

# Minimal symmetric differences of lines in projective planes

Paul Balister\*    Béla Bollobás†    Zoltán Füredi‡    John Thompson§

July 20, 2021

## Abstract

Let  $q$  be an odd prime power and let  $f(r)$  be the minimum size of the symmetric difference of  $r$  lines in the Desarguesian projective plane  $PG(2, q)$ . We prove some results about the function  $f(r)$ , in particular showing that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $f(r) = O(q)$  for  $Cq^{3/2} < r < q^2 - Cq^{3/2}$ .

## 1 Introduction

Let  $q$  be an odd prime power and consider the Desarguesian projective plane  $PG(2, q)$ . (For detailed definitions of lines, coordinates, conics, etc, see, e.g., the monograph Hirschfeld [11].) Write  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  for the set of points and lines of  $PG(2, q)$  respectively. We shall consider the subsets of  $\mathcal{P}$  or  $\mathcal{L}$  as elements of a vector space isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}_2^N$ ,  $N := q^2 + q + 1$ , and will switch between the ‘subset’ and ‘vector’ interpretations without further comment. For example, for subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $\mathcal{P}$  or  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $A + B$  represents the symmetric difference of  $A$  and  $B$ .

Define for  $0 \leq r \leq N$ ,

$$f(r) = \min \left\{ \left| \sum_{i=1}^r \ell_i \right| : \ell_1, \dots, \ell_r \in \mathcal{L} \text{ distinct} \right\}, \quad (1)$$

that is the minimal symmetric difference of  $r$  lines in  $PG(2, q)$ .

The problem of determining  $f(r)$  is motivated by the fact that it is an algebraic version of the Besicovitch-Kakeya [3] problem in a projective plane — determining the

---

\*Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Memphis, Memphis TN 38152, USA

†Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics, Wilberforce Road, Cambridge CB3 0WB, UK, and Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Memphis, Memphis TN 38152, USA

‡Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, 13–15 Reáltanoda Street, 1053 Budapest, Hungary. Research supported in part by the Hungarian National Science Foundation OTKA 104343, and by the European Research Council Advanced Investigators Grant 267195.

§Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics, Wilberforce Road, Cambridge CB3 0WB, UK

minimum size of a set that contains lines (or segments) in many directions. For more results on Kakeya's problem in the finite fields see [10, 5] and the references there.

Given a set  $R$  of lines in  $PG(2, q)$ , call a point *odd* if it is incident with an odd number of lines in  $R$ , and define the terms 'even point', 'single point', 'double point', etc., analogously. Let  $\mathcal{P}^o(R)$  be the set of odd points, and let  $\mathcal{P}^e(R)$ ,  $\mathcal{P}^k(R)$ ,  $\mathcal{P}^{\geq k}(R)$  be defined analogously as the set of points that are even, multiplicity  $k$ , and multiplicity at least  $k$ , respectively.

Dually, for  $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ , define  $\mathcal{L}^o(S)$  to be the set of lines  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $|\ell \cap S|$  is odd. Define  $\mathcal{L}^e(S)$ ,  $\mathcal{L}^k(S)$ , and  $\mathcal{L}^{\geq k}(S)$  analogously.

By duality of lines and points in the projective plane  $PG(2, q)$  we can rewrite (1) in the equivalent forms

$$f(r) = \min_{R \subseteq \mathcal{L}, |R|=r} |\mathcal{P}^o(R)| = \min_{S \subseteq \mathcal{P}, |S|=r} |\mathcal{L}^o(S)|. \quad (2)$$

We shall therefore often switch the viewpoint and consider sets of points which have odd intersections with few lines.

The next observation, proved below, is that  $\mathcal{P}^o(R)$  almost determines  $R$ , and  $\mathcal{L}^o(S)$  almost determines  $S$ . Indeed, the  $N$  vectors specified by  $\mathcal{L}$  span an  $(N-1)$ -dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_2^{\mathcal{P}}$  and their only linear dependency is  $\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} \ell = 0$ . This gives that  $\mathcal{P}^o(R) = \mathcal{P}^o(R')$  iff either  $R = R'$  or  $R' = \mathcal{L} \setminus R$ . Indeed, it is well known that the  $N \times N$  point line 0-1 incidence matrix  $A$  has rank  $N-1$  (one can consider  $AA^T = J + qI$  and this has rank  $N-1$  over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , see, e.g., Ryser [14]). The following useful lemma is based on this observation.

**Lemma 1.** *If  $R = \mathcal{L}^o(S)$  then  $|R|$  is even and either  $S = \mathcal{P}^e(R)$  (if  $|S|$  is odd) or  $S = \mathcal{P}^o(R)$  (if  $|S|$  is even). Dually, if  $S = \mathcal{P}^o(R)$  then  $|S|$  is even and either  $R = \mathcal{L}^e(S)$  (if  $|R|$  is odd) or  $R = \mathcal{L}^o(S)$  (if  $|R|$  is even).*

*Proof.* The maps  $\mathcal{L}^o$  and  $\mathcal{P}^o$  can be thought of as  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -linear maps between the set of subsets of  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$ , each regarded as a vector space isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}_2^N$ . For  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $|\mathcal{L}^o(\{p\})| = |\{\ell \in \mathcal{L} : p \in \ell\}| = q+1$  is even, so  $|\mathcal{L}^o(S)|$  is even for all  $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ . Moreover

$$\mathcal{P}^o(\mathcal{L}^o(\{p\})) = \sum_{\ell \ni p} \ell = \mathcal{P} - \{p\} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\mathcal{P}}$$

as the number  $q+1$  of lines through  $p$  is even and there is a unique line through  $p$  and  $p'$  for every  $p' \neq p$ . By linearity,  $\mathcal{P}^o(\mathcal{L}^o(S)) = \sum_{p \in S} (\mathcal{P} - \{p\}) = S$  when  $|S|$  is even, and so  $\mathcal{P}^o$  has rank at least  $N-1$ . Also,  $\mathcal{P}^o(\mathcal{L}) = \emptyset$  as every point is in an even number of lines. Hence the kernel of  $\mathcal{P}^o$  is  $\{0, \mathcal{L}\}$ . Similarly the kernel of  $\mathcal{L}^o$  is  $\{0, \mathcal{P}\}$ . The result now follows as  $\mathcal{P}^e(R) = \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}^o(R)$  and  $\mathcal{L}^e(R) = \mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{L}^o(R)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *For  $0 \leq r \leq N$ ,  $f(N-r) = f(r)$ .*

*Proof.* Replacing any set  $R = \{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_r\}$  by its complement  $\mathcal{L} \setminus R$  and noting that  $\sum_{\ell \notin R} \ell = \sum_{\ell \in R} \ell$ , we find that  $f(N-r) \leq f(r)$ . Reversing the roles of  $r$  and  $N-r$  gives  $f(N-r) \geq f(r)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $R$  be any set of  $r$  lines in  $\mathcal{L}$ . Then*

$$r(q+2-r) \leq |\mathcal{P}^o(R)| \leq rq+1$$

and

$$|\mathcal{P}^o(R)| \equiv r(q+2-r) \pmod{4}.$$

In particular,  $f(r) \geq r(q+2-r)$  and  $f(r) \equiv r(q+2-r) \pmod{4}$ .

*Proof.* Each line of  $R$  contains at least  $q+1-(r-1) = q+2-r$  points that do not lie on any other line of  $R$ . Thus there are at least  $r(q+2-r)$  points lying on a single line, and so in particular  $|\mathcal{P}^o(R)| \geq r(q+2-r)$ . On the other hand, one line contains  $q+1$  points and the symmetric difference of two lines contains exactly  $2q$  points. Thus  $|\mathcal{P}^o(R)| \leq rq+1$  for  $r \leq 2$ . For  $r > 2$  write  $R = R' \cup \{\ell, \ell'\}$ . Then by induction

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{P}^o(R)| &= |\mathcal{P}^o(R') + \mathcal{P}^o(\{\ell, \ell'\})| \\ &\leq |\mathcal{P}^o(R')| + |\mathcal{P}^o(\{\ell, \ell'\})| \\ &\leq ((r-2)q+1) + 2q = rq+1. \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $t_i = |\mathcal{P}^i(R)|$  be the set of points of multiplicity  $i$ . Then  $\sum it_i = r(q+1)$  is the number of points in all the lines counted with multiplicity, and  $\sum i(i-1)t_i = r(r-1)$  is the number of intersection points between ordered pairs of lines counted with multiplicity. Subtracting gives  $\sum i(2-i)t_i = r(q+2-r)$ . But  $i(2-i) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  when  $i$  is even and  $i(2-i) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  when  $i$  is odd. Thus  $r(q+2-r) \equiv \sum_{i \text{ odd}} t_i = |\mathcal{P}^o(R)| \pmod{4}$ .  $\square$

The function  $f(r)$  is easily determined for  $0 \leq r \leq q+1$  (and hence by Lemma 2 also for  $N-q-1 \leq r \leq N$ ).

