a family of polynomials.

1. INTRODUCTION

If \mathfrak{g} is a finite dimensional semisimple Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 then the finite dimensional indecomposable (i.e., irreducible) \mathfrak{g} -modules are well understood (see [H]). However, the problem of classifying the finite dimensional indecomposable modules of an arbitrary finite dimensional Lie algebra over any given field is in general unattainable. This is explained in [GP] when \mathfrak{g} is abelian with $\dim(\mathfrak{g}) > 1$ and, more generally, in [M] for virtually any Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 that is not semisimple or 1-dimensional. Nevertheless, significant progress can be made by restricting attention to certain types of indecomposable modules. In a sense, the simplest type of indecomposable module other than irreducible is a uniserial module, i.e., one admitting a unique composition series. A systematic study of uniserial modules for perfect Lie algebras of the form $\mathfrak{s} \ltimes V$, where \mathfrak{s} is complex semisimple and V is a non-trivial irreducible \mathfrak{s} -module, is carried out in [CS], which culminates with a complete classification of uniserial modules for $\mathfrak{sl}(2) \ltimes V(m)$, with $m > 0$.

The problem of classifying all uniserial modules of an abelian Lie algebra over an arbitrary field F is studied in [CS2]. The classification is achieved under certain restrictions on F , as this subtle problem is intimately related to a delicate and sharpened version of the classical theorem of the primitive element. Such versions have been studied by Nagell [N1] and [N2], Kaplansky [K], Isaacs [Is] and many other authors (see [CS2] and references therein). Suppose F contains a copy of \mathbb{F}_{p^n} as subfield, where p is a prime and $n \geq 1$. Refer to $q(X) = X^{p^n} - X - a \in F[X]$ as a generalized Artin-Schreier polynomial. In [CS2], Example 2.3, irreducible

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 12F10; Secondary 12F20, 17B50, 15A21.

Key words and phrases. Artin-Schreier polynomial; Lie algebra; Clebsch-Gordan formula; primitive element; Galois group; Dickson invariants.

The second author was supported in part by an NSERC discovery grant.

generalized Artin-Schreier polynomials are used to exhibit the limitations of some of the modified versions of the theorem of the primitive element.

In [CS3] attention is focused on the classification of uniserial and far more general indecomposable modules over a family of 2-step solvable Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} . A complete classification is achieved when the underlying field F has characteristic 0. The properties of the operator $ad A : \mathfrak{gl}(m) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(m)$, given by $B \mapsto [A, B]$, where $A \in \mathfrak{gl}(m)$ is the companion matrix of a polynomial $q \in F[X]$, play a significant role in this classification. If F has prime characteristic p and $q \in F[X]$ is an irreducible generalized Artin-Schreier polynomial with companion matrix C_q , then $ad C_q$ has exceptional properties which are used in [CS3], Note 3.18, to furnish examples of uniserial \mathfrak{g} -modules that fall outside of the above classification.

Some of the easily verified properties enjoyed by the companion matrix $C_q \in \mathfrak{gl}(p)$ of an irreducible classical Artin-Schreier polynomial, are as follows:

- All eigenvalues of $ad C_q$ are in F ;
- The eigenvalues of $ad C_q$ form a subfield of F ;
- The centralizer of C_q is a subfield of $M_p(F)$;
- All eigenvectors of $ad C_q$ are invertible in $M_p(F)$;
- All eigenspaces of $ad C_q$ have the same dimension;
- $ad C_q$ is diagonalizable with minimal polynomial $X^p - X$.

In this paper we find all matrices $A \in \mathfrak{gl}(m)$ such that $ad A : \mathfrak{gl}(m) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(m)$ has like properties.

Theorem 1.1. *Let F be a field and let $A \in \mathfrak{gl}(m, F)$. Then*

- (C1) *All eigenvalues of $ad A$ are in F ,*
- (C2) *The eigenvalues of $ad A$ form a subfield of F ,*
- (C3) *The centralizer of A is a subfield of $M_m(F)$,*

if and only if

- (C4) *F has prime characteristic p ,*

(C5) *A is similar to the companion matrix of a monic irreducible polynomial $h \in F[X]$ of degree m ,*

(C6) *If $q \in F[X]$ is the separable part of h , i.e., $h(X) = q(X^{p^e})$, $e \geq 0$, and q is separable, then $q = X^{p^n} - X - a$, where $a \in F$, $n \geq 1$, and \mathbb{F}_{p^n} is a subfield of F .*

Moreover, if (C4)-(C6) hold then: q is irreducible; the subfield of F formed by the eigenvalues of $ad A$ is precisely \mathbb{F}_{p^n} ; all eigenvectors of $ad A$ are invertible in $M_m(F)$; all eigenspaces of $ad A$ have dimension p^{n+e} ; $ad A$ is diagonalizable if and only if h itself is separable; the invariant factors of $ad A$ are

$$X^{p^{n+e}} - X^{p^e}, \dots, X^{p^{n+e}} - X^{p^e}, \text{ with multiplicity } p^{n+e},$$

so, in particular, the minimal polynomial of $ad A$ is $X^{p^{n+e}} - X^{p^e}$.

The most challenging part of the proof of Theorem 1.1 is to find the invariant factors of $ad A$, as this depends on the solution to the following problem.

Let $L = \langle x \rangle$ be a 1-dimensional Lie algebra over a field F and let V and W be indecomposable L -modules of respective dimensions n and m upon which x acts with at least one eigenvalue from F .

QUESTION. How does the L -module $V \otimes W$ decompose as the direct sum of indecomposable L -modules?

When F has characteristic 0 we may derive an answer from the Clebsch-Gordan formula by imbedding L into $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$. A direct computation in the complex case already appeared in [Ro] in 1934. The results in characteristic 0 fail, in general, in

prime characteristic p , which is the case we require. The analogue problem for a cyclic p -group when F has prime characteristic p was solved by B. Srinivasan [S]. Her solution is of an algorithmic nature. Since then several algorithms have appeared. We mention [Ra], [Re] and, most recently, [I], although the literature is quite vast on this subject. For information on the decomposition of the exterior and symmetric squares of an indecomposable module of a cyclic p -group in prime characteristic p see [GL]. What we need to be able to compute the invariant factors of adA in Theorem 1.1 is a closed formula for the decomposition of the L -module $V \otimes W$ when $n \leq m = p^e$, $e \geq 0$. This is achieved in §3.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is given in §4, making use of preliminary results from §2 and §3.

