OPERATOR LOG-CONVEX FUNCTIONS AND *f*-DIVERGENCE FUNCTIONAL

MOHSEN KIAN

ABSTRACT. We present a characterization of operator log-convex functions by using positive linear mappings. More precisely, we show that the continuous function f: $(0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ is operator log-convex if and only if $f(\Phi(A)) \leq (\Phi(f(A)^{-1}))^{-1}$ for every strictly positive operator A and every unital positive linear map Φ . Moreover, we study the non-commutative f-divergence functional of operator log-convex functions. In particular, we prove that f is operator log-convex if and only if the non-commutative f-divergence functional is operator log-convex in its first variable and operator convex in its second variable.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, assume that $\mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$ is the C^* -algebra of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space \mathscr{H} and I denote the identity operator. An operator $A \in$ $\mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$ is called positive (denoted by $A \ge 0$) if $\langle Ax, x \rangle \ge 0$ for every $x \in \mathscr{H}$. If in addition A is invertible, then it is called strictly positive (denoted by A > 0). A linear map Φ on $\mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$ is said to be positive if $\Phi(A) \ge 0$ whenever $A \ge 0$ and is called unital if $\Phi(I) = I$.

A continuous real function f defined on an interval J is said to be operator convex if

$$f(\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B) \le \lambda f(A) + (1 - \lambda)f(B)$$

for all self-adjoint operators A, B with spectra contained in J and every $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. If -fis operator convex, then f is said to be operator concave. If $f: J \to \mathbb{R}$ is operator convex, then the celebrated Jensen operator inequality $f(C^*AC) \leq C^*f(A)C$ holds true for every self-adjoint operator A with spectrum contained in J and every isometry C (see e.g. [4]). Another variant of this inequality, the Choi–Davis–Jensen inequality asserts that if f is operator convex, then

$$f(\Phi(A)) \le \Phi(f(A)) \tag{1}$$

for every unital positive linear map Φ on $\mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$. The reader is referred to [4, 5, 6] and references therein for more information about operator convex functions and the Jensen operator inequality.

Let \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} be C^* -algebras of Hilbert space operators and T be a locally compact Hausdorff space with a bounded Radon measure μ . A field $(A_t)_{t\in T}$ of operators in \mathfrak{A} is said to be continuous if the function $t \mapsto A_t$ is norm continuous on T. Moreover, if the

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 47A63, 47A64, 15A60, 26D15.

Key words and phrases. operator log-convex function, Non-commutative f-divergence functional, perspective function, positive operator, operator mean, operator convex function.

function $t \mapsto A_t$ is integrable on T, then the Bochner integral $\int_T A_t d\mu(t)$ is defined to be the unique element of \mathfrak{A} for which

$$\rho\left(\int_T A_t d\mu(t)\right) = \int_T \rho(A_t) d\mu(t),$$

for any linear functional ρ in the norm dual \mathfrak{A}^* of \mathfrak{A} .

A field $(\Phi_t)_{t\in T} : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{B}$ of positive linear mappings is said to be continuous if the function $t \mapsto \Phi_t(A)$ is continuous on T for every $A \in \mathfrak{A}$. If the C^* -algebras \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} are unital and the function $t \mapsto \Phi_t(I)$ is integrable on T with integral I, then we say that the field $(\Phi_t)_{t\in T}$ is unital.

By the well-known Kubo–Ando theory [7], an operator mean σ is a binary operation on the set of positive operators which satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) monotonicity: if $A \leq C$ and $B \leq D$, then $A\sigma B \leq C\sigma D$;
- (2) Transformer inequality: $C(A\sigma B)C \leq (CAC)\sigma(CBC)$. If C is invertible, then equality holds.
- (3) Continuity: if A_n and B_n are two decreasing sequences of positive operators which are converging respectively to A and B in the strong operator topology, then $A_n\sigma B_n$ converges to $A\sigma B$.

Kubo and Ando [7] showed that for every operator mean σ there exists an operator monotone function $f: (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ such that

$$A\sigma B = B^{\frac{1}{2}} f\left(B^{\frac{-1}{2}} A B^{\frac{-1}{2}}\right) B^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(2)

for all positive operators A, B. Conversely, they proved that if $f : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ is operator monotone, the binary operation defined by (2) is an operator mean. Some of the most familiar operator means are

$$A\nabla B = \frac{A+B}{2}$$
Arithmetic mean

$$A \sharp B = B^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(B^{\frac{-1}{2}} A B^{\frac{-1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
Geometric mean

$$A \sharp B = \left(\frac{A^{-1} + B^{-1}}{2} \right)^{-1}$$
Harmonic mean.

