

Double cosets in free groups

Elizaveta Frenkel
Vladimir N. Remeslennikov

September 18, 2018

Abstract

In this paper we study double cosets of finite rank free groups. We focus our attention on cancellation types in double cosets and their formal language properties.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 20E05, 20F10, 20F65

Key words: double cosets, subgroups of free groups, regular subsets, bounded cancellations.

1 Introduction

By the classical Nielsen-Schreier theorem every subgroup of a free group is again a free group. One of the goals of this paper is to study how one finitely generated free group C can be embedded into another free group F . Clearly, the type of embedding of a subgroup C into a group F affects left and right cosets, and double cosets of type CfC , $f \in F$. A description of combinatorial and formal language properties of these complexes is the second goal of the present paper.

Similar questions have been studied widely as auxiliary ones, for example, in papers [3], [13], [16] in relation to hyperbolicity of amalgamated products of groups, and in [4], [8], [9] in connection with the conjugacy problem in amalgamated products.

There exist a lot of papers about the characterization of embeddings of a subgroup C in a group F , but we shall mention only the closest to the subject of our paper. In [1] was shown that the property of a finitely generated subgroup C to be malnormal is algorithmically decidable in a free group F , meanwhile [5] provides an example of the undecidability of this problem in the class of hyperbolic groups. Another related result was

proved in [11], where it was shown that a random finitely generated subgroup of a free group F is malnormal.

The essential role in these studies is played by the structure of a Cayley graph of a group and Schreier graphs for a subgroup C in F . In particular, the embedding of C in F can be described in terms of equations $E(f, f)$ and $E(f, g)$ (see section 3.1), lemmas 3.1, 3.4). In this paper we obtain results on double cosets in a free group F with respect to the type of embedding of a subgroup C in F . The main results are the following theorems:

Theorem 3.12. *Let F be a free group with a finite basis X , and C be a finitely generated subgroup of F with a Nielsen set of generators Y . Suppose $f \in F \setminus C$ and f is of minimal length in a double coset CfC . Then there exists a natural number k which can be effectively determined by C and Y such that*

- *if $C_f = C \cap f^{-1}Cf = 1$, then multiplication in the complex CfC is k -reduced, which means $l_X(c_1) + l_X(f) + l_X(c_2) - l_X(c_1fc_2) \leq 2k$, where $c_1, c_2 \in C$ and $l_X(\cdot)$ is the length of elements in $F(X)$.*
- *if $C_f \neq 1$, then CfT is k -reduced, where T is a relative Schreier transversal of C in F relative to C_f .*

Theorem 3.16. *Let C be a finite rank subgroup of a free group $F(X)$. Then the set of all X -reduced words representing elements of CfC is regular in $F(X)$. Moreover, an automaton which accepts this set can be constructed effectively by C and f if C is f -malnormal, or by C, f and a relative Schreier transversal T of C with respect to C_f , otherwise.*

2 Preliminaries

In this section, following [7, 8, 12], we give some basics on regular subsets, cosets, and Schreier representatives of finitely generated subgroups of a finite rank free group F . We shall use the following notation throughout the paper.

Let $F = F(X)$ be a free group with basis $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$. We identify elements of F with reduced words in the alphabet $X \cup X^{-1}$ (i.e. X -reduced words), and let $l_X(f)$ denote the length of an element $f \in F$, i.e. the number of letters of the reduced word f in $X \cup X^{-1}$.

Fix a subgroup $C = \langle h_1, \dots, h_r \rangle$ of F generated by finitely many elements $h_1, \dots, h_r \in F$ and an arbitrary element $f \in F$.

The set $CfC = \{c_1fc_2 | c_1, c_2 \in C\}$ is called a *double coset* and f is a *representative* of this coset.

2.1 Regular subsets in a free group and finite state automata

Suppose we are given a finite set X , which we shall call the *state set*. An *arrow* is a triple (s_1, x, s_2) , where s_1, s_2 are elements of $S(\mathcal{A})$ and x is an element of $X \cup \varepsilon$ and is called the *label* of the arrow. The *source* of the arrow is s_1 and its *target* is s_2 . An arrow labelled x is sometimes called an *x -transition*.

A *non-deterministic finite state automaton* \mathcal{A} is a quintuple $(S(\mathcal{A}), X, \delta, S_0, F_0)$, where $S(\mathcal{A})$ is a finite set of *states*, X is a finite set called the *alphabet*, $S_0 \subset S(\mathcal{A})$ is the (non-empty) set of *initial states*, $F_0 \subseteq S(\mathcal{A})$ is the set of *final states*, and δ is a set of arrows with labels in the enlarged alphabet $X \cup \varepsilon$. Here ε is assumed not to lie in X .

By a path of arrows in \mathcal{A} we mean a sequence $(s_1, u_1, s_2, \dots, u_n, s_{n+1})$, where $n \geq 0$ and each u_i is an arrow with source s_i and target s_{i+1} , for $1 \leq i \leq n$. We call s_1 the source and s_{n+1} the target of the path of arrows. Let w_i be the label of u_i , i.e. a letter of X or ε . Let w be the concatenation $w_1 \cdots w_n$; if $n = 0$, set $w = \varepsilon$. Then w is called the *label* of the path. Let X^* be a monoid of strings over X with identity ε . The *language* $L(\mathcal{A})$ over X assigned to a non-deterministic automaton is the set of elements of X^* obtainable from the labels of all possible paths of arrows with source in S_0 and target in F_0 ; in this case we say that $L = L(\mathcal{A})$ is a language *accepted* by \mathcal{A} . We say w is *readable* in \mathcal{A} from s_1 to s_2 , where $s_1, s_2 \in S(\mathcal{A})$, if there is a path of arrows from s_1 to s_2 with the label w .

A *deterministic finite state automaton* can be considered a special case of a non-deterministic finite state automaton, for which the following conditions are satisfied: there are no arrows labelled ε ; each state is the source of exactly one arrow with any given label from X ; and S_0 has exactly one element.

By the Kleene-Rabin-Scott theorem a regular language over X may be identified with the language accepted by some non-deterministic finite state automaton, or, equivalently, by some deterministic finite state automaton. If $R = L(\mathcal{A})$ is a subset of a group F , then X is supposed to be a set of group generators for F , i.e. elements of R are meant to be reduced strings over $X \cup X^{-1}$.

2.2 Graphs associated with a subgroup and Schreier transversals

We shall sometimes identify an automaton \mathcal{A} with a finite connected oriented labelled graph Γ with a distinguished vertex in natural way. Namely,

take vertex set $V = V(\Gamma) = S(\mathcal{A})$; edge set $E = E(\Gamma)$ corresponding to the arrows, with induced labelling, and form subsets $S_0, F_0 \subseteq S(\mathcal{A})$ from distinguished vertices. We shall also ascribe properties of automata to graphs.

One can associate with a subgroup C two graphs: *the subgroup graph* $\Gamma = \Gamma_C$ and the Schreier graph $\Gamma^* = \Gamma_C^*$; we refer reader to [12] for more details on this subject.