**Theorem 4.** *For  $0 \leq r \leq q+1$ ,  $f(r) = r(q+2-r)$ .*

*Proof.* Lemma 3 implies  $f(r) \geq r(q+2-r)$ , so it remains by (2) to construct a set  $S$  of points with  $|S| = r$  and  $|\mathcal{L}^o(S)| = r(q+2-r)$ .

Let  $C = \{[s^2:st:t^2] : [s:t] \in PG(1, q)\}$  be the conic  $XZ = Y^2$ . We note that all lines  $\ell$  intersect  $C$  in at most 2 points, and  $|\ell \cap C| = 1$  if and only if  $\ell$  is one of the  $q+1$  tangent lines to  $C$ .

Let  $S$  be any subset of  $C$  of size  $r$ . No line intersects  $S$  in more than two points and so for any  $p \in S$  exactly  $r-1$  lines through  $p$  meet  $C$  at another point of  $S$ , while  $(q+1) - (r-1) = q+2-r$  lines through  $p$  fail to meet  $C$  at any other point of  $S$ . Thus there are exactly  $r(q+2-r)$  lines that meet  $S$  in an odd number of points and so  $|\mathcal{L}^o(S)| = r(q+2-r)$  as required.  $\square$

The function  $f(r)$  cannot vary too rapidly; trivially we have  $|f(r+1) - f(r)| \leq q+1$ . In fact, we can say slightly more.

**Theorem 5.** *For  $0 < r < N-2$ ,  $|f(r+1) - f(r)| \leq q-1$ .*

Note that  $f(0) = f(N) = 0$  and  $f(1) = f(N-1) = q+1$ , so this result fails for  $r = 0, N-1$ . On the other hand, the inequality can be sharp. For example,  $f(2) - f(1) = f(q+1) - f(q) = q-1$  by Theorem 4. There are other examples, e.g.,  $f(2q-1) = q+1$  and  $f(2q) = 2$  (see Theorem 13 below).

*Proof.* Assume  $|R| = r$  and  $\mathcal{P}^o(R) = S$  with  $|S| = f(r)$ . Note that  $S \neq \emptyset$  as  $R \neq \emptyset, \mathcal{L}$ . Pick  $p \in S$ . Assume every line  $\ell$  through  $p$  intersects  $S$  in an odd number of points. Then every line through  $p$  intersects  $S \setminus p$  in an even number of points. Since distinct lines through  $p$  partition  $S \setminus p$ , we see that  $|S \setminus p|$  is even and hence  $|S|$  is odd, contradicting Lemma 1. Thus there exists a line  $\ell_e$  that meets  $S$  in an even (and positive) number of points. If all  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$  met  $S$  in an even number of points then  $\mathcal{L}^o(S) = \emptyset$  and so  $S = \emptyset$  or  $\mathcal{P}$ , a contradiction. Thus there exists a line  $\ell_o$  that meets  $S$  in an odd number of points. As  $R = \mathcal{L}^o(S)$  or  $\mathcal{L}^e(S)$ , either  $\ell_e$  or  $\ell_o$  fails to lie in  $R$ . Adding such a line to  $R$  increases  $r$  by one and increases  $S$  by at most  $q - 1$ , implying  $f(r + 1) - f(r) \leq q - 1$ .

Replacing  $r$  by  $N - r - 1$  and applying Lemma 2 gives  $f(r + 1) - f(r) = -(f(N - r) - f(N - r - 1)) \geq -(q - 1)$ , completing the proof of Theorem 5.  $\square$

## 2 The case of $q + 2$ lines

Our next aim is to prove that the jump  $f(q + 2) - f(q + 1) = f(q + 2) - (q + 1)$  is not too small.

**Theorem 6.**  $f(q + 2) = 2q - 2$  for  $q \leq 13$ . More generally, for  $q \geq 7$  we have  $\frac{3}{2}(q + 1) \leq f(q + 2) \leq 2q - 2$ .

To prove this we shall use several lemmas, some classical results of this topic. Most of their proofs use either Rédei's method (see. e.g., [13]) or some version of Combinatorial Nullstellensatz (see, e.g., [1, Theorem 1.2]). Arrangements of  $q + 2$  lines are the most investigated part of finite geometries. In the following, a *triple point* with respect to a set of lines  $R$  will refer to a point which lies on *at least* three lines.

**Lemma 7** (Bichara and Korchmáros [2]). *Let  $R$  be a set of  $q + 2$  lines in  $PG(2, q)$ . Then there are at most two lines without triple points.*  $\square$

A *blocking set* in the affine plane  $AG(2, q)$  or in the projective plane  $PG(2, q)$  is a set  $B$  of points such that each line is incident with at least one point of  $B$ .

**Lemma 8** (Brouwer and Schrijver [6] and Jamison [12]). *Let  $B$  be a blocking set in  $AG(2, q)$ . Then  $B$  consists of at least  $2q - 1$  points.*  $\square$

**Lemma 9** (Szőnyi [15]). *Let  $B$  be a minimal blocking set in  $PG(2, q)$  of size less than  $3(q + 1)/2$  where  $q = p^h$  for some prime  $p$ . Then all lines meet  $B$  in  $1 \pmod p$  points.*  $\square$

The following lemma is contained in [5] (top of page 211) as a part of a more complex argument. For completeness we reproduce its proof here.

**Lemma 10** (Blokhuis and Mazzocca [5]). *Let  $R$  be a set of  $q + 2$  lines with at least one of the lines containing no triple points. Then the number of odd points is at least  $2q$  minus the number of lines in  $R$  without triple points.*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $R$  contains the line at infinity and that this line has no triple point. Let  $L$  be the set of  $q + 1$  lines in  $AG(2, q)$  obtained

by restricting the remaining lines of  $R$  to  $AG(2, q)$ . As the line at infinity contains no triple point, no two lines in  $L$  are parallel. Then as  $|L| = q + 1$ , every line  $\ell$  in  $AG(2, q)$  is parallel to precisely one line of  $L$ .

**Claim.** In  $AG(2, q)$  the odd points block all lines in  $AG(2, q)$ , except those in  $L$  that have no triple points.

Indeed, assume first that  $\ell \notin L$ . Then  $\ell$  intersects  $q$  of the lines in  $L$ ; indeed it intersects all but the unique line in  $L$  parallel to  $\ell$ . Since  $q$  is odd,  $\ell$  has an odd point.

Now assume  $\ell \in L$  and has a triple point. As there are  $q$  points in  $L$  and only  $q$  other lines in  $L$ , the fact that some point in  $\ell$  meets at least two of these lines implies that there is a point of  $\ell$  which meets no other line of  $L$ . Such a point is a single (and hence odd) point.

Adding one point from each line without a triple point (except the line at infinity) we obtain a blocking set of the affine plane, which by Lemma 8 contains at least  $2q - 1$  points. The result follows.  $\square$

*Proof of the lower bound in Theorem 6.* Let  $R$  be a set of  $q + 2$  lines with  $f(q + 2) = |\mathcal{P}^o(R)|$ ,  $S := \mathcal{P}^o(R)$ , and let  $T_3$  be the set of triple points. We will show that  $|S| \geq 3(q + 1)/2$ .

First, suppose that  $R$  has a line without a triple point. Then by Lemmas 7 and 10 there are at least  $2q - 2$  odd points.

Second, suppose all  $q + 2$  lines in  $R$  have triple points and  $|S| < 2q - 2$ . Since  $f(q + 2) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  by Lemma 3 we may suppose that  $|S| \leq 2q - 6$ .

**Claim.**  $S$  is a minimal blocking set in  $PG(2, q)$ .

Indeed, every line  $\ell$  in  $PG(2, q)$  is either in our set (in which case it contains a single point), or intersects all  $q + 2$  lines of  $R$ . As  $q + 2$  is odd,  $\ell$  must contain an odd point.

That  $S$  is minimal can be seen as follows: Let  $v \in S$  and suppose on the contrary that  $S \setminus \{v\}$  meets all lines. Since  $v$  is an odd point, there are  $2m + 1$  lines of  $R$  containing it. Each of these lines contains at least  $2m - 1$  additional odd (single) points of  $S$ . Moreover, every line  $\ell$  not in  $R$  has an odd number of odd points. Then if  $\ell \notin R$  is a line through  $v$ , we have  $|S \cap \ell| \geq 2$  and hence  $|S \cap \ell| \geq 3$ . In total we find at least  $(2m + 1)(2m - 1) + 2(q - 2m) \geq 2q - 1$  odd points beside  $v$ . This contradiction completes the proof of the Claim.

