

The Murnaghan-Nakayama rule and some virtual S_n characters

Amitai Regev
 Mathematics Department
 The Weizmann Institute
 Rehovot 76100
 Israel

August 21, 2021

Abstract. We construct certain virtual characters for the symmetric groups, then compute a formula which calculates the values of these virtual characters.

1 Introduction

Partitions are denoted here by λ, μ, ν , etc., and a partition is identified with its Young diagram. As usual, λ' denotes the conjugate partition of λ . We write $\lambda \vdash n$ if λ is a partition of n . When the characteristic of the base field is zero, the partitions $\lambda \vdash n$ are in a one-to-one correspondence with the irreducible S_n -characters, denoted χ^λ , [1], [2], [4]. An integer-combination of irreducible characters is called a virtual character.

We construct certain virtual characters $\psi_{\nu,n}$ of the symmetric group S_n . Here ν is a partition of k where k is much smaller than n . These virtual characters are alternating sums of certain irreducible S_n characters. The main result here is, that the values $\psi_{\nu,n}(\mu)$ of these virtual characters on the partitions $\mu \vdash n$ are given by one character formula. This is Theorem 3.1 below. This formula shows that the character tables of the symmetric groups satisfy many relations and identities.

2 The virtual S_n -characters $\psi_{\nu,n}$

Let $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots) \vdash k$, and $n \geq 2k + 2$. First form $\nu^{(1)} = (\nu_1 + n - k, \nu_2, \nu_3, \dots)$. This is the diagram ν with $n - k$ additional boxes attached to its first row. Now pull these added $n - k$ boxes down and left around the diagram ν as follows. Think of these $n - k$ boxes as

a train pulled by the first (i.e. left) cell. And pull it down and left around ν so that the result is a partition (containing ν). We call this process "going around ν ", see Example 2.2. This process, which is analyzed in Section 2.1, yields an ordered sequence of partitions of n : $\nu^{(1)}, \nu^{(2)}, \dots \vdash n$.

For example, verify that $\nu^{(2)} = (n - k + \nu_2 - 1, \nu_1 + 1, \nu_3, \nu_4, \dots)$. Note that since $n \geq 2k + 2$ and $\nu \vdash k$, it follows that $n - k + \nu_2 - 1 \geq \nu_1 + 1$, and therefore $\nu^{(2)}$ is indeed a partition.

Definition 2.1. (The virtual character $\psi_{\nu,n}$.) Given $\nu \vdash k$ and $n \geq k$ (we usually require that $n \geq 2k + 2$), with the partitions of n obtained by going around ν , these partitions are ordered as first, second, third, etc. We define $\psi_{\nu,n}$ to be the alternating sum of the corresponding irreducible S_n characters.

Example 2.2. 1.

Going around the empty diagram $\nu = \emptyset$ we get the following sequence of diagrams:

$$(n) \rightarrow (n-1, 1) \rightarrow (n-2, 1^2) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow (2, 1^{n-2}) \rightarrow (1^n).$$

Thus

$$\psi_{\emptyset,n} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \chi^{(n-j, 1^j)}.$$

2. Going around $\nu = (1)$. Here $k = 1$, so $n \geq 4$. Get the diagrams

$$(n) \rightarrow (n-2, 2) \rightarrow (n-3, 2, 1) \rightarrow (n-4, 2, 1^2) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow (3, 2, 1^{n-5}) \rightarrow (2, 2, 1^{n-4}) \rightarrow (1^n).$$

Therefore

$$\psi_{(1),n} = \chi^{(n)} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-4} (-1)^{j+1} \chi^{(n-2-j, 2, 1^j)} + (-1)^n \chi^{(1^n)}.$$

3. Going around (2) . Here $k = 2$ so $n \geq 6$. Get the partitions

$$(n) \rightarrow (n-3, 3) \rightarrow (n-4, 3, 1) \rightarrow (n-5, 3, 1^2) \rightarrow (n-6, 3, 1^3) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow (4, 3, 1^{n-7}) \rightarrow (3, 3, 1^{n-6}) \rightarrow (2^2, 1^{n-4}) \rightarrow (2, 1^{n-2}).$$

Thus

$$\psi_{(2),n} = \chi^{(n)} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-6} (-1)^{j+1} \chi^{(n-3-j, 3, 1^j)} + (-1)^{n-1} \chi^{(2, 2, 1^{n-4})} + (-1)^n \chi^{(2, 1^{n-2})}. \quad (1)$$

2.1 The general construction

Definition 2.3. 1. Let $n \geq k$, $\nu \vdash k$, and $\eta \vdash n$. We shall assume that $n \geq 2k + 2$. Assume $\nu \leq \eta$ and that S is a part of the rim of η such that $\eta \setminus S = \nu$, then we write $\eta = \nu * S$. Let $h(S)$ denote the height of S .