A continuous real function $f: (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ is called operator log-convex function if

$$f(A\nabla B) \le f(A) \sharp f(B)$$

for all positive operators A and B. This notion was considered by Ando and Hiai [1]. They presented the following result.

Theorem A.[1, Theorem 2.1] Let $f : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ be continuous. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) f is operator decreasing;
- (2) f is operator log-convex;
- (3) $f(A\nabla B) \leq f(A)\sigma f(B)$ for all positive operators A and B and every operator mean σ ;

(4) $f(A\nabla B) \leq f(A)\sigma f(B)$ for all positive operators A and B and some operator mean $\sigma \neq \nabla$.

If f is operator convex, then (2) defines [2, 3] the perspective of f denoted by g, i.e.,

$$g(A,B) = B^{\frac{1}{2}} f\left(B^{-\frac{1}{2}}AB^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) B^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

It is known that f is operator convex if and only if g is jointly operator convex [2, 3]. A more general version of g, the non-commutative f-divergence functional Θ was defined in [8] to be

$$\Theta(\widetilde{A},\widetilde{B}) = \int_T B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} f\left(B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_t B_t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mu(t),$$

where $\widetilde{A} = (A_t)_{t \in T}$ and $\widetilde{B} = (B_t)_{t \in T}$ are continuous fields of strictly positive operators in \mathfrak{A} .

In section 2, we give some properties of operator log-convex functions. We present a characterization of operator log-convex functions by using positive linear mappings. More precisely, we show that the continuous function $f: (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ is operator log-convex if and only if $f(\Phi(A)) \leq (\Phi(f(A)^{-1}))^{-1}$ for every strictly positive operator A and every unital positive linear map Φ .

In section 3, we study the non-commutative f-divergence functional of operator logconvex functions. In particular, we prove that f is operator log-convex if and only if Θ is operator log-convex in its first variable and operator convex in its second variable.

2. Main Result

If f is operator log-convex, then a sharper variant of the Jensen operator inequality holds true. The proof of the next lemma is based on that of [4, Theorem 1.9].

Lemma 2.1. If $f:(0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ is an operator log-convex function, then

$$f(C^*AC) \le (C^*f(A)^{-1}C)^{-1}$$

for every strictly positive operator A and every isometry C provided that $C^*f(A)^{-1}C$ is invertible.

Proof. If A and B are two strictly positive operators in $\mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$, then $X = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ is regarded as a strictly positive operator in $\mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H} \oplus \mathscr{H})$. Set $D = \sqrt{I - CC^*}$ so that operators U and V defined by

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} C & D \\ 0 & -C^* \end{pmatrix}, \qquad V = \begin{pmatrix} C & -D \\ 0 & C^* \end{pmatrix},$$

are unitary operators in $\mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H} \oplus \mathscr{H})$ and

$$U^*XU = \begin{pmatrix} C^*AC & C^*AD \\ DAC & DAD + CBC^* \end{pmatrix}, \qquad V^*XV = \begin{pmatrix} C^*AC & -C^*AD \\ -DAC & DAD + CBC^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

M. KIAN

Therefore

$$\begin{pmatrix} f(C^*AC) & 0 \\ 0 & f(DAD + CBC^*) \end{pmatrix} = f \begin{pmatrix} C^*AC & 0 \\ 0 & DAD + CBC^* \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= f \left(\frac{U^*XU + V^*XV}{2} \right)$$

$$\leq f(U^*XU)!f(V^*XV) \quad \text{(since } f \text{ is operator log-convex)}$$

$$= (U^*f(X)U)!(V^*f(X)V) \quad \text{(since } U, V \text{ are unitary})$$

$$= \left(\frac{(U^*f(X)U)^{-1} + (V^*f(X)V)^{-1}}{2} \right)^{-1}$$

$$= \left(\frac{U^*f(X)^{-1}U + V^*f(X)^{-1}V}{2} \right)^{-1}$$

$$= \left(\frac{C^*f(A)^{-1}C}{0} \quad 0 \\ 0 \quad Df(A)^{-1}D + Cf(B)^{-1}C^* \right)^{-1}$$
Hence $f(C^*AC) \leq (C^*f(A)^{-1}C)^{-1}$.

