arXiv:1305.2698v1 [physics.plasm-ph] 13 May 2013

Modulational interactions in quantum plasmas

F. Sayed¹, S. V. Vladimirov^{1,2,3}, Yu. Tyshetskiy¹, and O. Ishihara²

¹School of Physics, University of Sydney, New South Wales 2006, Australia

²Faculty of Engineering, Yokohama National University, Yokohama 240-8501, Japan

³Metamaterials Laboratory, National Research University of Information Technology,

Mechanics, and Optics, St Petersburg 199034, Russia

Abstract

A formalism for treating modulational interactions of electrostatic fields in collisionless quantum plasmas is developed, based on the kinetic Wigner-Poisson model of quantum plasma. This formalism can be used in a range of problems of nonlinear interaction between electrostatic fields in a quantum plasma, such as development of turbulence, self-organization, as well as transition from the weak turbulent state to strong turbulence. In particular, using this formalism, we obtain the kinetic quantum Zakharov equations, that describe nonlinear coupling of high frequency Langmuir waves to low frequency plasma density variations, for cases of non-degenerate and degenerate plasma electrons.

I. INTRODUCTION

Modern plasma physics deals mainly with nonlinear phenomena, which are often dominant in basic plasma research as well as in many experimental and industrial applications. The applications of nonlinear plasma physics are wide-ranging, and plasma nonlinear effects are often used to illustrate general nonlinear phenomena in arbitrary media. One of the specific nonlinear phenomena in plasma physics is modulational interaction [1, 2]. Such interactions describe various modulational effects in nonlinear media, such as amplitude modulation, frequency modulation, phase modulation, self modulation, etc. [3]. Modulational phenomena play a key role in the development of many nonlinear plasma processes. The process of modulational interactions is especially significant for high power energy input into a plasma as in, e.g., the development of turbulence, the process of self-organization, and the transition from the weak turbulent state to strong turbulence. These phenomena result in the formation of strongly correlated structures (solitons, cavitons, etc.), the generation of strong magnetic fields, heating, and effective particle acceleration. Because of the important role of modulational interactions in plasma physics, many works are devoted to them [1–10].

Recently, there has been increasing interest in quantum plasmas due to their relevance to modern laser-matter interaction experiments (e.g., the compressed hydrogen in the fast ignition scenario of inertial fusion is in a quantum plasma state), as well as their ubiquity in different astrophysical and cosmological systems [11–13] (e.g., interstellar or molecular clouds, planetary rings, comets, interiors of white dwarf stars, etc.), in nanostructures [14], and in microelectronic devices [15]. Many authors include quantum corrections to quantum plasma echoes [16], self-consistent dynamics of Fermi gases [17], quantum beam instabilities [18], dispersion of ion acoustic waves [19], classical and quantum kinetics of the Zakharov system [20], quantum corrections to the Zakharov equations [21], expansion of quantum electron gases into vacuum [22], quantum ion acoustic waves [23], quantum Landau damping [24], magnetohydrodynamics of quantum plasmas [25], etc. Quantum plasmas have extremely high plasma number densities and/or low temperatures. At low temperatures, the thermal de-Broglie wavelength becomes comparable to the inter-electron distance and the electron temperature becomes comparable to the electron Fermi temperature T_F , defined as

$$k_B T_F \equiv E_F = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (3\pi^2)^{2/3} n^{2/3}, \qquad (1)$$

and the electron energy distribution becomes step-like (degenerate limit). In this case, quantum mechanical effects play a significant role in the behavior of charged particles [26– 30]. As electrons are lighter than ions, the quantum behavior of electrons is reached faster than ions. At room temperature and standard metallic densities, the electron gas in an ordinary metal is a good example of a quantum plasma system. The concept of quantum plasma is also applicable in semiconductor physics. The electron density in semiconductors is much lower than in metals, but the great degree of miniaturization of today's electronic components is such that the de Broglie wavelength of the charge carriers can be comparable to the spatial variation of the doping profiles. In the behavior of such electronic components, typical quantum mechanical effects (e.g., quantum tunneling effects) are expected to play a central role. Another possible application of quantum plasmas arises from astrophysics. In astrophysical and cosmological compact objects, the density of charged particles is extremely high (some ten orders of magnitude larger than that of ordinary solids). The properties of plasma existing in such ultra-dense states possess strong quantum effects and exhibit fluid and crystal properties in a quantum sea of electrons [12].

The theory of modulational interactions in classical plasmas, based on the formalism of nonlinear kinetic classical plasma response, is well developed [4]. It provides a systematic description of different modulational interaction processes, including the nonlinear coupling of high frequency Langmuir waves with low frequency plasma density variations that is described by the well-known Zakharov equations for classical plasmas [31]. In this paper, we generalize the formalism of modulational interactions to nonrelativistic quantum plasmas, based on the Wigner kinetic description of collisionless quantum plasmas. In particular, we derive kinetically the effective cubic response of a quantum plasma (which in general is a complex-valued function), which can be used for various modulational processes. As an illustration of its use, we derive the quantum-corrected Zakharov equations for collisionless quantum plasmas by neglecting the imaginary part of the effective cubic response.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we write the quantum kinetic model for the plasma particles, derive the higher order distribution functions, and thus obtain the formalism for modulational interactions in quantum plasmas. In Sec. III we derive nonlinear responses for both non-degenerate and degenerate plasma electrons. In Sec. IV we apply this formalism and derive the kinetic quantum Zakharov equations for both non-degenerate and degenerate plasma electrons. Finally, in Sec. V we summarize our results and discuss their physical significance.

II. FORMALISM FOR MODULATIONAL INTERACTIONS

We start with the Wigner kinetic equation [32, 33] for the quantum electron distribution function (Wigner function) f_e that reads [32]

$$\frac{\partial f_e}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f_e = -\frac{iem_e^3}{(2\pi)^3 \hbar^4} \int \int d\mathbf{\lambda} d\mathbf{v}' \exp\left[i\frac{m_e}{\hbar}(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}') \cdot \mathbf{\lambda}\right] \\ \times \left[\phi\left(\mathbf{x} + \frac{\mathbf{\lambda}}{2}, t\right) - \phi\left(\mathbf{x} - \frac{\mathbf{\lambda}}{2}, t\right)\right] f_e(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}', t), \tag{2}$$

where ϕ is the electric potential obeying Poisson's equation

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 4\pi \sum_{e,i} q_{e,i} \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} f_{e,i},\tag{3}$$

where $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla \phi$, $q_{e,i} = (\mp)e$ with e the magnitude of the electron charge, m_e is the electron mass, \hbar is the reduced Planck constant and f_i is the ion distribution function. We are interested in the plasma response to the self-consistent electrostatic field E. To describe this response, we need to solve the kinetic equation to find f_e . The solution can be sought in the form of the expansion

$$f_e = f_e^{(0)} + f_e^{(1)} + f_e^{(2)} + \dots,$$
(4)

where $f_e^{(0)}$ is the unperturbed distribution function which is assumed to be uniform (i.e., independent of **r**), and $f_e^{(1)}$, $f_e^{(2)}$ etc. are the small perturbations (linear, quadratic, etc.) of the electron distribution function in powers of the electric field strength, i.e., $f_e^{(n)} \propto E^n$. We consider weak fields, linearize Eq. (2) using the weak field approximation (i.e. $|f_e^{(0)}| \gg$ $|f_e^{(1)}| \gg |f_e^{(2)}| \gg \dots$), and Fourier transform Eqs. (2) and (3). The resulting linearized equation for $\tilde{f}_e(\mathbf{k},\omega)$ and $\phi(\mathbf{k},\omega)$ - the Fourier transforms of the corresponding functions $f_e(\mathbf{r},t)$ and $\phi(\mathbf{r},t)$ - follows from Eq. (2) as

$$(\omega - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}) \tilde{f}_{e}^{(1)} = \frac{em_{e}^{3}}{(2\pi)^{3}\hbar^{4}} \int \int d\mathbf{\lambda} d\mathbf{v}' \exp\left[i\frac{m_{e}}{\hbar}(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}') \cdot \mathbf{\lambda}\right] \\ \times \left[e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\frac{\mathbf{\lambda}}{2}} - e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\frac{\mathbf{\lambda}}{2}}\right] \phi(\mathbf{k},\omega) f_{e}^{(0)}(\mathbf{v}'),$$
(5)

which can be rewritten as

$$(\omega - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v})\tilde{f}_{e}^{(1)} = \frac{em_{e}^{3}}{(2\pi)^{3}\hbar^{4}} \int \int d\mathbf{\lambda} d\mathbf{v}' \left\{ \exp\left[i\frac{m_{e}}{\hbar}(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}') \cdot \mathbf{\lambda} + i\mathbf{k} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{\lambda}}{2}\right] - \exp\left[i\frac{m_{e}}{\hbar}(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}') \cdot \mathbf{\lambda} - i\mathbf{k} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{\lambda}}{2}\right] \right\} \phi(\mathbf{k}, \omega) f_{e}^{(0)}(\mathbf{v}').$$
(6)

