

SIGNED FUNDAMENTAL DOMAINS FOR TOTALLY REAL NUMBER FIELDS

FRANCISCO DIAZ Y DIAZ AND EDUARDO FRIEDMAN

ABSTRACT. We give a signed fundamental domain for the action on \mathbb{R}_+^n of the totally positive units E_+ of a totally real number field k of degree n . The domain $\{(C_\sigma, w_\sigma)\}_\sigma$ is signed since the net number of its intersections with any E_+ -orbit is 1, *i. e.* for any $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$,

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n-1}} \sum_{\varepsilon \in E_+} w_\sigma \chi_{C_\sigma}(\varepsilon x) = 1.$$

Here χ_{C_σ} is the characteristic function of C_σ , $w_\sigma = \pm 1$ is a natural orientation of the n -dimensional k -rational cone $C_\sigma \subset \mathbb{R}_+^n$, and the inner sum is actually finite.

Signed fundamental domains are as useful as Shintani's true ones for the purpose of calculating abelian L -functions. They have the advantage of being easily constructed from any set of fundamental units, whereas in practice there is no algorithm producing Shintani's k -rational cones.

Our proof uses algebraic topology on the quotient manifold \mathbb{R}_+^n/E_+ . The invariance of the topological degree under homotopy allows us to control the deformation of a crooked fundamental domain into nice straight cones. Crossings may occur during the homotopy, leading to the need to subtract some cones.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Signed fundamental domains	5
3. Proof of corollaries of main theorem	6
4. From cones to polytopes	8
5. The piecewise affine map	10
5.1. Polytopes and affine maps	11
5.2. The Colmez piecewise affine map	12
5.3. Piercing	14
5.4. Piercing and the c_σ 's	15
6. Maps between tori	17
7. Review of topological degree theory	18
7.1. Basic properties	19
7.2. Local degree	21
8. Proof of main theorem	23

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11R27, 11Y40, 11R42, Secondary 11R80.

Key words and phrases. Shintani-Colmez cones, totally real fields, fundamental domain, units.

We are grateful for the generous support of Chilean MIDEPLAN's Iniciativa Científica Milenio grant ICM P07-027-F and of Chilean FONDECYT grant 1085153.

8.1. Global degree	23
8.2. Proof of the basic count for generic points	24
8.3. End of proof of Theorem 1	26
References	27

1. INTRODUCTION

Explicit fundamental domains are hard to come by. In his 1976 work on special values of abelian L -functions attached to a totally real number field k , Shintani found a fundamental domain for the action of the totally positive units E_+ of k on $\mathbb{R}_+^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}$ [Sh1] [Neu, §VII.9] consisting of a finite number of k -rational cones of varying dimensions. Shintani's work was quite influential but suffered from a lack of control over the cones involved. This differed from the quadratic case, where a fundamental domain is easily described once the fundamental unit is known.

For totally real cubic fields the situation is almost as simple as for quadratic fields [TV] (see also [HP] [DF]). In the general case, the best result is due to Colmez [Co1][Co2]. Given independent totally positive units $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1}$, he defined $(n-1)!$ explicit k -rational cones $C_\sigma = C_\sigma(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1})$. If these units satisfy certain geometric conditions, Colmez proved that the union $\{C_\sigma\}_\sigma$ of his cones is a fundamental domain for the action on \mathbb{R}_+^n of the group generated by the ε_i .¹

Colmez also proved the existence of special units satisfying his conditions, but he gave no algorithm to find them, nor any upper bound on the index in E_+ of the subgroup generated by his units. To remedy this ineffectiveness, we introduce "signed" fundamental domains.

When the $\{C_\sigma\}_\sigma$ constitute a true fundamental domain, the number of intersections of any orbit with the union of the C_σ is 1, *i. e.*

$$\sum_{\sigma} \sum_{\varepsilon \in E_+} \chi_{C_\sigma}(\varepsilon \cdot x) = 1 \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n),$$

where χ_{C_σ} is the characteristic function of C_σ . In the case of a signed fundamental domain $\{(C_\sigma, w_\sigma)\}_\sigma$ we have

$$\sum_{\sigma} w_\sigma \sum_{\varepsilon \in E_+} \chi_{C_\sigma}(\varepsilon \cdot x) = 1 \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n),$$

where $w_\sigma = \pm 1$ is a sign assigned to each cone C_σ . In other words, the net number of intersections of any orbit with the C_σ is 1.

Using algebraic topology we show, for *any* set of fundamental positive units, that there is a natural choice of signs $w_\sigma = \pm 1$ for which the Colmez cones $\{C_\sigma\}_\sigma$ are a signed fundamental domain. As a consequence we obtain Shintani-like formulas for abelian L -functions without finding special units.

¹ To be quite precise, Colmez originally also needed somewhat less explicit lower dimensional cones along the boundary of the C_σ . Later, in unpublished lectures, he made the boundary components explicit (see (4) below).

We now give a precise definition of w_σ and C_σ . Here σ runs over all permutations of $\{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$. Let $\tau_i : k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) be a complete set of embeddings of k , and regard $k \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ by identifying $x \in k$ with $(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots, x^{(n)}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where $x^{(i)} = \tau_i(x)$. A unit $\varepsilon \in E_+$ acts on $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n := (0, \infty)^n$ by component-wise multiplication, $(\varepsilon \cdot x)^{(i)} = \varepsilon^{(i)} x^{(i)}$. We assume given independent totally positive units $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1}$, and let $V \subset E_+$ be the subgroup they generate. To avoid trivialities, assume $k \neq \mathbb{Q}$. After Colmez, define

$$f_{i,\sigma} := \varepsilon_{\sigma(1)} \varepsilon_{\sigma(2)} \cdots \varepsilon_{\sigma(i-1)} = \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \varepsilon_{\sigma(j)} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n, \sigma \in S_{n-1}, f_{i,\sigma} \in E_+ \subset \mathbb{R}_+^n). \quad (1)$$

For $i = 1$ we mean $f_{1,\sigma} := 1 = (1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$. Define $w_\sigma = \pm 1$ or 0 as

$$w_\sigma := \frac{(-1)^{n-1} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \cdot \operatorname{sign}(\det(f_{1,\sigma}, f_{2,\sigma}, \dots, f_{n,\sigma}))}{\operatorname{sign}(\det(\operatorname{Log} \varepsilon_1, \operatorname{Log} \varepsilon_2, \dots, \operatorname{Log} \varepsilon_{n-1}))}, \quad (2)$$

where $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)$ is the usual signature (*i. e.* ± 1) of the permutation σ , $\operatorname{Log} \varepsilon_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, $(\operatorname{Log} \varepsilon_i)^{(j)} := \log \varepsilon_i^{(j)}$ ($1 \leq j \leq n-1$), and $\operatorname{sign}(\det(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_q))$ is the sign of the determinant of the $q \times q$ matrix having columns v_i . The determinant in the denominator of (2) is the ‘‘signed regulator’’ of the independent units $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1}$, and so non-zero.

