

Some Properties of the Coordinate Rings over Finite Fields

Qinqin Jin, Yongbin Li

School of Mathematical Science, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China

Abstract

In this paper, we present the Hilbert's Nullstellensatz in case of the coordinate rings of a nonempty subset of $S \subseteq K^n$ where K is a finite field F_q . Some applications of the Nullstellensatz are also discussed.

Keywords: affine variety, coordinate ring, Hilbert's Nullstellensatz

1. Introduction

It is well known that Hilbert's Nullstellensatz plays a very crucial role in algebraic geometry. It is one of the milestones in the process of translating algebra into geometry and geometry into algebra and forms the background for many applications in algebraic geometry.

Let K be a field and $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ (or $K[\mathbf{x}]$ for short) the ring of polynomials in the variables (x_1, \dots, x_n) with coefficients in K . K^n is the n -dimensional affine space over K . Let $K \subseteq L$ be a field extension, \overline{K} be the algebraic closure of K . For an ideal $I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle$ generated by $f_1, \dots, f_s \in K[\mathbf{x}]$, the set of zeros of I in L^n is defined as

$$V_L(I) = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in L^n \mid f_i(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0, \forall 1 \leq i \leq s\}$$

($V_{\overline{K}}(I)$ is called the affine variety defined by I). It is obvious that

$$V_L(I) = V_L(\sqrt{I})$$

where \sqrt{I} is the radical of I , see [2, 3] for the details. For any set $S \subset K^n$, we know that the set $I(S) = \{f \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n] \mid f(\mathbf{a}) = 0, \forall \mathbf{a} \in S\}$ is an ideal in $K[\mathbf{x}]$.

The Nullstellensatz: Let K be an algebraically closed field and let $I \subset K[\mathbf{x}]$ be an ideal. Then $I(V_K(I)) = \sqrt{I}$.

In this paper, we will present the following result in case of the coordinate ring $K[S]$, which can be identified with $K[\mathbf{x}]/I(S)$ where $K = F_q$ is a finite field and nonempty set $S \subseteq K^n$.

Theorem 1. Let $K = F_q$ be a field where p is a prime number and $q = p^k$ for a positive integer k , and a nonempty subset $S \subset F_q^n$. If J be a proper ideal of $K[S]$, then $I_S(V_S(J)) = J$.

Some applications of the above theorem are presented in Section 2. Based upon those applications, several results presented in [1], which proposes an efficient characteristic set methods for computing zeros of polynomial equation systems in a finite field, become concise.

2. Main Result

Through this paper, we denote S by a nonempty subset of K^n where K is a finite field.

Lemma 2.1. Let K and S be defined as above, then S is an affine variety in K^n .

Proof: It is easy to see that S is a finite set since F_q^n is finite. Suppose that $S = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_k\}$ where $\mathbf{a}_i = (x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \dots, x_{in}) \in F_q^n$, then S is an affine variety since a single point \mathbf{a}_i is an affine variety and the union of finite varieties are also finite variety. \square

Based upon the above lemma, with the same notation in [2], we will present the similar Nullstellensatz in case of the coordinate ring $K[S] \triangleq K[\mathbf{x}]/I(S)$ where $K = F_q$ is a finite field and nonempty set $S \subseteq K^n$. Note that

$$I(S) = \langle x_1^q - x_1, \dots, x_n^q - x_n \rangle$$

in case of $S = F_q^n$, and $K[F_q^n]$ denoted by \mathbb{R}_q in [1].

We proceed to discuss some properties of $K[S]$.

Lemma 2.2. In the above situation, let $\phi \in K[S]$. Then $\langle \phi \rangle = \langle \phi^m \rangle$ for any $m \geq 1$.

