

$\rho - \omega$ Mixing in $J/\psi \rightarrow VP$ DecaysWANG Dong¹ BAN Yong^{1;1)} LI Gang²¹ School of Physics, State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology,
Peking University, Beijing 100871, China² Institute of High Energy Physics,
Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

The study on $\rho - \omega$ mixing is mainly focused on vector mesons decay with isospin $I = 1$, namely $\rho(\omega) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ process. In this paper, we present the study of $\rho - \omega$ mixing in $\rho(\omega) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ ($I = 0$) using a flavor parameterization model for $J/\psi \rightarrow VP$ process. By fitting theoretical frame to PDG data, we obtain the SU(3)-breaking effect parameters $s_V = 0.03 \pm 0.12$, $s_P = 0.17 \pm 0.17$ and the $\rho - \omega$ mixing polarization operator $\Pi_{\rho\omega} = 0.006 \pm 0.011 \text{ GeV}^2$. The branching ratios are also renewed when mixing effect is incorporated: $Br(J/\psi \rightarrow \omega\pi^0) = (3.64 \pm 0.37) \times 10^{-4}$, $Br(J/\psi \rightarrow \omega\eta) = (1.48 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-3}$, $Br(J/\psi \rightarrow \omega\eta') = (1.55 \pm 0.56) \times 10^{-4}$; they are different from the corresponding PDG2012 values by 19%, 15% and 15%, respectively.

I. INTRODUCTION

In 1961, Glashow suggested that electromagnetic transition leads to $\rho - \omega$ mixing [1]. Eight years later, a direct experimental evidence for $\rho - \omega$ mixing was observed [2], in the next year Willemsen followed up the study [3]. In the following thirty years, along with the development of VMD (vector meson dominance) model [4–8], many theories were proposed to understand $\rho - \omega$ mixing, such as CVS (Charge Symmetry Violation) [9–12], QCDSR (Quantum Chromodynamics Sum Rules) [13, 14], ChPT (Chiral Perturbation Theory) [15, 16] and HLS (Hidden Local Symmetry) [17–19].

Up to present, most of $\rho - \omega$ mixing studies are based on vector meson decays with isospin $I = 1$, namely, $\rho(\omega) \rightarrow \pi\pi$. The mixing in isospin $I = 1$ transition has been well studied both theoretically and experimentally [7, 20, 21].

However, the mixing in $\rho(\omega) \rightarrow 3\pi$ decay with isospin $I = 0$ is not so well understood yet. Because $\Gamma_\rho \gg (m_\omega - m_\rho)$ and $Br(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \gg Br(\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$, it is difficult to measure the process directly from experiment [22]. A study on $\rho(\omega) \rightarrow 3\pi$ interference with $J/\psi \rightarrow VP$ decay has been made using a flavor parameterization method [20, 22]. With J/ψ decays, the small value of $Br(\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/Br(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ can be compensated by the large value of $Br(J/\psi \rightarrow \rho^0\pi^0)/Br(J/\psi \rightarrow \omega\pi^0)$ in some extent, which provides a new insight in $\rho - \omega$ mixing study. The parameterization of $J/\psi \rightarrow VP$ process has been developed with single and double Okubo-Zweig-Izuka (SOZI, DOZI) rules [23–27].

SND group has taken $\rho - \omega$ mixing effect into account in the study of $e^+e^- \rightarrow 3\pi$ decay below 0.98GeV [28]. Its theoretical model with $e^+e^- \rightarrow 3\pi$ may also be considered in the study of $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \omega(\rho)\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$.

Mixing phenomenon between ρ and ω in J/ψ decays will serve as an important probe for the test of various theoretical models and the G-parity violation. The main purpose of this paper is trying to study $\rho - \omega$ mixing with

a flavor parameterization method in $J/\psi \rightarrow VP$ decay. We expect to derive the mixing parameter $\Pi_{\rho\omega}$, and to modulate the measured $Br(J/\psi \rightarrow \omega\pi^0)$ and $Br(J/\psi \rightarrow \omega\eta(\eta'))$ according to the mixing value.

The contents of our paper are organized as follows. In section 2, referring to $e^+e^- \rightarrow 3\pi$ process [28] and taking into account of the $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ contact term [29], we describe the process of $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow V\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$ and give its cross section. In section 3, by using the flavor parameterization method [23, 24], we perform a fit with the theoretical frame of $J/\psi \rightarrow VP$ decay to the existing data. The conclusion and the interpretation of the results are given in section 4. The appendixes are devoted to the detailed notations in mixing formulae.

II. THEORETICAL FRAME OF $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow V\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$ PROCESS

The SND result [28] and related branching ratios in PDG2012 [30] indicate that the decay channels $J/\psi \rightarrow \rho'\pi^0, J/\psi \rightarrow \rho''\pi^0, J/\psi \rightarrow \omega'\pi^0, J/\psi \rightarrow \omega''\pi^0$ have little contribution in our interested process. We will omit these channels and calculate $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow V\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$ ($V = \rho, \omega, \phi$) process in this paper. The framework used by SND [28, 31–33] is adopted in the calculation, and the $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ contact term is taken into account [29, 34–38].

The cross section of $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow V\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$ process is

$$\frac{d\sigma(s, m_0, m_+)}{dm_0 dm_+} = \frac{1}{s^{3/2}} \frac{|\vec{p}_+ \times \vec{p}_-|^2}{12\pi^2 \sqrt{s}} m_0 m_+ |F|^2, \quad (1)$$

$$F = F_{\rho\pi}(s) + F_{\omega\pi}(s) + F_{3\pi}(s).$$

Here s is the invariant mass of $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ system, \vec{p}_+ and \vec{p}_- are the momenta of π^+ and π^- mesons in the 3π system rest frame. m_+ and m_0 are the invariant masses of $\pi^+\pi^0$ and $\pi^+\pi^-$.

