

# Decomposition Lemmas

Mark Korenblit  
Holon Institute of Technology, Israel  
korenblit@hit.ac.il

Vadim E. Levit  
Ariel University, Israel  
levitv@ariel.ac.il

## 1. The First Decomposition Lemma

We define the following recursive function  $T(n)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} T(0) &= 0 \\ T(1) &= 0 \\ T(2) &= 1 \\ T(n) &= T\left(\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil\right) + T\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 1\right) + T\left(\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil - 1\right) + T\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor\right) + 1 \\ &\quad (n \geq 3). \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

**Lemma 1.1.** For  $n \geq 1$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$

$$T(n) \leq T(i) + T(n - i + 1) + T(i - 1) + T(n - i) + 1. \tag{1.2}$$

In addition, for  $n \geq 3$

$$T(n) = T(i) + T(n - i + 1) + T(i - 1) + T(n - i) + 1 \tag{1.3}$$

if and only if  $i$  is equal to  $\frac{n+1}{2}$  for odd  $n$  and  $i$  is equal to  $\frac{n}{2}$  or  $\frac{n}{2} + 1$  for even  $n$ .

**Proof.** Substitution of  $\frac{n+1}{2}$  (odd  $n$ ) and  $\frac{n}{2}$  or  $\frac{n}{2} + 1$  (even  $n$ ) for  $i$  in (1.3) reduces the right part of (1.3) to the right part of (1.1), i.e., the direct assertion for relation (1.3) holds by the definition of  $T(n)$ .

Now, separately, consider special cases when  $i = 1$  and when  $i = n$ . In both cases

$$\begin{aligned} & T(i) + T(n - i + 1) + T(i - 1) + T(n - i) + 1 \\ &= T(1) + T(n) + T(0) + T(n - 1) + 1 > T(n) \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

and, therefore, (1.2) holds. Specifically, for  $n = 1$  and  $n = 2$  the single possible values of  $i$  are 1 and  $n$ . Hence, the lemma is proven for these values of  $n$ .

The proof of (1.2) for  $n \geq 3$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq n - 1$  and of the opposite assertion for (1.3) is based on mathematical induction. It can be shown that (1.2) holds for  $n = 3$  and  $n = 4$ . For  $n = 3$  the single possible value of  $i$  is 2, i.e.,  $\frac{n+1}{2}$  and for  $n = 4$  the possible values of  $i$  are 2 and 3, i.e.,  $\frac{n}{2}$  and  $\frac{n}{2} + 1$ , respectively. Since (1.3) holds for these values of  $i$  then (1.2) holds for them also. Besides, as follows from (1.4), (1.3) is correct only for these values of  $i$ . By the way, based on (1.1), the values of  $T(3)$  and  $T(4)$  are 3 and 6, respectively. Now we will prove the lemma for any  $n > 4$  on condition that it is correct for  $1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots, n - 1$ .

As follows from (1.1), in the case when  $i - 1$  and  $n - i$  are not less than 3 the following equations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} T(i) &= T\left(\left\lceil \frac{i}{2} \right\rceil\right) + T\left(\left\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \right\rfloor + 1\right) + \\ &T\left(\left\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \right\rfloor - 1\right) + T\left(\left\lceil \frac{i}{2} \right\rceil\right) + 1 \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} T(n - i + 1) &= T\left(\left\lceil \frac{n - i + 1}{2} \right\rceil\right) + T\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n - i + 1}{2} \right\rfloor + 1\right) + \\ &T\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n - i + 1}{2} \right\rfloor - 1\right) + T\left(\left\lceil \frac{n - i + 1}{2} \right\rceil\right) + 1 \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} T(i - 1) &= T\left(\left\lceil \frac{i - 1}{2} \right\rceil\right) + T\left(\left\lfloor \frac{i - 1}{2} \right\rfloor + 1\right) + \\ &T\left(\left\lfloor \frac{i - 1}{2} \right\rfloor - 1\right) + T\left(\left\lceil \frac{i - 1}{2} \right\rceil\right) + 1 \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} T(n - i) &= T\left(\left\lceil \frac{n - i}{2} \right\rceil\right) + T\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n - i}{2} \right\rfloor + 1\right) + \\ &T\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n - i}{2} \right\rfloor - 1\right) + T\left(\left\lceil \frac{n - i}{2} \right\rceil\right) + 1 \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

Suppose, for the moment, that these equations hold always. In such a case, the proof of (1.2) could be organized as follows. We collect the components of the right

parts (except the units) of equations (1.5 – 1.8) to the following square matrix of size four:

$$\begin{pmatrix} T\left(\left\lceil\frac{i}{2}\right\rceil\right) & T\left(\left\lfloor\frac{i}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right) & T\left(\left\lceil\frac{i}{2}\right\rceil-1\right) & T\left(\left\lfloor\frac{i}{2}\right\rfloor\right) \\ T\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n-i+1}{2}\right\rfloor\right) & T\left(\left\lceil\frac{n-i+1}{2}\right\rceil+1\right) & T\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n-i+1}{2}\right\rfloor-1\right) & T\left(\left\lceil\frac{n-i+1}{2}\right\rceil\right) \\ T\left(\left\lceil\frac{i-1}{2}\right\rceil\right) & T\left(\left\lfloor\frac{i-1}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right) & T\left(\left\lceil\frac{i-1}{2}\right\rceil-1\right) & T\left(\left\lfloor\frac{i-1}{2}\right\rfloor\right) \\ T\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n-i}{2}\right\rfloor\right) & T\left(\left\lceil\frac{n-i}{2}\right\rceil+1\right) & T\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n-i}{2}\right\rfloor-1\right) & T\left(\left\lceil\frac{n-i}{2}\right\rceil\right) \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.9)$$

We want to show that  $T(n)$  does not exceed the sum of all elements of this matrix plus five (four units from equations (1.5 – 1.8) and in addition, the unit from (1.2)). To that end, we reveal four groups of elements in the matrix so that  $T\left(\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil\right)$ ,  $T\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)$ ,  $T\left(\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil-1\right)$ , and  $T\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\right)$ , respectively, do not exceed the sum of elements in the corresponding group plus 1. We do it in the following way. We denote the feasible partition of the number  $2n$  to four numbers  $i$ ,  $n-i+1$ ,  $i-1$ , and  $n-i$  ( $1 < i < n$ ) by  $\varepsilon$ -partition. Note that the arguments in the right part of (1.2) obey the  $\varepsilon$ -partition. And now, we divide the whole set of the matrix elements into four certain groups each of size four (*quartets*). These quartets are chosen in such a way that the arguments of each of the elements in the four quartets represent the  $\varepsilon$ -partition of  $2\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$ ,  $2\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)$ ,  $2\left(\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil-1\right)$ , and  $2\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$ , respectively. Note that since  $\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$ ,  $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1$ ,  $\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil-1$ , and  $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$  are less than  $n$ , such a grouping gives the desired four groups. The sums of the elements of each of these groups added by 1 are not less than  $T\left(\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil\right)$ ,  $T\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)$ ,  $T\left(\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil-1\right)$ , and  $T\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\right)$ , respectively.

