A 5-ENGEL ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRA WHOSE GROUP OF UNITS IS NOT 5-ENGEL

GALINA DERYABINA AND ALEXEI KRASILNIKOV

ABSTRACT. Let R be an associative ring with unity and let [R] and U(R) denote the associated Lie ring (with [a, b] = ab - ba) and the group of units of R, respectively. In 1983 Gupta and Levin proved that if [R] is a nilpotent Lie ring of class c then U(R) is a nilpotent group of class at most c. The aim of the present note is to show that, in general, a similar statement does not hold if [R] is n-Engel. We construct an algebra R over a field of characteristic $\neq 2,3$ such that the Lie algebra [R] is 5-Engel but the group U(R) is not.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let R be an associative ring with unity and let [R] and U(R) denote the associated Lie ring (with [a, b] = ab - ba) and the group of units of R, respectively. It is known that if [R] is a nilpotent Lie ring of class c then U(R) is a nilpotent group of class at most c (Gupta and Levin [7]). Also, if [R] is metabelian then U(R) is metabelian as well (Krasilnikov [9] and Sharma and Srivastava [17]).

If R is an associative ring such that [R] is centre-by-metabelian then U(R), in general, is not centre-by-metabelian. For instance, if F is an infinite field of characteristic 2 and $R = M_2(F)$ is the algebra of all 2×2 matrices over F then it is well-known that [R] is centre-by-metabelian but U(R) does not satisfy any non-trivial identity; in particular, U(R) is not centre-bymetabelian.

However, suppose that R is a unital associative algebra over a field of characteristic 0 generated (as a unital algebra) by its nilpotent elements. Then if [R] is centre-by-metabelian then U(R) is also centre-by metabelian (Krasilnikov and Riley [11]). Moreover, for such an algebra R, if [R] satisfies an arbitrary multilinear Lie commutator identity then U(R) satisfies the corresponding group commutator identity (see [11] for precise definitions); for example, if [R] is solvable of length n then U(R) is also solvable of length at most n.

It is natural to ask whether a similar result holds for Lie commutator identities that are not multilinear; in particular, whether it holds for the Engel identity. We show that this is, in general, not the case.

More precisely, let $[x, y] = [x_{(1)} y] = xy - yx$ and let $[x_{(k+1)} y] = [[x_{(k)} y], y]$ for $k \ge 1$. Recall that a Lie ring L is n-Engel if $[u_{(n)} v] = 0$

for all $u, v \in L$. Similarly, a group G is n-Engel if $(u_{,(n)}v) = 1$ for all $u, v \in G$, where $(x, y) = (x_{,(1)}y) = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$ and $(x_{,(k+1)}y) = ((x_{,(k)}y), y)$ for $k \geq 1$. We are concerned with the following question.

Question. Let F be a field of characteristic 0 and let R be a unital associative F-algebra. Suppose that the Lie algebra [R] is n-Engel. Is the group U(R) also n-Engel?

If n = 2 then the answer to this question is "yes". Indeed, it is well-known (see, for instance, [18, Theorem 3.1.1]) that if [R] is 2-Engel and $charF \neq 3$ then [R] is nilpotent of class 2. Hence, by [7], U(R) is nilpotent of class at most 2 and, therefore, is 2-Engel. If n = 3 and the algebra R is generated (as a unital algebra) by its nilpotent elements then the answer is "yes" as well; this can be deduced from the results of [4] and [5] (see also [1]).

However, in general the answer to the question above is "no". Our result is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. Let F be a field of characteristic $\neq 2,3$. Then there is a unital associative F-algebra R such that [R] is a 5-Engel Lie algebra but U(R) is not a 5-Engel group. This algebra R is generated (as a unital F-algebra) by 2 nilpotent elements.

Note that if R is an associative unital ring and [R] is *n*-Engel then U(R) is *m*-Engel for some m = m(n) (Riley and Wilson [16] and independently Amberg and Sysak [1]). If R is an algebra over a field of characteristic 0 then the existence of such m = m(n) follows also from the results of Zelmanov [19] and Gupta and Levin [7]. One can check that in our example below the group U(R) is 6-Engel (and nilpotent of class 7).