Proof: It is easy to see that $\langle \phi^m \rangle \subset \langle \phi \rangle$. It remains to show that $\langle \phi \rangle \subset \langle \phi^m \rangle$. Given any $\phi \in K[S]$, we have $\phi(\alpha)^q - \phi(\alpha) = 0$ since for any $\alpha \in F_q^n$, $\phi(\alpha) \in F_q$, so $\phi^q - \phi = [0]$. Consider that the greatest common divisor of polynomial $x^m, x^q - x$ is x in $K[x]$, so there exist some

$f(x), g(x) \in K[x]$ such that $f(x)x^m + g(x)(x^q - x) = x$. It implies that

$$\phi = f(\phi)\phi^m + g(\phi)(\phi^q - \phi) = f(\phi)\phi^m.$$

Thus $\langle \phi \rangle \subset \langle \phi^m \rangle$. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 2.1. In the above situation, let $J \triangleleft K[S]$ be an ideal, then $\sqrt{J} = J$.

Proof: For any $\phi \in \sqrt{J}$, there exist $\phi^m \in J$ for some integer m , thus $\langle \phi^m \rangle \subset J$. Following from lemma 2.2, we get $\phi \in J$ and it implies that $\sqrt{J} \subset J$. The converse $J \subset \sqrt{J}$ is obvious. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 2.1: Proposition 2.1 generalizes Lemma 3 presented in [1].

In order to explore the correspondence between ideals and varieties, we introduce the following definitions.

Definition 2.1. Let a nonempty set $S \subset K^n$ where $K = F_q$. For any ideal $J = \langle \phi_1, \dots, \phi_s \rangle \triangleleft K[S]$, we define

$$V_S(J) = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in S \mid \phi(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0, \forall \phi \in J\}.$$

We call $V_S(J)$ a subvariety of S . For each nonempty subset $T \subset S$, we define

$$I_S(T) = \{\phi \in K[S] \mid \phi(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0, \forall (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in T\}.$$

Refer to Exercise 7 in §1 of Chapter 4 in [2], one can get easily the following claim. Here, we omit the details.

Lemma 2.3. In the above situation, let J be an ideal of $K[S]$. If we have $V_S(J) = \emptyset$, then there exists a polynomial function $\phi \in J$ such that $V_S(\langle \phi \rangle) = \emptyset$.

Proposition 2.2 (Weak Nullstellensatz). Let $K = F_q$ and a nonempty subset $S \subset F_q^n$. If J is a proper ideal of $K[S]$, then $V_S(J) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof: We will prove this in contrapositive form: if $V_S(J) = \emptyset$, then $J = K[S]$. From lemma 2.3, we know that if $V_S(J) = \emptyset$, there exists $\phi \in J$, such that $V_S(\langle \phi \rangle) = \emptyset$, then $\phi(\mathbf{a}) \neq 0$ for any $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{S}$. It implies that $\phi^{q-1} = [1] \in J$, so $J = K[S]$. This completes the proof. \square

Based upon the above results, we proceed to present the following Nullstellensatz in the case of the coordinate ring $K[S]$.

Theorem 2.1 (Hilbert's Nullstellensatz). Let $K = F_q$, a nonempty subset $S \subset F_q^n$ and J be a proper ideal of $K[S]$. Then $I_S(V_S(J)) = J$.

Proof: The inclusion $I_S(V_S(J)) \supseteq J$ is obvious, it remain to show the converse.

Let $J = \langle \phi_1, \dots, \phi_s \rangle \triangleleft K[S]$, for any $\phi \in I_S(V_S(J))$, consider the ideal

$$\tilde{J} = \langle \phi_1, \dots, \phi_s, [1] - [y]\phi \rangle \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_n, y]/I(\tilde{S}),$$

where

$$\tilde{S} = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1}) \in F_q^{n+1} \mid (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in S, a_{n+1} \in F_q\}.$$

We claim that $V_{\tilde{S}}(\tilde{J}) = \emptyset$. To see this, let $(a_1, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1}) \in \tilde{S}$.