The possibility of the required fragmentation of the matrix (1.9) has to hold for both even and odd  $n$  and for both even and odd  $i$ . Hence, four transformations of the initial matrix (1.9) should be considered. These transformations can be written in the following way:

Even  $n$ , even  $i$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{i}{2} & \frac{i}{2}+1 & \frac{i}{2}-1 & \frac{i}{2} \\ \frac{n-i}{2}+1 & \frac{n-i}{2}+1 & \frac{n-i}{2} & \frac{n-i}{2} \\ \frac{i}{2} & \frac{i}{2} & \frac{i}{2}-1 & \frac{i}{2}-1 \\ \frac{n-i}{2} & \frac{n-i}{2}+1 & \frac{n-i}{2}-1 & \frac{n-i}{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.10)$$

Odd  $n$ , odd  $i$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{i+1}{2} & \frac{i+1}{2} & \frac{i-1}{2} & \frac{i-1}{2} \\ \frac{n-i}{2}+1 & \frac{n-i}{2}+1 & \frac{n-i}{2} & \frac{n-i}{2} \\ \frac{i-1}{2} & \frac{i+1}{2} & \frac{i-1}{2}-1 & \frac{i-1}{2} \\ \frac{n-i}{2} & \frac{n-i}{2}+1 & \frac{n-i}{2}-1 & \frac{n-i}{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.11)$$

Even  $n$ , odd  $i$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{i+1}{2} & \frac{i+1}{2} & \frac{i-1}{2} & \frac{i-1}{2} \\ \frac{n-i+1}{2} & \frac{n-i+1}{2} + 1 & \frac{n-i-1}{2} & \frac{n-i+1}{2} \\ \frac{i-1}{2} & \frac{i+1}{2} & \frac{i-1}{2} - 1 & \frac{i-1}{2} \\ \frac{n-i+1}{2} & \frac{n-i+1}{2} & \frac{n-i-1}{2} & \frac{n-i-1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.12)$$

Odd  $n$ , even  $i$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{i}{2} & \frac{i}{2} + 1 & \frac{i}{2} - 1 & \frac{i}{2} \\ \frac{n-i+1}{2} & \frac{n-i+1}{2} + 1 & \frac{n-i-1}{2} & \frac{n-i+1}{2} \\ \frac{i}{2} & \frac{i}{2} & \frac{i}{2} - 1 & \frac{i}{2} - 1 \\ \frac{n-i+1}{2} & \frac{n-i+1}{2} & \frac{n-i-1}{2} & \frac{n-i-1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.13)$$

The matrices (1.10 – 1.13) contain only the arguments of the initial matrix's elements, for brevity.

By reordering the elements in the rows of the matrices (1.10 – 1.13) we obtain the following new four matrices:

Even  $n$ , even  $i$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{i}{2} - 1 & \frac{i}{2} & \frac{i}{2} & \frac{i}{2} + 1 \\ \frac{n-i}{2} + 1 & \frac{n-i}{2} & \frac{n-i}{2} & \frac{n-i}{2} + 1 \\ \frac{i}{2} & \frac{i}{2} - 1 & \frac{i}{2} - 1 & \frac{i}{2} \\ \frac{n-i}{2} & \frac{n-i}{2} - 1 & \frac{n-i}{2} + 1 & \frac{n-i}{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.14)$$

Odd  $n$ , odd  $i$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{i-1}{2} & \frac{i+1}{2} & \frac{i+1}{2} & \frac{i-1}{2} \\ \frac{n-i}{2} + 1 & \frac{n-i}{2} & \frac{n-i}{2} & \frac{n-i}{2} + 1 \\ \frac{i-1}{2} - 1 & \frac{i-1}{2} & \frac{i-1}{2} & \frac{i+1}{2} \\ \frac{n-i}{2} & \frac{n-i}{2} - 1 & \frac{n-i}{2} + 1 & \frac{n-i}{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.15)$$

Even  $n$ , odd  $i$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{i-1}{2} & \frac{i+1}{2} & \frac{i+1}{2} & \frac{i-1}{2} \\ \frac{n-i+1}{2} & \frac{n-i-1}{2} & \frac{n-i+1}{2} + 1 & \frac{n-i+1}{2} \\ \frac{i-1}{2} - 1 & \frac{i-1}{2} & \frac{i-1}{2} & \frac{i+1}{2} \\ \frac{n-i-1}{2} & \frac{n-i+1}{2} & \frac{n-i+1}{2} & \frac{n-i-1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.16)$$

Odd  $n$ , even  $i$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\frac{i}{2}-1}{\frac{n-i+1}{2}} & \frac{\frac{i}{2}}{\frac{n-i-1}{2}} & \frac{\frac{i}{2}}{\frac{n-i+1}{2}} + 1 & \frac{\frac{i}{2}+1}{\frac{n-i+1}{2}} \\ \frac{\frac{i}{2}}{\frac{n-i-1}{2}} & \frac{\frac{i}{2}-1}{\frac{n-i+1}{2}} & \frac{\frac{i}{2}-1}{\frac{n-i+1}{2}} & \frac{\frac{i}{2}}{\frac{n-i-1}{2}} \\ \frac{\frac{i}{2}}{\frac{n-i-1}{2}} & \frac{\frac{i}{2}}{\frac{n-i+1}{2}} & \frac{\frac{i}{2}-1}{\frac{n-i+1}{2}} & \frac{\frac{i}{2}}{\frac{n-i-1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.17)$$

The matrices (1.14 – 1.17) correspond to the matrices (1.10 – 1.13), respectively. After transforming and reordering the elements in the columns of the matrices (1.14 – 1.17), we get:

Even  $n$ , even  $i$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{i}{2}+1}{\frac{n-i+1}{2}} & \left(\frac{n}{2}-1\right)-\frac{i}{2}+1 & \frac{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{i}{2}+1}{\frac{n-i+1}{2}} & \left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)-\left(\frac{i}{2}+1\right)+1 \\ \frac{\frac{i}{2}-1}{\frac{n-i-1}{2}} & \frac{\frac{i}{2}-1}{\frac{n-i+1}{2}} & \frac{\frac{i}{2}-1}{\frac{n-i+1}{2}} & \left(\frac{i}{2}+1\right)-1 \\ \frac{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{i}{2}}{\frac{n-i-1}{2}} & \left(\frac{n}{2}-1\right)-\frac{i}{2} & \frac{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{i}{2}}{\frac{n-i+1}{2}} & \left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)-\left(\frac{i}{2}+1\right) \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.18)$$

