

Interpolation for infinitary modal logic using polyadic algebras

Tarek Sayed Ahmed

October 9, 2018

Abstract

We prove an interpolation theorem for certain infinitary modal logics in which atomic formulas are allowed to have infinite length.¹

Modal cylindric algebras were studied by Freeman [27] and modal polyadic algebras were studied by Georgescu [17], see [22] p.265-266 for an overview. The idea is to expand modal algebras by operations of cylindrifications and substitutions. In the forementioned papers several quantified modal logics were proved (algebraically) to be complete with respect to usual Kripke semantics. In this paper we follow this trend, but our proven results are stronger in at least two respects. First we prove an interpolation theorem for several quantified modal logics, of which the representability forementioned results readily follows, and second our results apply to languages with an infinitary flavour; indeed atomic formulas can have infinite arity, though, like ordinary first order modal logic the scope of quantifiers is finite.

We prove an interpolation theorem for several quantified modal logics. We assume familiarity with the basic notions and concepts of (quantified) modal logic [15], in particular with the modal operators of \Box (necessity) and \Diamond (possibility), possible worlds, and Kripke semantics. Propositional modal logics are defined in [15] p. 36. We only deviate from this presentation by treating the implication symbol as a defined connective, not a basic one, and we treat the (possibility connective) \Diamond as defined by $\neg\Box\neg$, and not among the primitive connectives. The propositional modal logic K (minimal modal logic) is defined on p.36 of [15]. In the next page of op.cit the following propositional modal logics are defined:

$$D = K + \Diamond\top,$$

$$K4 = K + (\Box p \rightarrow \Box\Box p),$$

¹ 2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 03G15.

Key words: algebraic logic, neat reducts, cylindric algebras, amalgamation

$$D4 = D + (\Box p \rightarrow \Box \Box p),$$

$$T = K + (\Box p \rightarrow p),$$

$$S4 = K4 + (\Box p \rightarrow p)$$

For each of the above calculi its relational semantics originating from Hintikka and Kripke is considered. K is characterized by all Kripke models, $K4$ by models with transitive admissibility relation and S by reflexive and transitive models. When we are interested in the general study of a large family of logics, like all normal extensions of the minimal normal modal logic K , algebraic methods prove very fruitful.

We shall prove, using algebraic logic, that such logics with their quantified versions has the interpolation property. However, in our treatment of first order modal logic, we deviate from [15] p.44 in allowing that atomic formulas could be of infinite arity. Our results are therefore more general.

1 Algebraic Preliminaries

All concepts and notions in this section is a simple modification of concepts already existing for cylindric algebras. All theorems can be proved in a manner very similar to the cylindric case. Therefore we omit most proofs, instead we refer to their cylindric counterpart in [21]. We provide sketches of proof whenever we find necessary.

Definition 1.1. Let α be an ordinal. A modal substitution algebra of dimension α , an \mathbf{MSA}_α for short, is an algebra of the form

$$\mathfrak{A} = (A, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1, \Box, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{s}_i^j)_{i,j < \alpha}$$

where $(A, \vee, \wedge, -, 0, 1)$ is a Boolean algebra, $\Box, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{s}_i^j$ are unary operations on \mathfrak{A} ($i, j < \alpha$) satisfying the following equations for all $i, j, k, l < \alpha$:

1. $\Box(-x \vee y) \leq (-\Box x \vee -\Box y)$.
2. $\mathbf{c}_j 0 = 0$
3. $x \leq \mathbf{c}_i x$
4. $\mathbf{c}_i(x \wedge \mathbf{c}_i y) = \mathbf{c}_i x \wedge \mathbf{c}_i y$
5. $\mathbf{c}_i(x \vee y) = \mathbf{c}_i x \vee \mathbf{c}_i y$
6. $\mathbf{c}_i \mathbf{c}_j x = \mathbf{c}_j \mathbf{c}_i x$,
7. $\mathbf{s}_i^i x = x$,

8. \mathbf{s}_j^i are boolean endomorphisms,
9. $\mathbf{s}_j^i \mathbf{c}_i x = \mathbf{c}_i x$,
10. $\mathbf{c}_i \mathbf{s}_j^i x = \mathbf{s}_j^i x$, whenever $i \neq j$,
11. $\mathbf{s}_j^i \mathbf{c}_k x = \mathbf{c}_k \mathbf{s}_j^i x$, whenever $k \notin \{i, j\}$,
12. $\mathbf{c}_i \mathbf{s}_i^j x = \mathbf{c}_j \mathbf{s}_i^j x$,
13. $\mathbf{s}_i^j \mathbf{s}_k^l x = \mathbf{s}_k^l \mathbf{s}_i^j x$, whenever $|\{i, j, k, l\}| = 4$
14. $\mathbf{s}_i^l \mathbf{s}_i^j x = \mathbf{s}_i^j \mathbf{s}_i^l x$.

We let \mathbf{q}_i abbreviate $-\mathbf{c}_i -$.

The above is an algebraic reflection of quantified minimal logic KQ . Later, we shall impose more extra axioms concerning modalities, treating the quantified modal logics $DQ, TQ, KQ, D4Q$ and $S4Q$.

Examples of modal substitution algebras, arise from Kripke systems. For a relation R , we write xRy for $(x, y) \in R$.

Definition 1.2. Let W be a set and R a binary relation of accessibility on W . Let D be a non empty set (a domain). A Kripke system is a triple $\mathfrak{K} = (W, R, \{D_w\}_{w \in W})$ such that for any $w \in W$, D_w is a non-empty subset of D satisfying

$$wRw' \implies D_w \subseteq D_{w'}.$$

Let \mathfrak{D} be the Boolean algebra $\{0, 1\}$. Now Kripke systems define concrete modal substitution algebras as follows. Let α be any set and $\mathfrak{K} = (W, R, \{D_w\}_{w \in W})$ be a Kripke system. Consider the set

$$\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{K}} = \{(f_w : w \in W); f_w : {}^\alpha D_w \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}, wRw' \implies f_w \leq f_{w'}\}.$$

If $x, y \in {}^\alpha D_w$ and $j \in \alpha$ then we write $x \equiv_j y$ if $x(i) = y(i)$ for all $i \neq j$. We write (f_w) instead of $(f_w : w \in W)$. In $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{K}}$ we consider the following operations:

$$(f_w) \vee (g_w) = (f_w \vee g_w)$$

$$(f_w) \wedge (g_w) = (f_w \wedge g_w)$$

For any (f_w) and $(g_w) \in \mathfrak{F}$, define

$$\neg(f_w) = (-f_w).$$

For any (f_w) and $(g_w) \in \mathfrak{F}$, define

$$\Box(f_w) = (h_w),$$

where (h_w) is given by $h_w(x) = 1$ if and only if for any $w' \geq w$ $f_{w'}(x) = 1$. For any $i, j \in {}^\alpha\alpha$, we define

$$s_i^j : \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$$

by

$$s_i^j(f_w) = (g_w)$$

where

$$g_w(x) = f_w(x \circ [j, i]) \text{ for any } w \in W \text{ and } x \in {}^\alpha D_w.$$

For any $j \in \alpha$ and $(f_w) \in \mathfrak{F}$ define

$$c_j(f_w) = (g_w)$$

where for $x \in {}^\alpha D_w$

$$g_w(x) = \bigvee \{f_w(y) : y \in {}^\alpha D_w, y \equiv_j x\}.$$

It is tedious, but basically routine to verify that \mathfrak{F} endowed with the above operations is an \mathbf{MSA}_α . We shall also deal with cases when the domain of f_w is only a subset of ${}^\alpha D_w$, so that our semantics will be relativized.

Definition 1.3. (1) Let $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathbf{MSA}_\alpha$. Let $x \in A$. Then Δx , the dimension set of x , is the set $\{i \in \alpha : c_i x \neq x\}$. If we view x as a formula, then Δx represents the free variables occurring in x .