We count multiplicities of intersections as in the proof of Lemma 3. If we let  $t_i$  be the number of points that occur in exactly  $i$  of our lines, then  $\sum_i it_i = \sum_i i(i - 1)t_i = (q + 2)(q + 1)$ . Thus  $\sum_i i(i - 2)t_i = 0$ , rearranging

$$|S| = \sum_{i \text{ odd}} t_i = \sum_{i \geq 3} (i(i - 2) + (i \bmod 2)) t_i = 4t_3 + 8t_4 + 16t_5 + 24t_6 + \dots \quad (3)$$

Let  $R_3 \subseteq R$  be the set of lines having a single triple point, and that point has degree three, and let  $R_4 \subseteq R$  be the set of lines having a single triple point, and that point has degree at least four. Every line in  $R$  has at least one triple point, the members of  $R \setminus (R_3 \cup R_4)$  have at least two. So adding up the degrees of triple points we obtain  $\sum_{i \geq 3} it_i = \sum_{\ell \in R} |\ell \cap T_3| \geq 2|R| - |R_3| - |R_4|$ . Consider  $\sum_{i \geq 4} it_i$ , it is an upper bound for  $|R_4|$ . Summarizing we obtain

$$3t_3 + \sum_{i \geq 4} 2it_i \geq 2|R| - |R_3|.$$

This and (3) yield  $|S| \geq 2q+4-|R_3|$ . Every  $R_3$  line meets  $S$  in two elements, so actually  $R_3 = \emptyset$  by Lemma 9 for  $|S| < 3(q+1)/2$ . This contradiction completes the proof of  $|S| \geq 3(q+1)/2$ . For  $q \leq 13$  we note that  $3(q+1)/2 > 2q-6$ , so  $f(q+2) = 2q-2$ .  $\square$

Finally, to show  $f(q+2) \leq 2q-2$  recall that  $f(q+2) \leq f(q+1) + (q-1) = 2q$  by Theorems 5 and 4, while  $f(q+2) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  by Lemma 3. Thus  $f(q+2) \leq 2q-2$ .

This upper bound on  $f(q+2)$  can also be seen in the following way. There is an action of  $SL(2, q)$  on  $PG(2, q)$  in which the orbits are  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$ , where  $C$  is the conic described above,  $A$  is the set of points which lie on no tangent of  $C$  and  $B$  is the set of points that lie on two tangents of  $C$ . Now  $|\mathcal{L}^o(C)| = q+1$ , so if  $p \in A$  then  $|\mathcal{L}^o(C \cup \{p\})| = (q+1) + (q+1)$  as all lines through  $p$  change from having an even intersection with  $C$  to having an odd intersection with  $C \cup \{p\}$ . On the other hand, if  $p \in B$  then  $|\mathcal{L}^o(C \cup \{p\})| = (q+1) + (q-1) - 2 = 2q-2$  as there are  $q-1$  lines thorough  $p$  with an even intersection with  $C$  and an odd intersection with  $C \cup \{p\}$ , while there are 2 lines through  $p$  that are tangent to  $C$  and so have odd intersection with  $C$  and even intersection with  $C \cup \{p\}$ . The result now follows from (2).

We conjecture that in fact the upper bound is correct in Theorem 6.

**Conjecture 11.**  $f(q+2) = 2q-2$ .

### 3 Exact values near $2q$

A few more values of  $f(r)$  are known when  $r$  is small. To derive these we shall make use of the following result.

**Lemma 12.** *For even  $s$ ,  $f(s)$  is the minimum even  $r$  such that there exists a set  $R$  of lines with  $|R| = r$  and  $|\mathcal{P}^o(R)| = s$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $R$  is a set of lines with  $|R| = r$  and  $\sum_{\ell \in R} \ell = S$  with  $|S| = s$ . Now  $|\mathcal{L}^o(S)|$  is even while  $|\mathcal{L}^e(S)|$  is odd. Hence  $R = \mathcal{L}^o(S)$  as  $r$  is even. Thus, by (2),  $f(s) \leq r$ . Conversely, if  $f(s) = r$  and  $|S| = s$  with  $|\mathcal{L}^o(S)| = r$ , then  $r$  is even and, setting  $R = \mathcal{L}^o(S)$ , we have  $|R| = r$  and  $|\mathcal{P}^o(R)| = |S| = s$  as  $s$  is even.  $\square$

**Theorem 13.**  $f(2q-1) = q+1$ ,  $f(2q) = 2$ ,  $f(2q+1) = q-1$ .

*Proof.* If  $|R| = 2$  then  $|\mathcal{P}^o(R)| = 2q$ , so  $f(2q) \leq 2$  by Lemma 12. However  $f(r) > 0$  and  $f(r)$  is even for  $0 < r < N$ , so  $f(2q) = 2$ . Thus  $f(2q-1), f(2q+1) \leq q+1$  by Theorem 5. Also  $f(2q+1) \equiv (2q+1)(-q+1) \equiv q-1 \pmod{4}$  and  $f(2q-1) \equiv (2q-1)(-q+3) \equiv q+1 \pmod{4}$  by Lemma 3. Thus it is sufficient to show that  $f(2q \pm 1) > q-3$ . As  $2q \pm 1$  is odd, there exists a  $R$  with  $|R| = f(2q \pm 1)$  and  $|\mathcal{P}^o(R)| = N - (2q \pm 1) \geq q^2 - q$ . But  $|\mathcal{P}^o(R)| \leq q|R| + 1$  by Lemma 3, so  $|R| > q-3$ .  $\square$

### 4 A graph clique decomposition lemma

The values of  $f(r)$  for  $q+2 < r < 2q-1$  remain to be determined, and indeed  $f(r)$  is unknown for many values of  $r < Cq^{3/2}$ , although some non-trivial bounds are given by

Lemmas 19 and 20 below. For larger  $r$ , between  $Cq^{3/2}$  and  $N - Cq^{3/2}$ , we shall show much more. Indeed it seems that  $f(r)$  can be determined for most values of  $r$  in this range, although an explicit description of these values seems difficult.

Suppose that  $s$  is even (the case when  $s$  is odd follows by considering  $f(N - s)$ ). By Lemma 12 and duality it is enough to determine for each even  $r$  in turn whether or not there exists a set  $S$  of points such that  $|\mathcal{L}^o(S)| = s$ . Any set of points  $S$  induces an edge-decomposition of the complete graph  $K_S$  with vertex set  $S$  into cliques on the sets  $\ell \cap S$ ,  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ . Indeed, every pair of points of  $S$  lie in a unique line  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$  so each edge  $K_S$  lies in a unique clique  $K_{\ell \cap S}$ . We show that  $s = |\mathcal{L}^o(S)|$  can be determined in terms of the sizes of these cliques.

**Lemma 14.** *Suppose  $r = |S|$  is even and  $|\mathcal{L}^o(S)| = rq - 4t$ . For  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$  write  $r_\ell = |S \cap \ell|$ . Then  $\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} \lfloor \frac{r_\ell}{2} \rfloor = \frac{r}{2} + 2t$ .*

*Proof.* As there are  $q + 1$  lines through each point of  $S$ ,  $\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} r_\ell = r(q + 1)$ . Thus

$$rq - 4t = |\mathcal{L}^o(S)| = \sum_{r_\ell \text{ odd}} 1 = \sum_{\ell} (r_\ell - 2 \lfloor \frac{r_\ell}{2} \rfloor) = rq + r - 2 \sum_{\ell} \lfloor \frac{r_\ell}{2} \rfloor.$$

Hence  $\sum \lfloor \frac{r_\ell}{2} \rfloor = \frac{r}{2} + 2t$ . □

Note that by Lemma 3  $s = |\mathcal{L}^o(S)|$  must be of the form  $rq - 4t$  with  $0 \leq t \leq \binom{r}{2}$ . Since we are interested in the smallest  $r$  for which a suitable set  $S$  exists, typically we expect  $t$  to be relatively small and  $r$  not much bigger than  $s/q$ . We can therefore reduce the problem to the question of (a) whether there is *any* clique decomposition of  $K_r$  into cliques of size  $r_1, \dots, r_n$  with a given value of  $\sum \lfloor \frac{r_i}{2} \rfloor$ , and (b) whether such a decomposition can be realized by a set of points inside  $PG(2, q)$ .

