

A DICHOTOMY FOR THE STABILITY OF ARITHMETIC PROGRESSIONS

MICHAEL BOSHERNITZAN AND JON CHAIKA

ABSTRACT. Let \mathcal{H} stand for the set of homeomorphisms $\phi: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$. We prove the following dichotomy for Borel subsets $A \subset [0, 1]$:

- either there exists a homeomorphism $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$ such that the image $\phi(A)$ contains no 3-term arithmetic progressions;
- or, for every $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$, the image $\phi(A)$ contains arithmetic progressions of arbitrary finite length.

In fact, we show that the first alternative holds if and only if the set A is meager (a countable union of nowhere dense sets).

1. DEFINITIONS

Let \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q} denote the sets of real and rational numbers, respectively. By an AP (arithmetic progression) we mean a finite strictly increasing sequence in \mathbb{R} of the form $\mathbf{x} = (x + kd)_{k=0}^{n-1}$, with $d > 0$ and $n \geq 3$. The convention is sometimes abused by identifying the sequence \mathbf{x} with the set of its elements. An AP is completely determined by its first term $x = \min \mathbf{x}$, its length $n = |\mathbf{x}|$ and its step (difference) $d > 0$.

A subset $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ is called FAP (free of APs) if it does not contain 3-term APs.

A subset $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ is called RAP (rich in APs) if it contains APs of arbitrary large finite length.

Denote by \mathcal{H} the set of homeomorphisms $\phi: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ of the unit interval. The result presented in the abstract can be restated as follows.

Theorem 1. *Let $S \subset [0, 1]$ be a Borel subset. Then exactly one of the following two assertions holds:*

- (1) *(either) there exists a $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\phi(S)$ is FAP;*
- (2) *(or) $\phi(S)$ is RAP for every $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$.*

Moreover, (1) holds if and only if S is meager.

Recall some basic relevant definitions. Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}$. A set S is called *nowhere dense* if its closure $\bar{S} \subset \mathbb{R}$ has empty interior. S is called *meager* (a set of first category), if it is a countable union of nowhere dense sets. S is called *residual*, or *co-meager*, if $\mathbb{R} \setminus S$ is meager; S is called *residual* in a subinterval $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ if the complement $X \setminus S$ is meager. Finally, S is called *the set of second category* if it is not meager.

The following proposition lists some “largeness” properties of a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ which force it to be RAP. Denote by λ the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} .

Proposition 1 (Classes of RAP sets). *Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}$. Then S is RAP if S belongs to at least one of the following four classes:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_1 &= \{S \subset \mathbb{R} \mid S \text{ is Lebesgue measurable with } 0 < \lambda(S) \leq \infty\}, \\ \mathcal{E}_2 &= \{S \subset \mathbb{R} \mid S \text{ is residual in some interval } X \subset \mathbb{R} \text{ of positive length}\}, \\ \mathcal{E}_3 &= \{S \subset \mathbb{R} \mid S \text{ is winning in Schmidt's game}\}, \\ &\quad \text{(several versions of Schmidt's games are possible, see [5],[7]),} \\ \mathcal{E}_4 &= \{S \subset \mathbb{R} \mid S \text{ is Borel and not meager}\} \end{aligned}$$

Date: March 2013.

Key words and phrases. Meager subset, arithmetic progressions, homeomorphism.
The authors were supported in part by NSF Grants DMS-1102298, DMS-1004372.

Proof. For a set $S \in \mathcal{E}_1$, one easily produces APs near any its Lebesgue density point. The argument for the classes E_2 and E_3 is even easier because residual subsets and the class E_3 are closed under finite (and even countable) intersections.

Finally, the sets $S \in \mathcal{E}_4$ are RAP because $\mathcal{E}_4 \subset \mathcal{E}_2$. (A Borel subset $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ of second category must be residual in some subinterval, see e.g. Proposition 3.5.6 and Corollary 3.5.2 in [8, page 108]). \square

Note that the the problems of finding finite or countable configurations F in sets $S \subset \mathbb{R}$, under various “largeness” metric assumptions on S , has been considered by several mathematicians.

Following Kolountzakis [3], a set F is called *universal* for a class \mathcal{E} of subsets of reals if $F \ll S$ for all $S \in \mathcal{E}$. Henceforth $F \ll S$ means that S contains an affine image of F , i.e. that $aF + b \subset S$, for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a > 0$. For example, S is RAP iff $\{1, 2, \dots, n\} \ll S$ for all $n \geq 1$; S is FAP iff $\{1, 2, 3\} \ll S$.