In §5 we give an application to Galois theory of the polynomials appearing in Theorem 1.1. Let F be a field of prime characteristic p containing \mathbb{F}_{p^n} as a subfield and suppose that $q(X) = X^{p^n} - X - a \in F[X]$ is irreducible. Let K/F be the corresponding Galois extension. Here $K = F[\alpha]$, where $\alpha \in K$ is a root of $q(X)$. Given an arbitrary intermediate field E of K/F we find a primitive element α_E such that $E = F[\alpha_E]$. We actually give a recursive formula to write α_E as a polynomial in α with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} . This is achieved by means of the so-called Dickson invariants, discovered by L. E. Dickson [D] in 1911.

Finally, in §6 we discuss the actual existence of irreducible polynomials $q(X) = X^{p^n} - X - a \in F[X]$, with F as in the previous paragraph. As explained in §5, if $n > 1$ and $q(X)$ is irreducible then a must be transcendental over \mathbb{F}_p . This fact, together with the more general polynomials $q(X^{p^e})$ considered in Theorem 1.1, lead us to study the irreducibility of polynomials of the form

$$h(X) = X^{p^{n+e}} - X^{p^e} - g(Z^r) \in F[X],$$

where X and Z are algebraically independent elements over an arbitrary field K of prime characteristic p , $n > 0$, $r > 0$, $e \geq 0$, $F = K(Z)$, and $g(Z) \in K[Z]$ is a non-zero polynomial of degree relatively prime to p . Using results from [MS] and [G], we obtain in §6 necessary and sufficient conditions for the irreducibility of $h(X)$. In particular, $X^{p^n} - X - g(Z^r) \in F[X]$ is irreducible for any $n > 0$, $r > 0$ and non-zero $g \in K[Z]$ whose degree relatively prime to p . This limitation on $\deg(g)$ is needed, as the example $X^{p^n} - X - (Z^{p^n} - Z)$ shows.

2. EIGENVALUES

Let F be a field. For $A \in M_m(F)$ let χ_A and μ_A denote the characteristic and minimal polynomials of A . If $b \in F$ is an eigenvalue of A we write $E_b(A)$ for the corresponding eigenspace. If $B \in M_m(F)$ we write $A \sim B$ whenever A and B are similar. The companion matrix to a monic polynomial $g \in F[X]$ of degree m will be denoted by C_g .

Lemma 2.1. *Let $A \in M_m(F)$ and let C be the centralizer of A in $M_m(F)$. Then C is a subfield of $M_m(F)$ if and only if A is similar to the companion matrix of a monic irreducible polynomial in $F[X]$ of degree m .*

Proof. If A is similar to the companion matrix of a monic polynomial of degree m -necessarily μ_A - it is well-known [J], §3.11, that $C = F[A]$. If, in addition, μ_A is irreducible, then $C = F[A] \cong F[X]/(\mu_A)$ is a field.

Assume that C is a field. Then $K = F[A]$ is a field, so μ_A is irreducible and the column space $V = F^m$ is a vector space over K . As such, $C = \text{End}_K(V)$. If $\dim_K(V) > 1$ then $\text{End}_K(V)$ is not a field. Thus $\dim_K(V) = 1$, so $\dim_F(V) = [K : F] = \deg(\mu_A)$, whence A is similar to the companion matrix of μ_A . \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let $A \in \mathfrak{gl}(m)$ and let K be a splitting field of μ_A over F . Then $\mu_{ad A}$ splits over K . Moreover, if S_A and $S_{ad A}$ denote the sets of eigenvalues of A and $ad A$ in K , respectively, then $S_{ad A} = \{\alpha - \beta \mid \alpha, \beta \in S_A\}$.*

Proof. According to [H], §4.2, we have $A = D + N$, where $D, N \in \mathfrak{gl}(m, K)$, D is diagonalizable, N is nilpotent, and $[D, N] = 0$. In particular, $\chi_D = \chi_A$. Moreover, $ad A = ad D + ad N$, where $ad D$ is diagonalizable, $ad N$ is nilpotent, $[ad D, ad N] = 0$. As above, $\chi_{ad D} = \chi_{ad A}$. It thus suffices to prove the statement for D instead A , a well-known result also found in [H], §4.2. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let $b \in F$ and let $f \in F[X]$ be a monic polynomial of degree $m \geq 1$. Then $C_{f(X)} \sim C_{f(X+b)} + bI$.*

Proof. Since the minimal polynomial of $C_{f(X+b)}$ is $f(X+b)$, that of $C_{f(X+b)} + bI$ is $f(X)$. As $C_{f(X)}$ and $C_{f(X+b)} + bI$ have the same minimal polynomial, whose degree is the size of these matrices, they must be similar. \square

A more general result than Lemma 2.3, found in [GS], reads as follows.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $f, g \in F[X]$, where f is monic of degree $m \geq 1$, and g has degree $d \geq 1$ and leading coefficient a . Then*

$$(2.1) \quad g(C_{a^{-m}f(g(X))}) \sim C_f \oplus \cdots \oplus C_f, \quad d \text{ times.}$$

It is not difficult to verify (see [CS3]) that if $S \in \text{GL}_m(F)$ is the Pascal matrix

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & b^2 & b^3 & \cdots & b^{m-1} \\ 0 & 1 & 2b & 3b^2 & \cdots & \binom{m-1}{1}b^{m-2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3b & \cdots & \binom{m-1}{2}b^{m-3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & \binom{m-1}{3}b^{m-4} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

then

$$(2.2) \quad S^{-1}(C_{f(X+b)} + bI)S = C_{f(X)}.$$

We leave it to the reader to determine if, in analogy with (2.2), it is possible to choose a similarity transformation in (2.1) that depends on g but not on f .