We call an edge-decomposition  $\Pi$  of  $K_r$  into cliques of orders  $r_1, \dots, r_n$  a *simple decomposition* if there is at most one value of  $i$  with  $r_i > 3$ . In other words,  $K_r$  is decomposed as single edges, triangles, and at most one larger clique. We write  $M(\Pi)$  for the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lfloor \frac{r_i}{2} \rfloor$ .

**Lemma 15.** *Suppose we are given an edge-decomposition  $\Pi$  of  $K_r$  with  $M(\Pi) < \frac{1}{4}r(\sqrt{4r - 3} - 1)$ . Then there exists a simple edge-decomposition  $\Pi'$  of  $K_r$  with  $M(\Pi') = M(\Pi)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $\Pi$  decomposes  $K_r$  into cliques of orders  $r_1, \dots, r_n$  with  $r_1 \geq r_2 \geq \dots \geq r_n$ . Let  $C_i$  be the  $i$ 'th clique. Then there are  $r_1(r - r_1)$  edges from  $V(C_1)$  to  $V(K_r) \setminus V(C_1)$ . Moreover, each clique  $C_i$ ,  $i > 1$ , can meet  $C_1$  in at most one vertex and hence covers at most  $r_i - 1$  of these edges. Thus  $\sum_{i>1} (r_i - 1) \geq r_1(r - r_1)$  and hence

$$M(\Pi) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{r_i - 1}{2} \geq \frac{r_1 - 1}{2} + \frac{r_1(r - r_1)}{2}. \quad (4)$$

On the other hand there are  $\binom{r}{2}$  edges to be covered in total, so

$$M(\Pi) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{r_i - 1}{2} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{r_i} \binom{r_i}{2} \geq \frac{1}{r_1} \binom{r}{2}. \quad (5)$$

For  $r_1 < r/2$ , the bound in (4) is increasing and the bound in (5) is decreasing as  $r_1$  increases, so the smallest bound on  $M(\Pi)$  occurs when the two bounds are equal. It can be checked that this occurs when  $r = r_1^2 - r_1 + 1$  with a common bound  $M(\Pi) \geq \frac{1}{2}r(r_1 - 1) = \frac{1}{4}r(\sqrt{4r - 3} - 1)$ . This contradicts the assumption on  $M(\Pi)$ , so we may assume  $r_1 \geq r/2$ .

Let  $E_1$  be the set of  $r_1(r - r_1)$  edges joining  $C_1$  to the rest of  $K_r$  and  $E_2$  be the set of  $\binom{r-r_1}{2}$  edges of  $K_r$  not meeting  $C_1$ . For each clique  $C_i$ ,  $i > 1$ , we note that for all  $r_i \geq 2$ ,

$$|E_1 \cap E(C_i)| - |E_2 \cap E(C_i)| \leq \left\lfloor \frac{r_i}{2} \right\rfloor \leq |E_1 \cap E(C_i)| + |E_2 \cap E(C_i)|.$$

Indeed, the right hand side is just  $\binom{r_i}{2}$ , while the left hand side is either  $(r_i - 1) - \binom{r_i-1}{2}$  or  $-\binom{r_i}{2}$  depending on whether or not  $C_i$  meets some vertex of  $C_1$ . Note that the lower bound is achieved if  $r_i \in \{2, 3\}$  and  $C_i$  meets  $C_1$ . Summing over all cliques gives

$$\left\lfloor \frac{r_1}{2} \right\rfloor + |E_1| - |E_2| \leq M(\Pi) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{r_1}{2} \right\rfloor + |E_1| + |E_2|. \quad (6)$$

Also note that  $\lfloor \frac{r_i}{2} \rfloor \equiv \binom{r_i}{2} \pmod{2}$ , so that  $M(\Pi)$  is equivalent to either bound modulo 2.

As  $r_1 \geq r/2$ , the graph on  $E_1 \cup E_2$  can be packed with  $|E_2|$  triangles each meeting  $C_1$ . Indeed, it is enough to decompose  $K_{r-r_1}$  completely into at most  $r_1$  partial matchings  $M_1, \dots, M_{r_1}$  and then join each matching to a distinct vertex of  $C_1$  to obtain sets of edge-disjoint triangles. For even  $r - r_1$ , it is well-known that  $K_{r-r_1}$  can be decomposed into  $r - r_1 - 1 < r_1$  perfect matchings. For odd  $r - r_1$  decompose  $K_{r-r_1+1}$  into  $r - r_1 \leq r_1$  perfect matchings and remove a single vertex to give a decomposition of  $K_{r-r_1}$  into  $r - r_1$  partial matchings. Completing the packing of  $E_1 \cup E_2$  by including  $K_2$ s covering the remaining edges of  $E_1$  gives a decomposition  $\Pi''$  of  $K_r$  which achieves the lower bound  $M_0 = \lfloor r_1/2 \rfloor + |E_1| - |E_2|$  in (6). Now replacing  $(M(\Pi) - M_0)/2 \leq |E_2|$  of the triangles of this packing with three  $K_2$ s, allows us to increase  $M(\Pi'')$  in steps of 2 until we get to a packing  $\Pi'$  of  $C_1$ , edges, and triangles, with  $M(\Pi') = M(\Pi)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 16.** *Let  $m = \lceil \sqrt{r-3} \rceil - 1$ . Then for any integer  $s$  with  $s \leq \binom{r}{2}$ ,  $s \equiv \binom{r}{2} \pmod{2}$ , and  $s \geq \lfloor \frac{r-m}{2} \rfloor + \frac{m}{2}(2r - 3m + 1)$  there exists a simple decomposition  $\Pi$  of  $K_r$  with  $M(\Pi) = s$ .*

*Proof.* From the proof of Lemma 15 we know that we can construct a simple a decomposition for any  $s \equiv \binom{r}{2}$  and

$$\left\lfloor \frac{r_1}{2} \right\rfloor + r_1(r - r_1) - \binom{r-r_1}{2} \leq s \leq \left\lfloor \frac{r_1}{2} \right\rfloor + r_1(r - r_1) + \binom{r-r_1}{2}$$

with  $r_1 \geq \frac{r}{2}$ . It is a simple but tedious exercise to show that the intervals for  $r_1 = \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor, \dots, r - m$  cover every  $s \equiv \binom{r}{2}$  in the range from  $\lfloor \frac{r-m}{2} \rfloor + \frac{m}{2}(2r - 3m + 1)$  to  $\frac{3}{4}\binom{r}{2}$ . For  $s > \frac{3}{4}\binom{r}{2}$  it is enough to show that one can pack  $(\binom{r}{2} - s)/2 \leq \binom{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor}{2}$  triangles into  $K_r$ . This also follows from the proof of Lemma 15 where it was shown that one can pack  $\binom{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor}{2}$  triangles into  $K_r \setminus E(K_{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor})$ .  $\square$

Lemmas 15 and 16 show that if there exists a decomposition with  $M(\Pi) = s$  then there exists a simple decomposition with  $M(\Pi) = s$  except possibly in the range between about  $\frac{1}{2}r^{3/2}$  and about  $r^{3/2}$ . There can exist non-simple decompositions in this range

for which there is no simple decomposition. For example, the lines of a projective plane of order  $q'$ ,  $q'$  odd, give rise to a decomposition  $\Pi$  of  $K_r$  when  $r = q'^2 + q' + 1$  with  $M(\Pi) = (q'^2 + q' + 1)(q' + 1)/2$  (exactly the bound in Lemma 15). One can check that for a simple decomposition to have the same value of  $M(\Pi)$  would require  $\frac{q'-1}{2} < r_1 < \frac{q'+1}{2}$  for large  $q'$ , an impossibility, so no corresponding simple decomposition exists.

## 5 Realizing clique decompositions of the projective plane

We now turn to the question of whether a simple decomposition can be realized by a set of points in  $PG(2, q)$ . One needs a set  $S$  formed by taking a large number  $r_1$  of points in one line, and the remaining points only on lines intersecting  $S$  in at most 3 points. The proof of the following lemma provides a construction which realizes this in most relevant cases.