Odd  $n$ , odd  $i$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{i-1}{2}+1}{\frac{n-i+1}{2}} & \frac{\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{i+1}{2}+1}{\frac{n-i+1}{2}} & \frac{\frac{n+1}{2}-\frac{i+1}{2}+1}{\frac{n-i+1}{2}} & \frac{\frac{n+1}{2}-\frac{i+1}{2}+1}{\frac{n-i+1}{2}} \\ \frac{\frac{i-1}{2}-1}{\frac{n-i-1}{2}} & \frac{\frac{i+1}{2}-1}{\frac{n-i+1}{2}} & \frac{\frac{i+1}{2}-1}{\frac{n-i+1}{2}} & \frac{\frac{i+1}{2}-1}{\frac{n-i+1}{2}} \\ \frac{\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{i-1}{2}}{\frac{n-i-1}{2}} & \frac{\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{i+1}{2}}{\frac{n-i+1}{2}} & \frac{\frac{n+1}{2}-\frac{i+1}{2}}{\frac{n-i+1}{2}} & \frac{\frac{n+1}{2}-\frac{i+1}{2}}{\frac{n-i+1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.19)$$

Even  $n$ , odd  $i$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{i-1}{2}+1}{\frac{n-i+1}{2}} & \frac{\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{i+1}{2}+1}{\frac{n-i+1}{2}} & \left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)-\frac{i+1}{2}+1 & \frac{n}{2}-\frac{i+1}{2}+1 \\ \frac{\frac{i-1}{2}-1}{\frac{n-i-1}{2}} & \frac{\frac{i+1}{2}-1}{\frac{n-i+1}{2}} & \frac{\frac{i+1}{2}-1}{\frac{n-i+1}{2}} & \frac{\frac{i+1}{2}-1}{\frac{n-i+1}{2}} \\ \left(\frac{n}{2}-1\right)-\frac{i-1}{2} & \frac{n}{2}-\frac{i+1}{2} & \left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)-\frac{i+1}{2} & \frac{n}{2}-\frac{i+1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.20)$$

Odd  $n$ , even  $i$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{i}{2}+1}{\frac{n-i+1}{2}} & \frac{\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{i}{2}+1}{\frac{n-i+1}{2}} & \frac{\frac{n+1}{2}-\frac{i}{2}+1}{\frac{n-i+1}{2}} & \frac{\frac{n+1}{2}-\left(\frac{i}{2}+1\right)+1}{\frac{n-i+1}{2}} \\ \frac{\frac{i}{2}-1}{\frac{n-i-1}{2}} & \frac{\frac{i}{2}-1}{\frac{n-i+1}{2}} & \frac{\frac{i}{2}-1}{\frac{n-i+1}{2}} & \left(\frac{i}{2}+1\right)-1 \\ \frac{\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{i}{2}}{\frac{n-i-1}{2}} & \frac{\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{i}{2}}{\frac{n-i+1}{2}} & \frac{\frac{n+1}{2}-\frac{i}{2}}{\frac{n-i+1}{2}} & \frac{\frac{n+1}{2}-\left(\frac{i}{2}+1\right)}{\frac{n-i+1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.21)$$

The matrices (1.18 – 1.21) correspond to the matrices (1.14 – 1.17), respectively.

The columns of the matrix (1.18) (from left to right) are exactly the  $\varepsilon$ -partitions of  $2 \binom{\frac{n}{2}}{2}$ ,  $2 \binom{\frac{n}{2}-1}{2}$ ,  $2 \binom{\frac{n}{2}}{2}$ , and  $2 \binom{\frac{n}{2}+1}{2}$ , respectively. In the same way, the columns of the matrix (1.19) (from left to right) are the  $\varepsilon$ -partitions of  $2 \binom{\frac{n-1}{2}}{2}$ ,  $2 \binom{\frac{n-1}{2}}{2}$ ,  $2 \binom{\frac{n+1}{2}}{2}$ , and  $2 \binom{\frac{n+1}{2}}{2}$ , respectively; the columns of the matrix (1.20) (from left to right) are the  $\varepsilon$ -partitions of  $2 \binom{\frac{n}{2}-1}{2}$ ,  $2 \binom{\frac{n}{2}}{2}$ ,  $2 \binom{\frac{n}{2}+1}{2}$ , and  $2 \binom{\frac{n}{2}}{2}$ , respectively; and the columns of the matrix (1.21) (from left to right) are the  $\varepsilon$ -partitions of  $2 \binom{\frac{n-1}{2}}{2}$ ,  $2 \binom{\frac{n-1}{2}}{2}$ ,  $2 \binom{\frac{n+1}{2}}{2}$ , and  $2 \binom{\frac{n+1}{2}}{2}$ , respectively. On the other hand,  $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ ,  $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$ ,  $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 1$ , and  $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$  are equal just to  $\frac{n}{2}$ ,  $\frac{n}{2} + 1$ ,  $\frac{n}{2} - 1$ , and  $\frac{n}{2}$ , respectively, for even  $n$  and to  $\frac{n+1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{n+1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{n-1}{2}$ , and  $\frac{n-1}{2}$ , respectively, for odd  $n$ . Thus, columns of each of the matrices (1.14 – 1.17) are the  $\varepsilon$ -partitions of  $2 \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ ,  $2 (\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1)$ ,  $2 (\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 1)$ , and  $2 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ . Therefore, the necessary groups of the elements are found in the matrix (1.9).