$F_{\rho\pi}(s)$ ($F_{\omega\pi}(s)$, $F_{3\pi}(s)$) in Eq. (1) is the form factor for the vector mesons decays through $V \rightarrow \rho\pi$ ($V \rightarrow \omega\pi$, $V \rightarrow 3\pi$) channel, taking into account the transition described in Fig. 1(a,b,c) (Fig. 1(d), Fig. 1(e)). They have the forms

$$F_{\rho\pi}(s) = \left[a_{3\pi} + \sum_{i=+,0,-} \frac{g_{\rho^i\pi\pi}}{D_{\rho^i}(m_i)Z(m_i)} \right] \times \left\{ 2g_{\omega\rho\pi}(s) \left[\frac{A_{\psi\omega\pi}(s)}{D_\omega(s)} - \frac{\Pi_{\rho\omega}A_{\psi\rho\pi}(s)}{D_\omega(s)D_\rho(s)} \right] + \frac{2A_{\psi\phi\pi}(s)g_{\phi\rho\pi}e^{i\phi_{\omega\phi}}}{D_\phi(s)} \right\}, \quad (2)$$

$$F_{\omega\pi}(s) = \frac{-\Pi_{\rho\omega}g_{\rho^0\pi\pi}}{D_\omega(m_0)D_\rho(m_0)} \frac{2A_{\psi\rho\pi}(s)g_{\rho\omega\pi}}{D_\rho(s)},$$

$$F_{3\pi}(s) = 6g_{\omega 3\pi} \left[\frac{A_{\psi\omega\pi}(s)}{D_\omega(s)} - \frac{\Pi_{\rho\omega}A_{\psi\rho\pi}(s)}{D_\omega(s)D_\rho(s)} \right].$$

m_- is the invariant mass of $\pi^-\pi^0$ and satisfy

$$m_- = \sqrt{s + m_{\pi^0}^2 + 2m_\pi^2 - m_0^2 - m_+^2}. \quad (3)$$

$A_{\psi V\pi}(s)$ is the amplitude for $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow V\pi^0$ process

$$A_{\psi V\pi}(s) \equiv g \sqrt{Br(J/\psi \rightarrow V\pi^0)} \times \sqrt{\frac{q^3(m_\psi, \sqrt{s}, m_{\pi^0}) e^{-q^2(m_\psi, \sqrt{s}, m_{\pi^0})/8\beta^2}}{q^3(m_\psi, m_V, m_{\pi^0}) e^{-q^2(m_\psi, m_V, m_{\pi^0})/8\beta^2}}}, \quad (4)$$

where g is a factor with a dimension GeV^2 , which includes the coupling constant of decay $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$; q is the momentum defined as

$$q(M, m_1, m_2) = \frac{\sqrt{[M^2 - (m_1 + m_2)^2][M^2 - (m_1 - m_2)^2]}}{2M}. \quad (5)$$

$g_{V\rho(\omega)\pi}$ is the coupling constant for decay $V \rightarrow \rho(\omega)\pi$. $g_{\omega\pi\pi}$ and $g_{\rho\pi\pi}$ are the coupling constants for decays $\omega \rightarrow \pi\pi$ and $\rho \rightarrow \pi\pi$, respectively. $g_{\omega 3\pi}$ is the coupling constant for contact term $\omega \rightarrow 3\pi$. The values of those coupling constants were calculated according to Refs. [28, 29, 31]: $g_{\rho^0\pi\pi} = 5.975$, $g_{\rho^\pm\pi\pi} = 5.989$, $g_{\rho\omega\pi} = 16.8\text{GeV}^{-1}$, $g_{\omega\rho\pi} = 15.0\text{GeV}^{-1}$, $g_{\phi\rho\pi} = 0.827\text{GeV}^{-1}$, and $g_{\omega 3\pi} = -47.0\text{GeV}^{-3}$.

$\phi_{\rho V}(\phi_{\omega V})$ is a relative interference phase between $\rho(\omega)$ and vector mesons V ; thus $\phi_{\rho\rho} = 0$, $\phi_{\omega\omega} = 0$. We adopt the value $\phi_{\omega\phi} = (163 \pm 3 \pm 6)^\circ$ obtained by SND [28], which takes into account of the $\phi - \omega$ mixing and consists with the theoretical prediction [39].

$D_V(s)$ is the propagator function defined as

$$D_V(s) = m_V^2 - s - i\sqrt{s}\Gamma_V(s), \quad (6)$$

where the s -dependent widths of vector mesons $\Gamma_V(s)$ are defined in SND [28].

$a_{3\pi} = (0.1 \pm 2.3 \pm 2.5) \text{GeV}^{-2}$ represent the contribution from $V \rightarrow \rho'(\rho \ \eta)\pi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ processes [28].

The factor $Z(m_i, s)$ is defined as [40] $Z(m, s) = 1 - is_1\Phi(m, s)$, where $s_1 = 0.3 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.3$ [28].

Here the $\rho - \omega$ mixing in $J/\psi \rightarrow (\rho^0, \omega)\pi^0 \rightarrow \rho\pi\pi$ ($\omega\pi\pi$) and $V \rightarrow (\rho^0, \omega)\pi^0 \rightarrow 3\pi$ decays is considered (refer to Eqs. (A19)(A20)(A21)(A22) in Appendix A). It is a well-known fact that the real part of the coupling constant of the direct transition $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ has no contribution to the amplitude of $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ decay [40], therefore we have ignored the term $g_{\omega\pi\pi}^{(0)}$, as well as the terms $g_{\rho\rho\pi}^{(0)}$, or $g_{\rho 3\pi}^{(0)}$. $\Pi_{\rho\omega}$ is a polarization operator, it is speculated that $\Pi_{\rho\omega}$ satisfies: $\text{Im}(\Pi_{\rho\omega}) \ll \text{Re}(\Pi_{\rho\omega})$ [28, 40, 41], thus we only consider the real part of $\Pi_{\rho\omega}$. Its value should be positive because it is extracted from the module of the amplitude.