**Lemma 17.** *Fix  $r$ ,  $0 \leq r \leq q + 1$  and assume  $r_1 \geq \max\{\frac{1}{3}(2r - 3), (2r - 3) - (q + 1)\}$ . Then any simple decomposition  $\Pi$  of  $K_r$  with maximal clique of order  $r_1$  can be realized by a set of points in  $PG(2, q)$ .*

*Proof.* Consider sets of points that are subsets of  $C \cup L$ , where  $C = \{XZ = Y^2\}$  is the conic used in the proof of Theorem 4 and  $L = \{X = dZ\}$  is a line that does not intersect  $C$  (so  $d$  is chosen to be a quadratic non-residue in the field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ). A simple calculation shows that the secant line joining  $[s^2:st:t^2]$  and  $[s'^2:s't':t'^2]$  on  $C$  meets  $L$  at the point  $[d(st' + s't):dtt' + ss':st' + s't]$  on  $L$ . This mapping of pairs of points on  $C$  to  $L$  is more easily described by introducing the norm group  $G = \mathbb{F}_q^\times / \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ . The points  $p = [s^2:st:t^2] \in C$  correspond to the coset  $\phi(p) = (s + t\sqrt{d})\mathbb{F}_q^\times$  and the coset  $\alpha = (a + b\sqrt{d})\mathbb{F}_q^\times$  corresponds to the point  $\psi(\alpha) = [db:a:b] \in L$ . The secant line through  $p, p' \in C$  then meets  $L$  at  $\psi(\phi(p)\phi(p'))$ . The key point is that  $G$  is cyclic of order  $q + 1$ . Hence by taking a subset  $P = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s\}$  of  $C$  with  $2s - 3 \leq q + 1$  such that  $\phi(p_i)$  form a suitable geometric progression, the secants through these points meet  $L$  in only  $2s - 3$  points (assuming  $s \geq 2$ ). Indeed, we can take  $\phi(p_i) = \alpha^i$  where  $\alpha$  is a generator of  $G$  so that the secants meet  $L$  at the points  $\psi(\alpha^3), \psi(\alpha^4), \dots, \psi(\alpha^{2s-1})$ . Moreover there are 4 points  $(\psi(\alpha^3), \psi(\alpha^4), \psi(\alpha^{2s-2}), \psi(\alpha^{2s-1}))$  on  $L$  which meet just one secant, 4 which meet exactly 2 secants, etc., with 1 or 3 points meeting  $\lfloor s/2 \rfloor$  secants (depending on the parity of  $s$ ). Now let  $P' = \{p'_1, \dots, p'_t\}$  be a set of  $t$  points on the line  $L$  and suppose there are  $k$  secants through two points of  $P$  meeting  $P'$ . then  $P \cup P'$  induces a simple edge decomposition of  $K_{P \cup P'}$  with one clique of order  $|P'|$  and  $k$  triangles, the remaining cliques being single edges.

We now consider the conditions on the parameter that allow us to vary  $k$  between the minimum of zero and the maximum of  $\binom{s}{2}$ , where  $s \geq 2$ . To achieve  $k = 0$  requires  $t \leq (q + 1) - (2s - 3)$  as  $P'$  must avoid all the secant lines through  $P$ . To achieve  $k = \binom{s}{2}$  requires  $t \geq 2s - 3$  as  $P'$  must meet all secants through  $P$ . All values of  $k$  between the minimum and maximum can be achieved one step at a time by moving some point of  $P'$  so that it meets one more secant line. Now  $s = r - r_1$  and  $t = r_1$  so these conditions

become

$$r_1 \leq q + 1 - (2r - 2r_1 - 3) \quad \text{and} \quad r_1 \geq 2r - 2r_1 - 3,$$

or equivalently  $r_1 \geq (2r - 3) - (q + 1)$  and  $r_1 \geq \frac{1}{3}(2r - 3)$ . For  $s < 2$  there are no secant lines and the only restriction is  $t = r_1 \leq q + 1$  which follows from  $r_1 \leq r \leq q + 1$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 18.** *There exists an absolute constant  $C > 0$  such that  $w/q \leq f(w) \leq w/q + C(w^{3/2}/q^{5/2} + 1)$  for all even  $w$  with  $Cq^{3/2} \leq w \leq N - Cq^{3/2}$ .*

Note that for odd  $w$ ,  $N - w$  is even and so  $(N - w)/q \leq f(w) = f(N - w) \leq (N - w)/q + C((N - w)^{3/2}/q^{5/2} + 1)$ .

*Proof.* By choosing  $C$  sufficiently large we may assume that  $q$  is also large. The lower bound follows from Lemmas 12 and 3. For the upper bound choose  $r$  minimal such that  $r > w/q + 2w^{3/2}/q^{5/2}$  and  $r \equiv qw \pmod{4}$ . Write  $w = rq - 4t$ , so that  $r^{3/2} \leq 4t \ll r^2$  and  $r > \sqrt{q}$ . By Lemma 16 there exists a simple decomposition of  $K_r$  with  $M(\Pi) = r/2 + 2t$  and indeed, this decomposition must have maximal clique size  $r_1 = r - O(\sqrt{r})$ . Then by Lemma 17 this decomposition can be realised by a subset  $S$  of  $PG(2, q)$ . Now  $|\mathcal{L}^o(S)| = qr - 4t = w$  by Lemma 14 and so  $f(w) \leq r \leq w/q + C(w^{3/2}/q^{5/2} + 1)$ .  $\square$

## 6 Further constructions from blocking sets and the maximum of $f(r)$

We shall now provide some constructions that give at least some reasonable bounds on  $f(r)$  for  $r < Cq^{3/2}$  or  $r > N - Cq^{3/2}$ .

Let  $Q^+ \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$  be the set of non-zero quadratic residues and  $Q^- \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$  be the set of quadratic non-residues. Both sets have  $(q - 1)/2$  elements. Define  $Q_i \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ ,  $i = 0, 1$  by

$$Q_0 = \{[x:0:1] : x \in Q^+\} \cup \{[1:x:0] : x \in Q^+\} \cup \{[0:1:x] : x \in Q^-\},$$

and

$$Q_1 = \{[x:0:1] : x \in Q^+\} \cup \{[1:x:0] : x \in Q^+\} \cup \{[0:1:x] : x \in Q^+\}.$$

Given any line  $\ell: \alpha X + \beta Y + \gamma Z = 0$  that does not go through the points  $O_x := [1:0:0]$ ,  $O_y := [0:1:0]$ ,  $O_z := [0:0:1]$ , we have  $|\ell \cap Q_i| \equiv i \pmod{2}$ . Indeed,  $\ell$  intersects  $\{[x:0:1] : x \in Q^+\}$  iff  $\alpha/\gamma \in Q^+$  and similarly for the others. But for any  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \neq 0$  an odd number of the conditions  $\alpha/\gamma \in Q^+$ ,  $\beta/\gamma \in Q^+$ , and  $\gamma/\alpha \in Q^+$  hold.

The example  $Q_0$  is due to J. di Paola. By a famous result of Blokhuis [4] the set  $Q_0 \cup \{O_x, O_y, O_z\}$  is the smallest nontrivial blocking set on  $PG(2, q)$  when  $q$  is prime.

**Lemma 19.**

$$f\left(\frac{3}{2}(q - 1) + kq + j\right) \leq 3q + j(q + 2 - j)$$

for  $0 \leq k \leq (q - 1)/2$  and  $0 \leq j \leq q + 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be the set of  $kq$  points that lie in one of  $k$  ‘‘vertical’’ lines of the form  $X = \alpha Z$ ,  $\alpha \in Q^-$ , not including the point  $O_y$  at infinity. Let  $C$  be any set of  $j$  points on the conic  $XZ = Y^2$ . Note that  $V$ ,  $Q_i$ , and  $C$  are pairwise disjoint for  $i = 0, 1$ .

Let  $S = V \cup Q_{k \bmod 2} \cup C$  so that  $|S| = \frac{3}{2}(q-1) + kq + j$ . Consider a line  $\ell$  that does not meet  $\{O_x, O_y, O_z\}$ . Then  $|\ell \cap V| = k$  and  $|\ell \cap Q_{k \bmod 2}| \equiv k \pmod{2}$ . Thus  $|\ell \cap S| \equiv |\ell \cap C| \pmod{2}$ . From the proof of Theorem 4 there are  $j(q+2-j)$  lines that meet  $C$  in an odd number of points, and there are only  $3q$  lines that meet  $\{O_x, O_y, O_z\}$ , so  $f(|S|) \leq |\mathcal{L}^o(S)| \leq 3q + j(q+2-j)$  as required.  $\square$

**Lemma 20.**

$$f(kq + j) \leq k + j(q + 2 - j)$$

for  $0 \leq k \leq (q-1)/2$ ,  $k$  even, and  $0 \leq j \leq q+1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $V$  and  $C$  be as in the proof of Lemma 19. Then the number of lines meeting  $C$  in an odd number of points is  $j(q+2-j)$  while the number of lines meeting  $V$  in an odd number of points is just  $k$  (the lines of  $V$ ). As  $|V \cup C| = kq + j$ ,  $f(kq + j) \leq k + j(q+2-j)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 21.**

$$f(q+1 + kq + j) \leq q+1 + k + j(q+2-j)$$

for  $0 \leq k \leq (q-1)/2$ ,  $k$  even, and  $0 \leq j \leq q-1$ ,

*Proof.* Let  $V$  and  $C$  be as in the proof of Lemma 19 except that we shall now insist that  $O_x, O_z \notin C$ . Let  $C'$  be the conic  $XZ = 4Y^2$ . Note that  $C'$  could only meet  $C$  at the points  $O_x, O_z$ , which we have assumed do not lie in  $C$ . Also  $C' \cap V = \emptyset$ . There are  $q+1$  lines that meet  $C'$  in an odd number of points,  $j(q+2-j)$  lines that meet  $C$  in an odd number of points, and  $k$  lines that meet  $V$  in an odd number of points. The result follows since  $|V \cup C \cup C'| = q+1 + kq + j$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 22.** For large  $q$ , the maximum value of  $f(r)$  is  $(q^2 + 4q + 3)/4$  and occurs only at  $r = (q+1)/2$ ,  $r = (q+3)/2$ ,  $r = N - (q+1)/2$ , and  $r = N - (q+3)/2$ .