Now integrating over λ -space gives

$$(\omega - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}) \tilde{f}_{e}^{(1)} = \frac{em_{e}^{3}}{\hbar^{4}} \int d\mathbf{v}' \left\{ \delta \left[\frac{m_{e}}{\hbar} (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}') + \frac{\mathbf{k}}{2} \right] -\delta \left[\frac{m_{e}}{\hbar} (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}') - \frac{\mathbf{k}}{2} \right] \right\} \phi(\mathbf{k}, \omega) f_{e}^{(0)}(\mathbf{v}'),$$
(7)

where δ is the Dirac delta function. Now on integrating over \mathbf{v}' - space, we have

$$\tilde{f}_{e}^{(1)} = \left(\frac{e}{\hbar}\right) \frac{1}{\Omega} \Big[f_{e}^{(0)}(\mathbf{v} + \boldsymbol{\Delta}) - f_{e}^{(0)}(\mathbf{v} - \boldsymbol{\Delta}) \Big] \phi(\mathbf{k}, \omega), \tag{8}$$

where $\Omega = \omega - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}, \ \mathbf{\Delta} = \frac{\hbar \mathbf{k}}{2m_e}.$

For the higher-order perturbations of the electron distribution functions, $f_e^{(n)}$ with $n \ge 2$, we use the convolution theorem and obtain the following results:

$$\tilde{f}_{e}^{(2)} = \left(\frac{e}{\hbar}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\Omega} \int d_{12} \left(\left\{ \frac{1}{(\Omega_{2} - \Delta_{2} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{1})} [f_{e}^{(0)} \left(\mathbf{v} + \Delta_{1+2}\right) - f_{e}^{(0)} \left(\mathbf{v} + \Delta_{1-2}\right)] \right\} - \left\{ \frac{1}{(\Omega_{2} + \Delta_{2} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{1})} [f_{e}^{(0)} \left(\mathbf{v} - \Delta_{1+2}\right) - f_{e}^{(0)} \left(\mathbf{v} - \Delta_{1-2}\right)] \right\} \right) \phi(\mathbf{k}_{1}, \omega_{1}) \phi(\mathbf{k}_{2}, \omega_{2}),$$
(9)

$$\begin{split} \tilde{f}_{e}^{(3)} &= \left(\frac{e}{\hbar}\right)^{3} \frac{1}{\Omega} \int d_{123} \left(\frac{1}{(\Omega - \Omega_{1} - \mathbf{\Delta} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{1} + \Delta_{1}^{2})} \left\{\frac{1}{(\Omega_{3} - \mathbf{\Delta}_{3} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{1} - \mathbf{\Delta}_{3} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{2})} \right. \\ &\left. \left[f_{e}^{(0)} \left(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{\Delta}_{1+2+3}\right) - f_{e}^{(0)} \left(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{\Delta}_{1+2-3}\right)\right]\right\} - \left\{\frac{1}{(\Omega_{3} - \mathbf{\Delta}_{3} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{1} + \mathbf{\Delta}_{3} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{2})} \right. \\ &\left. \left[f_{e}^{(0)} \left(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{\Delta}_{1-2+3}\right) - f_{e}^{(0)} \left(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{\Delta}_{1-2-3}\right)\right]\right\}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{(\Omega - \Omega_{1} + \mathbf{\Delta} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{1} - \Delta_{1}^{2})} \right. \\ &\left. \left\{\frac{1}{(\Omega_{3} + \mathbf{\Delta}_{3} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{1} - \mathbf{\Delta}_{3} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{2})} \left[f_{e}^{(0)} \left(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{\Delta}_{1+2+3}\right) - f_{e}^{(0)} \left(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{\Delta}_{1+2-3}\right)\right]\right\}\right. \\ &\left. - \left\{\frac{1}{(\Omega_{3} + \mathbf{\Delta}_{3} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{1} + \mathbf{\Delta}_{3} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{2})} \left[f_{e}^{(0)} \left(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{\Delta}_{1-2+3}\right) - f_{e}^{(0)} \left(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{\Delta}_{1-2-3}\right)\right]\right\}\right) \\ &\left. - \left\{\frac{1}{(\Omega_{3} + \mathbf{\Delta}_{3} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{1} + \mathbf{\Delta}_{3} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{2})} \left[f_{e}^{(0)} \left(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{\Delta}_{1-2+3}\right) - f_{e}^{(0)} \left(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{\Delta}_{1-2-3}\right)\right)\right\}\right) \\ &\left. - \left\{\frac{1}{(\Omega_{3} + \mathbf{\Delta}_{3} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{1} + \mathbf{\Delta}_{3} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{2})} \left[f_{e}^{(0)} \left(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{\Delta}_{1-2+3}\right) - f_{e}^{(0)} \left(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{\Delta}_{1-2-3}\right)\right)\right\}\right\}\right) \\ &\left. - \left\{\frac{1}{(\Omega_{3} + \mathbf{\Delta}_{3} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{1} + \mathbf{\Delta}_{3} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{2})} \left[f_{e}^{(0)} \left(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{\Delta}_{1-2+3}\right) - f_{e}^{(0)} \left(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{\Delta}_{1-2-3}\right)\right)\right\}\right\}\right\}$$

where

$$d_{12} = d\omega_1 \ d\mathbf{k}_1 \ d\omega_2 \ d\mathbf{k}_2 \ \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k_1} - \mathbf{k_2})\delta(\omega - \omega_1 - \omega_2), \tag{11}$$

$$d_{123} = d\omega_1 \ d\mathbf{k}_1 \ d\omega_2 \ d\mathbf{k}_2 \ d\omega_3 \ d\mathbf{k}_3 \ \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_3)\delta(\omega - \omega_1 - \omega_2 - \omega_3), \tag{12}$$

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{1+2} &= \boldsymbol{\Delta}_1 + \boldsymbol{\Delta}_2, \ \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{1-2} = \boldsymbol{\Delta}_1 - \boldsymbol{\Delta}_2, \ \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{1+2+3} = \boldsymbol{\Delta}_1 + \boldsymbol{\Delta}_2 + \boldsymbol{\Delta}_3, \ \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{1+2-3} = \boldsymbol{\Delta}_1 + \boldsymbol{\Delta}_2 - \boldsymbol{\Delta}_3, \\ \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{1-2+3} &= \boldsymbol{\Delta}_1 - \boldsymbol{\Delta}_2 + \boldsymbol{\Delta}_3, \ \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{1-2-3} = \boldsymbol{\Delta}_1 - \boldsymbol{\Delta}_2 - \boldsymbol{\Delta}_3, \end{split}$$

and

$$\Omega_j = \omega_j - \mathbf{k}_j \cdot \mathbf{v}, \ \mathbf{\Delta}_j = \frac{\hbar \mathbf{k}_j}{2m_e}, \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \text{ and } 3.$$

According to the definition of the nonlinear responses, the quadratic and cubic responses S and Σ are given by

$$\frac{4\pi e}{i|\mathbf{k}|} \int \frac{2d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \tilde{f}_e^{(2)}(\mathbf{k},\omega) = \int d_{12}S_{1,2}E_1E_2,\tag{13}$$

and

$$\frac{4\pi e}{i|\mathbf{k}|} \int \frac{2d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \tilde{f}_e^{(3)}(\mathbf{k},\omega) = \int d_{123} \Sigma_{1,2,3} E_1 E_2 E_3.$$
(14)