2. Given such $\eta = \nu * S$, we say that " S covers ν " if $\nu'_1 \leq h(S)$. Otherwise S covers only an upper part of ν .

3. Given $\nu \vdash k$, we start by constructing $\nu * S_1$. Here S_1 is the one row of length $n - k$, added to the first row of ν . Clearly, $h(S_1) = 1$. Continue and construct the sequence of partitions $\nu * S_1, \nu * S_2, \dots, \nu * S_{n-k}$. Lemma 2.5 shows that $h(S_j) = j$ for $1 \leq j \leq n - k$, and the process stops at $j = n - k$, namely after $n - k$ steps.

4. Define the virtual S_n character $\psi_{\nu,n}$ as follows:

$$\psi_{\nu,n} := \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} (-1)^{j+1} \chi^{\nu * S_j}. \quad (2)$$

5. We say that $\nu * S$ "has a tail" if $\nu * S = \mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots)$ where $\mu_1 \geq \nu_1 + 1$.

The following unique-decomposition lemma is crucial here.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $n \geq 2k + 2$. For $i = 1, 2$ let $\nu^{(i)} \vdash k$, and let $S^{(i)}$ be a rim of $\nu^{(i)} * S^{(i)}$ of length $n - k$.*

$$\text{If } \nu^{(1)} * S^{(1)} = \nu^{(2)} * S^{(2)} \text{ then } \nu^{(1)} = \nu^{(2)} \text{ and } S^{(1)} = S^{(2)}.$$

Note that Example 2.6 below shows that the condition $n \geq 2k + 2$ is necessary.

Proof. Denote $\eta = \nu^{(1)} * S^{(1)} = \nu^{(2)} * S^{(2)}$. There are three cases to consider.

Case 1: $S^{(1)}$ covers an upper part of $\nu^{(1)}$ (and has a North-East tail).

case 2: The conjugate of case 1.

Case 3: $S^{(1)}$ completely covers $\nu^{(1)}$ (and might have a North-East and/or South-East tails).

Case 1: Here the rim of $\nu^{(1)} * S^{(1)}$ contains $S^{(1)}$ and possibly an additional part which is a part of $\nu^{(1)}$. Assume $\eta = \nu^{(1)} * S^{(1)} = \nu^{(2)} * S^{(2)}$ with $\nu^{(1)} \neq \nu^{(2)}$. Then $\nu^{(2)}$ contains a cell of $S^{(1)}$. This cell splits $S^{(1)}$ into two parts: the North-East part of $S^{(1)}$ and the South-East part (which contains the South-East part of $S^{(1)}$). Let \tilde{S} denote the South-East part of $S^{(1)}$ together with the lower part of the rim of $\nu^{(2)}$. We need to show that neither the North-East part of $S^{(1)}$, nor \tilde{S} can be $S^{(2)}$.

The North-East part is properly contained in $S^{(1)}$ hence has length strictly less than $|S^{(1)}| = n - k$, thus this part cannot be $S^{(2)}$.

Since we are in case 1, the part \tilde{S} is a part of $\nu^{(2)}$. But $\nu^{(2)} \vdash k$, hence \tilde{S} is of length $|\tilde{S}| \leq k < n - k$, hence this part cannot be $S^{(2)}$ either.

So case 1 is impossible. By conjugation, case 2 is also impossible.