Lemma 2.5. *Let $A \in \mathfrak{gl}(m)$ and suppose that the centralizer C of A is a subfield of $M_m(F)$. Suppose further that $ad A$ has at least one non-zero eigenvalue $b \in F$. Then F has prime characteristic p , every b -eigenvector of $ad A$ is invertible in $M_m(F)$, and $E_b(ad A)$ has the same dimension as $C = E_0(ad A)$.*

Proof. Let K be a splitting field for μ_A over F . By Lemma 2.2 and assumption, there are eigenvalues $\alpha, \beta \in K$ of A such that $\alpha = \beta + b$ for some $b \in F$. By Lemma 2.1, μ_A is irreducible. By [J], Theorem 4.4, there is an automorphism of K/F such that $\beta \mapsto \beta + b$, where $|\text{Aut}(K/F)| \leq [K : F]$ is finite. Since $b \neq 0$, F must have prime characteristic p . Alternatively, $\mu_A(X)$ and $\mu_A(X+b)$ have a common root β . Since they are irreducible in $F[X]$, they must be equal. It follows

that $\mu_A(X) = \mu_A(X + ib)$, and hence $\alpha + ib$ is a root of $\mu_A(X)$, for every i in the prime field of F . This forces the prime field of F to be finite.

Now $X \in E_b(ad A)$ if and only if

$$AX - XA = bX,$$

i.e.,

$$(2.3) \quad AX = X(A + bI).$$

By Lemma 2.1, $A \sim C_{\mu_A(X)}$. Hence $\mu_A(X) = \mu_A(X + b)$ and Lemma 2.3 imply $A \sim A + bI$. Thus, there is $S \in \text{GL}_m(F)$ such that $A + bI = SAS^{-1}$. Replacing this in (2.3), yields

$$AX = XSAS^{-1},$$

i.e.,

$$AXS = XSA.$$

Since A is cyclic, this equivalent, by [J], §3.11, to $XS \in F[A]$, or $X = f(A)S^{-1}$, for some $f \in F[X]$. But $F[A]$ is a field, so the result follows. \square

3. DECOMPOSITION NUMBERS

Let F be a field and let $L = \langle x \rangle$ be a 1-dimensional Lie algebra over F . Let V be an L -module of dimension n and let x_V be the linear operator that x induces on V . Suppose that x_V has at least one eigenvalue in F and that V is an indecomposable L -module. This means that there is a basis B of V relative to which the matrix $M_B(x_V)$ of x_V is the upper triangular Jordan block $J_n(\alpha)$, where $\alpha \in F$ is the only eigenvalue of x_V .

Suppose next that W is an indecomposable L -module of dimension m and that x_W has eigenvalue $\beta \in F$. As above, there is a basis C of W relative to which $M_C(x_W) = J_m(\beta)$.

As usual, we may view $V \otimes W$ as an L -module via

$$x(v \otimes w) = xv \otimes w + v \otimes xw, \quad v, w \in V \otimes W.$$

Let $x_{V \otimes W}$ be the linear operator that x induces on $V \otimes W$. It is easy to see that the minimal polynomial of $x_{V \otimes W}$ splits in F and a single eigenvalue, namely $\alpha + \beta$. This follows from the well-known formula:

$$(3.1) \quad (x - (\alpha + \beta) \cdot 1)^k (v \otimes w) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq k} \binom{k}{i} (x - \alpha \cdot 1)^{k-i} (v) \otimes (x - \beta \cdot 1)^i (w).$$

Question 3.1. *How does $V \otimes W$ decompose as a direct sum of indecomposable L -modules?*

That is, what is the length ℓ of $V \otimes W$ and what are the decomposition numbers $d_1 \geq \dots \geq d_\ell$ such that

$$x_{V \otimes W} \sim J_{d_1}(\alpha + \beta) \oplus \dots \oplus J_{d_\ell}(\alpha + \beta)?$$

Replacing x_V by $x_V - \alpha \cdot 1$, x_W by $x_W - \beta \cdot 1$, and $x_{V \otimes W}$ by $x_{V \otimes W} - (\alpha + \beta) \cdot 1$, we see that ℓ and the decomposition numbers $d_1 \geq \dots \geq d_\ell$ are independent of α and β , and can be computed when $\alpha = 0 = \beta$.

When F has characteristic 0 and $n \leq m$ then $\ell = n$, with decomposition numbers

$$m + n - 1, m + n - 3, \dots, m - n + 3, m - n + 1.$$

This can be obtained by imbedding L into $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ and using the Clebsch-Gordan formula [H], §22.5.

The analogue of Question 3.1 for a cyclic p -group and F of prime characteristic p was solved by B. Srinivasan [S]. The answer is given recursively, rather than as a closed formula. Alternative algorithms can be found in [Ra] and [Re]. Presumably, Srinivasan's results translate to our present set-up *mutatis mutandis*.

This section furnishes a closed formula in answer to Question 3.1, albeit only in the special case $m = p^e$, where F has prime characteristic p and $e \geq 0$, as required in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 3.2. *Let p be a prime and let $e \geq 0$. Then $p \mid \binom{p^e}{i}$ for any $0 < i < p^e$.*

Proof. Since $i \binom{p^e}{i} = p^e \binom{p^e-1}{i-1}$ and the largest power of p dividing i is at most p^{e-1} , it follows that p divides $\binom{p^e}{i}$. \square

Proposition 3.3. *Let F be a field of prime characteristic p and let $e \geq 0$. Let $L = \langle x \rangle$ be a 1-dimensional Lie algebra over F . Let V and W be indecomposable L -modules of dimensions n and p^e , respectively, where $n \leq p^e$. Suppose that x has eigenvalues $\alpha, \beta \in F$ when acting on V and W , respectively. Then the L -module $V \otimes W$ decomposes as the direct sum of n isomorphic indecomposable L -modules, each of which has dimension p^e and is acted upon by x with a single eigenvalue $\alpha + \beta$. In symbols,*

$$\ell = n \text{ and } d_1 = \cdots = d_{p^e} = p^e.$$

Proof. As mentioned above, we may assume without loss of generality that $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 0$, and we will do so, mainly for simplicity of notation. For the same reason, we let $m = p^e$.

Let $B = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and $C = \{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$ be bases of V and W relative to which $M_B(x_V) = J_n(0)$ and $M_C(x_W) = J_m(0)$.