We obtain Theorem 1.1 as a corollary of the result below about the adjoint groups of associative algebras.

Let R be an associative ring with or without unity. It can be easily checked that R is a monoid with respect to *adjoint multiplication* defined by $u \circ v = u + v + uv$ $(u, v \in R)$. The group of units of this monoid is called the *adjoint group* R° of R. It is well-known that if R is nilpotent, that is, if $R^n = \{0\}$ for some positive integer n, then $R^{\circ} = R$. On the other hand, if R is a ring with unity 1 then R° is isomorphic to the group of units U(R)(the mapping $R^{\circ} \to R$ such that $a \to 1 + a$ is an isomorphism of R° onto U(R)).

Note that one can easily deduce from the results of [7, 9, 17] that, for an associative ring R, if the Lie ring [R] is nilpotent of class c or metabelian then the adjoint group R° is also nilpotent of class at most c or metabelian, respectively. Furthermore, if $R^{\circ} = R$ then the converse also holds: if R° is nilpotent of class c then [R] is nilpotent of class c (Du [6]) and if R° is metabelian then [R] is metabelian (Amberg and Sysak [3])

Let F be a field and let A be the free associative F-algebra without 1 on free generators x, y. Let $m(x, y), n(x, y) \in A$ be monic monomials

in x, y. If $n(x,y) = m_1(x,y)m(x,y)m_2(x,y)$ for some monic monomials $m_1(x,y), m_2(x,y) \in A \cup \{1\}$ we say that m(x,y) divides n(x,y) and n(x,y)is a *multiple* of m(x, y).

Let I be the ideal in A generated by the following elements:

- i) all monomials of degree 8;
- ii) all monomials of degree greater than 2 in x;
- iii) all monic monomials of degree 7 except yxy^3xy and y^2xyxy^2 ;
- iv) all monic monomials of degree less than 7 which do not divide the monomials yxy^3xy and y^2xyxy^2 ; v) the polynomial $2xy^3xy - 5yxyxy^2 - 2yxy^3x + 5y^2xyxy$; vi) the polynomial $2yxy^3xy - 5y^2xyxy^2$.

Let B = A/I. It can be easily seen that $B^8 = 0$. Thus, the associative algebra B is nilpotent and, therefore, $B^{\circ} = B$.

Theorem 1.2. Let F be a field of characteristic $\neq 2,3$ and let B = A/I. Then [B] is a 5-Engel Lie algebra but the adjoint group B° is not 5-Engel.

To deduce Theorem 1.1 from Theorem 1.2, we embed a non-unital Falgebra B into its unital hull. Let $B_1 = F \oplus B$ be a direct sum of F-vector spaces F and B. Then B_1 has a natural associative algebra structure in which the elements of F act on B by scalar multiplication. Further, the element 1 of F is unity for B_1 and the set 1 + B forms a group under multiplication isomorphic to the adjoint group B° (the mapping $B^{\circ} \to B_1$) such that $u \to 1 + u$ is an isomorphism of B° onto 1 + B).

It can be easily checked that, since [B] is 5-Engel, so is the Lie ring $[B_1]$. On the other hand, since B° is not 5-Engel, so are the subgroup $(1+B) \simeq B^{\circ}$ of $U(B_1)$ and the group $U(B_1)$. Thus, we have

Corollary 1.3. Let F be a field of characteristic $\neq 2,3$ and let B_1 be the unital hull of B. Then the Lie algebra $[B_1]$ is 5-Engel but the group $U(B_1)$ is not 5-Engel.

Theorem 1.1 follows immediately from Corollary 1.3 (with $R = B_1$).

Recall that if L is a nilpotent Lie algebra over a field of characteristic 0 then L is a group with the multiplication * defined by the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula: $x * y = log(e^x e^y) = x + y + \frac{1}{2}[x, y] + \dots$ (for details see, for example, [8, §9.2]). We denote this group by L^* . If L^* is an *n*-Engel group for some $n \geq 1$ then L is an n-Engel Lie algebra; this is well-known and can be deduced, for instance, from [8, Lemma 10.12 (d)]. However, the converse statement is false.