*Proof.* The result follows when  $r$  is restricted to the range  $0 \leq r \leq q+1$  and  $N - (q+1) \leq r \leq N$  by Theorem 4 and Lemma 2, so it is enough by Lemma 2 to bound  $f(r)$  in the range  $r \in [q+2, N/2]$ . For  $r \in [q+2, (\frac{3}{2} - \varepsilon)q]$  we can apply Lemma 21 with  $k=0$  to obtain  $f(r) \leq (\frac{1}{4} - \varepsilon^2)q^2 + O(q)$ . For  $r \in [(\frac{3}{2} - \varepsilon)q, \frac{3}{2}(q-1)]$  we can apply Lemma 19 with  $k=j=0$  and Theorem 5 to obtain  $f(r) \leq 3q + (q-1)\varepsilon q$ . Thus we may assume  $r \geq \frac{3}{2}(q-1)$ .

If  $|r/q - t| \geq \frac{1}{4}$  for every integer  $t$ , then we write  $r = \frac{3}{2}(q-1) + kq + j$ , where either  $0 \leq j \leq \frac{3}{2} + \frac{q}{4}$  or  $\frac{3}{2} + \frac{3q}{4} \leq j < q$ . In either case Lemma 19 implies

$$f(r) \leq 3q + \frac{q+5}{4} \cdot \frac{3q+3}{4} = \frac{1}{16}(3q^2 + 66q + 15).$$

If  $|r/q - t| < \frac{1}{4}$  and  $\lfloor (r-1)/q \rfloor$  is even, we write  $r = kq + j$  with  $1 \leq j < \frac{q}{4}$  or  $\frac{3q}{4} < j \leq q$ . In either case Lemma 20 gives

$$f(r) \leq k + \frac{3q+1}{4} \cdot \frac{q+7}{4} \leq \frac{1}{16}(3q^2 + 30q - 1).$$

Finally, if  $|r/q - t| < \frac{1}{4}$  and  $\lfloor (r-1)/q \rfloor$  is odd, we write  $r = q+1 + kq + j$  with  $0 \leq j < \frac{q}{4} - 1$  or  $\frac{3q}{4} - 1 < j \leq q$ . In either case Lemma 21 gives

$$f(r) \leq q+1 + k + \frac{3q-3}{4} \cdot \frac{q+11}{4} \leq \frac{1}{16}(3q^2 + 38q + 24).$$

Thus in all cases

$$f(r) \leq \frac{1}{16}(3q^2 + 66q + 15) < \frac{1}{4}(q^2 + 4q + 3).$$

for  $q$  sufficiently large. □

## 7 Exact values from the Baer subplane

A subset of points  $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}$  is a *subplane of order  $k$*  if  $|S| = k^2 + k + 1$  and the sets  $\{\ell \cap S : \ell \in \mathcal{L}, |\ell \cap S| > 1\}$  form the line system of a finite projective plane of order  $k$ . In the case when  $k = \sqrt{q}$ , we call  $S$  a *Baer subplane*. It is well known that such Baer subplanes exist whenever  $q$  is a perfect square (see Bruck [7]). Even more (see, e.g., Yff [16])  $\mathcal{P}$  can be partitioned into  $q - \sqrt{q} + 1$  Baer subplanes.

Consider a Baer subplane  $B$  and let  $R_B \subseteq \mathcal{L}$  be the set of lines meeting it in exactly  $\sqrt{q} + 1$  points. Then  $|R_B| = q + \sqrt{q} + 1$ . The lines of  $R_B$  cover every point of  $B$  exactly  $\sqrt{q} + 1$  times, and every other point exactly once. Thus  $\mathcal{P}^o(R_B) = \mathcal{P} \setminus B$ , which is very large. However, consider an arbitrary point  $p \notin B$  and let  $R$  be the symmetric difference of  $R_B$  and  $\mathcal{L}(\{p\})$  (these two families contain only one common line  $\ell_p \in R_B$  through  $p$ ). Then  $\mathcal{P}^o(R) = B \cup \{p\}$ . We obtain

$$f(2q + \sqrt{q}) \leq q + \sqrt{q} + 2. \tag{7}$$

Considering  $p \in B$  and the set of even lines of  $B \setminus \{p\}$  (it is again the symmetric difference of  $R_B$  and  $\mathcal{L}(\{p\})$ , now they have  $\sqrt{q} + 1$  common lines) we obtain

$$f(2q - \sqrt{q}) \leq q + \sqrt{q}. \tag{8}$$

Considering two disjoint Baer subplanes we get

$$f(2q + 2\sqrt{q} + 2) \leq 2q + 2\sqrt{q} + 2. \tag{9}$$

**Theorem 23.** *Equality holds in (7) and (8) for  $q \geq 81$ .*

We also **conjecture** that equality holds in (9), too (at least for large enough  $q$ ). For the proof of Theorem 23 we need the following classical results and a few lemmata.

**Lemma 24.** (Bruen [8], sharpening by Bruen and Thas [9])

*Suppose that  $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}$  is a nontrivial blocking set (i.e., it meets every line but does not contain any) then  $|S| \geq q + \sqrt{q} + 1$ . Moreover, if  $|S| = q + \sqrt{q} + 2$ , and  $q \geq 9$  is of square order, then there exists a point  $x \in S$  such that  $S \setminus \{x\}$  is the point set of a Baer subplane. □*

Let  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$  be a set of lines. A set  $C \subseteq \mathcal{P}$  is called a *near-blocker of  $\mathcal{U}$*  if it meets exactly all but one member of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

**Lemma 25.** *Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a set of lines in  $PG(2, q)$ .*

- (a) *Suppose that  $\bigcap_{\ell \in \mathcal{U}} \ell = \emptyset$ . Then there exists a near-blocker of size at most  $|\mathcal{U}|/2$ .*
- (b) *Suppose that  $q \geq 5$  is odd and  $\mathcal{U}$  cannot be blocked by a 2-element set. Then there exists a near-blocker of size at most  $|\mathcal{U}|/3 + (q + 1)/6$ .*

*Proof.* (a) Let us apply induction on the size of  $|\mathcal{U}|$ . The cases  $|\mathcal{U}| = 1, 2, 3$  are trivial. If  $\mathcal{U}$  cannot be covered by two points then select any point  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  covered at least twice by the lines of  $\mathcal{U}$  and use induction from  $\mathcal{U} \setminus \mathcal{L}(\{p\})$ . Otherwise, some two points  $x_1, x_2$  cover all lines. Assuming that  $\deg_{\mathcal{U}}(x_1) \geq \deg_{\mathcal{U}}(x_2)$ , select  $x_1$  and one element from all but one of the lines of  $\mathcal{U}$  going through  $x_2$  and avoiding  $x_1$ .

(b) For  $|\mathcal{U}| \leq q+2$  we have  $\lfloor |\mathcal{U}|/2 \rfloor \leq |\mathcal{U}|/3 + (q+1)/6$  and we can apply case (a). (If  $|\mathcal{U}| = q+2$  we make use of the fact that  $q$  is odd.) We may now suppose  $|\mathcal{U}| \geq q+3$ , so  $\max_p \deg_{\mathcal{U}}(p) \geq 3$ . Consider first the case when  $\mathcal{U}$  cannot be covered by three vertices. Chose a maximum degree vertex  $p$  and apply the induction hypothesis to  $\mathcal{U} \setminus \mathcal{L}(\{p\})$ . Finally, if some set  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  meets every member of  $\mathcal{U}$  we choose the two highest degree vertices among them and one element from all but one of the lines of  $\mathcal{U}$  going through the third, avoiding the other two. In this way we obtain a near-cover of size at most  $2 + (|\mathcal{U}|/3 - 1)$ .  $\square$

The following lemma will be useful when  $|\mathcal{L}^e(A)|$ ,  $t_1$ , and  $t_2$  are all small.