The cross section $\sigma(s)$ is defined as the integral of $\sigma(s, m_0, m_+)$ over m_0 and m_+ :

$$\sigma(s) = \int \int \frac{1}{s^{3/2}} \frac{|\vec{p}_+ \times \vec{p}_-|^2}{12\pi^2\sqrt{s}} m_0 m_+ |F|^2 dm_0 dm_+. \quad (7)$$

III. FIT OF MIXING PARAMETERS

A. Strategy of the Fit

A flavor parameterization method used in Ref. [23] is applied here to study the $J/\psi \rightarrow VP$ process [24–27]. The decays proceed through strong and electromagnetic interaction, where the effects of double Okubo-Zweig-Iizuka (DOZI) rule-violation and SU(3) flavor symmetry breaking should be taking into account.

The general parameterization of the amplitudes is written in Table I, where the terms X_η , Y_η , Z_η and $X_{\eta'}$, $Y_{\eta'}$, $Z_{\eta'}$ include the $\eta - \eta'$ mixing (Eq. (A26)); ϕ_P is the $\eta - \eta'$ mixing angle, and $\phi_{\eta'G}$ weights the amount of gluonium in η' . And the terms ω_q , ϕ_s mean $\omega - \phi$ mixing is considered as in Eq. (A27), then the amplitudes of the decays including ω or ϕ are rewritten as


$$\begin{aligned} M_\omega &= \cos\theta_{\omega\phi}M_{\omega_q} - \sin\theta_{\omega\phi}M_{\phi_s}, \\ M_\phi &= \sin\theta_{\omega\phi}M_{\omega_q} + \cos\theta_{\omega\phi}M_{\phi_s}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $\theta_{\omega\phi}$ is the mixing angle of ρ and ω . The value of $\theta_{\omega\phi}$ can be set to 0 if this mixing is ignored. Here we take the value $\theta_{\omega\phi} = (3.2 \pm 0.1)^\circ$, $s_e = 0.19 \pm 0.05$ as in Refs. [24, 42, 43].

Similarly with Refs. [26, 27, 44], the branching ratio is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Br_{\text{cor}}(J/\psi \rightarrow \omega\pi) &= \frac{Br(J/\psi \rightarrow \omega\pi \rightarrow 4\pi)}{Br(\omega \rightarrow 3\pi)} \\ &= |M_{\psi\omega\pi^0}|^2 q^3 e^{-q^2/8\beta^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where β is a scale of the energy and is commonly adopted to $\beta = 0.5\text{GeV}$ [26, 27, 44].

FIG. 1. $J/\psi \rightarrow V\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$ process.TABLE I. General parametrization of amplitudes for $J/\psi \rightarrow VP$.

Process	Amplitude (M_i)
$\rho^+\pi^-, \rho^0\pi^0, \rho^-\pi^+$	$g + eE^{i\theta}$
$K^{*+}K^-, K^{*-}K^+$	$g(1-s) + eE^{i\theta}(1+s_e)$
$K^{*0}\bar{K}^0, \bar{K}^{*0}K^0$	$g(1-s) - eE^{i\theta}(2-s_e)$
$\omega_q\eta$	$(g + eE^{i\theta})X_\eta + \sqrt{2}rg[\sqrt{2}X_\eta + (1-s_P)Y_\eta] + \sqrt{2}r'gZ_\eta$
$\omega_q\eta'$	$(g + eE^{i\theta})X_{\eta'} + \sqrt{2}rg[\sqrt{2}X_{\eta'} + (1-s_P)Y_{\eta'}] + \sqrt{2}r'gZ_{\eta'}$
$\phi_s\eta$	$[g(1-2s) - 2eE^{i\theta}(1-s_e)]Y_\eta + rg(1-s_V)[\sqrt{2}X_\eta + (1-s_P)Y_\eta] + r'g(1-s_V)Z_\eta$
$\phi_s\eta'$	$[g(1-2s) - 2eE^{i\theta}(1-s_e)]Y_{\eta'} + rg(1-s_V)[\sqrt{2}X_{\eta'} + (1-s_P)Y_{\eta'}] + r'g(1-s_V)Z_{\eta'}$
$\rho\eta$	$3eE^{i\theta}X_\eta$
$\rho\eta'$	$3eE^{i\theta}X_{\eta'}$
$\omega_q\pi^0$	$3eE^{i\theta}$
$\phi_s\pi^0$	0

TABLE II. The branching ratios $J/\psi \rightarrow VP$ ($\times 10^{-3}$) from PDG2012 and from the fit. “Fit 1” and “Fit 2” are for two different fit parameter configurations described in the text.

No.	Process	PDG2012 [30]	Fit 1 ($\chi^2/d.o.f. = 0.022/1$)	Fit 2 ($\chi^2/d.o.f. = 1.61/3$)
1	$\rho^+\pi^- + \rho^0\pi^0 + \rho^-\pi^+$	16.9 ± 1.5	16.9 ± 1.2	15.93 ± 0.82
2	$K^{*+}K^- + K^{*-}K^+$	5.12 ± 0.30	5.12 ± 0.21	5.25 ± 0.14
3	$K^{*0}\bar{K}^0 + \bar{K}^{*0}K^0$	4.39 ± 0.31	4.39 ± 0.19	4.54 ± 0.25
4	$(\omega\eta)_{cor}$	-	1.279 ± 0.050	1.48 ± 0.17
5	$(\omega\eta')_{cor}$	-	0.13 ± 0.26	0.155 ± 0.056
6	$\phi\eta$	0.75 ± 0.08	0.86 ± 0.13	0.79 ± 0.10
7	$\phi\eta'$	0.40 ± 0.07	0.38 ± 0.21	0.370 ± 0.066
8	$\rho\eta$	0.193 ± 0.023	0.1930 ± 0.0043	0.1968 ± 0.0040
9	$\rho\eta'$	0.105 ± 0.018	0.105 ± 0.024	0.100 ± 0.018
10	$(\omega\pi^0)_{cor}$	-	0.320 ± 0.032	0.364 ± 0.037
11	$\phi\pi^0$	$< 6.4 \times 10^{-3}$ (C.L.90%)	0.00095 ± 0.00020	0.00108 ± 0.00021
12	$(\omega\pi^0)_{uncor}$	0.45 ± 0.05	0.45 ± 0.93	0.45 ± 0.25
13	$(\omega\eta)_{uncor}$	1.74 ± 0.20	1.74 ± 0.45	1.72 ± 0.41
14	$(\omega\eta')_{uncor}$	0.182 ± 0.021	0.18 ± 0.18	0.184 ± 0.036