Indeed, if B is a nilpotent associative algebra over a field of characteristic 0 then it is well-known that $[B]^* \simeq B^\circ$ (see, for instance, [11]). Thus, by Theorem 1.2 we have

Corollary 1.4. Let F be a field of characteristic 0 and let B = A/I be the associative F-algebra defined above. Let L = [B]. Then L is a 5-Engel Lie algebra such that the group L^* is not 5-Engel.

Remarks. 1. Theorems 1.1, 1.2, Corollary 1.3 and their proofs remain valid for algebras over a unital associative and commutative ring F such that $6 \neq 0$ in F.

2. One can check that if R is a nilpotent associative algebra over an infinite field and the group R° is *n*-Engel then the Lie algebra [R] is also *n*-Engel.

3. For an associative ring R, the Lie ring [R] is nilpotent of class c if and only if the adjoint semigroup (R, \circ) is nilpotent of class c in the sense of Mal'cev [12] or Neumann-Taylor [13]. The "only if" part of this statement has been proved independently by Krasilnikov [10] and Riley and Tasic [15] and the "if" part by Amberg and Sysak [2]. Note that if a group G, viewed as a semigroup, is Mal'cev or Neumann-Taylor nilpotent of class c then Gis a nilpotent group of class c in the usual sense [12, 13].

In [14] Riley posed the following problem:

Given any positive integer n, does there exist a semigroup variety \mathcal{P}_n with the property that, for every associative ring R, the Lie ring [R] is n-Engel if and only if the adjoint semigroup (R, \circ) lies in \mathcal{P}_n ?

This problem is not yet solved. Theorem 1.2 shows that, if such a variety of semigroups \mathcal{P}_n exists, the groups that belong to \mathcal{P}_n are not necessarily *n*-Engel.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let I_0 be the two-sided ideal in A generated by the polynomials i)-iv) above. Let $C = A/I_0$. It is clear that $C = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{k=7} C_{(k)}$ where $C_{(k)}$ is the linear span of the monomials of degree k in $x + I_0$, $y + I_0$.

It follows easily from the item iv) that

(1)
$$x^2, xy^2x, y^4, y^2xy^2, xyxy^3, y^3xyx \in I_0.$$

On the other hand, it can be easily checked that all monic monomials in x, y satisfying iv) are multiples of the monomials above. Note that every monomial in x, y of degree 1 in x and of degree 5 or 6 in y belongs to I_0 because such a monomial is a multiple of either y^4 or $y^2 x y^2$ and the latter monomials belong to I_0 . It is straightforward to check that an F-basis of $C_{(6)}$ is formed by the images of

$$xy^3xy, yxyxy^2, yxy^3x, y^2xyxy$$

and an *F*-basis of $C_{(7)}$ is formed by the images of

$$yxy^3xy, y^2xyxy^2.$$

By the definition of I, I/I_0 is the ideal of C generated by the images of the polynomials

$$h_1 = 2xy^3xy - 5yxyxy^2 - 2yxy^3x + 5y^2xyxy$$

and

$$h_2 = 2yxy^3xy - 5y^2xyxy^2.$$

Note that $xh_1 \equiv h_1x \equiv 0 \pmod{I_0}$, $yh_1 \equiv -h_1y \equiv h_2 \pmod{I_0}$ and $xh_2 \equiv h_2x \equiv yh_2 \equiv h_2y \equiv 0 \pmod{I_0}$. Hence, $h_1 + I_0$ and $h_2 + I_0$ form an *F*-basis of the ideal I/I_0 . In particular, $C_{(7)} \cap I/I_0$ is a one-dimensional vector subspace in $C_{(7)}$ generated by the image of h_2 and $C_{(7)}/(C_{(7)} \cap I/I_0)$ is a one-dimensional vector space generated by the image of y^2xyxy^2 .

Let a = x + I, b = y + I. Then, by (1), we have

(2)
$$a^2 = ab^2a = b^4 = b^2ab^2 = abab^3 = b^3aba = 0.$$

It is clear that $B = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{k=7} B_{(k)}$ where $B_{(k)}$ is the linear span of monomials of degree k in a, b. Note that $B_{(7)} \simeq C_{(7)}/(C_{(7)} \cap I/I_0)$ is a one-dimensional vector subspace in B generated by $b^2 a b a b^2$.