(1) (a_1, \dots, a_n) is a common zero of ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_s , in this case,

$$\phi(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$$

since ϕ vanishes at any common zero of ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_s , thus, the polynomial function $[1] - [y]\phi$ takes the value $1 - a_{n+1}\phi(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq 0$ at the point $(a_1, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1})$, hence $(a_1, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1})$ is not the point of $V_{\tilde{S}}(\tilde{J})$;

(2) (a_1, \dots, a_n) is not a common zero of ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_s , in this case, for some i ($1 \leq i \leq s$), we must have $\phi_i(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq 0$. Thinking of ϕ_i as a function of $n + 1$ variables which does not depend on the last variable, we have $\phi_i(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) \neq 0$, so $(a_1, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1})$ is not the point of $V_{\tilde{S}}(\tilde{J})$. This implies $V_{\tilde{S}}(\tilde{J}) = \emptyset$. By Proposition 2.2, we conclude that $[1] \in \tilde{J}$. Thus,

$$[1] = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \phi_i + q([1] - [y]\phi)$$

for some functions $p_i, q \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n, y]/I(\tilde{S})$. Now set $[y] = [1]/\phi$, and multiply both side by a power of ϕ^m , where m is large enough to clear all the denominators. This yields $\phi^m = \sum_{i=1}^n h_i \phi_i$. So $\phi \in \sqrt{J}$ and we get $I_S(V_S(J)) \subseteq \sqrt{J}$.

Since $\sqrt{J} = J$ by Lemma 2.1, we have that $I_S(V_S(J)) = J$. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 2.3. Let $K = F_q, S = F_q^n$ and $[f] \in K[S]$,

$$V_S(\langle [f] \rangle) = F_q^n$$

if and only if $[f] \equiv [0]$.

Proof: If $[f] \equiv [0]$, then obviously $V_S(\langle [f] \rangle) = F_q^n$. Conversely, since

$$I_S(F_q^n) = \{[g] \in K[F_q^n] \mid g(\mathbf{a}) = 0, \forall \mathbf{a} \in F_q^n\} = \langle [0] \rangle,$$

this shows $I_S(V_S(\langle [f] \rangle)) = \langle [0] \rangle$. It follows from Theorem 2.1 that $[f] \equiv [0]$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 2.2: Lemmas 4 and 5 presented in [1] are direct consequences of a special case of Theorem 2.1 when $S = F_q^n$.

3. Application

As a consequence of Theorem 2.1 and Remark 2.2, the proof of the following result is similar to the argument presented in Theorem 5 of §4 of Chapter 5 in [2], here we omit the details.

Proposition 3.1. Let $K = F_q$, nonempty subset $S \subset F_q^n$.

(1) The correspondences

$$I_S : P(S) \rightarrow \{J \triangleleft K[S]\}, \quad V_S : \{J \triangleleft K[S]\} \rightarrow P(S)$$

where $P(S)$ is the power set of S are inclusion-reversing bijections and are inverses of each other.

(2) Under the correspondence given in (1), points of S correspond to maximal ideals of $K[S]$.

The following consequences are helpful to better understand the coordinate ring $K[S]$ and some results presented in [1].

Proposition 3.2. Let I, J be ideals in $K[S]$, then we have $V_S(I : J) = V_S(I) \setminus V_S(J)$.

Proof: We claim that $V_S(I : J) \supset V_S(I) \setminus V_S(J)$. The proof is similar to the argument given in Theorem 7 of §4 of Chapter 4 in [2], we omit the details. Now we prove the opposite inclusion. Let $\mathbf{a} \in V_S(I : J)$, that is to say, if $\phi\psi \in I$ for all $\psi \in J$, then $\phi(\mathbf{a}) = 0$. Let $\phi \in I_S(V_S(I) \setminus V_S(J))$, if $\psi \in J$, then $\phi\psi$ vanishes on $V_S(I) \setminus V_S(J)$ and ψ on $V_S(J)$. Thus, by the theorem 2.1, $\phi\psi \in I$ and $\phi(\mathbf{a}) = 0$. Hence, $\mathbf{a} \in V_S(I_S(V_S(I) \setminus V_S(J)))$. This establishes that $V_S(I : J) \subset V_S(I_S(V_S(I) \setminus V_S(J)))$, and completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.1. Let $T_1, T_2 \in P(S)$, then $T_1 \setminus T_2 = V_S(I_S(T_1) \setminus I_S(T_2))$, and