Case 3. The argument here is similar: A cell of $\nu^{(2)}$ on $S^{(1)}$ splits the rim of $\nu^{(1)} * S^{(1)}$ (namely $S^{(1)}$) into two parts, each of length strictly less than $n - k$, hence neither can be $S^{(2)}$. Thus case 3 is also impossible, and the proof follows. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\nu \vdash k$ and let $n \geq 2k + 2$. As in Definition 2.3, construct the sequence of partitions $\nu * S_1, \nu * S_2, \dots, \nu * S_{n-k}$. Then $h(S_j) = j$ for $1 \leq j \leq n - k$.*

Here also, Example 2.6 shows that the condition $n \geq 2k + 2$ is necessary.

Proof. Analogue to the height $h(S)$, we also define the width $w(S)$ (which is the height of the conjugate rim S'). By projecting S on the axes (see also (1.7) in [2]), it follows that

$$|S| = h(S) + w(S) - 1. \quad (3)$$

Note that $n - k \geq \nu_1 + \nu'_1 + 1$. Indeed, $k \geq \nu_1 + \nu'_1 - 1$, so $n - k \geq k + 2 \geq \nu_1 + \nu'_1 + 1$.

As in Definition 2.3.2, construct the sequence $\nu * S_1, \nu * S_2, \dots$. For the first $\nu'_1 + 1$ steps, by construction and induction, $h(S_j) = j$, $1 \leq j \leq \nu'_1 + 1$ (since $|S| = n - k$, while the number of boxes in S except those in the first row of $\nu * S$ is $\leq \nu_1 + \nu'_1 \leq k$). After $\nu'_1 + 1$ steps, ν is "covered", with $\nu_1 + \nu'_1 + 1$ out of the $n - k$ cells are covering ν . Since $n - k \geq \nu_1 + \nu'_1 + 1$, at that stage there still is a "tail" of added boxes in the first (i.e. top) row of $\nu * S_j$, $j = \nu'_1 + 1$.

For the remaining steps we consider the conjugate construction. Then, applying (3) together with the above argument, the proof follows. \square

Example 2.6. Counter examples when $n \not\geq 2k + 2$.

1. Let $k = 3$, $n = 6$, $\eta = (3, 3)$, $\nu^{(1)} = (3)$, $\nu^{(2)} = (2, 1)$. Let $S^{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2$, satisfy

$$(3, 3) = \nu^{(1)} * S^{(1)} = \nu^{(2)} * S^{(2)}.$$

Then clearly $S^{(1)} \neq S^{(2)}$, as well as $\nu^{(1)} \neq \nu^{(2)}$.

2. Again let $k = 3$, $n = 6$. Let $\nu = (3)$ and construct the sequence of partitions $\nu * S_1, \nu * S_2, \nu * S_3 \dots$. Then $h(S_2) = 1 \neq 2$.

We proceed with the general case.

Corollary 2.7. *Let $\nu \vdash k$, and let $n \geq 2k + 2$, then*

$$\psi_{\nu, n} = \sum_{S, \nu * S \vdash n} (-1)^{h(S)+1} \chi^{\nu * S} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} (-1)^{h(S_j)+1} \chi^{\nu * S_j}.$$

Proof. This follows from Equation (2) (which defines $\psi_{\nu, n}$) and from Lemma 2.5. \square

3 A formula for $\psi_{\nu,n}(\mu)$

Our aim is to prove the following formula.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots) \vdash k$, $n \geq 2k + 2$. Let $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots) \vdash n$, and denote $\bar{\mu} = (\mu_2, \mu_3, \dots)$, so $\mu_1 = n - k$ if and only if $\bar{\mu} \vdash k$. Then*

$$\psi_{\nu,n}(\mu) = \begin{cases} \chi^\nu(\bar{\mu}) \cdot (n - k) & \text{if } \mu_1 = n - k \\ 0 & \text{if } \mu_1 \neq n - k \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

The proof is given below.