To prove that [B] is 5-Engel it suffices to check that $[u, {}_{(5)}v] = 0$ for all $u, v \in B$. Let

$$u = \alpha_1 a + \beta_1 b + \gamma_1 a b + \delta_1 b a + \mu_1 b^2 + u', \qquad v = \alpha_2 a + \beta_2 b + \gamma_2 a b + \delta_2 b a + \mu_2 b^2 + v'$$

where u' and v' are linear combinations of monomials in a, b of degree at least 3. Then it is straightforward to check that

$$\begin{split} & [u_{,(5)} v] = \alpha_1 [a_{,(5)} v] + \beta_1 [b_{,(5)} v] + \gamma_1 [ab_{,(5)} v] + \delta [ba_{,(5)} v] + \mu_1 [b^2_{,(5)} v] \\ & = \alpha_1 \beta_2^5 f_0 + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \beta_2^4 f_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_2^4 \gamma_2 f_2 + \alpha_1 \beta_2^4 \delta_2 f_3 + \alpha_1 \beta_2^4 \mu_2 f_4 + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \beta_2^3 \mu_2 f_5 \\ & + \beta_1 \alpha_2 \beta_2^4 f_6 + \beta_1 \alpha_2^2 \beta_2^3 f_7 + \beta_1 \beta_2^4 \gamma_2 f_8 + \beta_1 \alpha_2 \beta_2^3 \gamma_2 f_9 + \beta_1 \beta_2^4 \delta_2 f_{10} \\ & + \beta_1 \alpha_2 \beta_2^3 \delta_2 f_{11} + \beta_1 \alpha_2 \beta_2^3 \mu_2 f_{12} + \beta_1 \alpha_2^2 \beta_2^2 \mu_2 f_{13} + \gamma_1 \beta_2^5 f_{14} \\ & + \gamma_1 \alpha_2 \beta_2^4 f_{15} + \delta_1 \beta_2^5 f_{16} + \delta_1 \alpha_2 \beta_2^4 f_{17} + \mu_1 \alpha_2 \beta_2^4 f_{18} + \mu_1 \alpha_2^2 \beta_2^3 f_{19}, \end{split}$$

where f_i are multihomogeneous polynomials in a, b of (total) degree 6 or 7.

Recall that every monomial in x, y of degree 1 in x and of degree 5 or 6 in y belongs to I_0 . Hence, every monomial in a, b of degree 1 in a and of degree 5 or 6 in b is equal to 0. It follows immediately that $f_0 = f_4 = f_6 =$ $f_8 = f_{10} = f_{12} = f_{14} = f_{16} = f_{18} = 0.$

It is straightforward to check that

$$f_1 = [a, b, a, b, b, b] + [a, b, b, a, b, b] + [a, b, b, b, a, b] + [a, b, b, b, b, a],$$