Recall, that Γ is a finite connected digraph with edges labelled by elements from X and a distinguished vertex (based-point) 1 (so $S_0 = F_0 = \{1\}$), satisfying the following two conditions. Firstly, Γ is folded, i.e., there are no two edges in Γ with the same label and having the same initial or terminal vertices. Secondly, Γ accepts precisely the reduced words in $X \cup X^{-1}$ that belong to C . To explain the latter observe, that walking along a path p in Γ one can read a word $\ell(p)$ in the alphabet $X \cup X^{-1}$, the label of p , (reading x in passing an edge e with label x along the orientation of e , and reading x^{-1} in the opposite direction). We say that Γ accepts a word w if $w = \ell(p)$ for some closed path p that starts at 1 and has no backtracking. Clearly, Γ can be identified with a deterministic finite state automata with 1 as the unique initial and final state.

The *Schreier graph* $\Gamma^* = \Gamma_C^*$ of C is a connected labelled digraph with the set $\{Cu \mid u \in F\}$ of the right cosets of C in F as the vertex set, and such that there is an edge from Cu to Cv with a label $x \in X$ if and only if $Cux = Cv$. One can describe the Schreier graph Γ^* as obtained from Γ by the following procedure. Let $v \in \Gamma$ and $x \in X$ such that there is no outgoing or incoming edge at v labelled by x . For every such v and $x \in X$ we attach to v a new edge e (correspondingly, either outgoing or incoming) labelled x with a new terminal vertex u (not in Γ). Then we attach to u the Cayley graph $C(F, X)$ of F relative to X (identifying u with the vertex 1 of $C(F, X)$), and then we fold the edge e with the corresponding edge in $C(F, X)$ (that is labelled x and is incoming to u). Observe, that for every vertex $v \in \Gamma^*$ and every reduced word w in $X \cup X^{-1}$ there is a unique path Γ^* that starts at v and has the label w . By p_w we denote such a path that starts at 1 , and by v_w the end vertex of p_w . The resulting graph Γ_C^* is the Schreier graph of C in F .

Notice that $\Gamma = \Gamma^*$ if and only if the subgroup C has finite index in F . A spanning subtree T of Γ with the root at the vertex 1 is called *geodesic* if for every vertex $v \in V(\Gamma)$ the unique path in T from 1 to v is a geodesic path in Γ . For a given graph Γ one can effectively construct a geodesic spanning subtree T .

From now on we fix an arbitrary spanning subtree T of Γ . It is easy to see that the tree T uniquely extends to a spanning subtree T^* of Γ^* .

Let $V(\Gamma^*)$ be the set of vertices of Γ^* . Since, in general, Γ^* is infinite, we need to extend terminology from subsection 2.1. For a subset $Y \subseteq V(\Gamma^*)$ and a subgraph Δ of Γ^* , we define the *language accepted by Δ and Y* as the set $L(\Delta, Y, 1)$ of the labels $\ell(p)$ of paths p in Δ that start at 1 and end at one of the vertices in Y , and have no backtracking. Notice that the words $\ell(p)$ are reduced since the graph Γ^* is folded. Notice, that $F = L(\Gamma^*, V(\Gamma^*), 1)$ and $C = L(\Gamma, \{1\}, 1) = L(\Gamma^*, \{1\}, 1)$.

Sometimes we shall refer to a set of right representatives of C as the *transversal* of C . Recall, that a transversal S of C is termed *Schreier* if every initial segment of a representative from S belongs to S .

Further, let S be a transversal of C . A representative $s \in S$ is called *internal* if the path p_s ends in Γ , i.e., $v_s \in V(\Gamma)$. By S_{int} we denote the set of all internal representatives in S . It follows from definition, that $|S_{\text{int}}| = |V(\Gamma)|$.

A representative $s \in S$ is called *geodesic* if it has minimal possible length in its coset Cs . The transversal S is *geodesic* if every $s \in S$ is geodesic. Clearly, if T^* is a geodesic subtree of Γ^* (and hence T is a geodesic subtree of Γ), then S is a geodesic transversal.

3 Main results on Double Cosets

In this section we study double cosets from different points of view.

3.1 Basic definitions and properties

Let $F = F(X)$, C as above. Fix an element $f \in F \setminus C$ and consider the double coset CfC for this element. Clearly, the following conditions are equivalent:

$$\begin{aligned} g \in CfC &\Leftrightarrow \exists d_1, d_2 \in C \quad g = d_1 f d_2 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \exists d_1, d_2 \in C \quad d_1 g = f d_2. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $E(g, f)$ the equation $xg = fy$ over C . Due to the latter equivalence, an element g belongs to CfC iff the equation $E(g, f)$ is solvable over C , i.e. exist $c_1, c_2 \in C$ such that $x = c_1, y = c_2$. Lemma 3.1 describes some properties of solutions of the uniform equation $E(f, f)$.

We assign to f a subgroup $C_f \subseteq F$ such that $C_f = C \cap C^f$, where C^f is the set of all elements of the form $f^{-1}Cf$. It turns out that C_f plays a

special role in the whole theory of double cosets. This subgroup is one of the most important characteristics of the embedding of C in F .

Further, a subgroup C is called f -malnormal if $C_f = 1$. Recall that a subgroup C is said to be malnormal if it is f -malnormal for all $f \in F \setminus C$. If, on the contrary, C_f is nontrivial then, following [1], we call f *potentially normalizing* (or *pn* for short).

Lemma 3.1. *Let $C < F$ and $f \in F \setminus C$. Let $D(f, f) \subseteq C \times C$ be the set of all solutions of equation $E(f, f)$. In the notation above*

- $D(f, f)$ consists of pairs $(c, c^{f^{-1}})$ for all $c \in C_f$;
- if C is f -malnormal (malnormal a fortiori), then $\sharp D(f, f) = 1$;
- if $g \in CfC$, then C_g is isomorphic to C_f .

Proof. To show (1) take an arbitrary $c_1 \in C_f$; then by definition $c_1 \in C^f \cap C$. Therefore, exists an element c_2 such that $c_1 = f^{-1}c_2f$. Evidently, $(c_1, c_1^{f^{-1}})$ provide a solution of $E(f, f)$ and all such elements can be obtained analogously.

Let us show (2). Suppose there exist two different solutions of $E(f, f)$, say c_1, c_2 and d_1, d_2 in $C \setminus \{1\}$. Then $c_1 = c_2^{f^{-1}}$, $d_1 = d_2^{f^{-1}}$ and therefore $(c_1d_1^{-1})^f = c_2d_2^{-1}$. From this it follows that $c_1d_1^{-1}, c_2d_2^{-1} \in C_f = 1$. Thus $c_1 = d_1$ and $c_2 = d_2$ and the set $D(f, f)$ consists of only one pair.