For $\sigma \in S_{n-1}$ with $w_\sigma \neq 0$, the closed cone $\overline{C}_\sigma := \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cdot f_{i,\sigma} \subset \mathbb{R}_+^n \cup \{0\}$ has a non-empty interior. Each bounding hyperplane

$$H_{i,\sigma} := \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\ j \neq i}} \mathbb{R} \cdot f_{j,\sigma} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n, w_\sigma \neq 0)$$

separates \mathbb{R}^n into two disjoint half-spaces,

$$\mathbb{R}^n = H_{i,\sigma}^+ \cup H_{i,\sigma} \cup H_{i,\sigma}^-, \quad (3)$$

where $H_{i,\sigma}^+$ is the half-space containing $f_{i,\sigma}$.² Fix one of the n standard basis vectors, say $e_n := [0, 0, \dots, 0, 1] \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Following Colmez (unpublished lectures), define the cone C_σ to consist of all points $z \in \overline{C}_\sigma$ for which the line segment from e_n to z ‘‘pierces’’ \overline{C}_σ , *i. e.* contains an interior point of \overline{C}_σ . Thus, C_σ consists of all points in the interior of \overline{C}_σ , together with some boundary pieces. Explicitly,

$$C_\sigma = C_\sigma(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1}) := \mathbb{R}_{1,\sigma} \cdot f_{1,\sigma} + \mathbb{R}_{2,\sigma} \cdot f_{2,\sigma} + \cdots + \mathbb{R}_{n,\sigma} \cdot f_{n,\sigma}, \quad (4)$$

$$\mathbb{R}_{i,\sigma} = \mathbb{R}_{i,\sigma}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1}) := \begin{cases} [0, \infty) & \text{if } e_n \in H_{i,\sigma}^+, \\ (0, \infty) & \text{if } e_n \in H_{i,\sigma}^-, \end{cases} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n). \quad (5)$$

This makes sense since e_n lies in no boundary hyperplane $H_{i,\sigma}$ (see Lemma 9).

² For $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we can easily compute whether $v \in H_{i,\sigma}^\pm$. On the right-hand side of (2) replace the single column $f_{i,\sigma}$ by $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ to obtain a function $v \rightarrow w_{i,\sigma}(v)$, vanishing on $H_{i,\sigma}$ and taking the value $\pm w_\sigma$ on $H_{i,\sigma}^\pm$. Alternatively, if we write $v = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i f_{i,\sigma}$, then $v \in H_{i,\sigma}^+$ if and only if $c_i > 0$.

Theorem 1. *Let k be a totally real number field of degree $n \geq 2$, and suppose $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1}$ generate a subgroup V of finite index in the group of totally positive units of k . Then the signed cones $\{(C_\sigma, w_\sigma)\}_{w_\sigma \neq 0}$ defined in (2) and (4) give a signed fundamental domain for the action of V on $\mathbb{R}_+^n := (0, \infty)^n$. That is,*

$$\sum_{\substack{w_\sigma=+1 \\ \sigma \in S_{n-1}}} \sum_{z \in C_\sigma \cap V \cdot x} 1 - \sum_{\substack{w_\sigma=-1 \\ \sigma \in S_{n-1}}} \sum_{z \in C_\sigma \cap V \cdot x} 1 = 1 \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n), \quad (6)$$

and all sums above are over finite sets of cardinality bounded independently of x .

We prove Theorem 1 by interpreting the left-hand side of (6) as a sum of local degrees of a certain continuous map $F : \widehat{T} \rightarrow T$ between a standard $(n-1)$ -torus \widehat{T} and the $(n-1)$ -torus T coming from the quotient space $\mathbb{R}_+^n/E_+ \cong T \times \mathbb{R}_+$. By a basic result in algebraic topology, this sum of local degrees equals the global degree of F . We compute this global degree to be 1 by proving that F is homotopic to an explicit homeomorphism F_0 of the tori involved. To make the proof more accessible, we have included a short section summarizing the basics of topological degree theory.

During the homotopy from F_0 to F the intermediate maps F_t remain surjective, but not necessarily injective. Injectivity fails if the interior of the cones C_σ intersect, leading to the need to subtract some cones.

The condition [Co1] for Colmez's special units is $w_\sigma = +1$ for all $\sigma \in S_{n-1}$. If this holds, then $V \cdot x$ must intersect one and only one of the C_σ 's. Hence we have a new proof of his result.

Corollary 2. (Colmez [Co1]) *Suppose $w_\sigma = 1$ for all $\sigma \in S_{n-1}$, then $\bigcup_{\sigma \in S_{n-1}} C_\sigma$ is a true fundamental domain for the action of V on \mathbb{R}_+^n .*

In fact, we get a slight generalization, as it suffices to assume $w_\sigma \neq -1$ for all σ . Then $\bigcup_{w_\sigma \neq 0} C_\sigma$ is still a true fundamental domain.

We now apply signed fundamental domains to the computation of L -functions.