Since $n \leq m$, we have

$$x_V^m = 0 \text{ and } x_W^m = 0.$$

Therefore, Lemma 3.2 and (3.1) imply

$$x_{V \otimes W}^m = 0.$$

We next view $M = V \otimes W$ as a module for the polynomial algebra $F[X]$ via $x_{V \otimes W}$. We wish to show that M has elementary divisors X^m, \dots, X^m , with multiplicity n .

It follows from (3.1) that

$$x^{m-1}(v_1 \otimes w_m) = v_1 \otimes w_1 \neq 0.$$

Let N_1 be the $F[X]$ -submodule of M generated by $v_1 \otimes w_m$. Then N_1 has a single elementary divisor, namely X^m .

Suppose that $1 \leq i < n$ and the $F[X]$ -submodule of M , say N_i , generated by $v_1 \otimes w_m, \dots, v_i \otimes w_m$ has elementary divisors X^m, \dots, X_m , with multiplicity i . Using (3.1) we see that $v_{i+1} \otimes w_1$ appears in $x^{m-1}(v_{i+1} \otimes w_m)$ with coefficient 1. Since $v_{i+1} \otimes w_1 \notin N_i$, the minimal polynomial of the vector $v_{i+1} \otimes w_m + N_i \in M/N_i$ is X^m . The theory of finitely generated modules over a principal ideal domain implies that the $F[X]$ -submodule of M generated by $v_1 \otimes w_m, \dots, v_i \otimes w_m, v_{i+1} \otimes w_m$ has elementary divisors X^m, \dots, X_m , with multiplicity $i+1$. The result now follows. \square

Note 3.4. *Unlike what happens in characteristic 0, the decomposition of $V \otimes W$ for L is not, in general, the same as for $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$. Indeed, suppose F has characteristic 2 and let $V = W$ be the natural module for $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$. Then $V \otimes W$ is an indecomposable $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -module, but decomposes as the direct sum of two indecomposable L -modules for $L = \langle x \rangle$, where x, h, y is the standard basis of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$.*

Resuming our prior discussion, let F be a field and let $L = \langle x \rangle$ be a 1-dimensional Lie algebra over F . Let V_1, \dots, V_s be L -modules with bases B_1, \dots, B_s relative to which $M_{B_i}(x_{V_i}) = J_{m_i}(\alpha_i)$, where $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $\alpha_i \in F$. Consider the L -module $V = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_s$. We may view $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ as an L -module via:

$$x \cdot f = x_V f - f x_V.$$

Thus x acts on $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ via $ad x_V$. By Lemma 2.2, the eigenvalues of $ad x_V$ are $\alpha_i - \alpha_j$, where $1 \leq i, j \leq s$. We can view $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ as the direct sum of the L -submodules

$$\text{Hom}(V_j, V_i) \cong V_j^* \otimes V_i, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq s.$$

Here V_j^* is an indecomposable L -module upon which x acts with eigenvalue $-\alpha_j$. It is then clear that the generalized eigenspace of $ad x_V$ for a given eigenvalue γ is the sum of all $\text{Hom}(V_j, V_i)$ such that $\alpha_i - \alpha_j = \gamma$.

Corollary 3.5. *Keep the above notation and suppose, further, that F has prime characteristic p and all L -modules V_i have the same dimension p^e , for some $e \geq 0$. Let $S = \{\alpha_i - \alpha_j \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq s\}$, the set of distinct eigenvalues of $ad x_V$. Then the minimal polynomial of $ad x_V$ is $\prod_{\gamma \in S} (X - \gamma)^{p^e}$. Moreover, the elementary divisors of $ad x_V$ are $(X - \gamma)^{p^e}$, $\gamma \in S$, with multiplicity $p^e m(\gamma)$, where*

$$m(\gamma) = |\{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq s, \alpha_i - \alpha_j = \gamma\}|.$$

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Suppose conditions (C4)-(C6) hold. Then q is irreducible, since so is h . Let K be a splitting field for h over F . Since q is separable, the number of distinct roots of h in K is exactly p^n .

Let $\beta \in K$ be a root of h . We readily verify that $\beta + b$ is a root of h for every $b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. It follows that $\beta + b$, $b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, are the distinct roots of h in K and each has multiplicity p^e . By Lemma 2.2, $\mu_{ad A}$ splits in K and the set of eigenvalues of $ad A$ is precisely \mathbb{F}_{p^n} . Moreover, by Lemma 2.1, the centralizer of A is a subfield of $M_m(F)$. In particular, conditions (C1)-(C3) hold.

Furthermore, by Lemma 2.5, all eigenvalues of $ad A$ are invertible in $M_m(F)$ and all eigenspaces of $ad A$ have dimension p^{n+e} . Thus, the sum of the dimensions of all eigenspaces of $ad A$ is p^{2n+e} . This equals the dimension of $\mathfrak{gl}(m)$, namely $m^2 = p^{2(n+e)}$, if and only if $e = 0$. Therefore, $ad A$ is diagonalizable if and only if h is separable.

Regardless of whether $e = 0$ or not, we claim that the invariant factors of $ad A$ are $X^{p^{n+e}} - X^{p^e}, \dots, X^{p^{n+e}} - X^{p^e}$, with multiplicity p^{n+e} . For this purpose, we may assume without loss of generality that $F = K$. Hence $\mu_{ad A}$ splits in F , by Lemma 2.2. Thus A is similar to the direct sum of the companion matrices to $X^{p^e} - \beta^{p^e} = (X - \beta)^{p^e}$, as β runs through the p^n distinct roots of h in F . Hence, we may assume without loss of generality that A is the direct sum of the p^n Jordan

blocks $J_{p^e}(\beta)$, with β as above. Now, the eigenvalues of $ad A$ form the subfield \mathbb{F}_{p^n} of F . Moreover, for each $b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, we have

$$|\{(\beta_1, \beta_2) \mid h(\beta_1) = 0 = h(\beta_2), \beta_1 - \beta_2 = b\}| = p^n.$$

It follows from Corollary 3.5 that the elementary divisors of $ad A$ are $(X - b)^{p^e}$, $b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, each with multiplicity p^{n+e} . Since $\prod_{b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} (X - b) = X^{p^n} - X$, the claim follows.