Every finite subset of reals is universal for all the classes \mathcal{E}_k , $1 \leq k \leq 4$. Every bounded countable subset is universal for the classes \mathcal{E}_k , $2 \leq k \leq 4$.

An old question of Erdős is whether there is an universal infinite set $F \subset \mathbb{R}$ for the class \mathcal{E}_1 (of sets of positive measure). The question is still open even though some families of countable sets F are shown not to contain universal functions, see Kolountzakis [3], Paul and Laczkovich [6] and references there. In [6] an elegant combinatorial characterization of universal sets F (for the class \mathcal{E}_1) is given which reproduces earlier results in the subject.

Keleti [2] constructed a compact set $A \subset [0, 1]$ of Hausdorff dimension 1 which is FAP; on the other hand, Laza and Pramanik in [4] showed that under certain assumptions (on the Fourier transform of supported measure) compact sets of fractional dimension close to 1 must contain 3-term APs (i.e., cannot be FAP). We refer to [4] for survey of related questions.

The central result of the paper, Theorem 1, completely characterizes the topological (rather than metric) properties of a Borel set $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ which guarantee it to be RAP. This theorem is an immediate consequence of the following proposition and the fact that the sets $S \in \mathcal{E}_4$ must be RAP (Proposition 1).

Proposition 2. *For every meager subset $C \subset [0, 1]$, there is a map $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$, $\phi: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, such that $\phi(C)$ is FAP.*

A stronger version of Proposition 2 (Proposition 3) is presented and proved in the next section.

2. PROOFS OF PROPOSITIONS 2 AND 3

Denote by \mathcal{C} the Banach space of continuous maps $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ equipped with the norm

$$(2.1) \quad \|f\| = \|f\|_\infty = \max_{x \in [0, 1]} |f(x)|.$$

Denote by \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{H}^+ the following subsets of \mathcal{C} :

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{F} = \{f \in \mathcal{C} \mid f \text{ is non-decreasing with } f(0) = 0; f(1) = 1\},$$

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{H}^+ = \{f \in \mathcal{F} \mid f \text{ is injective}\} = \{f \in \mathcal{H} \mid f \text{ is increasing on } [0, 1]\}.$$

The set \mathcal{F} is a closed subset of \mathcal{C} , while \mathcal{H}^+ is residual in \mathcal{F} . (Indeed,

$$\mathcal{H}^+ = \bigcap_{\substack{0 < a < b < 1 \\ a, b \in \mathbb{Q}}} F_{a,b}; \quad F_{a,b} = \{f \in \mathcal{F} \mid f(a) < f(b)\}$$

where \mathbb{Q} stands for the set of rationals, and $F_{a,b}$ are open dense subsets of \mathcal{F}).

The following proposition is a stronger version of Proposition 2.

Proposition 3. *Let $C \subset [0, 1]$ be a meager subset. Then, for residual subset of $\phi \in \mathcal{H}^+$, the image $\phi(C)$ is FAP (has no 3-term APs).*

Since a meager set is a countable union of nowhere dense sets, it is enough to prove the above proposition under the weaker assumption that C is nowhere dense. Indeed, a meager set C has a representation in the form $C = \bigcup_{i=k}^\infty C_k$ where C_k are nowhere dense. Then the unions $U_k = \bigcup_{i=1}^k C_i$ form a nested sequence of nowhere dense sets, and $\phi(C)$ is FAP if all $\phi(U_k)$ are.

Let

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(C) = \{\phi \in \mathcal{H}^+ \mid \phi(C) \text{ has no 3-term APs of step } d \geq \varepsilon\}.$$

In the proof of Proposition 3 we need the following lemma. Its proof is provided in the end of the next section.

Lemma 1. *Let $C \subset [0, 1]$ be a nowhere dense subset and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(C)$ contains a dense open subset of \mathcal{H}^+ . In particular, $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(C)$ is residual in \mathcal{H}^+ .*

Proof of Proposition 3 assuming Lemma 1. We may assume that C is nowhere dense (see the sentence following Proposition 3). We may also assume that C is compact (otherwise replacing C by its closure \bar{C}).

By Lemma 1, each of the sets $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(C)$, $\varepsilon > 0$, is residual in \mathcal{H}^+ . It follows that the set $\mathcal{H}_0(C) = \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty \mathcal{H}_{1/k}(C)$ is residual. It is also clear that, for $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_0(C)$, the images $\phi(C)$ are FAP.