Corollary 3. *Let $\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_{h_+}$ be any set of integral ideals representing all the narrow ideal classes of a totally real field k of degree $n \geq 2$ and narrow class number h_+ , let χ be a ray-class character of k , and let the ideal \mathfrak{f} be the finite part of the conductor of χ . Then, for any set $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1}$ of generators of the group of totally positive units of k , we have*

$$L(s, \chi) = \sum_{j=1}^{h_+} N(\mathfrak{a}_j \mathfrak{f})^{-s} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_{n-1} \\ w_\sigma \neq 0}} w_\sigma \sum_{z \in R^\sigma(\mathfrak{a}_j \mathfrak{f})} \chi((z) \mathfrak{a}_j \mathfrak{f}) \zeta^\sigma(s, z), \quad (7)$$

where (z) denotes the principal fractional ideal generated by $z \in k$,

$$\zeta^\sigma(s, z) := \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^n \left(z^{(j)} + \sum_{i=1}^n m_i f_{i,\sigma}^{(j)} \right)^{-s} \quad \left(\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1, f_{i,\sigma} := \prod_{\ell=1}^{i-1} \varepsilon_{\sigma(\ell)} \right),$$

is a Shintani zeta function [Sh1] [FR],

$$R^\sigma(\mathfrak{a}) = R^\sigma(\mathfrak{a}; \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1}) := \left\{ z \in \mathfrak{a}^{-1} \mid z = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i f_{i,\sigma}, t_i \in I_{i,\sigma} \right\}, \quad (8)$$

$$I_{i,\sigma} := [0, 1) \text{ if } e_n \in H_{i,\sigma}^+ \text{ (see (3)), } \quad I_{i,\sigma} := (0, 1] \text{ if } e_n \in H_{i,\sigma}^-. \quad (9)$$

Here χ is not necessarily primitive, it is extended by 0 to all integral ideals of k not relatively prime to \mathfrak{f} , and the narrow class group Cl_+ is understood in its strictest sense, *i. e.* an ideal \mathfrak{a} represents the trivial class in Cl_+ iff $\mathfrak{a} = (z)$ for some $z \in k^*$ which is positive at all embeddings of k . Note in (8) that $t_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ since the $f_{i,\sigma}$ are a \mathbb{Q} -basis for k when $w_\sigma \neq 0$. The sets $R^\sigma(\mathfrak{a})$ are finite since $\mathfrak{a}^{-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is discrete.

Among the various expressions that Shintani gave for abelian L -functions, (7) closely resembles the one he published for real quadratic fields [Sh2, Lemma 3]. In §3 we also give a formula for ray class zeta functions, analogous to (7).

We are very grateful to the referee for supplying us with an elegant proof of Lemma 9 below and for nudging us into simplifying our treatment of the boundaries of the cones.

2. SIGNED FUNDAMENTAL DOMAINS

Definition 4. A signed fundamental domain $\{(X_i, w_i)\}_i$ for the action of a group G on a set X is a finite sequence of subsets $X_i \subset X$ and weights $w_i \in \mathbb{C}$ for which there exists a constant $K \in \mathbb{R}$, such that for all $x \in X$ the cardinality $|X_i \cap G \cdot x| \leq K$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$), and

$$\sum_{i=1}^m w_i |X_i \cap G \cdot x| = 1.$$

Note that if $Y \subset X$ is a G -subset, *i. e.* $g \cdot y \in Y$ for all $y \in Y$ and $g \in G$, and $\{(X_i, w_i)\}_i$ is as in Definition 4, then $\{(Y \cap X_i, w_i)\}_i$ is a signed fundamental domain for the action of G on Y .

Lemma 5. *Suppose*

- (1) X is a topological space on which the countable group G acts by homeomorphisms.
- (2) $\{(X_i, w_i)\}_i$ is a signed fundamental domain, with each X_i a Borel set ($1 \leq i \leq m$).
- (3) μ is a positive G -invariant Borel measure (so $\mu(g \cdot A) = \mu(A)$ for any Borel set $A \subset X$ and any $g \in G$).
- (4) $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a Borel-measurable G -invariant function (so $f(g \cdot x) = f(x)$ for any $x \in X$ and $g \in G$).
- (5) The Borel set F is a true fundamental domain for G acting on X and $\int_F |f(x)| d\mu(x) < \infty$.

Then $\int_{X_i} |f(x)| d\mu(x) < \infty$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$) and

$$\int_F f(x) d\mu(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m w_i \int_{X_i} f(x) d\mu(x).$$

Proof. Let χ_i be the characteristic function of X_i . As F is a fundamental domain for the action of G on X ,

$$\bigcup_{g \in G} (g \cdot F) = X \text{ (countable disjoint union),} \quad \sum_{g \in G} \chi_i(g \cdot x) = |X_i \cap G \cdot x| \leq K,$$

with K as in the definition of a signed fundamental domain. We have then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{X_i} |f(x)| d\mu(x) &= \int_X |f(x)| \chi_i(x) d\mu(x) = \sum_{g \in G} \int_{g \cdot F} |f(x)| \chi_i(x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} \int_F |f(g \cdot x)| \chi_i(g \cdot x) d\mu(x) = \sum_{g \in G} \int_F |f(x)| \chi_i(g \cdot x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_F |f(x)| \left(\sum_{g \in G} \chi_i(g \cdot x) \right) d\mu(x) \leq K \int_F |f(x)| d\mu(x) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

proving the first claim in the lemma. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_F f(x) \left(\sum_{g \in G} \chi_i(g \cdot x) \right) d\mu(x) &= \sum_{g \in G} \int_F f(x) \chi_i(g \cdot x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} \int_F f(g \cdot x) \chi_i(g \cdot x) d\mu(x) = \sum_{g \in G} \int_{g \cdot F} f(x) \chi_i(x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_X f(x) \chi_i(x) d\mu(x) = \int_{X_i} f(x) d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

By Definition 4, $\sum_{i=1}^m w_i \sum_{g \in G} \chi_i(g \cdot x) = 1$, so

$$\int_F f(x) d\mu(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m w_i \int_F f(x) \left(\sum_{g \in G} \chi_i(g \cdot x) \right) d\mu(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m w_i \int_{X_i} f(x) d\mu(x).$$

