

**ON THE HOMOLOGY OF THE MODULI SPACE OF PLANE
SHEAVES WITH HILBERT POLYNOMIAL $5m + 3$**

MARIO MAICAN

ABSTRACT. We compute the Hodge numbers of the moduli space of semi-stable sheaves on the complex projective plane supported on quintic curves and having Euler characteristic 3. For this purpose we study the fixed-point set for a certain torus action on the moduli space.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(r, \chi)$ denote the moduli space of semi-stable sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^2 = \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$ having Hilbert polynomial $P(m) = rm + \chi$. Our goal is to determine the additive structure of the singular homology groups with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} for $M = M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(5, 3)$, which, according to [9], is a smooth projective variety of dimension 26. We refer to the introductory section of [5] for an overview of the present state of research into the geometry of the moduli spaces $M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(r, \chi)$. The Poincaré polynomial of $M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(5, 3)$ has already been computed in [12] by means of a cellular decomposition. We will use, instead, the Białynicki-Birula method [1, 2, 3], which has the advantage of yielding the Hodge numbers, as well. This method consists of determining the T -fixed locus and the T -representation of the tangent spaces at the fixed points for the action of a torus T on a smooth projective variety. We refer to [5, Section 2] for a brief outline of the Białynicki-Birula method. In [5, Sections 5, 6] this method was used to study the homology of $M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(4, 1)$, relying on a stratification by strata that are easily understood as geometric quotients. We will apply the same technique to $M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(5, 3)$. We will use the stratification of this moduli space provided in [11], which we recall at the beginning of Section 2. The action of T on each stratum is easy to study because, as mentioned, the strata are geometric quotients. More challenging is the problem of determining the T -representation of the normal spaces to the strata, which we solve at Propositions 3.3.1 and 3.4.1. Denote

$$T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^3 / \{(c, c, c), c \in \mathbb{C}^*\}.$$

Let T act on \mathbb{P}^2 by

$$(t_0, t_1, t_2) \cdot (x_0, x_1, x_2) = (t_0^{-1}x_0, t_1^{-1}x_1, t_2^{-1}x_2).$$

Denote by $\mu_t: \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ the map of multiplication by $t \in T$. Our main result below concerns the action of T on $M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(5, 3)$ given by

$$t[\mathcal{F}] = [\mu_{t^{-1}}^* \mathcal{F}],$$

where $[\mathcal{F}]$ denotes the stable-equivalence class of a sheaf \mathcal{F} .

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14D22.

Key words and phrases. Semi-stable sheaves, Białynicki-Birula decomposition, Betti numbers, Hodge numbers.

Theorem. *The fixed point locus of $M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(5, 3)$ consists of 1329 isolated points, 174 projective lines, and three isomorphic surfaces obtained by blowing up $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ at three points on the diagonal, then blowing down the strict transform of the diagonal. The integral homology groups of $M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(5, 3)$ have no torsion and its Poincaré polynomial is*

$$\begin{aligned} P(x) = & x^{52} + 2x^{50} + 6x^{48} + 13x^{46} + 26x^{44} + 45x^{42} + 68x^{40} + 87x^{38} + 100x^{36} \\ & + 107x^{34} + 111x^{32} + 112x^{30} + 113x^{28} + 113x^{26} + 113x^{24} + 112x^{22} + 111x^{20} \\ & + 107x^{18} + 100x^{16} + 87x^{14} + 68x^{12} + 45x^{10} + 26x^8 + 13x^6 + 6x^4 + 2x^2 + 1. \end{aligned}$$

The Euler characteristic of $M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(5, 3)$ is 1695 and its Hodge numbers satisfy the relations

$$h^{p,q} = 0 \quad \text{if } p \neq q \quad \text{and} \quad h^{p,p} = b_{2p},$$

where b_{2p} are the Betti numbers from above.

Our calculation of the Poincaré polynomial agrees thus with [12]. Intriguingly, this is the same as the Poincaré polynomial of $M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(5, 1)$, computed in [12] and [4]. This raises the question whether $M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(5, 1)$ and $M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(5, 3)$ are (canonically) isomorphic. Such an isomorphism would imply that there is only one smooth moduli space of semi-stable sheaves supported on plane quintics. Indeed, by duality [10], $M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(r, \chi) \simeq M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(r, -\chi)$. (Thus, what we say in this paper about $M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(5, 3)$ is equally valid for $M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(5, -3)$, $M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(5, 2)$, etc.)

2. THE TORUS FIXED LOCUS

For the convenience of the reader we recall from [11] the classification of semi-stable sheaves on \mathbb{P}^2 having Hilbert polynomial $5m + 3$. In $M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(5, 3)$ we have an open stratum M_0 , two locally closed strata M_1 and M_2 of codimension 2, 3, and a closed stratum M_3 of codimension 4. Each M_{i+1} is contained in the closure of M_i . The open stratum consists of sheaves \mathcal{F} having a presentation of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow 2\mathcal{O}(-2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1) \xrightarrow{\varphi} 3\mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where φ is not equivalent to a morphism represented by a matrix having one of the following forms:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \star & \star & \star \\ \star & \star & 0 \\ \star & \star & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{bmatrix} \star & \star & \star \\ \star & \star & \star \\ \star & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We denote by M_{01} the closed subset of M_0 given by the condition that the entries of φ_{12} span a vector space of dimension 2 in V^* . Furthermore, inside M_{01} we distinguish the open subset (in the relative topology) M_{010} of cokernels of morphisms of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \star & \star & l_1 \\ \star & \star & l_2 \\ q_1 & q_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $l_1, l_2 \in V^*$ are linearly independent and q_1, q_2 have no common factor. The complement $M_{011} = M_{01} \setminus M_{010}$ is given by the condition that q_1 and q_2 have a common linear factor.

The sheaves giving points in M_1 are precisely those sheaves \mathcal{F} having a resolution of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow 2\mathcal{O}(-2) \oplus 2\mathcal{O}(-1) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus 3\mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\varphi_{12} = 0$, φ_{11} has linearly independent entries and φ_{22} has linearly independent maximal minors. Denote by $M_{10} \subset M_1$ the open subset (in the relative topology) given by the condition that the maximal minors of φ_{22} have no common factor. The complement $M_{11} = M_1 \setminus M_{10}$ is given by the condition that the maximal minors of φ_{22} have a common linear factor.

The points $[\mathcal{F}]$ in M_2 are given by exact sequences of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow 3\mathcal{O}(-2) \xrightarrow{\varphi} 2\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where φ_{11} has linearly independent maximal minors. Denote by M_{20} the open subset (in the relative topology) given by the condition that the maximal minors of φ_{11} have no common factor. The sheaves giving points in M_{20} are of the form $\mathcal{O}_Q(-\mathcal{Z})^p(-1)$, where $\mathcal{O}_Q(-\mathcal{Z}) \subset \mathcal{O}_Q$ is the ideal sheaf of a zero-dimensional scheme \mathcal{Z} of length 3 contained in a quintic curve Q , where \mathcal{Z} is not contained in a line. We write $\mathcal{O}_Q(\mathcal{Z})(1) = \mathcal{O}_Q(-\mathcal{Z})^p(-1)$. The ideal of \mathcal{Z} is generated by the maximal minors of φ_{11} and Q is given by the equation $\det(\varphi) = 0$. Denote $M_{21} = M_2 \setminus M_{20}$. The sheaves \mathcal{F} giving points in M_{21} are precisely the extension sheaves

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_L \longrightarrow 0$$

satisfying $H^1(\mathcal{F}) = 0$. Here C is a quartic curve and L is a line. In fact, L is given by the equation $l = 0$, where l is the common divisor of the maximal minors of φ_{11} , and C is given by the equation $\det(\varphi)/l = 0$.

Finally, the sheaves \mathcal{F} in the closed stratum are cokernels of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-3) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where φ_{12} is non-zero and does not divide φ_{22} . Equivalently, the sheaves giving points in M_3 are of the form $\mathcal{O}_Q(-\mathcal{Y})(2)$, where $\mathcal{O}_Q(-\mathcal{Y}) \subset \mathcal{O}_Q$ is the ideal sheaf of a zero-dimensional scheme \mathcal{Y} of length 2 contained in a quintic curve Q . Here \mathcal{Y} is given by the ideal $(\varphi_{12}, \varphi_{22})$ and Q is the zero-set of $\det(\varphi)$.

We denote by W_i the set of morphisms φ as above whose cokernels give points in M_i . The ambient vector space containing W_i is denoted by \mathbb{W}_i . For instance

$$\mathbb{W}_0 = \text{Hom}(2\mathcal{O}(-2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1), 3\mathcal{O}).$$

We denote by G_0, G_1, G_2, G_3 the obvious algebraic groups acting by conjugation on \mathbb{W}_i . For instance

$$G_0 = (\text{Aut}(2\mathcal{O}(-2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)) \times \text{Aut}(3\mathcal{O}))/\mathbb{C}^*,$$

where \mathbb{C}^* is embedded as the subgroup of homotheties. According to [11], each M_i is a geometric quotient of W_i by G_i . In particular, the fibres of the canonical map $W_i \rightarrow M_i$ are precisely the G_i -orbits.

2.1. Fixed points in $M_0 \setminus M_{01}$. Consider a T -fixed sheaf \mathcal{F} that is the cokernel of a morphism

$$\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} q_{11} & q_{12} & X \\ q_{21} & q_{22} & Y \\ q_{31} & q_{32} & Z \end{bmatrix}. \quad \text{For all } t \in T \text{ we have } \quad t\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} tq_{11} & tq_{12} & t_0X \\ tq_{21} & tq_{22} & t_1Y \\ tq_{31} & tq_{32} & t_2Z \end{bmatrix}.$$

Performing, possibly, column operations on φ , we may assume that q_{11} and q_{12} are in $\mathbb{C}[Y, Z]$. Since the fibres of the map $W_0 \rightarrow M_0$ are the G_0 -orbits, we deduce

that there is $(g(t), h(t)) \in G_0$ such that $t\varphi = h(t)\varphi g(t)$. Clearly, we may assume that

$$g(t) = \begin{bmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} & 0 \\ g_{21} & g_{22} & 0 \\ u_1 & u_2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad h(t) = \begin{bmatrix} t_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

From the relations

$$\begin{aligned} tq_{11} &= t_0(q_{11}g_{11} + q_{12}g_{21} + Xu_1), \\ tq_{12} &= t_0(q_{11}g_{12} + q_{12}g_{22} + Xu_2) \end{aligned}$$

we deduce that $u_1 = 0$ and $u_2 = 0$. Writing

$$q_{11} = a_1Y^2 + b_1Z^2 + c_1YZ, \quad q_{12} = a_2Y^2 + b_2Z^2 + c_2YZ,$$

the above relations are equivalent to the equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} t_1^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t_2^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t_1t_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ b_1 & b_2 \\ c_1 & c_2 \end{bmatrix} = t_0 \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ b_1 & b_2 \\ c_1 & c_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$

2.1.1. *Case when q_{11}, q_{12} are linearly independent modulo X .* We may assume a priori that one of the matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ b_1 & b_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ c_1 & c_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & b_2 \\ c_1 & c_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

is the identity matrix. In the first case we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} t_0^{-1}t_1^2 & 0 \\ 0 & t_0^{-1}t_2^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For any $t \in T$ we have $tq_{21} = t_0^{-1}t_1^3q_{21}$. This shows that $q_{21} = 0$. Analogously $q_{22} = 0$, $q_{31} = 0$, $q_{32} = 0$, hence $\det(\varphi) = 0$, which contradicts our choice of φ . In the other two cases we arrive at the same contradiction. We conclude that there are no T -fixed points in $M_0 \setminus M_{01}$ for which q_{11} and q_{12} are linearly independent modulo X . Analogously, there are no fixed points for which q_{21}, q_{22} are linearly independent modulo Y or for which q_{31}, q_{32} are linearly independent modulo Z .

2.1.2. *Case when q_{11}, q_{12} are linearly dependent but not both zero modulo X .* Assume that $q_{12} = 0$. For each $t \in T$, $tq_{11} = t_0q_{11}g_{11}(t)$, hence q_{11} is a monomial, say Y^jZ^k , and $g_{11}(t) = t_0^{-1}t_1^j t_2^k$. Since $0 = tq_{12} = t_0q_{11}g_{12}$, we get $g_{12} = 0$. Thus $tq_{22} = t_1q_{22}g_{22}$ and $tq_{32} = t_2q_{32}g_{22}$, hence q_{22}, q_{32} are monomials or zero. We have the relations

$$\begin{aligned} tq_{21} &= t_0^{-1}t_1^{j+1}t_2^k q_{21} + t_1q_{22}g_{21}, \\ tq_{31} &= t_0^{-1}t_1^j t_2^{k+1} q_{31} + t_2q_{32}g_{21}. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing t such that $t_0^{-1}t_1^{j+1}t_2^k, t_0^{-1}t_1^j t_2^{k+1}$ are different from $t_0^2, t_1^2, t_2^2, t_0t_1, t_0t_2, t_1t_2$, we see that q_{21} is a multiple of q_{22} if $q_{22} \neq 0$ and q_{31} is a multiple of q_{32} if $q_{32} \neq 0$. In the first case, performing possibly column operations on φ , we may assume a priori that $q_{21} = 0$. From the first relation above we get $g_{21} = 0$. Likewise, in the second case, we may assume that $g_{21} = 0$. For all $t \in T$ we have

$$tq_{21} = t_0^{-1}t_1^{j+1}t_2^k q_{21}, \quad tq_{31} = t_0^{-1}t_1^j t_2^{k+1} q_{31},$$

forcing $q_{21} = 0$, $q_{31} = 0$. If both q_{22} and q_{32} are non-zero, then

$$t \frac{q_{22}}{q_{32}} = \frac{tq_{22}}{tq_{32}} = \frac{t_1 q_{22} g_{22}}{t_2 q_{32} g_{22}} = t_1 t_2^{-1} \frac{q_{22}}{q_{32}}, \quad \text{so } \frac{q_{22}}{q_{32}} \text{ is a multiple of } YZ^{-1},$$

i.e. there are $l \in \{X, Y, Z\}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $q_{22} = alY$, $q_{32} = blZ$. We obtain nine isolated T -fixed points represented by the matrices

$$\alpha(q_1, q_2) = \begin{bmatrix} q_1 & 0 & X \\ 0 & q_2 & Y \\ 0 & 0 & Z \end{bmatrix}, \quad q_1 \in \{Y^2, YZ, Z^2\}, \quad q_2 \in \{X^2, XZ, Z^2\},$$

and eighteen other points if we swap X and Z , respectively Y and Z . We obtain nine affine lines of T -fixed points represented by the matrices

$$\beta(q, l)(a, b) = \begin{bmatrix} q & 0 & X \\ 0 & alY & Y \\ 0 & blZ & Z \end{bmatrix}, \quad q \in \{Y^2, YZ, Z^2\}, \quad l \in \{X, Y, Z\}, \quad (a, b) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{1\},$$

and eighteen other lines if we swap X and Y , respectively X and Z .