 $J(\mathbf{r})$ $f) \leq (C f(A) C)$

Note that the operator convexity of $f(x) = x^{-1}$ implies that

$$f(C^*AC) \le (C^*f(A)^{-1}C)^{-1} \le C^*f(A)C.$$

Corollary 2.2. If $f: (0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ is an operator log-convex function and A_1, \cdots, A_n are strictly positive operators, then

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} C_{i}^{*} A_{i} C_{i}\right) \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} C_{i}^{*} f(A_{i})^{-1} C_{i}\right)^{-1}$$

for all operators C_i $(i = 1, \dots, n)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n C_i^* C_i = I$.

Proof. Apply Lemma 2.1 to the strictly positive operator $A = A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_n$ and the isometry $C = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 \\ \vdots \\ C_n \end{pmatrix}$.

We can present the following characterization of operator log-convex functions using positive linear mappings.

Theorem 2.3. A continuous function $f: (0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ is operator log-convex if and only if

$$f(\Phi(A)) \le \Phi\left(f(A)^{-1}\right)^{-1} \tag{3}$$

for every unital positive linear map Φ and every strictly positive operator A.

Proof. Suppose that A is a strictly positive operator an a finite dimensional Hilbert space \mathscr{H} with the spectral decomposition $A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i P_i$. If Φ is a unital positive linear map on $\mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$, then $\Phi(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \Phi(P_i)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi(P_i) = I$. Therefore

$$f(\Phi(A)) = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \Phi(P_i)\right) = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi(P_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda_i \Phi(P_i)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi(P_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} f(\lambda_i)^{-1} \Phi(P_i)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{-1} \quad \text{(by Corollary 2.2)}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(\lambda_i)^{-1} \Phi(P_i)\right)^{-1}$$

$$= \Phi\left(f(A)^{-1}\right)^{-1}.$$

If A is a strictly positive operator on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, then (3) follows by using a continuity argument.

For the converse assume that (3) holds true. put

$$\mathfrak{D}(\mathscr{H}\oplus\mathscr{H}) = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{array} \right); \quad A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H}) \right\}.$$

Then $\mathfrak{D}(\mathscr{H} \oplus \mathscr{H})$ is a unital closed *-subalgebra of $\mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H} \oplus \mathscr{H})$. Let the unital positive linear map Ψ be defined on $\mathfrak{D}(\mathscr{H} \oplus \mathscr{H})$ by

$$\Psi\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}A&0\\0&B\end{array}\right)\right)=\frac{A+B}{2}.$$

Now if A and B are two strictly positive operators on \mathcal{H} , then it follows from (3) that

$$\begin{split} f(A\nabla B) &= f\left(\Psi\left(\begin{pmatrix} A & 0\\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}\right)\right) \leq \Psi\left(f\left(\begin{array}{c} A & 0\\ 0 & B \end{array}\right)^{-1}\right)^{-1} \\ &= \Psi\left(\left(\begin{array}{c} f(A)^{-1} & 0\\ 0 & f(B)^{-1} \end{array}\right)\right)^{-1} = \left(\frac{f(A)^{-1} + f(B)^{-1}}{2}\right)^{-1} \\ &= f(A)!f(B) \leq f(A)\sharp f(B), \end{split}$$

Which implies that f is operator log-convex.

Note that it follows from the operator convexity of $x \to x^{-1}$ that

$$f(\Phi(A)) \le \Phi\left(f(A)^{-1}\right)^{-1} \le \Phi(f(A)).$$

Let us give an example to show that in the case of operator log-convex functions, inequality (3) is really sharper than the Choi–Davis–Jensen inequality.