(2) Let $\alpha \leq \beta$ be ordinals. Let $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathbf{MSA}_\beta$. Then the neat α reduct of \mathfrak{A} is the algebra with universe $Nr_\alpha A = \{x \in A : \Delta x \subseteq \alpha\}$ and whose operations are those of the similarity type of \mathbf{MSA}_α restricted to $Nr_\alpha A$. We denote such an algebra by $\mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha \mathfrak{A}$. It is not hard to see that $\mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha \mathfrak{A} \in \mathbf{MSA}_\alpha$.

(3) Let $L \in \mathbf{MSA}_\beta$. Then $Nr_\alpha L$ stands for all class of all neat α reducts in L .

Let α be an (infinite) ordinal. Then \mathbf{Dc}_α denotes the class of dimension complemented algebras in \mathbf{MSA}_α . \mathfrak{A} is such if $|\alpha \sim \Delta x| \geq \omega$ for all $x \in A$. This means that in languages we consider (atomic) formulas can be of infinite arity; the point is, like first order modal logic, there are infinitely many variable that do not occur in any given formula, but however, unlike first order modal logic, the variables occurring in a formula can well be infinite.

A \mathbf{Dc}_α free algebra cannot be dimension complemented [22, 2.5.15]. This difficulty is easily conquered however by an appropriate relativisation of the concept of freedom, more precisely:

Definition 1.4. [21, 2.5.31] Let δ be a cardinal. Let α be an ordinal. Let ${}_{\alpha}\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}_{\delta}$ be the absolutely free algebra on δ generators and of type \mathbf{MSA}_{α} . For an algebra \mathcal{A} , we write $R \in \text{Con}\mathcal{A}$ if R is a congruence relation on \mathcal{A} . Let $\rho \in {}^{\delta}\varphi(\alpha)$. Let L be a class having the same similarity type as \mathbf{MSA}_{α} . SL denotes the class of all subalgebras of members of L . Let

$$Cr_{\delta}^{(\rho)}L = \bigcap \{R : R \in \text{Con}_{\alpha}\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}_{\delta}, {}_{\alpha}\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}_{\delta}/R \in SL, c_k^{\alpha\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}_{\delta}}\eta/R = \eta/R \text{ for each} \\ \eta < \delta \text{ and each } k \in \alpha \setminus \rho\eta\}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}_{\delta}^{\rho}L = {}_{\alpha}\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}_{\beta}/Cr_{\delta}^{(\rho)}L.$$

The ordinal α does not appear in $Cr_{\delta}^{(\rho)}L$ and $\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}_{\delta}^{(\rho)}L$ though it is involved in their definition. However, α will be clear from context so that no confusion is likely to ensue. The algebra $\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}_{\delta}^{(\rho)}L$ is referred to [21] as a dimension restricted free algebra over K with β generators. Also $\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}_{\delta}^{(\rho)}L$ is said to be dimension restricted by the function ρ , or simply, ρ -dimension-restricted.

Definition 1.5. Let δ be a cardinal. Assume that $L \subseteq \mathbf{MSA}_{\alpha}$, $x = \langle x_{\eta} : \eta < \delta \rangle \in {}^{\delta}A$ and $\rho \in {}^{\delta}\varphi(\alpha)$. Then we say that the sequence x L -freely generates \mathfrak{A} under the dimension restricting function ρ , if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (i) \mathfrak{A} is generated by Rgx , and $\Delta x_{\eta} \subseteq \rho(\eta)$ for every $\eta < \delta$.
- (ii) Whenever $\mathfrak{B} \in L$, $y = \langle y_{\eta} : \eta < \delta \rangle \in {}^{\delta}B$ and $\Delta y_{\eta} \subseteq \rho(\eta)$ for every $\eta < \delta$, there is a homomorphism h from \mathfrak{A} to \mathfrak{B} such that $h \circ x = y$.

For an algebra \mathfrak{A} and $X \subseteq A$, we write, following [21], $\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X$, or even simply $\mathfrak{S}gX$, for the subalgebra of \mathfrak{A} generated by X . We have the following:

Theorem 1.6. (i) Let $L \subseteq \mathbf{MSA}_{\alpha}$. Let δ be a cardinal. Let $\rho \in {}^{\delta}\varphi(\alpha)$. Then the sequence $\langle \eta/Cr_{\delta}^{(\rho)}L : \eta < \delta \rangle$ L -freely generates $\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}_{\delta}^{\rho}L$ under the dimension restricting function ρ .

- (ii) Let $\beta \geq \alpha$ be ordinals, δ be any cardinal > 0 and $\rho \in {}^{\delta}\varphi(\alpha)$. Let $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathbf{MSA}_{\beta}$ and $x \in {}^{\delta}B$. If the sequence x \mathbf{MSA}_{β} -freely generates \mathfrak{B} under the dimension restricting function ρ , then x , $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{r}_{\alpha}\mathbf{MSA}_{\beta}$ -freely generates $\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{r}_{\alpha}\mathfrak{B}}Rgx$ under the dimension restricting function ρ .

Proof. [21] theorem 2.6.45. ■

Definition 1.7.

(i) For a transformation $\tau \in {}^\alpha\alpha$, the support of τ , or $\text{supp}\tau$ for short, is the set $\{i \in \alpha : \tau(i) \neq i\}$. τ is finite if $\text{supp}\tau$ is finite. For a finite transformation τ we write $[u_0|v_0, u_1|v_1, \dots, u_{k-1}|v_{k-1}]$ if $\text{supp}\tau = \{u_0, \dots, u_{k-1}\}$, $u_0 < u_1 \dots < u_{k-1}$ and $\tau(u_i) = v_i$ for $i < k$.

(ii) Let $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathbf{Dc}_\alpha$, that is $\alpha \sim \Delta x$ is infinite for every $x \in A$. If $\tau = [u_0|v_0, u_1|v_1, \dots, u_{k-1}|v_{k-1}]$ is a finite transformation, if $x \in A$ and if π_0, \dots, π_{k-1} are in this order the first k ordinals in $\alpha \setminus (\Delta x \cup \text{Rg}(u) \cup \text{Rg}(v))$, then

$$\mathfrak{s}_\tau x = \mathfrak{s}_{v_0}^{\pi_0} \dots \mathfrak{s}_{v_{k-1}}^{\pi_{k-1}} \mathfrak{s}_{\pi_0}^{u_0} \dots \mathfrak{s}_{\pi_{k-1}}^{u_{k-1}} x.$$

We represent the function $\mathfrak{s}_{\nu_{\pi_0}}^{\mu_{\pi_0}} \circ \mathfrak{s}_{\nu_{\pi_1}}^{\mu_{\pi_1}} \circ \dots \circ \mathfrak{s}_{\nu_{\pi_{k-1}}}^{\mu_{\pi_{k-1}}}$ simply as \mathfrak{s}_ν^μ , whenever μ, ν are functions from the same finite subset of ω into α and π is the unique strictly increasing sequence such that $\text{Rg}(\pi) = \text{Do}(\mu) = \text{Do}(\nu)$.

Lemma 1.8. *Let $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathbf{MSA}_\alpha$. The following statements hold for all $x \in A$ and all $i, j, k, l < \alpha$:*

- (1) $\mathfrak{s}_j^i \mathfrak{s}_i^k \mathfrak{c}_i x = \mathfrak{s}_j^k \mathfrak{c}_i x$.
- (2) $\mathfrak{s}_j^i \mathfrak{s}_i^l \mathfrak{c}_i \mathfrak{c}_k x = \mathfrak{s}_j^k \mathfrak{s}_k^l \mathfrak{c}_i \mathfrak{c}_k x$.
- (3) $\mathfrak{s}_j^i \mathfrak{s}_i^j x = \mathfrak{s}_i^i x$, if $i \neq l$.
- (4) $\mathfrak{s}_j^i \mathfrak{s}_i^j x = \mathfrak{s}_j^i x$.
- (5) For all $i, j < \alpha$, $\mathfrak{s}_{[i|j]} x = \mathfrak{s}_j^i x$.