To prove claim (3), suppose $g = d_1fd_2$ for some $d_1, d_2 \in C$. By definition $C_g = C^g \cap C = C^{d_1fd_2} \cap C = (C^{d_1})^{fd_2} \cap C \simeq C^f \cap C^{d_2^{-1}} = C^f \cap C = C_f$. This concludes the proof. \square

Remark 3.2. If an element f is pn, then every other representative in CfC is also potentially normalizing by Lemma 3.1.

A double coset CfC is called *essential* if $C_f \neq 1$ for some representative $f \in F$ (and so for all representatives of this coset).

Lemma 3.3. *The number of different essential cosets is finite.*

Proof. The union of all essential double cosets forms so called generalized normalizer of C in F , i.e. the set $N_F^*(C) = \{f \in F | f^{-1}Cf \cap C \neq 1\}$. This union is known to be finite (see, for example, [8], proposition 3.5.). \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let $C < F$ and $f, g \in F \setminus C$. If the equation $E(g, f)$ has a solution (c_1, c_2) over C , then the set $D(g, f)$ of all solutions of this equation consist of all pairs $(c_f c_1, c_2 c_f^{fc_2})$, where $c_f \in C_{f^{-1}}$. In particular, if C is f -malnormal, then there exists a unique solution (c_1, c_2) with $c_1, c_2 \in C$ such that $g = c_1 f c_2$ for $g \in C f C$.*

Proof. Notice that $E(g, f)$ is consistent by assumption and therefore exists a pair (c_1, c_2) such that $c_1 g = f c_2$. If C is f -malnormal, then $C_f \simeq C_g = 1$ and $D(g, f)$ satisfies the desired conclusion. Denote by M the set of all such pairs and suppose there exists another pair of solutions, say (d_1, d_2) . Then $g^{-1} d_1^{-1} c_1 g = d_2^{-1} c_2$ and $f d_2 c_2^{-1} f^{-1} = d_1 c_1^{-1}$, and therefore $d_2^{-1} c_2 = c_g \in C_g$ and $d_1 c_1^{-1} = c_f \in C_{f^{-1}}$. This implies $d_1 = c_f c_1$ and $d_2 = c_2 c_g^{-1}$, but $c_g^{-1} = c_f^{c_1 g} = c_f^{fc_2}$ and $(d_1, d_2) = (c_f c_1, c_2 c_f^{fc_2}) \in M$. The opposite inclusion $M \subseteq D(g, f)$ is straightforward. \square

3.2 Advantages of Nielsen set of generators

Now suppose that C is supplied by a Nielsen generating set. In this subsection we analyze how this affects the cancellation in cosets and double cosets of C . We also introduce here the notion of a relative Schreier transversal of C with respect to its subgroup D .

Since an arbitrary set of generators for C can be carried into a Nielsen set (see, for example, [12] or [15]), without loss of generality it can be assumed that C is presented by such a set $Y = \{h_1, \dots, h_r\}$. Let S be the geodesic Schreier transversal for C in F such that the Nielsen set of generators Y has the following properties with respect to S .

Properties of a Nielsen set of generators:

- (i) each $h \in Y \cup Y^{-1}$ can be written in the form

$$h = s_1 \mu(h) s_2^{-1},$$

where $s_1, s_2 \in S_{\text{int}}$ are written as reduced X -words, $\mu(h)$ is an element of $X \cup X^{-1}$ and

$$|l_X(s_1) - l_X(s_2)| \leq 1;$$

- (ii) if h_{i_1}, h_{i_2} are distinct elements of Y , then the letters $\mu(h_{i_1})^{\pm 1}$ and $\mu(h_{i_2})^{\pm 1}$ do not cancel on computing the X -reduced form of $h_{i_1}^{\pm 1} h_{i_2}^{\pm 1}$;
- (iii) if $h_{i_1}, h_{i_2}, h_{i_3}$ are elements of $Y \cup Y^{-1}$ such that $h_{i_2} \neq h_{i_1}^{-1}$ and $h_{i_2} \neq h_{i_3}^{-1}$, then the letter $\mu(h_{i_2})$ does not cancel on computing the X -reduced form of $h_{i_1} h_{i_2} h_{i_3}$.

Clearly, such S always exists; and the letter $\mu(h)$ is called the central letter of $h \in Y \cup Y^{-1}$. Set $M = M(Y) = \lceil \frac{1}{2} \max\{l_X(h_1), \dots, l_X(h_r)\} \rceil + 1$.

The following material (up to Lemma 3.7) can be extracted from [1].

Lemma 3.5. [1, Lemma 2] Suppose $f \in F$ is pn and has minimal length in CfC . If $fc_1f^{-1} = c_2$, where $c_1, c_2 \in C, c_1 \neq 1$, then at least one of the letters of c_1 appears in the reduced form of fc_1f^{-1} , i.e., in c_2 .

Lemma 3.6. [1, Lemma 3,4] Suppose f is of minimal length in fC and that $c = h_{i_1} \dots h_{i_n}$ (where $h_{i_1}, \dots, h_{i_n} \in Y \cup Y^{-1}$) is Y -reduced.

Then either $\mu(h_{i_1})$ remains in $fh_{i_1} \dots h_{i_n}$ and in this case the cancellation with f in fc is exactly that of f in fh_{i_1} ; or the central letter $\mu(h_{i_1})$ cancels in the product fc , and in this case h_{i_1} is of even length and exactly half of h_{i_1} cancels with f ;

Suppose that $\mu(h_{i_j})$ cancels in the product $fh_{i_1} \dots h_{i_j} \dots h_{i_n}$, but $\mu(h_{i_{j+1}})$ does not. Then

$$j \leq r;$$

for any $k = 1, \dots, j$ the length $l_X(h_{i_k})$ is even and $\mu(h_{i_k})$ cancels in product fc ;

for any $k = 1, \dots, j - 1$ exactly the right half s_{2k}^{-1} of h_{i_k} cancels completely in $h_{i_k}h_{i_{k+1}}$;

$$l_X(h_{i_1}) < \dots < l_X(h_{i_j});$$

if the right half of h_{i_j} does not cancel completely with $h_{i_{j+1}}$, then $h_{i_1} \dots h_{i_{j-1}}s_{1j}\mu(h_{i_j})$ is precisely the part of c that cancels with f and $l_X(h_{i_1} \dots h_{i_{j-1}}s_{1j}\mu(h_{i_j})) = \frac{1}{2}l_X(h_{i_j})$;

if the right half of h_{i_j} does cancel with $h_{i_{j+1}}$, then $h_{i_1} \dots h_{i_j}s$ is precisely the part of c that cancels with f for some $s \in S_{\text{int}}$ and $l_X(h_{i_1} \dots h_{i_j}s) \leq \frac{1}{2}l_X(h_{i_{j+1}})$.

Lemma 3.7. [1, Lemma 5] Suppose that the equation $E(f, f)$ is satisfied for $c_1, c_2 \in C$, and f is of minimal length in CfC . Then there exists $f' \in CfC$ of the same length as f which is a product of two pieces of generators from $Y \cup Y^{-1}$.

