

Minimal forbidden induced subgraphs of graphs of bounded clique-width and bounded linear clique-width

Daniel Meister*

Udi Rotics†

Abstract

In the study of full bubble model graphs of bounded clique-width and bounded linear clique-width, we determined complete sets of forbidden induced subgraphs, that are minimal in the class of full bubble model graphs. In this note, we show that (almost all of) these graphs are minimal in the class of all graphs. As a corollary, we can give sets of minimal forbidden induced subgraphs for graphs of bounded clique-width and for graphs of bounded linear clique-width for arbitrary bounds.

1 Preparation

We consider graphs that are obtained from path powers. Path powers are the powers of induced paths. They are proper interval graphs. Let k be an integer with $k \geq 1$, and let $\Lambda = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ be an ordering of n vertices. The k -path power with k -path layout Λ is the graph on vertex set $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, and for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, x_i and x_j are adjacent if and only if $j - i \leq k$. It is an immediate consequence that the 1-path powers are exactly the induced paths. In this note, we consider graphs that are obtained from combining path powers into more complex graphs. We do not define and introduce the used and necessary terminology. Instead, we refer to our main paper, [3].

We want to show upper bounds on the clique-width and linear clique-width of some special graphs. Generally, upper bounds can be shown explicitly, by constructing appropriate clique-width expressions, or implicitly, by embedding as an induced subgraph a graph into another graph of known bounded clique-width or linear clique-width. We mainly apply the latter approach. For two graphs G and H , we say that H is *embeddable into* G if H is isomorphic to an induced subgraph of G . We consider only proper interval graphs here, that can be represented by bubble models [1], and embedding a proper interval graph into a proper interval graph can be understood as embedding a bubble model representation of the one graph into a bubble model representation of the other graph. For convenience, we may not distinguish between the graph itself and a bubble model representation of the graph.

We will often embed into the following graph. Let k be an integer with $k \geq 2$. The graph J_k is a k -path power on $(2k - 1)(k + 1) + 1$ vertices and with k -path layout $\langle z_1, \dots, z_m \rangle$. Let $g =_{\text{def}} (k - 1)(k + 1) = k^2 - 1$. We will consider $J_k - z_g$. Note here that g depends on k , so

*Theoretical Computer Science, University of Trier, Germany. Email: daniel.meister@uni-trier.de

†Netanya Academic College, Netanya, Israel. Email: rotics@netanya.ac.il




Figure 1: Depicted are bubble model representations for J_2 , J_3 and J_5 , seen from left to right. The highlighted vertex is z_g .

that $z_g = z_{g_k}$ is a more appropriate notation. For readability, we nevertheless write z_g instead of z_{g_k} . Examples of $J_k - z_g$ for three values of k are depicted in Figure 1, where the graphs are represented by bubble models.

Lemma 1.1. *For $k \geq 2$, $\text{lcwd}(J_k - z_g) \leq k + 1$.*

Proof. It suffices to observe that $J_k - z_g$ has an open k -model as defined in [3]. ■

2 Induced subgraphs of Z_k

Let k be an integer with $k \geq 0$, and let $n =_{\text{def}} k(k + 1) + 2$. The graph Z_k is a k -path power on n vertices and with k -path layout $\langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle$.

Theorem 2.1 ([2]). *For every $k \geq 0$, $\text{cwd}(Z_k) \geq k + 2$.*

We show that Z_k is a minimal graph of clique-width at least $k + 2$ and of linear clique-width at least $k + 2$. Since $\text{lcwd}(G) \geq \text{cwd}(G)$ for every graph G , it suffices to consider linear clique-width.

Lemma 2.2. *For every $k \geq 3$, every proper induced subgraph of Z_k is an induced subgraph of $J_k - z_g$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $Z_k - v_t$ for every $1 \leq t \leq k(k + 1) + 2$ is an induced subgraph of $J_k - z_g$. By an automorphism argument, it suffices to restrict to t with $1 \leq t \leq \frac{k(k+1)}{2} + 1$. We show that Z_k can be embedded into J_k such that v_t is mapped to z_g . Observe that $(k-1)(k+1) \geq \frac{k(k+1)}{2} + 1$, so that $t \leq g$.