Assume that in addition $\alpha \setminus \Delta x$ is infinite for every $x \in \mathfrak{A}$, then for any such x we have:

- (6) If $k < \omega$, if $\mu, \nu, \pi, \rho \in {}^k\alpha$, if μ, π, ρ are one-one, and if $(\text{Rg}(\pi) \cup \text{Rg}(\rho)) \cap (\Delta x \cup \text{Rg}(\mu) \cup \text{Rg}(\nu)) = \emptyset$, then $\mathfrak{s}_\nu^\pi \mathfrak{s}_\pi^\mu x = \mathfrak{s}_\rho^\nu \mathfrak{s}_\rho^\mu x$.
- (7) let τ be a finite transformation of α . If $k < \omega$, if $\mu, \pi \in {}^k\alpha$, if μ and π are one-one, if $\{\lambda : \tau(\lambda) \neq \lambda\} \subseteq \text{Rg}(\mu)$, $\text{Rg}(\pi) \cap (\Delta x \cup \text{Rg}(\mu) \cup \tau(\text{Rg}(\mu))) = \emptyset$, then $\mathfrak{s}_\tau x = \mathfrak{s}_{\tau \circ \mu}^\pi \mathfrak{s}_\mu^\pi x$.

Proof. With respect to a different axiomatization, this is proved in [21] p.237. We prove (1), (2) and (6) to make sure that our axiomatization works. (The rest is similar, by using [21].)

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad \mathfrak{s}_j^i \mathfrak{s}_i^k \mathfrak{c}_i x &= \mathfrak{s}_j^i \mathfrak{s}_j^k \mathfrak{c}_i x \\ &= \mathfrak{s}_j^i \mathfrak{c}_i \mathfrak{s}_j^k x \\ &= \mathfrak{c}_i \mathfrak{s}_j^k x \\ &= \mathfrak{s}_j^k \mathfrak{c}_i x. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(2) \quad s_j^i s_i^l c_i c_k x &= s_i^l c_i c_k x \\
&= s_i^l c_k c_i x \\
&= s_j^k s_k^l c_k c_i x \\
&= s_j^k s_k^l c_i c_k x
\end{aligned}$$

(6) can be established if $Rg(\pi) \cap Rg(\rho) = 0$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
s_\nu^\pi s_\pi^\mu x &= s_{\nu_0}^{\pi_0} \dots s_{\nu_{k-1}}^{\pi_{k-1}} s_{\pi_0}^{\mu_0} \dots s_{\pi_{k-1}}^{\mu_{k-1}} x \\
&= s_{\nu_0}^{\pi_0} s_{\pi_0}^{\mu_0} \dots s_{\nu_{k-1}}^{\pi_{k-1}} s_{\pi_{k-1}}^{\mu_{k-1}} x \\
&= s_{\nu_0}^{\rho_0} s_{\rho_0}^{\mu_0} c_{\pi_0} c_{\rho_0} \dots s_{\nu_{k-1}}^{\rho_{k-1}} s_{\rho_{k-1}}^{\mu_{k-1}} c_{\pi_{k-1}} c_{\rho_{k-1}} x \\
&= s_{\nu_0}^{\rho_0} s_{\rho_0}^{\mu_0} \dots s_{\nu_{k-1}}^{\rho_{k-1}} s_{\rho_{k-1}}^{\mu_{k-1}} x \\
&= s_{\nu_0}^{\rho_0} \dots s_{\nu_{k-1}}^{\rho_{k-1}} s_{\rho_0}^{\mu_0} \dots s_{\rho_{k-1}}^{\mu_{k-1}} x \\
&= s_\nu^\rho s_\rho^\mu x.
\end{aligned}$$

To prove (6) in the general case, note that there is a $\xi \in {}^k\alpha$ with ξ one-one, $Rg(\xi) \cap (\Delta x \cup Rg(\mu) \cup Rg(\nu)) = 0$, and $Rg(\xi) \cap (Rg(\pi) \cup Rg(\rho)) = 0$, and then use the special case just established. \blacksquare

Theorem 1.9. *Let $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathbf{SC}_\alpha$ such that $\alpha \setminus \Delta x$ is infinite for every x . For finite $\Gamma \subseteq \alpha$, $c_\Gamma x$ abbreviates $c_{i_0} \dots c_{i_n} x$, where $\Gamma = \{i_0, \dots, i_n\}$. Let σ and τ be finite transformations on α , Γ, Δ finite subsets of α and $x \in A$.*

Then

- (i) s_τ is a boolean endomorphism of \mathfrak{A} .
- (ii) $s_{\sigma \circ \tau} = s_\sigma \circ s_\tau$.
- (iii) If $\sigma \upharpoonright (\alpha \setminus \Gamma) = \tau \upharpoonright (\alpha \setminus \Gamma)$, then $s_\sigma c_\Gamma x = s_\tau c_\Gamma x$.
- (iv) if $\sigma \upharpoonright \Delta x = \tau \upharpoonright \Delta x$, then $s_\sigma x = s_\tau x$.
- (v) If $\tau^{-1}\Gamma = \Delta$ and $\tau \upharpoonright \Delta$ is one to one, then $c_\Gamma s_\tau x = s_\tau c_\Delta x$.

Proof. Proceed like [21] p. 238, using the previous lemma. \blacksquare

Theorem 1.10. *Let $\alpha < \beta$ be infinite ordinals. Then if $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathbf{Dc}_\alpha$, then there exists $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathbf{Dc}_\beta$ such that $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{r}_\alpha \mathfrak{B}$, and for all $X \subseteq A$, one has $\mathfrak{S}g^\mathfrak{A} X = \mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{r}_\alpha \mathfrak{S}g^\mathfrak{B} X$. Furthermore \mathfrak{B} is unique up to an isomorphism that fixes A pointwise.*

Proof. The proof is completely analogous to [21], theorems 2.6.49, 2.6.67 and 2.6.72. \blacksquare

We call \mathfrak{B} in the previous theorem the β dilation of \mathfrak{A} .

Lemma 2.5 . Assume $\omega \leq \alpha \leq \beta$, and δ is a cardinal. Let $\rho \in {}^\delta \wp(\alpha)$ be such that $|\alpha \setminus \rho(i)| \geq \omega$ for all $i \in \delta$. Then $\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}_\delta^\rho \mathbf{MSA}_\alpha \cong \mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{r}_\alpha \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}_\delta^\rho \mathbf{MSA}_\beta$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathbf{MSA}_\alpha$ and $a = \langle a_\eta : \eta < \delta \rangle \in {}^\delta A$ be such that $\rho(\eta)$ includes the dimension set of a_η for every $\eta < \delta$. Since $\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}_\delta \mathbf{MSA}_\alpha \in \mathbf{Dc}_\alpha$, we have by [21, 2.1.7] and [21, 2.5.33(ii)] that $|\alpha \setminus \cup_{\eta \in \Gamma} \rho(\eta)| \geq \omega$ for each finite $\Gamma \subseteq \delta$. We can assume without loss of generality that Rga generates \mathfrak{A} . Then by [21, 2.1.7] we see that $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathbf{Dc}_\alpha$. Thus by [21, 2.6.34, 2.6.35, 2.6.49], we have $\mathfrak{A} \in Nr_\alpha \mathbf{MSA}_\beta$. Let $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}_\delta^\rho \mathbf{MSA}_\beta$. Then by theorem 2.4, we have $x = \langle \eta / Cr_\delta^\rho \mathbf{MSA}_\beta : \eta < \delta \rangle Nr_\alpha \mathbf{CA}_\beta$ -freely generates $\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{r}_\alpha \mathfrak{D}} Rgx$. Therefore there exists a homomorphism $h : \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{r}_\alpha \mathfrak{D}} Rgx \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ such that $h(\eta / Cr_\delta^\rho \mathbf{MSA}_\beta) = a_\eta$ for all $\eta < \delta$. But by [21, 2.6.67 (ii)] we have

$$\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{r}_\alpha \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}_\delta^\rho \mathbf{MSA}_\beta = \mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{r}_\alpha \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{D}} Rgx = \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{r}_\alpha \mathfrak{D}} Rgx$$

Therefore $\langle \eta / Cr_\delta^\rho \mathbf{MSA}_\beta : \eta < \delta \rangle \mathbf{MSA}_\alpha$ -freely generates $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{r}_\alpha \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}_\delta^\rho \mathbf{MSA}_\beta$. \blacksquare

Now we define our algebras. Their similarity type depends on a fixed in advance semigroup. We write $X \subseteq_\omega Y$ to denote that X is a finite subset of Y .