Suppose conversely that (C1)-(C3) hold. Since the eigenvalues of $ad A$ form a subfield of F , we see that $ad A$ has a non-zero eigenvalue in F . It follows from Lemma 2.5 that (C4) holds. Since the centralizer of A is a subfield of $M_m(F)$, Lemma 2.1 shows that (C5) holds.

Let K be a splitting field for μ_A over F . Let q be the separable part of μ_A , so that $\mu_A(X) = q(X^{p^e})$ for some $e \geq 0$.

Let \mathbb{F}_{p^n} be the subfield of F formed by the roots of $ad A$ ensured by (C3). Let $\beta \in K$ be a root of μ_A . It follows from Lemma 2.2 that the distinct roots of μ_A in K are $\beta + b$, for all $b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. Since μ_A and its separable part must have the same number of distinct roots, we deduce that q has degree p^n . Let $\alpha = \beta^{p^e} \in K$. Then α is a root of q . Moreover, if $c \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ then $\alpha + c$ is also a root of q . Indeed, $b \mapsto b^{p^e}$ is an automorphism of \mathbb{F}_{p^n} , so $c = b^{p^e}$ for some $b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. Therefore,

$$q(\alpha + c) = \mu_A(\beta + b) = 0.$$

Thus $F[\alpha]$ is a splitting field for q over F . Since q is separable, we deduce that $F[\alpha]/F$ is a finite Galois extension, whose Galois group we denote by G . We claim that $\alpha^{p^n} - \alpha \in F$. To see this, it suffices to show that $\alpha^{p^n} - \alpha \in F$ is fixed by every $\sigma \in G$. Let $\sigma \in G$. Then $\sigma(\alpha)$ must be a root of q , so $\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha + b$ for some $b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. Therefore,

$$\sigma(\alpha^{p^n} - \alpha) = (\alpha + b)^{p^n} - (\alpha + b) = \alpha^{p^n} - \alpha,$$

as required. Thus $\alpha^{p^n} - \alpha = a \in F$, so $X^{p^n} - X - a \in F[X]$ has α as root. Hence $q \mid (X^{p^n} - X - a)$. Since these polynomials have the same degree and are monic, they must be equal. This completes the proof of the theorem.

5. PRIMITIVE ELEMENTS OF INTERMEDIATE FIELDS IN A GALOIS EXTENSION

Let F be a field of prime characteristic p having \mathbb{F}_{p^n} as a subfield and consider the generalized Artin-Schreier polynomial $q = X^{p^n} - X - a \in F[X]$. Let α be a root of q in a field extension of F . Then $\alpha + b$, $b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, are all roots of q , so $F[\alpha]$ is a splitting field for q over F . Moreover, all roots of q have the same degree over F , since $F[\alpha] = F[\alpha + b]$ for any $b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. Thus, all irreducible factors of q in $F[X]$ have the same degree. Let G be the Galois group of $F[\alpha]/F$. We claim that G is elementary abelian p -group. Indeed, let $\sigma, \tau \in G$. Then $\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha + b$ and $\tau(\alpha) = \alpha + c$ for some $b, c \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. Therefore $\sigma^p = 1$ and $\sigma\tau = \tau\sigma$, as claimed. Since $|G| = [F[\alpha] : F]$, which is the degree the minimal polynomial of α over F , we deduce that all irreducible factors of q in $F[X]$ have degree p^m for a unique $0 \leq m \leq n$.

If $a = 0$ it is obvious that $m = 0$. If $a \neq 0$ and $F = \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ then $m = 1$. More generally, if F algebraic over \mathbb{F}_{p^n} and there is no $b \in F$ such that $q(b) = 0$ then $m = 1$. Indeed, let f be the minimal polynomial of α over F and let p^m be its degree. By assumption $m > 0$. Let E be the subfield of F obtained by adjoining

a and the coefficients of f to \mathbb{F}_{p^n} . Then f is irreducible and a factor of q in $E[X]$. By above, $\text{Gal}(E[\alpha]/E)$ is an elementary abelian group of order p^m . Since E is a finite field, $\text{Gal}(E[\alpha]/E)$ is cyclic, so $m = 1$.

Assume henceforth that q is actually irreducible. Then $K = F[\alpha]$ is a splitting field for q over F and $G = \text{Gal}(K/F)$ is an elementary abelian p -group of order p^n . More explicitly, for $b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, let $\sigma_b \in G$ be defined by $\sigma_b(\alpha) = \alpha + b$. Then $b \mapsto \sigma_b$ defines a group isomorphism $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^+ \rightarrow G$. In particular, G has normal subgroups of all possible orders.

Suppose that m satisfies $0 \leq m \leq n$. Let H be a subgroup of G of order p^m . Then the fixed field $E = K^H$ of H satisfies $[K : E] = p^m$. Since $F[\alpha] = E[\alpha]$, the minimal polynomial $\mu_{\alpha, E}$ of α over E must have degree p^m . In fact,

$$\mu_{\alpha, E}(X) = \prod_{\sigma \in H} (X - \alpha^\sigma).$$

Since $\mu_{\alpha, E}(X)$ divides $q = X^{p^n} - X - a \in E[X]$, it follows that all irreducible factors of q in $E[X]$ have degree p^m . In fact,

$$X^{p^n} - X - a = \prod_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(E/F)} \mu_{\alpha, E}(X)^\sigma.$$

Let

$$(5.1) \quad \alpha_H = \prod_{\sigma \in H} \alpha^\sigma.$$

Since every $\sigma \in G$ is of the form σ_b for $b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, it follows that α_H is a monic polynomial in α of degree p^m with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} . Since α has degree p^n over F , the degree of α_H over F is at least p^{n-m} . But clearly $\alpha_H \in E$, where $[E : F] = p^{n-m}$. It follows that $E = F[\alpha_H]$.

As just noted, α_H as an \mathbb{F}_{p^n} -linear combination of powers of α . In fact, we may use the so-called Dickson invariants, found by L. E. Dickson [D] in 1911, to obtain a sharper result. These invariants have been revisited numerous times (see, for instance, [H2] and [St]).