□

3. PROOF OF COROLLARIES OF MAIN THEOREM

We first prove Corollary 3, which we do not repeat here. Let χ be a character of the ray class group of k with conductor $\mathfrak{f}\infty$, where ∞ is the formal product of all the archimedean places of the totally real field k . The (not necessarily primitive) L -function attached to χ is $L(s, \chi) := \sum_{\mathfrak{b}} \chi(\mathfrak{b}) N\mathfrak{b}^{-s}$, where $\text{Re}(s) > 1$, \mathfrak{b} ranges over all integral ideals of k , N is the absolute norm, and $\chi(\mathfrak{b}) := 0$ if \mathfrak{b} is not prime to \mathfrak{f} . Recall that we regard $k \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $F \subset \mathbb{R}_+^n$ be any true fundamental domain for the action of E_+ on \mathbb{R}_+^n . We can pass from sums over ideals \mathfrak{b} to sums over lattice elements $\gamma \in F$ since for each \mathfrak{b} there is a unique j ($1 \leq j \leq h_+$) and $\gamma \in \mathfrak{a}_j^{-1} \mathfrak{f}^{-1} \cap F$ such that $\mathfrak{b} = (\gamma) \mathfrak{a}_j \mathfrak{f}$.

By Theorem 1 and the remark following Definition 4, $\{(C_\sigma \cap \mathfrak{a}_j^{-1} \mathfrak{f}^{-1}, w_\sigma)\}_{w_\sigma \neq 0}$ is a signed fundamental domain for the action of E_+ on $X_j := \mathfrak{a}_j^{-1} \mathfrak{f}^{-1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n$. Similarly, $F \cap X_j$ is a true fundamental domain for the action of E_+ on X_j . Applying Lemma

5 to the discrete space X_j , group E_+ , counting measure μ and invariant function $f(\gamma) := \chi((\gamma)\mathfrak{a}_j\mathfrak{f})N(\gamma)^{-s}$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} L(s, \chi) &= \sum_{j=1}^{h_+} N(\mathfrak{a}_j\mathfrak{f})^{-s} \int_{F \cap X_j} \chi((\gamma)\mathfrak{a}_j\mathfrak{f})N(\gamma)^{-s} d\mu(\gamma) \quad (\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{h_+} N(\mathfrak{a}_j\mathfrak{f})^{-s} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_{n-1} \\ w_\sigma \neq 0}} w_\sigma \int_{C_\sigma \cap X_j} \chi((\gamma)\mathfrak{a}_j\mathfrak{f})N(\gamma)^{-s} d\mu(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, to prove Corollary 3 we must show

$$\sum_{\gamma \in C_\sigma \cap \mathfrak{a}_j^{-1}\mathfrak{f}^{-1}} \chi((\gamma)\mathfrak{a}_j\mathfrak{f})N(\gamma)^{-s} = \sum_{z \in R^\sigma(\mathfrak{a}_j\mathfrak{f})} \chi((z)\mathfrak{a}_j\mathfrak{f})\zeta^\sigma(s, z) \quad (\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1). \quad (10)$$

This was done by Shintani [Sh2], but we include the details here for completeness. Recall from (4) that $C_\sigma := \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{R}_{i,\sigma} \cdot f_{i,\sigma}$, where $f_{i,\sigma} \in E_+$ and $\mathbb{R}_{i,\sigma} := [0, \infty)$ if $e_n \in H_{i,\sigma}^+$, $\mathbb{R}_{i,\sigma} := (0, \infty)$ if $e_n \in H_{i,\sigma}^-$. Any $\gamma = \sum_i y_i f_{i,\sigma} \in C_\sigma$ can be uniquely written as $\gamma = \sum_i t_i f_{i,\sigma} + \sum_i m_i f_{i,\sigma}$, where $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m_i \geq 0$, and $t_i \in [0, 1)$ or $t_i \in (0, 1]$ according to whether $e_n \in H_{i,\sigma}^+$ or not (*i. e.* in the notation of (9), $t_i \in I_{i,\sigma}$). Conversely, any such t_i and m_i define a $\gamma \in C_\sigma$. Note that $\sum_i m_i f_{i,\sigma} \in \mathfrak{a}_j^{-1}\mathfrak{f}^{-1}$ since $f_{i,\sigma} \in E_+ \subset \mathfrak{a}_j^{-1}\mathfrak{f}^{-1}$, as \mathfrak{a}_j and \mathfrak{f} are integral ideals. Hence

$$z := \sum_{i=1}^n t_i f_{i,\sigma} \in \mathfrak{a}_j^{-1}\mathfrak{f}^{-1} \iff \gamma := \sum_{i=1}^n y_i f_{i,\sigma} \in \mathfrak{a}_j^{-1}\mathfrak{f}^{-1} \quad \left(\gamma - z = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i f_{i,\sigma} \right).$$

Hence to prove (10) it suffices to prove $\chi((\gamma)\mathfrak{a}_j\mathfrak{f}) = \chi((z)\mathfrak{a}_j\mathfrak{f})$.

Note that when $\gamma \in \mathfrak{a}_j^{-1}\mathfrak{f}^{-1}$, the integral ideal $(\gamma)\mathfrak{a}_j\mathfrak{f}$ is relatively prime to \mathfrak{f} if and only if $(z)\mathfrak{a}_j\mathfrak{f}$ is. If either ideal has a common factor with \mathfrak{f} , we trivially have $\chi((z)\mathfrak{a}_j\mathfrak{f}) = 0 = \chi((\gamma)\mathfrak{a}_j\mathfrak{f})$. So assume that $(z)\mathfrak{a}_j\mathfrak{f}$ is relatively prime to \mathfrak{f} . Then

$$((\gamma)\mathfrak{a}_j\mathfrak{f})((z)\mathfrak{a}_j\mathfrak{f})^{-1} = (\gamma z^{-1}) = \left(1 + z^{-1} \sum_i m_i f_{i,\sigma} \right).$$

At primes \mathfrak{p} of k dividing \mathfrak{f} , the valuation $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}((z)\mathfrak{a}_j\mathfrak{f}) = 0$. Hence at such primes,

$$\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(z^{-1} \sum_i m_i f_{i,\sigma}\right) = \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\mathfrak{a}_j\mathfrak{f} \sum_i m_i f_{i,\sigma}\right) \geq \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a}_j\mathfrak{f}) \geq \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{f}).$$