Discriminated from above ideal branching ratio, the actually measured ratio can be written as

$$Br_{\text{uncor}}(J/\psi \rightarrow \omega\pi) = \frac{Br(J/\psi \rightarrow V\pi \rightarrow 4\pi)}{Br(\omega \rightarrow 3\pi)} = f \cdot \sigma_{\pi^0}, \quad (10)$$

where σ_{π^0} is the integral of $\sigma(s)$ (Eq. (7)), in which π^0 indicates $J/\psi \rightarrow 4\pi$ via $V\pi^0$, instead of via $V\eta$ or $V\eta'$. The integrating range is $\sqrt{s} \in [0.6, 1.0]$ GeV. f is a constant factor with dimension GeV^2 that absorbs the factor of g in Eq. (4), the latter can be redefined as

$$A_{\psi V\pi}(s) \equiv \sqrt{Br(J/\psi \rightarrow V\pi^0)} \times \frac{q^3(m_\psi, \sqrt{s}, m_{\pi^0}) e^{-q^2(m_\psi, \sqrt{s}, m_{\pi^0})/8\beta^2}}{q^3(m_\psi, m_V, m_{\pi^0}) e^{-q^2(m_\psi, m_V, m_{\pi^0})/8\beta^2}}. \quad (11)$$

The values of $Br(J/\psi \rightarrow \omega\eta)$ and $Br(J/\psi \rightarrow \omega\eta')$ can be calculated similarly as in $Br(J/\psi \rightarrow \omega\pi^0)$ case. They have the same form as in Eqs. (10), but Eq. (11) has a little difference:

$$A_{\psi V\eta^{(\prime)}}(s) \equiv \sqrt{Br(J/\psi \rightarrow V\eta^{(\prime)})} \times \frac{q^3(m_\psi, \sqrt{s}, m_{\eta^{(\prime)}}) e^{-q^2(m_\psi, \sqrt{s}, m_{\eta^{(\prime)}})/8\beta^2}}{q^3(m_\psi, m_V, m_{\eta^{(\prime)}}) e^{-q^2(m_\psi, m_V, m_{\eta^{(\prime)}})/8\beta^2}}. \quad (12)$$

The branching ratios reported in PDG2012 [30] are listed in the third column of Table II, subscript ‘‘cor’’ and ‘‘uncor’’ mean without and with the contribution of mixing effect, respectively.

In general 12 parameters appear in Table I and Table II, they are $g, e, r, s, s_V, s_P, \theta, \phi_P, \phi_{\eta'G}, r', f$ and $\Pi_{\rho\omega}$. However, we got 11 branching ratios in Table II. Fixing some parameters to the expected values [24, 27], we may fit the remaining parameters by minimizing

$$\chi^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \frac{(Br_i^{\text{vis}} - Br_i^{\text{th}})^2}{\Delta_i^2}, \quad (13)$$

where Br_i^{vis} and Δ_i are the $J/\psi \rightarrow VP$ branching ratios and corresponding errors given by PDG2012 [30]; and Br_i^{th} is calculated by Eq. (9), except $Br(J/\psi \rightarrow \omega\pi^0(\eta, \eta'))$ which is calculated by Eq. (10). N is the number of branching ratios used.

The fit is performed according to following configuration which needs to be defined. We mark all items as ‘‘tag’’ and each item ‘‘tag[i]’’ is described below:

- tag[1]: defines whether $\rho - \omega$ mixing is taken into account in the fit. If $\rho - \omega$ mixing is not included, we just need to fit with Table I and Eq. (9), which is similar as in Refs. [24]. ‘‘tag[1]’’=1 or 2 refers to without or with mixing in fit, respectively.
- tag[2]: defines the initial values and step-width. ‘‘tag[2]’’=1 or 2 refers to using reference values [24, 27] as initial values and 0.01% of them as step-widths, or set to ‘‘0’’ or ‘‘1’’ as initial values and 10^{-6} as step-widths respectively.

- tag[3]: defines weather limit the parameters in a physis range. ‘‘tag[3]’’=1 or 2 refer to no limit or limit respectively.
- tag[4]: defines how to deal with the effects of the SU(3)-breaking contributions s_V and s_P . ‘‘tag[4]’’=1, 2 or 3 means free in fit, fix to 0, or set to reference values [24].
- tag[5]: defines how to deal with the contribution of gluonium $\phi_{\eta'G}$ and r' . ‘‘tag[5]’’=1, 2 or 3 means free in fit, fix to 0, or set to reference values [24].
- tag[6]: defines whether the values of parameters $g, e, r, s, s_V, s_P, \theta, \phi_P, \phi_{\eta'G}$ and r' are fixed to the values in Refs. [24, 27], then fit f and $\Pi_{\rho\omega}$. ‘‘tag[6]’’=1 or 2 refer to do not fix or fix those parameters respectively.

The fit configuration is represented by the setting of these tag numbers. For example, ‘‘tag=121211’’ means no $\rho - \omega$ mixing; set ‘‘0’’ or ‘‘1’’ as initial values and 10^{-6} as step-width; no limits on parameters; $s_V = 0, s_P = 0$; $\phi_{\eta'G}$ and r' are free; parameters are not fixed in the fit.