2.1.3. *Case when q_{11} , q_{12} are divisible by X , q_{21} , q_{22} are divisible by Y , q_{31} , q_{32} are divisible by Z .* We may write

$$\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} Xl_{11} & Xl_{12} & X \\ Yl_{21} & Yl_{22} & Y \\ 0 & 0 & Z \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have the relation

$$\begin{bmatrix} t_0 X(tl_{11}) & t_0 X(tl_{12}) & t_0 X \\ t_1 Y(tl_{21}) & t_1 Y(tl_{22}) & t_1 Y \\ 0 & 0 & t_2 Z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} t_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t_2 \end{bmatrix} \varphi \begin{bmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} & 0 \\ g_{21} & g_{22} & 0 \\ u_1 & u_2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

From the relations $0 = t_2 Z u_1$ and $0 = t_2 Z u_2$ we see that $u_1 = 0$, $u_2 = 0$. Thus, the above is equivalent to the relation

$$\begin{bmatrix} tl_{11} & tl_{12} \\ tl_{21} & tl_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} l_{11} & l_{12} \\ l_{21} & l_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Write

$$l_{11} = a_1 X + b_1 Y + c_1 Z, \quad l_{12} = a_2 X + b_2 Y + c_2 Z,$$

From the above relation we get the equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} t_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ b_1 & b_2 \\ c_1 & c_2 \end{bmatrix} = t_0 \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ b_1 & b_2 \\ c_1 & c_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Assume that l_{11} and l_{12} are linearly independent. Performing possibly column operations on φ , we may assume a priori that one of the matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ b_1 & b_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ c_1 & c_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & b_2 \\ c_1 & c_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

is the identity matrix. In the first case we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} t_0 & 0 \\ 0 & t_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For any $t_0, t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{C}^*$ we have $t_2 c_1 = t_0 c_1$ and $t_2 c_2 = t_1 c_2$. Thus $c_1 = 0$, $c_2 = 0$, $l_{11} = X$, $l_{12} = Y$. For all $t \in \mathbb{T}$ we have $tl_{21} = t_0 l_{21}$, $tl_{22} = t_1 l_{22}$, hence $l_{21} = aX$, $l_{22} = bY$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$. We obtain the fixed points represented by the matrices

$$\gamma(a, b) = \begin{bmatrix} X^2 & XY & X \\ aXY & bY^2 & Y \\ 0 & 0 & Z \end{bmatrix}, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{C}, \quad a \neq b.$$

For the other two cases we obtain the matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} X^2 & XZ & X \\ aXY & bYZ & Y \\ 0 & 0 & Z \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{respectively} \quad \begin{bmatrix} XY & XZ & X \\ aY^2 & bYZ & Y \\ 0 & 0 & Z \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that $\gamma(a, b)$ is defined for distinct $a, b \in \mathbb{P}^1$, if we set

$$\gamma(\infty, b) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & XY & X \\ XY & bY^2 & Y \\ 0 & 0 & Z \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{respectively} \quad \gamma(a, \infty) = \begin{bmatrix} X^2 & 0 & X \\ aXY & Y^2 & Y \\ 0 & 0 & Z \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus we get a surface $\gamma(a, b)$, $a, b \in \mathbb{P}^1$, $a \neq b$, of \mathbb{T} -fixed points in $M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(5, 3)$ isomorphic to the complement of the diagonal in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. We get two other surfaces of fixed points if we swap X and Z , respectively if we swap Y and Z . Note that we have also covered the situation when l_{21} and l_{22} are linearly independent. It remains to examine the situation when l_{11} , l_{12} are linearly dependent and, likewise, l_{21} , l_{22} are linearly dependent. If we discount $\gamma(0, \infty)$, $\gamma(\infty, 0)$ and four other points obtained by interchanging X and Z , respectively by interchanging Y and Z , leaves us with three isolated \mathbb{T} -fixed points represented by the matrices

$$\alpha(q_1, q_2) = \begin{bmatrix} q_1 & 0 & X \\ 0 & q_2 & Y \\ 0 & 0 & Z \end{bmatrix}, \quad (q_1, q_2) \in \{(X^2, XY), (XY, Y^2), (XZ, YZ)\}.$$

2.2. Fixed points in M_{01} . Assume that the point in $M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(5, 3)$ represented by the morphism

$$\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} q_{11} & q_{12} & l_1 \\ q_{21} & q_{22} & l_2 \\ q_1 & q_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is fixed by \mathbb{T} . Then, as noted before, for each $t \in \mathbb{T}$, there is $(g(t), h(t)) \in G_0$ such that $t\varphi = h(t)\varphi g(t)$. We may write

$$g(t) = \begin{bmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} & 0 \\ g_{21} & g_{22} & 0 \\ u_1 & u_2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad h(t) = \begin{bmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} & h_{13} \\ h_{21} & h_{22} & h_{23} \\ h_{31} & h_{32} & h_{33} \end{bmatrix}.$$

From the relation $0 = h_{31}l_1 + h_{32}l_2$ we get $h_{31} = 0$, $h_{32} = 0$. We have the relation

$$\begin{bmatrix} tl_1 \\ tl_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} \\ h_{21} & h_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} l_1 \\ l_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can argue as at 2.1.3 to deduce that l_1 and l_2 are distinct elements in the set $\{X, Y, Z\}$ and that

$$\begin{bmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} \\ h_{21} & h_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (tl_1)/l_1 & 0 \\ 0 & (tl_2)/l_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have the relation

$$\begin{bmatrix} tq_1 & tq_2 \end{bmatrix} = h_{33} \begin{bmatrix} q_1 & q_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Arguing as at 2.1.1, we can show that q_1 and q_2 are distinct elements in the set $\{X^2, Y^2, Z^2, XY, XZ, YZ\}$ and that

$$\begin{bmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{bmatrix} = h_{33}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} (tq_1)/q_1 & 0 \\ 0 & (tq_2)/q_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

One linear form among l_1, l_2 does not divide q_1 or q_2 , otherwise q_1 and q_2 would be both equal to $l_1 l_2$. Performing, possibly, elementary operations on φ , we may assume that l_1 does not divide q_1 , that q_{11} and q_{12} do not contain any monomial divisible by l_1 , and that q_{11} and q_{21} do not contain the monomial q_1 . From the relation

$$tq_{11} = \left(\frac{tl_1}{l_1} q_{11} + h_{13} q_1 \right) h_{33}^{-1} \frac{tq_1}{q_1} + (tl_1)u_1$$

we get $h_{13} = 0, u_1 = 0$. From the relation

$$tq_{12} = \left(\frac{tl_1}{l_1} q_{12} + h_{13} q_2 \right) h_{33}^{-1} \frac{tq_2}{q_2} + (tl_1)u_2 = \frac{tl_1}{l_1} q_{12} h_{33}^{-1} \frac{tq_2}{q_2} + (tl_1)u_2$$

we get $u_2 = 0$. From the relation

$$tq_{21} = \left(\frac{tl_2}{l_2} q_{21} + h_{23} q_1 \right) h_{33}^{-1} \frac{tq_1}{q_1} + (tl_2)u_1 = \left(\frac{tl_2}{l_2} q_{21} + h_{23} q_1 \right) h_{33}^{-1} \frac{tq_1}{q_1}$$

we get $h_{23} = 0$. We deduce that the equation $t\varphi = h(t)\varphi g(t)$ is equivalent to the relation

$$\begin{bmatrix} tq_{11} & tq_{12} \\ tq_{21} & tq_{22} \end{bmatrix} = h_{33}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} (tl_1)/l_1 & 0 \\ 0 & (tl_2)/l_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} q_{11} & q_{12} \\ q_{21} & q_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (tq_1)/q_1 & 0 \\ 0 & (tq_2)/q_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It becomes clear now that $q_{11}, q_{12}, q_{21}, q_{22}$ are monomials or zero and that $h_{33}(t) = t_0^i t_1^j t_2^k$ for some integers i, j, k satisfying $i + j + k = 1$. In fact,

$$\begin{bmatrix} q_{11} & q_{12} \\ q_{21} & q_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{11} X^{-i} Y^{-j} Z^{-k} l_1 q_1 & c_{12} X^{-i} Y^{-j} Z^{-k} l_1 q_2 \\ c_{21} X^{-i} Y^{-j} Z^{-k} l_2 q_1 & c_{22} X^{-i} Y^{-j} Z^{-k} l_2 q_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $c_{rs} = 0$ if the corresponding monomial has negative exponents.

Given l_1, l_2, q_1, q_2 as above, and a monomial d of degree 5, we denote by $M(l_1, l_2, q_1, q_2)$ the image in $M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(5, 3)$ of the set of morphisms of the form

$$\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} * & * & l_1 \\ * & * & l_2 \\ q_1 & q_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and by $M(l_1, l_2, q_1, q_2, d)$ the subset given by the additional condition that $\det(\varphi)$ be a multiple of d . Clearly, these sets are T -invariant.

Proposition 2.2.1. *Assume that $l_1, l_2 \in \{X, Y, Z\}$ are distinct one-forms and $q_1, q_2 \in \{X^2, Y^2, Z^2, XY, XZ, YZ\}$ are distinct two-forms. Then, for any monomial d of degree 5 belonging to the ideal $(l_1 q_1, l_1 q_2, l_2 q_1, l_2 q_2)$, the set of fixed points for the action of T on $M(l_1, l_2, q_1, q_2, d)$ has precisely one irreducible component, which is either a point or an affine line.*

Proof. We will only examine the case when $\mathfrak{l}_1 = X$, $\mathfrak{l}_2 = Y$, $\mathfrak{q}_1 = Z^2$, $\mathfrak{q}_2 = XZ$, all other cases being analogous. Consider, therefore, a morphism of the form

$$\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} c_{11}X^{1-i}Y^{-j}Z^{2-k} & c_{12}X^{2-i}Y^{-j}Z^{1-k} & X \\ c_{21}X^{-i}Y^{1-j}Z^{2-k} & c_{22}X^{1-i}Y^{1-j}Z^{1-k} & Y \\ Z^2 & XZ & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $i + j + k = 1$. Assume that $c_{12} \neq 0$. Then $i = 2$, $c_{11} = 0$, $c_{21} = 0$, $c_{22} = 0$, so we get three isolated fixed points:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & Y^2 & X \\ 0 & 0 & Y \\ Z^2 & XZ & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & YZ & X \\ 0 & 0 & Y \\ Z^2 & XZ & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & Z^2 & X \\ 0 & 0 & Y \\ Z^2 & XZ & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Assume now that $c_{12} = 0$ and $c_{11} \neq 0$. Then $i = 1$, $c_{21} = 0$, $j + k = 0$, $j \leq 0$, $k \leq 2$. Thus (j, k) is one of the following pairs: $(0, 0)$, $(-1, 1)$, $(-2, 2)$. The first case is not feasible because of our convention that \mathfrak{q}_{11} do not contain the monomial \mathfrak{q}_1 . We obtain the fixed points

$$\varphi_1(c) = \begin{bmatrix} YZ & 0 & X \\ 0 & cY^2 & Y \\ Z^2 & XZ & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-1\}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} Y^2 & 0 & X \\ 0 & 0 & Y \\ Z^2 & XZ & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Assume next that $c_{11} = 0$, $c_{12} = 0$, $c_{21} \neq 0$. Then $i \leq 0$, $j \leq 1$, $k \leq 2$, hence (i, j, k) is one of the following triples: $(0, 1, 0)$, $(0, 0, 1)$, $(-1, 1, 1)$, $(0, -1, 2)$, $(-1, 0, 2)$, $(-2, 1, 2)$. The first case is not feasible because of our convention that \mathfrak{q}_{21} do not contain the monomial \mathfrak{q}_1 . We obtain the fixed points

$$\varphi_2(c) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & X \\ YZ & cXY & Y \\ Z^2 & XZ & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \varphi_3(c) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & X \\ XZ & cX^2 & Y \\ Z^2 & XZ & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\},$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & X \\ Y^2 & 0 & Y \\ Z^2 & XZ & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & X \\ XY & 0 & Y \\ Z^2 & XZ & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & X \\ X^2 & 0 & Y \\ Z^2 & XZ & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The final case to examine is when $c_{11} = 0$, $c_{12} = 0$, $c_{21} = 0$, $c_{22} \neq 0$. We have $i \leq 1$, $j \leq 1$, $k \leq 1$, hence (i, j, k) belongs to the list $(1, 1, -1)$, $(1, 0, 0)$, $(1, -1, 1)$, $(0, 1, 0)$, $(0, 0, 1)$, $(-1, 1, 1)$. We get the fixed points

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & X \\ 0 & Z^2 & Y \\ Z^2 & XZ & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & X \\ 0 & YZ & Y \\ Z^2 & XZ & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \varphi_1(\infty) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & X \\ 0 & Y^2 & Y \\ Z^2 & XZ & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & X \\ 0 & XZ & Y \\ Z^2 & XZ & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \varphi_2(\infty) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & X \\ 0 & XY & Y \\ Z^2 & XZ & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \varphi_3(\infty) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & X \\ 0 & X^2 & Y \\ Z^2 & XZ & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In conclusion, for the action of T on $M(X, Y, Z^2, XZ)$, we have nine fixed isolated points and three affine lines, namely

$$\{[\varphi_1(c)], c \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{-1\}\}, \quad \{[\varphi_2(c)], c \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{1\}\}, \quad \{[\varphi_3(c)], c \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{1\}\}. \quad \square$$

We denote by $\delta(\mathfrak{l}_1, \mathfrak{l}_2, \mathfrak{q}_1, \mathfrak{q}_2, \mathfrak{d})$ the set of T -fixed points in $M(\mathfrak{l}_1, \mathfrak{l}_2, \mathfrak{q}_1, \mathfrak{q}_2, \mathfrak{d})$. The list of fixed affine lines can be found in Table 1 at the end of this section.

2.2.2. *Limits of sequences of points in $M_0 \setminus M_{01}$.* By an abuse of notation, in the sequel a matrix will denote its image in the moduli space. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{a \rightarrow 1} \beta(q, l)(a, 1) &= \lim_{a \rightarrow 1} \begin{bmatrix} q & 0 & X \\ 0 & a l Y & Y \\ 0 & l Z & Z \end{bmatrix} = \lim_{a \rightarrow 1} \begin{bmatrix} q & -lX & X \\ 0 & (a-1)lY & Y \\ 0 & 0 & Z \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} \begin{bmatrix} q & -lX & cX \\ 0 & lY & Y \\ 0 & 0 & Z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} q & lX & 0 \\ 0 & lY & Y \\ 0 & 0 & Z \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \delta(Y, Z, q, lX, qlYZ). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, each of the 27 affine fixed lines in $M_0 \setminus M_{01}$ contains a point from M_{01} in its closure. We obtain 27 irreducible components of the T -fixed locus isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 . Denote by S the closure of the set $\{\gamma(a, b) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{P}^1, a \neq b\}$. Assume that $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ are distinct. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} \gamma(ca, cb) &= \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} \begin{bmatrix} X^2 & XY & X \\ acXY & bcY^2 & Y \\ 0 & 0 & Z \end{bmatrix} = \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} \begin{bmatrix} X^2 & XY & cX \\ aXY & bY^2 & Y \\ 0 & 0 & Z \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} X^2 & XY & 0 \\ aXY & bY^2 & Y \\ 0 & 0 & Z \end{bmatrix} = \delta(Y, Z, X^2, XY, X^2Y^2Z)(a, b). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} \gamma(ca + 1, cb + 1) &= \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} \begin{bmatrix} X^2 & XY & X \\ (ca + 1)XY & (cb + 1)Y^2 & Y \\ 0 & 0 & Z \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & X \\ caXY & cbY^2 & Y \\ -XZ & -YZ & Z \end{bmatrix} = \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & X \\ aXY & bY^2 & Y \\ XZ & YZ & cZ \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & X \\ aXY & bY^2 & Y \\ XZ & YZ & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \delta(X, Y, XZ, YZ, X^2Y^2Z)(a, b). \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ are distinct and non-zero. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(ca, cb) &= \lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} \begin{bmatrix} X^2 & XY & X \\ caXY & cbY^2 & Y \\ 0 & 0 & Z \end{bmatrix} = \lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} \begin{bmatrix} a^{-1}X^2 & b^{-1}XY & X \\ XY & Y^2 & c^{-1}Y \\ 0 & 0 & Z \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a^{-1}X^2 & b^{-1}XY & X \\ XY & Y^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Z \end{bmatrix} = \delta(X, Z, XY, Y^2, X^2Y^2Z)(a^{-1}, b^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, S contains three affine lines of fixed points for the action of T on M_{01} denoted $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3$. Denote

$$S_0 = \{\gamma(a, b) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{P}^1, a \neq b\} \cup \delta_1 \cup \delta_2 \cup \delta_3.$$

Denote by Δ the diagonal of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. From the above calculations it is clear that S_0 is isomorphic to an open subset of the blow-up B of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ at $\{(0, 0), (1, 1), (\infty, \infty)\}$. In fact, $S_0 \simeq B \setminus \tilde{\Delta}$, where $\tilde{\Delta}$ is the strict transform of Δ . In Section 3 we will show that $S \setminus S_0$ consists of a single point (in M_1). It follows that S is isomorphic to the blow-down of B along $\tilde{\Delta}$.