$$f_{2} = [a, ab, b, b, b] + [a, b, ab, b, b] + [a, b, b, ab, b, b] + [a, b, b, b, ab, b] + [a, b, b, b, ab, b] + [a, b, b, b, b, ab]$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_3 &= [a, ba, b, b, b] + [a, b, ba, b, b] + [a, b, b, ba, b, b] \\ &+ [a, b, b, b, ba, b] + [a, b, b, b, b, ba], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} f_5 &= [a, b^2, a, b, b, b] + [a, b^2, b, a, b, b] + [a, b^2, b, b, a, b] + [a, b^2, b, b, b, a] \\ &+ [a, b, b^2, a, b, b] + [a, b, b^2, b, a, b] + [a, b, b^2, b, b, a] + [a, b, a, b^2, b, b] \\ &+ [a, b, b, b^2, a, b] + [a, b, b, b^2, b, a] + [a, b, a, b, b^2, b] + [a, b, b, a, b^2, b] \\ &+ [a, b, b, b^2, a] + [a, b, a, b, b^2] + [a, b, b, a, b, b^2] + [a, b, b, b, a, b^2], \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} f_7 &= [b, a, a, b, b, b] + [b, a, b, a, b, b] + [b, a, b, b, a, b] + [b, a, b, b, a, b] + [b, a, b, b, b, a] = -f_1, \\ f_9 &= [b, a, ab, b, b, b] + [b, a, b, ab, b, b] + [b, a, b, b, ab, b] + [b, a, b, b, b, ab] \\ &+ [b, ab, a, b, b, b] + [b, ab, b, a, b, b] + [b, ab, b, b, a, b] + [b, ab, b, b, b, a] \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} f_{11} &= [b, a, ba, b, b] + [b, a, b, ba, b, b] + [b, a, b, b, ba, b] + [b, a, b, b, b, ba] \\ &+ [b, ba, a, b, b, b] + [b, ba, b, a, b, b] + [b, ba, b, b, a, b] + [b, ba, b, b, b, a], \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} f_{13} &= [b, a, b^2, a, b, b] + [b, a, b^2, b, a, b] + [b, a, b^2, b, b, a] + [b, a, a, b^2, b, b] \\ &+ [b, a, b, b^2, a, b] + [b, a, b, b^2, b, a] + [b, a, a, b, b^2, b] + [b, a, b, a, b^2, b] \\ &+ [b, a, b, b, b^2, a] + [b, a, a, b, b, b^2] + [b, a, b, a, b, b^2] + [b, a, b, b, a, b^2] \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} f_{15} &= [ab, a, b, b, b] + [ab, b, a, b, b] + [ab, b, b, a, b, b] \\ &+ [ab, b, b, b, a, b] + [ab, b, b, b, b, a], \end{split}$$

$$f_{17} = [ba, a, b, b, b] + [ba, b, a, b, b] + [ba, b, b, a, b, b] + [ba, b, b, b, a, b] + [ba, b, b, b, a, b] + [ba, b, b, b, b, b]$$

$$f_{19} = [b^2, a, a, b, b, b] + [b^2, a, b, a, b, b] + [b^2, a, b, b, a, b] + [b^2, a, b, b, b, a].$$

To proceed further we need the following lemma which is well-known and can be easily proved by induction.

Lemma 2.1. $[x, {}_{(k)}y] = \sum_{i=0}^{k} {k \choose i} (-1)^{i} y^{i} x y^{(k-i)}.$

Now we will check that $f_1 = 0$. We have

 $[a,b,a]=[ab-ba,a]=aba-ba^2-a^2b+aba=2aba$

because, by (2), $a^2 = 0$. Therefore,

$$[a, b, a, b, b, b] = 2[aba, b, b, b]$$

By Lemma 2.1,

$$[aba, b, b, b] = abab^3 - 3babab^2 + 3b^2abab - b^3abab$$

where, by (2), $abab^3 = b^3aba = 0$. Hence,

$$[aba, b, b, b] = -3babab^2 + 3b^2abab$$

and

(3)
$$[a, b, a, b, b, b] = -6babab^2 + 6b^2abab.$$

It is straightforward to check that [a, b, b, a] = [a, b, a, b] so

(4)
$$[a, b, b, a, b, b] = [a, b, a, b, b, b]$$

Further, by Lemma 2.1,

$$[a, b, b, b] = ab^3 - 3bab^2 + 3b^2ab - b^3a$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$\begin{split} & [a,b,b,a] = ab^3a - 3bab^2a + 3b^2aba - b^3a^2 - a^2b^3 + 3abab^2 - 3ab^2ab + ab^3a. \\ & \text{Since, by (2), } bab^2a = b^3a^2 = a^2b^3 = ab^2ab = 0, \text{ we have} \end{split}$$

$$[a, b, b, b, a] = 2ab^3a + 3b^2aba + 3abab^2.$$

Therefore,

 $[a, b, b, a, b] = 2ab^{3}ab + 3b^{2}abab + 3abab^{3} - 2bab^{3}a - 3b^{3}aba - 3babab^{2}.$ By (2), $abab^{3} = b^{3}aba = 0$ so