However, (1.2) is not proven yet. As noted above, equations (1.5 – 1.8) are correct only for  $i \geq 4$ ,  $n - i \geq 3$ . For example, if  $i = 3$ , then  $i - 1 = 2$  and equation (1.7) does not hold. For  $i = 2$  both (1.5) and (1.7) are not correct. The same can be said about (1.6) and (1.8). In this case, some of the equations should be replaced by corresponding inequalities. Indeed,

$$T \left( \left\lceil \frac{2}{2} \right\rceil \right) + T \left( \left\lfloor \frac{2}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) + T \left( \left\lceil \frac{2}{2} \right\rceil - 1 \right) + T \left( \left\lfloor \frac{2}{2} \right\rfloor \right) + 1 = T(2) + 1 \quad (1.22)$$

and

$$T \left( \left\lceil \frac{1}{2} \right\rceil \right) + T \left( \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) + T \left( \left\lceil \frac{1}{2} \right\rceil - 1 \right) + T \left( \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor \right) + 1 = T(1) + 1 \quad (1.23)$$

and, therefore,

$$T(2) < T \left( \left\lceil \frac{2}{2} \right\rceil \right) + T \left( \left\lfloor \frac{2}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) + T \left( \left\lceil \frac{2}{2} \right\rceil - 1 \right) + T \left( \left\lfloor \frac{2}{2} \right\rfloor \right) + 1 \quad (1.24)$$

and

$$T(1) < T \left( \left\lceil \frac{1}{2} \right\rceil \right) + T \left( \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) + T \left( \left\lceil \frac{1}{2} \right\rceil - 1 \right) + T \left( \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor \right) + 1. \quad (1.25)$$

These relations follow, also, from (1.4). We consider cases when  $n \geq 5$  and  $2 \leq i \leq n - 1$ . Therefore, 0, 1, or 2 equations from (1.5 – 1.8) should be replaced by inequalities (specifically, 2 equations for  $n = 5$ ; 1 or 2 ones for  $n = 6$ ; and 0, or 1, or 2 ones for  $n \geq 7$ ).

Moreover, the opposite assertion for (1.3) should be proven, i.e., we have to show that

$$T(n) < T(i) + T(n - i + 1) + T(i - 1) + T(n - i) + 1 \quad (1.26)$$

for  $n \geq 5$  if  $i$  is not equal to  $\frac{n+1}{2}$  for odd  $n$  and to  $\frac{n}{2}$  or  $\frac{n}{2} + 1$  for even  $n$  (we will denote these values by  $n_{/2}$ , for brevity). Therefore, it should be shown that the matrix (1.9) contains quartets which provide local strict inequalities for  $T(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil)$ ,  $T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1)$ ,  $T(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 1)$ , or  $T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)$ . Sums of elements in these quartets added by 1 should exceed  $T(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil)$ , or  $T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1)$ , or  $T(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 1)$ , or  $T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)$ , respectively. Corresponding differences have to provide the correctness of the strict inequality (1.26) for  $i \neq n_{/2}$ , even when relations (1.22 – 1.25) appear.

The correctness of (1.26) for  $i \neq n_{/2}$  when  $n$  is equal to 3 or 4 has already been shown above. Now we should ascertain how the value of  $i$  influences the elements of each of the revealed quartets. Suppose that a column of one of the matrices (1.18 – 1.21) is an  $\varepsilon$ -partitions of  $2k$ . Then, the elements of the column are distributed around  $j$  ( $2 \leq j \leq k - 1$ ) in the same way as  $i$ ,  $n - i + 1$ ,  $i - 1$ , and  $n - i$  are distributed around  $i$  ( $2 \leq i \leq n - 1$ ). Since  $k$  is less than  $n$ , a relation like (1.26) for the column ( $n$  and  $i$  are replaced by  $k$  and  $j$ , respectively) holds if  $j \neq k_{/2}$ . Hence, in order for (1.26) to be correct for  $i \neq n_{/2}$  there should be at least one column in each of the matrices (1.18 – 1.21) in which  $j \neq k_{/2}$  when  $i \neq n_{/2}$ .

Therefore, each column in each of these matrices has to be examined (every column is characterized by its own pair of  $k$  and  $j$ ). Besides, every case should be considered twice: for even  $k$  and for odd  $k$ . For each possible combination, we suppose that  $j = k_{/2}$  and check whether  $i = n_{/2}$  in this case. If  $i \neq n_{/2}$ , then the corresponding column is recorded as *unlucky* (the inequality of  $i$  and  $n_{/2}$  does not provide the inequality of  $j$  and  $k_{/2}$  in this column) and the value of  $i$  is saved. Here are all the tests:

1. Matrix (1.18) – even  $n$ , even  $i$

1.1. Even  $\frac{n}{2}$

Column 1:  $k = \frac{n}{2}$  (even),  $j = \frac{i}{2}$

a)  $\frac{i}{2} = \frac{\frac{n}{2}}{2} \Leftrightarrow i = \frac{n}{2} = n_{/2}$

b)  $\frac{i}{2} = \frac{\frac{n}{2}}{2} + 1 \Leftrightarrow i = \frac{n}{2} + 2 \neq n_{/2}$

Column 2:  $k = \frac{n}{2} - 1$  (odd),  $j = \frac{i}{2}$

$$\frac{i}{2} = \frac{\frac{n}{2}-1+1}{2} \Leftrightarrow i = \frac{n}{2} = n/2$$

Column 3:  $k = \frac{n}{2}$  (even),  $j = \frac{i}{2}$  – as Column 1

Column 4:  $k = \frac{n}{2} + 1$  (odd),  $j = \frac{i}{2} + 1$

$$\frac{i}{2} + 1 = \frac{\frac{n}{2}+1+1}{2} \Leftrightarrow i = \frac{n}{2} = n/2$$

Result: two unlucky columns when  $i = \frac{n}{2} + 2$ .

### 1.2. Odd $\frac{n}{2}$

Column 1:  $k = \frac{n}{2}$  (odd),  $j = \frac{i}{2}$

$$\frac{i}{2} = \frac{\frac{n}{2}+1}{2} \Leftrightarrow i = \frac{n}{2} + 1 = n/2$$

Column 2:  $k = \frac{n}{2} - 1$  (even),  $j = \frac{i}{2}$

$$\text{a) } \frac{i}{2} = \frac{\frac{n}{2}-1}{2} \Leftrightarrow i = \frac{n}{2} - 1 \neq n/2$$

$$\text{b) } \frac{i}{2} = \frac{\frac{n}{2}-1}{2} + 1 \Leftrightarrow i = \frac{n}{2} + 1 = n/2$$

Column 3:  $k = \frac{n}{2}$  (odd),  $j = \frac{i}{2}$  – as Column 1

Column 4:  $k = \frac{n}{2} + 1$  (even),  $j = \frac{i}{2} + 1$

$$\text{a) } \frac{i}{2} + 1 = \frac{\frac{n}{2}+1}{2} \Leftrightarrow i = \frac{n}{2} - 1 \neq n/2$$

$$\text{b) } \frac{i}{2} + 1 = \frac{\frac{n}{2}+1}{2} + 1 \Leftrightarrow i = \frac{n}{2} + 1 = n/2$$

Result: two unlucky columns when  $i = \frac{n}{2} - 1$ .