This completes the proof of Proposition 3. □

3. PROOF OF LEMMA 1

First we prepare some auxiliary results.

Lemma 2. *Let $C \subset [0, 1]$ be a nowhere dense set, let $f \in \mathcal{H}^+$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists $g \in \mathcal{H}^+$ such that $\|g - f\| < \varepsilon$ and the set $g(C)$ has no 3-term APs with step $d \geq \varepsilon$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\varepsilon < 1/2$. Pick an integer $r \geq 3$ such that $r\varepsilon > 1$.

Since C is nowhere dense, so is $f(C)$, and one can select $r - 1$ points $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{r-1} \in (0, 1) \setminus f(\bar{C})$,

$$0 = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_{r-1} < x_r = 1,$$

partitioning the unit interval into r subintervals $X_k = (x_{k-1}, x_k)$, each shorter than ε :

$$0 < |X_k| = x_{k+1} - x_k < \varepsilon \quad (1 \leq k \leq r).$$

Then one selects non-empty open subintervals $Y_k = (y_k^-, y_k^+) \subset X_k$, $1 \leq k \leq r$, in such a way that the following four conditions are met:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} (c1) \quad & f(C) \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^r \bar{Y}_k, \\ (c2) \quad & x_{k-1} < y_k^- < y_k^+ < x_k \text{ (i.e., } \bar{Y}_k \subset X_k), \text{ for } 2 \leq k \leq r-1, \\ (c3) \quad & 0 = x_0 = y_1^- < y_1^+ < x_1, \text{ and} \\ (c4) \quad & x_{r-1} < y_r^- < y_r^+ = x_r = 1. \end{aligned}$$

That is, between Y_j and Y_{j+1} there exists $x_j \notin f(\bar{C})$ and $|Y_j| < |X_j| < \varepsilon$ for all j .

Set $p_1 = 0$, $p_r = 1$ and then select the $r - 2$ points $p_k \in Y_k$, $2 \leq k \leq r - 1$, so that the set $P = \{p_k\}_{k=1}^r$ contain no 3-term APs. Then the sequence $(p_k)_1^r$ is strictly increasing, and

$$\delta = \min_{1 \leq m < n < k \leq r} |p_m + p_k - 2p_n| > 0.$$

Next, for $1 \leq k \leq r$, we select open subintervals $Z_k \subset Y_k$, each shorter than $\frac{\delta}{4}$, with $p_k \in \bar{Z}_k$.

Define $u \in \mathcal{H}$ to be the homeomorphism $[0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ which affinely contracts \bar{Y}_k to \bar{Z}_k and affinely expands the gaps between the intervals \bar{Y}_k to fill it in. Note that

$$(3.2) \quad |u(x) - x| < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for } x \in \bigcup_{k=1}^r \bar{Y}_k,$$

because $x \in \bar{Y}_k$ implies $u(x) \in \bar{Y}_k$ and hence $|u(x) - x| \leq |Y_k| < |X_k| < \varepsilon$.

Since $u(x) - x$ is linear on each of the $(r - 1)$ gaps between the intervals \bar{Y}_k , the inequality (3.2) extends to the whole unit interval: $\|u(x) - x\| < \varepsilon$.

Define $g \in \mathcal{H}$ as the composition $g(x) = (u \circ f)x = u(f(x))$. Then

$$\|g - f\| = \|u \circ f - f\| = \|u(x) - x\| < \varepsilon.$$

It remains to show that $g(C)$ has no 3-term APs with step $d \geq \varepsilon$. In view of (3.1),

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^r \bar{Z}_k = h\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^r \bar{Y}_k\right) \supset h(f(C)) = g(C),$$

so it would suffice to prove that $\bigcup_{k=1}^r \bar{Z}_k$ has no 3-term APs with step $d \geq \varepsilon$.

Assume to the contrary that such an AP exists, say a_1, a_2, a_3 , with $d = a_2 - a_1 = a_3 - a_2 \geq \varepsilon$. Let $a_i \in \bar{Z}_{k_i}$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$. These k_i are uniquely determined, and since $|Z_{k_i}| < |X_{k_i}| < \varepsilon \leq d$, we have $k_1 < k_2 < k_3$. Taking in account that $|a_i - p_{k_i}| \leq |Z_{k_i}| < \delta/4$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |a_1 + a_3 - 2a_2| &\geq |p_{k_1} + p_{k_3} - 2p_{k_2}| - \\ &\quad - (|a_1 - p_{k_1}| + |a_3 - p_{k_3}| + 2|a_2 - p_{k_2}|) > \delta - 4 \cdot \frac{\delta}{4} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction with the assumption that a_1, a_2, a_3 forms an AP. \square

Corollary 1. *Let $C \subset [0, 1]$ be a nowhere dense set. Then for all $\varepsilon > 0$, the sets $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(C)$ (defined by (2.4)) are dense in \mathcal{H}^+ .*

Proof. Note that the sets $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(C)$ are monotone in $\varepsilon > 0$: $\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon_2}(C) \subset \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon_1}(C)$ if $0 < \varepsilon_2 < \varepsilon_1$.