We symmetrize the nonlinear responses obtained from Eqs. (13) and (14) and write

$$S_{1,2} = \int \frac{2d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \frac{2\pi i e^3}{\hbar^2} \times \left[\frac{1}{\Omega + \mathbf{\Delta} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)} \left\{ \frac{1}{(\Omega_1 + \Delta_1^2)} + \frac{1}{(\Omega_2 + \Delta_2^2)} \right\} + \frac{1}{\Omega - \mathbf{\Delta} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)} \left\{ \frac{1}{(\Omega_1 - \Delta_1^2)} + \frac{1}{(\Omega_2 - \Delta_2^2)} \right\} - \frac{1}{\Omega + \mathbf{\Delta} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2)} \\ \left\{ \frac{1}{(\Omega_1 + \Delta_1^2)} + \frac{1}{(\Omega_2 - \Delta_2^2)} \right\} - \frac{1}{\Omega - \mathbf{\Delta} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2)} \left\{ \frac{1}{(\Omega_1 - \Delta_1^2)} + \frac{1}{(\Omega_2 + \Delta_2^2)} \right\} \right] \times \frac{f_e^{(0)}(\mathbf{v})}{|\mathbf{k}_1||\mathbf{k}_2||\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2|},$$
(15)

and

$$\begin{split} \Sigma_{1,2,3} &= \int \frac{2d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \frac{2\pi e^4}{\hbar^3} \times \left[\frac{1}{\Omega + \Delta \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3)} \left\{ \frac{1}{\Omega - \Omega_1 + \Delta \cdot (\mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3) - \Delta_1 \cdot (\mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3)} \right. \\ &\left\{ \frac{1}{(\Omega_3 + \Delta_3^2)} + \frac{1}{(\Omega_2 + \Delta_2^2)} \right\} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{1}{\Omega + \Delta \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_3)} \left\{ \frac{1}{(\Omega_3 - \Delta_3^2)} + \frac{1}{(\Omega_2 - \Delta_2^2)} \right\} \\ &\left. \frac{1}{\Omega - \Omega_1 - \Delta \cdot (\mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3) + \Delta_1 \cdot (\mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3)} \right\} - \left\{ \frac{1}{\Omega + \Delta \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_3)} \left\{ \frac{1}{(\Omega_3 - \Delta_3^2)} + \frac{1}{(\Omega_3 - \Delta_3^2)} + \frac{1}{(\Omega_3 - \Delta_3^2)} + \frac{1}{(\Omega_2 + \Delta_2^2)} \right\} \\ &\left. + \frac{1}{(\Omega_2 + \Delta_2^2)} \right\} \frac{1}{\Omega - \Omega_1 + \Delta \cdot (\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_3) - \Delta_1 \cdot (\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_3)} \right\} - \left\{ \frac{1}{\Omega + \Delta \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3)} \\ &\left\{ \frac{1}{(\Omega_3 + \Delta_3^2)} + \frac{1}{(\Omega_2 - \Delta_2^2)} \right\} \frac{1}{\Omega - \Omega_1 - \Delta \cdot (\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_3) + \Delta_1 \cdot (\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_3)} \right\} - \left\{ \frac{1}{(\Omega_3 + \Delta_3^2)} \\ &\left. + \frac{1}{(\Omega_2 - \Delta_2^2)} \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{\Omega - \Delta \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_3)} \left\{ \frac{1}{\Omega - \Omega_1 - \Delta \cdot (\mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3) + \Delta_1 \cdot (\mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3)} \right\} \\ &\left. - \frac{1}{(\Omega_2 - \Delta_2^2)} \right\} \right\} + \frac{1}{\Omega - \Delta \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_3)} \left\{ \frac{1}{\Omega - \Omega_1 - \Delta \cdot (\mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3) + \Delta_1 \cdot (\mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3)} \\ &\left\{ \frac{1}{(\Omega_3 + \Delta_3^2)} + \frac{1}{(\Omega_2 - \Delta_2^2)} \right\} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{1}{\Omega - \Delta \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_3)} \left\{ \frac{1}{\Omega - \Omega_1 - \Delta \cdot (\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_3)} + \frac{1}{(\Omega_2 - \Delta_2^2)} \right\} \\ &\left. \frac{1}{(\Omega_3 + \Delta_3^2)} + \frac{1}{(\Omega_2 - \Delta_2^2)} \right\} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{1}{\Omega - \Delta \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_3)} \left\{ \frac{1}{(\Omega - \Omega_1 - \Delta \cdot (\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_3)} \right\} \right\} \\ &\left. \frac{1}{(\Omega_3 + \Delta_3^2)} + \frac{1}{(\Omega_2 - \Delta_2^2)} \right\} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{1}{\Omega - \Delta \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_3)} \left\{ \frac{1}{(\Omega_3 - \Omega_3^2)} + \frac{1}{(\Omega_2 - \Delta_2^2)} \right\} \right\} \\ &\left. \frac{1}{(\Omega - \Omega_1 + \Delta \cdot (\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_3) - \Delta_1 \cdot (\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_3)} \right\} \right\} \\ &\left. \frac{1}{(\Omega - \Omega_1 + \Delta \cdot (\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_3) - \Delta_1 \cdot (\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_3)} \right\} \right\}$$

To obtain the evolution of high frequency field equations, we use the Poisson equation (for longitudinal waves) in which the terms up to the third order in electric field E are taken into account. In Fourier components we have

$$\varepsilon E = \int d_{12}S_{1,2}E_1E_2 + \int d_{123}\Sigma_{1,2,3}E_1E_2E_3, \qquad (17)$$

where $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\omega, \mathbf{k})$ is the linear dielectric permittivity of the plasma, $S_{1,2}$ and $\Sigma_{1,2,3}$ are the nonlinear plasma responses of the second and third order in field E. The high frequency Langmuir wave is approximated under the assumption that the phase speed is much greater than the electron thermal speed (i.e. $\omega >> \max(kv_{Te}, kv_{Fe})$). The approximation of the Langmuir wave frequency is given by

$$\omega(\mathbf{k}) = \omega_{pe} + C \frac{k^2 v_{\sigma}^2}{\omega_{pe}},\tag{18}$$

where C = 3/2, $\sigma = T_e$ for non-degenerate plasma electrons, and C = 3/10, $\sigma = T_F$ for fully degenerate plasma electrons. For $\omega >> \max(kv_{Te}, kv_{Fe})$ the Langmuir wave frequency weakly depends on **k**. Therefore we can include the waves with all possible wave vectors in field E defined by Eq. (17). Langmuir waves have frequency close to ± 1 (for simplicity, we count here in units of the electron plasma frequency, ω_{pe}), while the virtual wave fields [34] have frequencies close to $0, \pm 2, \pm 3$ etc. The field of the virtual wave is real, and appears from the nonlinear response of the plasma to the real wave field. It is called the "virtual wave field" because it has a certain frequency and wave vector, dictated by the laws of energy (ω) and momentum (**k**) conservation in multi-wave interactions in the nonlinear medium. Yet its frequency and wave vector are not related by any dispersion relation of any natural oscillation of the medium (plasma), hence the name "virtual". In other words, the virtual wave fields are the fields of forced oscillations of the plasma, driven by the interacting natural electrostatic plasma modes (in our case by Langmuir modes), but are not the natural oscillations of the plasma themselves. The virtual wave field on the "zero" frequency (which implies that their frequency is small compared to the Langmuir wave frequency) can be written in the form

$$\varepsilon E^{0} = \int d_{12}S_{1,2}E_{1}^{0}E_{2}^{0} + \int d_{123}\Sigma_{1,2,3}E_{1}^{0}E_{2}^{0}E_{3}^{0} + 2\int d_{12}S_{1,2}E_{1}^{+}E_{2}^{-} + \int d_{123}\Sigma_{1,2,3}(2E_{1}^{+}E_{2}^{-}E_{3}^{0} + 2E_{1}^{-}E_{2}^{+}E_{3}^{0} + 2E_{1}^{0}E_{2}^{+}E_{3}^{-}), \qquad (19)$$

where E^0 is the low-frequency virtual wave field and E^+ , E^- are the high frequency fields and the frequencies of these fields are ± 1 . The first two terms on the right hand side of Eq. (19) describe the nonlinearity of the E^0 field, (i.e. the low-frequency virtual wave field). This nonlinearity is negligible (in the first approximation) if ε is not small on the left-hand side of this equation. The last term on the right hand side of Eq. (19) produces the nonlinear contribution to the dielectric permittivity of the low frequency field excited by the high frequency fields (E^+ , E^-). If ε is not small then this term has the relative order of the ratio of the high frequency energy to plasma particle energy. This ratio is the expansion parameter allowing us to take into account only the first-order nonlinearities. Therefore, under the mentioned condition (ε is not close to zero), this term can also be neglected in the first approximation. Thus we obtain [4]

$$\varepsilon E^0 \approx 2 \int d_{23} S_{2,3} E_2^+ E_3^-,$$
 (20)

where we have replaced the subscript indices 1 and 2 by 2 and 3, respectively. The equation for the E^+ field can be written as

$$\varepsilon E^{+} = 2 \int d_{12}S_{1,2}E_{1}^{+}E_{2}^{0} + 2 \int d_{12}S_{1,2}E_{1}^{-}E_{2}^{+2} + 2 \int d_{123}\Sigma_{1,2,3}E_{1}^{+}E_{2}^{+}E_{3}^{-} + \int d_{123}\Sigma_{1,2,3}E_{1}^{-}E_{2}^{+}E_{3}^{+}.$$
(21)

The field on the second harmonic E^{+2} is produced mainly by the quadratic nonlinearity

$$2 = 1 + 1, (22)$$

and is determined by

$$\varepsilon E^{+2} \approx \int d_{23} S_{2,3} E_2^+ E_3^+.$$
 (23)

Substituting expressions Eqs. (20) and (23) in Eq. (21) we find

$$\varepsilon E^{+} = 2 \int d_{123} \Sigma_{1,2,3}^{\text{eff}} E_{1}^{+} E_{2}^{+} E_{3}^{-} + \int d_{123} \Sigma_{1,2,3}^{\text{eff}} E_{1}^{-} E_{2}^{+} E_{3}^{+}, \qquad (24)$$

where

$$\Sigma_{1,2,3}^{\text{eff}} = \Sigma_{1,2,3} + \frac{2}{\varepsilon_{2+3}} S_{1,2+3} S_{2,3}$$
(25)

is the effective cubic plasma response, and S and Σ are the symmetrized nonlinear responses defined by Eqs. (15) and (16). The subscript 2 + 3 denotes the dependence of the corresponding responses on $(\omega_2 + \omega_3, \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3)$, due to the variation driven by the fields 2 and 3 combined, ε_{2+3} is the total linear dielectric permittivity consists of the electron and ion contributions.