From what was said above, we have a complete picture of the fixed locus for the action of T on M_0 . There are thirty isolated points of the form $\alpha(q_1, q_2)$, twenty-seven projective lines that are the closure of the affine lines $\beta(q, l)$, three surfaces isomorphic to $B \setminus \tilde{\Delta}$ and a number of isolated points and affine lines of the form δ . The information about the latter is summarised in the Table 1 below. We assume that $l_1 = X$, $l_2 = Y$, the other cases being obtained by a permutations of variables. The first column contains the pair (q_1, q_2) (again modulo permutations of variables), the second column contains the monomials d of degree 5 that are in the ideal $(l_1 q_1, l_1 q_2, l_2 q_1, l_2 q_2)$, the third column contains those d for which $\delta(X, Y, q_1, q_2, d)$ is a line, and in the fourth column are listed those d for which $\delta(X, Y, q_1, q_2, d)$ is contained in the closure of a line or surface of fixed points in $M_0 \setminus M_{01}$. We will use the abbreviation $\Sigma^5 = \{X^i Y^j Z^k, i, j, k \geq 0, i + j + k = 5\}$.

Table 1. Fixed points $\delta(X, Y, q_1, q_2, d)$ in M_{01} .

(q_1, q_2)	equation of support	affine lines	limit sheaves
(X^2, Y^2)	$\Sigma^5 \setminus \{Z^5, Z^4 X, Z^4 Y, Z^3 X^2, Z^3 X Y, Z^3 Y^2\}$	$X^2 Y^2 Z$	
(X^2, Z^2)	$\Sigma^5 \setminus \{Y^5, Z^5, X Y^4, Y^4 Z, X Y^3 Z\}$		$X^3 Y Z$
$(Z^2, X Y)$	$\Sigma^5 \setminus \{X^5, Y^5, Z^5, X^4 Z, Y^4 Z\}$		$X^2 Y^2 Z$
$(X^2, Y Z)$	$\Sigma^5 \setminus \{Y^5, Z^5, X Y^4, X Z^4, X^2 Z^3, Y Z^4\}$	$X^2 Y Z^2$	$X^3 Y^2$
$(X^2, X Y)$	$\Sigma^5 \setminus \{Y^5, Z^5, X Z^4, X^2 Z^3, Y Z^4, Y^2 Z^3, Y^3 Z^2, Y^4 Z, X Y Z^3\}$	$X^2 Y Z^2$ $X^3 Y Z$ $X^2 Y^2 Z$ $X^2 Y^3$	
$(X Z, Y Z)$	$\Sigma^5 \setminus \{X^5, Y^5, Z^5, X Z^4, Y Z^4, X Y^4, X^2 Y^3, X^3 Y^2, X^4 Y\}$	$X Y Z^3$ $X Y^3 Z$ $X^2 Y^2 Z$ $X^3 Y Z$	$X^2 Y^2 Z$
$(X^2, X Z)$	$\Sigma^5 \setminus \{Y^5, Z^5, X Y^4, X Z^4, Y Z^4, Y^2 Z^3, Y^3 Z^2, Y^4 Z\}$	$X^3 Z^2$ $X^2 Y Z^2$ $X^2 Y^2 Z$	$X^4 Y$
$(X Y, Y Z)$	$\Sigma^5 \setminus \{X^5, Y^5, Z^5, Y Z^4, X Z^4, X^2 Z^3, X^3 Z^2, X^4 Z\}$	$X Y^2 Z^2$ $X^2 Y Z^2$ $X^3 Y Z$	$X^2 Y^3$
$(X Z, Z^2)$	$\Sigma^5 \setminus \{X^5, Y^5, Z^5, Y^4 Z, X Y^4, X^2 Y^3, X^3 Y^2, X^4 Y\}$	$X Y^2 Z^2$ $X^2 Y Z^2$ $X^3 Z^2$	$X^2 Y Z^2$

2.3. Fixed points in M_1 . Assume that the point in $M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(5, 3)$ represented by the morphism

$$\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_{11} & 0 \\ \varphi_{21} & \varphi_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} l_1 & l_2 & 0 & 0 \\ q_{11} & q_{12} & l_{11} & l_{12} \\ q_{21} & q_{22} & l_{21} & l_{22} \\ q_{31} & q_{32} & l_{31} & l_{32} \end{bmatrix}$$

is fixed by T . Then, for each $t \in T$, there is $(g(t), h(t)) \in G_1$ such that $t\varphi = h(t)\varphi g(t)$. We write

$$g(t) = \begin{bmatrix} g_1 & 0 \\ u & g_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad h(t) = \begin{bmatrix} h_{11} & 0 \\ v & h_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

From the relations $t\varphi_{11} = h_{11}(t)\varphi_{11}g_1(t)$ and $t\varphi_{22} = h_2(t)\varphi_{22}g_2(t)$ we see that φ_{11} gives a T fixed point in $N(3, 2, 1)$ while φ_{22} gives a T -fixed point in $N(3, 2, 3)$. The fixed points in $N(3, 2, 1)$ have been described at 2.1.3. They are completely determined by the T -fixed point $x \in \mathbb{P}^2$ given by the ideal (l_1, l_2) . The fixed points in $N(3, 2, 3)$ have been described in [7, Chapter VI]. They are completely determined by the ideal generated by the maximal minors q_1, q_2, q_3 of φ_{22} . We have ten fixed points corresponding to the ideals of T -fixed subschemes \mathcal{Z} of \mathbb{P}^2 of length 3, where \mathcal{Z} is not contained in a line, and three more points corresponding to the ideals (X^2, XY, XZ) , (XY, Y^2, YZ) , (XZ, YZ, Z^2) . Let $M(l_1, l_2, q_1, q_2, q_3)$ be the image in $M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(5, 3)$ of the set of morphisms φ as above with fixed φ_{11} and φ_{22} . Given a monomial d of degree 5, we denote by $M(l_1, l_2, q_1, q_2, q_3, d)$ the subset given by the additional condition that $\det(\varphi)$ be a multiple of d .

Proposition 2.3.1. *Assume that φ_{11} and φ_{22} give T -fixed points in $N(3, 2, 1)$, respectively $N(3, 2, 3)$. Let l_1, l_2 be the entries of φ_{11} and let q_1, q_2, q_3 be the maximal minors of φ_{22} . Then, for any monomial d of degree 5 belonging to the ideal $(l_1q_1, l_1q_2, l_1q_3, l_2q_1, l_2q_2, l_2q_3)$, the set of fixed points for the action of T on $M(l_1, l_2, q_1, q_2, q_3, d)$ has precisely one irreducible component, which is either a point or an affine line.*

Proof. We will only examine the case when \mathcal{Z} is a triple point supported on $\{x\}$, the other cases being analogous. Consider morphisms of the form

$$\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} X & Y & 0 & 0 \\ q_{11} & q_{12} & X & 0 \\ q_{21} & q_{22} & 0 & Y \\ q_{31} & q_{32} & Y & X \end{bmatrix}.$$

Performing elementary operations on φ we may assume that

$$q_{11} \in \mathbb{C}[Y, Z], \quad q_{12} \in \mathbb{C}[Z], \quad q_{21} \in \mathbb{C}[Z], \quad q_{22} \in \mathbb{C}[X, Z], \quad q_{32} \in \mathbb{C}[X, Z].$$

We have the relations

$$t\varphi_{11} = \varphi_{11} \begin{bmatrix} t_0 & 0 \\ 0 & t_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad t\varphi_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} t_0t_1^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t_0^{-1}t_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \varphi_{22} \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & 0 \\ 0 & t_0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The morphisms φ_{11} and φ_{22} are stable as Kronecker modules, hence they have trivial stabilisers in $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$, respectively in $(GL(2, \mathbb{C}) \times GL(3, \mathbb{C}))/\mathbb{C}^*$. Thus, we may assume that

$$g_1(t) = h_{11}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} t_0 & 0 \\ 0 & t_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad g_2 = \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & 0 \\ 0 & t_0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad h_2 = \begin{bmatrix} t_0t_1^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t_0^{-1}t_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The relation $t\varphi = h(t)\varphi g(t)$ is equivalent to the relation $t\varphi_{21} = v\varphi_{11}g_1 + h_2\varphi_{21}g_1 + h_2\varphi_{22}u$, or

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} tq_{11} & tq_{12} \\ tq_{21} & tq_{22} \\ tq_{31} & tq_{32} \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X & Y \end{bmatrix} h_{11}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} t_0 & 0 \\ 0 & t_1 \end{bmatrix} + \\ &\begin{bmatrix} t_0 t_1^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t_0^{-1} t_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} q_{11} & q_{12} \\ q_{21} & q_{22} \\ q_{31} & q_{32} \end{bmatrix} h_{11}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} t_0 & 0 \\ 0 & t_1 \end{bmatrix} + \\ &\begin{bmatrix} t_0 t_1^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t_0^{-1} t_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & Y \\ Y & X \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & u_{12} \\ u_{21} & u_{22} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

From the relation

$$tq_{12} = h_{11}^{-1} t_1 v_1 Y + h_{11}^{-1} t_0 q_{12} + t_0 t_1^{-1} u_{12} X$$

we get the relations

$$(1) \quad tq_{12} = h_{11}^{-1} t_0 q_{12}, \quad u_{12} = aY, \quad v_1 = -h_{11} t_0 t_1^{-2} aX \quad \text{for some } a \in \mathbb{C}.$$

From the relation

$$tq_{11} = h_{11}^{-1} t_0 v_1 X + h_{11}^{-1} t_0^2 t_1^{-1} q_{11} + t_0 t_1^{-1} u_{11} X$$

we get the relations

$$(2) \quad tq_{11} = h_{11}^{-1} t_0^2 t_1^{-1} q_{11}, \quad u_{11} = t_0 t_1^{-1} aX.$$

From the relation

$$tq_{21} = h_{11}^{-1} t_0 v_2 X + h_{11}^{-1} t_1 q_{21} + t_0^{-1} t_1 u_{21} Y$$

we get the relations

$$(3) \quad tq_{21} = h_{11}^{-1} t_1 q_{21}, \quad u_{21} = bX, \quad v_2 = -h_{11} t_0^{-2} t_1 bY, \quad \text{for some } b \in \mathbb{C}.$$

From the relation

$$tq_{22} = h_{11}^{-1} t_1 v_2 Y + h_{11}^{-1} t_0^{-1} t_1^2 q_{22} + t_0^{-1} t_1 u_{22} Y$$

we get the relations

$$(4) \quad tq_{22} = h_{11}^{-1} t_0^{-1} t_1^2 q_{22}, \quad u_{22} = t_0^{-1} t_1 bY.$$

From the relation

$$tq_{32} = h_{11}^{-1} t_1 v_3 Y + h_{11}^{-1} t_1 q_{32} + u_{12} Y + u_{22} X$$

we get the relation

$$(5) \quad tq_{32} = h_{11}^{-1} t_1 q_{32}, \quad v_3 = -h_{11} (t_1^{-1} aY + t_0^{-1} bX).$$

Finally, we have the relations

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad tq_{31} &= h_{11}^{-1} t_0 v_3 X + h_{11}^{-1} t_0 q_{31} + u_{11} Y + u_{21} X \\ &= h_{11}^{-1} t_0 q_{31} - t_0 (t_1^{-1} aY + t_0^{-1} bX) X + t_0 t_1^{-1} aXY + bX^2, \\ tq_{31} &= h_{11}^{-1} t_0 q_{31}, \end{aligned}$$

Combining relations (1)–(6), yields the equation $t\varphi_{21} = h_2(t)\varphi_{21}g_1(t)$. It becomes clear now that q_{rs} are monomials and $h_{11}(t) = t_0^{-i}t_1^{-j}t_2^{-k}$, where i, j, k are integers satisfying $i + j + k = 1$. In fact,

$$\varphi_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{11}X^{2+i}Y^{-1+j}Z^k & c_{12}X^{1+i}Y^jZ^k \\ c_{21}X^iY^{1+j}Z^k & c_{22}X^{-1+i}Y^{2+j}Z^k \\ c_{31}X^{1+i}Y^jZ^k & c_{32}X^iY^{1+j}Z^k \end{bmatrix}.$$

Assume that $c_{12} \neq 0$. Then $i = -1, j = 0, c_{11} = 0, c_{21} = 0, c_{22} = 0, c_{32} = 0$. We get the T -fixed points represented by the matrices

$$\varphi_1(c) = \begin{bmatrix} X & Y & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Z^2 & X & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & Y \\ cZ^2 & 0 & Y & X \end{bmatrix}, \quad c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-1\}.$$

Assume that $c_{12} = 0, c_{21} \neq 0$. Then $i = 0, j = -1, c_{11} = 0, c_{22} = 0, c_{31} = 0$. We obtain the fixed points

$$\varphi_2(c) = \begin{bmatrix} X & Y & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & X & 0 \\ Z^2 & 0 & 0 & Y \\ 0 & cZ^2 & Y & X \end{bmatrix}, \quad c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-1\}.$$

Assume that $c_{12} = 0, c_{21} = 0, c_{11} \neq 0$. Then $i = -2, c_{22} = 0, c_{31} = 0, c_{32} = 0$, and we get the fixed points

$$\begin{bmatrix} X & Y & 0 & 0 \\ q & 0 & X & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & Y \\ 0 & 0 & Y & X \end{bmatrix}, \quad q \in \{Y^2, YZ, Z^2\}.$$

Assume that $c_{11} = 0, c_{12} = 0, c_{21} = 0, c_{22} \neq 0$. Then $j = -2, c_{31} = 0, c_{32} = 0$, and we get the fixed points

$$\begin{bmatrix} X & Y & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & X & 0 \\ 0 & q & 0 & Y \\ 0 & 0 & Y & X \end{bmatrix}, \quad q \in \{X^2, XZ, Z^2\}.$$

Assume that $c_{11} = 0, c_{12} = 0, c_{21} = 0, c_{22} = 0, c_{32} \neq 0$. Then $j = -1, c_{31} = 0$, and we obtain the fixed points

$$\begin{bmatrix} X & Y & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & X & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & Y \\ 0 & q & Y & X \end{bmatrix}, \quad q \in \{X^2, XZ, Z^2\}.$$

Note that for $q = Z^2$ we have the point $\varphi_2(\infty)$. Assume, finally, that $c_{11} = 0, c_{12} = 0, c_{21} = 0, c_{22} = 0, c_{32} = 0, c_{31} \neq 0$. We have the fixed points

$$\begin{bmatrix} X & Y & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & X & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & Y \\ q & 0 & Y & X \end{bmatrix}, \quad q \in \{X^2, Y^2, Z^2, XY, XZ, YZ\}.$$

Note that for $\mathbf{q} = Z^2$ we have the point $\varphi_1(\infty)$. In conclusion, the set of fixed points for the action of T on $M(X, Y, X^2, XY, Y^2)$ consists of thirteen isolated points and two affine lines, namely

$$\{[\varphi_1(c)], c \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{-1\}\}, \quad \{[\varphi_2(c)], c \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{-1\}\}. \quad \square$$

2.4. Fixed points in M_2 . Assume that $\varphi \in W_2$ gives a T -fixed point \mathcal{F} in $M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(5, 3)$. Then it is easy to see that φ_{11} gives a fixed point in the Kronecker moduli space $N(3, 3, 2)$. Given quadratic forms q_1, q_2, q_3 , we denote by $M(q_1, q_2, q_3)$ be the image in $M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(5, 3)$ of the set of morphisms $\varphi \in W_2$ such that (q_1, q_2, q_3) is the ideal generated by the maximal minors of φ_{11} . Given a monomial d of degree 5, we denote by $M(q_1, q_2, q_3, d)$ the subset given by the additional condition that $\det(\varphi)$ be a multiple of d .