We define a mapping φ from $V(Z_k)$ into $V(J_k)$: let $\varphi(v_i) =_{\text{def}} z_{g-t+i}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$. We verify that φ has the desired properties. For every $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
1 \leq g - t + 1 \leq g - t + i &\leq g - t + n \\
&= g - t + k(k + 1) + 2 \\
&\leq g - 1 + k(k + 1) + 2 \\
&= (k - 1)(k + 1) + k(k + 1) + 1 = (2k - 1)(k + 1) + 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, φ is a well-defined mapping from $V(Z_k)$ into $V(J_k)$. It remains to see that $\varphi(v_t) = z_g$ is indeed the case: $\varphi(v_t) = z_{g-t+t} = z_g$. Therefore, φ defines an embedding of $Z_k - v_t$ into $J_k - z_g$ of the desired form, and we conclude the claim of the lemma. ■

Proposition 2.3. *For every $k \geq 0$, every proper induced subgraph of Z_k has linear clique-width at most $k + 1$.*

Proof. Let H be a proper induced subgraph of Z_k . If $k \geq 3$ then H is an induced subgraph of $J_k - z_g$ due to Lemma 2.2, so that $\text{lcwd}(H) \leq \text{lcwd}(J_k - z_g)$, and thus, $\text{lcwd}(H) \leq \text{lcwd}(J_k - z_g) \leq k + 1$ due to Lemma 1.1.

We consider the remaining cases for $k \leq 2$. If $k = 0$ then Z_k has two vertices, and H is a graph on at most one vertex, and $\text{lcwd}(H) \leq 1$. If $k = 1$ then Z_k is an induced path on four vertices, and therefore, $\text{lcwd}(H) \leq 2$. We consider the case of $k = 2$. Recall that Z_2 is a graph on eight vertices, and following the proof of Lemma 2.2, it suffices to consider $Z_2 - v_1$, $Z_2 - v_2$, $Z_2 - v_3$ and $Z_2 - v_4$. Observe that $g = 3$, and the mapping defined in Lemma 2.2 can be used to embed $Z_2 - v_t$ into $J_k - z_g$ for $1 \leq t \leq 3$. The final remaining case is $Z_2 - v_4$. A linear 3-expression for $Z_2 - v_4$ can be obtained from the following vertex ordering: $\langle v_8, v_7, v_6, v_5, v_3, v_2, v_1 \rangle$. Thus, $\text{lcwd}(Z_2 - v_4) \leq 3$, and this completes the proof. ■

3 Induced subgraphs of S_k^+

Let k be an integer with $k \geq 2$, and let $n =_{\text{def}} (k - 1)(k + 1) + 2$.

- The graph S_k is obtained from a k -path power on n vertices with k -path layout $\langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle$ by adding the vertices w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4 and the edges $w_1 w_2, w_2 v_1, v_n w_3, w_3 w_4$.
- For $k \geq 3$, the graph S_k^+ is obtained from S_k by adding the single vertex w^+ of one of the following four neighbourhoods:

- a) $N_{S_k^+}(w^+) = \{w_1, w_2, v_1, \dots, v_k\}$
- b) $N_{S_k^+}(w^+) = \{v_{n-k+1}, \dots, v_n, w_3, w_4\}$
- c) $N_{S_k^+}(w^+) = \{w_1, w_2, v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\}$
- d) $N_{S_k^+}(w^+) = \{v_{n-k+2}, \dots, v_n, w_3, w_4\}$.

Observe that the four cases about the neighbourhood of w^+ generate two pairs of isomorphic graphs: S_k^+ in cases a and b are isomorphic, and S_k^+ in cases c and d are isomorphic.

Theorem 3.1 ([3]).

- 1) $\text{cwd}(S_k^+) \geq k + 2$ for $k \geq 3$
- 2) $\text{cwd}(S_k) \leq k + 1 < \text{lcwd}(S_k)$ for $k \geq 3$
- 3) $\text{cwd}(S_2) \geq 4$.

We show that S_k is a minimal graph of linear clique-width at least $k + 2$, and we show that S_k^+ with the case-c neighbourhood of w^+ is a minimal graph of clique-width at least $k + 2$.

Lemma 3.2. *For every $k \geq 3$ and $1 \leq t \leq \frac{(k-1)(k+1)+1}{2} + 1$, $\text{lcwd}(S_k^+ - v_t) \leq k + 1$.*

Proof. We follow the outline of the proof of Lemma 2.2 and show that $S_k^+ - v_t$ is an induced subgraph of $J_k - z_g$, except for one particular case.