Consider the polynomial Φ_m in the polynomial algebra $F[A, B_1, \dots, B_m]$, defined as follows:

$$(5.2) \quad \Phi_m(A, B_1, \dots, B_m) = \prod_{s_1, \dots, s_m \in \mathbb{F}_p} (A + s_1 B_1 + \dots + s_m B_m).$$

Clearly Φ_m is $\text{GL}_m(\mathbb{F}_p)$ -invariant. Dickson showed that

$\Phi_m = A^{p^m} + f_{m-1}(B_1, \dots, B_m)A^{p^{m-1}} + \dots + f_1(B_1, \dots, B_m)A^p + f_0(B_1, \dots, B_m)A$, where $f_0, \dots, f_{m-1} \in \mathbb{F}_p[B_1, \dots, B_m]$ are algebraically independent and generate $\mathbb{F}_p[B_1, \dots, B_m]^{\text{GL}_m(\mathbb{F}_p)}$. Moreover, Φ_m , and hence f_{m-1}, \dots, f_0 , can be recursively computed from

$$(5.3) \quad \Phi_0 = A,$$

$$(5.4) \quad \Phi_i = \Phi_{i-1}(A, B_1, \dots, B_{i-1})^p - \Phi_{i-1}(B_i, B_1, \dots, B_{i-1})^{p-1} \Phi_{i-1}(A, B_1, \dots, B_{i-1}).$$

Let $\sigma_{b_1}, \dots, \sigma_{b_m}$ be generators of H . This means that $\sigma_{b_1}, \dots, \sigma_{b_m}$ are in H and that b_1, \dots, b_m are linearly independent over \mathbb{F}_p . It follows from (5.1) that

$$\alpha_H = \prod_{s_1, \dots, s_m \in \mathbb{F}_p} (\alpha + s_1 b_1 + \dots + s_m b_m) = \Phi_m(\alpha, b_1, \dots, b_m).$$

This, together with (5.3) and (5.4) allows us to recursively find $c_0, \dots, c_{m-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ such that

$$\alpha_H = \alpha^{p^m} + c_{m-1}\alpha^{p^{m-1}} + \dots + c_1\alpha^p + c_0\alpha.$$

In certain special cases we actually have $c_0, \dots, c_{m-1} \in \mathbb{F}_p$, in which case we will say that H has property P .

Let R be the subgroup of $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^+$ that corresponds to H under $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^+ \rightarrow G$. Thus, R is an \mathbb{F}_p -subspace of \mathbb{F}_{p^n} , namely the \mathbb{F}_p -span of b_1, \dots, b_m .

Lemma 5.1. *H has property P if and only if R is invariant under the Frobenius automorphism of \mathbb{F}_{p^n} , in which case*

$$\alpha_H = f_R(\alpha),$$

where

$$f_R(Y) = \prod_{b \in R} (Y + b).$$

Proof. We start by showing that H has property P if and only if $f_R \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}[Y]$ has coefficients in \mathbb{F}_p .

Suppose first that there exist $c_{m-1}, \dots, c_0 \in \mathbb{F}_p$ such that

$$\alpha_H = \alpha^{p^m} + c_{m-1}\alpha^{p^{m-1}} + \dots + c_1\alpha^p + c_0\alpha.$$

Set

$$(5.5) \quad f(Y) = Y^{p^m} + c_{m-1}Y^{p^{m-1}} + \dots + c_1Y^p + c_0Y \in \mathbb{F}_p[Y].$$

Let $b \in R$. Since $\alpha_H^{\sigma_b} = \alpha_H$, it follows that

$$f(b) = 0.$$

Therefore $f_R = f \in \mathbb{F}_p[Y]$. Conversely, if $f_R \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$ then

$$\alpha_H = \prod_{b \in R} (\alpha + b) = f_R(\alpha),$$

which is an \mathbb{F}_p -linear combination of $\alpha^{p^m}, \dots, \alpha^p, \alpha$ with first coefficient 1.

Let τ be the Frobenius automorphism of \mathbb{F}_{p^n} . Then $f_R \in \mathbb{F}_p[Y]$ if and only if

$$f_R(Y) = f_R(Y)^\tau = \prod_{b \in R} (Y + b^\tau) = \prod_{b \in R^\tau} (Y + b) = f_{R^\tau}(Y),$$

which is equivalent to $R = R^\tau$. □

Suppose that R is actually a subfield of \mathbb{F}_{p^n} . Then R is certainly invariant under $b \mapsto b^p$. Moreover, $f_R(Y) = Y^{p^m} - Y$. Therefore, in this case,

$$\alpha_H = \alpha^{p^m} - \alpha.$$

In particular, $\alpha_G = a$.

Corollary 5.2. *If $b_1, \dots, b_m \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{F}_p then*

$$f_0(b_1, \dots, b_m) = -1, \text{ whereas } f_j(b_1, \dots, b_m) = 0, \text{ if } 1 \leq j \leq m-1.$$

Corollary 5.2 is not true, in general, if $b_1, \dots, b_m \in R$ are linearly dependent over \mathbb{F}_p , as the case $m = 2$ will confirm by taking $b_1 = 1 = b_2$ for $j = 0, 1$.

Example 5.3. Here we furnish examples of subspaces R of \mathbb{F}_{p^n} that are invariant under $b \mapsto b^p$ but are not subfields of \mathbb{F}_{p^n} , even when $m|n$.

Suppose first $m = 1$, where $(p-1)|n$ and p is odd. Take $c \in \mathbb{F}_p$, $c \neq 0, 1$, and set

$$f(Y) = Y^p - cY \in \mathbb{F}_p[Y].$$

Since $Y^{p^{p-1}} \equiv Y \pmod{f}$, it follows that f splits in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{p-1}}$ and hence in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} . The roots of f in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} form a 1-dimensional, Frobenius-invariant, subspace R of \mathbb{F}_{p^n} , which is not a field, since \mathbb{F}_p is the only 1-dimensional subfield of \mathbb{F}_{p^n} and 0 is the only element of \mathbb{F}_p that is a root of f .