Let $n \geq 2k + 2$ and let $\nu \vdash k$, so $(n - k, \nu)$ is a partition of n . Let $\lambda \vdash n$ and assume $\chi_{(n-k,\nu)}^\lambda \neq 0$, then, by the Murnaghan-Nakayama (M-N) rule, λ can be written, probably in several ways, as $\lambda = \rho * S$ where $\rho \vdash k$, S is part of the rim of λ and $|S| = n - k$. By Lemma 2.4 this decomposition, with $|\rho| = k$, is unique, hence we can write $\lambda \leftrightarrow (\rho_\lambda, S_\lambda)$. Again by the M-N rule, with $\lambda = \rho * S$,

$$\chi_{(n-k,\nu)}^\lambda = (-1)^{h(S)+1} \chi_\nu^\rho = (-1)^{h(S_\lambda)+1} \chi_\nu^{\rho_\lambda}. \quad (5)$$

Lemma 2.4 allows us to prove the following formula.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\nu \vdash k$ and let $n \geq 2k + 2$. Then*

$$\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \chi_{(n-k,\nu)}^\lambda \chi^\lambda = \sum_{\rho \vdash k} \chi_\nu^\rho \cdot \psi_{\rho,n}.$$

Proof. We just saw that

$$\{\lambda \vdash n\} = \{\rho * S \vdash n \mid \rho \vdash k, \quad |S| = n - k\} \cup \{\lambda \vdash n \mid \chi_{(n-k,\nu)}^\lambda = 0\},$$

and by Lemma 2.4 we have the bijection

$$\{\rho * S \vdash n \mid \rho \vdash k, \quad |S| = n - k\} \longleftrightarrow \{(\rho, S) \mid \rho \vdash k, \quad |S| = n - k \quad \text{and} \quad \rho * S \vdash n\}.$$

We denote

$$A_{k,n} = \{(\rho, S) \mid \rho \vdash k, \quad |S| = n - k \quad \text{and} \quad \rho * S \vdash n\}.$$

By (5)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \chi_{(n-k,\nu)}^\lambda \chi^\lambda &= \sum_{(\rho,S) \in A_{k,n}} (-1)^{h(S)+1} \chi_\nu^\rho \cdot \chi^\lambda = \\ &= \sum_{\rho \vdash k} \sum_{S, \rho * S \vdash n} (-1)^{h(S)+1} \chi_\nu^\rho \cdot \chi^{\rho * S} = \\ &= \sum_{\rho \vdash k} \chi_\nu^\rho \sum_{S, \rho * S \vdash n} (-1)^{h(S)+1} \chi^{\rho * S} = \sum_{\rho \vdash k} \chi_\nu^\rho \cdot \psi_{\rho,n}. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality applied corollary 2.7. □

Remark 3.3.

Let $d = |\{Par(k)\}|$. Note that in matrix form, Proposition 3.2 can be written as follows:

$$[\chi_\nu^\rho] [\psi_{\rho,n}] = \left[\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \chi_{(n-k,\nu)}^\lambda \cdot \chi^\lambda \right]. \quad (6)$$

Here $[\chi_\nu^\rho]$ is the $d \times d$ character table of S_k , and $[\psi_{\rho,n}]$ is a column of height d . Of course, the locations of the entries of both $[\chi_\nu^\rho]$ and $[\psi_{\rho,n}]$ depend on how we order $Par(k)$. Applying (6) on $\mu \vdash n$ we get

$$[\chi_\nu^\rho] [\psi_{\rho,n}(\mu)] = \left[\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \chi_{(n-k,\nu)}^\lambda \cdot \chi_\mu^\lambda \right] \quad (7)$$

Recall the classical column-orthogonality-relations for the S_n characters.

Theorem 3.4. (*The column-orthogonality-relations*)

$$\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \chi_\eta^\lambda \chi_\mu^\lambda = \begin{cases} |Z_{S_n}(\eta)| & \text{if } \mu = \eta \\ 0 & \text{if } \mu \neq \eta, \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

where $\lambda, \eta, \mu \vdash n$, and $Z_{S_n}(\eta)$ is the centralizer of η in S_n (i.e the centralizer of $\pi \in S_n$ with cycle structure η). Let $K = K^{(n)} = [\chi_\eta^\theta]$ denote the character table of S_n , then (8) can be written as

$$KK^T = \text{diag}(|Z_{S_n}(\eta)|, |\eta \vdash n|). \quad (9)$$

Then numbers $|Z_{S_n}(\eta)|$ are calculated through the following well known formula.