We define a mapping from $V(S_k^+)$ into $V(J_k)$, that we define in two steps. Let $\varphi(v_i) =_{\text{def}} z_{g-t+i}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then, for every $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} g - t + i &\leq g - 1 + n \\ &= (k - 1)(k + 1) - 1 + (k - 1)(k + 1) + 2 \\ &= (2k - 2)(k + 1) + 1 = (2k - 1)(k + 1) + 1 - (k + 1) = m - (k + 1). \end{aligned}$$

We want to extend φ and map also w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4 and w^+ . Observe that no vertex of S_k^+ has already been mapped to z_1, \dots, z_{g-t} and $z_{g-t+n+1}, \dots, z_m$. Since J_k is a k -path power and $\varphi(v_1) = z_{g-t+1}$, we want to map w_1 to $z_{(g-t+1)-(k+1)}$, and analogously, we want to map w_2 to $z_{g-t-k+1}$, and we want to map w_3 and w_4 to $z_{g-t+n+k}$ and $z_{g-t+n+k+1}$. Furthermore, if w^+ has the case-a or case-c neighbourhood then we want to map w^+ to z_{g-t-1} or z_{g-t} , and if w^+ has the case-b or case-d neighbourhood then we want to map w^+ to $z_{g-t+n+1}$ or $z_{g-t+n+2}$. If $g - t + 1 \geq k + 2$ then $(g - t + 1) - (k + 1) = g - t - k \geq 1$, and the extension for w_1 and w_2 and w^+ is possible, and if $g - t + n + k + 1 \leq m$ then the extension for w_3 and w_4 and w^+ is possible. Note that $g - t + n + k + 1 \leq m$ is equivalent to $g - t + n \leq m - (k + 1)$, and this condition is always satisfied, as we showed above.

Assume that $g - t + 1 \leq k + 1$, which means $g - t \leq k$. Observe the following:

$$k^2 - 1 = g \leq t + k \leq \frac{(k-1)(k+1)+1}{2} + 1 + k = \frac{1}{2}(k+1)^2 + \frac{1}{2},$$

which is possible only for $k \leq 3$, more precisely, for $k = 3$ according to the assumptions of the lemma. Since $g - t + 1 \leq k + 1$ is equivalent to $8 + 1 - t \leq 4$ in this case, $t \geq 5$, and therefore, $t = 5$ must hold. This is the only case for which the assumption about $g - t + 1 \leq k + 1$ is possible, and $S_k^+ - v_t$ is not embeddable into $J_k - z_g$.

To complete the proof also for this remaining case, we construct a linear 4-expression for $S_3^+ - v_5$. We consider the case-a and case-c neighbourhood of w^+ , and the two other cases follow by isomorphy. We describe a linear 4-expression for $S_3^+ - v_5$ where w^+ has the case-c neighbourhood. It is an easy exercise, applying the deep rectangle constructions in [3], to construct a linear 4-expression for the subgraph of S_3^+ induced by $\{v_2, v_3, v_4, v_6, \dots, v_{10}, w_3, w_4\}$ such that v_2 has label 2, v_3 and v_4 have label 3 and the other vertices have label 1. The obtained linear 4-expression can be completed by adding the remaining vertices in this order: w^+, v_1, w_1, w_2 .

If w^+ has the case-a neighbourhood then v_2 and v_3 have label 2 and v_4 has label 3. We can conclude $\text{lcwd}(S_3^+ - v_5) \leq 4$. ■

We emphasise that Lemma 3.2 is true for all four neighbourhood cases of w^+ in S_k^+ .

Proposition 3.3. *Let $k \geq 3$. Let S_k^+ be obtained with the case-c neighbourhood of w^+ .*

- 1) *Every proper induced subgraph of S_k^+ has clique-width at most $k + 1$.*
- 2) *Every proper induced subgraph of S_k has linear clique-width at most $k + 1$.*
- 3) *Every proper induced subgraph of S_2 has linear clique-width at most 3.*