Suppose next that $m = 2$, where $2p|n$ and p is odd. Let

$$(5.6) \quad f(Y) = \prod_{j \in \mathbb{F}_p} (Y^p - Y - j).$$

Thus, f is the product of all Artin-Schreier polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_p[Y]$. This readily implies that the roots of f form a 2-dimensional, Frobenius-invariant, subspace R of \mathbb{F}_{p^n} containing \mathbb{F}_p . In particular, $f(cY) = f(Y)$ for all $0 \neq c \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Since $Y|f(Y)$ and $f(Y)$ has degree p^2 , it follows that

$$f(Y) = Y^{p^2} + aY^p + bY,$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Using (5.6) and $p > 1$ to compute a, b reveals that

$$f(Y) = Y^{p^2} - Y^p - Y.$$

However, the subfield of \mathbb{F}_{p^n} obtained by adjoining R to \mathbb{F}_p is \mathbb{F}_{p^p} . Since $p > 2$, it follows that R is not a subfield of \mathbb{F}_{p^n} .

6. EXISTENCE OF IRREDUCIBLE GENERALIZED ARTIN-SCHREIER POLYNOMIALS

We begin this section by giving an elementary example of an irreducible Artin-Schreier polynomial. We then furnish substantially more general examples, which require the use of preliminary results from [G] and [MS]. In fact, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for a polynomial

$$q(X) = X^{p^{n+e}} - X^{p^e} - g(Z^r) \in F[X]$$

to be irreducible, where X and Z are algebraically independent elements over an arbitrary field K of prime characteristic p , $n > 0$, $r > 0$, $e \geq 0$, $F = K(Z)$, and $g(Z) \in K[Z]$ is non-zero of degree relatively prime to p .

Recall that an element π of and an integral domain D is irreducible if d is neither 0 nor a unit and whenever $\pi = ab$ with $a, b \in D$ then a or b is a unit.

It is easy to see that $X^{p^n} - X - Z$ is irreducible in $K[X][Z]$, hence in $K[X, Z]$ and therefore in $K[Z][X]$. It follows from Gauss' Lemma (see [J], §2.16) that $X^{p^n} - X - Z$ is irreducible in $F[X]$.

Theorem 6.1. (see [MS], Proposition 1.8.9). *Let D be an integral domain. Let X, Z be algebraically independent elements over D . Let $f \in D[X]$ and $g \in D[Z]$. If $\gcd(\deg(f), \deg(g)) = 1$, then $h(X, Z) = f(X) - g(Z)$ is irreducible in $D[X, Z]$.*

Theorem 6.2. (see [G], Theorem 1.1). *Let D be a unique factorization domain. Let t be any positive integer and let f be an irreducible polynomial in $D[Z]$ of positive degree m , leading coefficient a and nonzero constant term b . Suppose that for each prime p dividing t and any unit u of D at least one of the two following statements is true:*

(A) $ua \notin D^p$;

(B) (i) $(-1)^m ub \notin D^p$ and (ii) $ub \notin D^2$, if $4|t$.

Then

$$f(Z^t) \text{ is irreducible in } D[Z].$$

Theorem 6.3. (see [G], Corollary 4.6 (b)). *Let D be a unique factorization domain of prime characteristic p . Let $f(Z) \in D[Z]$ be an arbitrary polynomial of positive degree that is irreducible in $D[Z]$, and let s be any positive integer. Then*

$f(Z^{p^s})$ is reducible in $D[Z] \iff$ there exists a unit u of D such that $uf(Z) \in D^p[Z]$.

We can now prove the following result.

Theorem 6.4. *Let K be a field of prime characteristic p . Let X, Z be algebraically independent elements over K and set $F = K(Z)$. Let n, e, r be integers such that $n > 0, r > 0$ and $e \geq 0$. Let $g(Z) = c_d Z^d + \dots + c_1 Z + c_0 \in K[Z]$ be any polynomial whose degree d is coprime with p . Then $h(X, Z) = X^{p^{n+e}} - X^{p^e} - g(Z^r)$ is irreducible in $F[X]$ if and only if at least one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

$$(i) \ p \nmid r, \quad (ii) \ e = 0, \quad (iii) \ g(Z) \notin K^p[Z].$$

Proof. By unique factorization in \mathbb{Z} there exist a positive integer r_0 coprime with p and a nonnegative integer s such that $r = r_0 p^s$, so $p|r$ if and only if $s \geq 1$.

Suppose first none of the conditions (i)-(iii) is fulfilled. Thus $e \geq 1, s \geq 1$ and $g(Z) \in K^p[Z]$. The last two conditions imply $g(Z^r) = Q^p(Z)$ for some $Q(Z)$ in $K[Z]$, and now the first condition implies that $h(X, Z)$ is a p th power in $F[X]$.

Suppose next that at least one of the conditions (i)-(iii) holds. We wish to show that $h(X, Z)$ is irreducible in $F[X]$.

Case (i). Suppose $p \nmid r$. Therefore $p \nmid dr$. Since $g(Z^r)$ has degree dr , from the case $D = K$, $f(X) = X^{p^{n+e}} - X^{p^e}$ of Theorem 6.1, with $g(Z^r)$ instead of $g(Z)$, we see that $h(X, Z)$ is irreducible in $K[X, Z]$, hence in $K[Z][X]$, and therefore in $F[X]$ by Gauss' Lemma.

Case (ii). Suppose $e = 0$. The previous case guarantees that $X^{p^n} - X - g(Z^{r_0})$ is irreducible in $F[X]$, so we can suppose $s \geq 1$. Let $D = K[X]$. Therefore $f(Z) = X^{p^n} - X - g(Z^{r_0})$ is an irreducible polynomial in $D[Z]$ of degree $m = dr_0$ and constant term $b = X^{p^n} - X - c_0$. Since $X^{p^n} - X - c_0$ has no repeated roots, for any $u \in K^*$ (i.e., for any unit u of D) we have $(-1)^m ub \notin D^p$ as well as $ub \notin D^2$ if $4|p^s$ (i.e., if $p = 2$ and $s \geq 2$). Thus part (B) of Theorem 6.2 is satisfied with $D = K[X]$ and $t = p^s$. We conclude that $f(Z^{p^s})$ is irreducible in $D[Z]$, and therefore in $K[Z][X]$. Hence $h(X, Z) = f(Z^{p^s})$ is irreducible in $F[X]$ by Gauss' Lemma.