Theorem 3.5. Let $\eta \vdash n$, $\eta = (1^{m_1}, 2^{m_2}, \dots)$, and let $Z_{S_n}(\eta)$ denote the centralizer in S_n of $\sigma \in S_n$ where η is the cycle structure of σ . Then

$$|Z_{S_n}(\sigma)| = |Z_{S_n}(\eta)| = \prod_i (i^{m_i} \cdot m_i!).$$

Remark 3.6. Let $\nu \vdash k$, $n \geq 2k + 2$. Then $(n - k, \nu)$ is a partition (of n), and

$$|Z_{S_n}(n - k, \nu)| = |Z_{S_k}(\nu)| \cdot (n - k).$$

This follows from Theorem 3.5 since $n - k$ is strictly larger than any component of ν . Together with (8) this implies

Corollary 3.7. Let $\nu \vdash k$, $n \geq 2k + 2$, $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots) \vdash n$ and let $\bar{\mu} = (\mu_2, \mu_3, \dots)$. Then

$$\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \chi_{(n-k,\nu)}^\lambda \chi_\mu^\lambda = \begin{cases} |Z_{S_k}(\nu)| \cdot (n - k) & \text{if } \bar{\mu} = \nu \\ 0 & \text{if } \mu \neq \eta. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

3.1 The proof of Theorem 3.1

Apply (7) and Corollary 3.7: With ρ and ν denoting partitions of k and μ partitions of n we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\chi_\nu^\rho] [\psi_{\rho,n}(\mu)] &= \left[\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \chi_{(n-k,\nu)}^\lambda \cdot \chi_\mu^\lambda \right] = \left[\begin{cases} |Z_{S_k}(\nu)| \cdot (n-k) & \text{if } \bar{\mu} = \nu \\ 0 & \text{if } \bar{\mu} \neq \nu. \end{cases} \right] = \\ &= \left[\begin{cases} |Z_{S_k}(\nu)| & \text{if } \bar{\mu} = \nu \\ 0 & \text{if } \bar{\mu} \neq \nu. \end{cases} \right] \cdot (n-k) \quad (11) \end{aligned}$$

Restrict now to partitions $\mu = (n-k, \bar{\mu})$ (so μ is given by $\bar{\mu}$), then (11) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} [\chi_\nu^\rho] [\psi_{\rho,n}(n-k, \bar{\mu})] &= \left[\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \chi_{(n-k,\nu)}^\lambda \cdot \chi_{(n-k,\bar{\mu})}^\lambda \right] = \left[\begin{cases} |Z_{S_k}(\nu)| \cdot (n-k) & \text{if } \bar{\mu} = \nu \\ 0 & \text{if } \bar{\mu} \neq \nu. \end{cases} \right] = \\ &= \left[\begin{cases} |Z_{S_k}(\nu)| & \text{if } \bar{\mu} = \nu \\ 0 & \text{if } \bar{\mu} \neq \nu \end{cases} \right] \cdot (n-k). \quad (12) \end{aligned}$$

Note that each side of (12) is a column of height $d = |\{Par(k)\}|$, parametrized by the partitions $\bar{\mu} \in Par(k)$. Thus, if $\nu = \bar{\mu}^{(j)}$ is the j th element in $Par(k)$ then the transpose of the corresponding column of (12) is

$$(0, \dots, 0, |Z_{S_k}(\bar{\mu}^{(j)})|, 0, \dots, 0) \cdot (n-k).$$

The columns $[\psi_{\rho,n}(n-k, \bar{\mu})]$ of height d is parametrized by $\rho \in Par(k)$, with $\bar{\mu}$ fixed. From these columns we form the $d \times d$ matrix

$$M = [\psi_{\rho,n}(n-k, \bar{\mu}^{(1)}), \psi_{\rho,n}(n-k, \bar{\mu}^{(2)}), \dots, \psi_{\rho,n}(n-k, \bar{\mu}^{(d)})].$$

Also denote $K = [\chi_\nu^\rho]$ and $D = \text{diag}(|Z_{S_k}(\bar{\mu}^{(1)})|, \dots, |Z_{S_k}(\bar{\mu}^{(d)})|)$. By (12) we get

$$[\chi_\nu^\rho] M = KM = D \cdot (n-k) = \text{diag}(|Z_{S_k}(\bar{\mu}^{(1)})|, \dots, |Z_{S_k}(\bar{\mu}^{(d)})|) \cdot (n-k),$$

and by (9)

$$KK^T = D = \text{diag}(|Z_{S_k}(\bar{\mu}^{(1)})|, \dots, |Z_{S_k}(\bar{\mu}^{(d)})|).$$

Thus we have $KM = KK^T \cdot (n-k)$.