As mentioned at the beginning of this section, the points in M_{20} are of the form $\mathcal{O}_Q(\mathcal{Z})(1)$. Clearly, $\mathcal{O}_Q(\mathcal{Z})(1)$ is fixed by T if and only if Q and \mathcal{Z} are T -invariant, so we will concentrate on finding the fixed points \mathcal{F} in M_{21} . As mentioned at the beginning of this section, \mathcal{F} is an extension of \mathcal{O}_L by $\mathcal{O}_C(1)$. Denote

$$M_{L,C} = \mathbb{P}(\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}_C(1)) \cap M_{21}.$$

The common factor of the maximal minors of φ_{11} is a monomial because φ_{11} gives a fixed point in the Kronecker moduli space. Thus L is T -invariant, and the same is true of C . We will assume that L is given by the equation $X = 0$, the other cases being analogous. Write $d = \det(\varphi) = X^i Y^j Z^k$ and fix $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in S^3 V^*$ satisfying the equation

$$-f_1 Y - f_2 Z + f_3 X = d/l = X^{i-1} Y^j Z^k.$$

Consider the set U of morphisms of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} X & 0 & Y \\ 0 & X & Z \\ f_1 - qZ & f_2 + qY & f_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{q} = aY^2 + bYZ + cZ^2, \quad a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}.$$

By the argument at [5, Proposition 5.1], we can show that the map $U \rightarrow M_{L,C}$ sending φ to $[\text{Coker}(\varphi)]$ is an isomorphism and the induced action of T on U via this isomorphism is given by $(t, \mathbf{q}) \mapsto t_0^{1-i} t_1^{1-j} t_2^{1-k} (t\mathbf{q})$. Choosing coordinates (a, b, c) we identify U with \mathbb{A}^3 . The induced action of T on \mathbb{A}^3 is given by

$$t(a, b, c) = t_0^{1-i} (t_1^{3-j} t_2^{1-k} a, t_1^{2-j} t_2^{2-k} b, t_1^{1-j} t_2^{3-k} c).$$

If $i \neq 1$, or if $(i, j, k) \in \{(1, 4, 0), (1, 0, 4)\}$, we get a single isolated fixed point, namely $(0, 0, 0)$. If $(i, j, k) \in \{(1, 3, 1), (1, 2, 2), (1, 1, 3)\}$, then we get an affine line of fixed points. Summarising we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4.1. *Assume that φ_{11} gives a T -fixed point in $N(3, 3, 2)$. Let q_1, q_2, q_3 be the maximal minors of φ_{11} . Then, for any monomial d of degree 5 belonging to the ideal (q_1, q_2, q_3) , the set of fixed points for the action of T on $M(q_1, q_2, q_3, d)$ has precisely one irreducible component, which is either a point or an affine line. We have a line in the following cases:*

(q_1, q_2, q_3)	d
(X^2, XY, XZ)	XY^3Z, XY^2Z^2, XYZ^3
(XY, Y^2, YZ)	X^3YZ, X^2YZ^2, XYZ^3
(XZ, YZ, Z^2)	X^3YZ, X^2Y^2Z, XY^3Z

2.5. Fixed points in M_3 . Clearly, $\mathcal{O}_Q(-\mathcal{Y})(2)$ gives a T -fixed point in $M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(5, 3)$ if and only if Q and \mathcal{Y} are T -invariant. Equivalently, the fixed points in M_3 are given by matrices $\varphi \in W_3$ that have monomial entries.

3. THE TORUS REPRESENTATION OF THE TANGENT SPACES AT THE FIXED POINTS

Let $\mathcal{F} = \text{Coker}(\varphi)$ give a T -fixed point in M_k , where $\varphi \in W_k$. Since $W_k \rightarrow M_k$ is a geometric quotient map, the tangent space $T_{[\mathcal{F}]} M_k$ is the quotient of $T_\varphi W_k$ by the tangent space $T_\varphi(G_k\varphi)$ to the orbit of φ . The latter is a T -invariant subspace because $[\mathcal{F}]$ is T -fixed. Thus, the list of weights for the action of T on $T_{[\mathcal{F}]} M_k$ is obtained by subtracting the list of weights for $T_\varphi(G_k\varphi)$ from the list of weights for $T_\varphi W_k$. These two lists can be determined as in [5, Section 6] provided that there is a morphism of groups

$(\mathbb{C}^*)^3 \rightarrow G_k$, $t \mapsto ((u(t), v(t)),$ such that $t\varphi = v(t)\varphi u(t)$ for all $t \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^3$ and such that $u(t)$ and $v(t)$ are diagonal matrices. The diagonal entries u_i, v_j of u, v are characters of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^3$. The existence of u and v is obvious when φ is α, β or γ from 2.1. In the sequel, for all other fixed points $[\mathcal{F}]$ in $M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(5, 3)$ we will give φ for which u and v exist.

For convenience, we will use additive notation when we deal with characters of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^3$ or of T . Denote by x, y, z the standard basis for the lattice of characters of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^3$. The characters of T are of the form $ix + jy + kz$, $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i + j + k = 0$. We will use the following abbreviations:

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma^5 &= \{X^i Y^j Z^k, i, j, k \geq 0, i + j + k = 5\}, \\ \chi_0 &= \text{the trivial character of } (\mathbb{C}^*)^3 \text{ or of } T, \\ \sigma^0 &= \{x - y, y - x, x - z, z - x, y - z, z - y\}, \\ \sigma^l &= \{ix + jy + kz, i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}, i, j, k \geq 0, i + j + k = l\}, \quad l \geq 1, \\ \sigma_x^l &= \sigma^l \setminus (x + \sigma^{l-1}), \\ \sigma_y^l &= \sigma^l \setminus (y + \sigma^{l-1}), \\ \sigma_z^l &= \sigma^l \setminus (z + \sigma^{l-1}). \end{aligned}$$

We also adopt the following convention: whenever a monomial $f = X^i Y^j Z^k$ appears in a list of characters, it stands for the expression $ix + jy + kz$.

3.1. Fixed points in M_0 . According to [5, 6.1.1], the action of T on $T_\varphi W_0$ is given by the formula

$$(3.1.1) \quad (t, w) \mapsto v(t)^{-1} (tw) u(t)^{-1},$$

where tw refers to the canonical action of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^3$ on the symmetric powers of V^* . Thus, the list of weights for the action of T on $T_\varphi W_0$ is represented by the array

$$\begin{array}{ccc} -v_1 - u_1 + \sigma^2 & -v_1 - u_2 + \sigma^2 & -v_1 - u_3 + \sigma^1 \\ -v_2 - u_1 + \sigma^2 & -v_2 - u_2 + \sigma^2 & -v_2 - u_3 + \sigma^1 \\ -v_3 - u_1 + \sigma^2 & -v_3 - u_2 + \sigma^2 & -v_3 - u_3 + \sigma^1 \end{array} .$$

According to [5, 6.1.3], the action of T on $T_\varphi(G_0\varphi)$, which is identified with the tangent space of G_0 at the neutral element, is given by the formula

$$(3.1.2) \quad t(A, B) = (u(t)(tA)u(t)^{-1}, v(t)^{-1}(tB)v(t)).$$

It follows that the list of weights for the action of \mathbb{T} on $T_\varphi(G_0\varphi)$ is represented by the array

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \chi_0 & \mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2 & \chi_0 & -v_1 + v_2 & -v_1 + v_3 \\ \mathbf{u}_2 - \mathbf{u}_1 & \chi_0 & -v_2 + v_1 & \chi_0 & -v_2 + v_3 \\ \mathbf{u}_3 - \mathbf{u}_1 + \sigma^1 & \mathbf{u}_3 - \mathbf{u}_2 + \sigma^1 & -v_3 + v_1 & -v_3 + v_2 & \chi_0 \end{array} .$$

Assume now that

$$\varphi = \alpha(q_1, q_2) = \begin{bmatrix} q_1 & 0 & X \\ 0 & q_2 & Y \\ 0 & 0 & Z \end{bmatrix} .$$

We have

$$\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{u}_1 = q_1 - x & v_1 = x \\ \mathbf{u}_2 = q_2 - y & v_2 = y \\ \mathbf{u}_3 = 0 & v_3 = z \end{array} .$$

Thus, the list of weights for the action of \mathbb{T} on $T_{[\alpha]}M$ is represented by the following table:

$$\begin{array}{cc} -q_1 + (\sigma^2 \setminus \{q_1\}) & -q_2 + (\sigma^2 \setminus \{q_2\}) \\ x - y - q_1 + (\sigma^2 \setminus \{q_2\}) & y - x - q_2 + (\sigma^2 \setminus \{q_1\}) \\ x - z - q_1 + \sigma_z^2 & y - z - q_2 + \sigma_z^2 \end{array} .$$

Here $(q_1, q_2) \in (\sigma_x^2 \times \sigma_y^2) \cup \{(2x, x+y), (x+y, 2y), (x+z, y+z)\}$. Assume next that

$$\varphi = \beta(q, l) = \begin{bmatrix} q & 0 & X \\ 0 & aY & Y \\ 0 & bZ & Z \end{bmatrix} .$$

We have

$$\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{u}_1 = q - x & v_1 = x \\ \mathbf{u}_2 = l & v_2 = y \\ \mathbf{u}_3 = 0 & v_3 = z \end{array} .$$

Thus, the list of weights for the action of \mathbb{T} on $T_{[\beta]}M$ is represented by the following array:

$$\begin{array}{cc} -q + (\sigma^2 \setminus \{q\}) & -z - l + (\sigma^2 \setminus \{q\}) \\ -y + z - q + (\sigma^2 \setminus \{y+l\}) & -x - l + (\sigma^2 \setminus \{x+l\}) \\ -x + z - q + \sigma_x^2 & -y - l + \sigma_y^2 \end{array} .$$

Here $q \in \sigma_x^2$, $l \in \sigma^1$. We next examine the case of the fixed surfaces. Assume that

$$\varphi = \gamma = \begin{bmatrix} X^2 & XY & X \\ aXY & bY^2 & Y \\ 0 & 0 & Z \end{bmatrix} .$$

Clearly, we have

$$\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{u}_1 = x & v_1 = x \\ \mathbf{u}_2 = y & v_2 = y \\ \mathbf{u}_3 = 0 & v_3 = z \end{array} .$$

The list of weights for the action of \mathbb{T} on $T_{[\gamma]}M$ is represented by the following array:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} -2x + (\sigma^2 \setminus \{2x\}) & -x - y + (\sigma^2 \setminus \{2x\}) & -x - z + \sigma_z^2 \\ -2y + (\sigma^2 \setminus \{2y\}) & -x - y + (\sigma^2 \setminus \{2y\}) & -y - z + \sigma_z^2 \end{array} .$$

Given q_1, q_2 and d as in Table 1, Section 2.2, we consider morphisms of the form

$$\varphi = \delta(X, Y, q_1, q_2, d) = \begin{bmatrix} c_{11}d/q_2Y & c_{12}d/q_1Y & X \\ c_{21}d/q_2X & c_{22}d/q_1X & Y \\ q_1 & q_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{u}_1 = d - x - y - q_2 & \mathbf{v}_1 = x \\ \mathbf{u}_2 = d - x - y - q_1 & \mathbf{v}_2 = y \\ \mathbf{u}_3 = 0 & \mathbf{v}_3 = -d + q_1 + q_2 + x + y \end{array}.$$

The list of weights for the action of T on $T_{[\delta]}M$ is obtained by removing an extra copy of χ_0 from the following table:

$$\begin{array}{ll} -d + q_2 + y + \sigma_x^2 & -d + q_2 + x + (\sigma^2 \setminus \{q_1\}) \\ -d + q_1 + x + \sigma_y^2 & -d + q_1 + y + (\sigma^2 \setminus \{q_2\}) \\ d - q_1 - q_2 - x - y + z & -q_1 + (\sigma^2 \setminus \{q_1, q_2\}) \\ -x + z & -q_2 + (\sigma^2 \setminus \{q_1, q_2\}) \\ -y + z & \end{array}.$$

It is known that $\dim(T_{[\mathcal{F}]}M)_{\chi_0}$ equals the dimension of the irreducible component of M^T that contains $[\mathcal{F}]$. Thus, counting how many times χ_0 appears in the above list, gives us another approach for determining column four of Table 1 in Section 2.2. For instance, $\dim(T_{[\varphi]}M)_{\chi_0} = 2$ for $\varphi = \delta(X, Y, XZ, YZ, X^2Y^2Z)$ or $\delta(X, Y, XZ, Z^2, X^2YZ^2)$ even though these points belong to irreducible components of M_{01}^T of dimension 1. This shows that these points belong to the closure of irreducible components of

$$(M \setminus \overline{M_{01}})^T = (M_0 \setminus M_{01})^T$$

of dimension 2. For the other points in column four of Table 1 $\dim(T_{[\varphi]}M)_{\chi_0} = 1$ even though they belong to isolated points of M_{01}^T ; thus they belong to the closure of lines in $(M_0 \setminus M_{01})^T$.

All fixed points in M_0 can be obtained from the points $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ above by a permutation of variables. We have thus found the T -representation for all tangent spaces at fixed points in M_0 .

3.2. Fixed points in M_1 . The list of weights for the action of T on $T_\varphi W_1$ given by formula (3.1.1) is represented by the array

$$\begin{array}{llll} -v_1 - u_1 + \sigma^1 & -v_1 - u_2 + \sigma^1 & & \\ -v_2 - u_1 + \sigma^2 & -v_2 - u_2 + \sigma^2 & -v_2 - u_3 + \sigma^1 & -v_2 - u_4 + \sigma^1 \\ -v_3 - u_1 + \sigma^2 & -v_3 - u_2 + \sigma^2 & -v_3 - u_3 + \sigma^1 & -v_3 - u_4 + \sigma^1 \\ -v_4 - u_1 + \sigma^2 & -v_4 - u_2 + \sigma^2 & -v_4 - u_3 + \sigma^1 & -v_4 - u_4 + \sigma^1 \end{array}.$$

The list of weights for the action of T on $T_e G_1$ given by formula (3.1.2) is represented by the table

$$\begin{array}{llll} -v_2 + v_1 + \sigma^1 & \chi_0 & -v_2 + v_3 & -v_2 + v_4 \\ -v_3 + v_1 + \sigma^1 & -v_3 + v_2 & \chi_0 & -v_3 + v_4 \\ -v_4 + v_1 + \sigma^1 & -v_4 + v_2 & -v_4 + v_3 & \chi_0 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \chi_0 & \mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2 & & \\ \mathbf{u}_2 - \mathbf{u}_1 & \chi_0 & & \\ \mathbf{u}_3 - \mathbf{u}_1 + \sigma^1 & \mathbf{u}_3 - \mathbf{u}_2 + \sigma^1 & \chi_0 & \mathbf{u}_3 - \mathbf{u}_4 \\ \mathbf{u}_4 - \mathbf{u}_1 + \sigma^1 & \mathbf{u}_4 - \mathbf{u}_2 + \sigma^1 & \mathbf{u}_4 - \mathbf{u}_3 & \chi_0 \end{array} .$$

There is a small complication here, namely every $\varphi \in \mathbb{W}_1$ has a stabiliser of dimension 2 consisting of matrices of the form

$$\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc} I_2 & 0 \\ C\varphi_{11} & I_2 \end{array} \right], \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ \varphi_{22}C & I_3 \end{array} \right] \right), \quad \text{where } C = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We have, therefore, an isomorphism $\mathbb{C}^2 \simeq T_e \text{Stab}(\varphi)$ given by

$$(c_1, c_2) \mapsto \left(\left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ C\varphi_{11} & 0 \end{array} \right], \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ \varphi_{22}C & 0 \end{array} \right] \right).$$

We denote by s_1, s_2 , the images of $(1, 0)$, respectively, $(0, 1)$. In order to get the list of weights for $T_\varphi(\mathbb{G}_1\varphi)$, we need to subtract the list of weights for $T_e \text{Stab}(\varphi)$, which we will determine case by case, from the list of weights for $T_e \mathbb{G}_1$ above.