Example 2.4. The function $f(x) = x^{\frac{-1}{2}}$ is operator log-convex on $(0, \infty)$. Assume that the unital positive linear map $\Phi : \mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is defined by

$$\Phi((a_{ij})) = (a_{ij})_{2 \le i,j \le 3}.$$

If $A \in \mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$ is the positive matrix

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{rrr} 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 \end{array}\right),$$

then by a simple calculation we have

$$f(\Phi(A)) = \begin{pmatrix} 1.1945 & -0.2706 \\ -0.2706 & 0.6533 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \Phi\left(f(A)^{-1}\right)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1.2192 & -0.2933 \\ -0.2933 & 0.6760 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\Phi(f(A)) = \begin{pmatrix} 1.2420 & -0.3261 \\ -0.3261 & 0.7234 \end{pmatrix}$$

and so

$$f(\Phi(A)) \leqq \Phi\left(f(A)^{-1}\right)^{-1} \leqq \Phi(f(A)).$$

Corollary 2.5. If Φ is a unital positive linear map and A is a strictly positive operator, then

(1) $\Phi(A)^{-\alpha} \leq \Phi(A^{\alpha})^{-1} \leq \Phi(A^{-\alpha})$ for all $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. (2) $\Phi(A^{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \leq \Phi(A^{-1})^{-1} \leq \Phi(A)$ for all $\alpha \leq -1$.

Proof. (1): follows from the operator log-convexity of $t^{-\alpha}$.

(2): the function $t \to t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ is operator log-convex. So it follows from Theorem 2.3 that $\Phi(A)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \leq \left(\Phi\left(A^{\frac{-1}{\alpha}}\right)\right)^{-1}$. Replacing A by A^{α} we get desired inequality. \Box

Corollary 2.6. Let Φ_1, \dots, Φ_n be positive linear mappings on $\mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(I) = I$. If $f: (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ is an operator log-convex function, then

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_i(A_i)\right) \le \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_i\left(f(A_i)^{-1}\right)\right)^{-1}$$

for all strictly positive operators A_1, \dots, A_n .

Proof. Apply Theorem 2.3 to the strictly positive operator $A = A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_n$ and the unital positive linear map $\Phi : \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathscr{H}) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$ defined by $\Phi(A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(A_i)$.

The next result shows that every operator log-convex function is sub-additive.

Proposition 2.7. If $f : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ is an operator log-convex function, then f is sub-additive. More precisely

$$f(A+B) \le f(A) \sharp f(B) \le f(A) + f(B)$$

for all strictly positive operators A, B.

Proof. Assume that A and B are strictly positive operators. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(A+B) &= f((2A)\nabla(2B)) \leq f(2A) \sharp f(2B) \\ &\leq f(A) \sharp f(B) \qquad \text{(by (1) of Theorem A)} \\ &\leq f(A)\nabla f(B) \qquad \text{(by the A-G inequality)} \\ &\leq f(A) + f(B). \end{aligned}$$

3. The non-commutative f-divergence functional

Let X_1, \dots, X_n and Y_1, \dots, Y_n be *n*-tuples of positive operators on \mathscr{H} . If follows from the jointly operator concavity of the operator geometric mean that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \sharp Y_i \le \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i\right) \sharp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i\right).$$
(4)

This inequality is known as the operator version of the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality.

To achieve our result, we need a more general version of (4). Assume that $(A_t)_{t \in T}$ and $(B_t)_{t \in T}$ are continuous fields of strictly positive operators in \mathfrak{A} . We can generalize (4) as

$$\int_{T} (A_t \sharp B_t) d\mu(t) \le \left(\int_{T} A_t d\mu(t) \right) \sharp \left(\int_{T} B_t d\mu(t) \right).$$

Lemma 3.1. If $(A_t)_{t\in T}$ and $(B_t)_{t\in T}$ are continuous fields of strictly positive operators in \mathfrak{A} , then

$$\int_{T} (A_t \sharp B_t) d\mu(t) \le \left(\int_{T} A_t d\mu(t) \right) \sharp \left(\int_{T} B_t d\mu(t) \right).$$

Proof. Put $A = \int_T A_t d\mu(t)$ and $B = \int_T B_t d\mu(t)$. we have

$$\left(B^{-\frac{1}{2}}AB^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\left(\int_{T} B_{s}d\mu(s)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\int_{T} A_{t}d\mu(t)\left(\int_{T} B_{s}d\mu(s)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \left(\int_{T} \left(\int_{T} B_{s}d\mu(s)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} B_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}}(B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}A_{t}B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}})B_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{T} B_{s}d\mu(s)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}d\mu(t)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \left(\int_{T} C_{t}^{*}(B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}A_{t}B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}})C_{t}d\mu(t)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$(5)$$

where $C = B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_T B_s d\mu(s) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ so that $\int_T C_t^* C_t d\mu(t) = I$. It follows from the operator concavity of the function $t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ that

$$\left(\int_{T} C_{t}^{*} (B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_{t} B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}) C_{t} d\mu(t)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\geq \int_{T} C_{t}^{*} \left(B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_{t} B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} C_{t} d\mu(t) \qquad \text{(by the operator Jensen inequality)}$$

$$= \left(\int_{T} B_{s} d\mu(s)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{T} B_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_{t} B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} B_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mu(t) \left(\int_{T} B_{s} d\mu(s)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{6}$$