The first term on the right hand side of Eq. (24) is much larger than the second one on the right hand side of Eq. (24) (a detailed explanation is given in Appendix A). Finally the nonlinear equation for the high frequency field can be approximated as

$$\varepsilon E^+ \approx 2 \int d_{123} \Sigma_{1,2,3}^{\text{eff}} E_1^+ E_2^+ E_3^-.$$
 (26)

The total linear dielectric permittivity of the plasma is

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{(e)} + \varepsilon^{(i)} - 1, \tag{27}$$

where $\varepsilon^{(e)}$ and $\varepsilon^{(i)}$ are respectively the linear responses of the electron and ion component of the quantum plasma. The minus 1 in the expression appears because the vacuum contribution was included both in the electron and ion dielectric permittivities. The linear electron contribution can be expressed as

$$\varepsilon_{2+3}^{(e)} = 1 - \frac{4\pi e^2}{m_e} \int \frac{2d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \frac{f_e^{(0)}(\mathbf{p})}{(\omega_{2+3} - \mathbf{k}_{2+3} \cdot \mathbf{v})^2 - \left(\frac{\hbar k_{2+3}^2}{2m_e}\right)^2}.$$
 (28)

We approximate the quadratic and cubic responses, which are contained in $\Sigma_{1,2,3}^{\text{eff}}$ [Eq. (25)] by making the assumptions

1)
$$\frac{\hbar k^2}{2m_e} \ll \omega_{pe},$$

2) $\max(kv_F, kv_{Te}) \ll \omega_{pe},$
3) $\frac{\hbar \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1}{m_e} \lesssim \frac{\hbar k k_1}{m_e} \approx \frac{\hbar k^2}{m_e} \ll \omega_{pe} (\text{when } k_1 \sim k),$
4) $|\Delta \mathbf{k}| = |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1| \lesssim |\mathbf{k}|.$ (29)

We are considering the high-frequency field described by Eq. (26). For the quadratic response, we follow the assumptions 1) and 2) and finally obtain

$$S_{1,2+3} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1}{|\mathbf{k}| |\mathbf{k}_1|} \frac{|\mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3|}{\omega_{pe}^2} \frac{ie}{m_e} \left(\varepsilon_{2+3}^{(e)} - 1\right),$$
(30)

and

$$S_{2,3} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}_3}{|\mathbf{k}_2| |\mathbf{k}_3|} \frac{|\mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3|}{\omega_{pe}^2} \frac{ie}{m_e} \left(\varepsilon_{2+3}^{(e)} - 1\right).$$
(31)

For the cubic response, we follow the assumptions 1) - 4 and get

$$\Sigma_{1,2,3} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1}{|\mathbf{k}| |\mathbf{k}_1|} \frac{\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}_3}{|\mathbf{k}_2| |\mathbf{k}_3|} \frac{e^2 |\mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3|^2}{m_e^2 \omega_{pe}^2} \left(\varepsilon_{2+3}^{(e)} - 1\right).$$
(32)

The effective third-order response $\Sigma_{1,2,3}^{\text{eff}}$ in Eq. (25) can be approximated in terms of the linear response as

$$\Sigma_{1,2,3}^{\text{eff}} = \frac{(1 - \varepsilon_{2+3}^{(e)})\varepsilon_{2+3}^{(i)}}{\varepsilon_{2+3}} \frac{|\mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3|^2}{8\pi n_0 m_e \omega_{pe}^2} \frac{(\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}_3)[\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3)]}{|\mathbf{k}_1||\mathbf{k}_2||\mathbf{k}_3||\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3|},\tag{33}$$

where the quantum plasma linear dielectric permittivities $\varepsilon_{2+3}^{(e)}$, $\varepsilon_{2+3}^{(i)}$ and ε_{2+3} are given in the next section.

III. THE EFFECTIVE THIRD ORDER RESPONSE IN A QUANTUM PLASMA

The effective nonlinear response of a quantum plasma Eq. (33) has the same form as the effective nonlinear response of a classical plasma, but with the quantum-corrected linear responses, i.e., the quantum corrections only enter the linear responses, not Eq. (33) explicitly and this is our main result. Below we consider separately two cases: non-degenerate and degenerate plasma electrons.

A. Non-degenerate Plasma Electrons

It is well known that a plasma is a many-particle system and for its description it is thus natural to use methods of statistical physics [35–37]. Most often the plasma is in partial thermodynamic equilibrium, and its components have different temperatures with different equilibrium functions [38, 39]. The Maxwellian distribution of particles is possible only for sufficiently high temperatures, when the Fermi degeneracy following from the Pauli exclusion principle is negligible. When the thermal energy is large compared with the Fermi energy, the (Fermi-Dirac) distribution is well approximated by a Maxwellian distribution, hence we use the Maxwellian distribution of electrons at equilibrium in this case. On the basis of the kinetic equation with self-consistent fields, the dielectric tensor of a homogeneous isotropic medium can be obtained by the equilibrium distribution function [37]. To derive the quantum-corrected Zakharov equations, we first derive the dielectric permittivity ε . The dispersion equation for longitudinal waves in any isotropic plasma is $\varepsilon(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = 0$, where ε is the longitudinal dielectric function. For an electron-ion plasma in the quantum case, it is suitable to define the quantum-corrected electron (χ_e^{lq}) and ion (χ_i^{lq}) susceptibilities such that the dielectric function becomes

$$\varepsilon = 1 + \chi_e^{lq}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) + \chi_i^{lq}(\omega, \mathbf{k}).$$
(34)

The non-relativistic form for the longitudinal part of the response tensor including the quantum recoil is [19]

$$\varepsilon = 1 - \sum_{\alpha} \frac{4\pi e^2}{m_{\alpha}} \int d\mathbf{p} \frac{f_{\alpha}^{(0)}(\mathbf{p})}{(\omega - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v})^2 - \Delta_{\alpha}^2},\tag{35}$$

where $\alpha = e, i$. Here the quantum recoil for electrons and ions is included through $\Delta_{\alpha} = \hbar k^2 / 2m_{\alpha}$. For a Maxwellian distribution of electrons and ions, the integral may be evaluated in terms of the familiar plasma dispersion function. The singularity (i.e. $(\omega - kp_{\parallel}/m)^2 - \Delta_{\alpha}^2 = 0$ on the integration path over real p_{\parallel}) in the Eq. (35) is avoided using Landau's rule [40–42], by replacing ω with $\omega + i0$ which in fact comes from the proper solution of the initial value problem using the Laplace transform in time. Landau's rule leads to complex-valued response function $\varepsilon(\omega, \mathbf{k})$, since we are ignoring the imaginary part of the response, it is sufficient to use the Fourier transform in time, and consider only the principal value part of the p_x integral in Eq. (35). The result is

$$\int d\mathbf{p} \frac{f_{\alpha}^{(0)}(\mathbf{p})}{\omega - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}} = \frac{n_{\alpha}}{\sqrt{2}|\mathbf{k}|v_{\alpha}} \frac{\phi(y_{\alpha})}{y_{\alpha}}, \quad y_{\alpha} = \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{2}|\mathbf{k}|v_{\alpha}},\tag{36}$$

where the plasma dispersion function is defined by

$$\phi(y) = -\frac{y}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t^2}}{t-y} dt.$$
(37)

An alternative form for $\phi(y)$ for real y is

$$\phi(y) = 2ye^{-y^2} \int_0^y e^{t^2} dt.$$
 (38)

Expansion of the real part gives

$$\phi(y) = y^2 - \frac{4}{3}y^4 + \cdots$$
, for $y^2 \ll 1$, (39)

and

$$\phi(y) = 1 + \frac{1}{2y^2} + \frac{1}{4y^4} + \cdots, \text{ for } y^2 \gg 1.$$
 (40)