Definition 1.11. Let α be an infinite set. Let $G \subseteq {}^\alpha \alpha$ be a semigroup under the operation of composition of maps. An α dimensional polyadic Heyting G algebra, a $GPHA_\alpha$ for short, is an algebra of the following type

$$(A, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, 0, \mathfrak{s}_\tau, \mathfrak{c}_{(J)}, \mathfrak{q}_{(J)})_{\tau \in G \subseteq_\omega \alpha, J \subseteq_\omega \alpha}$$

where $(A, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, 0)$ is a Heyting algebra, $\mathfrak{s}_\tau : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ is an endomorphism of Heyting algebras, $\mathfrak{c}_{(J)}$ is an existential quantifier, $\mathfrak{q}_{(J)}$ is a universal quantifier, such that the following hold for all $p \in A$, $\sigma, \tau \in [G]$ and $J, J' \subseteq_\omega \alpha$:

- (1) $\mathfrak{s}_{Id} p = p$.
- (2) $\mathfrak{s}_{\sigma\tau} p = \mathfrak{s}_\sigma \mathfrak{s}_\tau p$ (so that $S : \tau \mapsto \mathfrak{s}_\tau$ defines a homomorphism from G to $End(\mathfrak{A})$; that is $(A, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, 0, G, S)$ is a transformation system).
- (3) $\mathfrak{c}_{(J \cup J')} p = \mathfrak{c}_{(J)} \mathfrak{c}_{(J')} p$, $\mathfrak{q}_{(J \cup J')} p = \mathfrak{q}_{(J)} \mathfrak{q}_{(J')} p$.
- (4) $\mathfrak{c}_{(J)} \mathfrak{q}_{(J)} p = \mathfrak{q}_{(J)} p$, $\mathfrak{q}_{(J)} \mathfrak{c}_{(J)} p = \mathfrak{c}_{(J)} p$.
- (5) If $\sigma \upharpoonright \alpha \sim J = \tau \upharpoonright \alpha \sim J$, then $\mathfrak{s}_\sigma \mathfrak{c}_{(J)} p = \mathfrak{s}_\tau \mathfrak{c}_{(J)} p$ and $\mathfrak{s}_\sigma \mathfrak{q}_{(J)} p = \mathfrak{s}_\tau \mathfrak{q}_{(J)} p$.
- (6) If $\sigma \upharpoonright \sigma^{-1}(J)$ is injective, then $\mathfrak{c}_{(J)} \mathfrak{s}_\sigma p = \mathfrak{s}_\sigma \mathfrak{c}_{\sigma^{-1}(J)} p$ and $\mathfrak{q}_{(J)} \mathfrak{s}_\sigma p = \mathfrak{s}_\sigma \mathfrak{q}_{\sigma^{-1}(J)} p$.

Notation. . For a set X , recall that $|X|$ stands for the cardinality of X . Id_X , or simply Id when X is clear from context, denotes the identity function on X . For functions f and g and a set H , $f[H|g]$ is the function that agrees with g on H , and is otherwise equal to f . $Rng(f)$ denotes the range of f . For a transformation τ on ω , the support of τ , or $supp(\tau)$ for short, is the set:

$$supp(\tau) = \{i \in \omega : \tau(i) \neq i\}.$$

Let $i, j \in \omega$, then $\tau[i|j]$ is the transformation on ω defined as follows:

$$\tau[i|j](x) = \tau(x) \text{ if } x \neq i \text{ and } \tau[i|j](i) = j.$$

For a function f , f^n denotes the composition $f \circ f \dots \circ f$ n times.

Definition 1.12. (a) Let α be a countable set. Let $T \subseteq \langle \alpha, \circ \rangle$ be a semigroup. We say that T is *rich* if T satisfies the following conditions:

1. $(\forall i, j \in \alpha)(\forall \tau \in T)\tau[i|j] \in T$.
2. There exists $\sigma, \pi \in T$ such that $(\pi \circ \sigma = Id, Rng(\sigma) \neq \alpha)$.
3. $(\forall \tau \in T)(\sigma \circ \tau \circ \pi)[(\alpha \sim Rng(\sigma))|Id] \in T$.
4. Let $T \subseteq \langle \alpha, \circ \rangle$ be a rich semigroup. Let σ and π be as in (a) above. If σ and π satisfy (i), (ii) below:

$$(i) \quad (\forall n \in \alpha)|supp(\sigma^n \circ \pi^n)| < \alpha.$$

$$(ii) \quad (\forall n \in \alpha)[supp(\sigma^n \circ \pi^n) \subseteq \alpha \setminus Rng(\sigma^n)];$$

then we say that T is a *strongly rich* semigroup.

An example of a rich semigroup in (ω, \circ) and its semigroup generated by $\{[i|j], [i, j], suc, pred\}$. Here suc abbreviates the successor function on ω and $pred$ is the function defined by $pred(0) = 0$ and for other $n \in \omega$, $pred(n) = n - 1$. In fact, both semigroups are strongly rich, in the second case suc plays the role of σ while $pred$ plays the role of π .

2 Proof

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathbf{Dc}_\alpha$. Let $\Gamma, \Delta \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$. We write $\Gamma \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{A}} \Delta$, if there exist a_1, \dots, a_n in Γ and b_1, \dots, b_m in Δ such that $a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_n \rightarrow b_1 \vee \dots \vee b_m$. We write $\Gamma \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{A}} a$ if $\Delta = \{a\}$. By a theory of $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathbf{Dc}_\alpha$, we mean a subset T of \mathfrak{A} satisfying the condition: $(T \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{A}} a) \Rightarrow a \in T$. If $F \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ satisfies the dual condition: $(a \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{A}} F) \Rightarrow a \in F$, then F is called a cotheory of \mathfrak{A} . Denote by $Th(\mathfrak{A})$ the set of all theories and by $CTh(\mathfrak{A})$ the set of all cotheories of \mathfrak{A} , respectively.

Definition 2.2. Let $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathbf{Dc}_\alpha$ and $X_1, X_2 \subseteq A$. A pair $(\Gamma, \Delta) \in \mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}}X_1 \times \mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}}X_2$ is called (\mathfrak{A}, X_1, X_2) separable, or just \mathfrak{A} separable, whenever there exists $a \in \mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2)$ such that $\Gamma \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{A}} a$ and $a \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{A}} \Delta$. Call a pair (\mathfrak{A}, X_1, X_2) inseparable, or simply inseparable, if it is not \mathfrak{A} separable. Note, that the pair (T, F) is \mathfrak{A} inseparable if and only if $T \cap F = \emptyset$.