B. Result of the Fit

Two models have been used in fit: with form factor of J/ψ (i.e. $\beta = 0.5\text{GeV}$) or without form factor of J/ψ (i.e. $\beta = 10^{10}\text{GeV}$). If a fit result does not satisfy $g > 0, e > 0, |r| < 1, |s| < 1, |s_V| < 1, |s_P| < 1, |r'| < 1$ and $\Pi_{\rho\omega} > 0$, it has no physics meaning and is marked as ‘‘Invalid’’. The fit with $\chi^2/d.o.f < 1.5$ is acknowledged as good fit. The results of good fits with valid physics meaning are studied carefully.

A detailed analysis described in next section shows that, it is much more resonable to take into account of $\rho - \omega$ mixing and J/ψ form factor (i.e. $\beta = 0.5\text{GeV}$) in the fit, the corresponding fit results are listed in Table III.

C. Discussion

We have following observations from the fit results of good fits with valid physics meaning:

- Regardless of considering the form factor of J/ψ or not, about half of 77 fit configurations give result with a reasonable χ^2 value ($\chi^2/d.o.f < 1.5$);
- regardless of including mixing or not, most of fit results are consist with the results in Refs. [24, 27];
- the fitted SU(3)-breaking contributions is very small with significant error, that is $s_V = 0.03 \pm 0.12, s_P = 0.17 \pm 0.17$;

TABLE III. Result of fit with $\rho - \omega$ mixing and J/ψ form factor effects, i.e. $\beta = 0.5\text{GeV}$ ($\chi^2/d.o.f < 1.5$). The index of the fit (in first column) marked with “*” means the fit results have large difference with the values in references (listed in the first row). “Dif” is defined as $\text{Dif} = \sum (|x_{fit} - x_{Ref}|/\Delta(x)_{Ref})$, x_{fit} and x_{Ref} are the values of parameters ($g, e, r, s, s_V, s_P, \theta, \phi_P, \phi_{\eta'G}$ and r') from the fit or from the reference respectively, $\Delta(x)_{Ref}$ is the error from the reference.

No. tag/Dif	g	e	r	s	s_V	s_P	s_e	θ	ϕ_P	$\phi_{\eta'G}$	r_P	$\Pi_{\rho\omega}(\text{GeV}^2)$	$f(\text{GeV}^2)$	
$\chi^2/(d.o.f)$	err	err	err	err	err	err	err	err	err	err	err	err	err	
Ref [24, 27]/0	2.11	0.213	-0.43	0.27	-0.03	-0.08	0.19	1.34	44.6	32	-0.04			
2.6 /3	0.10	0.012	0.08	0.03	0.09	0.10	0.05	0.12	4.1	11	0.20			
1*	211221/11.45	2.200	0.1800	-0.350	0.300	0	0	0.19	1.30	38.0	0	0	0.0140	0.00410
1.7 /3	0.073	0.0090	0.012	0.022	0	0	0	0.13	2.6	0	0	0	0.0063	0.00050
2	211231/5.375	2.200	0.2000	-0.390	0.290	0	0	0.19	1.30	42.0	32	-0.04	0.006	0.00450
1.61 /3	0.077	0.0099	0.014	0.025	0	0	0	0.12	2.7	0	0	0	0.011	0.00053
3	211321/9.779	2.200	0.1800	-0.340	0.290	-0.03	-0.08	0.19	1.30	38.0	0	0	0.0170	0.00380
3.02 /3	0.073	0.0090	0.012	0.023	0	0	0	0.13	2.6	0	0	0	0.0059	0.00047
4	211331/3.742	2.200	0.190	-0.380	0.280	-0.03	-0.08	0.19	1.30	41.0	32	-0.04	0.0110	0.00410
3.04 /3	0.078	0.010	0.014	0.025	0	0	0	0.12	2.8	0	0	0	0.0083	0.00049
5*	212121/14.55	2.20	0.1800	-0.360	0.320	0.03	0.17	0.19	1.30	38.0	0	0	0.004	0.0052
0.022 /1	0.10	0.0090	0.029	0.036	0.12	0.17	0	0.13	2.9	0	0	0	0.023	0.0014
6	212131/7.811	2.200	0.1900	-0.400	0.310	0.022	0.11	0.19	1.30	42.0	32	-0.04	0.000	0.00500
0.454 /1	0.099	0.0091	0.020	0.032	0.089	0.10	0	0.12	2.8	0	0	0	0.041	0.00062
7	212231/5.375	2.200	0.200	-0.390	0.290	0	0	0.19	1.30	42.0	32	-0.04	0.006	0.00450
1.61 /3	0.077	0.010	0.013	0.024	0	0	0	0.12	2.7	0	0	0	0.011	0.00054
8	212321/9.779	2.200	0.1800	-0.340	0.290	-0.03	-0.08	0.19	1.30	38.0	0	0	0.0170	0.00380
3.02 /3	0.073	0.0090	0.012	0.023	0	0	0	0.13	2.6	0	0	0	0.0058	0.00046
9	212331/3.742	2.200	0.190	-0.380	0.280	-0.03	-0.08	0.19	1.30	41.0	32	-0.04	0.0110	0.00410
3.04 /3	0.078	0.010	0.014	0.025	0	0	0	0.12	2.8	0	0	0	0.0083	0.00049
10*	221131/37.6	2.20	0.2000	-0.690	0.320	0.500	0.17	0.19	1.30	-42.0	32	-0.04	0.035	0.0019
0.029 /1	0.10	0.0099	0.062	0.036	0.056	0.18	0	0.12	2.9	0	0	0	0.018	0.0010
11	223331/3.742	2.200	0.1900	-0.380	0.280	-0.03	-0.08	0.19	1.30	41.0	32	-0.04	0.0110	0.00410
3.04 /3	0.077	0.0099	0.014	0.025	0	0	0	0.12	2.7	0	0	0	0.0082	0.00049

- the gluonium contribution has little effect on the fit. If it is considered, the fit results consist with Ref. [24] $\phi_{\eta'G} = 32 \pm 11$, $r' = -0.04 \pm 0.20$, especially when $\rho - \omega$ mixing is included;
- the fit doesn't depend on whether a physics range limit is applied on parameters;
- in case of ignoring $\rho - \omega$ mixing, there is no difference between setting fit initial values to be references values [24, 27], or generally used values (“0” or “1”);

From the comparison between the cases $\beta = 0.5\text{GeV}$ and $\beta = 10^{10}\text{GeV}$ we note that: taking $\rho - \omega$ mixing into account, the fit can succeed in both cases of setting fit initial values to Ref. [24, 27] values and generally used values (“0 or 1”) when J/ψ form factor (i.e. $\beta = 0.5\text{GeV}$) is considered, otherwise (i.e. $\beta = 10^{10}\text{GeV}$) the initial values have to be set to references values [24, 27] to ensure a good fit.