**Lemma 26.**

(a) Let  $A = (\ell \setminus T_1) \cup T_2$  where  $\ell$  is a line,  $T_1 \subseteq \ell$ ,  $T_2 \cap \ell = \emptyset$ , and  $t_i = |T_i|$ . Then  $|\mathcal{L}^e(A)| \geq (t_1 + t_2)q - t_2(2t_1 + t_2 - 2)$ .

(b) Let  $A = (B \setminus T_1) \cup T_2$  where  $B$  is a Baer subplane,  $T_1 \subseteq B$ ,  $T_2 \cap B = \emptyset$ , and  $t_i = |T_i|$ . Then  $|\mathcal{L}^e(A)| \geq (t_1 + t_2)q - t_2(2t_1 + t_2 - 1) - t_1\sqrt{q}$ .

*Proof.* (a) Consider the lines through a point  $x \in T_2$ . Exactly  $q + 1 - t_1$  of them meet  $\ell \setminus T_1$ . At most  $t_2 - 1$  of these lines contain a further point of  $A$  (namely a point from  $T_2$ ). Thus we have obtained at least  $t_2(q + 1 - t_1 - (t_2 - 1))$  2-point lines. Next consider the  $q$  lines through a point  $y \in T_1$  other than  $\ell$ . All but  $t_2$  avoids  $T_2$ , too, thus giving at least  $t_1(q - t_2)$  zero-point lines. The total number of these lines gives the desired lower bound.

(b) Every point  $x \in T_2$  is incident to at least  $(q - t_1) - (t_2 - 1)$  2-point lines, and every point  $y \in T_1$  is incident to at least  $q - \sqrt{q} - t_2$  zero-point lines.  $\square$

*Proof of equality in (7).* Suppose, on the contrary, that we have a set of lines  $R$ ,  $|R| = 2q + \sqrt{q}$ , such that for  $S = \sum_{\ell \in R} \ell$  we have  $|S| < q + \sqrt{q} + 2$ . Since  $|S|$  is even, we have  $|S| \leq q + \sqrt{q}$ . Since  $R$  is odd we have  $R = \mathcal{L}^e(S)$ . Thus  $S$  meets every line from  $\mathcal{L} \setminus R$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the set of lines avoiding  $S$ , we have  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq R$ .

First consider the case when there is a set  $V$ ,  $|V| \leq 2$ , meeting all points of  $\mathcal{U}$ . (This includes the case  $\mathcal{U} = \emptyset$ .) Then  $S \cup V$  meets all lines, so is a blocking set.

We claim that  $S \cup V$  does not contain a line, so is a non-trivial blocking set. Suppose, on the contrary, that there is a line  $\ell \subseteq S \cup V$ . Apply Lemma 26 (a) with  $A = S = (\ell \setminus T_1) \cup T_2$  where  $T_1 = \ell \cap V$ ,  $|T_1| \leq 2$  and  $T_2 = S \setminus \ell$ ,  $|T_2| \leq |S \cup V| - |\ell| \leq \sqrt{q} + 1$ . We obtain that

$$|\mathcal{L}^e(S)| \geq t_1q + t_2(q + 2 - 2t_1 - t_2) \geq t_1q + t_2(q - \sqrt{q} - 3).$$

Since  $|\mathcal{L}^e(S)| = 2q + \sqrt{q}$  we obtain that  $|T_1| + |T_2| \leq 2$  for  $q \geq 49$ .

We finish the proof of our claim by observing that for  $|T_1| + |T_2| \leq 2$ ,  $T_1 \subseteq \ell$ , the number of even lines  $|\mathcal{L}^e((\ell \setminus T_1) \cup T_2)|$  cannot be  $2q + \sqrt{q}$ . Indeed, in the case  $T_1 = \emptyset$  we

have  $|\mathcal{L}^e(S)| \leq t_2q + 2 < 2q + \sqrt{q}$ . In the case  $t_2 = 0$  we have  $|\mathcal{L}^e(S)| \leq 1 + t_1q < 2q + \sqrt{q}$ . Finally, in the case  $t_1 = t_2 = 1$  we have  $|\mathcal{L}^e(S)| = 2q - 1 < 2q + \sqrt{q}$ .

Consider  $S \cup V$ , which is a non-trivial blocking set of size at most  $q + \sqrt{q} + 2$ . By the Bruen-Thomas theorem (Lemma 24) there is a Baer subplane  $B \subseteq S \cup V$ . Thus we know a lot about the structure of  $S$ , we can write  $S = (B \setminus T_1) \cup T_2$  where  $T_1 = B \setminus S$  (it is a subset of  $V$ , so  $t_1 \leq 2$ ) and  $T_2 = S \setminus B \subseteq (S \cup V) \setminus B$  so  $t_2 \leq 1$ .

We finish the proof of the case  $|V| \leq 2$  by checking all possible values of  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ . In case of  $t_1 = 2, t_2 = 1$ , Lemma 26 (b) applied to  $A = S$  gives  $|\mathcal{L}^e(S)| \geq 3q - 4 - 2\sqrt{q}$ . This exceeds  $2q + \sqrt{q}$  for  $q \geq 25$ . We obtain that  $t_1 + t_2 \leq 2$ . Since  $|S|$  is even and  $|B|$  is odd their symmetric difference (i.e.,  $T_1 \cup T_2$ ) is odd, we get  $t_1 + t_2 = 1$ . So  $S$  should be one of the examples discussed in the beginning of this section and we are done.

From now on suppose that there is no set  $V, |V| \leq 2$ , meeting all points of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Apply Lemma 25 (b) to  $\mathcal{U}$  to obtain a near-blocker  $C$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  of size at most  $|\mathcal{U}|/3 + (q + 1)/6$  and a line  $\ell_C \in \mathcal{U}$  missed by  $C$ . We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 6.

The set  $S \cup C$  meets all lines except  $\ell_C$ , so it is a blocking set of the *affine* plane  $PG(2, q) \setminus \ell_C$ . Then Lemma 8 yields  $|S \cup C| \geq 2q - 1$ . We obtain

$$2q - 1 \leq |S| + |C| \leq (q + \sqrt{q}) + |\mathcal{U}|/3 + (q + 1)/6.$$

Here  $|\mathcal{U}| \leq |R| = 2q + \sqrt{q}$  so the right hand side is at most  $(11q + 8\sqrt{q} + 1)/6$ . This cannot hold for  $q \geq 81$ . This final contradiction implies that  $|S| \leq q + \sqrt{q}$  is not possible for  $q \geq 81$  and we are done.  $\square$

*Proof of equality in (8).* This proof is similar to the previous proof, but simpler. Suppose, on the contrary, that we have a set of lines  $R, |R| = 2q - \sqrt{q}$  such that for  $S = \sum_{\ell \in R} \ell$  we have  $|S| < q + \sqrt{q}$ . As  $|S|$  is even, we have  $|S| \leq q + \sqrt{q} - 2$ . Since  $R$  is odd we have  $R = \mathcal{L}^e(S)$ . Thus  $S$  meets every line from  $\mathcal{L} \setminus R$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the set of lines avoiding  $S$ , so that  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq R$ .

If there is a set  $V, |V| \leq 2$ , meeting all points of  $\mathcal{U}$  (including the case  $\mathcal{U} = \emptyset$ ) then  $S \cup V$  meets all lines, it is a blocking set of size at most  $q + \sqrt{q}$ . By the Bruen theorem (Lemma 24) it must contain a line  $\ell$ . Apply Lemma 26 (a) with  $A = S = (\ell \setminus T_1) \cup T_2$  where  $T_1 = \ell \cap V, |T_1| \leq 2$  and  $T_2 = S \setminus \ell, |T_2| \leq |S \cup V| - |\ell| \leq \sqrt{q} - 1$ . We obtain that

$$|\mathcal{L}^e(S)| \geq t_1q + t_2(q + 2 - 2t_1 - t_2) \geq t_1q + t_2(q - \sqrt{q} - 1).$$

Since  $|\mathcal{L}^e(S)| = 2q - \sqrt{q}$  we obtain that  $|T_1| + |T_2| \leq 2$  for  $q \geq 25$ .