Equation (35) is reduced to two terms of the form Eq. (36) by writing

$$\frac{1}{(\omega - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v})^2 - \Delta_{\alpha}^2} = \frac{1}{2\Delta_{\alpha}} \left(\frac{1}{\omega - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v} - \Delta_{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{\omega - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \Delta_{\alpha}} \right).$$
(41)

Then Eq. (36) implies that

$$\int d\mathbf{p} \frac{f_{\alpha}^{(0)}(\mathbf{p})}{\omega - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v} \pm \Delta_{\alpha}} = \frac{n_{\alpha}}{\sqrt{2}|\mathbf{k}|v_{\alpha}} \frac{\phi(y_{\pm\alpha})}{y_{\pm\alpha}},\tag{42}$$

with

$$y_{\pm\alpha} = \frac{\omega \pm \Delta_{\alpha}}{\sqrt{2}|\mathbf{k}|v_{\alpha}}.$$
(43)

Then the electron and ion susceptibilities of a Maxwellian plasma, with the quantum recoil included, are [19]

$$\chi_{\alpha}^{lq}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = -\frac{\omega_{p\alpha}^2}{\sqrt{2}|\mathbf{k}|v_{\alpha}} \frac{1}{2\Delta_{\alpha}} \left[\frac{\phi(y_{-\alpha})}{y_{-\alpha}} - \frac{\phi(y_{+\alpha})}{y_{+\alpha}} \right].$$
(44)

For high frequency Langmuir waves Eq. (44) is approximated under the assumption that the phase speed is greater than the electron thermal speed (i.e. $\omega \gg kv_{Te}$). Using the approximation $y_{\pm e} \gg 1$ the electron susceptibility becomes

$$\chi_e^{lq}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = -\frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{\omega^2 - \Delta_e^2} \left[1 + \frac{3k^2 v_{Te}^2}{\omega^2} \right].$$
(45)

The approximation for the high frequency linear dielectric permittivity is then

$$\varepsilon^{(e)} = 1 - \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{\omega^2} - 3\frac{k^2 v_{Te}^2}{\omega_{pe}^2} - \frac{\hbar^2 k^4}{4m_e^2 \omega_{pe}^2}.$$
(46)

For low frequency plasma density perturbation Eq. (44) is approximated under the assumption that the phase speed is intermediate between the electron and the ion thermal speeds (i.e. $kv_{Ti} \ll \omega \ll kv_{Te}$). In a fluid approach, this corresponds to the electrons behaving isothermally and the ions behaving adiabatically; in a kinetic approach this corresponds to making the approximations $y_{\pm e} \ll 1$ and $y_{\pm i} \gg 1$. Using the approximation $y_{\pm e} \ll 1$ the electron susceptibility becomes

$$\chi_{e}^{lq}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{k^{2} \lambda_{De}^{2}} \left[1 - \frac{(3\omega^{2} + \Delta_{e}^{2})}{3k^{2} v_{Te}^{2}} \right].$$
(47)

Using the approximation $y_{\pm i} \gg 1$ and neglecting the quantum recoil term the ion susceptibility becomes

$$\chi_i^{lq}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = -\frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\omega^2}.$$
(48)

The approximation for low frequency linear dielectric permittivity of the Maxwellian plasma with the electron quantum recoil taken into account is then

$$\varepsilon = 1 - \frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\omega^2} + \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{k^2 v_{Te}^2} \left(1 - \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{12m_e^2 v_{Te}^2} \right).$$
(49)

B. Fully Degenerate Plasma electrons $(T_e = 0)$

It is well known that the degeneracy of a Fermi system, i.e. particles with half-integer spin, becomes important when the Fermi energy becomes comparable to, or exceeds the thermal energy [37]. Here we consider the case of fully degenerate electrons, and derive the linear permittivity of such plasma.

The ratios of the energy spread of ions (defined by v_{Ti} for non-degenerate ions, or v_{Fi} for degenerate ions) to the energy spread of electrons (defined by v_{Fe}) v_{Fi}/v_{Fe} (i.e. $\sqrt{(m_e/m_i)(T_{Fi}/T_{Fe})}$) for degenerate ions and for non-degenerate ions v_{Ti}/v_{Fe} (i.e. $\sqrt{(m_e/m_i)(T_i/T_{Fe})}$) are small. Because the ratio of the electron and ion mass (m_e/m_i) is small and the ratio of the ion and electron temperature (T_i/T_e) is usually small and (T_{Fi}/T_{Fe}) , (T_i/T_{Fe}) are also small. Since ions are much heavier than electrons, the ion susceptibility rather comes from neglecting the energy spread of ions which is small compared to the energy spread of electrons.

Substituting $\tilde{f}_e^{(1)}$ in Poisson's equation with the help of Eq. (8), we obtain the electron susceptibility

$$\chi_e^{lq} = \frac{4\pi e^2}{k^2 \hbar} \int \frac{1}{(\omega - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v})} \left[f_e^{(0)} \left(\mathbf{v} + \frac{\hbar \mathbf{k}}{2m_e} \right) - f_e^{(0)} \left(\mathbf{v} - \frac{\hbar \mathbf{k}}{2m_e} \right) \right] d\mathbf{v}.$$
(50)

After changing variables Eq. (50) becomes

$$\chi_e^{lq} = \frac{4\pi e^2}{k^2 \hbar} \int \left[\frac{1}{\left[\omega - \mathbf{k} \cdot \left(\mathbf{u} - \frac{\hbar \mathbf{k}}{2m_e} \right) \right]} - \frac{1}{\left[\omega - \mathbf{k} \cdot \left(\mathbf{u} + \frac{\hbar \mathbf{k}}{2m_e} \right) \right]} \right] f_e^{(0)}(\mathbf{u}) d\mathbf{u}, \tag{51}$$

which can be written as

$$\chi_{e}^{lq} = -\frac{4\pi e^{2}}{m_{e}} \int \frac{f_{e}^{(0)}(\mathbf{u})}{(\omega - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{u})^{2} - \frac{\hbar^{2}k^{4}}{4m_{e}^{2}}} d\mathbf{u}.$$
(52)

The electron susceptibility was also derived by Bohm and Pines [43] using a series of canonical transformations of the Hamiltonian of the system. Now, we choose a coordinate system such that the x axis is aligned with the wave vector **k**. Then Eq. (52) takes the form

$$\chi_e^{lq} = -\frac{4\pi e^2}{m_e} \int \frac{f_e^{(0)}(\mathbf{u})}{(\omega - ku_x)^2 - \frac{\hbar^2 k^4}{4m_e^2}} d\mathbf{u}.$$
(53)

We consider a plasma with degenerate electrons in the zero-temperature limit, (e.g., $T_e \ll E_{Fe}$). Then, the background distribution function takes the simple form

$$f_e^{(0)}(\mathbf{u}) = \begin{cases} 2\left(\frac{m_e}{2\pi\hbar}\right)^3, & |\mathbf{u}| \le V_{Fe}, \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases}$$
(54)

where V_{Fe} is the speed of an electron on the Fermi surface. The integration can be performed over velocity space perpendicular to u_x , using cartesian coordinates u_y and u_z , and thus Eq. (53) can be written as

$$\chi_e^{lq} = -\frac{4\pi e^2}{m_e} \int \frac{F_e^{(0)}(u_x)}{(\omega - ku_x)^2 - \frac{\hbar^2 k^4}{4m_e^2}} du_x,$$
(55)

where

$$F_{e}^{(0)}(u_{x}) = \iint f_{e}^{(0)}(\mathbf{u}) du_{y} du_{z} = 2\pi \int_{0}^{\sqrt{V_{Fe}^{2} - u_{x}^{2}}} 2\left(\frac{m_{e}}{2\pi\hbar}\right)^{3} u_{\perp} du_{\perp}, \tag{56}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 2\pi \left(\frac{m_e}{2\pi\hbar}\right)^3 \left(V_{Fe}^2 - u_x^2\right), & |u_x| \le V_{Fe}, \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$
(57)

Then Eq. (55) can be written as

$$\chi_e^{lq} = -\frac{3\omega_{pe}^2}{4V_{Fe}^3} \int_{-V_{Fe}}^{V_{Fe}} \frac{V_{Fe}^2 - u_x^2}{(\omega - ku_x)^2 - \frac{\hbar^2 k^4}{4m_e^2}} du_x.$$
(58)