If $\beta = 0.5\text{GeV}$, it should also be pointed out that the χ^2 of the fit is better when $\rho - \omega$ mixing is considered than not, although the obtained parameters may differ a little from Ref. [24]. While if $\beta = 10^{10}\text{GeV}$, we see that, the χ^2 of the fits are worse when $\rho - \omega$ mixing is included, although the obtained parameters are similar as in Ref. [24].

In summary, about half of fit configurations give stable, consistent and reasonable ($\chi^2/d.o.f < 1.5$) fit results. The effects of the SU(3)-breaking contributions is small ($s_V = 0.031 \pm 0.12$, $s_P = 0.17 \pm 0.17$). The contribution of gluonium has negligible effect on the fit, when included the results are consist with Ref. [24] ($\phi_{\eta'G} = 32 \pm 11$, $r' = -0.04 \pm 0.20$). It is preferable to include $\rho - \omega$ mixing and J/ψ form factor effects, which leads to a reasonable and stable result. The fit configurations of “tag=211231” and “tag=212121” (the second

and fifth row in Table III) are accepted, the branching ratios calculated according to the two sets of fitted parameters are listed in the fifth (“Fit 2”) and fourth (“Fit 1”) column in Table II respectively, their errors are evaluated by assuming the fitted parameters following Gaussian distribution, and randomly picking 1000000 points to calculate deviation to the observed branching ratios. Taking errors into account, the “tag=211231” configuration is preferred.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

From the global fit to PDG data according to our theoretical frame describing $J/\psi \rightarrow VP$ process, we obtained the parameters of the flavor parameterization model as listed in Table III. It turns out that whether the contribution of gluonium is considered has little effect on the fit, if considered the fit gives consistent results with the values in Ref. [24] ($\phi_{\eta'G} = 32 \pm 11$, $r' = -0.04 \pm 0.20$). The effects of the SU(3)-breaking contributions are also negligible:

$$s_V = 0.03 \pm 0.12, \quad s_P = 0.17 \pm 0.17. \quad (14)$$

Including mixing effect in the fit, we renewed the branching ratios of $Br(J/\psi \rightarrow \omega\pi^0(\eta, \eta'))$ as listed in Table II, It should be noted that, about 19% (15%, 15%) difference in branching ratios from PDG2012 values [30] are observed when mixing effects are incorporated.

$$\begin{aligned} Br(J/\psi \rightarrow \omega\pi^0) &= (3.64 \pm 0.37) \times 10^{-4}, \\ Br(J/\psi \rightarrow \omega\eta) &= (1.48 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-3}, \\ Br(J/\psi \rightarrow \omega\eta') &= (1.55 \pm 0.56) \times 10^{-4}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The value of $\rho - \omega$ mixing polarization operator is also obtained:

$$\Pi_{\rho\omega} = 0.006 \pm 0.011 \text{ GeV}^2, \quad (16)$$




FIG. 2. The ratio of $\sigma(s)_{mix}$ with $\rho - \omega$ mixing ($\Pi_{\rho\omega} = 0.006\text{GeV}^2$) to $\sigma(s)_{nomix}$ without $\rho - \omega$ mixing ($\Pi_{\rho\omega} = 0\text{GeV}^2$).




FIG. 3. The ratio of $\sigma(s)_{new}$ with our corrected branching ratios and mixing to $\sigma(s)_{old}$ with PDG2002's branching ratios and no mixing. Thick blue lines (color online) represent the errors calculated by ignoring error of $\Pi_{\rho\omega}$.

The significance of $\Pi_{\rho\omega}$ is 0.36, which means that it has a large probability to be zero. This value is comparable with the value calculated by formula [28]:

$$\Pi_{\rho\omega} = \text{Re}(\Pi_{\rho\omega}) = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma_\omega}{\Gamma_{\rho^0}(m_\omega)} Br(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-) \times | (m_\omega^2 - m_{\rho^0}^2) - im_\omega(\Gamma_\omega - \Gamma_{\rho^0}(m_\omega)) |}. \quad (17)$$

$\Pi_{\rho\omega}$ value will be 0.0042 GeV^2 or 0.0033 GeV^2 when parameters from SND [28] or PDG2012 [30] are used, respectively.

Fig. 2 shows the ratio between the cross sections with ($\Pi_{\rho\omega} = 0.006\text{GeV}^2$) and without ($\Pi_{\rho\omega} = 0\text{GeV}^2$) $\rho - \omega$ mixing ($\sigma(s)_{mix}/\sigma(s)_{nomix}$) as a function of invariant mass of 3π system, where the corrected branching ratios are used. It can be seen clearly that the $\rho - \omega$ mixing has significant effect on the shape of $m_{3\pi}$ spectrum, the variance reaches about $\pm 20\%$ at the largest above or below ω nominal mass.

Fig. 3 shows the ratio between the cross sections with our corrected branching ratios (and with mixing) and with PDG2002's branching ratios (and no mixing) ($\sigma(s)_{new}/\sigma(s)_{old}$) as a function of invariant mass of 3π

system. It can also be observed clearly that our derivation has significant effect on the shape of $m_{3\pi}$ spectrum, the variance reaches about 40% at the largest and about 20% nearby ω nominal mass.