Proposition 3.2.1. *Let $N_{[\mathcal{F}]}$ be the normal space to M_1 at $[\mathcal{F}]$. The torus T acts on $N_{[\mathcal{F}]}$ with weights $-\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{u}_3, -\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{u}_4$.*

Proof. By an argument analogous to the argument at [8, Theorem 4.3.3], we can show that $M_0 \cup M_{01}$ is the good quotient of an open subset $\mathbb{W} \subset \mathbb{W}_1$ modulo \mathbb{G}_1 . Here \mathbb{W} is simply the set of injective morphisms that have semi-stable cokernel. The list of weights for the action of T on $T_\varphi \mathbb{W}_1$ given by formula (3.1.1) is the same as the list for $T_\varphi \mathbb{W}_1$, except that it contains $-\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{u}_3$ and $-\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{u}_4$ in the upper-right corner, accounting for the two normal directions to \mathbb{W}_1 at φ . \square

Recall from Proposition 2.3.1 that an irreducible component of M_1^\top is uniquely determined by l_1, l_2, q_1, q_2, q_3 and d . The ideal (q_1, q_2, q_3) defines a T -invariant zero-dimensional scheme \mathcal{Z} of length 3 that is not contained in a line or is of the form (lX, lY, lZ) , $l \in \{X, Y, Z\}$. Assume firstly that φ has the form

$$\varepsilon(\mathbf{d}) = \begin{bmatrix} X & Y & 0 & 0 \\ c_{11}\mathbf{d}/Y^2Z & c_{12}\mathbf{d}/XYZ & X & X \\ c_{21}\mathbf{d}/XYZ & c_{22}\mathbf{d}/X^2Z & Y & 0 \\ c_{31}\mathbf{d}/XY^2 & c_{32}\mathbf{d}/X^2Y & 0 & Z \end{bmatrix}$$

corresponding to the case when \mathcal{Z} consists of three distinct points, namely $(1, 0, 0)$, $(0, 1, 0)$, $(0, 0, 1)$. We have

$$\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{d} - \mathbf{y} & \mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{d} \\ \mathbf{u}_2 = \mathbf{d} - \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{v}_2 = -\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z} \\ \mathbf{u}_3 = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z} & \mathbf{v}_3 = -\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z} \\ \mathbf{u}_4 = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z} & \mathbf{v}_4 = -\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \end{array} .$$

The torus T acts on both $\mathbb{C}s_1$ and $\mathbb{C}s_2$ with weight $-\mathbf{d} + 2\mathbf{x} + 2\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}$. The list of weights for the action of T on $T_{[\varepsilon(\mathbf{d})]}M$ is obtained by removing an extra copy of

χ_0 from the following table:

$$\begin{array}{ll} d - 2x - 2y - z & -d + 2y + z + \sigma_x^2 \\ d - 2x - 2y - z & -d + x + y + z + \sigma_x^2 \\ -d + 2x + 2y + z & -d + x + y + z + \sigma_y^2 \\ -d + 4x + y & -d + x + 2y + \sigma_z^2 \\ z - x & -d + 2x + z + \sigma_y^2 \\ z - y & \sigma^0 \end{array} \cdot$$

Consider next morphisms of the form

$$\zeta(l_1, l_2, d) = \begin{bmatrix} l_1 & l_2 & 0 & 0 \\ c_{11}d/l_2X^2 & c_{12}d/l_1X^2 & Y & 0 \\ c_{21}d/l_2YZ & c_{22}d/l_1YZ & 0 & X \\ c_{31}d/l_2XY & c_{32}d/l_1XY & X & Z \end{bmatrix}$$

corresponding to the case when \mathcal{Z} is the union of a double point and a simple point. We have

$$\begin{array}{ll} u_1 = d - l_2 & v_1 = -d + l_1 + l_2 \\ u_2 = d - l_1 & v_2 = -2x \\ u_3 = 2x + y & v_3 = -y - z \\ u_4 = x + y + z & v_4 = -x - y \end{array} \cdot$$

The torus T acts on $\mathbb{C}s_1$ with weight $2x + y - d + l_1 + l_2$ and on $\mathbb{C}s_2$ with weight $x + y + z - d + l_1 + l_2$. The list of weights for the action of T on $T_{[\zeta]}M$ is obtained by subtracting the list $\{\chi_0, -d + l_1 + l_2 + x + 2y\}$ from the list

$$\begin{array}{lll} d - l_1 - l_2 - 2x - y & -d + l_2 + 2x + \sigma_{l_1}^2 & x - y \\ d - l_1 - l_2 - x - y - z & -d + l_1 + y + z + \sigma_{l_2}^2 & y - x \\ l_3 - l_1 & -d + l_2 + y + z + \sigma_x^2 & z - x \\ l_3 - l_2 & -d + l_2 + x + y + \sigma_x^2 & 2z - 2x \\ & -d + l_1 + 2x + \sigma_y^2 & y - z \\ & -d + l_1 + x + y + \sigma_z^2 & z - y \end{array} \cdot$$

We next examine the case when \mathcal{Z} is a triple point. We may assume that \mathcal{Z} is supported on $(0, 0, 1)$, the other cases being obtained by a permutation of variables. Consider thus morphisms of the form

$$\eta(l_1, l_2, d) = \begin{bmatrix} l_1 & l_2 & 0 & 0 \\ c_{11}d/l_2Y^2 & c_{12}d/l_1Y^2 & X & 0 \\ c_{21}d/l_2X^2 & c_{22}d/l_1X^2 & 0 & Y \\ c_{31}d/l_2XY & c_{32}d/l_1XY & Y & X \end{bmatrix} \cdot$$

We have

$$\begin{array}{ll} u_1 = d - l_2 & v_1 = -d + l_1 + l_2 \\ u_2 = d - l_1 & v_2 = -2y \\ u_3 = x + 2y & v_3 = -2x \\ u_4 = 2x + y & v_4 = -x - y \end{array} \cdot$$

The torus T acts on $\mathbb{C}s_1$ with weight $x + 2y - d + l_1 + l_2$ and on $\mathbb{C}s_2$ with weight $2x + y - d + l_1 + l_2$. The list of weights for the action of T on $T_{[\eta]}M$ is obtained

by subtracting the list $\{\chi_0, -d + l_1 + l_2 + x + y + z\}$ from the list

$$\begin{array}{lll} d - l_1 - l_2 - x - 2y & -d + l_2 + 2y + \sigma_{l_1}^2 & z - x \\ d - l_1 - l_2 - 2x - y & -d + l_1 + 2x + \sigma_{l_2}^2 & z - x \\ l_3 - l_1 & -d + l_2 + x + y + \sigma_y^2 & z - y \\ l_3 - l_2 & -d + l_2 + 2x + \sigma_y^2 & z - y \\ & -d + l_1 + x + y + \sigma_x^2 & x - 2y + z \\ & -d + l_1 + 2y + \sigma_x^2 & y - 2x + z \end{array} .$$

Finally, we assume that $(q_1, q_2, q_3) = (X^2, XY, XZ)$, that is, we consider morphisms of the form

$$\theta(l_1, l_2, d) = \begin{bmatrix} l_1 & l_2 & 0 & 0 \\ c_{11}d/l_2XY & c_{12}d/l_1XY & X & 0 \\ c_{21}d/l_2XZ & c_{22}d/l_1XZ & 0 & X \\ c_{31}d/l_2X^2 & c_{32}d/l_1X^2 & Y & Z \end{bmatrix} .$$

We have

$$\begin{array}{ll} u_1 = d - l_2 & v_1 = -d + l_1 + l_2 \\ u_2 = d - l_1 & v_2 = -x - y \\ u_3 = 2x + y & v_3 = -x - z \\ u_4 = 2x + z & v_4 = -2x \end{array} .$$

The torus T acts on $\mathbb{C}s_1$ with weight $2x + y - d + l_1 + l_2$ and on $\mathbb{C}s_2$ with weight $2x + z - d + l_1 + l_2$. The list of weights for the action of T on $T_{[\theta]}M$ is obtained by subtracting the list $\{\chi_0, -d + l_1 + l_2 + 3x\}$ from the list

$$\begin{array}{lll} d - l_1 - l_2 - 2x - y & -d + l_2 + x + y + \sigma_x^2 & y - x \\ d - l_1 - l_2 - 2x - z & -d + l_2 + 2x + \sigma_z^2 & y - x \\ l_3 - l_1 & -d + l_1 + x + y + \sigma_{l_2}^2 & z - x \\ l_3 - l_2 & -d + l_2 + x + z + \sigma_{l_1}^2 & z - x \\ & -d + l_1 + 2x + \sigma_y^2 & 2z - x - y \\ & -d + l_1 + x + z + \sigma_x^2 & 2y - x - z \end{array} .$$

All fixed points in M_1 can be obtained from the points $\varepsilon, \zeta, \eta, \theta$ above by a permutation of variables. We have thus found the T -representation for all tangent spaces at fixed points in M_1 . To complete the picture, we need to determine which points of M_1^\top lie in the closure of

$$(M \setminus \overline{M}_1)^\top = M_0^\top .$$

This can be done using Proposition 3.2.1. For instance, T acts trivially on $N_{[\varphi]}$ for $\varphi = \varepsilon(X^2Y^2Z)$. This shows that $[\varepsilon(X^2Y^2Z)]$ belongs to the closure of a surface in M_0^\top . There are only three such surfaces: S and two others obtained by interchanging X and Z , respectively, Y and Z . The sheaves giving points in S have support given by the equation $\{X^2Y^2Z = 0\}$. We deduce that $[\varepsilon(X^2Y^2Z)]$ belongs to S and that $[\varepsilon(XY^2Z^2)], [\varepsilon(X^2YZ^2)]$ belong to the other two surfaces. For the remaining points in column five of Table 2 below, we have $\dim N_{\chi_0} = 1$, which shows that they belong to the closure of affine lines in M_0^\top .

Table 2. Fixed points in M_1 .

(q_1, q_2, q_3)	(l_1, l_2)	equation of support	affine lines	limit sheaves
(XY, XZ, YZ)	(X, Y)	$\Sigma^5 \setminus \{X^5, Y^5, Z^5, XZ^4, YZ^4\}$	XYZ^3	X^2Y^2Z
	(X, Z)	$\Sigma^5 \setminus \{X^5, Y^5, Z^5, XY^4, Y^4Z\}$	XY^3Z	X^2YZ^2
	(Y, Z)	$\Sigma^5 \setminus \{X^5, Y^5, Z^5, X^4Y, X^4Z\}$	X^3YZ	XY^2Z^2
(X^2, XY, YZ)	(X, Y)	$\Sigma^5 \setminus \{Y^5, Z^5, XZ^4, X^2Z^3, YZ^4\}$	X^2YZ^2	X^3Y^2 X^2Y^2Z
	(X, Z)	$\Sigma^5 \setminus \{Y^5, Z^5, XY^4, XZ^4, Y^4Z\}$	XY^3Z	X^3YZ X^2YZ^2
	(Y, Z)	$\Sigma^5 \setminus \{X^5, Y^5, Z^5, XZ^4\}$		X^2Y^2Z XY^2Z^2
(X^2, XY, Y^2)	(X, Y)	$\Sigma^5 \setminus \{Z^5, Z^4X, Z^4Y, Z^3X^2, Z^3XY, Z^3Y^2\}$	XY^2Z^2 X^2YZ^2	X^3Y^2 X^2Y^3
	(X, Z)	$\Sigma^5 \setminus \{Y^5, Z^5, XZ^4, YZ^4\}$		X^2Y^2Z X^3YZ
	(Y, Z)	$\Sigma^5 \setminus \{X^5, Z^5, XZ^4, YZ^4\}$		X^2Y^2Z XY^3Z
(X^2, XY, XZ)	(X, Y)	$\Sigma^5 \setminus \{Y^5, Z^5, XZ^4, YZ^4, Y^4Z, Y^2Z^3, Y^3Z^2\}$	X^2YZ^2 XY^3Z XY^2Z^2	X^3YZ X^3Y^2
	(X, Z)	$\Sigma^5 \setminus \{Y^5, Z^5, XY^4, YZ^4, Y^4Z, Y^2Z^3, Y^3Z^2\}$	X^2Y^2Z XYZ^3 XY^2Z^2	X^3YZ X^3Z^2
	(Y, Z)	$\Sigma^5 \setminus \{X^5, Y^5, Z^5, YZ^4, Y^2Z^3, Y^3Z^2, Y^4Z\}$	XYZ^3 XY^2Z^2 XY^3Z	X^2Y^2Z X^2YZ^2

3.3. Fixed points in M_2 . The list of weights for the action of T on $T_\varphi W_2$ given by formula (3.1.1) is represented by the array

$$\begin{array}{ccc} -v_1 - u_1 + \sigma^1 & -v_1 - u_2 + \sigma^1 & -v_1 - u_3 + \sigma^1 \\ -v_2 - u_1 + \sigma^1 & -v_2 - u_2 + \sigma^1 & -v_2 - u_3 + \sigma^1 \\ -v_3 - u_1 + \sigma^3 & -v_3 - u_2 + \sigma^3 & -v_3 - u_3 + \sigma^3 \end{array} .$$

The list of weights for the action of T on $T_\varphi(G_2\varphi)$ given by formula (3.1.2) is represented by the array

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \chi_0 & u_1 - u_2 & u_1 - u_3 & \chi_0 & -v_1 + v_2 \\ u_2 - u_1 & \chi_0 & u_2 - u_3 & -v_2 + v_1 & \chi_0 \\ u_3 - u_1 & u_3 - u_2 & \chi_0 & -v_3 + v_1 + \sigma^2 & -v_3 + v_2 + \sigma^2 \end{array} .$$

Proposition 3.3.1. *The normal space $N_{[\mathcal{F}]}$ to M_2 at $[\mathcal{F}]$ can be identified with*

$$H^0(\mathcal{F}(-1))^* \otimes H^1(\mathcal{F}(-1)).$$

The torus T acts on $N_{[\mathcal{F}]}$ with weights $u_i + v_3 - x - y - z$, $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Proof. We apply [5, Proposition 6.2] to the sheaf $\mathcal{F}^D(1)$, which gives a point in $M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(5, 2)$. We have a resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-3) \oplus 2\mathcal{O}(-1) \xrightarrow{\varphi^T} 3\mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^D(1) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let N^D denote the normal space to $M_2^D \subset M_{\mathbb{P}^2}(5, 2)$ at $[\mathcal{F}^D(1)]$. As T -modules, N and N^D are isomorphic. This proves the second part of the proposition. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} N &\simeq N^D \simeq H^0(\mathcal{F}^D(1))^* \otimes H^1(\mathcal{F}^D(1)) && \text{by [5, Proposition 6.2]} \\ &\simeq H^1(\mathcal{F}(-1)) \otimes H^2(\omega_{\mathbb{P}^2})^* \otimes H^0(\mathcal{F}(-1))^* \otimes H^2(\omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}) && \text{by Serre Duality} \\ &\simeq H^1(\mathcal{F}(-1)) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{F}(-1))^*. && \square \end{aligned}$$

Recall from Proposition 2.4.1 that an irreducible component of M_2^T is uniquely determined by q_1, q_2, q_3 and d . The ideal (q_1, q_2, q_3) defines a T -invariant zero-dimensional scheme \mathcal{Z} of length 3 that is not contained in a line or is of the form (lX, lY, lZ) , $l \in \{X, Y, Z\}$. Assume firstly that φ has the form

$$\iota(\mathbf{d}) = \begin{bmatrix} X & Y & 0 \\ X & 0 & Z \\ c_1 d/YZ & c_2 d/XZ & c_3 d/XY \end{bmatrix}$$

corresponding to the case when \mathcal{Z} consists of three distinct points. Clearly, we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} u_1 = x & v_1 = 0 \\ u_2 = y & v_2 = 0 \\ u_3 = z & v_3 = d - x - y - z \end{array} .$$

The list of weights for the action of T on $T_{[\iota(\mathbf{d})]} M$ is obtained by removing an extra copy of χ_0 from the table

$$\sigma^0 \quad \begin{array}{ll} d - x - 2y - 2z & -d + y + z + \sigma_x^3 \\ d - 2x - y - 2z & -d + x + z + \sigma_y^3 \\ d - 2x - 2y - z & -d + x + y + \sigma^3 \end{array} .$$