Performing the integration over u_x (using standard Landau's rule) [40–42], we get from Eq. (58) [44]

$$\chi_e^{lq} = \frac{3\omega_{pe}^2}{4k^2 V_{Fe}^2} \left\{ 2 - \frac{m_e}{\hbar k V_{Fe}} \left[V_{Fe}^2 - \left(\frac{\omega}{k} + \frac{\hbar k}{2m_e}\right)^2 \right] \ln \left(\frac{\omega - k V_{Fe} + \frac{\hbar k^2}{2m_e}}{\omega + k V_{Fe} + \frac{\hbar k^2}{2m_e}}\right) + \frac{m_e}{\hbar k V_{Fe}} \left[V_{Fe}^2 - \left(\frac{\omega}{k} - \frac{\hbar k}{2m_e}\right)^2 \right] \ln \left(\frac{\omega - k V_{Fe} - \frac{\hbar k^2}{2m_e}}{\omega + k V_{Fe} - \frac{\hbar k^2}{2m_e}}\right) \right\},\tag{59}$$

where principle branch of the complex log function defined as

$$\ln z = \begin{cases} \ln |z| - i\pi, & z < 0, \\ \ln z, & z \ge 0. \end{cases}$$
(60)

Since we are ignoring the imaginary part of the response function $\varepsilon(\omega, \mathbf{k})$, it is sufficient to use the Fourier transform in time, and consider only the principal value part of the u_x integral in Eq. (59). The result is

$$\chi_{e}^{lq} = \frac{3\omega_{pe}^{2}}{4k^{2}V_{Fe}^{2}} \left\{ 2 - \frac{m_{e}}{\hbar k V_{Fe}} \left[V_{Fe}^{2} - \left(\frac{\omega}{k} + \frac{\hbar k}{2m_{e}}\right)^{2} \right] \ln \left| \frac{\omega - k V_{Fe} + \frac{\hbar k^{2}}{2m_{e}}}{\omega + k V_{Fe} + \frac{\hbar k^{2}}{2m_{e}}} \right| + \frac{m_{e}}{\hbar k V_{Fe}} \left[V_{Fe}^{2} - \left(\frac{\omega}{k} - \frac{\hbar k}{2m_{e}}\right)^{2} \right] \ln \left| \frac{\omega - k V_{Fe} - \frac{\hbar k^{2}}{2m_{e}}}{\omega + k V_{Fe} - \frac{\hbar k^{2}}{2m_{e}}} \right| \right\}.$$
(61)

For a high frequency wave (i.e. $\omega \gg kV_{Fe} \gg \frac{\hbar k^2}{2m_e}$) the approximation for the high frequency linear dielectric permittivity of degenerate plasma is

$$\varepsilon^{(e)} = 1 - \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{\omega^2} - \frac{3}{5} \frac{k^2 V_{Fe}^2}{\omega_{pe}^2} - \frac{\hbar^2 k^4}{4m_e^2 \omega_{pe}^2}.$$
(62)

In a quantum plasma system consisting of mobile ions and inertialess electrons, we have the possibility of low frequency waves (in comparison with the electron plasma frequency) in which case the approximation for low frequency (i.e. $\omega \ll \omega_{pe}, kV_{Fe}$) linear dielectric permittivity is

$$\varepsilon = 1 - \frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\omega^2} + \frac{3\omega_{pe}^2}{k^2 V_{Fe}^2} \left(1 - \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{12m_e^2 v_{Fe}^2} \right).$$
(63)

IV. KINETIC QUANTUM ZAKHAROV EQUATIONS

In order to obtain the set of equations describing the nonlinear interaction between high frequency Langmuir waves and low-frequency plasma density variations, in the quantum regime, we follow the kinetic derivation of the Zakharov equations in the classical case that was carried out by Vladimirov et al.[4]. A general discussion of the validity of the Zakharov equations can be found in the review paper by Thornhill and ter Haar [6].

The approximation Eq. (33) is the main result of this exercise; below we use it to derive the Zakharov equations for a quantum plasma. The low-frequency plasma density variations are derived in Appendix B and are given by

$$\frac{\delta n}{n_0} = -\frac{\varepsilon^{(i)}}{\varepsilon} \left(1 - \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{12m_e^2 v_e^2} \right) \int \frac{E_2^+ E_3^-}{4\pi n_0 T_e} \frac{(\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}_3)}{|\mathbf{k}_2| |\mathbf{k}_3|} d_{23}.$$
 (64)

To find the dynamical evolution equation for the slowly varying amplitude of the high-frequency field $E(\mathbf{r}, t)$, we use the inverse Fourier transform.

The Fourier transform of the fast oscillating total electric field is

$$E(\mathbf{r},t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d\omega d\mathbf{k} \frac{\mathbf{k}}{|\mathbf{k}|} E^+_{\omega,\mathbf{k}} e^{i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\omega t)}.$$
(65)

The slowly-varying envelope of the Langmuir wave electric field can be written as

$$E_{env}(\mathbf{r},t) \simeq E(\mathbf{r},t)e^{i\omega_{pe}t} \simeq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d\omega d\mathbf{k} \frac{\mathbf{k}}{|\mathbf{k}|} E^+_{\omega,\mathbf{k}} e^{i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\omega t+\omega_{pe}t)}.$$
(66)

The high- and low-frequency approximations for the linear response functions for both nondegenerate and fully degenerate plasma electrons are $\varepsilon^{(e)}$ [Eqs. (46), (62)] and ε [Eqs. (49), (63)]. Using the values of Eqs. (64), (66) and $\varepsilon^{(e)}$ in Eq. (26), in the one-dimensional case we obtain from Eq. (26) the equation for the evolution of high frequency field in the form

$$\left(i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + P\frac{v_e^2}{\omega_{pe}}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\hbar^2}{8m_e^2\omega_{pe}}\frac{\partial^4}{\partial x^4}\right)E(x,t) = \frac{\omega_{pe}}{2}\frac{\delta n(x,t)}{n_0}E(x,t),\tag{67}$$

Furthermore, using the definition of plasma density variation in Eq. (64), and using Eqs. (49), (63) and the value of $\varepsilon^{(i)}$, we get the equation for low frequency evolution of plasma density δn in the following form:

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - Qv_s^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\hbar^2}{12m_e^2 v_e^2} \frac{\partial^4}{\partial t^2 \partial x^2}\right) \frac{\delta n(x,t)}{n_0} = \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\hbar^2}{12m_e^2 v_e^2} \frac{\partial^4}{\partial x^4}\right] \frac{|E(x,t)|^2}{4\pi n_0 m_i},\tag{68}$$

where P = 3/2, Q = 1 for non-degenerate plasma electrons, and P = 3/10, Q = 1/3 for fully degenerate plasma electrons. The resulting quantum-corrected Zakharov equations in Eqs. (67) and (68) describe the coupled nonlinear evolution of high-frequency fields and low-frequency density variations in collisionless quantum plasmas.

V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

In this work, we have presented the formalism of modulational interactions in quantum plasmas based on the Wigner kinetic description of collisionless quantum plasmas. Under assumptions 1)-4), we have shown that the nonlinear response of the plasma to electrostatic fields can be described by the effective cubic response function, which takes into account 2and 3-wave interactions in the quantum plasma. We stress that the effective cubic response of a quantum plasma has the same form as the effective cubic response of a classical plasma,

but with the quantum-corrected linear responses, i.e., the quantum corrections only enter the linear responses, not Eq. (33) explicitly; and this is our new result. The derived Zakharov equations for a quantum plasma are an illustration of how the formalism can be applied. The effective cubic response has both real and imaginary parts, while the Zakharov equations only account for the real part of the effective response. The effective cubic response has more information and can also be used for other problems; in particular, for the calculation of nonlinear Landau d
amping of coherent structures. We have used the assumptions
 $k\lambda_{De}\ll 1$ $(\lambda_{De} \text{ is the Debye length for non-degenerate plasma electrons})$ and $k\lambda_F \ll 1$ $(\lambda_F \text{ is the}$ Debye length for degenerate plasma electrons) in our kinetic derivation of the effective cubic response $(\Sigma_{1,2,3}^{\text{eff}})$. Hence our kinetic theory is limited to $k\lambda_{De} \ll 1$ and $k\lambda_F \ll 1$ which is the same region of validity as quantum fluid theory (QFT). In the fluid theory the procedure of averaging over the high frequency (the electron plasma frequency ω_{pe}) has been used to derive the Zakharov equations [31]. This procedure does not allow a proper treatment of the so-called higher and electron nonlinearities straightforwardly and this is the applicability limit in the fluid theory. The kinetic derivation is more general and thus more rigorous, and allows to establish the limits of applicability of the results, which are not at all clear within the fluid theory [21, 31]. Moreover, our Zakharov equations do not match those derived from QFT [21] exactly. In the left hand side Eq. (68), the quantum correction term $[\hbar^2/12m_e^2 v_e^2(\partial^4/\partial x^2\partial t^2)]$ is different from the corresponding term $[\hbar^2/4m_i m_e(\partial^4/\partial x^4)]$ obtain in the framework of QFT. Because in the QFT [21] a real low-frequency wave is assumed, in our case we assume a virtual low-frequency wave instead of a real low-frequency wave. The right-hand side of Eq. (68) for the low frequency evolution of plasma density variations also has an extra term compared to the corresponding equation of QFT [21]. This correction term comes from the dynamics of the quantum electrons that is taken from the kinetic theory which changes the ponderomotive force by a small quantum correction term proportional to \hbar^2 . The general form of the set of equations (Zakharov equations) for both non-degenerate and fully degenerate plasma electrons is the same. Only the coefficients (P and Q) are different for non-degenerate and fully degenerate plasma electrons.