We finish the investigation of this case by observing that for  $|T_1| + |T_2| \leq 2, T_1 \subseteq \ell$ , the number of even lines  $|\mathcal{L}^e((\ell \setminus T_1) \cup T_2)|$  cannot be  $2q - \sqrt{q}$ . Since both  $S$  and  $\ell$  are even sets, their symmetric difference (i.e.,  $T_1 \cup T_2$ ) is even. We have four cases to check according to the value of  $(t_1, t_2) \in \{(2, 0), (1, 1), (0, 2), (0, 0)\}$ . The sizes of  $|\mathcal{L}^e(S)|$  are  $2q + 1, 2q - 1, 2q + 1, 1$ , respectively. None of these is equal to  $2q - \sqrt{q}$ .

From now on suppose that  $\mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset$  and there is no set  $V, |V| \leq 2$ , meeting all points of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Apply Lemma 25 (b) to  $\mathcal{U}$  to obtain a near-blocker  $C$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  of size at most  $|\mathcal{U}|/3 + (q + 1)/6$  and a line  $\ell_C \in \mathcal{U}$  missed by  $C$ . We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 6.

The set  $S \cup C$  meets all lines except  $\ell_C$ , so it can be considered as a blocking set of the affine plane  $PG(2, q) \setminus \ell_C$ . Then Lemma 8 yields  $|S \cup C| \geq 2q - 1$ . We obtain

$$2q - 1 \leq |S| + |C| \leq (q + \sqrt{q} - 2) + |\mathcal{U}|/3 + (q + 1)/6.$$

Here  $|\mathcal{U}| \leq |R| = 2q - \sqrt{q}$  so the right-hand-side is at most  $(11q + 4\sqrt{q} - 11)/6$ . This cannot hold for  $q \geq 49$  implying that  $|S| \leq q + \sqrt{q}$  is not possible for  $q \geq 49$  and we are done.  $\square$

With some more work we can see that only the examples from the Baer subplane give equalities in (7) and (8) (for  $q > q_0$ ).

Many questions remain open. What is  $f(q + 2)$ , and  $f(q + 3)$ ? The least we should be able to do is to prove better bounds on these. Also, any information about  $f(r)$  for  $r \leq 2q^{3/2}$  would be great.

## 8 Acknowledgements

The authors are indebted to the referees for their helpful comments and suggestions.

## References

- [1] Alon N., Combinatorial Nullstellensatz, *Combin. Prob. Comput.* **8** (1999), 7–29.
- [2] Bichara A. and Korchmáros G., Note on  $(q + 2)$ -sets in a Galois plane of order  $q$ . *Combinatorial and geometric structures and their applications (Trento, 1980)*, pp. 117–121, *Ann. Discrete Math.*, **14**, North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York, 1982.
- [3] Besicovitch S., The Kakeya problem. *Amer. Math. Monthly* **70** (1963), 697–706.
- [4] Blokhuis A., On the size of a blocking set in  $PG(2, p)$ . *Combinatorica* **14** (1994), 111–114.
- [5] Blokhuis A. and Mazzocca F., The finite field Kakeya problem. *Building bridges*, 205–218, *Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud.*, **19**, Springer, Berlin, 2008.
- [6] Brouwer A. E. and Schrijver A., The blocking number of an affine space. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **24** (1978), 251–253.
- [7] Bruck R. H., Quadratic extensions of cyclic planes. *Proc. Sympos. Appl. Math.*, Vol. 10 pp. 15–44. *American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I.* 1960.
- [8] Bruen A., Blocking sets in finite projective planes. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **21** (1971), 380–392.
- [9] Bruen A. A. and Thas J. A., Blocking sets. *Geometriae Dedicata* **6** (1977), 193–203.
- [10] Faber X. W. C., On the finite field Kakeya problem in two dimensions. *J. Number Theory* **124** (2007), 248–257.
- [11] Hirschfeld J. W. P., *Projective geometries over finite fields*. Second edition. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. *The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York*, 1998. xiv+555 pp.
- [12] Jamison R., Covering finite fields with cosets of subspaces. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **22** (1977), 253–266.
- [13] Lovász L. and Schrijver A., Remarks on a theorem of Rédei. *Studia Scient. Math. Hungar.* **16** (1981), 449–454.
- [14] Ryser H. J., Geometries and incidence matrices. *Amer. Math. Monthly* **62** (1955), 25–31.
- [15] Szőnyi T., Blocking sets in Desarguesian affine and projective planes. *Finite Fields Appl.* **3** (1997), 187–202.
- [16] Yff P., On subplane partitions of a finite projective plane. *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A* **22** (1977), 118–122.

## Appendix A. Values of $f(r)$ for small $q$ .

Table 1:  $q = 3$

| $r$ | $f(r)$ | $r$ | $f(r)$ |
|-----|--------|-----|--------|
| 1   | 4      | 4   | 4      |
| 2   | 6      | 5   | 4      |
| 3   | 6      | 6   | 2      |

Table 2:  $q = 5$

| $r$ | $f(r)$ | $r$ | $f(r)$ | $r$ | $f(r)$ |
|-----|--------|-----|--------|-----|--------|
| 1   | 6      | 6   | 6      | 11  | 4      |
| 2   | 10     | 7   | 8      | 12  | 4      |
| 3   | 12     | 8   | 8      | 13  | 6      |
| 4   | 12     | 9   | 6      | 14  | 6      |
| 5   | 10     | 10  | 2      | 15  | 4      |

Table 3:  $q = 7$

| $r$ | $f(r)$ | $r$ | $f(r)$ | $r$ | $f(r)$ | $r$ | $f(r)$ |
|-----|--------|-----|--------|-----|--------|-----|--------|
| 1   | 8      | 8   | 8      | 15  | 6      | 22  | 6      |
| 2   | 14     | 9   | 12     | 16  | 8      | 23  | 6      |
| 3   | 18     | 10  | 10     | 17  | 8      | 24  | 4      |
| 4   | 20     | 11  | 10     | 18  | 6      | 25  | 8      |
| 5   | 20     | 12  | 12     | 19  | 10     | 26  | 6      |
| 6   | 18     | 13  | 8      | 20  | 4      | 27  | 6      |
| 7   | 14     | 14  | 2      | 21  | 8      | 28  | 4      |

Table 4:  $q = 9$

| $r$ | $f(r)$ | $r$ | $f(r)$ | $r$ | $f(r)$ | $r$ | $f(r)$ |
|-----|--------|-----|--------|-----|--------|-----|--------|
| 1   | 10     | 10  | 10     | 19  | 8      | 28  | 4      |
| 2   | 18     | 11  | 16     | 20  | 12     | 29  | 10     |
| 3   | 24     | 12  | 12     | 21  | 10     | 30  | 6      |
| 4   | 28     | 13  | 14     | 22  | 10     | 31  | 8      |
| 5   | 30     | 14  | 14     | 23  | 12     | 32  | 4      |
| 6   | 30     | 15  | 12     | 24  | 8      | 33  | 10     |
| 7   | 28     | 16  | 16     | 25  | 10     | 34  | 6      |
| 8   | 24     | 17  | 10     | 26  | 10     | 35  | 8      |
| 9   | 18     | 18  | 2      | 27  | 12     | 36  | 4      |

Table 5:  $q = 11$

| $r$ | $f(r)$ |
|-----|--------|-----|--------|-----|--------|-----|--------|-----|--------|
| 1   | 12     | 12  | 12     | 23  | 10     | 34  | 10     | 45  | 8      |
| 2   | 22     | 13  | 20     | 24  | 16     | 35  | 14     | 46  | 6      |
| 3   | 30     | 14  | 14–26  | 25  | 16     | 36  | 4      | 47  | 10     |
| 4   | 36     | 15  | 14–18  | 26  | 14     | 37  | 12     | 48  | 8      |
| 5   | 40     | 16  | 16     | 27  | 14     | 38  | 10     | 49  | 12     |
| 6   | 42     | 17  | 16     | 28  | 12     | 39  | 10     | 50  | 6      |
| 7   | 42     | 18  | 14–18  | 29  | 16     | 40  | 4      | 51  | 10     |
| 8   | 40     | 19  | 14–26  | 30  | 10     | 41  | 12     | 52  | 8      |
| 9   | 36     | 20  | 16–20  | 31  | 14–18  | 42  | 6      | 53  | 12     |
| 10  | 30     | 21  | 12     | 32  | 12     | 43  | 14     | 54  | 6      |
| 11  | 22     | 22  | 2      | 33  | 16     | 44  | 4      | 55  | 10     |




Figure 1: Graph of  $f(r)$  for  $q = 11$ . Dots represent known values, and stars represent possible values for the values of  $r$  for which  $f(r)$  is unknown.