## 2. Matrix (1.19) – odd $n$ , odd $i$

### 2.1. Even $\frac{n-1}{2}$

Column 1:  $k = \frac{n-1}{2}$  (even),  $j = \frac{i-1}{2}$

$$\text{a) } \frac{i-1}{2} = \frac{\frac{n-1}{2}}{2} \Leftrightarrow i = \frac{n+1}{2} = n/2$$

$$\text{b) } \frac{i-1}{2} = \frac{\frac{n-1}{2}}{2} + 1 \Leftrightarrow i = \frac{n+5}{2} \neq n/2$$

Column 2:  $k = \frac{n-1}{2}$  (even),  $j = \frac{i+1}{2}$

$$\text{a) } \frac{i+1}{2} = \frac{\frac{n-1}{2}}{2} \Leftrightarrow i = \frac{n-3}{2} \neq n/2$$

$$\text{b) } \frac{i+1}{2} = \frac{\frac{n-1}{2}}{2} + 1 \Leftrightarrow i = \frac{n+1}{2} = n/2$$

Column 3:  $k = \frac{n+1}{2}$  (odd),  $j = \frac{i+1}{2}$

$$\frac{i+1}{2} = \frac{\frac{n+1}{2}+1}{2} \Leftrightarrow i = \frac{n+1}{2} = n/2$$



Column 4:  $k = \frac{n+1}{2}$  (odd),  $j = \frac{i+1}{2}$  – as Column 3

Result: one unlucky column when  $i = \frac{n+5}{2}$  and one unlucky column when  $i = \frac{n-3}{2}$ .

### 2.2. Odd $\frac{n-1}{2}$

Column 1:  $k = \frac{n-1}{2}$  (odd),  $j = \frac{i-1}{2}$

$$\frac{i-1}{2} = \frac{\frac{n-1}{2}+1}{2} \Leftrightarrow i = \frac{n+3}{2} \neq n/2$$

Column 2:  $k = \frac{n-1}{2}$  (odd),  $j = \frac{i+1}{2}$

$$\frac{i+1}{2} = \frac{\frac{n-1}{2}+1}{2} \Leftrightarrow i = \frac{n-1}{2} \neq n/2$$

Column 3:  $k = \frac{n+1}{2}$  (even),  $j = \frac{i+1}{2}$

$$\text{a) } \frac{i+1}{2} = \frac{\frac{n+1}{2}}{2} \Leftrightarrow i = \frac{n-1}{2} \neq n/2$$

$$\text{b) } \frac{i+1}{2} = \frac{\frac{n+1}{2}}{2} + 1 \Leftrightarrow i = \frac{n+3}{2} \neq n/2$$

Column 4:  $k = \frac{n+1}{2}$  (even),  $j = \frac{i+1}{2}$  – as Column 3

Result: three unlucky columns when  $i = \frac{n+3}{2}$  and three unlucky columns when  $i = \frac{n-1}{2}$ .

### 3. Matrix (1.20) – even $n$ , odd $i$

#### 3.1. Even $\frac{n}{2}$

Column 1:  $k = \frac{n}{2} - 1$  (odd),  $j = \frac{i-1}{2}$

$$\frac{i-1}{2} = \frac{\frac{n}{2}-1+1}{2} \Leftrightarrow i = \frac{n}{2} + 1 = n/2$$

Column 2:  $k = \frac{n}{2}$  (even),  $j = \frac{i+1}{2}$

$$\text{a) } \frac{i+1}{2} = \frac{\frac{n}{2}}{2} \Leftrightarrow i = \frac{n}{2} - 1 \neq n/2$$

$$\text{b) } \frac{i+1}{2} = \frac{\frac{n}{2}}{2} + 1 \Leftrightarrow i = \frac{n}{2} + 1 = n/2$$

Column 3:  $k = \frac{n}{2} + 1$  (odd),  $j = \frac{i+1}{2}$

$$\frac{i+1}{2} = \frac{\frac{n}{2}+1+1}{2} \Leftrightarrow i = \frac{n}{2} + 1 = n/2$$

Column 4:  $k = \frac{n}{2}$  (even),  $j = \frac{i+1}{2}$  – as Column 2

Result: two unlucky columns when  $i = \frac{n}{2} - 1$ .

#### 3.2. Odd $\frac{n}{2}$

Column 1:  $k = \frac{n}{2} - 1$  (even),  $j = \frac{i-1}{2}$

$$\text{a) } \frac{i-1}{2} = \frac{\frac{n}{2}-1}{2} \Leftrightarrow i = \frac{n}{2} = n/2$$

$$\text{b) } \frac{i-1}{2} = \frac{\frac{n}{2}-1}{2} + 1 \Leftrightarrow i = \frac{n}{2} + 2 \neq n/2$$

Column 2:  $k = \frac{n}{2}$  (odd),  $j = \frac{i+1}{2}$

$$\frac{i+1}{2} = \frac{\frac{n}{2}+1}{2} \Leftrightarrow i = \frac{n}{2} = n/2$$

Column 3:  $k = \frac{n}{2} + 1$  (even),  $j = \frac{i+1}{2}$

$$\text{a) } \frac{i+1}{2} = \frac{\frac{n}{2}+1}{2} \Leftrightarrow i = \frac{n}{2} = n/2$$

$$\text{b) } \frac{i+1}{2} = \frac{\frac{n}{2}+1}{2} + 1 \Leftrightarrow i = \frac{n}{2} + 2 \neq n/2$$

Column 4:  $k = \frac{n}{2}$  (odd),  $j = \frac{i+1}{2}$  – as Column 2

Result: two unlucky columns when  $i = \frac{n}{2} + 2$ .

4. Matrix (1.21) – odd  $n$ , even  $i$

4.1. Even  $\frac{n-1}{2}$

Column 1:  $k = \frac{n-1}{2}$  (even),  $j = \frac{i}{2}$

$$\text{a) } \frac{i}{2} = \frac{\frac{n-1}{2}}{2} \Leftrightarrow i = \frac{n-1}{2} \neq n/2$$

$$\text{b) } \frac{i}{2} = \frac{\frac{n-1}{2}}{2} + 1 \Leftrightarrow i = \frac{n-1}{2} + 2 = \frac{n+3}{2} \neq n/2$$

Column 2:  $k = \frac{n-1}{2}$  (even),  $j = \frac{i}{2}$  – as Column 1

Column 3:  $k = \frac{n+1}{2}$  (odd),  $j = \frac{i}{2}$

$$\frac{i}{2} = \frac{\frac{n+1}{2}+1}{2} \Leftrightarrow i = \frac{n+1}{2} + 1 = \frac{n+3}{2} \neq n/2$$

Column 4:  $k = \frac{n+1}{2}$  (odd),  $j = \frac{i}{2} + 1$

$$\frac{i}{2} + 1 = \frac{\frac{n+1}{2}+1}{2} \Leftrightarrow i = \frac{n+1}{2} - 1 = \frac{n-1}{2} \neq n/2$$

Result: three unlucky columns when  $i = \frac{n-1}{2}$  and three unlucky columns when  $i = \frac{n+3}{2}$ .