By the previous lemma (Lemma 2), all sets $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(C)$ are ε -dense. Then, for a given $\varepsilon > 0$, the set $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(C)$ is δ -dense for every positive $\delta < \varepsilon$ (because even the smaller set $\mathcal{H}_\delta(C) \subset \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(C)$ is δ -dense). This argument completes the proof of Corollary 1. \square

Lemma 3. *Let $C \subset [0, 1]$ be a compact nowhere dense set, let $g \in \mathcal{H}$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Assume that the set $g(C)$ has no 3-term APs with step $d \geq \varepsilon$. Then there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\|h - g\| < \delta$ the sets $h(C)$ have no 3-term APs with step exceeding 2ε .*

Proof. Let

$$M = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in g(C)^3 \mid x_2 - x_1 \geq \varepsilon \text{ and } x_3 - x_2 \geq \varepsilon\}.$$

Then M is compact, and $F: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $F(x_1, x_2, x_3) = |x_1 + x_3 - 2x_2|$ assumes its minimum

$$\gamma = \min_{\mathbf{x} \in M} F(\mathbf{x}) > 0$$

which is positive because $g(C)$ has no 3-term APs with step $d \geq \varepsilon$. Take $\delta = \min(\varepsilon/2, \gamma/5)$.

Assume to the contrary that for some $h \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|h - g\| < \delta$, the set $h(C)$ contains an AP with step $d' > 2\varepsilon$, i.e. that there are $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in C$ such that

$$h(c_3) - h(c_2) = h(c_2) - h(c_1) > 2\varepsilon.$$

Then, for both $i = 1, 2$, we have

$$g(c_{i+1}) - g(c_i) > h(c_{i+1}) - h(c_i) - 2\delta > 2\varepsilon - 2\delta \geq \varepsilon,$$

whence $(g(c_1), g(c_2), g(c_3)) \in M$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &\leq F(g(c_1), g(c_2), g(c_3)) = |g(c_1) + g(c_3) - 2g(c_2)| \leq \\ &\leq |h(c_1) + h(c_3) - 2h(c_2)| + 4\delta = 0 + 4\delta \leq \frac{4\gamma}{5} < \gamma, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. \square

Proof of Lemma 1. It follows from Lemma 3 that there is an (intermediate) open subset $U \subset \mathcal{H}^+$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(C) \subset U \subset \mathcal{H}_{2\varepsilon}(C) \subset \mathcal{H}^+.$$

This set U is dense in \mathcal{H}^+ because its subset $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(C)$ is (by Corollary 1). Thus the set $\mathcal{H}_{2\varepsilon}(C)$ contains an open dense subset $U \subset \mathcal{H}^+$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, the proof is complete. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Kechris, **Classical Descriptive Set Theory**, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 156, 1995 Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [2] T. Keleti, A 1-dimensional subset of the reals that intersects each of its translates in at most a single point, *Real Anal. Exchange* **24**:2 (1998/99), 843–844.
- [3] M. N. Kolountzakis, Infinite patterns that can be avoided by measure, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **29** (1997), no. 4, 415–424.
- [4] I. Laba and M. Pramanik, Arithmetic progressions in sets of fractional dimension, *GAF* Vol.**19** (2009), 429–456.
- [5] C. T. McMullen, Winning sets, quasiconformal maps and Diophantine approximation, *GAF* Vol.**20** (2010), no. 3, 726–740.
- [6] H. Paul and M. Laczkovich, A visit to the Erdős problem, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **126** (1998), no. 3, 819–822.
- [7] W. S. Schmidt, On badly approximable numbers and certain games, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **123** (1966), 178–199.
- [8] S. M. Srivastava, **A course on Borel Sets**, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 180, 1998 Springer-Verlag, New York.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RICE UNIVERSITY, HOUSTON, TX 77005, USA

E-mail address: michael@rice.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, 5734 S. UNIVERSITY AVENUE, CHICAGO, IL 60637, USA

E-mail address: jonchaika@gmail.com