It follows that from (5) and (6) that

$$\left(B^{-\frac{1}{2}}AB^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge B^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{T} B_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}A_{t}B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} B_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}}d\mu(t)\right) B^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

from which we get the desired result.

Now we present a property of the non-commutative f-divergence functional of an operator log-convex function.

Theorem 3.2. A continuous function $f : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ is operator log-convex function if and only if

$$\Theta\left(\widetilde{A}\nabla\widetilde{C},\widetilde{B}\nabla\widetilde{D}\right) \le \left(\Theta\left(\widetilde{A},\widetilde{B}\right)\nabla\Theta\left(\widetilde{A},\widetilde{D}\right)\right) \sharp\left(\Theta\left(\widetilde{C},\widetilde{B}\right)\nabla\Theta\left(\widetilde{C},\widetilde{D}\right)\right)$$
(7)

for all continuous fields $\widetilde{A} = (A_t)_{t \in T}, \widetilde{B} = (B_t)_{t \in T}, \widetilde{C} = (C_t)_{t \in T}$ and $\widetilde{D} = (D_t)_{t \in T}$ of strictly positive operators in \mathfrak{A} .

Proof. First we show that if f is operator log-convex on $(0, \infty)$, then Θ is operator logconvex in its first variable. Assume that $\widetilde{A} = (A_t)_{t \in T}, \widetilde{B} = (B_t)_{t \in T}, \widetilde{C} = (C_t)_{t \in T}$ and $\widetilde{D} = (D_t)_{t \in T}$ are continuous fields of strictly positive operators in \mathfrak{A} . For every $t \in T$

$$f\left(B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(A_{t}\nabla C_{t})B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) = f\left(\left(B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}A_{t}B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\nabla\left(B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}C_{t}B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right)$$
$$\leq f\left(B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}A_{t}B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\sharp f\left(B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}C_{t}B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right),\tag{8}$$

where we use the operator log-convexity of f. Multiplying both sides of (8) by $B_t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ we get

$$B_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}}f\left(B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(A_{t}\nabla C_{t})B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)B_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq B_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(f\left(B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}A_{t}B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\sharp f\left(B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}C_{t}B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right)B_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}} \qquad (9)$$
$$=B_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}}f\left(B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}A_{t}B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)B_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}}\sharp B_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}}f\left(B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}C_{t}B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)B_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The last equality follows from the property of (geometric) means. Integrating (9) over T and using Lemma 3.1 we obtain

$$\int_{T} B_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}} f\left(B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(A_{t}\nabla C_{t})B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) B_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mu(t)
\leq \int_{T} \left(B_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}} f\left(B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}A_{t}B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) B_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}} \sharp B_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}} f\left(B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}C_{t}B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) B_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) d\mu(t) \quad \text{(by (9))}
\leq \left(\int_{T} B_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}} f\left(B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}A_{t}B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) B_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mu(t)\right) \sharp \left(\int_{T} B_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}} f\left(B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}C_{t}B_{t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) B_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mu(t)\right),$$

i.e.,

$$\Theta\left(\widetilde{A}\nabla\widetilde{C},\widetilde{B}\right) \leq \Theta\left(\widetilde{A},\widetilde{B}\right) \sharp \Theta\left(\widetilde{C},\widetilde{B}\right).$$
(10)

Therefore

$$\begin{split} \Theta\left(\widetilde{A}\nabla\widetilde{C},\widetilde{B}\nabla\widetilde{D}\right) &\leq \Theta\left(\widetilde{A},\widetilde{B}\nabla\widetilde{D}\right) \sharp\Theta\left(\widetilde{C},\widetilde{B}\nabla\widetilde{D}\right) \qquad \text{(by (10))} \\ &\leq \left(\Theta\left(\widetilde{A},\widetilde{B}\right)\nabla\Theta\left(\widetilde{A},\widetilde{D}\right)\right) \sharp\left(\Theta\left(\widetilde{C},\widetilde{B}\right)\nabla\Theta\left(\widetilde{C},\widetilde{D}\right)\right). \end{split}$$

The last inequality follows from the joint operator convexity of Θ [8] and monotonicity of operator means.