Definition 2.3. Let $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathbf{Dc}_\alpha$ and $X_1, X_2 \subseteq A$. A pair $(T, F) \in \mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{A}$ is called (\mathfrak{A}, X_1, X_2) , or even simply \mathfrak{A} saturated, cf. [15] p. 134, if the following conditions are satisfied for all $a, b \in A$:

- (1) $T \in Th(\mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}}X_1)$ and $F \in CTh(\mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}}X_2)$,
- (2) $T \cap F = \emptyset$, that is, (T, F) is \mathfrak{A} -inseparable,
- (3) $a \vee b \in T \Rightarrow (a \in T \text{ or } b \in T)$,
- (4) $c_k a \in T \Rightarrow (\exists j \notin \Delta a)(s_j^k a \in T)$,
- (5) $a \wedge b \in F \Rightarrow (a \in F \text{ or } b \in F)$,
- (6) $q_k a \in F \Rightarrow (\exists j \notin \Delta a)(s_j^k a \in F)$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathbf{Dc}_\alpha$. Let $X_1, X_2 \subseteq A$. Let (Γ, Δ) be (X_1, X_2, \mathfrak{A}) inseparable. Then there exists an ω dilation \mathfrak{B} of \mathfrak{A} and $T \supseteq \Gamma$, $F \supseteq \Delta$ such that (T, F) is (\mathfrak{B}, X_1, X_2) saturated.

Proof. [15] lemma 5.6. Let $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha \mathfrak{B}$, with \mathfrak{B} the $\alpha + \omega$ dilation of \mathfrak{A} . Let $\beta = \alpha + \omega$ be the dimension of \mathfrak{B} . Then (Γ, Δ) is (\mathfrak{B}, X_1, X_2) inseparable. If not, then there exists $c \in \mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{B}}(X_1 \cap X_2)$ such that $\Gamma \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}} c$ and $c \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}} \Delta$. Now $|\Delta c \sim \alpha| < \omega$, since A generates \mathfrak{B} , hence there exists a finite subset J of β such that

$$d = c_{(J)}c \in \mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha \mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{B}}(X_1 \cap X_2) = \mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha \mathfrak{B}}(X_1 \cap X_2) = \mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2),$$

and $\Gamma \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{A}} d$ and $d \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{A}} \Delta$ which is a contradiction.

Let (a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots) be an enumeration of $\mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{B}}X_1$ and (b_1, \dots, b_n, \dots) be an enumeration of $\mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{B}}X_2$. This is possible, since \mathfrak{A} is countable, A generates \mathfrak{B} , and so \mathfrak{B} is countable.

We define (T_n, F_n) , $n \in \omega$ inductively. Let $T_0 = \Gamma$ and $F_0 = \Delta$. We assume inductively that $\beta \sim \bigcup_{x \in T_n} \bigcup_{x \in F_n} \Delta x$ is infinite. This condition is clearly satisfied for the base of the induction, since $T_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ and $F_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$, and \mathfrak{B} is the $\alpha + \omega$ dilation of \mathfrak{A} .

At step $2n + 1$.

Let $F_{2n+1} = F_{2n}$ and to define T_{2n+1} we distinguish between two cases:

Case 1: The pair $(T_{2n} \cup \{a_n\}, F_{2n})$ is \mathfrak{B} separable. Then set $T_{2n+1} = T_{2n}$.

Case 2: The pair $(T_{2n} \cup \{a_n\}, F_{2n})$ is \mathfrak{B} inseparable. We distinguish between two subcases:

Subcase 1: $a_n \neq c_k x$, for any $k \in \beta$ and any $x \in B$.

Set $T_{2n+1} = T_{2n} \cup \{a_n\}$.

Subcase 2: $a_n = c_k x$, for some $k < \beta$ and some $x \in B$.

Let $T_{2n+1} = T_{2n} \cup \{a_n, s_i^k a_n\}$ where $i \notin \Delta a_n \cup \bigcup_{x \in T_n} \bigcup_{x \in F_n} \Delta x$. Such an i exists by the induction hypothesis.

Similarly at step $2n + 2$, let $T_{2n+2} = T_{2n+1}$ and define F_{2n+2} as follows: $F_{2n+2} = F_{2n+1}$, whenever the pair $(T_{2n+1}, F_{2n+1} \cup \{b_n\})$ is \mathfrak{B} -separable; $F_{2n+2} = F_{2n+1} \cup \{b_n, s_i^j b_n\}$, whenever $b_n = c_i x$ for some $i < \beta$, $x \in B$ and the pair $(T_{2n+1}, F_{2n+1} \cup \{b_n\})$ is \mathfrak{B} inseparable, where $j \notin \Delta a_n \cup \bigcup_{x \in T_{2n+1}} \bigcup_{x \in F_{2n+1}} \Delta x$. One can prove by an easy induction on n that each pair (T_n, F_n) is \mathfrak{B} inseparable. Now define

$$T = \{a \in \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{B}} X_1 : \exists n(T_n \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}} a)\}, F = \{b \in \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{B}} X_2 : \exists n(b \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}} F_n)\}$$

We check that (T, F) is as required. Clearly $T \in Th(\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{B}} X_1)$ and $F \in CTh(\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{B}} X_2)$. One can check that (T, F) is saturated by first observing that $a \in T$ iff $(T \cup \{a\}, F)$ is \mathfrak{B} inseparable and $b \in F$ iff $(T, F \cup \{b\})$ is \mathfrak{B} inseparable. Indeed, assume that $a = a_n$. The inseparability of $(T \cup \{a\}, F)$ implies the inseparability of $(T_{2n} \cup \{a\}, F_{2n})$ so $a \in T_{2n+1} \subseteq T$. On the other hand, from $a \in T$ the inseparability of $(T \cup \{a\}, F)$ follows since (T, F) is inseparable.

We check conditions (3) and (4) of saturation. Assume that $a \vee b \in T$ but $a \notin T$ and $b \notin T$. Then $(T \cup \{a\}, F)$ and $(T \cup \{b\}, F)$ would be separable. Hence there exists $c \in \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{B}}(X_1 \cap X_2)$ such that $T \cup \{a\} \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}} c$ and $c \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}} F$ and there exists $c' \in \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{B}}(X_1 \cap X_2)$ such that $T \cup \{b\} \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}} c'$ and $c' \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}} F$. Then $T \cup \{a \vee b\} \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}} c \vee c'$, $c \vee c' \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}} F$ and $c \vee c' \in \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{B}}(X_1 \cap X_2)$. But this contradicts the inseparability of (T, F) . Now we check (4). Assume that $a_n = c_k a \in T$ where $k < \beta$ and $a \in \mathfrak{B}$. Then $(T_{2n} \cup \{a\}, F_{2n})$ is separable, so by construction $s_k^j a \in T_{2n+1} \subseteq T$. The rest of the conditions can be checked analogously. \blacksquare

Theorem 2.5. Let $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{F}r_{\beta}^{\rho} \mathbf{D}c_{\alpha}$. Let $X_1, X_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$. Assume that (Γ, Δ) is (X_1, X_2, \mathfrak{A}) inseparable. Then there is a Kripke system $\mathfrak{K} = (W, R, D_w)_{w \in W}$ and a homomorphism $\psi : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{K}}$, $w \in W$, and $x \in {}^{\alpha}D_w$ such that $\psi(a)_w(x) = 1$ for all $a \in \Gamma$ and $\psi(a)_w(x) = 0$ for all $a \in \Delta$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{B}_n = \mathfrak{F}r_{\beta}^{\rho} \mathbf{D}c_{\alpha+n\omega}$, $n \leq \omega$. Then

$$\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{B}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{B}_1 \subseteq \dots \mathfrak{B}_{\infty} = \mathfrak{F}r_{\beta}^{\rho} \mathbf{D}c_{\alpha+\omega}$$

is a sequence of minimal dilations. That is for $k < l \leq \omega$, we have $\mathfrak{B}_k = \mathfrak{N}r_k \mathfrak{B}_l$ and for all $X \subseteq B_k$, we have $\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{B}_k} X = \mathfrak{N}r_k \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{B}_l} X$. Define inductively