The modulational interactions are significant for highly non-equilibrium systems. The presented formalism can be used in a range of problems including development of turbulence, the process of self-organization, as well as transition from weak turbulent state to strong turbulence. These phenomena result in the formation of strongly correlated structures (solitons, cavitons, etc.), generation of strong magnetic fields, heating, and effective particle acceleration [1–10].

Appendix A

The equation for the E^+ field can be written as

$$\varepsilon E^{+} = 2 \int d_{123} \Sigma_{1,2,3}^{\text{eff}} E_{1}^{+} E_{2}^{+} E_{3}^{-} + \int d_{123} \Sigma_{1,2,3}^{\text{eff}} E_{1}^{-} E_{2}^{+} E_{3}^{+}, \qquad (A1)$$

where

$$\Sigma_{1,2,3}^{\text{eff}} = \Sigma_{1,2,3} + \frac{2}{\varepsilon_{2+3}} S_{1,2+3} S_{2,3} \tag{A2}$$

is the effective cubic plasma response, and S and Σ are the symmetrized nonlinear responses defined by Eqs. (15) and (16). The subscript 2 + 3 denotes the dependence of the corresponding responses on $(\omega_2 + \omega_3, \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3)$, due to the variation driven by the fields 2 and 3 combined. Under assumptions 1)-4), one can approximate the quadratic and cubic responses in Eq. (A2) by

$$S_{1,2+3} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1}{|\mathbf{k}| |\mathbf{k}_1|} \frac{|\mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3|}{\omega_{pe}^2} \frac{ie}{m_e} \left(\varepsilon_{2+3}^{(e)} - 1\right),$$
(A3)

and

$$S_{2,3} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}_3}{|\mathbf{k}_2| |\mathbf{k}_3|} \frac{|\mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3|}{\omega_{pe}^2} \frac{ie}{m_e} \left(\varepsilon_{2+3}^{(e)} - 1 \right).$$
(A4)

For the cubic response, we get

$$\Sigma_{1,2,3} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1}{|\mathbf{k}| |\mathbf{k}_1|} \frac{\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}_3}{|\mathbf{k}_2| |\mathbf{k}_3|} \frac{e^2 |\mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3|^2}{m_e^2 \omega_{pe}^2} \left(\varepsilon_{2+3}^{(e)} - 1\right), \tag{A5}$$

where

$$\varepsilon_{2+3}^{(e)} - 1 = -\frac{4\pi e^2}{m_e} \int \frac{2d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \frac{f_e^{(0)}(\mathbf{p})}{(\omega_{2+3} - \mathbf{k}_{2+3} \cdot \mathbf{v})^2 - \left(\frac{\hbar k_{2+3}^2}{2m_e}\right)^2}.$$
 (A6)

Thus the first term on the right hand side of Eq. (A1) contains the multiplier

$$\varepsilon_{\omega_{2}^{+}+\omega_{3}^{-},\mathbf{k}_{2}^{+}+\mathbf{k}_{3}^{-}}^{(e)} = -\frac{4\pi e^{2}}{m_{e}} \int \frac{2d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi\hbar)^{3}} \frac{f_{e}^{(0)}(\mathbf{p})}{[(\omega_{2}^{+}+\omega_{3}^{-})-(\mathbf{k}_{2}^{+}+\mathbf{k}_{3}^{-})\cdot\mathbf{v}]^{2} - \left[\frac{\hbar(\mathbf{k}_{2}^{+}+\mathbf{k}_{3}^{-})^{2}}{2m_{e}}\right]^{2}},$$
(A7)

where the difference in the frequencies of the interacting waves, $\omega - \omega_1^+ = \omega_2^+ + \omega_3^- \ll \omega_{pe}$. The denominator of Eq. (A7) can be written as

$$\left[(\omega_{2}^{+} + \omega_{3}^{-}) - (\mathbf{k}_{2}^{+} + \mathbf{k}_{3}^{-}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \right]^{2} - \left[\frac{\hbar (\mathbf{k}_{2}^{+} + \mathbf{k}_{3}^{-})^{2}}{2m_{e}} \right]^{2}$$

$$= \left[\left(\omega_{2}^{+} - \mathbf{k}_{2}^{+} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \frac{\hbar k_{2}^{2}}{2m_{e}} \right) + \left(\omega_{3}^{-} - \mathbf{k}_{3}^{-} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \frac{\hbar k_{3}^{2}}{2m_{e}} + \right) + \frac{\hbar \mathbf{k}_{2}^{+} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{3}^{-}}{m_{e}} \right]$$

$$\left[\left(\omega_{2}^{+} - \mathbf{k}_{2}^{-} \cdot \mathbf{v} - \frac{\hbar k_{2}^{2}}{2m_{e}} \right) + \left(\omega_{3}^{-} - \mathbf{k}_{3}^{-} \cdot \mathbf{v} - \frac{\hbar k_{3}^{2}}{2m_{e}} \right) - \frac{\hbar \mathbf{k}_{2}^{+} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{3}^{-}}{m_{e}} \right].$$
(A8)

When $k_j \sim k$, where j = 2 and 3, Eq. (A8) can be approximated under the following assumptions:

1)
$$\frac{\hbar k^2}{2m_e} \ll \omega_{pe},$$

2) $\max(kv_F, kv_{Te}) \ll \omega_{pe},$
3) $\frac{\hbar \mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}_3}{m_e} \lesssim \frac{\hbar k_2 k_3}{m_e} \approx \frac{\hbar k^2}{m_e} \ll \omega_{pe}.$ (A9)

Under the assumptions 1)-3) the denominator of Eq. (A7) is approximately $(\omega^+ + \omega^-)^2 \ll \omega_{pe}^2$ (since $\omega^- \sim -\omega^+$).

The second term on the right hand side of Eq. (A1) contains the multiplier

$$\varepsilon_{\omega_{2}^{+}+\omega_{3}^{+},\mathbf{k}_{2}^{+}+\mathbf{k}_{3}^{+}}^{(e)} - 1 = -\frac{4\pi e^{2}}{m_{e}} \int \frac{2d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi\hbar)^{3}} \frac{f_{e}^{(0)}(\mathbf{p})}{[(\omega_{2}^{+}+\omega_{3}^{+})-(\mathbf{k}_{2}^{+}+\mathbf{k}_{3}^{+})\cdot\mathbf{v}]^{2} - \left[\frac{\hbar(\mathbf{k}_{2}^{+}+\mathbf{k}_{3}^{+})^{2}}{2m_{e}}\right]^{2}},$$
(A10)

where the difference in the frequencies of the interacting waves, $\omega - \omega_1^- = \omega_2^+ + \omega_3^+ \sim 2\omega_{pe}$ (since $\omega_{2,3}^+ \sim \omega_{pe}$).

Similarly to the denominator of Eq. (A7), the denominator of Eq. (A10) is approximately $(\omega^+ + \omega^+)^2 \sim 4\omega_{pe}^2$.

The first term on the right hand side of Eq. (A1) is much larger than the second one on the right hand side of Eq. (A1) because of it's small denomitor (i.e. $\omega^+ + \omega^- \ll \omega_{pe}$). So, the nonlinearity due to the second term of Eq. (A1) is negligible. Finally the nonlinear equation for the high frequency field can be approximated as

$$\varepsilon E^+ \approx 2 \int d_{123} \Sigma_{1,2,3}^{\text{eff}} E_1^+ E_2^+ E_3^-.$$
 (A11)