Proof. The following is straightforward to see: $S_2 - w_4$ is an induced subgraph of $J_2 - z_3$, and $S_k^+ - w_4$ is an induced subgraph of $J_k - z_g$. So, $\text{lcwd}(S_2 - w_4) \leq 3$ and $\text{lcwd}(S_k^+ - w_4) \leq k + 1$ due to Lemma 1.1. It is also easy to see that $S_k^+ - w_3$ is the disjoint union of $S_k^+ \setminus \{w_3, w_4\}$ and $S_k^+[\{w_4\}]$, and $S_2 - w_3$ is the disjoint union of $S_2 \setminus \{w_3, w_4\}$ and $S_2[\{w_4\}]$. In both cases, w_4 is an isolated vertex. We directly conclude with the preceding result that $\text{lcwd}(S_k^+ - w_3) \leq k + 1$ and $\text{lcwd}(S_2 - w_3) \leq 3$. The upper bounds analogously apply to $S_k^+ - w_1$ and $S_k^+ - w_2$ and $S_2 - w_1$ and $S_2 - w_2$. Finally, $\text{cwd}(S_k^+ - w^+) \leq k + 1$ due to the second statement of Theorem 3.1, since $S_k^+ - w^+ = S_k$.

We consider induced subgraphs of S_k^+ that are obtained from deleting a vertex v_t , and we show $\text{lcwd}(S_k^+ - v_t) \leq k + 1$. If $1 \leq t \leq \frac{(k-1)(k+1)+1}{2} + 1$ then $\text{lcwd}(S_k^+ - v_t) \leq k + 1$ due to Lemma 3.2. If $\frac{(k-1)(k+1)+1}{2} + 1 < t \leq n$ then $S_k^+ - v_t$ is isomorphic to $S_k^+ - v_{n-t+1}$ with the case-d neighbourhood of w^+ , and $\text{lcwd}(S_k^+ - v_t) \leq k + 1$ due to Lemma 3.2. Observe here

$$n - t + 1 < (k - 1)(k + 1) + 2 - \left(\frac{(k - 1)(k + 1) + 1}{2} + 1 \right) + 1 = \frac{(k - 1)(k + 1) + 1}{2} + 1,$$

so that Lemma 3.2 is indeed applicable. This completes the proof about induced subgraphs of S_k^+ for $k \geq 3$.

It remains to consider the remaining induced subgraphs of S_2 . Recall from the definition of S_2 that S_2 is obtained from an induced path on $\{w_1, w_2, v_1, v_2, v_4, v_5, w_3, w_4\}$ by adding v_3 and making it adjacent to v_1, v_2, v_4, v_5 . We consider $S_2 - x$ for $x \in \{v_1, \dots, v_5\}$.

Clearly, $\text{lcwd}(S_2 - v_3) \leq 3$. We consider $S_2 - v_2$, which is obtained from an induced path on $\{w_1, w_2, v_1, v_3, v_5, w_3, w_4\}$ by adding v_4 and making it adjacent to v_3 and v_5 . It is straightforward to verify that $S_2 - v_2$ has a linear 3-expression, and thus, $\text{lcwd}(S_2 - v_2) \leq 3$. Analogously, $\text{lcwd}(S_2 - v_4) \leq 3$.

We consider $S_2 - v_1$, which is the disjoint union of $S_2[\{v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, w_3, w_4\}]$ and $S_2[\{w_1, w_2\}]$. Since $S_2[\{v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, w_3, w_4\}]$ is an induced subgraph of $S_2 - w_1$, the first paragraph of the proof shows $\text{lcwd}(S_2[\{v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, w_3, w_4\}]) \leq 3$, so that $S_2 - v_1$ has a linear 3-expressions, and thus, $\text{lcwd}(S_2 - v_1) \leq 3$. Analogously, $\text{lcwd}(S_2 - v_5) \leq 3$. ■

Assume that S_k^+ is obtained with the case-a neighbourhood of w^+ . The proof of Proposition 3.3, statement 1, is analogously applicable to all proper induced subgraphs of S_k^+ but $S_k^+ - w_4$: $S_k^+ - w_4$ is not an induced subgraph of $J_k - z_g$, since w^+ would be mapped to z_g .