Lemma 3.7 allows us to obtain an algorithm which lists all essential double cosets:

Corollary 3.8. There exists an algorithm A , which given a subgroup C of F and a Nielsen set of generators $Y = \{h_1, \dots, h_r\}$ lists all essential double cosets CfC of F (finite in their number by Lemma 3.3). Moreover, A runs in polynomial time in $M = M(Y) = \lceil \frac{1}{2} \max\{l_X(h_1), \dots, l_X(h_r)\} \rceil + 1$.

Proof. The number of different pieces of generators in $Y \cup Y^{-1}$ can be estimated as $O(M^2)$, and therefore one can form a list of all possible products $f' \in CfC$ (as in 3.7) in time at most $O(M^4)$. Let us fix an element $f \in F \setminus C$ of this list. By [17, Theorem 1.6], we can construct subgroup graphs Γ_{C_f} and Γ_C in time $\Theta(N + 4M)$ and $\Theta(N)$, respectively, where $N \leq 2Mr$ and $\Theta(x) = O(x \log^*(x))$. Here the function $\log^* : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is given by $\log^*(x) = k$, where $k = \min\{m \in \mathbb{N} : \log^m(x) \leq 1\}$. The product $\Gamma_{C_f} \times \Gamma_C$ can be constructed in time $O((N + 4M)N)$, which is bounded above by $O(M^2)$. If now the component of $\Gamma_{C_f} \times \Gamma_C$ containing $1_{C_f} \times 1_C$ is not an isolated vertex, then f is essential. \square

Using properties of sets of Nielsen generators and Lemmas 3.5, 3.6, we want to introduce a notion of the relative Schreier transversal. We shall use this to simplify multiplication and representation of elements in double cosets.

For every pair $h_i, h_j \in Y^{\pm 1}$, $h_i \neq h_j^{-1}$, define a_{ij} to be the initial subword of h_i that does not cancel on forming the product $h_i h_j$, and let b_{ij} be the terminal subword of h_j that does not cancel on forming $h_i h_j$.

Denote also m_{ijk} the subword of h_j that does not cancel on forming the triple product $h_i h_j h_k$, where $h_j \neq h_k^{-1}, h_j \neq h_i^{-1}$. Notice that by definition of a Nielsen set of generators all words a_{ij} , b_{ij} and m_{ijk} in $F(X)$ are non-trivial. Denote by Σ this new alphabet $\{a_{ij}\} \cup \{b_{ij}\} \cup \{m_{ijk}\} \cup \{h_i\}$ obtained from all such generators, their pairs h_i, h_j and triples h_i, h_j, h_k . We also use an additional subdivision of m_{ijk} . Namely, let $m_{ijk} = \alpha_{ij} \circ \mu_j \circ \beta_{jk}$ for some $\alpha_{ij}, \beta_{jk} \in F(X)$ (not necessarily non-trivial). (In Section 3.3 we subdivide α 's and β 's into smaller pieces: $m_{ijk} = \alpha_{1ij} \circ \alpha_{2ij} \circ \mu_j \circ \beta_{2jk} \circ \beta_{1jk}$).

A nontrivial Σ -reduced word u is called *C-admissible* if it has one of the following forms

$$c = a_{i_1 i_2} \circ m_{i_1 i_2 i_3} \circ \dots \circ m_{i_{k-2} i_{k-1} i_k} \circ b_{i_{k-1} i_k} \text{ or} \quad (1)$$

$$c = a_{i_1 i_2} \circ b_{i_1 i_2} \text{ or } c = h_i. \quad (2)$$

Clearly, there is one-to-one correspondence between C -admissible words and nontrivial X -reduced words $c \in C$.

Further, let D be a nontrivial subgroup of C and suppose D is given by a finite Nielsen set of generators $Z = \{d_1, \dots, d_m\}$, where d_1, \dots, d_m are

Y -reduced words. Consider a subgroup graph Γ_D , and take a maximal subtree Υ of Γ_D such that the corresponding Schreier transversal T respect the choice of central letters $\mu(d_i)$. Denote by T_{int} the set of all inner representatives from T . Combining properties (i), (ii), (iii) of a Nielsen set of generators with formulae (1) - (2) above, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_i &= t_1 \cdot \mu(d_i) \cdot t_2^{-1} \\ &= (a_{i_1 i_2} \circ m_{i_1 i_2 i_3} \circ \dots) \circ m_{i_{j-1} i_j i_{j+1}} \circ (\dots \circ m_{i_k i_{k+1} i_{k+2}} \circ b_{i_{k+1} i_{k+2}}) \text{ or} \\ d_i &= a_{i_1 i_2} \circ m_{i_1 i_2 i_3} \circ b_{i_2 i_3} \text{ or} \\ d_i &= a_{i_1 i_2} \circ b_{i_1 i_2} \text{ or } d_i = h_{i_1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $t_1, t_2 \in T_{\text{int}}$; $\mu(d_i), h_{i_1} \in Y \cup Y^{-1}$, and $a_{ij}, b_{ij}, m_{ijk} \in \Sigma$.

The system T_D of all C -admissible words $t \in T$ is called the *relative Schreier transversal* of C in F with respect to D and Υ .

Example 3.9. Consider an example of a relative Schreier transversal for a subgroup $C < F(a, b)$. Let $Y = \{a^3, b^3, ab^2a^{-1}, ba^3b^{-1}, bab^2a^{-1}b^{-1}\} = \{h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4, h_5\}$ be a Nielsen set of generators of C . The subgroup graph Γ_C is shown on figure 1, the edges entering maximal subtree are highlighted.




Figure 1: Stallings automaton Γ_C recognizing $C = \langle a^3, b^3, ab^2a^{-1}, ba^3b^{-1}, bab^2a^{-1}b^{-1} \rangle$

Further, represent elements of $Y \cup Y^{-1}$ in the form $h_i = s_{1i} \circ \mu_i \circ s_{2i}^{-1}$ (uniquely determined by S):

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 &= a \circ a \circ a = h_6^{-1}, & h_2 &= b \circ b \circ b = h_7^{-1}, \\ h_3 &= ab \circ b \circ a^{-1} = h_8^{-1}, & h_4 &= ba \circ a \circ ab^{-1} = h_9^{-1}, \\ h_5 &= bab \circ b \circ a^{-1}b^{-1} = h_{10}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking all suitable products of h_i, h_j and h_k for $i, j, k = 1, \dots, 10$, one can easily construct Σ . For instance, $a_{11} = a^3$, $a_{74} = b^{-2}$, $m_{123} = b^3$, $m_{742} = a^3$, $b_{42} = b^2$ etc. The element a is pn and a subgroup C_a is generated by a Nielsen generating set $Z = \{h_2^{-1}h_4h_2, h_1, h_2^2\} = \{d_1, d_2, d_3\}$. Then, taking a maximal subtree Υ as shown on figure 2(a), obtain

$$d_1 = a_{74} \circ m_{742} \circ b_{42}, \quad d_2 = h_1 \quad \text{and} \quad d_3 = a_{22} \circ b_{22}.$$




Figure 2: Subgroup graph Γ_D and consolidated graph Γ'_D for $D = \langle h_2^{-1}h_4h_2, h_1, h_2^2 \rangle$

Therefore, m_{742}, h_1 and a_{22} are central words and $1, a_{74}, b_{42}^{-1}, b_{22}$ forms the inner part of the relative Schreier transversal for C in F with respect to C_a and Υ . This relative transversal in terms of $X = \{a, b\}$ is shown on figure 2(b) (and we refer reader to [4] for more details on consolidated graphs).