As $1 + z^{-1} \sum_i m_i f_{i,\sigma}$ is totally positive, $\chi((\gamma)\mathfrak{a}_j\mathfrak{f}) = \chi((z)\mathfrak{a}_j\mathfrak{f})$ by definition of the ray class group with conductor $\mathfrak{f}\infty$ [Neu, p. 365]. \square

Next we prove an expression for the zeta function $\zeta(s, \bar{\mathfrak{a}}) := \sum_{\mathfrak{b} \in \bar{\mathfrak{a}}} N\mathfrak{b}^{-s}$ attached to a ray class $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}$ modulo $\mathfrak{f}\infty$. Here \mathfrak{b} runs over all integral ideals in $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}$, and the ray classes are again taken in the strictest sense, *i. e.* $\mathfrak{f}\infty$ is the formal product of an integral ideal \mathfrak{f} with all n archimedean places of the totally real field k .

Corollary 6. *Suppose $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{m-1}$ generate the group $E_{\mathfrak{f}}^+$ of totally positive units of k which are congruent to 1 modulo \mathfrak{f} , let $\mathfrak{a} \in \bar{\mathfrak{a}}$ be an integral ideal and let $\mathbb{Z} \cap \mathfrak{f} =: f\mathbb{Z}$,*

with $f \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\zeta(s, \bar{\mathbf{a}}) = N \mathbf{a}^{-s} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_{n-1} \\ w_\sigma \neq 0}} w_\sigma \sum_{z \in R_{\mathfrak{f}, \mathbf{a}}^\sigma} \zeta_{\mathfrak{f}}^\sigma(s, z) \quad (\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1),$$

where

$$\zeta_{\mathfrak{f}}^\sigma(s, z) := \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^n \left(z^{(j)} + f \sum_{i=1}^n m_i g_{i, \sigma}^{(j)} \right)^{-s} \quad \left(g_{i, \sigma} := \prod_{\ell=1}^{i-1} \eta_{\sigma(\ell)} \right),$$

$$R_{\mathfrak{f}, \mathbf{a}}^\sigma := \left\{ z \in 1 + \mathbf{a}^{-1} \mathfrak{f} \mid z = f \sum_{i=1}^n t_i g_{i, \sigma}, \ t_i \in I_{i, \sigma} \right\},$$

$$I_{i, \sigma} := \begin{cases} [0, 1) & \text{if } r_i > 0 \text{ when we write } e_n = [0, \dots, 0, 1] = \sum_{j=1}^n r_j g_{j, \sigma}, \\ (0, 1] & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Using a fundamental domain $F_{\mathfrak{f}}$ for the action of $E_{\mathfrak{f}}^+$ on \mathbb{R}_+^n , we re-write the sum over \mathbf{b} defining $\zeta(s, \bar{\mathbf{a}})$, letting $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{a}(\gamma)$, where $\gamma \in 1 + \mathbf{a}^{-1} \mathfrak{f}$ and $\gamma \in F_{\mathfrak{f}}$. From here on we proceed as in the proof of Corollary 3, replacing $\mathbf{a}_j^{-1} \mathfrak{f}^{-1}$ by $1 + \mathbf{a}^{-1} \mathfrak{f}$, F by $F_{\mathfrak{f}}$, and E_+ by $E_{\mathfrak{f}}^+$. The definition of $I_{i, \sigma}$ in Corollary 6 differs formally from the one given in (9) because this time we used footnote 2 to describe the hyperplanes determined by the faces of the cone $C_\sigma(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{n-1})$. In the proof of Corollary 6 we need not worry about character values, but we must use generators of C_σ in $\mathbf{a}^{-1} \mathfrak{f}$, hence the need for the $f g_{i, \sigma}$. \square

4. FROM CONES TO POLYTOPES

Since we are interested only in cone domains, signed or not, it is natural to consider the action of V on the set \mathcal{L} of half-lines in \mathbb{R}_+^n emanating from 0. The action by $\varepsilon \in V$ takes half-lines to half-lines, so one easily sees that a fundamental domain for the action of V on \mathcal{L} automatically yields a cone fundamental domain for the action of V on \mathbb{R}_+^n , and conversely. In this section we extend this old idea to signed fundamental domains.

For $n \geq 2$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with non-vanishing last coordinate $x^{(n)}$, define $\ell(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ as

$$\ell(x) := \left(\frac{x^{(1)}}{x^{(n)}}, \frac{x^{(2)}}{x^{(n)}}, \dots, \frac{x^{(n-1)}}{x^{(n)}} \right) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ x^{(n)} \neq 0). \quad (11)$$

The reason for the usefulness of ℓ is that the intersection of the half-line $L_x := \{tx\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ with the hyperplane $x^{(n)} = 1$ occurs at the point $(\ell(x), 1)$. For any $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}$, the set of $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ satisfying $\ell(x) = y$ is exactly the half-line $L_{(y, 1)}$.

Define

$$\tilde{V} := \ell(V) = \langle \tilde{\varepsilon}_1, \dots, \tilde{\varepsilon}_{n-1} \rangle \subset \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}, \quad \tilde{\varepsilon}_i := \ell(\varepsilon_i), \quad (12)$$

where $V := \langle \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1} \rangle \subset E_+ \subset \mathbb{R}_+^n$, as in Theorem 1. We regard Euclidean space as a ring under coordinate-wise multiplication, so \tilde{V} acts on \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1} . The next result will let us pass from $(n-1)$ -simplices to n -cones in the proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 7. *If $\{(\gamma_i, w_i)\}_i$ is a signed fundamental domain for the action of \tilde{V} on \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1} , then $\{(\Gamma_i, w_i)\}_i$ is a signed fundamental domain for the action of V on \mathbb{R}_+^n , where $\Gamma_i := \{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \mid \ell(x) \in \gamma_i\}$.*