Note that K is the character table of S_k , hence is invertible. Left cancelation of K implies that $M = K^T \cdot (n-k)$, so $M = (\text{the character table of } S_k) \cdot (n-k)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

3.2 Applications

Example 3.8. 1. In Example 2.2.1 we saw that $\psi_{\emptyset,n} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \chi^{(n-j,1^j)}$. Theorem 3.1 with $k = 0$ then implies that

$$\psi_{\emptyset,n}(\mu) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \chi^{(n-j,1^j)}(\mu) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } \mu = (n) \\ 0 & \text{if } \mu \neq (n) \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

2. Similarly, by Example 2.2.2, $\psi_{(1),n} = \chi^{(n)} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-4} (-1)^{j+1} \chi^{(n-2-j,2,1^j)} + (-1)^n \chi^{(1^n)}$, and we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{(1),n}(\mu) &= \\ &= \chi^{(n)}(\mu) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-4} (-1)^{j+1} \chi^{(n-2-j,2,1^j)}(\mu) + (-1)^n \chi^{(1^n)}(\mu) = \begin{cases} n-1 & \text{if } \mu = (n-1,1) \\ 0 & \text{if } \mu \neq (n-1,1) \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Clearly, there are infinitely many identities that can be deduced this way.

3. Consider the first column $\{f^\lambda \mid \lambda \vdash n\}$ in the character table of S_n . Let $n \geq 2k + 2$, fix some $\nu \vdash k$, then construct the sequence of partitions of n : $\nu * S_1, \nu * S_2, \dots$. Finally, form the corresponding alternating sum, then always

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-k} (-1)^j f^{\nu * S_j} = 0. \quad (15)$$

This follows from Theorem 3.1, since this corresponds to $\mu = (1^n)$, so $\mu_1 = 1 \neq n - k$. When $k = 0$ this is the well known identity

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j \binom{n}{j} = 0, \quad (16)$$

see (13). Thus, (15) can be seen as a generalization of (16).

Remark 3.9. In [3] a formula is proved for the values

$$\sum_{i \geq 0} \chi^{(n-i,1^i)}(\mu), \quad \mu \vdash n.$$

Of course, here we deduced a formula for the values for the corresponding alternating sum

$$\sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \chi^{(n-i,1^i)}(\mu), \quad \mu \vdash n.$$

Adding, we get a formula for

$$\sum_{i \text{ even}} \chi^{(n-i, 1^i)}(\mu), \quad \mu \vdash n,$$

hence also for

$$\sum_{i \text{ odd}} \chi^{(n-i, 1^i)}(\mu), \quad \mu \vdash n.$$

We leave the details for the reader.

4 Final remarks

Remark 4.1. Let $n \geq 4$ and let A_n be the set of partitions obtained by walking around $\nu = (1)$:

$$A_n = \{(n), (1^n)\} \cup \{(r, 2, 1^{n-2-r}) \mid 2 \leq r \leq n-2\}.$$

Then

$$\sum_{\lambda \in A_n} f^\lambda = (n-4) \cdot 2^{n-2} + 4. \quad (17)$$

Proof. Let $\lambda = (r, 2, 1^{n-2-r})$, then

$$f^\lambda = \frac{n!}{(r-2)!(n-2-r)!r(n-r)(n-1)} = \frac{n(n-2)(n-3)}{r(n-r)} \binom{n-4}{r-2}.$$

Thus, omitting $\lambda \in \{(n), (1^n)\}$, we need to show that

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n-4} \frac{n(n-2)(n-3)}{r(n-r)} \binom{n-4}{r-2} = (n-4) \cdot 2^{n-2} + 2. \quad (18)$$

This can be proved by the Zeilberger Algorithm [5], and is implemented in Maple (function `SumTools[Hypergeometric][Zeilberger]`). \square

Here is a direct proof.