Assume for the converse that Θ satisfies (7). Let $T = \{1\}$ and μ be the counting measure on T. Let A and C be strictly positive operators in \mathfrak{A} . Then

$$f(A\nabla C) = \Theta(A\nabla C, I) \le \Theta(A, I) \sharp \Theta(C, I) = f(A) \sharp f(C),$$

which means that f is operator log-convex.

Remark 3.3. Infact Theorem 3.2 assert that f is operator log-convex if and only if the non-commutative f-divergence functional Θ is operator log-convex in its first variable and operator convex in its second variable.

Corollary 3.4. A continuous non-negative function f is operator log-convex function if and only if the associated perspective function g is operator log-convex function in its first variable and operator convex in its second variable.

The next theorem provides a Choi–Davis–Jensen type inequality for perspectives of operator log-convex functions.

Theorem 3.5. Let $f: (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ be a continuous function and g be the associated perspective function. Then f is operator log-convex if and only if

$$g\left(\int_{T} \Phi_t(A_t \nabla C_t) d\mu(t), \int_{T} \Phi_t(B_t) d\mu(t)\right) \le \left(\int_{T} \Phi_t(g(A_t, B_t)) d\mu(t)\right) \sharp \left(\int_{T} \Phi_t(g(C_t, B_t)) d\mu(t)\right)$$
(11)

for all unital fields $\widetilde{\Phi} = (\Phi_t)_{t \in T} : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{B}$ of positive linear maps and all continuous fields $\widetilde{A} = (A_t)_{t \in T}, \widetilde{B} = (B_t)_{t \in T}$ and $\widetilde{C} = (C_t)_{t \in T}$ of strictly positive operators in \mathfrak{A} .

Proof. Assume that f is operator log convex. Then f is operator convex and so g is jointly operator convex [3, 2]. Put $X = \int_T \Phi_s(B_s) d\mu(s)$ and let the continuous filed of positive linear mappings $(\Psi_t)_{t\in T} : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{B}$ be defined by

$$\Psi_t(Y) = X^{\frac{-1}{2}} \Phi_t \left(B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} Y B_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) X^{\frac{-1}{2}}$$

so that $\int_T \Psi_t(I) d\mu(t) = I$. Therefore

$$\begin{split} g\left(\int_{T} \Phi_{t}(A_{t}\nabla C_{t})d\mu(t), \int_{T} \Phi_{t}(B_{t})d\mu(t)\right) &= X^{\frac{1}{2}}f\left(X^{\frac{-1}{2}}\int_{T} \Phi_{t}(A_{t}\nabla C_{t})d\mu(t)X^{\frac{-1}{2}}\right)X^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= X^{\frac{1}{2}}f\left(\int_{T} \Psi_{t}\left(B_{t}^{\frac{-1}{2}}(A_{t}\nabla C_{t})B_{t}^{\frac{-1}{2}}\right)d\mu(t)\right)X^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq X^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{T} \Psi_{t}\left(f\left(B_{t}^{\frac{-1}{2}}(A_{t}\nabla C_{t})B_{t}^{\frac{-1}{2}}\right)\right)d\mu(t)\right)X^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (\text{ by the Jensen operator inequality}) \\ &= \int_{T} \Phi_{t}\left(B_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}}f\left(B_{t}^{\frac{-1}{2}}(A_{t}\nabla C_{t})B_{t}^{\frac{-1}{2}}\right)B_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \int_{T} \Phi_{t}(g(A_{t}\nabla C_{t},B_{t}))d\mu(t) \qquad (\text{by Corollary 3.4}) \\ &\leq \int_{T} \Phi_{t}(g(A_{t},B_{t}))\sharp \Phi_{t}(g(C_{t},B_{t}))d\mu(t) \qquad (\text{by operator concavity of }\sharp) \\ &\leq \left(\int_{T} \Phi_{t}(g(A_{t},B_{t}))d\mu(t)\right) \ddagger \left(\int_{T} \Phi_{t}(g(C_{t},B_{t}))d\mu(t)\right) \qquad (\text{by Lemma 3.1}). \end{split}$$

For the converse, put $T = \{1\}$ and let μ be the counting measure on T. If A and C are strictly positive, then with $\Phi(A) = A$ and B = I, inequality (11) implies the operator log-convexity of f.