4 Induced subgraphs of $M_{k,1,l}$ and M_2^\pm

Let k be an integer with $k \geq 3$, and let $n =_{\text{def}} (k - 1)(k + 1) + 1 = k^2$. The graph F_k is a k -path power on n vertices and with k -path layout $\langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle$, and the graph F_k' is a k -path



Figure 2: Depicted are the graphs M_2^+ to the left and M_2^- to the right. Observe that M_2^- is the disjoint union of two gems.

power on n vertices and with k -path layout $\langle v'_1, \dots, v'_n \rangle$. Let l be an integer with $l \geq 0$. The graph $M_{k,1,l}$ is obtained from the disjoint union of F_k and F'_k and l new vertices w_1, \dots, w_l such that $\{v_n, w_1, \dots, w_l, v'_n\}$ induces a 1-path power with 1-path layout $\langle v_n, w_1, \dots, w_l, v'_n \rangle$. Informally, F_k and F'_k are joined by an induced path of length $l + 1$ that connects v_n and v'_n . The special graphs M_2^+ and M_2^- are depicted in Figure 2. If we do not need to distinguish between M_2^+ and M_2^- , we shortly write M_2^\pm .

Theorem 4.1 ([3]). *Let k and l be integers with $k \geq 3$ and $l \geq 0$.*

- 1) $\text{lcwd}(M_2^\pm) \geq 4$
- 2) $\text{lcwd}(M_{k,1,l}) \geq k + 2$.

We show that M_2^\pm is a minimal graph of linear clique-width at least 4 and $M_{k,1,l}$ is a minimal graph of linear clique-width at least $k + 2$.

Proposition 4.2. *For every $k \geq 3$ and $l \geq 0$,*

- 1) *every proper induced subgraph of M_2^\pm has linear clique-width at most 3*
- 2) *every proper induced subgraph of $M_{k,1,l}$ has linear clique-width at most $k + 1$.*

Proof. We prove the first statement.

We consider M_2^- . Observe that $M_2^-[\{a, b, c, d, e\}]$ has linear clique-width at most 3, and every proper induced subgraph of $M_2^-[\{a, b, c, d, e\}]$ has a linear 3-expression with label 1 as an inactive label. Thus, every proper induced subgraph of M_2^- has linear clique-width at most 3.

We consider M_2^+ . Observe that $M_2^+ - e$ and $M_2^+ - e'$ are proper induced subgraphs of M_2^- , so that $\text{lcwd}(M_2^+ - e) \leq 3$ and $\text{lcwd}(M_2^+ - e') \leq 3$ according to the preceding paragraph. The other four remaining cases and their automorphic equivalents are straightforward exercises.

We prove the second statement. We show for every vertex x of $M_{k,1,l}$ that $M_{k,1,l} - x$ has linear clique-width at most $k + 1$. We distinguish between x as a vertex from $\{v_n, w_1, \dots, w_l\}$ or from $\{v'_1\}$ or from $\{v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$. The other, not considered cases about x directly follow by an automorphism argument. Let $G \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} M_{k,1,l}$.

We consider $x \in \{v_n, w_1, \dots, w_l\}$. It is not difficult to see that F_k has a linear $(k + 1)$ -expression with inactive label 1 that adds vertex v_n as the last vertex with a unique label. The vertices w_1, \dots, w_l can be added by using two active labels only. So, $G[\{v_1, \dots, v_n, w_1, \dots, w_l\}]$ has a linear $(k + 1)$ -expression with inactive label 1, and so does $G[\{w_1, \dots, w_l, v'_n, \dots, v'_1\}]$. As a consequence, $G - x$ for $x \in \{v_n, w_1, \dots, w_l\}$ has linear clique-width at most $k + 1$.




Figure 3: Depicted are an embedding of a bubble model for $M_{4,1,10}-v'_1$ into a short-end 4-model, to the left, and an embedding of a bubble model for $M_{4,1,9}-v'_1$ into a short-end 4-model, to the right. The vertices of $M_{4,1,10}-v'_1$ and $M_{4,1,9}-v'_1$ are highlighted as the full vertices, and the subgraphs F_4 and $F'_4-v'_1$ are marked by the shaded areas.

We consider $x \in \{v'_1\}$. Then, $G-v'_1$ is an induced subgraph of a graph with a short-end k -model, and $\text{lcwd}(G-v'_1) \leq k+1$ due to the results from [3]. The cases of even and odd parity of l need to be distinguished, and for the case of odd parity, it is important to recall $k \geq 3$. Two examples, for even and odd parity of l , are depicted and described in Figure 3.