The errors in Figs. 2 and 3 are caused mainly by the uncertainty of $\Pi_{\rho\omega}$, which has a limited significance. Further check is expected by the experiment.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to Yuan Changzheng for the initiation of this paper, and to Zheng Hanqing, Zhao Qiang, Shi Meng, Rinaldo Baldini Ferroli and Rafel Escribano for the helpful discussions and suggestions during the research. The work is supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology of China under "973 Project No. 2009CB825200".

Appendices A

Notation in $\rho - \omega$ mixing

The mechanism of $\rho - \omega$ mixing was reviewed in many references [7, 28, 31–33, 45–47]. The wave-functions of unmixed ω and ρ states are given as [48]:

$$\begin{aligned} |\omega^{(0)}\rangle &\equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|u\bar{u} + d\bar{d}\rangle, \\ |\rho^{(0)}\rangle &\equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|u\bar{u} - d\bar{d}\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (A18)$$

while the wave-functions of physical states ω and ρ under the pole approximation assumption can be written in general as:

$$\begin{aligned} |\omega\rangle &= |\omega^{(0)}\rangle + \varepsilon|\rho^{(0)}\rangle, \\ |\rho\rangle &= |\rho^{(0)}\rangle - \varepsilon|\omega^{(0)}\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (A19)$$

where the superscript (0) denotes the coupling constants of the pure, unmixed states. Here

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &= \frac{\Pi_{\rho\omega}}{D_\omega(s) - D_\rho(s)}, \\ D_V(s) &= m_V^2 - s - i\sqrt{s}\Gamma_V(s). \end{aligned} \quad (A20)$$

$D_V(s)$ is the propagator function; $\Gamma_V(s)$ is the width of the vector meson; and $\Pi_{\rho\omega} \equiv \langle \rho^{(0)} | W | \omega^{(0)} \rangle$ [7, 45] is the polarization operator of the mixing. Note that ε is not a real number, hence the transfer matrix from isospin basis to physical basis is not unitary. In Ref. [28] ε is negative with the same expression.

Under this framework, the coupling constants for $\omega(\rho) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-(\rho\pi, 3\pi)$ decays can be determined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\omega\pi\pi} &= g_{\omega\pi\pi}^{(0)} + \varepsilon g_{\rho\pi\pi}^{(0)}, & g_{\rho\pi\pi} &= g_{\rho\pi\pi}^{(0)} - \varepsilon g_{\omega\pi\pi}^{(0)}, \\ g_{\omega\rho\pi} &= g_{\omega\rho\pi}^{(0)} + \varepsilon g_{\rho\rho\pi}^{(0)}, & g_{\rho\rho\pi} &= g_{\rho\rho\pi}^{(0)} - \varepsilon g_{\omega\rho\pi}^{(0)}, \\ g_{\omega 3\pi} &= g_{\omega 3\pi}^{(0)} + \varepsilon g_{\rho 3\pi}^{(0)}, & g_{\rho 3\pi} &= g_{\rho 3\pi}^{(0)} - \varepsilon g_{\omega 3\pi}^{(0)}, \end{aligned} \quad (A21)$$

and for $J/\psi \rightarrow (\rho^0, \omega)\pi^0$:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\psi\omega\pi}(s) &= A_{\psi\omega\pi}^{(0)}(s) + \varepsilon(s)A_{\psi\rho\pi}^{(0)}(s), \\ A_{\psi\rho\pi}(s) &= A_{\psi\rho\pi}^{(0)}(s) - \varepsilon(s)A_{\psi\omega\pi}^{(0)}(s), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A22})$$

where ‘‘g’’ and ‘‘A’’ are defined as Section 2.

Notation in $\eta - \eta'$ mixing

The wave-functions of physical states η and η' can be written in general as [24, 25, 27, 49]:

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta\rangle &= X_\eta|\eta_q\rangle + Y_\eta|\eta_s\rangle + Z_\eta|G\rangle, \\ |\eta'\rangle &= X_{\eta'}|\eta_q\rangle + Y_{\eta'}|\eta_s\rangle + Z_{\eta'}|G\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A23})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta_q\rangle &\equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|u\bar{u} + d\bar{d}\rangle, & |\eta_s\rangle &\equiv |s\bar{s}\rangle, \\ |G\rangle &= |gluonium\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A24})$$

and

$$X_{\eta(\eta')}^2 + Y_{\eta(\eta')}^2 + Z_{\eta(\eta')}^2 = 1. \quad (\text{A25})$$

Assume no gluonium content in η , the mixing can be parameterized in terms of two angles [24, 27]:

$$\begin{aligned} X_\eta &= \cos\phi_P, & X_{\eta'} &= \sin\phi_P \cos\phi_{\eta'G}, \\ Y_\eta &= -\sin\phi_P, & Y_{\eta'} &= \cos\phi_P \cos\phi_{\eta'G}, \\ Z_\eta &= 0, & Z_{\eta'} &= -\sin\phi_{\eta'G}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A26})$$

where, ϕ_P is the $\eta - \eta'$ mixing angle, and $\phi_{\eta'G}$ weights the amount of gluonium in η' .

Notation in $\omega - \phi$ mixing

Similar as in $\eta - \eta'$ mixing, a relatively simple expression for $\omega - \phi$ mixing is used [24, 27]:

$$\begin{aligned} |\omega\rangle &= \cos\phi_{\omega\phi}|\omega_q\rangle - \sin\phi_{\omega\phi}|\phi_s\rangle, \\ |\phi\rangle &= \sin\phi_{\omega\phi}|\omega_q\rangle + \cos\phi_{\omega\phi}|\phi_s\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A27})$$

where $|\omega_q\rangle$ and $|\phi_s\rangle$ are the analog non-strange and strange states of $|\eta_q\rangle$ and $|\eta_s\rangle$ respectively, $\phi_{\omega\phi}$ is the mixing angle between ρ and ω .