In the sequel, we omit a maximal subtree Υ from the notation assuming it fixed for every relative Schreier transversal.

In Lemma 3.4 we showed that every representative $g \in CfC$ has a unique presentation in the form $g = c_1fc_2$, $c_1, c_2 \in C$ when $C_f = 1$. However, this is not the case for essential cosets and such a presentation is not unique in general.

Nevertheless, the representatives of essential cosets can be uniquely written in a similar way:

Lemma 3.10. *Let CfC be an essential coset and let T be a Schreier transversal for C in F with respect to C_f . Then every $g \in CfC$ has a unique presentation*

$$g = cft, \quad c_1 \in C, t \in T.$$

Proof. Let $g = c_1fd_1$ be a representative of a some essential double coset CfC , $c_1, d_1 \in C$. By definition of a relative Schreier transversal there is an element $t_1 \in T$ such that $g = c_1ft_1$. Now suppose that $g = c_2ft_2$ is

another presentation for g , $c_2 \in C, t_2 \in T$. Then $c_1 f t_1 = c_2 f t_2$ implies $c_1^{-1} c_2 = f t_1 t_2^{-1} f^{-1}$ and since $t_1 t_2^{-1} \in C$ we have $c_1^{-1} c_2 \in C_f$ and $t_1 \in C_f t_2$. But t_1, t_2 are both representatives of C_f in C and since they are in the same coset, $t_1 = t_2$ and hence $c_1 = c_2$. \square

3.3 Cancellations in complex CfC

In this subsection we estimate the size of cancellations in a double coset depending on a subgroup C_f .

Let $Y = \{h_1, \dots, h_r\}$ be some fixed set of Nielsen generators for C . Recall that $M = \lceil \frac{1}{2} \max\{l_X(h_1), \dots, l_X(h_r)\} \rceil + 1$ and set p equal to the number of elements in the ball of radius $2M$ in $F(X)$. We shall use this notation in what follows. The following technical lemma turns out to be crucial in the proof of Theorem 3.12.

Lemma 3.11. *Let $f_0, f_1 = h_{i_1} f_0 h_{j_1}, \dots, f_k = h_{i_k} f_{k-1} h_{j_k}$ be a sequence of elements in $F(X)$ such that $h_{i_1}, h_{j_1}, \dots, h_{i_k}, h_{j_k}$ are elements of $Y \cup Y^{-1}$; $h_{i_k} \dots h_{i_1}$ and $h_{j_1} \dots h_{j_k}$ are Y -reduced words, and $l_X(f_l) \leq 2M$ for all $l = 1, \dots, k$. Then for all $k \geq p$ there exist $l, n \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and nontrivial $c_l, c_n, d_l, d_n \in C$ such that $l \neq n$, and $c_l \neq c_n, d_l \neq d_n$, and*

$$c_l f_l d_l = c_n f_l d_n = c_n f_n d_n = c_l f_n d_l.$$

Proof. Fix a number k ; notice that M is at least 1 so $p \geq 4m^2 + 1$ for a free group F of rank m and therefore $k \geq p \geq 17$. In particular, $k > 0$. The number of all elements of length not greater than $2M$ is equal to p by definition, and so by Dirichlet's drawer principle there are at least two equal elements among $k + 1 > p$ elements of such length, say f_l and f_n with $l < n$. The statement of the lemma is obvious for $f_l = f_n = 1$, so suppose f_l, f_n are non-trivial. Since

$$h_{i_k} \dots h_{i_1} f_0 h_{j_1} \dots h_{j_k} = h_{i_k} \dots h_{i_{l+1}} f_l h_{j_{l+1}} \dots h_{j_k} = f_k,$$

one can take $c_l = h_{i_k} \dots h_{i_l}$, $c_n = h_{i_k} \dots h_{i_n}$ and $d_l = h_{j_l} \dots h_{i_k}$, $d_n = h_{j_n} \dots h_{i_k}$. Clearly, c_l, c_n, d_l, d_n are non-trivial (as they are Y -reduced products of non-trivial elements) and $c_l \neq c_n, d_l \neq d_n$. Indeed, let, for instance, $c_l = c_n$; then the product $c_l^{-1} c_n$ is equal to $h_{i_{l+1}} \dots h_{i_k}$. But $h_{i_{l+1}} \dots h_{i_k}$ can not be trivial as a Y -reduced word in Nielsen generators. Therefore, $c_l f_l d_l = c_n f_l d_n$ and other equalities are straightforward. \square

Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be a finite number of subsets in $F(X)$ and consider the function $cn : A_1 \cdot A_2 \cdot \dots \cdot A_n \mapsto \mathbb{N}$ which computes the total amount of X -cancellations in the product $a_1 \cdot \dots \cdot a_n$:

$$cn(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n l_X(a_i) - l_X(a_1 a_2 \dots a_n) \right).$$

Observe that for $n = 2$ the function $cn(a_1, a_2)$ coincides with the notion of Lyndon-Chiswell-Gromov product (a_1, a_2^{-1}) in a group F with respect to canonical length function l_X (see [14, 6] for details on length functions, and in [10] this definition was adapted to hyperbolic metric spaces). We say that the product $A_1 \cdot A_2 \cdot \dots \cdot A_n$ is k -reduced if there is a constant $k \geq 0$ such that for every $a_i \in A_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ the following holds

$$cn(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \leq k.$$

For instance, two singletons $A_1 = \{u\}, A_2 = \{v\}$ such that $uv = u \circ v$ form a 0-reduced set, but we shall omit the prefix 0- below (saving “ k -” only for $k > 0$).

Theorem 3.12. *Let F be a free group with a finite basis X and C be a finitely generated subgroup of F with a Nielsen set of generators Y . Suppose $f \in F \setminus C$ and f is of minimal length in a double coset CfC , and let $k = 2pM$.*

- *If C is f -malnormal, then CfC is k -reduced.*
- *If CfC is essential, then CfT is k -reduced, where T is the relative Schreier transversal of C in F relative to C_f .*

Proof. Let $g \in CfC$. If f does not cancel completely in $g = cfd$, then by Lemma 3.6 the length of cancellations $cn(c, f)$ is bounded above by M (and also $cn(f, d) \leq M$). Hence $cn(c, f, d) = cn(c, f) + cn(f, d) \leq 2M$ and both (1.) and (2.) follows. If, on the other hand, f cancels completely then there are the two cases $C_f = 1$ and $C_f \neq 1$ to be considered.