(5)
$$[a, b, b, a, b] = 2ab^{3}ab + 3b^{2}abab - 2bab^{3}a - 3babab^{2}.$$

Finally, by Lemma 2.1 and (2),

$$[a, b, b, b] = ab^4 - 4bab^3 + 6b^2ab^2 - 4b^3ab + b^4a = -4bab^3 - 4b^3ab$$

so, again by (2), we have

(6)
$$[a, b, b, b, a] = -4bab^3a - 4b^3aba + 4abab^3 + 4ab^3ab = -4bab^3a + 4ab^3ab.$$

Thus, by (2) (4) (5) and (6), we have

Thus, by (3), (4), (5) and (6), we have

$$f_1 = -12babab^2 + 12b^2abab + 2ab^3ab + 3b^2abab - 2bab^3a - 3babab^2 - 4bab^3a + 4ab^3ab = -15babab^2 + 15b^2abab - 6bab^3a + 6ab^3ab.$$

By the item v) of the definition of the ideal I, $f_1 = 0$. Since $f_7 = -f_1$, we have $f_7 = 0$ as well.

One can check in a similar way using Lemma 2.1, (2) and the item vi) of the definition of the ideal I that $f_2 = f_3 = f_5 = f_9 = f_{11} = f_{13} = f_{15} = f_{17} = f_{19} = 0$.

More precisely, one can check using the relations (2) that

$$[b^2, a, a, b, b, b] = [b^2, a, b, a, b, b] = [b^2, a, b, b, a, b] = [b^2, a, b, b, b, a] = 0.$$

It follows that $f_{19} = 0$. Similarly, $f_5 = f_{13} = 0$ because f_5 and f_{13} are sums of certain commutators and one can check using (2) that all these commutators are equal to 0.

Further, it can be checked using (2) that $f_2 = f_3 = 0$ although the commutator summands of f_2 and f_3 are not, in general, equal to 0. Finally, one needs the relations (2) as well as the item vi) of the definition of the ideal I to check that $f_9 = f_{11} = f_{15} = f_{17} = 0$.

Thus, [B] is a 5-Engel Lie ring, as required.

Now we prove that the group B° is not 5-Engel. We will check that in $U(B_1)$

$$((1+a)_{(5)}(1+b)) = 1 + 6b^2abab^2 \neq 1.$$

Hence, the subgroup 1 + B of $U(B_1)$ is not 5-Engel. Since B° is isomorphic to 1 + B, the group B° is not 5-Engel as well.

Note that if $u \in B$ then $u^8 = 0$ so $(1+u)^{-1} = 1 - u + u^2 - \dots - u^7$. It is straightforward to check that, for all $u, v \in B$,

(7)
$$((1+u), (1+v)) = 1 + [u, v] - u^2v + uvu + v^2u - vuv + w$$

where w is a linear combination of monomials of degree at least 4 in u, v. It follows that if u = u(a, b) is a linear combination of some monomials of degree $k \ge 2$ in a, b and, possibly, some monomials of degree > k then

(8) $((1+u), (1+b)) = 1 + [u, b] + b^2u - bub + w' = 1 + [u, b] - b[u, b] + w'$ where $w' \in B^{k+3}$.

By (7), we have

$$((1+a), (1+b)) = 1 + [a, b] + aba - a^{2}b + b^{2}a - bab + w_{1}$$
$$= 1 + [a, b] + aba + b^{2}a - bab + w_{1}$$

where $w_1 \in B^4$.

Let $u_1 = [a, b] + aba + b^2a - bab + w_1$; then $((1 + a), (1 + b)) = 1 + u_1$. By (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((1+a)_{(2)} (1+b)) &= ((1+u_1), (1+b)) = 1 + [u_1, b] - b[u_1, b] + w'_2 \\ &= 1 + [a, b, b] + [(aba + b^2a - bab), b] - b[a, b, b] + w_2 \\ &= 1 + [a, b, b] + abab - baba - 2b[a, b, b] + w_2 \end{aligned}$$

where $w'_{2}, w_{2} \in B^{5}$.