4.2. Odd  $\frac{n-1}{2}$

Column 1:  $k = \frac{n-1}{2}$  (odd),  $j = \frac{i}{2}$

$$\frac{i}{2} = \frac{\frac{n-1}{2}+1}{2} \Leftrightarrow i = \frac{n+1}{2} = n/2$$

Column 2:  $k = \frac{n-1}{2}$  (odd),  $j = \frac{i}{2}$  – as Column 1

Column 3:  $k = \frac{n+1}{2}$  (even),  $j = \frac{i}{2}$

$$\text{a) } \frac{i}{2} = \frac{\frac{n+1}{2}}{2} \Leftrightarrow i = \frac{n+1}{2} = n/2$$

$$\text{b) } \frac{i}{2} = \frac{\frac{n+1}{2}}{2} + 1 \Leftrightarrow i = \frac{n+1}{2} + 2 = \frac{n+5}{2} \neq n/2$$

Column 4:  $k = \frac{n+1}{2}$  (even),  $j = \frac{i}{2} + 1$

$$\text{a) } \frac{i}{2} + 1 = \frac{\frac{n+1}{2}}{2} \Leftrightarrow i = \frac{n+1}{2} - 2 = \frac{n-3}{2} \neq n/2$$

$$\text{b) } \frac{i}{2} + 1 = \frac{\frac{n+1}{2}}{2} + 1 \Leftrightarrow i = \frac{n+1}{2} = n/2$$

Result: one unlucky column when  $i = \frac{n+5}{2}$  and one unlucky column when  $i = \frac{n-3}{2}$ .

Hence, the tests show that for any  $n$  and  $i$  there exists at least one column in the matrix (1.9) that provides a local strict inequality. Moreover, unlucky columns appear only for a limited set of values of  $i$ . All these values are close to  $n/2$ . For this reason, in the general case, for large  $n$ , these values do not coincide with 2, 3,  $n - 2$ , and  $n - 1$ , i.e., with the *extreme values*, for which some of equations (1.5 – 1.8) are replaced by inequalities (1.24) or (1.25). As follows from (1.22) and (1.23) the difference between the right and the left parts of (1.24) and (1.25), respectively, is equal to one. Hence, the total difference given by (1.24) and (1.25) with the extreme values of  $i$  is equal to two. This difference decreases the difference between the right and the left parts of (1.26). On the other hand, four columns of the matrix (1.9) provide four local strict inequalities in this a case. These inequalities give a total difference with an opposite sign and its absolute value is equal to four at least. This difference increases the difference between the right and the left parts of (1.26). Hence, the strict inequality (1.26) holds for extreme values of  $i$ . On the other hand, if  $i$  is close to  $n/2$  then, as noted above, at least one column is not unlucky and provides a local strict inequality. Hence, (1.26) holds also in this case. All the more, (1.26) is correct for all other values of  $i$ .

We consider now the special cases when the extreme values of  $i$  are close to  $n/2$ . These cases take place for small  $n$ . Therefore, correctness of (1.26) should be checked separately for corresponding combinations of  $n$  and  $i$ . According to (1.1), the following relations hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
T(5) &= T(3) + T(3) + T(2) + T(2) + 1 \\
&= 3 + 3 + 2 + 2 + 1 = 9 \\
T(6) &= T(3) + T(4) + T(2) + T(3) + 1 \\
&= 3 + 6 + 1 + 3 + 1 = 14 \\
T(7) &= T(4) + T(4) + T(3) + T(3) + 1 \\
&= 6 + 6 + 3 + 3 + 1 = 19 \\
T(8) &= T(4) + T(5) + T(3) + T(4) + 1 \\
&= 6 + 9 + 3 + 6 + 1 = 25.
\end{aligned}$$

We begin from the cases when three unlucky columns exist. As shown above three unlucky columns appear for odd  $n$ , when  $i = \frac{n+3}{2}$  (i.e., odd  $i$  and odd  $\frac{n-1}{2}$ ) and when  $i = \frac{n-1}{2}$  (i.e., even  $i$  and even  $\frac{n-1}{2}$ ). In the considered range of  $n$ , these values of  $i$  coincide with the extreme values when  $n = 7$ , in the first case, and when  $n = 5$ , in the second case. Indeed, for  $n = 7$

$$\begin{aligned}
i &= \frac{n+3}{2} = \frac{7+3}{2} = 5 = n-2 \\
i &= \frac{n-1}{2} = \frac{7-1}{2} = 3
\end{aligned}$$

and for  $n = 5$

$$\begin{aligned}
i &= \frac{n+3}{2} = \frac{5+3}{2} = 4 = n-1 \\
i &= \frac{n-1}{2} = \frac{5-1}{2} = 2.
\end{aligned}$$

For both  $i = n - 2$  and  $i = 3$  the right part of (1.26), with  $n = 7$  is

$$T(3) + T(5) + T(2) + T(4) + 1 = 3 + 9 + 1 + 6 + 1 = 20 > T(7)$$

and for both  $i = n - 1$  and  $i = 2$  the right part of (1.26) with  $n = 5$  is

$$T(2) + T(4) + T(1) + T(3) + 1 = 1 + 6 + 0 + 3 + 1 = 11 > T(5).$$

Now consider cases when two unlucky columns exist. As shown above two unlucky columns appear for even  $n$ , when  $i = \frac{n}{2} + 2$  (even  $i$ , even  $\frac{n}{2}$  or odd  $i$ , odd  $\frac{n}{2}$ ) and when  $i = \frac{n}{2} - 1$  (even  $i$ , odd  $\frac{n}{2}$  or odd  $i$ , even  $\frac{n}{2}$ ). In the considered range of  $n$ ,

these values of  $i$  coincide with the extreme values when  $n = 8$ , and when  $n = 6$ . Indeed, for  $n = 8$

$$\begin{aligned} i &= \frac{n}{2} + 2 = \frac{8}{2} + 2 = 6 = n - 2 \\ i &= \frac{n}{2} - 1 = \frac{8}{2} - 1 = 3 \end{aligned}$$

and for  $n = 6$

$$\begin{aligned} i &= \frac{n}{2} + 2 = \frac{6}{2} + 2 = 5 = n - 1 \\ i &= \frac{n}{2} - 1 = \frac{6}{2} - 1 = 2. \end{aligned}$$