1. Suppose $C_f = 1$ and $g \in CfC$ such that the total amount of cancellations in $cfd = g$ is greater than k for $c = h_{i_1} \dots h_{i_k}, d = h_{j_1} \dots h_{j_n} \in C$.

Let $f = f' \circ f''$, where f' cancels completely in cf and f'' cancels in fd . Below we list all possible forms of pieces f' and f'' . By Lemma 3.6 f'' possesses the following properties:

- 1.1. either $(f'')^{-1} = s$, where $s \in S_{\text{int}}$ and s is an initial segment of s_{1j_1} . Then $f''d = \gamma h_{j_2} \dots h_{j_n}$ and γ^{-1} is an initial segment of $h_{j_1}^{-1}$ of length $l_X(\gamma) < l_X(h_{j_1}) \leq 2M$, and $l_X(f'') \leq M$;

- 1.2. or $(f'')^{-1} = s_{1j_1}\mu_{j_1}$. Then $f''d = s_{2j_1}^{-1}h_{j_2} \cdots h_{j_n}$ and $l_X(s_{2j_1}^{-1}) \leq M$, $l_X(f'') \leq M$;
- 1.3. or $(f'')^{-1} = a_{j_1j_2} \circ m_{j_1j_2j_3} \circ m_{j_2j_3j_4} \circ \cdots \circ m_{j_{s-2}j_{s-1}j_s} \circ \alpha \circ \mu_{j_s}$, in which case $f''d = \beta h_{j_{s+1}} \cdots h_{j_n}$; recall that α, β are elements of $F(X)$ such that $m_{j_{s-1}j_sj_{s+1}} = \alpha \circ \mu_{j_s} \circ \beta$. Here the length $l_X(f'') \leq M$ (see Lemma 3.6, (e)) and $l_X(\beta) \leq M$;
- 1.4. or $(f'')^{-1} = a_{j_1j_2} \circ m_{j_1j_2j_3} \circ m_{j_2j_3j_4} \circ \cdots \circ m_{j_{s-2}j_{s-1}j_s} \circ \alpha_1$, where $f''d = \alpha_2 \mu_{j_s} \beta h_{j_{s+1}} \cdots h_{j_n}$; and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta$ are such that $m_{j_{s-1}j_sj_{s+1}} = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \circ \mu_{j_s} \circ \beta$. Here the length $l_X(f'') \leq M$ (see (f) of Lemma 3.6) and $l_X(\alpha_2 \mu_{j_s} \beta) \leq l_X(h_{j_s}) \leq 2M$.

Similarly, f' and cf' have one of the forms:

- 2.1. either $(f')^{-1} = s$, where $s \in S_{\text{int}}$ and s is a terminal segment of s_{2i_k} . Then $cf' = h_{i_1} \cdots h_{i_{k-1}} \gamma$ and γ^{-1} is a beginning of h_{i_k} of length $l_X(\gamma) \leq 2M$, and $l_X(f') \leq M$;
- 2.2 or $(f')^{-1} = \mu_{i_k} s_{2i_k}^{-1}$. Then $cf' = h_{i_1} \cdots h_{i_{k-1}} s_{1i_k}$ and $l_X(s_{1i_k}) \leq M$, $l_X(f') \leq M$;
- 2.3. or $(f')^{-1} = \mu_{i_l} \circ \beta \circ m_{i_l i_{l+1} i_{l+2}} \circ m_{i_{l+1} i_{l+2} i_{l+3}} \circ \cdots \circ m_{i_{k-2} i_{k-1} i_k} \circ b_{i_{k-1} i_k}$, in which case $cf' = h_{i_1} \cdots h_{i_{l-1}} \alpha$; here α, β are such that $m_{i_{l-1} i_l i_{l+1}} = \alpha \circ \mu_{i_l} \circ \beta$. Here the length $l_X(f') \leq M$ and $l_X(\alpha) \leq M$;
- 2.4. or $(f')^{-1} = \beta_2 m_{i_l i_{l+1} i_{l+2}} \circ m_{i_{l+1} i_{l+2} i_{l+3}} \circ \cdots \circ m_{i_{k-2} i_{k-1} i_k} \circ b_{i_{k-1} i_k}$, where $cf' = h_{i_1} \cdots h_{i_{l-1}} \alpha \circ \mu_{i_l} \circ \beta_1$; and β_1, β_2, α are such that $m_{i_{l-1} i_l i_{l+1}} = \alpha \circ \mu_{i_l} \circ \beta_1 \beta_2$. Here the length $l_X(f') \leq M$ and $l_X(\alpha \mu_{i_l} \beta_1) \leq 2M$.

Summarizing conditions (1.1) – (2.4) and renumbering h_{i_1}, \dots, h_{j_n} for notational simplicity if necessary, we obtain

$$g = h_{i_1} \cdots h_{i_k} f' f'' h_{j_1} \cdots h_{j_n} = h_{i_1} \cdots h_{i_{l-1}} q_1 q_2 h_{j_{s+1}} \cdots h_{j_n}, \quad (3)$$

where

$$q_1 = \begin{cases} \gamma_1, \text{ see (2.1), and } l_X(\gamma_1) \leq 2M, \\ s_{1i}, \text{ see (2.2-2.3), and } l_X(s_{1i}) \leq M, \\ s_{1i} \mu_i \beta_1, \text{ see (2.4), and } l_X(s_{1i} \mu_i \beta_1) \leq 2M, \end{cases}$$

and

$$q_2 = \begin{cases} \gamma_2, \text{ see (1.1), and } l_X(\gamma_2) \leq 2M, \\ s_{2j}^{-1}, \text{ see (1.2-1.3), and } l_X(s_{2j}) \leq M, \\ \alpha_2 \mu_i s_{2j}^{-1}, \text{ see (1.4), and } l_X(\alpha_2 \mu_i s_{2j}^{-1}) \leq 2M. \end{cases}$$

If $q_1 q_2 = q_1 \circ q_2$, then again $cn(c, f, d) = cn(c, f) + cn(f, d)$ and the total cancellations in $cf d$ are bounded above by $2M$, in contradiction with assumption $cn(c, f, d) > k$. Therefore, $q_1 q_2$ is not reduced. Notice that $f \notin C$ implies $g \notin C$ and therefore $q_1 q_2 \notin C$. Suppose $q_1 q_2$ cancels to $q'_1 \circ q'_2$, where q'_1 is an initial part of q_1 and q'_2 is a terminal part of q_2 . Without loss of generality one can assume that all h_{i_1}, \dots, h_{j_n} cancel in

$$cf d = h_{i_1} \cdots h_{i_{l-1}} q'_1 \cdot q'_2 h_{j_{s+1}} \cdots h_{j_n}.$$

By definition of the function cn we have

$$cn(c, f, d) = \frac{1}{2} (l_X(c) + l_X(f) + l_X(d) - l_X(g)), \quad (4)$$

where

$$l_X(c) = \sum_{t=1}^k l_X(h_{i_t}) - 2 \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} cn(h_{i_t}, h_{i_{t+1}}),$$

$$l_X(d) = \sum_{z=1}^n l_X(h_{j_z}) - 2 \sum_{z=1}^{n-1} cn(h_{j_z}, h_{j_{z+1}}),$$

and $l_X(f) = l_X(f') + l_X(f'')$.