Proof. For $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$, let us prove that ℓ induces a bijection between $\Gamma_i \cap V \cdot x$ and $\gamma_i \cap \tilde{V} \cdot \ell(x)$. Indeed, since $\ell(\varepsilon \cdot x) = \ell(\varepsilon) \cdot \ell(x)$, it is clear that ℓ maps $\Gamma_i \cap V \cdot x$ surjectively onto $\gamma_i \cap \tilde{V} \cdot \ell(x)$. If $\ell(\varepsilon \cdot x) = \ell(\varepsilon' \cdot x)$ for $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' \in V$, then $\ell(\varepsilon^{-1}\varepsilon') = 1_{n-1} := (1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}$. But $\ell((\delta^{(1)}, \delta^{(2)}, \dots, \delta^{(n)})) = 1_{n-1}$ implies $\delta^{(1)} = \delta^{(2)} = \dots = \delta^{(n)}$. For $\delta \in E_+$, this means $\delta = 1$, as $\prod_{i=1}^n \delta^{(i)} = 1$. Hence ℓ is injective on $V \cdot x$ (for x fixed). The lemma now follows directly from Definition 4 of a signed fundamental domain. \square

We shall apply the next lemma to relate a cone in \mathbb{R}_+^n with the polytope resulting from its intersection with the hyperplane $x^{(n)} = 1$.

Lemma 8. *Suppose $x, T_0, T_1, \dots, T_h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ all have non-zero last coordinate. If $x = \sum_{i=0}^h c_i T_i$ with $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\ell(x) = \sum_{i=0}^h b_i \ell(T_i)$, where $b_i = c_i T_i^{(n)} / x^{(n)}$, and $\sum_{i=0}^h b_i = 1$. Conversely, if $\ell(x) = \sum_{i=0}^h b_i \ell(T_i)$, where $\sum_{i=0}^h b_i = 1$ and $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$, then $x = \sum_{i=0}^h c_i T_i$, where $c_i = x^{(n)} b_i / T_i^{(n)}$. In particular, if $T_i^{(n)} > 0$ ($0 \leq i \leq h$) and $x^{(n)} > 0$, then $c_i > 0$ if and only if $b_i > 0$.*

Proof. For $T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $T^{(n)} \neq 0$, definition (11) of ℓ gives the obvious identity

$$T = (T^{(1)}, \dots, T^{(n)}) = T^{(n)} (\ell(T), 1).$$

If $x = \sum_{i=0}^h c_i T_i$, then $x^{(j)} = \sum_{i=0}^h c_i T_i^{(j)}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(x) &= \frac{1}{x^{(n)}} \left(\sum_{i=0}^h c_i T_i^{(1)}, \sum_{i=0}^h c_i T_i^{(2)}, \dots, \sum_{i=0}^h c_i T_i^{(n-1)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^h \frac{c_i T_i^{(n)}}{x^{(n)}} \left(\frac{T_i^{(1)}}{T_i^{(n)}}, \frac{T_i^{(2)}}{T_i^{(n)}}, \dots, \frac{T_i^{(n-1)}}{T_i^{(n)}} \right) = \sum_{i=0}^h \frac{c_i T_i^{(n)}}{x^{(n)}} \ell(T_i) = \sum_{i=0}^h b_i \ell(T_i). \end{aligned}$$

As $x^{(n)} = \sum_{i=0}^h c_i T_i^{(n)}$, we have $\sum_i b_i = \sum_i (c_i T_i^{(n)} / x^{(n)}) = 1$.

Conversely, if $\ell(x) = \sum_{i=0}^h b_i \ell(T_i)$ with $\sum_{i=0}^h b_i = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} x &= x^{(n)} (\ell(x), 1) = x^{(n)} \left(\sum_{i=0}^h b_i \ell(T_i), 1 \right) = x^{(n)} \left(\sum_{i=0}^h b_i \ell(T_i), \sum_{i=0}^h b_i \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^h x^{(n)} b_i (\ell(T_i), 1) = \sum_{i=0}^h \frac{x^{(n)} b_i}{T_i^{(n)}} T_i^{(n)} (\ell(T_i), 1) = \sum_{i=0}^h \frac{x^{(n)} b_i}{T_i^{(n)}} T_i = \sum_{i=0}^h c_i T_i. \end{aligned}$$

\square

The next lemma, on taking $Q = \mathbb{Q}$, $R = \mathbb{R}$ and k a totally real number field, shows that a standard basis vector cannot line up with any face of a k -rational cone, i. e. $e_n \notin H_{i,\sigma}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\sigma \in S_{n-1}$ as claimed after (5).

Lemma 9. *Let $Q \subset k \subset R$ be a tower of fields, with k/Q a finite separable extension. Let $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_\ell \in k$ with $\ell < n := [k : Q]$, let $\tau_i : k \rightarrow R$ be the n distinct field homomorphisms of k into R fixing Q ($1 \leq i \leq n$), and define $J : k \rightarrow R^n$ by $(J(v))^{(i)} := \tau_i(v)$ for $v \in k$. Then $e_n := [0, 0, \dots, 0, 1] \in R^n$ is not contained in the R -subspace $R \cdot J(v_1) + R \cdot J(v_2) + \dots + R \cdot J(v_\ell) \subset R^n$.*

Proof. Since k/Q is separable and $\ell < n$, there exists a nonzero $x \in k$ such that $\text{Tr}_{k/Q}(xv_i) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$. Let $\psi : R^n \rightarrow R$ be the R -linear map given by dot product with $J(x)$. Then $\psi(v_i) = \text{Tr}_{k/Q}(xv_i) = 0$, whereas $\psi(e_n) = \tau_n(x) \neq 0$. Thus e_n is not in the R -span of the v_i . \square

We can now describe the simplices c_σ that result from intersecting the cones C_σ with the hyperplane $x^{(n)} = 1$. Let

$$c_\sigma := \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1} \mid y = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i \varphi_{i,\sigma}, \quad \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i = 1, \quad b_i \in J_{i,\sigma} \right\} \quad (\sigma \in S_{n-1}, \quad w_\sigma \neq 0), \quad (13)$$

$$\varphi_{i,\sigma} := \ell(f_{i+1,\sigma}), \quad J_{i,\sigma} := \begin{cases} [0, 1] & \text{if } e_n \in H_{i+1,\sigma}^+, \\ (0, 1] & \text{if } e_n \in H_{i+1,\sigma}^-, \end{cases} \quad (0 \leq i \leq n-1).$$

Note the annoying index shift between (4) and (13), $\varphi_{i,\sigma} := \ell(f_{i+1,\sigma})$.