$$I_S(S_1) : I_S(S_2) = I_S(S_1 \setminus S_2).$$

The next result extends Lemma 7 in [1] to the case of when any nonempty set $S \subseteq F_q^n$.

Proposition 3.3. In the above situation, let $[f], [g]$ and $[h]$ be polynomial functions in $K[S]$. We have

(1) $V_S(\langle [f]^{q-1}[g]^{q-1} - [1] \rangle) = V_S(\langle [f]^{q-1} - [1] \rangle) \cap V_S(\langle [g]^{q-1} - [1] \rangle)$, and

$$\langle [f]^{q-1}[g]^{q-1} - [1] \rangle = \langle [f]^{q-1} - [1] \rangle + \langle [g]^{q-1} - [1] \rangle;$$

(2) $V_S(\langle [f]^{q-1}[g]^{q-1} - [f]^{q-1} - [g]^{q-1} \rangle) = V_S(\langle [f] \rangle) \cap V_S(\langle [g] \rangle)$, and

$$\langle [f]^{q-1}[g]^{q-1} - [f]^{q-1} - [g]^{q-1} \rangle = \langle [f] \rangle + \langle [g] \rangle.$$

Proof: (1) Let $\mathbf{a} \in V_S(\langle [f]^{q-1} - [1] \rangle) \cap V_S(\langle [g]^{q-1} - [1] \rangle)$, then $f(\mathbf{a})^{q-1} = 1$ and $g(\mathbf{a})^{q-1} = 1$, so we have $[f]^{q-1}[g]^{q-1} - [1] \equiv [0]$ and

$$\mathbf{a} \in V_S(\langle [f]^{q-1}[g]^{q-1} - [1] \rangle).$$

Conversely, suppose $\mathbf{a} \in V_S(\langle [f]^{q-1}[g]^{q-1} - [1] \rangle)$. Since $f(\mathbf{a}), g(\mathbf{a}) \in F_q$, $f(\mathbf{a}) = 0$ or $f(\mathbf{a})^{q-1} = 1$, the same with $g(\mathbf{a})$. Only when $f(\mathbf{a})^{q-1} = 1$ and $g(\mathbf{a})^{q-1} = 1$, we have $[f]^{q-1}[g]^{q-1} = [1]$, so

$$\mathbf{a} \in V_S(\langle [f]^{q-1} - [1] \rangle) \cap V_S(\langle [g]^{q-1} - [1] \rangle).$$

It follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f^{q-1}g^{q-1} - 1 \rangle &= I_S(V_S(\langle f^{q-1}g^{q-1} - 1 \rangle)) \\ &= I_S(V_S(\langle [f]^{q-1} - [1] \rangle) \cap V_S(\langle [g]^{q-1} - [1] \rangle)) \\ &= I_S(V_S(\langle [f]^{q-1} - [1] \rangle)) + I_S(V_S(\langle [g]^{q-1} - [1] \rangle)) \\ &= \langle [f]^{q-1} - [1] \rangle + \langle [g]^{q-1} - [1] \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

(2) The proof of part (2) is similar to the proof part (1) and will be omitted. This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] Gao, X.S., Zhenyu, Z.Y. Characteristic set algorithms for equation solving in finite fields. *Journal of Symbolic Computation* 47,655-679, 2012.
- [2] Cox, D., Little, J., OShea, D. *Ideal, Varieties, and Algorithms*. Springer-Verlag, 2006.
- [3] Martin K., and Lorenzo, R. *Computational Commutative Algebra 1*. Springer-Verlag, 2000.