-
- [1] S. L. Glashow, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **7**, 469 (1961).
[2] J. Augustin, D. Benaksas, J. Buon, F. Fulda, V. Gracco, et al., *Lett.Nuovo Cim.* **2S1**, 214 (1969).
[3] R. G. Sachs and J. F. Willemssen, *Phys. Rev. D* **2**, 133 (1970).
[4] J. J. Sakurai, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **7**, 426 (1961).
[5] J. J. Sakurai, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **22**, 981 (1969).
[6] H. O’Connell, *Austral.J.Phys.* **50**, 255 (1997), arXiv:9604375v2 [arXiv:hep-ph].
[7] H. O’Connell, B. Pearce, A. Thomas, and A. Williams, *Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys* **39**, 201 (1997), arXiv:9501251v3 [arXiv:hep-ph].
[8] R. P. Feynman, *Photon-hadron Interactions* (Westview Press, 1998) pp. 82–113.
[9] P. C. McNamee, M. D. Scadron, and S. A. Coon, *Nuclear Physics A* **249**, 483 (1975).
[10] A. W. Thomas and K. Saito, arXiv:nucl-th/9507010 ().
[11] T. Goldman, J. Henderson, and A. Thomas, *Few-Body Systems* **12**, 123 (1992).
[12] T. D. Cohen and G. A. Miller, *Phys. Rev. C* **52**, 3428 (1995).
[13] M. Shifman, A. Vainshtein, and V. Zakharov, *Nuclear Physics B* **147**, 519 (1979).
[14] K. Maltman, *Phys. Rev. D* **53**, 2563 (1996).
[15] R. Urech, *Physics Letters B* **355**, 308 (1995).
[16] A. W. Thomas and K. Saito, arXiv:hep-ph/9502393 ().
[17] M. Benayoun, P. David, L. DelBuono, and O. Leitner, *The European Physical Journal C* **65**, 211 (2010), arXiv:arXiv:0907.4047v2.
[18] M. Benayoun, P. David, L. Delbuono, O. Leitner, and H. O’Connell, *The European Physical Journal C - Particles and Fields* **55**, 199 (2008), arXiv:arXiv:0711.4482v3.
[19] M. Benayoun and H. O’Connell, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **22**, 503 (2001), arXiv:0107047v3 [nucl-th].
[20] A. Bramon and J. Casulleras, *Phys.Lett.B* **173**, 97 (1986).
[21] S. Gardner and H. B. O’Connell, *Phys. Rev. D* **57**, 2716 (1998), arXiv:9707385 [hep-ph].
[22] Y. M.-L. LIU Fang, LI Jin, HUANG Yi-Bin, *High Energy Physics and Nuclear Physics* **28**, 239 (2004).
[23] A. Seiden, H. F. W. Sadrozinski, and H. E. Haber, *Phys. Rev. D* **38**, 824 (1988).
[24] R. Escribano, *The European Physical Journal C* **65**, 467 (2010).
[25] L. Kopke and N. Wermes, *Physics Reports* **174**, 67 (1989).
[26] G. Li, Q. Zhao, and C.-h. Chang, *Journal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics* **35**, 055002 (2008).
[27] C. E. Thomas, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **10**, 026 (2007).
[28] M. N. Achasov et al., *Phys. Rev. D* **68**, 052006 (2003), arXiv:0305049v1 [arXiv:hep-ex].
[29] D. G. GUDIÑO and G. T. SÁNCHEZ, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **27**, 1250101 (2012), arXiv:arXiv:1106.1467v2.
[30] J. Beringer et al. (Particle Data Group), *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 010001 (2012).
[31] M. N. Achasov et al., *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 032001 (2002).
[32] M. N. Achasov et al., *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 032002 (2002).
[33] M. N. Achasov et al., *J.Exp.Theor.Phys.* **101**, 1053 (2005), arXiv:0506076v1 [arXiv:hep-ex].
[34] R. Aviv and A. Zee, *Phys. Rev. D* **5**, 2372 (1972).
[35] E. A. Kuraev and Z. K. Silagadze, *Phys.Atom.Nucl.* **58**, 1589 (1995), arXiv:9502406v1 [arXiv:hep-ph].
[36] J. Lucio M., M. Napsuciale, M. Scadron, and V. Villanueva, *Phys. Rev. D* **61**, 034013 (2000).
[37] O. Kaymakcalan, S. Rajeev, and J. Schechter, *Phys. Rev. D* **30**, 594 (1984).
[38] S. Rudaz, *Phys.Lett.B* **145**, 281 (1984).
[39] N. Achasov and A. Kozhevnikov, *Phys. Rev. D* **61**, 054005 (2000), arXiv:9906520 [arXiv:hep-ph].

- [40] N. Achasov and A. Kozhevnikov, *Phys. Rev. D* **49**, 5773 (1994).
- [41] N. Achasov and G. Shestakov, *Fiz.Elem.Chast.Atom.Yadra* **9**, 48 (1978).
- [42] R. Escribano and J. Nadal, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2007**, 006 (2007), arXiv:0703187 [arXiv:hep-ph].
- [43] Michael Gronau and Jonathan L. Rosner, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 074006 (2009), arXiv:arXiv:0902.1363 [hep-ph].
- [44] Q. Zhao, *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 074001 (2005).
- [45] M.-l. Yan, J.-h. Jiang, and X.-j. Wang, *Commun.Theor.Phys.* **38**, 195 (2002), arXiv:0111281v1 [arXiv:hep-ph].
- [46] A. Rabl and N. Reay, *Phys.Lett.B* **47**, 29 (1973).
- [47] T. V. Dimova, *Nuclear Physics B (Proceedings Supplements)* **181C182**, 204 (2008).
- [48] N. Achasov and A. Kozhevnikov, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **7**, 4825 (1992).
- [49] J. L. Rosner, *Phys. Rev. D* **27**, 1101 (1983).