We consider $x \in \{v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$. By the arguments of the first case, we can assume a linear $(k+1)$ -expression δ for $G[\{v_n, w_1, \dots, w_l, v'_n, \dots, v'_1\}]$ such that v_n has label k and all other vertices have label 1 in $\text{val}(\delta)$. We want to extend δ into a linear $(k+1)$ -expression for $G-x$. As the main intermediate step, we show how to construct a linear $(k+1)$ -expression with inactive label 1 for F_k-x . The ideas of the construction resemble ideas for J_k-z_g of Section 1. Let $x = v_p$. For an illustration, the four bubble models of Figure 4 show typical situations about the deleted vertex x . We distinguish between two cases about the value of p for the ease of description.

- Assume that $F_k[\{v_{p+1}, \dots, v_n\}]$ has at most $(k-2)(k+1)$ vertices. This is the case for $k+2 \leq p \leq n-1$.

In this case, $F_k[\{v_{p+1}, \dots, v_n\}]$ has a full bubble model that can be embedded into a deep rectangle of size $k-2$. It is an exercise, by applying the construction ideas of Lemma 4.2 in [3], to show a linear $(k+1)$ -expression β with inactive label 1 for $F_k[\{v_{p+1}, \dots, v_n\}]$ such that v_n is inserted first and with label k , and $v_{p+1}, \dots, v_{p+k-1}$ have label $3, \dots, k+1$ and all other vertices have label 1 in $\text{val}(\beta)$.

We remark that the linear $(k+1)$ -expression of Lemma 4.2 in [3] does not have an inactive label. Since we embed into a rectangle of size $k-2$, we can nevertheless obtain a desired linear expression with inactive label 1.

- Assume that $F_k[\{v_{p+1}, \dots, v_n\}]$ has more than $(k-2)(k+1)$ vertices. This is the case for $2 \leq p \leq k+1$.

Assume $2 \leq p \leq k$. In this case, $F_k[\{v_{p+1}, \dots, v_n\}]$ has a full bubble model that can be embedded into a deep rectangle of size $k-2$ and a shallow rectangle of size 1. The linear $(k+1)$ -expression of Lemma 4.4 in [3] can be modified, by deleting some unnecessary vertices of the shallow rectangle, to obtain a linear $(k+1)$ -expression β with inactive label 1 for $F_k[\{v_{p+1}, \dots, v_n\}]$ that inserts v_n first and with label k , and $v_{p+1}, \dots, v_{p+k-1}$ have label $3, \dots, k+1$ and all other vertices have label 1 in $\text{val}(\beta)$.




Figure 4: The four bubble models show four situations about a deleted vertex, namely v_p , of F_k , as they are considered in the proof of Proposition 4.2. The figures are for the special case of $k = 6$. The vertices of $F_k - v_p$ are marked as empty or full cycles in the bubbles. The full cycle vertex is v_n . The dashed bubble would contain v_p . The deleted vertex in the four figures is, from left to right: v_2 and v_{11} and v_{21} and v_{35} . The shaded area shows a deep rectangle and the neighbouring column to the right. The full vertex is $v_n = v_{36}$, that is included in the already constructed linear expression δ , and the empty vertices are to be added.

Assume $p = k + 1$. The construction of the preceding paragraph is not applicable in this case, since v_n is the top vertex of the rightmost column, and the linear $(k + 1)$ -expression of Lemma 4.4 in [3] would insert v_n late. Nevertheless, a desired expression exists and can be designed analogous to the expressions of the preceding case for $p \geq k + 2$.

Combining the two linear $(k + 1)$ -expressions δ and β yields a linear $(k + 1)$ -expression for $G[\{v_{p+1}, \dots, v_n, w_1, \dots, w_l, v'_n, \dots, v'_1\}]$. The remaining vertices can be added according to the construction of linear $(k + 1)$ -expressions for open k -models of [3]. ■

References

- [1] P. Heggernes, D. Meister, C. Papadopoulos. A new representation of proper interval graphs with an application to clique-width. *Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics*, 32:27–34, 2009.
- [2] P. Heggernes, D. Meister, C. Papadopoulos, U. Rotics. Clique-width of path powers in linear time: a new characterisation of clique-width. Submitted manuscript, 2012.
- [3] D. Meister and U. Rotics. Clique-width of full bubble model graphs. Submitted manuscript, 2013.
A full preliminary version is available as a technical report, no. 13-1, Technical Reports in Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Trier, 2013.