Rearranging summands in (4) and (3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} cn(c, f, d) &= cn(h_{i_1} \cdots h_{i_k}, f') + cn(f'', h_{j_1} \cdots h_{j_s}) - \\ &\quad - \sum_{t=1}^{l-1} cn(h_{i_t}, h_{i_{t+1}}) - \sum_{z=s}^{n-1} cn(h_{j_z}, h_{j_{z+1}}) + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(l_X(q_2) + l_X(q_1) + \sum_{t=1}^{l-1} l_X(h_{i_t}) + \sum_{z=s+1}^n l_X(h_{j_z}) - l_X(g) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Further, formulae (1.1) - (2.4) imply $cn(h_{i_1} \cdots h_{i_k}, f') \leq M$, $cn(f'', h_{j_1} \cdots h_{j_s}) \leq M$, and $l_X(q_1) \leq 2M, l_X(q_2) \leq 2M$. By definition of a Nielsen

set of generators $cn(h_i, h_j) \geq 0$ and $l_X(h_i) \leq 2M$ for all $h_i, h_j \in Y \cup Y^{-1}$. Therefore,

$$k < cn(c, f, d) \leq (l + n - s + 3)M - \frac{1}{2}l_X(g).$$

Moreover, the assumption that all h_{i_1}, \dots, h_{j_n} cancel in (3) implies $l-1 = n - s$ and

$$g = a'_{i_1 i_2} \circ b'_{j_1 j_2}, \quad (5)$$

where $a'_{i_1 i_2}$ is an initial segment of $a_{i_1 i_2} \in \Sigma$, $b'_{j_1 j_2}$ is a terminal segment of $b_{j_{n-1} j_n} \in \Sigma$ and g is not C -admissible. Hence, $(i_1, i_2) \neq (j_{n-1}, j_n)$ and since $l_X(g) > 0$, we have

$$0 < l_X(g) \leq 4M(l+1) - 4pM \Rightarrow l > p-1. \quad (6)$$

Consider the sequence

$$\begin{aligned} f_0 &= f, \\ f_1 &= h_{i_1} \cdots h_{i_k} f_0 h_{j_1} \cdots h_{j_s}, \\ f_2 &= h_{i_{l-1}} f_1 h_{j_{s+1}}, \\ &\dots, \\ f_{l-1} &= h_{i_2} f_{l-2} h_{j_{n-s}}, \\ f_l &= g. \end{aligned}$$

Here $l_X(f_0) = l_X(f') + l_X(f'')$ and by (1.1) - (2.4) we have $l_X(f_0) \leq 2M$ and from formula (5) one can easily deduce $l_X(f_l) \leq 2M$.

Further, since $f_1 = q'_1 \circ q'_2$, and c, d are Y -reduced words in the Nielsen set of generators Y (see properties (i) - (iii)), the equality (5) is possible only if all lengths $l_X(f_1), \dots, l_X(f_{l-1})$ are bounded above by $2M$.

Observe that $l > p-1$ due to (6), and f_0, \dots, f_l represent the same double coset. Hence by Lemma 3.1, (3.) we have $C_f = C_{f_0} \simeq \dots \simeq C_{f_l} \simeq C_{f_{l+1}} = C_g$.

Therefore, f_0, \dots, f_l satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 3.11 and hence there exist elements, say f_i, f_j, c_i, d_i, c_j and d_j such that $c_i \neq c_j, d_i \neq d_j$, but $c_i f_i d_i = c_j f_j d_j$, a contradiction to the uniqueness of the representation of f_i in CfC (see Lemma 3.4).

2. Let $C_f \neq 1$. Then by Lemma 3.10 there is a unique presentation $g = cfd$ for $c \in C$ and $d \in T$ for the relative Schreier transversal $T = T_{C_f}$

(recall that we fixed a maximal subtree Υ in Γ_{Cf} ; hence T is unique). Then considering elements $d \in T$ and arguing as above, we obtain the equality $g = c_i f_i d_i = c_j f_j d_j$ for different indexes i, j again, a contradiction with Lemma 3.10. \square

Remark 3.13. Notice that for essential double cosets the complex CfC might not be k -reduced for any k . Indeed, let w be a primitive element of an arbitrary finite rank free group F , and let C be the subgroup of F generated by w^l for some $l > 1$. Then $f = w$ is pn and does not belong to C . However, the total amount of cancellations in the product $w^{kl} w w^{-kl} \in CfC$ can be arbitrary large.

3.4 Formal language and automatic properties

In this subsection we investigate connections between bounded cancellation and regularity of subsets of $F(X)$. We establish the regularity of all reduced words representing elements of a double coset CfC in a free group $F(X)$ using this relation.

Remark 3.14. Suppose A_1, A_2 are two regular subsets in F . Then the set $\overline{A_1 A_2}$ of all reduced words in $A_1 A_2$ is regular in $F(X)$.

The set $A_1 A_2$ is regular by Benois' theorem (see [2]), but we also need an automaton accepting $\overline{A_1 A_2}$. We shall show below how to construct this automaton for the case where the product $A_1 A_2$ is k -reduced. Let $\mathcal{A}_i = (S(\mathcal{A}_i), X, \delta_i, S_0(\mathcal{A}_i), F_0(\mathcal{A}_i))$ be a deterministic automaton accepting A_i ; in particular, it means $S_0(\mathcal{A}_i) = \{s_0(\mathcal{A}_i)\}$, $i = 1, 2$. One can easily form a (non-deterministic) automaton \mathcal{A} , which accepts the concatenation $A_1 A_2$. Namely, take the same alphabet X , $S(\mathcal{A}) = S(\mathcal{A}_1) \cup S(\mathcal{A}_2)$, the new start state to be $s_0(\mathcal{A}_1)$, the final states to be $F_0(\mathcal{A}) = F_0(\mathcal{A}_1) \cup F_0(\mathcal{A}_2)$, the transition function to be $\delta_1 \cup \delta_2$; and add additional arrows labelled ε from all states of $F_0(\mathcal{A}_1)$ to $s_0(\mathcal{A}_2)$. Since $A_1 A_2$ is k -reduced, the length of cancellations between the elements $a_1 a_2$, where $a_1 \in A_1, a_2 \in A_2$ is bounded by k . Therefore, the set U of words $u_j \in F(X)$ such that $a_1 = b_1 \circ u_j^{-1}$, $a_2 = u_j \circ b_2$, and $a_1 a_2 = b_1 \circ b_2$, where $a_i \in A_i, b_i \in F(X)$, is finite. Since \mathcal{A}_1 is a finite state automaton, for every $u_j \in U$ there is a finite set $P_{u_j} = \{p_{u_j} \in S(\mathcal{A}_1) : u_j^{-1} \text{ is readable from } p_{u_j} \text{ to } f_0 \text{ for some } f_0 \in F_0(\mathcal{A}_1)\}$; by the same argument the set $Q_{u_j} = \{q_{u_j} \in S(\mathcal{A}_2) : u_j \text{ is readable from } s_0(\mathcal{A}_2) \text{ to } q_{u_j}\}$ is finite. Add ε -transitions from the states of P_{u_j} to the states of Q_{u_j} for all u_j . Clearly, $\overline{A_1 A_2} \subseteq L(\mathcal{A})$ by construction, and moreover, $\overline{A_1 A_2} = L(\mathcal{A}) \cap F(X)$. Thus $\overline{A_1 A_2}$ is an intersection of regular sets, so is itself regular.