We next examine the case when \mathcal{Z} is the union of a double point and a simple point. Consider thus morphisms of the form

$$\kappa(\mathbf{d}) = \begin{bmatrix} Y & 0 & X \\ 0 & X & Z \\ c_1 d/X^2 & c_2 d/YZ & c_3 d/XY \end{bmatrix} .$$

We have

$$\begin{array}{ll} u_1 = y - x & v_1 = x \\ u_2 = x - z & v_2 = z \\ u_3 = 0 & v_3 = d - x - y \end{array} .$$

The list of weights for the action of T on $T_{[\kappa(\mathbf{d})]} M$ is obtained by removing an extra copy of χ_0 from the array

$$\begin{array}{llll} x - y & y - z & d - 3x - y - z & -d + y + z + \sigma_x^3 \\ y - x & z - y & d - x - 2y - 2z & -d + 2x + \sigma_y^3 \\ z - x & 2z - 2x & d - 2x - 2y - z & -d + x + y + \sigma^3 \end{array} .$$

We assume now that \mathcal{Z} is a triple point supported at $(0, 0, 1)$, that is, we consider morphisms of the form

$$\lambda(\mathbf{d}) = \begin{bmatrix} X & 0 & Y \\ 0 & Y & X \\ c_1 d/Y^2 & c_2 d/X^2 & c_3 d/XY \end{bmatrix} .$$

We have

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} & \mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{u}_2 = \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{u}_3 = \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{v}_3 = \mathbf{d} - \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \end{array} .$$

The list of weights for the action of T on $T_{[\lambda(\mathbf{d})]} M$ is obtained by removing an extra copy of χ_0 from the array

$$\begin{array}{llll} z - x & z - y & d - 3x - y - z & -d + 2x + \sigma_y^3 \\ z - x & z - y & d - x - 3y - z & -d + x + y + \sigma^3 \\ z - 2x + y & z + x - 2y & d - 2x - 2y - z & -d + 2y + \sigma_x^3 \end{array} .$$

Finally, we assume that $(q_1, q_2, q_3) = (X^2, XY, XZ)$, that is, we consider morphisms of the form

$$\mu(\mathbf{d}) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{Y} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{Z} \\ \mathbf{c}_1 \mathbf{d}/\mathbf{XY} & \mathbf{c}_2 \mathbf{d}/\mathbf{XZ} & \mathbf{c}_3 \mathbf{d}/\mathbf{X}^2 \end{bmatrix} .$$

We have

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} & \mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{u}_2 = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z} & \mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{z} \\ \mathbf{u}_3 = \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{v}_3 = \mathbf{d} - 2\mathbf{x} \end{array} .$$

The list of weights for the action of T on $T_{[\mu(\mathbf{d})]} M$ is obtained by removing an extra copy of χ_0 from the table

$$\begin{array}{llll} z - x & y - x & d - 2x - 2y - z & -d + x + y + \sigma_x^3 \\ z - x & y - x & d - 2x - y - 2z & -d + 2x + \sigma^3 \\ 2z - x - y & 2y - x - z & d - 3x - y - z & -d + x + z + \sigma_x^3 \end{array} .$$

All fixed points in M_2 can be obtained from the points ι , κ , λ , μ above by a permutation of variables. We have thus found the T -representation for all tangent spaces at fixed points in M_2 . To complete the picture, we need to determine which points of M_2^T lie in the closure of

$$(M \setminus \overline{M_2})^T = (M_0 \cup M_1)^T .$$

This can be done using Proposition 3.3.1. For all points in column four of Table 3 below, we have $\dim N_{\chi_0} = 1$. This shows that these points lie in the closure of affine lines in $(M_0 \cup M_1)^T$.

Table 3. Fixed points in M_2 .

(q_1, q_2, q_3)	equation of support	affine lines	limit sheaves
(XY, XZ, YZ)	$\Sigma^5 \setminus \{X^5, Y^5, Z^5\}$		X^2Y^2Z XY^2Z^2 X^2YZ^2
(X^2, XY, YZ)	$\Sigma^5 \setminus \{Y^5, Z^5, XZ^4\}$		X^2Y^2Z XY^2Z^2 X^3YZ
(X^2, XY, Y^2)	$\Sigma^5 \setminus \{Z^5, Z^4X, Z^4Y\}$		X^2Y^2Z XY^3Z X^3YZ
(X^2, XY, XZ)	$\Sigma^5 \setminus \{Y^5, Y^4Z, Y^3Z^2, Y^2Z^3, YZ^4, Z^5\}$	XYZ^3 XY^2Z^2 XY^3Z	X^2Y^2Z X^2YZ^2 X^3YZ

3.4. Fixed points in M_3 . The list of weights for the action of T on $T_\varphi W_3$ given by formula (3.1.1) is represented by the array

$$\begin{array}{cc} -v_1 - u_1 + \sigma^3 & -v_1 - u_2 + \sigma^1 \\ -v_2 - u_1 + \sigma^4 & -v_2 - u_2 + \sigma^2 \end{array} .$$

The list of weights for the action of T on $T_\varphi(G_3\varphi)$ given by formula (3.1.2) is represented by the array

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \chi_0 & \chi_0 & \\ u_2 - u_1 + \sigma^2 & -v_2 + v_1 & \chi_0 \end{array} .$$

Proposition 3.4.1. *The normal space $N_{[\mathcal{F}]}$ to M_3 at $[\mathcal{F}]$ can be identified with*

$$H^0(\mathcal{F})^* \otimes H^1(\mathcal{F}).$$

The torus T acts on $N_{[\mathcal{F}]}$ with weights

$$u_1 + v_1 - x - y - z, \quad u_1 + v_2 - 2x - y - z, \quad u_1 + v_2 - x - 2y - z, \quad u_1 + v_2 - x - y - 2z.$$

Proof. The argument is analogous to the argument at [5, Proposition 6.2]. Let $\kappa: H^0(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be the canonical morphism and let $\mathcal{K} = \text{Ker}(\kappa)$. Applying the snake lemma to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & 0 & & 0 & \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ & & & \Omega^1(1) & & \mathcal{K} & \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & 4\mathcal{O} & \longrightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{O} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \kappa & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(-3) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

we get the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^1(1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-3) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We claim that $\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$. This follows from the long $\text{Ext}(_, \mathcal{F})$ -sequence associated to the above short exact sequence and from the vanishing of

$$\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}(-3), \mathcal{F}), \quad \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}(-1), \mathcal{F}), \quad \text{Ext}^1(\Omega^1(1), \mathcal{F}).$$

To see that the last group vanishes we apply the long $\text{Ext}(\Omega^1(1), _)$ -sequence to the short exact sequence expressing \mathcal{F} as the cokernel of φ and we use the vanishing of $\text{Ext}^1(\Omega^1(1), \mathcal{O})$, $\text{Ext}^1(\Omega^1(1), \mathcal{O}(1))$, $\text{Ext}^2(\Omega^1(1), \mathcal{O}(-3))$, $\text{Ext}^2(\Omega^1(1), \mathcal{O}(-1))$.

Applying the long $\text{Ext}(_, \mathcal{F})$ -sequence to the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\kappa} \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0$$

we obtain a surjective map $\varepsilon: \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{F})^* \otimes H^1(\mathcal{F})$. Consider an extension sheaf

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Assume that the extension class of \mathcal{E} belongs to $\mathcal{Ker}(\varepsilon)$. Then, as in [5, Proposition 6.2], we deduce that $H^0(\pi)$ has a splitting. Thus, $h^0(\mathcal{E}) = 8$ and, from Lemma 3.4.2 below, we have $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = 2$. It becomes clear now that we can apply the horseshoe lemma to the above extension in order to obtain a resolution of the form

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}(-3) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)) \oplus (\mathcal{O}(-3) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)) \xrightarrow{\psi} (\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)) \oplus (\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0,$$

$$\psi = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi & w \\ 0 & \varphi \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, \mathcal{E} gives a tangent vector to M_3 , namely the image of w in $W_3/T_e G_3 \simeq T_{[\mathcal{F}]} M_3$. Since $\mathcal{Ker}(\varepsilon)$ is contained in $T_{[\mathcal{F}]} M_3$ and both spaces have dimension 22, we conclude that $\mathcal{Ker}(\varepsilon) = T_{[\mathcal{F}]} M_3$. This proves the first part of the proposition.

To determine the action of T on N consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(-3) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{t})^{-1} & & \downarrow \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{t}) & & \downarrow \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{t}) \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(-3) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{t}\varphi} & \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{t}\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

The action of T on $H^0(\mathcal{F})$ is given by $\mathbf{t}s = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{t})^{-1} \mu_{\mathbf{t}-1}^*(s)$. Under the identification $\mathbb{C} \oplus V^* \simeq H^0(\mathcal{F})$, we have $\mathbf{t}s = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{t})^{-1} \mu_{\mathbf{t}-1}^*(s)$. Thus, T acts on $H^0(\mathcal{F})$ with weights $-\nu_1, -\nu_2 + x, -\nu_2 + y, -\nu_2 + z$. As in [5, Proposition 6.2], T acts on $H^1(\mathcal{F})$ with weight $\mathbf{u}_1 - x - y - z$. \square

Lemma 3.4.2. *Assume that \mathcal{F} gives a point in M_3 . Let \mathcal{E} be an extension of \mathcal{F} by \mathcal{F} . If $h^0(\mathcal{E}) = 8$, then $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = 2$.*

Proof. Assume, instead, that $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = 1$. Denote $\mathfrak{m} = h^1(\mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega^1(1))$. The E^1 -level of the Beilinson spectral sequence [8, (2.2.3)] converging to \mathcal{E} reads

$$5\mathcal{O}(-2) \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} \mathfrak{m}\mathcal{O}(-1) \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} 2\mathcal{O}.$$

$$\mathcal{O}(-2) \xrightarrow{\varphi_3} (\mathfrak{m} + 2)\mathcal{O}(-1) \xrightarrow{\varphi_4} 8\mathcal{O}$$

Note that $\mathfrak{m} \geq 5$ because φ_2 is surjective. Note that $\mathfrak{m} \leq 7$ because \mathcal{E} maps surjectively to $\mathcal{Ker}(\varphi_2)/\mathcal{Im}(\varphi_1)$. If $\mathfrak{m} = 7$, then the first row above is a monad, so its cohomology has slope $-2/3$, destabilising \mathcal{E} . Thus, $\mathfrak{m} = 5$ or 6.

Assume, firstly, that $\mathfrak{m} = 5$. Denote $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{D}}(1)$. The Beilinson free monad [8, (2.2.1)] yields a resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow 2\mathcal{O}(-2) \xrightarrow{\psi} 8\mathcal{O}(-2) \oplus 5\mathcal{O}(-1) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \Omega^1 \oplus 4\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus 5\mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow 0$$

in which $\varphi_{12} = 0$, $\varphi_{22} = 0$. The map $5\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is an isomorphism on global sections and \mathcal{G} has no zero-dimensional torsion. This shows, as in the proof of [11,

Proposition 3.1.3], that ψ_{21} has one of the following canonical forms:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ X & R \\ Y & S \\ Z & T \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ X & 0 \\ Y & R \\ Z & S \\ 0 & T \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} X & 0 \\ Y & 0 \\ Z & R \\ 0 & S \\ 0 & T \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here $\{R, S, T\}$ is a basis of V^* . At [11, Proposition 3.1.3] it is shown how each of these forms leads to a contradiction.

Assume next that $m = 6$. The Beilinson free monad [8, (2.2.1)] yields the resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-2) \longrightarrow 5\mathcal{O}(-2) \oplus 8\mathcal{O}(-1) \longrightarrow 2\Omega^1 \oplus 8\mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow 0,$$

hence the resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-2) \longrightarrow 2\mathcal{O}(-3) \oplus 5\mathcal{O}(-2) \oplus 8\mathcal{O}(-1) \xrightarrow{\varphi} 6\mathcal{O}(-2) \oplus 8\mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow 0.$$

As in the argument at [11, Proposition 2.1.4], the rank of φ_{12} is maximal, otherwise \mathcal{E} would map surjectively to the cokernel of a morphism $2\mathcal{O}(-3) \rightarrow 2\mathcal{O}(-2)$, violating semi-stability. We arrive at the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-2) \xrightarrow{\psi} 2\mathcal{O}(-3) \oplus 8\mathcal{O}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-2) \oplus 8\mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow 0.$$

The map $8\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is an isomorphism on global sections. It follows, as in the argument at [11, Proposition 2.1.4], that $\text{Coker}(\psi_{12}) \simeq 5\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \Omega^1(1)$. Combining the resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow 2\mathcal{O}(-3) \oplus 5\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \Omega^1(1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-2) \oplus 8\mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow 0$$

with the Euler sequence we obtain the resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow 2\mathcal{O}(-3) \oplus 5\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus 3\mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{O}(-2) \oplus 8\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow 0.$$

As before, φ_{23} has maximal rank, otherwise \mathcal{E} would map surjectively to the cokernel of a morphism $2\mathcal{O}(-3) \oplus 5\mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-2) \oplus 6\mathcal{O}$, contradicting semi-stability. Canceling $3\mathcal{O}$ we obtain a resolution of \mathcal{E} that fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(-3) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0. \\ & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & 2\mathcal{O}(-3) \oplus 5\mathcal{O}(-1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(-2) \oplus 5\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

The map $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ above is the inclusion given in the hypothesis of the lemma, so its cokernel is \mathcal{F} . From the snake lemma we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Coker}(\beta) \longrightarrow \text{Coker}(\alpha) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $\alpha(-1)$ and α are injective on global sections, we see that α is injective, hence $\text{Coker}(\alpha) \simeq \mathcal{O}(-2) \oplus 4\mathcal{O}$. From the above exact sequence we see that $h^1(\text{Coker}(\beta)(-1)) = 1$. On the other hand, if $\beta_{11} \neq 0$, then $h^1(\text{Coker}(\beta)(-1)) = 0$. If $\beta_{11} = 0$, then $h^1(\text{Coker}(\beta)(-1)) = 3$. We have arrived at a contradiction. Our original assumption that $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = 1$ must be wrong. This proves the lemma. \square

Recall from Section 2.5 that M_3^Γ consists of isolated points of the form $\mathcal{O}_Q(-\mathcal{Y})(2)$. They are cokernels of morphisms in W_3 of the form

$$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{d}) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}_1 \mathbf{d}/\mathbf{q} & \mathbf{l} \\ \mathbf{c}_2 \mathbf{d}/\mathbf{l} & \mathbf{q} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\mathbf{l} \in \{X, Y, Z\}$, \mathbf{q} is a quadratic monomial, \mathbf{l} does not divide \mathbf{q} , \mathbf{d} is a monomial of degree 5 in the ideal (\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{q}) , and $\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. We have

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{d} - \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{l} & \mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{l} \\ \mathbf{u}_2 = 0 & \mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{q} \end{array}.$$

All fixed points in M_3 can be obtained from the points $\mathbf{v}(X, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{d})$ by swapping X and Y , or by swapping X and Z . Thus, in order to describe the Γ -representation for all tangent spaces at fixed points in M_3 , it is enough to give the list of weights for the action of Γ on $T_{[\mathbf{v}(X, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{d})]} M$. This is

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathbf{d} - \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z} & -\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{x} + (\sigma^4 \setminus \{\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{x}\}) & -\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{d} - 3\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z} & -\mathbf{d} + \mathbf{q} + \sigma_x^3 & -\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z} \\ \mathbf{d} - 2\mathbf{x} - 2\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z} & & -\mathbf{q} + (\sigma_x^2 \setminus \{\mathbf{q}\}) \\ \mathbf{d} - 2\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} - 2\mathbf{z} & & \end{array}.$$

To give a complete picture for the torus fixed locus in M_3 we need to determine which points of M_3^Γ lie in the closure of

$$(M \setminus M_3)^\Gamma = (M_0 \cup M_1 \cup M_2)^\Gamma.$$

This can be done using Proposition 3.4.1. For all points represented in column 4 of Table 4 below we have $\dim N_{X_0} = 1$. This shows that these points lie in the closure of affine lines in $(M_0 \cup M_1 \cup M_2)^\Gamma$.