Example 3.6. Let the operator log-convex function $f : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ be defined by $f(t) = t^{-1}$. It follows from Theorem 3.5 that

$$g(\Phi(A\nabla C), \Phi(B)) \le \Phi(g(A, B)) \sharp \Phi(g(C, B))$$

or equivalently

$$\Phi(B)\Phi(A\nabla C)^{-1}\Phi(B) \le \Phi(BA^{-1}B)\sharp\Phi(BC^{-1}B).$$

Therefore

$$\Phi(A\nabla C)^{-1} \le \Phi(B)^{-1} \left(\Phi(BA^{-1}B) \sharp \Phi(BC^{-1}B) \right) \Phi(B)^{-1}$$

$$= \Phi(B)^{-1} \Phi(BA^{-1}B) \Phi(B)^{-1} \sharp \Phi(B)^{-1} \Phi(BC^{-1}B) \Phi(B)^{-1}.$$
(12)

Note that it follows from the operator convexity of f that

$$\begin{split} \Phi(A\nabla C)^{-1} &= \left(\frac{\Phi(A) + \Phi(C)}{2}\right)^{-1} \\ &\leq \frac{\Phi(A)^{-1} + \Phi(C)^{-1}}{2} \qquad \text{(by operator convexity of } f(t) = t^{-1}\text{)} \\ &\leq \frac{\Phi\left(A^{-1}\right) + \Phi\left(C^{-1}\right)}{2} \qquad \text{(by operator convexity of } f(t) = t^{-1}\text{)}, \end{split}$$

while with B = I, inequality (12) provides a sharper inequality:

$$\Phi(A\nabla C)^{-1} \le \Phi(A^{-1}) \, \sharp \Phi(C^{-1}) \le \frac{\Phi(A^{-1}) + \Phi(C^{-1})}{2}.$$

Corollary 3.7. Let A, B, C be strictly positive operators. If f is an operator log-convex function and g is its perspective function, then

$$g\left(\left\langle \frac{A+C}{2}x,x\right\rangle,\langle Bx,x
ight\rangle\right) \leq \sqrt{\langle g(A,B)x,x\rangle\langle g(C,B)x,x\rangle}$$

for every unit vector x.

Example 3.8. Applying Corollary 3.7 to the operator log-convex function $f(t) = t^{-1}$ defined on $(0, \infty)$ we get

$$\langle Bx, x \rangle \left\langle \frac{A+C}{2} x, x \right\rangle^{-1} \langle Bx, x \rangle \leq \sqrt{\langle BA^{-1}Bx, x \rangle \langle BC^{-1}Bx, x \rangle} \\ \leq \left\langle B\left(\frac{A^{-1}+C^{-1}}{2}\right) Bx, x \right\rangle$$

for every unit vector x.

References

- T. Ando and F. Hiai, Operator log-convex functions and operator means, arXiv:0911.5267v4 [math.FA], (2010).
- A. Ebadian, E. Nikoufar and M.E. Gordji, *Perspectives of matrix convex functions*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 108 (2011), no. 18 7313–7314.
- E.G. Effros, A matrix convexity approach to some celebrated quantum inequalities, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 106 (2009), no. 4, 1006–1008.
- T. Furuta, H. Mićić, J. Pečarić and Y. Seo, Mond-Pecaric Method in Operator Inequalities, Zagreb, Element, 2005.
- F. Hansen, J. Pečarić and I. Perić, Jensen's operator inequality and its converses, Math. Scand. 100 (2007), no. 1, 61–73.
- 6. M. Kian and M.S. Moslehian, *Operator inequalities related to Q-class functions*, Math. Slovaca, (to appear).
- 7. F. Kudo and T. Ando, Means of positive linear operators, Math. Ann. 246 (1980), 205–224.
- M.S. Moslehian and M. Kian, Non-commutative f-divergence functional, Math. Nachr., 1–16 (2013), DOI 10.1002/mana.201200194.

Mohsen Kian: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Basic Sciences, University of Bo-JNORD, P.O. Box 1339, Bojnord 94531, Iran.

E-mail address: kian@member.ams.org and kian@ub.ac.ir.