$W_n \subseteq \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{B}_n} X_1 \times \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{B}_n} X_2$ such that $|W_n| \leq \omega$ and for $(T, F) \in W_n$, (T, F) is $(X_1, X_2, \mathfrak{B}_n)$ saturated and (*)

$$(\forall (T, F) \in W_n)(\forall a \in \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{B}_n} X_1)(\diamond a \in T \Rightarrow \exists ((T', F') \in W_{n+1}) \\ [\{a\} \cup \{a' : \Box a' \in \Gamma\} \subseteq T', \{b' : \diamond b' \in \Delta\} \subseteq F'] .)$$

and

$$(\forall (T, F) \in W_n)(\forall b \in \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{B}_n} X_2)(\Box b \in F \Rightarrow \exists ((T', F') \in W_{n+1}) \\ [\{a' : \Box a' \in \Gamma\} \subseteq T', \{\{b\} \cup \{b' : \diamond b' \in \Delta\}\} \subseteq F'] .)$$

The base of the induction is provided by the previous lemma. Set $W_0 = \{(T_0, F_0)\}$ where (T_0, F_0) is a saturated extension of (Γ, Δ) in \mathfrak{B}_1 . We have countably many tasks, so this can be accomplished once we make sure of:

Claim . [15] lemma 5.5. *Let (Γ, Δ) be $(X_1, X_2, \mathfrak{B}_n)$ -inseparable. Then*

- (i) *If $\diamond a \in \Gamma$, then the pair $(\{a\} \cup \{a' | \Box a' \in \Gamma\}, \{b' | \diamond b' \in \Delta\})$ is $(X_1, X_2, \mathfrak{B}_n)$ -inseparable.*
- (ii) *If $\Box b \in \Delta$, then the pair $(\{a' | \Box a' \in \Gamma\}, \{b\} \cup \{b' | \diamond b' \in \Delta\})$ is $(X_1, X_2, \mathfrak{B}_n)$ -inseparable.*

Proof

- (i) Assume that $((a \wedge a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_n \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}_n} c)$ and $(c \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}_n} (b_1 \vee \dots \vee b_m))$ for some $c \in \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{B}}(X_1 \cap X_2)$, where $\Box a_1, \dots, \Box a_n \in \Gamma$ and $\diamond b_1, \dots, \diamond b_m \in \Delta$. Then $\diamond c \in \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{B}}(X_1 \cap X_2)$ and $(\diamond a \wedge \Box a_1 \dots \Box a_n \rightarrow \diamond c)$ and $(\diamond c \rightarrow (\diamond b_1 \vee \dots \vee \diamond b_m))$, so the pair (Γ, Δ) is separable. This is a contradiction.
- (ii) Suppose that $((a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge_{\mathfrak{B}_n} a_n) \rightarrow c)$ and $(c \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}_n} b \vee b_1 \vee \dots \vee b_m)$ for some $c \in \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{B}}(X_1 \cap X_2)$, where $\Box a_1, \dots, a_n \in \Gamma$ and $\diamond b_1, \dots, \diamond b_m \in \Delta$. Then $\Box c \in \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{B}}(X_1 \cap X_2)$ and $(\Box a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \Box a_n \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}_n} \Box c)$ and $(\Box c \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}_n} (\Box b \vee \diamond b_1 \vee \dots \vee \diamond b_m))$, so the pair (Γ, Δ) is separable. Again this is a contradiction.

Iterating the above claim and lemma 2.4 countably many types, we are done. ■

We assume that $\mathfrak{B}_n \subseteq \mathfrak{B}_\infty$ for all $n \in \omega$. Let

$$W = \{(T, F) \in \mathfrak{B}_\infty \times \mathfrak{B}_\infty : (\exists n \in \omega)((T, F) \text{ is } (X_1, X_2, \mathfrak{B}_n) \text{ saturated})\} .$$

We can assume that n is unique to (T, F) . Indeed for $w = (T, F) \in W$, set $D_w = \alpha + n\omega$ where n is the least number such that (T, F) is $(X_1, X_2, \mathfrak{B}_n)$ saturated.

Now we define the accessibility relation R as follows:

If (T, F) is $(X_1, X_2, \mathfrak{B}_n)$ saturated and $(T'F')$ is $(X_1, X_2, \mathfrak{B}_m)$ saturated (here n and m depends uniquely on (T, F) and (T', F') respectively,) then set

$$(T, F)R(T'F')$$

iff

$$n \leq m$$

and

$$(\forall a \in \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{B}_n} X_1)(\Box a \in T \Rightarrow a \in T'),$$

and

$$(\forall b \in \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{B}_n} X_2)(\Diamond b \in F \Rightarrow b \in F').$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{K} = (W, R, D_w)_{w \in W}.$$

We first define two maps on $\mathfrak{A}_1 = \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}} X_1$ and $\mathfrak{A}_2 = \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}} X_2$ respectively, then those will be pasted using the freeness of \mathfrak{A} to give the required single homomorphism. For a sets I, M and $p \in {}^I M$, ${}^I M^{(p)}$ denotes the set

$$\{x \in {}^I M : |\{i \in I : x_i \neq p_i\}| < \omega\}.$$

Now define $\psi_1 : \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}} X_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{K}}$ by $\psi_1(p) = (f_w)$ such that if $w = (T, F) \in \mathfrak{K}$ is $(X_1, X_2, \mathfrak{B}_n)$ saturated, and $D_w = \alpha + n\omega$, then for $x \in {}^\alpha D_w^{(Id)}$

$$f_w(x) = 1 \iff \mathfrak{s}_{x \cup (Id_{D_w \sim \alpha})}^{\mathfrak{B}_n} p \in T.$$

Basically the extension of x by the identity map, to avoid cumbersome possibly confusing notation, we shall denote the map $x \cup Id_{D_w \sim \alpha}$ simply by x , which is clearly a finite transformation. Hence

$$f_k(x) = 1 \iff \mathfrak{s}_x^{\mathfrak{B}_n} p \in T.$$

Now define $\psi_2 : \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}} X_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{K}}$ by $\psi_2(p) = (f_w)$ such that if $w = (T, F) \in \mathfrak{K}$ is $(X_1, X_2, \mathfrak{B}_n)$ saturated, and $D_w = \alpha + n\omega$, then for $x \in {}^\alpha D_w^{(Id)}$

$$f_w(x) = 1 \iff \neg \mathfrak{s}_{x \cup (Id_{D_w \sim \alpha})}^{\mathfrak{B}_n} p \in F.$$

Abusing notation slightly, we denote ψ_1 by ψ , and proceed to show that ψ is a homomorphism. Then we show that ψ_2 is also a homomorphism, and that ψ_1 and ψ_2 agree on $\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2)$:

- (1) Let $\psi(p) = (g_w)$ and $\psi(q) = (f_w)$ and $\psi(p \vee q) = (h_w)$. We prove that for $x \in {}^\alpha D_w^{(Id)}$:

$$h_w(x) = 1 \iff g_w(x) = 1 \text{ or } f_w(x) = 1.$$

Assume that $h_w(x) = 1$, then $\mathbf{s}_x(p \vee q) \in T$ or $\neg\mathbf{s}_x(p \vee q) \in F$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}_x(p \vee q) \in T & \\ \iff \mathbf{s}_x p \vee \mathbf{s}_x q \in T & \\ \iff \mathbf{s}_x p \in T \text{ or } \mathbf{s}_x q \in T & \\ \iff g_w(x) = 1 \text{ or } f_w(x) = 1 & \end{aligned}$$

(because T is a theory and (T, F) is saturated.)