The next result restates Theorem 1 in terms of the c_σ .

Proposition 10. *If $\{(c_\sigma, w_\sigma)\}_{w_\sigma \neq 0}$ is a signed fundamental domain for the action of \tilde{V} on \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1} (see (12)), then $\{(C_\sigma, w_\sigma)\}_{w_\sigma \neq 0}$ is a signed fundamental domain for the action of V on \mathbb{R}_+^n .*

Proof. Lemma 7 shows that we must only prove $C_\sigma = \{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \mid \ell(x) \in c_\sigma\}$. So suppose $x \in C_\sigma$. Then $x = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i f_{i,\sigma}$, where $c_i \geq 0$ if $e_n \in H_{i,\sigma}^+$, but $c_i > 0$ if $e_n \in H_{i,\sigma}^-$ (see (4) and (5)). Note $f_{i,\sigma}^{(n)} > 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) and $x^{(n)} > 0$. Lemma 8 shows

$$\ell(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i \varphi_{i,\sigma}, \quad \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i = 1, \quad b_i = c_{i+1} f_{i+1,\sigma}^{(n)} / x^{(n)} \geq 0 \quad (0 \leq i \leq n-1),$$

from which it is clear that $b_i \leq 1$. Since $b_i = 0$ is possible only if $c_{i+1} = 0$, *i. e.* $e_n \in H_{i+1,\sigma}^+$, we have $\ell(x) \in c_\sigma$. Thus, $C_\sigma \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \mid \ell(x) \in c_\sigma\}$.

To prove the reverse inclusion, suppose $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and $\ell(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i \varphi_{i,\sigma} \in c_\sigma$. Lemma 8 and (13) show that $x = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i f_{i,\sigma}$, with $c_i = b_{i-1} x^{(n)} / f_{i,\sigma}^{(n)}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$). Thus $c_i \geq 0$, with equality possible only if $e_n \in H_{i,\sigma}^+$. Hence $x \in C_\sigma$, as claimed. \square

5. THE PIECEWISE AFFINE MAP

In the previous section we reduced the proof of Theorem 1 to proving that the simplices c_σ give a signed fundamental domain. After some affine preliminaries, in this section we interpret $\bigcup_{\sigma \in S_{n-1}} \bar{c}_\sigma$ as the image $f([0, 1]^{n-1})$ of a hypercube by a (continuous) piecewise affine map. Each $\bar{c}_\sigma = f(D_\sigma)$ for a simplex $D_\sigma \subset [0, 1]^{n-1}$.

Then we show that the difference between c_σ and its closure \bar{c}_σ can be interpreted in terms of “simplex piercing.”

5.1. Polytopes and affine maps. If w_0, \dots, w_r are elements of a real vector space W , the (closed) polytope they generate is the set of convex sums

$$P = P(w_0, \dots, w_r) := \left\{ w \in W \mid w = \sum_{i=0}^r b_i w_i, \quad b_i \geq 0, \quad \sum_{i=0}^r b_i = 1, \right\}. \quad (14)$$

In general, if $w \in W$ and

$$w = \sum_{i=0}^r b_i w_i, \quad b_i \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \sum_{i=0}^r b_i = 1, \quad (15)$$

the b_i are called barycentric coordinates of w with respect to w_0, \dots, w_r . They are uniquely determined if and only if the r vectors $\{w_i - w_j\}_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq r \\ i \neq j}}$ are \mathbb{R} -linearly independent (for any fixed index $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, r\}$). Then we call w_0, \dots, w_r affinely independent and $P = P(w_0, \dots, w_r)$ an r -simplex with vertices w_i . Vertices are uniquely determined (up to re-ordering) by the r -simplex $P \subset W$.³ If $\dim(W) = r$ and the $r + 1$ vertices of W are affinely independent, we call them an affine basis of W . In this case we write $b_i(w)$ for the b_i in (15). Barycentric coordinates satisfy

$$b_i((1-t)x + ty) = (1-t)b_i(x) + tb_i(y) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x, y \in W, \quad 0 \leq i \leq r). \quad (16)$$

A face of a polytope $P = P(w_0, \dots, w_r)$ for us is a subset

$$P_j := \left\{ w \in W \mid w = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq r \\ i \neq j}} b_i w_i, \quad b_i \geq 0, \quad \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq r \\ i \neq j}} b_i = 1, \right\}.$$

The affine subspace h_j containing P_j is

$$h_j := \left\{ w \in W \mid w = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq r \\ i \neq j}} b_i w_i, \quad b_i \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq r \\ i \neq j}} b_i = 1, \right\}. \quad (17)$$

An affine map $A : W \rightarrow W'$ between real vector spaces has the form $A(w) = q + L(w)$ for a unique $q = A(0) \in W'$ and a unique linear map $L : W \rightarrow W'$, called the linear part of A . If w_0, \dots, w_r is an affine basis of W and p_0, \dots, p_r are arbitrary elements of W' , there is a unique affine map $A : W \rightarrow W'$ such that $A(w_i) = p_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq r$. Indeed, let L be the unique linear map such that $L(w_i - w_0) = p_i - p_0$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, and set $q = p_0 - L(w_0)$. Then $A(w) = q + L(w)$ is the required affine map. Its uniqueness is clear.