Table 4. Fixed points in M_3 .

\mathbf{l}	\mathbf{q}	\mathbf{d}	limit sheaves
X	Y^2	$\Sigma^5 \setminus \{Z^5, YZ^4\}$	$Y^3Z, X^2YZ, XY^2Z, XYZ^2$
	Z^2	$\Sigma^5 \setminus \{Y^5, Y^4Z\}$	$YZ^3, X^2YZ, XY^2Z, XYZ^2$
	YZ	$\Sigma^5 \setminus \{Y^5, Z^5\}$	$Y^2Z^2, X^2YZ, XY^2Z, XYZ^2$
Y	X^2	$\Sigma^5 \setminus \{Z^5, XZ^4\}$	$X^3Z, X^2YZ, XY^2Z, XYZ^2$
	Z^2	$\Sigma^5 \setminus \{X^5, X^4Z\}$	$XZ^3, X^2YZ, XY^2Z, XYZ^2$
	XZ	$\Sigma^5 \setminus \{X^5, Z^5\}$	$X^2Z^2, X^2YZ, XY^2Z, XYZ^2$
Z	Y^2	$\Sigma^5 \setminus \{X^5, X^4Y\}$	$XY^3, X^2YZ, XY^2Z, XYZ^2$
	X^2	$\Sigma^5 \setminus \{Y^5, XY^4\}$	$X^3Y, X^2YZ, XY^2Z, XYZ^2$
	XY	$\Sigma^5 \setminus \{X^5, Y^5\}$	$X^2Y^2, X^2YZ, XY^2Z, XYZ^2$

3.5. Proof of the main theorem. We are now ready to prove the theorem announced in the introduction. We first recall some general facts. It is known that every irreducible component X of M^Γ is a smooth subvariety of M . The irreducible components of M^Γ are disjoint. For every $x \in X$ we have $\dim(T_x M)_{X_0} = \dim X$. Given points $x, y \in X$, the tangent spaces $T_x M$ and $T_y M$ are isomorphic as Γ -modules. Inspecting Tables 2, 3, and 4, we see that the only fixed points $[\varphi]$ outside M_0 for which $\dim(T_{[\varphi]} M)_{X_0} = 2$ are $[\varepsilon(X^2Y^2Z)]$, $[\varepsilon(XY^2Z^2)]$, and $[\varepsilon(X^2YZ^2)]$. Therefore, these are the only points of $M \setminus M_0$ that belong to an irreducible component of M^Γ of dimension 2. All other points in $(M \setminus M_0)^\Gamma$ belong to an irreducible component of the fixed locus of dimension 0 or 1. In view of Section 2.2.2 and

of the comments at the end of Section 3.2, we conclude that there are only three irreducible components of M^Γ of dimension greater than 1, namely S and two other surfaces obtained from S by interchanging X and Z , respectively, by interchanging Y and Z . As mentioned at Section 2.2.2, S can be obtained from $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ by blowing up three points on the diagonal and then blowing down the strict transform of the diagonal. The Poincaré polynomial of S is $P(x) = x^4 + 4x^2 + 1$ and its Hodge numbers satisfy the relation $h^{p,q} = 0$ if $p \neq q$. A further examination of Tables 1, 2, 3, and 4, proves the first part of the theorem concerning the structure of M^Γ . Beside the three surfaces, there are 1329 isolated points and 174 irreducible components isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 . Let Π be the set of points, let Λ be the set of lines, let Σ be the set of surfaces in M^Γ . Let Ξ be the set of irreducible components of M^Γ .

Let $\lambda(t) = (t^{n_0}, t^{n_1}, t^{n_2})$ be a one-parameter subgroup of T that is not orthogonal to any non-zero character $\chi \in \chi^*(T)$ appearing in the weight decomposition of some $T_x M$, $x \in M^\Gamma$. Inspecting the tables of characters from Section 3, we see that the set of characters $\chi \neq \chi_0$ for which $\dim(T_x M)_\chi \neq 0$ for some $x \in M^\Gamma$ is contained in the set

$$\{ix + jy + kz, \quad -6 \leq i, j, k \leq 6\}.$$

We can thus choose $\lambda(t) = (1, t, t^7)$. We consider the \mathbb{C}^* action on M induced by λ . Given $X \in \Xi$ and $x \in X$, we denote by $p(X)$ the dimension of the subspace of $T_x M$ on which \mathbb{C}^* acts with positive weights. In other words, $p(X)$ is the sum of the dimensions of the non-zero spaces $(T_x M)_\chi$ for which $\langle \lambda, \chi \rangle > 0$. According to the comments at the beginning of this proof, $p(X)$ does not depend on the choice of $x \in X$. These numbers are computed in Appendix A using Singular [6] programs. The Homology Basis Formula [3, Theorem 4.4]

$$H_m(M, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \bigoplus_{X \in \Xi} H_{m-2p(X)}(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

implies that the homology groups $H_m(M, \mathbb{Z})$, $0 \leq m \leq 52$, are torsion-free. This also yields a formula for the Poincaré polynomial:

$$P_M(x) = \sum_{x \in \Pi} x^{2p(x)} + \sum_{X \in \Lambda} (x^2 + 1)x^{2p(X)} + \sum_{X \in \Sigma} (x^4 + 4x^2 + 1)x^{2p(X)}.$$

Starting from this, the Singular [6] program in Appendix A computes the expression for P_M given in the introduction. Owing to the fact [3, (4.7)] that the Homology Basis Formula respects the Hodge decomposition, we have the isomorphism

$$H^p(M, \Omega_M^q) \simeq \bigoplus_{X \in \Xi} H^{p-p(X)}(X, \Omega_X^{q-p(X)}).$$

This shows that $h^{p,q}(M) = 0$ for $p \neq q$, the same property being true for the Hodge numbers of all $X \in \Xi$.

APPENDIX A. SINGULAR PROGRAMS

```
ring r=0, (x,y,z), dp;
```

```
int i,j; poly P, q, q1, q2, l1, l2, l3; P=0;
int points, lines; points = 0; lines = 0;
```

```
list s1, s2, s2_0, s2_1, s2_2, s3, s4, s5, d;
```

```

s1=list(x,y,z);
s2=list(2x, 2y, 2z, x+y, x+z, y+z);
s2_0=list(2y, 2z, y+z);
s2_1=list(2x, 2z, x+z);
s2_2=list(2x, 2y, x+y);
s3=list(3x, 3y, 3z, 2x+y, 2x+z, x+2y, 2y+z, x+2z, y+2z, x+y+z);
s4=list(4x, 4y, 4z, 3x+y, 2x+2y, x+3y, 3x+z, 2x+2z, x+3z,
3y+z, 2y+2z, y+3z, 2x+y+z, x+2y+z, x+y+2z);
s5=list(5x,5y,5z,4x+y,3x+2y,2x+3y,x+4y,4x+z,3x+2z,2x+3z,x+4z,
4y+z,3y+2z,2y+3z,y+4z,3x+y+z,x+3y+z,x+y+3z,
2x+2y+z,2x+y+2z,x+2y+2z);

proc add(poly p, list l)
{int i; list ll; ll=list();
  for(i=1; i<=size(l); i=i+1){ll=ll+list(p+l[i]);};
  return(ll);};

proc positive_part(list l)
{int i; int p; p=0; for (i=1; i<=size(l); i=i+1) {if (l[i]>0) {p=p+1;}};
  return(p);};

proc values(list w, list l)
{int i; list v; v=list(); for (i=1; i<=size(w); i=i+1)
  {v=v+list((w[i]/x)*l[1]+(w[i]/y)*l[2]+(w[i]/z)*l[3]);};
  return(v);};

proc sub(list l, list ll)
{list lll; int i,j,e; lll=l; for (j=1; j<=size(ll); j=j+1)
  {e=1; for(i=1; i<=size(lll); i=i+1)
    {if (lll[i]==ll[j] and e==1) {lll=delete(lll,i); e=0;}};};
  return(lll);};

proc id2(list l)
{list ll; ll = list(); int i; for (i=1; i<=size(l); i=i+1)
  {ll = ll+ add(l[i], s3);};
  return(sub(s5, (sub(s5, ll))));};

proc id3(list l)
{list ll; ll = list(); int i; for (i=1; i<=size(l); i=i+1)
  {ll = ll+ add(l[i], s2);};
  return(sub(s5, (sub(s5, ll))));};

proc point_3(list l)
{points=points+3;
  return(x^(2*positive_part(values(l, list(0,1,7))))
+x^(2*positive_part(values(l, list(7,1,0))))
+x^(2*positive_part(values(l, list(0,7,1)))));};

```

```

proc point_3_1(list l)
{points=points+3;
return(x^(2*positive_part(values(l, list(0,1,7))))
+x^(2*positive_part(values(l, list(1,0,7))))
+x^(2*positive_part(values(l, list(7,1,0)))));};

proc point_6(list l)
{points=points+6;
return(x^(2*positive_part(values(l, list(0,1,7))))
+x^(2*positive_part(values(l, list(1,0,7))))
+x^(2*positive_part(values(l, list(7,1,0))))
+x^(2*positive_part(values(l, list(1,7,0))))
+x^(2*positive_part(values(l, list(0,7,1))))
+x^(2*positive_part(values(l, list(7,0,1)))));};

proc line_3(list l)
{lines=lines+3;
return((1+x^2)*x^(2*positive_part(values(l, list(0,1,7))))
+(1+x^2)*x^(2*positive_part(values(l, list(7,1,0))))
+(1+x^2)*x^(2*positive_part(values(l, list(0,7,1)))));};

proc line_3_1(list l)
{lines=lines+3;
return((1+x^2)*x^(2*positive_part(values(l, list(0,1,7))))
+(1+x^2)*x^(2*positive_part(values(l, list(1,0,7))))
+(1+x^2)*x^(2*positive_part(values(l, list(7,1,0)))));};

proc line_6(list l)
{lines=lines+6;
return((1+x^2)*x^(2*positive_part(values(l, list(0,1,7))))
+(1+x^2)*x^(2*positive_part(values(l, list(1,0,7))))
+(1+x^2)*x^(2*positive_part(values(l, list(7,1,0))))
+(1+x^2)*x^(2*positive_part(values(l, list(1,7,0))))
+(1+x^2)*x^(2*positive_part(values(l, list(0,7,1))))
+(1+x^2)*x^(2*positive_part(values(l, list(7,0,1)))));};

proc surface_3(list l)
{return((1+4*(x^2)+x^4)*x^(2*positive_part(values(l, list(0,1,7))))
+(1+4*(x^2)+x^4)*x^(2*positive_part(values(l, list(7,1,0))))
+(1+4*(x^2)+x^4)*x^(2*positive_part(values(l, list(0,7,1)))));};

proc w0(list u, list v)
{return(add(-v[1]-u[1], s2) + add(-v[1]-u[2], s2) + add(-v[1]-u[3], s1)
+add(-v[2]-u[1], s2) + add(-v[2]-u[2], s2) + add(-v[2]-u[3], s1)
+add(-v[3]-u[1], s2) + add(-v[3]-u[2], s2) + add(-v[3]-u[3], s1));};

proc g0(list u, list v)
{return(list(u[1]-u[1], u[1]-u[2], -v[1]+v[1], -v[1]+v[2], -v[1]+v[3])

```

```
+ list(u[2]-u[1], u[2]-u[2], -v[2]+v[1], -v[2]+v[2], -v[2]+v[3])
+ add(u[3]-u[1], s1) +
add(u[3]-u[2], s1) + list(-v[3]+v[1], -v[3]+v[2], -v[3]+v[3]));};
```

```
proc m0(list u, list v)
{return(sub(w0(u,v), g0(u,v)));};
```

```
list alpha;
for (i=1; i<=3; i=i+1) {for (j=1; j<=3; j=j+1)
{alpha = m0(list(s2_0[i]-x, s2_1[j]-y, 0), list(x, y, z));
P=P+point_3(alpha);}};
```

```
alpha = m0(list(x, x, 0), list(x, y, z));
P=P+point_3_1(alpha);
```

```
list beta;
for (i=1; i<=3; i=i+1) {for (j=1; j<=3; j=j+1)
{beta = m0(list(s2_0[i]-x, s1[j], 0), list(x, y, z));
P=P+line_3_1(beta);}};
```

```
list gamma;
gamma = m0(list(x,y,0), list(x,y,z));
P = P + surface_3(gamma);
```

```
list delta;
q1=2x; q2=2y;
d=sub(id3(list(3x, x+2y, 2x+y, 3y)), list(2x+2y+z));
for (i=1; i<=size(d); i=i+1)
{delta= m0(list(d[i]-x-y-q2, d[i]-x-y-q1, 0),
list(x, y, -d[i]+q1+q2+x+y));
P = P + point_3(delta);};
```

```
d=list(2x+2y+z);
for (i=1; i<=size(d); i=i+1)
{delta= m0(list(d[i]-x-y-q2, d[i]-x-y-q1, 0),
list(x, y, -d[i]+q1+q2+x+y));
P = P + line_3(delta);};
```

```
q1=2x; q2=2z;
d=sub(id3(list(3x, x+2z, 2x+y, y+2z)), list(3x+y+z));
for (i=1; i<=size(d); i=i+1)
{delta= m0(list(d[i]-x-y-q2, d[i]-x-y-q1, 0),
list(x, y, -d[i]+q1+q2+x+y));
P = P + point_6(delta);};
```

```
q1=2z; q2=x+y;
d=sub(id3(list(x+2z, 2x+y, y+2z, x+2y)), list(2x+2y+z));
for (i=1; i<=size(d); i=i+1)
```

```
{delta= m0(list(d[i]-x-y-q2, d[i]-x-y-q1, 0), list(x, y, -d[i]+q1+q2+x+y));
P = P + point_3(delta);};
```

```
q1=2x; q2=y+z;
d=sub(id3(list(3x, x+y+z, 2x+y, 2y+z)), list(2x+y+2z, 3x+2y));
for (i=1; i<=size(d); i=i+1)
{delta= m0(list(d[i]-x-y-q2, d[i]-x-y-q1, 0), list(x, y, -d[i]+q1+q2+x+y));
P = P + point_6(delta);};
```

```
d=list(2x+y+2z);
for (i=1; i<=size(d); i=i+1)
{delta= m0(list(d[i]-x-y-q2, d[i]-x-y-q1, 0), list(x, y, -d[i]+q1+q2+x+y));
P = P + line_6(delta);};
```

```
q1=2x; q2=x+y;
d=sub(id3(list(3x, 2x+y, x+2y)), list(2x+y+2z, 3x+y+z, 2x+2y+z, 2x+3y));
for (i=1; i<=size(d); i=i+1)
{delta= m0(list(d[i]-x-y-q2, d[i]-x-y-q1, 0), list(x, y, -d[i]+q1+q2+x+y));
P = P + point_6(delta);};
```

```
d=list(2x+y+2z,3x+y+z,2x+2y+z,2x+3y);
for (i=1; i<=size(d); i=i+1)
{delta= m0(list(d[i]-x-y-q2, d[i]-x-y-q1, 0), list(x, y, -d[i]+q1+q2+x+y));
P = P + line_6(delta);};
```

```
q1=x+z; q2=y+z;
d=sub(id3(list(2x+z, x+y+z, 2y+z)), list(x+y+3z, x+3y+z, 2x+2y+z, 3x+y+z));
for (i=1; i<=size(d); i=i+1)
{delta= m0(list(d[i]-x-y-q2, d[i]-x-y-q1, 0), list(x, y, -d[i]+q1+q2+x+y));
P = P + point_3(delta);};
```

```
d=list(x+y+3z,x+3y+z,3x+y+z);
for (i=1; i<=size(d); i=i+1)
{delta= m0(list(d[i]-x-y-q2, d[i]-x-y-q1, 0), list(x, y, -d[i]+q1+q2+x+y));
P = P + line_3(delta);};
```

```
q1=2x; q2=x+z;
d=sub(id3(list(3x, 2x+z, 2x+y, x+y+z)),
list(3x+2z, 2x+y+2z, 2x+2y+z, 4x+y));
for (i=1; i<=size(d); i=i+1)
{delta= m0(list(d[i]-x-y-q2, d[i]-x-y-q1, 0),
list(x, y, -d[i]+q1+q2+x+y));
P = P + point_6(delta);};
```

```
d=list(3x+2z,2x+y+2z,2x+2y+z);
for (i=1; i<=size(d); i=i+1)
{delta= m0(list(d[i]-x-y-q2, d[i]-x-y-q1, 0),
list(x, y, -d[i]+q1+q2+x+y));
```

```

P = P + line_6(delta);};

q1=x+y; q2=y+z;
d=sub(id3(list(2x+y, x+y+z, x+2y, 2y+z)),
list(x+2y+2z, 2x+y+2z, 2x+3y, 3x+y+z));
for (i=1; i<=size(d); i=i+1)
{delta= m0(list(d[i]-x-y-q2, d[i]-x-y-q1, 0),
list(x, y, -d[i]+q1+q2+x+y));
P = P + point_6(delta);};

d=list(x+2y+2z,2x+y+2z,3x+y+z);
for (i=1; i<=size(d); i=i+1)
{delta= m0(list(d[i]-x-y-q2, d[i]-x-y-q1, 0),
list(x, y, -d[i]+q1+q2+x+y));
P = P + line_6(delta);};

q1=x+z; q2=2z;
d=sub(id3(list(2x+z, x+2z, x+y+z, y+2z)),
list(x+2y+2z, 2x+y+2z, 3x+2z));
for (i=1; i<=size(d); i=i+1)
{delta= m0(list(d[i]-x-y-q2, d[i]-x-y-q1, 0),
list(x, y, -d[i]+q1+q2+x+y));
P = P + point_6(delta);};

d=list(x+2y+2z, 3x+2z);
for (i=1; i<=size(d); i=i+1)
{delta= m0(list(d[i]-x-y-q2, d[i]-x-y-q1, 0),
list(x, y, -d[i]+q1+q2+x+y));
P = P + line_6(delta);};

proc w1(list u, list v)
{return(add(-v[1]-u[1],s1)+add(-v[1]-u[2],s1)+list(-v[1]-u[3],-v[1]-u[4])
+add(-v[2]-u[1],s2)+add(-v[2]-u[2],s2)+add(-v[2]-u[3],s1)+add(-v[2]-u[4],s1)
+add(-v[3]-u[1],s2)+add(-v[3]-u[2],s2)+add(-v[3]-u[3],s1)+add(-v[3]-u[4],s1)
+add(-v[4]-u[1],s2)+add(-v[4]-u[2],s2)+add(-v[4]-u[3],s1)+add(-v[4]-u[4],s1));};

proc g1(list u, list v)
{return(add(-v[2]+v[1], s1) + list(-v[2]+v[2], -v[2]+v[3], -v[2]+v[4])
+ add(-v[3]+v[1], s1) + list(-v[3]+v[2], -v[3]+v[3], -v[3]+v[4])
+ add(-v[4]+v[1], s1) + list(-v[4]+v[2], -v[4]+v[3], -v[4]+v[4])
+ list(0, 0, 0, 0, u[1]-u[2], u[2]-u[1], u[3]-u[4], u[4]-u[3])
+ add(u[3]-u[1], s1) + add(u[3]-u[2], s1)
+ add(u[4]-u[1], s1) + add(u[4]-u[2], s1));};

proc m1(list u, list v, list s)
{return(sub(w1(u,v), sub(g1(u,v), s))};

list epsilon;