- (2) Let $\psi(p) = (f_w)$ and $\psi(\neg p) = (g_w)$. We show that $f_w(x) = 0$ iff $g_w(x) = 1$. We prove \Rightarrow . Assume that $g_w(x) = 1$. Then $\mathbf{s}_x(\neg p) \in T$ or $\neg(\mathbf{s}_x \neg p) \in F$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}_x(\neg p) \in T & \\ \iff \neg\mathbf{s}_x p \in T & \\ \iff \mathbf{s}_x p \notin T \text{ and } \neg\mathbf{s}_x p \notin F & \\ \iff f_k(x) = 0 & \end{aligned}$$

- (3) $\psi(\mathbf{c}_k p) = (f_k)$. Assume that $f_k(x) = 1$. Then $\mathbf{s}_x \mathbf{c}_k p \in T$ or $\neg\mathbf{s}_x \mathbf{c}_k p \in F$. Assume the former. Let

$$l \in \{\mu \in \beta : x^{-1}\{\mu\} = \{\mu\}\} \sim \Delta p.$$

Such an l exists because \mathfrak{B} is dimension complemented. Let

$$\tau = x \circ [k, l].$$

Then

$$\mathbf{c}_l \mathbf{s}_\tau p = \mathbf{s}_\tau \mathbf{c}_k p = \mathbf{s}_x \mathbf{c}_k p,$$

and by the choice of F , we have

$$\mathbf{c}_l \mathbf{s}_\tau p \in F \iff \mathbf{s}_u^l \mathbf{s}_\tau p \in F.$$

We use the following helpful notation. For a function f , the function $g = f(a \rightarrow u)$ is defined by $g(x) = f(x)$ for $x \neq a$ and $g(a) = u$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\mathbf{c}_k p)(x) = 1 & \iff \mathbf{s}_x \mathbf{c}_k p \in T \\ & \iff \mathbf{c}_l \mathbf{s}_\tau p \in T \\ & \iff \mathbf{s}_u^l \mathbf{s}_\tau p \in T \\ & \iff \mathbf{s}_{x(k \rightarrow u)} p \in T \\ & \iff x \in \mathbf{c}_k \psi(p) \end{aligned}$$

- (4) Let $\psi(p) = (f_w)$ and $\psi(\Box p) = (h_w)$ and $\Box(\psi(p)) = (g_w)$ $h_w(x) = 1$.
 Let $w = (T, F)$. If $s_x \Box p \in T$, then $s_x p \in T'$ for all (T', F') such that
 $(T, F)R(T', F')$, hence $f_{w'}(x) = 1$ for all $w'Rw$, then $g_w(x) = 1$. If

$$\neg s_x \Box p \in F.$$

then $\neg s_x \neg \diamond \neg p \in F$, then $s_x \diamond \neg p \in F$, then $s_x \neg p \in F'$ for all F' .

Theorem 2.6. *Let \mathfrak{A} be as in the above theorem, and R be the accessibility relation constructed in the proof. Then, the following hold*

Formula	Condition on R
$\diamond T$	$\forall x \exists y Rxy$
$\Box p \rightarrow \Box \Box p$	transitive: $xRy \wedge yRz \Rightarrow yRz$
$\Box p \rightarrow p$	reflexive: xRx

- (1) If $\mathfrak{A} \models \diamond 1$ then R satisfies $(\forall x)(\exists y xRy)$
- (2) if $\mathfrak{A} \models \neg \Box p \vee p = 1$ then R is reflexive
- (3) If $\mathfrak{A} \models \neg \Box p \vee \Box \Box p = 1$, then R is transitive.

Theorem 2.7. *Let κ be any ordinal > 1 . Let $\mathbf{M} = \{\mathfrak{A} \in K_{\kappa+\omega} : \mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}\mathfrak{N}r_{\kappa}\mathfrak{A}\}$. Then \mathbf{M} has SUPAP.*

Proof. We now first prove that K has the amalgamation property. Let κ be an arbitrary ordinal > 0 . Let $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}$ and \mathfrak{C} be in K and $f : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ and $g : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ be monomorphisms. We want to find an amalgam. Let $\langle a_i : i \in I \rangle$ be an enumeration of \mathfrak{A} and $\langle b_j : j \in J \rangle$ be an enumeration of \mathfrak{B} such that $\langle c_i : i \in I \cap J \rangle$ is an enumeration of \mathfrak{C} with $f(c_i) = a_i$ and $g(c_i) = b_i$ for all $i \in I \cap J$. Then $\Delta a_i = \Delta b_i$ for all $i \in I \cap J$. Let $k = I \cup J$. Let ξ be a bijection from k onto a cardinal μ . Let $\rho \in {}^{\mu}\wp(\kappa + \omega)$ be defined by $\rho \xi i = \Delta a_i$ for $i \in I$ and $\rho \xi j = \Delta b_j$ for $j \in J$. Then ρ is well defined. Let $\beta = \kappa + \omega$ and let $\mathfrak{F}r = \mathfrak{F}r_{\mu}^{\rho} K_{\beta}$. Let $\mathfrak{F}r^I$ be the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{F}r$ generated by $\{\xi i / Cr_{\mu}^{\rho} K_{\beta} : i \in I\}$ and let $\mathfrak{F}r^J$ be the subalgebra generated by $\{\xi j / Cr_{\mu}^{\rho} K_{\beta} : j \in J\}$. To avoid cumbersome notation we write ξi instead of $\xi i / Cr_{\mu}^{\rho} K_{\beta}$ and similarly for ξj . No confusion is likely to ensue. Then there exists a homomorphism from $\mathfrak{F}r^I$ onto \mathfrak{A} such that $\xi i \mapsto a_i$ ($i \in I$) and similarly a homomorphism from $\mathfrak{F}r^J$ into \mathfrak{B} such that $\xi j \mapsto b_j$ ($j \in I$). Therefore there exist ideals M and N ideals of $\mathfrak{F}r^I$ and $\mathfrak{F}r^J$ respectively, and there exist isomorphisms

$$m : \mathfrak{F}r^I / M \rightarrow \mathfrak{A} \text{ and } n : \mathfrak{F}r^J / N \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$$

such that

$$m(\xi_i/M) = a_i \text{ and } (\xi_i/N) = b_i.$$

Let $\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}^{(I \cap J)}$ denote the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}$ generated by $\{\xi_i : i \in I \cap J\}$. Then there is a homomorphism from $\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}^{(I \cap J)}$ into $\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}^I/M$ such that $\xi_i \mapsto \xi_i/M$. Therefore

$$\theta : \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}^{(I \cap J)}/M \cap \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}^{(I \cap J)} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}^I/M)^{(I \cap J)}$$

defined by

$$\theta \xi_i/M \cap \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}^{(I \cap J)} = \xi_i/M$$

is an isomorphism. Here $(\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}^I/M)^{(I \cap J)}$ is the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}^I/M$ generated by $\{\xi_i/M : i \in I \cap J\}$. But

$$\psi : (\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}^I/M)^{(I \cap J)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$$

defined by

$$\psi(\xi_i/M) = c_i$$

is a well defined isomorphism. Thus the map $\psi \circ \theta$ defined by

$$\xi_i/M \cap \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}^{(I \cap J)} \mapsto c_i$$

is an isomorphism from $\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}^{(I \cap J)}/M \cap \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}^{(I \cap J)}$ onto \mathfrak{C} . Similarly the map

$$\xi_i/N \cap \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}^{(I \cap J)} \mapsto c_i$$

is an isomorphism from $\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}^{(I \cap J)}/N \cap \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}^{(I \cap J)}$ onto \mathfrak{C} . It follows that

$$M \cap \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}^{(I \cap J)} = N \cap \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}^{(I \cap J)}.$$

Now let $x \in \mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{g}(M \cup N) \cap \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}^I$. Then there exist $b \in M$ and $c \in N$ such that $x \leq b + c$. Thus $x - b \leq c$. But $x - b \in \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}^I$ and $c \in \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}^J$, it follows that there exists an interpolant $d \in \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}^{(I \cap J)}$ such that $x - b \leq d \leq c$. We have $d \in N$ therefore $d \in M$, and since $x \leq d + b$, therefore $x \in M$. It follows that $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{g}(M \cup N) \cap \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}^I = M$ and similarly $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{g}(M \cup N) \cap \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}^J = N$. In particular $P = \mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{g}(M \cup N)$ is a proper ideal. Let $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}/P$. Let $k : \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}^I/M \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}/P$ be defined by $k(a/M) = a/P$ and $h : \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}^J/M \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}/P$ by $h(a/N) = a/P$. Then $k \circ m$ and $h \circ n$ are one to one and $k \circ m \circ f = h \circ n \circ g$.