The *double-based cone* with bases w_1, w_2 is the set of all reduced words $w_1 \circ f \circ w_2$ in $F(X)$, starting with w_1 and ending with w_2 .

Corollary 3.15. Every double-based cone $C(w_1, w_2)$ in a finite rank free group is a regular set.

Proof. One can prove this statement using Benois' theorem, but we are interested in its direct proof and corresponding automaton. Let $w_1 = x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_s}$, $w_2 = x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_t}$. Then by definition an element $f \in C(w_1, w_2)$ is an X -reduced word of the form $f = x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_s} \circ y \cdots z \circ x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_t}$, with $y \neq x_{i_s}^{-1}$, and $z \neq x_{j_1}^{-1}$. Thus, it is sufficient to prove the regularity of a cone with bases x_{i_s} and x_{j_1} . Indeed, if $C(x_{i_s}, x_{j_1})$ is regular, then so is $C(w_1, w_2) = x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_{s-1}} \circ C(x_{i_s}, x_{j_1}) \circ x_{j_2} \cdots x_{j_t}$ as it is a concatenation of regular sets in $F(X)$. For notational simplicity we construct a deterministic automaton \mathcal{A} recognizing the cone $C(x_{i_s}, x_{j_1})$ in $F(X) = F(a, b)$, for bases equal to a and b respectively. An automaton \mathcal{A} recognizing $C(a, b)$ is shown in Figure 3 (the tailed arrow corresponds to the initial state plus a -transition, and the final state is labelled by double circle).




Figure 3: The automaton \mathcal{A} recognizing the cone $C(a, b)$.

If now the rank of the free group F is greater than 2, \mathcal{A} can be easily modified to recognize $C(a, b)$ in $F = F(X)$. Namely, it is necessary to add a new state q_x corresponding to each element of $X \cup X^{-1}$ (except $a^{\pm 1}, b^{\pm 1}$). From every new state q_x we add a y -transition to every other state q_y , including q_x , but excluding $q_{x^{-1}}$. The arrows from basis a and to basis b can be added in similar way. \square

Theorem 3.16. *Let C be a finite rank subgroup of a free group $F(X)$. Then the set of all X -reduced words representing elements of CfC is regular in $F(X)$. Moreover, an automaton which accepts this set can be constructed effectively by C and f if C is f -malnormal, or by C, f and a relative Schreier transversal T of C with respect to C_f , otherwise.*

Proof. If $C_f = 1$, then every X -reduced word in CfC has a unique representation in terms of this complex, by Lemma 3.4. If $C_f \neq 1$, then every word in CfC can be represented by an element of the complex CfT , for a relative Schreier transversal T of C with respect to C_f , by Lemma 3.10. In both cases the sets CfC and CfT are regular: it follows either from Benoist's theorem, or from the following direct construction. The sets CfC and CfT are k -reduced in $F(X)$, by Theorem 3.12. Further, since C, fC and fT are regular in $F(X)$ and corresponding automata can be constructed effectively (see, for example, [8]), the result follows from Remark 3.14 and its proof. \square

Acknowledgments

The first author is grateful to A. Duncan, A. A. Klyachko and V. A. Romanov for useful remarks. The second author was partially supported by RFFI grant 11-01-00081.

References

- [1] G. Baumslag, A. G. Miasnikov, V. N. Remeslennikov, Malnormality is decidable in free groups, *Intern. J. Algebra Comput.* **9**(6) (1999) 687-692.
- [2] M. Benoist, Parties rationnelles du groupe libre, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. A*, **269** (1969)
- [3] M. Bestvina, M. Feighn, A combination theorem for negatively curved groups, *Intern. J. Diff. Geom.* **35**(1) (1992) 85-101.
- [4] A. V. Borovik, A. G. Myasnikov and V. N. Remeslennikov, The Conjugacy Problem in Amalgamated Products I: Regular Elements and Black Holes, *Intern. J. Algebra Comput.* **17**(7) (2007) 1301 - 1335.
- [5] M. Bridson and D. Wise, Malnormality is undecidable in hyperbolic groups, *Israel J. Math.* **124** (2001) 313-316.

- [6] I. Chiswell, Abstract length functions in groups, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **80** (1976) 451–463.
- [7] D. Epstein, J. Cannon, D. Holt, S. Levy, M. Paterson and W. Thurston, *Word Processing in Groups* (Jones and Bartlett, Boston, 1992).
- [8] E. Frenkel, A. G. Myasnikov and V. N. Remeslennikov, Regular sets and counting in free groups, in *Combinatorial and Geometric Group Theory*, Series “Trends in Mathematics”, (Birkhauser Verlag Basel/Switzerland, 2010), pp. 93–118.
- [9] E. Frenkel, A. G. Myasnikov and V. N. Remeslennikov, Amalgamated products of groups: measures of random normal forms, *Fund. Appl. Math.* **16**(8) (2010) 189–221.
- [10] M. Gromov, *Hyperbolic groups*, in Essays in Group Theory, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, Vol. 8 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987), pp. 75–263.
- [11] T. Jitsukawa, Malnormal subgroups of free groups, in *Computational and statistical group theory*, Series on Contemporary Mathematics, Vol. 298, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2002) pp. 83–95.
- [12] I. Kapovich and A. G. Myasnikov, Stallings foldings and subgroups of free groups, *J. Algebra* **248** (2002) 608–668.
- [13] O. Kharlampovich and A. Myasnikov, Hyperbolic groups and free constructions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **350**(2) (1998) 571–613.
- [14] R. Lyndon, *Length functions in groups*, *Math. Scand.*, **12** (1963) 209 – 234.
- [15] R. C. Lyndon and P. Schupp, *Combinatorial group theory* (Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete Vol. 89, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1977).
- [16] K. V. Mikhajlovskii and A. Yu. Ol’shanskii, Some constructions relating to hyperbolic groups, in *Geometry and Cohomology in Group Theory*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series, Vol. 252 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998), pp. 263–290.
- [17] N. W. M. Touikan, A Fast Algorithm for Stallings’ Folding Process, *Intern. J. Algebra Comput.* **16**(6) (2006) 1031–1046.