```

```

d=sub(id3(add(x, list(x+y, x+z, y+z)) +
add(y, list(x+y, x+z, y+z))), list(2x+2y+z, x+y+3z));
for (i=1; i<=size(d); i=i+1)
{epsilon = m1(list(d[i]-y, d[i]-x, x+y+z, x+y+z),
list(x+y-d[i], -y-z, -x-z, -x-y),
list(-d[i]+2x+2y+z, -d[i]+2x+2y+z));
P = P + point_3(epsilon);}

d=list(x+y+3z);
for (i=1; i<=size(d); i=i+1)
{epsilon = m1(list(d[i]-y, d[i]-x, x+y+z, x+y+z),
list(x+y-d[i], -y-z, -x-z, -x-y),
list(-d[i]+2x+2y+z, -d[i]+2x+2y+z));
P = P + line_3(epsilon);}

list zeta;
l1=x; l2=y;
d=sub(id3(add(x, list(2x, x+y, y+z)) +
add(y, list(2x, x+y, y+z))), list(3x+2y, 2x+2y+z, 2x+y+2z));
for (i=1; i<=size(d); i=i+1)
{zeta = m1(list(d[i]-l2, d[i]-l1, 2x+y, x+y+z),
list(-d[i]+l1+l2, -2x, -y-z, -x-y),
list(2x+y-d[i]+l1+l2, x+y+z-d[i]+l1+l2));
P = P + point_6(zeta);}

d=list(2x+y+2z);
for (i=1; i<=size(d); i=i+1)
{zeta = m1(list(d[i]-l2, d[i]-l1, 2x+y, x+y+z),
list(-d[i]+l1+l2, -2x, -y-z, -x-y),
list(2x+y-d[i]+l1+l2, x+y+z-d[i]+l1+l2));
P = P + line_6(zeta);}

l1=x; l2=z;
d=sub(id3(add(x, list(2x, x+y, y+z)) +
add(z, list(2x, x+y, y+z))), list(3x+y+z, 2x+y+2z, x+3y+z));
for (i=1; i<=size(d); i=i+1)
{zeta = m1(list(d[i]-l2, d[i]-l1, 2x+y, x+y+z),
list(-d[i]+l1+l2, -2x, -y-z, -x-y),
list(2x+y-d[i]+l1+l2, x+y+z-d[i]+l1+l2));
P = P + point_6(zeta);}

d=list(x+3y+z);
for (i=1; i<=size(d); i=i+1)
{zeta = m1(list(d[i]-l2, d[i]-l1, 2x+y, x+y+z),
list(-d[i]+l1+l2, -2x, -y-z, -x-y),
list(2x+y-d[i]+l1+l2, x+y+z-d[i]+l1+l2));
P = P + line_6(zeta);}

```

```

l1=y; l2=z;
d=sub(id3(add(y, list(2x, x+y, y+z)) +
add(z, list(2x, x+y, y+z))), list(2x+2y+z, x+2y+2z));
list s_z; s_z = list();
for (i=1; i<=size(d); i=i+1)
{zeta = m1(list(d[i]-l2, d[i]-l1, 2x+y, x+y+z),
list(-d[i]+l1+l2, -2x, -y-z, -x-y),
list(2x+y-d[i]+l1+l2, x+y+z-d[i]+l1+l2));
s_z= s_z + list(size(zeta));
P = P + point_6(zeta);}

list eta;
l1=x; l2=y;
d=sub(id3(add(x, list(2x, x+y, 2y)) + add(y, list(2x, x+y, 2y))),
list(3x+2y, 2x+3y, x+2y+2z, 2x+y+2z));
for (i=1; i<=size(d); i=i+1)
{eta= m1(list(d[i]-l2, d[i]-l1, x+2y, 2x+y),
list(-d[i]+l1+l2, -2y, -2x, -x-y),
list(x+2y-d[i]+l1+l2, 2x+y-d[i]+l1+l2));
P = P + point_3(eta);}

d=list(x+2y+2z, 2x+y+2z);
for (i=1; i<=size(d); i=i+1)
{eta= m1(list(d[i]-l2, d[i]-l1, x+2y, 2x+y),
list(-d[i]+l1+l2, -2y, -2x, -x-y),
list(x+2y-d[i]+l1+l2, 2x+y-d[i]+l1+l2));
P = P + line_3(eta);}

l1=x; l2=z;
d=sub(id3(add(x, list(2x, x+y, 2y)) + add(z, list(2x, x+y, 2y))),
list(2x+2y+z, 3x+y+z));
for (i=1; i<=size(d); i=i+1)
{eta= m1(list(d[i]-l2, d[i]-l1, x+2y, 2x+y),
list(-d[i]+l1+l2, -2y, -2x, -x-y),
list(x+2y-d[i]+l1+l2, 2x+y-d[i]+l1+l2));
P = P + point_6(eta);}

list theta;
l1=x; l2=y;
d=sub(id3(add(x, list(2x, x+y, x+z)) + add(y, list(2x, x+y, x+z))),
list(3x+y+z, 3x+2y, 2x+y+2z, x+3y+z, x+2y+2z));
for (i=1; i<=size(d); i=i+1)
{theta = m1(list(d[i]-l2, d[i]-l1, 2x+y, 2x+z),
list(-d[i]+l1+l2, -x-y, -x-z, -2x),
list(2x+y-d[i]+l1+l2, 2x+z-d[i]+l1+l2));
P = P + point_6(theta);}

d=list(2x+y+2z, x+3y+z, x+2y+2z);

```

```

for (i=1; i<=size(d); i=i+1)
{theta = m1(list(d[i]-l2, d[i]-l1, 2x+y, 2x+z),
list(-d[i]+l1+l2, -x-y, -x-z, -2x),
list(2x+y-d[i]+l1+l2, 2x+z-d[i]+l1+l2));
P = P + line_6(theta)};

l1=y; l2=z;
d=sub(id3(add(y, list(2x, x+y, x+z)) + add(z, list(2x, x+y, x+z))),
list(2x+2y+z, 2x+y+2z, x+y+3z, x+2y+2z, x+3y+z));
for (i=1; i<=size(d); i=i+1)
{theta = m1(list(d[i]-l2, d[i]-l1, 2x+y, 2x+z),
list(-d[i]+l1+l2, -x-y, -x-z, -2x),
list(2x+y-d[i]+l1+l2, 2x+z-d[i]+l1+l2));
P = P + point_3_1(theta)};

d=list(x+y+3z, x+2y+2z, x+3y+z);
list s_t; s_t = list();
for (i=1; i<=size(d); i=i+1)
{theta = m1(list(d[i]-l2, d[i]-l1, 2x+y, 2x+z),
list(-d[i]+l1+l2, -x-y, -x-z, -2x),
list(2x+y-d[i]+l1+l2, 2x+z-d[i]+l1+l2));
s_t = s_t + list(size(theta));
P = P + line_3_1(theta)};

proc w2(list u, list v)
{return(add(-v[1]-u[1], s1) + add(-v[1]-u[2], s1) + add(-v[1]-u[3], s1)
+add(-v[2]-u[1], s1) + add(-v[2]-u[2], s1) + add(-v[2]-u[3], s1)
+add(-v[3]-u[1], s3) + add(-v[3]-u[2], s3) + add(-v[3]-u[3], s3))};

proc g2(list u, list v)
{return(list(u[1]-u[1], u[1]-u[2], u[1]-u[3])
+ list(u[2]-u[1], u[2]-u[2], u[2]-u[3])
+ list(u[3]-u[1], u[3]-u[2], u[3]-u[3])
+ list(0, 0, -v[1]+v[2], -v[2]+v[1])
+ add(-v[3]+v[1], s2) + add(-v[3]+v[2], s2))};

proc m2(list u, list v)
{return(sub(w2(u,v), g2(u,v))
+ list(u[1]+v[3]-x-y-z, u[2]+v[3]-x-y-z, u[3]+v[3]-x-y-z))};

list iota;
d=sub(id2(list(x+y, x+z, y+z)), list(2x+2y+z, x+2y+2z, 2x+y+2z));
for (i=1; i<=size(d); i=i+1)
{iota = m2(list(x, y, z), list(0, 0, d[i]-x-y-z));
P = P + x^(2*positive_part(values(iota, list(0,1,7))))};

points=points+15;

```

```

list kappa;
d= sub(id2(list(2x, x+y, y+z)), list(x+2y+2z, 3x+y+z, 2x+2y+z));
for (i=1; i <=size(d); i=i+1)
{kappa = m2(list(y-x, x-z, 0), list(x, z, d[i]-x-y));
P = P + point_6(kappa)};

```

```

list lambda;
d= sub(id2(list(2x, x+y, 2y)), list(x+3y+z, 3x+y+z, 2x+2y+z));
for (i=1; i <=size(d); i=i+1)
{lambda = m2(list(x-y, y-x, 0), list(y, x, d[i]-x-y));
P = P + point_3(lambda)};

```

```

list mu;
d=sub(id2(list(2x, x+y, x+z)),
list(x+y+3z, x+2y+2z, x+3y+z, 2x+y+2z, 2x+2y+z, 3x+y+z));
for (i=1; i <=size(d); i=i+1)
{mu = m2(list(x-y, x-z, 0), list(y, z, d[i]-2x));
P = P + point_3_1(mu)};

```

```

d = list(x+y+3z, x+2y+2z, x+3y+z);
for (i=1; i <=size(d); i=i+1)
{mu = m2(list(x-y, x-z, 0), list(y, z, d[i]-2x));
P = P + line_3_1(mu)};

```

```

proc w3(list u, list v)
{return(add(-v[1]-u[1], s3) + add(-v[1]-u[2], s1)
+ add(-v[2]-u[1], s4) + add(-v[2]-u[2], s2))};

```

```

proc g3(list u, list v)
{return(list(0, 0, 0)
+ add(u[2]-u[1], s2) + add(-v[2]+v[1], s1))};

```

```

proc m3(list u, list v)
{return(sub(w3(u,v), g3(u,v))
+ list(u[1]+v[1]-x-y-z, u[1]+v[2]-2x-y-z, u[1]+v[2]-x-2y-z,
u[1]+v[2]-x-y-2z))};

```

```

list nu;
q=2y;
d= sub(s5, list(x+3y+z, 2x+y+2z, 3x+y+z, 2x+2y+z, 5z, y+4z));
for (i=1; i <=size(d); i=i+1)
{nu = m3(list(d[i]-q-x, 0), list(x, q));
P = P + point_6(nu)};

```

```

q=y+z;
d=sub(s5, list(x+2y+2z, 2x+y+2z, 3x+y+z, 2x+2y+z, 5y, 5z));
for (i=1; i <=size(d); i=i+1)
{nu = m3(list(d[i]-q-x, 0), list(x, q));

```

$P = P + \text{point_3_1}(\text{nu});\};$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Białyński-Birula. *Some theorems on actions of algebraic groups*. Ann. Math. **98** (1973), 480–497.
- [2] A. Białyński-Birula. *On fixed points of torus actions on projective varieties*. Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci., Sér. Sci. Math. Astron. Phys. **22** (1974), 1097–1101.
- [3] J. Carrell. *Torus actions and cohomology*. Algebraic quotients. Torus actions and cohomology. The adjoint representation and the adjoint action. Encycl. Math. Sci. 131(2). Springer, Berlin, 2002.
- [4] J. Choi, K. Chung. *Moduli spaces of α -stable pairs and wall-crossing on \mathbb{P}^2* . arXiv:1210.2499.
- [5] J. Choi, M. Maican. *Torus action on the moduli spaces of plane sheaves*. arXiv:1304.4871
- [6] W. Decker, G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister, H. Schönemann. SINGULAR 3-1-6 — A computer algebra system for polynomial computations. <http://www.singular.uni-kl.de> (2012).
- [7] J.-M. Drézet. *Cohomologie des variétés de modules de hauteur nulle*. Math. Ann. **281** (1988), 43–85.
- [8] J.-M. Drézet, M. Maican. *On the geometry of the moduli spaces of semi-stable sheaves supported on plane quartics*. Geom. Dedicata **152** (2011), 17–49.
- [9] J. Le Potier. *Faisceaux semi-stables de dimension 1 sur le plan projectif*. Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **38** (1993), 635–678.
- [10] M. Maican. *A duality result for moduli spaces of semistable sheaves supported on projective curves*. Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **123** (2010), 55–68.
- [11] M. Maican. *On the moduli spaces of semi-stable plane sheaves of dimension one and multiplicity five*. Ill. J. Math., in press.
- [12] Y. Yuan. *Moduli spaces of semistable sheaves of dimension 1 on \mathbb{P}^2* . arXiv:1206.4800

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE ROMANIAN ACADEMY, CALEA GRIVITEI 21, BUCHAREST 010702, ROMANIA

E-mail address: mario.maican@imar.ro