We now prove that $\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}/P$ is actually a superamalgam. i.e we prove that K has the superamalgamation property. Assume that $k \circ m(a) \leq h \circ n(b)$. There exists $x \in \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}^I$ such that $x/P = k(m(a))$ and $m(a) = x/M$. Also there exists $z \in \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}^J$ such that $z/P = h(n(b))$ and $n(b) = z/N$. Now $x/P \leq z/P$ hence $x - z \in P$. Therefore there is an $r \in M$ and an $s \in N$ such that $x - r \leq z + s$. Now $x - r \in \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}^I$ and $z + s \in \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}^J$, it follows that there is an interpolant $u \in \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{r}^{(I \cap J)}$ such that $x - r \leq u \leq z + s$. Let $t \in C$ such that $m \circ f(t) = u/M$ and $n \circ g(t) = u/N$. We have $x/P \leq u/P \leq z/P$. Now $m(f(t)) = u/M \geq x/M = m(a)$. Thus $f(t) \geq a$. Similarly $n(g(t)) = u/N \leq z/N = n(b)$, hence $g(t) \leq b$. By total symmetry, we are done. \blacksquare

References

- [1] Andr eka, H. *Complexity of equations valid in algebras of relations.* Annals of Pure and Applied logic, **89** (1997), p. 149 - 209.
- [2] Andreka, H., Nemeti I., Sayed Ahmed T. *A non representable quasi-polyadic algebra with a representable cylindric reduct* Studia Math Hungarica, in press
- [3] Sayed Ahmed, T. *On Amalgamation of Reducts of Polyadic Algebras.* Algebra Universalis **51** (2004), p.301-359.
- [4] Sayed Ahmed, T. *Algebraic Logic, where does it stand today?* Bulletin of Symbolic Logic, **11**(4) (2005), p. 465–516
- [5] Sayed Ahmed T, *Some results about neat reducts* Algebra Universalis, 17-36 (1) 2010
- [6] Sayed Ahmed , T. *The class of polyadic algebras has the superamalgamation property* Mathematical Logic Quarterly **56**(1)(2010)p.103-112
- [7] Sayed Ahmed T. *Amalgamation of polyadic Heyting algebras* Studia Math Hungarica, in press.
- [8] Daigneault, A., *Freedom in polyadic algebras and two theorems of Beth and Craig.* Michigan Math. J.**11** (1963), p. 129-135.
- [9] Daigneault, A., and Monk,J.D., *Representation Theory for Polyadic algebras.* Fund. Math. **52**(1963) p.151-176.
- [10] Ferenczi, M., *On representation of neatly embeddable cylindric algebras* Journal of Applied Non-classical Logics, **10**(3-4) (2000) p.34-56
- [11] Ferenczi, M., *Finitary polyadic algebras from cylindric algebras.* Studia Logica **87**(1)(2007) p.1-11
- [12] Ferenczi, M., *On cylindric algebras satisfying the merry-go-round properties* Logic Journal of IGPL, **15**(2) (2007), p. 183-199
- [13] Ferenczi, M., *On representability of neatly embeddable cylindric algebras* Journal of Appl. Non-classical Logic, 3-4, 10 (2000) 1-11
- [14] Ferenzi M, *The polyadic representation* Transactions of Amer Math Society, to appear.

- [15] Gabbay M.D., Maksimova L. *Interpolation and Definability: Modal and Intuitionistic Logic* Oxford Science Publications (2005)
- [16] Gentzen, G., 1934-5, "Untersuchungen ber das logische Schliessen," Math. Zeitschrift 39: 176-210, 405-431.
- [17] Georgescu G. *A representation theorem for polyadic Heyting algebras* Algebra Universalis 14 (1982), 197-209.
- [18] Gdel, K., 1933, "Zur intuitionistischen Arithmetik und Zahlentheorie," Ergebnisse eines mathematischen Kolloquiums 4: 34-38.
- [19] Halmos, P., *Algebraic Logic*. Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, (1962.)
- [20] Henkin, L., *An extension of the Craig-Lyndon interpolation theorem* Journal of Symbolic Logic 28(3) (1963) p.201-216
- [21] Henkin, L., Monk, J.D., and Tarski, A., *Cylindric Algebras Part I*. North Holland, 1971.
- [22] Henkin, L., Monk, J.D., and Tarski, A., *Cylindric Algebras Part II*. North Holland, 1985.
- [23] Heyting, A., *Die formalen Regeln der intuitionistischen Logik, in three parts*, Sitzungsber. preuss. Akad. Wiss.: 42-71,(1930) 158-169. English translation of Part I in Mancosu 1998: 311-327.
- [24] Heyting, A., 1956, *Intuitionism: An Introduction*, North-Holland Publishing, Amsterdam. Third Revised Edition (1971).
- [25] Hodges, W. *A shorter Model Theory*. Cambridge. University Press. 1997.
- [26] Johnson, J.S. *Amalgamation of Polyadic Algebras*. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 149(1970) p.627-652
- [27]
- [28] Keisler H.J., *A complete first order logic with infinitary predicates* Fund. Math 52(1963) p.177-203
- [29] Kleene, S. C., 1952, *Introduction to Metamathematics*, Van Nostrand, Princeton.
- [30] Kripke "Semantical analysis of intuitionistic logic," in J. Crossley and M. A. E. Dummett, eds., 1965: 92-130.

- [31] Madárasz, J. and Sayed Ahmed T., *Amalgamation, interpolation and epimorphisms*. Algebra Universalis **56** (2) (2007), p. 179 - 210.
- [32] Maksimova, L. *Amalgamation and interpolation in normal modal logics*. Studia Logica **50**(1991) p.457-471.
- [33] Némethi, I., Sági, G. *On the equational theory of representable polyadic algebras*. Journal of Symbolic Logic (65)(3) 2000, p. 1143-1167
- [34] Monk J.D. *Polyadic Heyting algebras* Notices Amer Math Soc (1966) 735.
- [35] Sagi, G, Ferenszi, M, *On some developments in the representation theory of cylindric- like algebras* Algebra Universalis, **55**(2-3)(2006) p.345-353
- [36] Sagi, G, Shelah S., *Weak and strong interpolation for algebraic logics*. Journal of Symbolic Logic **71**(2006) p.104-118.
- [37] Sain, I. *Searching for a finitizable algebraization of first order logic*. 8, Logic Journal of IGPL. Oxford University, Press (2000) no 4, p.495–589.
- [38] A. Tarski, *Grundzuge der Systemenkalkuls. Erster Teil*. Fundamenta Mathematica, Vol. **25**, (1935), p.503-526. English translation in [A. Tarski, Logic, Semantics, Metamathematics. Papers from 1923 to 1938, edited by J. Corcoran, Hackett Pub. Co., Indianapolis, Indiana, second edition, (1983)]: Foundations of the calculus of systems, p.342-383.