Zeilberger. Note that

$$\frac{1}{r(n-r)} = \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{n-r} \right),$$

so the sum in (18) equals

$$(n-2)(n-3) \sum_{r=2}^{n-2} \frac{1}{r} \binom{n-4}{r-2} + (n-2)(n-3) \sum_{r=2}^{n-2} \frac{1}{n-r} \binom{n-4}{r-2}.$$

By symmetry these two summands are equal, so this equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2(n-2)(n-3) \sum_{r=2}^{n-2} \frac{1}{r} \binom{n-4}{r-2} = \\
& 2(n-2)(n-3) \sum_{r=2}^{n-2} \left(\int_0^1 x^{r-1} dx \right) \binom{n-4}{r-2} = \\
& 2(n-2)(n-3) \sum_{r=2}^{n-2} \left(\int_0^1 x^{r-1} dx \binom{n-4}{r-2} \right) = \\
& 2(n-2)(n-3) \sum_{r=0}^{n-4} \left(\int_0^1 x^{r+1} dx \binom{n-4}{r} \right) = \\
& 2(n-2)(n-3) \int_0^1 x(1+x)^{n-4} dx = \\
& 2(n-2)(n-3) \left(\int_0^1 (1+x)^{n-3} dx - \int_0^1 (1+x)^{n-4} dx \right) = \\
& \quad = (n-4)2^{n-2} + 2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Here is a second, combinatorial proof [Zeilberger].

Let $f(n)$ be the number of standard Young tableaux of shape $(r, 2, 1^{n-2-r})$ for some $2 \leq r \leq n-2$, and look at the location of n .

Case 1: It is at the rightmost cell of the top row. Deleting it gives something counted by $f(n-1)$.

Case 2. The conjugate case, also yielding $f(n-1)$.

Case 3: n is in the $(2, 2)$ cell. Deleting it gives a tableau of strict hook shape (namely, not $(n-1)$ nor (1^{n-1})). Clearly, the number of these tableaux is $2^{n-1} - 2$.

So we have the recurrence $f(n) = 2f(n-1) + 2^{n-1} - 2$. Since $f(4) = 2$, it follows by induction that $f(n) = (n-4)2^{n-2} + 2$.

4.1 The polynomials $p_{\nu,n}(t)$

Recall Equation (15), replace minus 1 by t and get the polynomials

$$p_{\nu,n}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} f^{\nu * S_j} \cdot t^j. \quad (19)$$

In the case ν is empty we get $p_{\emptyset,n}(t) = (t+1)^{n-1}$. Denote $p_{(1),n}(t) = p_n(t)$ in the case $\nu = (1)$. By direct computations we got the following polynomials, for $1 \leq n \leq 9$.

$$p_4(t) = (t + 1)^2$$

$$p_5(t) = (t + 1)(t^2 + 4t + 1)$$

$$p_6(t) = (t + 1)^2(t^2 + 7t + 1)$$

$$p_7(t) = (t + 1)(t^4 + 13t^3 + 22t^2 + 13t + 1)$$

$$p_8(t) = (t + 1)^2(t^4 + 18t^3 + 27t^2 + 18t + 1)$$

$$p_9(t) = (t + 1)(t^6 + 26t^5 + 79t^4 + 110t^3 + 79t^2 + 26t + 1)$$

$$p_{10}(t) = (t + 1)^2(t^6 + 33t^5 + 93t^4 + 131t^3 + 93t^2 + 33t + 1)$$

By (15) $p_n(t)$ is divisible by $t + 1$ for all n .

Conjecture 4.2. *We conjecture that when n is even, the highest power of $t + 1$ which divides $p_n(t)$ is 2, while when n is odd, the highest power on $t + 1$ which divides $p_n(t)$ is 1.*

One also conjectures positiveness and unimodality on the coefficients of these polynomials. Additional conjecture is as follows. Denote $p_{2k+1}(t) = (t + 1) \cdot q_{2k+1}(t)$, then $q_{2k+1}(-1) = -2$.

References

- [1] G. James and A. Kerber, The Representation Theory of the Symmetric Group, Encyclopedia of Math. Vol. 16, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company.
- [2] I. G. Macdonald, Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials, Second Edition (1995).
- [3] A. Regev, Lie superalgebras and some characters of S_n , to appear in Israel J. Math (2013).
- [4] B. E. Sagan, The Symmetric Group, Graduate Text in Mat. Second Edition (2000).
- [5] D. Zeilberger, The method of creative telescoping, J. Symbolic Computation 11, 195-204 (1991).