Case (iii). Assume $g(Z) \notin K^p[Z]$. From the cases (i) and (ii) we can assume $s \geq 1$ and $e \geq 1$. Suppose, if possible, that $h(X, Z)$ is reducible in $F[X]$. Letting $D = K[X]$ we get, via Gauss' Lemma, that $h(X, Z)$ is reducible in $K[Z][X]$, and therefore in $D[Z]$. Letting $f(Z) = X^{p^n} - X - g(Z^{r_0})$ we get that $f(Z^{p^s}) = h(X, Z)$ is reducible in $D[Z]$. But, as seen above, $f(Z) = X^{p^n} - X - g(Z^{r_0})$ is irreducible in $D[Z]$ of positive degree $m = dr_0$. Hence, by Theorem 6.3, there exists a unit u of D and $Q \in D^p[Z]$ such that $uf(Z) = Q(Z)$. In other words, there exist $u \in K^*$ and $Q_0, Q_1, \dots, Q_m \in K[X]$ such that

$$u \left(X^{p^{n+e}} - X^{p^e} - \sum_{0 \leq k \leq d} c_k Z^{kr_0} \right) = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq m} Q_k^p Z^k.$$

Equating coefficients of like monomials we obtain

$$u(X^{p^{n+e}} - X^{p^e} - c_0) = Q_0^p \text{ and } uc_k = Q_{kr_0}^p \in K^p \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, d.$$

Since Q_0 has degree $m_0 = p^{n+e-1}$, there must exist d_0, d_1, \dots, d_{m_0} in K such that $Q_0 = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq m_0} d_k X^k$, whence

$$(6.1) \quad u(X^{p^{n+e}} - X^{p^e}) - uc_0 = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq m_0} d_k^p X^{kp}.$$

Equating leading coefficients yields $u = d_{m_0}^p \in K^p$, so $c_k \in K^p$ for $k = 1, \dots, d$. But

$$u(X^{p^{n+e}} - X^{p^e}) = \left(d_{m_0} X^{p^{e-1}} (X-1)^{p^{n-1}} \right)^p,$$

so (6.1) gives

$$uc_0 = u(X^{p^{n+e}} - X^{p^e}) - Q_0^p = \left(d_{m_0} X^{p^{e-1}} (X-1)^{p^{n-1}} - Q_0 \right)^p \in K[X]^p \cap K = K^p,$$

and a fortiori $c_0 \in K^p$. Hence all $c_i \in K^p$, against the fact that $g(Z) \notin K^p[Z]$. \square

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to D. Stanley for fruitful conversations, R. Guralnick and A. Zalesski for useful references, and the referee for valuable comments.

REFERENCES

- [CS] L. Cagliero and F. Szechtman, *The classification of uniserial $\mathfrak{sl}(2) \times V(m)$ -modules and a new interpretation of the Racah-Wigner 6j-symbol*, J. Algebra 386 (2013) 142-175.
- [CS2] L. Cagliero and F. Szechtman, *On the theorem of the primitive element with applications to the representation theory of associative and Lie algebras*, Canad. Math. Bull., to appear.
- [CS3] L. Cagliero and F. Szechtman, *Classification of linked indecomposable modules of a family of solvable Lie algebras over an arbitrary field of characteristic 0*, submitted.
- [D] L. E. Dickson, *A Fundamental System of Invariants of the General Modular Linear Group with a Solution of the Form Problem*, Trans. A.M.S. 12 (1911) 75-98.
- [G] N. H. Guersenzvaig, *Elementary criteria for irreducibility of $f(X^r)$* , Israel J. Math. 169 (2009) 109-123.
- [GL] R. Gow and T. J. Laffey, *J. Group Theory*, 9 (2006) 659-672.
- [GP] I.M. Gelfand, V.A. Ponomarev, *Remarks on the classification of a pair of commuting linear transformations in a finite dimensional vector space*, Functional Anal. Appl. 3 (1969) 325-326.
- [GS] N. Guersenzvaig and F. Szechtman, *Is every matrix similar to a polynomial in a companion matrix?*, Linear Algebra Appl. 437 (2012) 1611-1627.
- [H] J. E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.
- [H2] J. E. Humphreys, *Another look at Dickson's invariants for finite linear groups*, Comm. Algebra 22 (1994) 4773-4779.
- [I] K. Iima and R. Iwamatsu, *On the Jordan decomposition of tensored matrices of Jordan canonical forms*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 51 (2009) 133-148.
- [Is] I. M. Isaacs, *Degrees of sums in a separable field extension*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 25 (1970) 638-641.
- [K] I. Kaplansky, *Fields and Rings*, Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1969.
- [J] N. Jacobson, *Basic Algebra I*, Freeman, New York, 1985.
- [M] I. Makedonskyi, *On wild and tame finite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Funct. Anal. Appl., 47 (2013) 271-283.
- [MS] M. Mignotte and D. Ştefănescu, *Polynomials, An Algorithmic Approach*, Springer-Verlag, Singapore, 1999.

- [N1] T. Nagell, *Bemerkungen über zusammengesetzte Zahlkörper*, Avh. Norske Vid. Akad. Oslo (1937) 1–26.
- [N2] T. Nagell, *Bestimmung des Grades gewisser relativgebraischer Zahlen*, Monatsh. Math. Phys. **48** (1939) 61–74.
- [Ra] T. Ralley, *Decomposition of products of modular representations*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1966) 1012–1013.
- [Re] J.-C. Renaud, *The decomposition of products in the modular representation ring of a cyclic group of prime power order*, J. Algebra **58** (1979) 1–11.
- [Ro] W. E. Roth, *On direct product matrices*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **40** (1934) 461–468.
- [S] B. Srinivasan, *The modular representation ring of a cyclic p -group*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **14** (1964) 677–688.
- [St] R. Steinberg, *On Dickson's theorem on invariants*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **34** (1987) 699–707.
- [V] I. M. Vinogradov, *An introduction to the theory of numbers*, Pergamon Press, London, 1955.

AV. CORRIENTES 3985 6A, (1194) BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA
E-mail address: `nguersenz@fibertel.com.ar`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF REGINA, CANADA
E-mail address: `fernando.szechtman@gmail.com`