For both  $i = n - 2$  and  $i = 3$  the right part of (1.26), with  $n = 8$  is

$$T(3) + T(6) + T(2) + T(5) + 1 = 3 + 14 + 1 + 9 + 1 = 28 > T(8)$$

and for both  $i = n - 1$  and  $i = 2$  the right part of (1.26) with  $n = 6$  is

$$T(2) + T(5) + T(1) + T(4) + 1 = 1 + 9 + 0 + 6 + 1 = 17 > T(6).$$

We need not consider separately cases with one unlucky column, since then three columns of the matrix (1.9) provide three local strict inequalities. These inequalities give a total difference that increases the difference between the right and the left parts of (1.26) by three at least. As noted above, inequalities (1.24) or (1.25) can decrease the difference by not more than two. Hence, (1.26) holds in this case, and the proof of the lemma is complete. ■

**Remark 1.2.** *It is of interest to trace why the strict inequality (1.26) holds in some special cases when the extreme values of  $i$  are close to  $n/2$ , specifically, for three unlucky columns. For example, consider  $n = 7$ ,  $i = 3$ . The matrix (1.19) turns into the right matrix (1.27) (see below). For  $n = 7$ ,  $i = n/2 = 4$  the matrix (1.21) turns into the left matrix (1.27).*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & 3 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad (1.27)$$

As expected, three columns of the left matrix coincide with three columns of the right matrix (up to the order of the elements in the columns). Only the left columns of the left and the right matrices differ. As noted above, equation (1.7) is replaced by an inequality for  $i = 3$ . Hence, the difference between the right and the left parts of (1.26) decreases by one. Corresponding computations along the left columns of the left and the right matrices (1.27) give the following results, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} T(2) + T(2) + T(1) + T(1) + 1 &= 1 + 1 + 0 + 0 + 1 = 3 \\ T(1) + T(3) + T(0) + T(2) + 1 &= 0 + 3 + 0 + 1 + 1 = 5. \end{aligned}$$

The difference between the results is equal to two, i.e., the difference between the right and the left parts of (1.26) increases by two. Therefore, the total difference between the right and the left parts of (1.26) is equal to one in this case, exactly as shown in the proof of Lemma 1.1. Other special cases are analyzed similarly.

## 2. The Second Decomposition Lemma

We define the following recursive function  $P(n)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} P(0) &= 0 \\ P(1) &= 0 \\ P(2) &= 0 \\ P(n) &= P\left(\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil\right) + P\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 1\right) + P\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - 1\right) + P\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor\right) + 1 \\ &\quad (n \geq 3). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

**Lemma 2.1.** For  $n \geq 1$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$

$$P(n) \leq P(i) + P(n - i + 1) + P(i - 1) + P(n - i) + 1. \tag{2.2}$$

**Proof.** The structure of the general equation for  $P(n)$  in (2.1) is the same as the structure of the general equation for  $T(n)$  in (1.1). Thereby, the general construction of the proof is the same as in Lemma 1.1. The substitution of  $n = 2$  and  $n = 1$  gives the same equations and inequalities for  $P(n)$  as in (1.22 – 1.25) for  $T(n)$ . Hence, the special cases only, when extreme values of  $i$  are close to  $n/2$ , need to be checked separately. The statement that is proven is weaker than the statement in Lemma 1.1. We need not prove the inequality as (1.26) for  $i \neq n/2$ .

For this reason, the cases with two unlucky columns in the matrix (1.9) need not be considered. Indeed, in these cases the rest of the columns provide two local strict inequalities. These inequalities give a total difference that increases the difference between the right and the left parts of (2.2) by two at least. On the other hand, inequalities as (1.24) and (1.25) can decrease the difference by not more than two. Hence, (2.2) will hold in these cases. Therefore, the cases with three unlucky columns only have to be considered. As shown in the proof of Lemma 1.1, there are two such cases. In the first case,  $n = 7$  and  $i$  is equal to 3 or 5. In the second case,  $n = 5$  and  $i$  is equal to 2 or 4. According to (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
P(3) &= P(2) + P(2) + P(1) + P(1) + 1 \\
&= 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 1 = 1 \\
P(4) &= P(2) + P(3) + P(1) + P(2) + 1 \\
&= 0 + 1 + 0 + 0 + 1 = 2 \\
P(5) &= P(3) + P(3) + P(2) + P(2) + 1 \\
&= 1 + 1 + 0 + 0 + 1 = 3 \\
P(7) &= P(4) + P(4) + P(3) + P(3) + 1 \\
&= 2 + 2 + 1 + 1 + 1 = 7.
\end{aligned}$$

In the first case, the right part of (2.2) turns into

$$P(3) + P(5) + P(2) + P(4) + 1 = 1 + 3 + 0 + 2 + 1 = 7 = P(7).$$

In the second case, the right part of (2.2) turns into

$$P(2) + P(4) + P(1) + P(3) + 1 = 0 + 2 + 0 + 1 + 1 = 4 > P(5).$$

Thus, (2.1) holds in both special cases and the proof of the lemma is complete. ■

Hence, there are cases when not only values  $n/2$  of  $i$  provide the equality for (2.2). We intend to investigate all such cases. We begin from the special cases, when extreme values of  $i$  are close to  $n/2$ . It is clear that the number of unlucky columns in the matrix (1.9) should be not less than two in these cases. Situations with three unlucky columns were checked in the proof of Lemma 2.1. As shown in the proof of Lemma 1.1, there are two special cases with two unlucky columns. In the first case,  $n = 8$  and  $i$  is equal to 3 or 6. In the second case,  $n = 6$  and  $i$  is

equal to 2 or 5. According to (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
P(6) &= P(3) + P(4) + P(2) + P(3) + 1 \\
&= 1 + 2 + 0 + 1 + 1 = 5 \\
P(8) &= P(4) + P(5) + P(3) + P(4) + 1 \\
&= 2 + 3 + 1 + 2 + 1 = 9.
\end{aligned}$$

In the first case, the right part of (2.2) turns into

$$P(3) + P(6) + P(2) + P(5) + 1 = 1 + 5 + 0 + 3 + 1 = 10 > P(8).$$

In the second case, the right part of (2.2) turns into

$$P(2) + P(5) + P(1) + P(4) + 1 = 0 + 3 + 0 + 2 + 1 = 6 > P(6).$$

Therefore, 7 is the only value of  $n$  that can provide the equality for (2.2) with  $i$  that is not equal to  $n/2$  (*special value of  $n$  or special number*). Corresponding values of  $i$  are 3 or 5, i.e.,  $\frac{n-1}{2}$  or  $\frac{n+3}{2}$ , respectively.