Similarly, one can check that

$$((1+a)_{,(3)}(1+b)) = 1 + [a, b, b, b] + abab^2 - 2babab + b^2aba - 3b[a, b, b, b] + w_3$$

where $w_3 \in B^6$,

$$((1+a)_{,(4)}(1+b)) = 1 + [a, b, b, b] - 3babab^2 + 3b^2abab - 4b[a, b, b, b] + w_4$$

where $w_4 \in B^7$ and

$$((1+a)_{(5)}(1+b)) = 1 + [a, b, b, b, b] + 6b^2abab^2 - 5b[a, b, b, b, b] + w_5$$

where $w_5 = 0$ because $w_5 \in B^8$ and $B^8 = 0$ and [a, b, b, b, b] = 0 because the Lie algebra [B] is 5-Engel.

Thus, $((1+a)_{(5)}(1+b)) = 1 + 6b^2abab^2$. Since $B_{(7)}$ is a one-dimensional vector subspace in B generated by b^2abab^2 , we have $b^2abab^2 \neq 0$ and $((1+a)_{(5)}(1+b)) \neq 1$. It follows that B° is not 5-Engel group, as required.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is completed.

References

- B. Amberg and Ya.P. Sysak, *Radical rings with Engel conditions*, J. Algebra 231 (2000), 364–373.
- [2] B. Amberg and Ya. Sysak, Associative rings whose adjoint semigroup is locally nilpotent, Arch. Math. (Basel) 76 (2001), 426–435.
- [3] B. Amberg and Ya. Sysak, Associative rings with metabelian adjoint group, J. Algebra 277 (2004), 456–473.
- [4] Yu. Billig, D. Riley, V. Tasic, Nonmatrix varieties and nil-generated algebras whose units satisfy a group identity, J. Algebra 190 (1997), 241–252.

- [5] O. Dickenschied, Endlichkeits- und Nilpotenzbedingungen fur radikale Ringe, dissertation, Universität Mainz, 1997.
- [6] X. Du, The centers of a radical ring, Canad. Math. Bull. 35 (2) (1992), 174–179.
- [7] N.D. Gupta and F. Levin, On the Lie ideals of a ring, J. Algebra 81 (1983), 225–231.
- [8] E. I. Khukhro, p-automorphisms of finite p-groups, London Math. Soc., Lecture Notes Series 246, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [9] A.N. Krasilnikov, On the group of units of a ring whose associated Lie ring is metabelian, Russian Math. Surveys 47 (1992), no. 6, 214–215.
- [10] A.N. Krasil'nikov, On the semigroup nilpotency and the Lie nilpotency of associative algebras, Math. Notes 62 (1997), 426–433.
- [11] A.N. Krasilnikov and D.M. Riley, The transfer of a commutator identity in a nilgenerated algebra, Int. J. Algebra Comput. 12 (2002), 437–443.
- [12] A.I. Malcev, Nilpotent semigroups, Ivanov. Gos. Ped. Inst. Uchen. Zap. Fiz.-Mat. Nauki 4 (1953), 107–111 (in Russian).
- [13] B.H. Neumann and T. Taylor, Subsemigroups of nilpotent groups, Proc. Roy. Soc. Ser. A 274 (1963), 1–4.
- [14] D.M. Riley, Engel varieties of associative rings and the number of Mersenne primes, J. Algebra 261 (2003), 19–30.
- [15] D.M. Riley and V. Tasic, Malcev nilpotent algebras, Arch. Math. (Basel) 72 (1999), 22–27.
- [16] D.M. Riley and M.C. Wilson, Associative rings satisfying the Engel condition, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 127 (1999), 973–976.
- [17] R.K. Sharma and J.B. Srivastava, Lie centrally metabelian group rings, J. Algebra 151 (1992), 476–486.
- [18] M.R. Vaughan-Lee. The Restricted Burnside Problem, second edition. Oxford University Press (1993).
- [19] E.I. Zel'manov. Engel Lie algebras, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 292 (1987), 265–268.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTATIONAL MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS (FS-

11), BAUMAN MOSCOW STATE TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, 2-ND BAUMANSKAYA STREET, 5, 105005 MOSCOW, RUSSIA

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE BRASÍLIA, 70910-900 BRASÍLIA, DF, BRAZIL

E-mail address: alexei@unb.br