Appendix B

In this appendix we are deriving the defination of the low-frequency plasma density variations $(\delta n/n_0)$. The approximation for the high frequency field equation can be written as

$$\varepsilon E^+ \approx 2 \int d_{123} \Sigma_{1,2,3}^{\text{eff}} E_1^+ E_2^+ E_3^-,$$
 (B1)

where the effective cubic response $\Sigma_{1,2,3}^{\text{eff}}$ can be written as

$$\Sigma_{1,2,3}^{\text{eff}} = \frac{(1 - \varepsilon_{2+3}^{(e)})\varepsilon_{2+3}^{(i)}}{\varepsilon_{2+3}} \frac{|\mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3|^2}{8\pi n_0 m_e \omega_{pe}^2} \frac{(\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}_3)[\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3)]}{|\mathbf{k}_1||\mathbf{k}_2||\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3|},\tag{B2}$$

and the right hand side of Eq. (B1) gives the value of $(\delta n/n_0)$. Now the right hand side of Eq. (B1) is

$$2\int d_{123}\Sigma_{1,2,3}^{\text{eff}} E_1^+ E_2^+ E_3^- = -\int d_{123} \frac{\varepsilon^{(i)}}{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{4\pi n_0 m_e \omega_{pe}^2 \lambda_{De}^2} \left(1 - \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{12m_e^2 v_e^2}\right) \frac{\left[\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3)\right]}{|\mathbf{k}_1||\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3|} E_1^+ \frac{\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}_3}{|\mathbf{k}_2||\mathbf{k}_3|} E_2^+ E_3^-$$
(B3)

$$= -\int \frac{1}{4\pi n_0 T_e} \left(1 - \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{12m_e^2 v_e^2} \right) \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1}{kk_1} E_1^+ \left(\frac{\varepsilon^{(i)}}{\varepsilon} \frac{\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}_3}{|\mathbf{k}_2||\mathbf{k}_3|} E_2^+ E_3^- \right) d_{123}$$
(B4)

$$= -\int \frac{d1 \ d\Delta \ \delta(\omega - \omega_1 - \Delta\omega)\delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1 - \Delta\mathbf{k})}{4\pi n_0 T_e} \left(1 - \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{12m_e^2 v_e^2}\right) \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1}{kk_1} E_1^+ \left\{\frac{\varepsilon^{(i)}}{\varepsilon} \frac{\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}_3}{|\mathbf{k}_2||\mathbf{k}_3|} E_2^+ E_3^- d2 \ d3 \ \delta(\Delta\omega - \omega_2 - \omega_3)\delta(\Delta\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_3)\right\}$$
(B5)

$$= \int \frac{d_{1\Delta}}{4\pi n_0 T_e} \left(1 - \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{12m_e^2 v_e^2} \right) \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1}{kk_1} E_1^+ \frac{\varepsilon^{(i)}}{\varepsilon} \int \frac{\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}_3}{|\mathbf{k}_2||\mathbf{k}_3|} E_2^+ E_3^- d_{23}$$
(B6)

$$= \int d_{1\Delta} \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1}{kk_1} E_1^+ \frac{\delta n}{n_0},\tag{B7}$$

where

$$d_{123} = d\omega_1 \ d\mathbf{k}_1 \ d\omega_2 \ d\mathbf{k}_2 \ d\omega_3 \ d\mathbf{k}_3 \ \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k_1} - \mathbf{k_2} - \mathbf{k_3})\delta(\omega - \omega_1 - \omega_2 - \omega_3),$$

$$\Delta = 2 + 3, \quad \Delta \omega = \omega - \omega_1 = \omega_2 + \omega_3, \quad \Delta \mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1 = \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3,$$

$$d_{1\Delta} = d1 \ d\Delta \ \delta(\omega - \omega_1 - \Delta \omega)\delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1 - \Delta \mathbf{k}),$$

 $d_{23} = d2 \ d3 \ \delta(\Delta \omega - \omega_2 - \omega_3) \delta(\Delta \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k_2} - \mathbf{k_3}),$

 E_1^+ is the high frequency wave field, and $\delta n/n_0$ is the low frequency variation of plasma density given by

$$\frac{\delta n}{n_0} = -\frac{1}{4\pi n_0 T_e} \left(1 - \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{12m_e^2 v_e^2} \right) \frac{\varepsilon^{(i)}}{\varepsilon} \int \frac{\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}_3}{|\mathbf{k}_2||\mathbf{k}_3|} E_2^+ E_3^- d_{23}.$$
(B8)

Acknowledgment. This study was partially supported by the Australian Research Council (ARC).

- [1] L. I. Rudakov and V. N. Tsytovich, *Phys. Rep. C* 40, 1 (1978).
- [2] M. V. Goldman, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 56, 709 (1984).
- [3] S. I. Popel and M. Y. Yu, *Phys. Rev. E* 50, 3060-3067 (1994).
- [4] Sergey V. Vladimirov et al., Modulational interactions in plasmas (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1995).
- [5] S. A. Kaplan, S. B. Pikel'ner and V. N. Tsytovich, *Phys. Rep. C* 15, 1 (1974).
- [6] S. G. Thornhill and D. ter Harr, Phys. Rep. 43, 43 (1978).
- [7] D. ter Harr and V. N. Tsytovich, Phys. Rep. 73, 175 (1981).
- [8] S. V. Vladimirov and S. I. Popel, Austr. J. Phys. 47, 375 (1994).
- [9] S. V. Vladimirov and S. I. Popel, *Phys. Scr.* **50**, 161 (1994).
- [10] S. I. Popel, S. V. Vladimirov and V. N. Tsytovich, *Phys. Rep.* **259**, 327 (1995).
- [11] Y. D. Jung, *Phys. Plasmas* 8, 3842 (2001).
- [12] G. Chabrier, F. Douchin, and A. Y. Potekhin, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 14, 9133 (2002).
- [13] M. Opher, L. O. Silvia, D. E. Danger, V. K. Decyk, and J. M. Dawson, *Phys. Plasmas* 8, 2454 (2001).
- [14] G. Manfredi, Fields Inst. Commun. 46, 263 (2005), quant-ph/0505004.
- [15] A. Markowich, C. Ringhofer, and C. Schmeiser, *Semiconductor Equations* (Springer, Vienna, 1990).
- [16] G. Manfredi and M. R. Feix, *Phys. Rev. E* 53, 6460 (1996).
- [17] G. Manfredi and F. Haas, *Phys. Rev. B* 64, 075316 (2001).

- [18] D. Anderson, B. Hall, M. Lisak, and M. Marklund, *Phys. Rev. E* 65, 046417 (2002).
- [19] A. Mushtaq and D. B. Melrose, *Phys. Plasmas* 16, 102110 (2009).
- [20] M. Marklund, *Phys. Plasmas* **12**, 082110 (2005).
- [21] L. G. Garcia, F. Haas, L. P. L. de Oliveira, and J. Goedert, *Phys. Plasmas* 12, 012302 (2005).
- [22] S. Mola, G. Manfredi, and M. R. Feix, J. Plasma Phys. 50, 145 (1993).
- [23] F. Haas, L. G. Garcia, J. Goedert, and G. Manfredi, *Phys. Plasmas* 10, 3858 (2003).
- [24] N. Suh, M. R. Feix, and P. Bertrand, J. Comput. Phys. 94, 403 (1991).
- [25] F. Haas, *Phys. Plasmas* **12**, 062117 (2005).
- [26] P. K. Shukla, *Phys. Lett. A* **352**, 242 (2006).
- [27] L. Stenflo, P. K. Shukla, and M. Marklund, Europhys. Lett. 74, 844 (2006).
- [28] A. P. Misra and A. R. Chowdhury, *Phys. Plasmas* 13, 072305 (2006).
- [29] W. F. El-Taibany and M. Wadati, *Phys. Plasmas* 14, 042302 (2007).
- [30] P. K. Shukla and L. Stenflo, *Phys. Lett. A* **355**, 378 (2006).
- [31] V. E. Zakharov, Sov. Phys, JETP 35, 908 (1972) [ZhETF 62, 1745 (1972)].
- [32] Yu. M. Klimontovich and V. P. Silin, Sov. Phys. JETP 23, 151 (1952).
- [33] J. E. Moyal, Proc. Cambr. Phil. Soc. 45, 95 (1949).
- [34] S. V. Vladimirov, S. I. Popel and V. N. Tsytovich, Journal of the Korean Physical Society 31, 143-159 (1997).
- [35] R. K. Pathria, *Statistical Mechanics* 2nd edn (Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann, 1996).
- [36] A. N. Krall, and W. A. Trivelpiece, *Principles of Plasma Physics* (New York: McGraw-Hill, 1973).
- [37] A. F. Alexandrov, L. S. Bogdankevich, and A. A. Rukhadze, *Principles of Plasma Electrody-namics* (Heisenberg: Springer, 1984).
- [38] A. H. Khalfaoui, Doumaz D. Bennaceur, and L. Saoudi, Phys. Plasmas 11, 4167-77 (2004).
- [39] B Shokri, J. Plasma Phys. 67, 329-37 (2002).
- [40] S. V. Vladimirov and Yu. O. Tyshetskiy, *Phys. Uspekhi* 54(12), 1243-1256 (2011).
- [41] Yu. O. Tyshetskiy, S. V. Vladimirov and R. Kompaneets, *Phys. Plasmas* 18, 112104 (2011).
- [42] L. D. Landau, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 16 574 (1946); J. Phys. USSR 10 25 (1946)
- [43] D. Bohm, and D. Pines, *Phys. Rev.* 85, 609-625 (1953).
- [44] B. Elliasson and P. K. Shukla, J. Plasma Phys. 76, 7-17 (2010).