Now, situations when any columns of the matrices (1.18 – 1.21) are  $\varepsilon$ -partitions of  $2 \times 7$  have to be examined. These columns do not guarantee local strict inequalities (*special columns*). The combination of special columns with possible unlucky columns can lead to equalities in (2.2) when  $i \neq n/2$  for new values of  $n$ . Several values of  $n$  in the left part of general equation (2.1) give the appearance of 7 as an argument in the right part. The following corresponding decompositions are possible:

$$\begin{aligned}
n &= 12 : 6, 7, 5, 6 \\
n &= 13 : 7, 7, 6, 6 \\
n &= 14 : 7, 8, 6, 7 \\
n &= 15 : 8, 8, 7, 7 \\
n &= 16 : 8, 9, 7, 8.
\end{aligned}$$

As shown in the proof of Lemma 1.1, matrices for even  $n$  can have not more than two unlucky columns. Hence, matrices for 12 and 16 can have not more than two unlucky columns and one special column. That is, there exists at least one *lucky column* providing a local strict inequality in these cases and, therefore, 12 and 16 cannot be a special numbers. Matrices for 13, 14, and 15 have two special columns. We should perform corresponding computations for the values of  $i$  which



give more than one unlucky column (they are  $\frac{n-1}{2}$  or  $\frac{n+3}{2}$  for odd  $n$  and  $\frac{n}{2}$  or  $\frac{n}{2} + 1$  for even  $n$  — see the tests of the columns in the proof of Lemma 1.1) and to compare the results with  $P(13)$ ,  $P(14)$ , and  $P(15)$ , respectively:

$$\begin{aligned}
P(13) &= \\
P(7) + P(7) + P(6) + P(6) + 1 &= 7 + 7 + 5 + 5 + 1 = 25 \\
P(6) + P(8) + P(5) + P(7) + 1 &= 5 + 9 + 3 + 7 + 1 = 25 = P(13) \\
P(14) &= \\
P(7) + P(8) + P(6) + P(7) + 1 &= 7 + 9 + 5 + 7 + 1 = 29 \\
P(6) + P(9) + P(5) + P(8) + 1 &= 5 + 11 + 3 + 9 + 1 = 29 = P(14) \\
P(15) &= \\
P(8) + P(8) + P(7) + P(7) + 1 &= 9 + 9 + 7 + 7 + 1 = 33 \\
P(7) + P(9) + P(6) + P(8) + 1 &= 7 + 11 + 5 + 9 + 1 = 33 = P(15).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, 13, 14, and 15 are the special numbers also. In principle, it can be shown strictly, by the substitution of corresponding values of  $i$  in the tests of the columns in the proof of Lemma 1.1. The simple computations show that just values of  $i$  which give more than one unlucky column provide such  $\varepsilon$ -partitions of  $2 \times 7$  in the corresponding special columns of the matrices (1.18 – 1.21) that  $j$  is equal to 3 or 5.

Hence, special numbers multiply. Indeed, special columns which are  $\varepsilon$ -partitions of  $2 \times 13$ ,  $2 \times 14$ , and  $2 \times 15$  are the base for appearance of new special numbers. We have the following decompositions:

$$\begin{aligned}
n &= 24 : 12, 13, 11, 12 \\
n &= 25 : 13, 13, 12, 12 \\
n &= 26 : 13, 14, 12, 13 \\
n &= 27 : 14, 14, 13, 13 \\
n &= 28 : 14, 15, 13, 14 \\
n &= 29 : 15, 15, 14, 14 \\
n &= 30 : 15, 16, 14, 15 \\
n &= 31 : 16, 16, 15, 15 \\
n &= 32 : 16, 17, 15, 16.
\end{aligned}$$

Matrices for 24 and 32 have a single special column, and, therefore, 24 and 32 cannot be special numbers. Matrices for other numbers have from two to four

special columns. Corresponding computations show that all values of  $n$  from 25 to 31 are the special numbers. Substituting the neighbors of  $n_{/2}$  for  $i$  provides the equality for (2.2) in these cases. However, for some values of  $n$ , not only the nearest neighbors of  $n_{/2}$  provide the equality for (2.2). The range of such values of  $i$  increases in the middle of a group of special numbers. For example, for  $n = 27$ , the right part of (2.2) is equal to the same number (it is 109) when  $i$  equals 14 (it is  $\frac{n+1}{2}$ , i.e.,  $n_{/2}$ ), 13 or 15 ( $\frac{n-1}{2}$  or  $\frac{n+3}{2}$ ), and 12 or 16 ( $\frac{n-3}{2}$  or  $\frac{n+5}{2}$ ). For  $n = 29$ , the situation is analogous (the result is 125 in all five cases). For  $n = 28$ , the right part of (2.2) is equal to the same number (it is 117) when  $i$  equals 14 or 15 ( $\frac{n}{2}$  or  $\frac{n}{2} + 1$ , i.e.,  $n_{/2}$ ), 13 or 16 ( $\frac{n}{2} - 1$  or  $\frac{n}{2} + 2$ ), and 12 or 16 ( $\frac{n}{2} - 2$  or  $\frac{n}{2} + 3$ ). Notice, that in the odd case, when  $i$  is equal to  $\frac{n-3}{2}$  or  $\frac{n+5}{2}$ , and in the even case, when  $i$  is equal to  $\frac{n}{2} - 2$  or  $\frac{n}{2} + 3$ , there are no unlucky columns at all. All four columns are special in these cases and present the  $\varepsilon$ -partitions which provide corresponding equalities.

The induction method shows that the spreading around  $n_{/2}$  of the values of  $i$  which provide the equality in (2.2) takes place for the infinite number of successively increasing groups of successive special values of  $n$ . The special values of  $n$  are grouped as follows:

$$7, 13 \div 15, 25 \div 31, 49 \div 63, 97 \div 127, 193 \div 255, \dots$$

In the general view, they can be presented in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} n_{first\nu} &\leq n_{sp\nu} \leq n_{last\nu}, \\ n_{first1} &= n_{last1} = 7, \\ n_{first\nu} &= 2n_{first\nu-1} - 1, \\ n_{last\nu} &= 2n_{last\nu-1} + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\nu$  is a number of a group of special numbers;  $n_{sp\nu}$  is a special number of the  $\nu$ -th group;  $n_{first\nu}$  and  $n_{last\nu}$  are the first value and the last value, respectively, in the  $\nu$ -th group. For all these values of  $n$ , not only values  $n_{/2}$  of  $i$  provide the equality for (2.2). Other possible values of  $i$  are concentrated around  $n_{/2}$ . The range of such values of  $i$  increases with the approach to the middle of a group of special values of  $n$ . On the borders of a group, these values of  $i$  are only  $n_{/2}$  and their nearest neighbors. The possible range of corresponding values of  $i$  increases in a transition from a given group to the next one.