If $w \in W$ has barycentric coordinates b_i ($0 \leq i \leq r$) with respect to w_0, \dots, w_r , and $A : W \rightarrow W'$ is an affine map with $A(w_i) = p_i$ ($0 \leq i \leq r$), then the same b_i are also barycentric coordinates for $A(w)$ with respect to p_0, \dots, p_r . They are the

³ Proof: The vertices w_i are the only elements $w \in P$ which cannot be written as $w = tv_1 + (1-t)v_2$ with $v_1, v_2 \in P$, $v_1 \neq v_2$, $0 < t < 1$.

unique such coordinates if and only if the p_i are affinely independent, *i. e.* if and only if the associated linear map L is injective. We record this as

$$A(w_i) = p_i \ (0 \leq i \leq r), \ w = \sum_{i=0}^r b_i(w)w_i, \ \sum_{i=0}^r b_i(w) = 1 \implies A(w) = \sum_{i=0}^r b_i(w)p_i, \quad (18)$$

valid whenever the w_i are an affine basis of W . An affine map $A : W \rightarrow W'$ is bijective if and only if it takes an affine basis of W to an affine basis of W' .

5.2. The Colmez piecewise affine map. Let $C = \bigcup_i Q_i \subset W$ be a finite union of polytopes Q_i inside a real vector space W . If W' is also such a space, we will call a map $f : C \rightarrow W'$ piecewise affine if f restricted to each Q_i is the restriction to Q_i of an affine map $A_i : W \rightarrow W'$. Then, of course, $A_i(x) = A_j(x) = f(x)$ for $x \in Q_i \cap Q_j$. Conversely, given polytopes $Q_i \subset W$ and affine maps $A_i : W \rightarrow W'$ with $A_i(x) = A_j(x)$ for $x \in Q_i \cap Q_j$, there is a unique piecewise affine map $f : \bigcup_i Q_i \rightarrow W'$ restricting to A_i on each Q_i . We note that a piecewise affine map is necessarily continuous.

We decompose the unit $(n-1)$ -cube into $(n-1)!$ simplices according to the order of the coordinates, *i. e.*

$$I^{n-1} := [0, 1]^{n-1} = \bigcup_{\sigma \in S_{n-1}} D_\sigma, \quad (19)$$

where for each permutation σ of $\{1, \dots, n-1\}$ we set

$$D_\sigma := \left\{ x = (x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n-1)}) \in I^{n-1} \mid x^{(\sigma(1))} \geq x^{(\sigma(2))} \geq \dots \geq x^{(\sigma(n-1))} \right\}. \quad (20)$$

Let $e_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n-1$) be the i^{th} standard basis vector, so e_i has a 1 in the i^{th} coordinate and zeroes elsewhere. One checks that the n vertices of D_σ are

$$\phi_{i,\sigma} := \sum_{j=1}^i e_{\sigma(j)} \quad (0 \leq i \leq n-1, \ \phi_{0,\sigma} := 0), \quad (21)$$

and that they are affinely independent.

We return to the context of Theorem 1. Thus $V = \langle \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1} \rangle \subset E_+$ is a subgroup of finite index in the group of totally positive units of a totally real field k of degree n , thought of as embedded in \mathbb{R}^n . Recall that we defined in (11) a map $\ell : \mathbb{R}^n - \{x^{(n)} = 0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, and that $\tilde{V} := \ell(V) \subset \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}$ acts on \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1} by component-wise multiplication.

For $\sigma \in S_{n-1}$, define $A_\sigma : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ to be the unique affine map such that

$$A_\sigma(\phi_{i,\sigma}) := \varphi_{i,\sigma} \quad (0 \leq i \leq n-1), \quad (22)$$

where $\varphi_{i,\sigma} := \ell(f_{i+1,\sigma}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}$, as in (13). There we only dealt with σ such that $w_\sigma \neq 0$, but here we will need to deal with all $\sigma \in S_{n-1}$.

The next proposition shows that the A_σ can be glued together to get a piecewise affine map f on the unit hypercube.

Proposition 11. *There is a continuous map $f : I^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}$ with the following properties.*

- (i) *If $x \in D_\sigma$, then $f(x) = A_\sigma(x)$, the affine map defined in (22).*
- (ii) *If $x \in I^{n-1}$ and $x + e_i \in I^{n-1}$ for some element e_i of the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , then $f(x + e_i) = \tilde{\varepsilon}_i \cdot f(x)$, where $\tilde{\varepsilon}_i := \ell(\varepsilon_i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq n-1$).*
- (iii) *If $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b_i e_i$ is a vertex of the cube I^{n-1} , then $f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \tilde{\varepsilon}_i^{b_i}$.*

Note that in (iii), $b_i = 1$ or 0 , and $\tilde{\varepsilon}_i^0 := 1 = 1_{n-1}$, the identity of the ring \mathbb{R}^{n-1} .

Proof. Since $I^{n-1} = \bigcup_\sigma D_\sigma$, to prove the existence of a continuous f satisfying (i) we need to show that if $x \in D_\sigma \cap D_\tau$ for $\sigma \neq \tau \in S_{n-1}$, then $A_\sigma(x) = A_\tau(x)$. A vertex $v = (v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(n-1)}) = \phi_{i,\sigma} \in D_\sigma$ satisfies

$$v^{(\sigma(j))} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j \leq i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

In other words, for $1 \leq m \leq n-1$, we have $v^{(m)} = 1$ if $m = \sigma(j)$ for some $j \leq i$, but $v^{(m)} = 0$ otherwise. Hence

$$A_\sigma(v) = A_\sigma(\phi_{i,\sigma}) := \ell(f_{i+1,\sigma}) := \ell\left(\prod_{j=1}^i \varepsilon_{\sigma(j)}\right) = \prod_{j=1}^i \ell(\varepsilon_{\sigma(j)}) = \prod_{j=1}^i \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\sigma(j)} = \prod_{m=1}^{n-1} \tilde{\varepsilon}_m^{v^{(m)}}.$$

As this last expression is independent of σ , we have $A_\sigma(v) = A_\tau(v)$ if v is a vertex of D_σ and of D_τ . But $P_{\sigma,\tau} := D_\sigma \cap D_\tau$ is a d -simplex (for some $1 \leq d \leq n-2$) whose $d+1$ vertices are also vertices of D_σ and of D_τ . An affine map on a d -simplex is uniquely determined by its values on the $d+1$ vertices, so $A_\sigma(x) = A_\tau(x)$ for all $x \in P_{\sigma,\tau} := D_\sigma \cap D_\tau$, proving (i).

To prove (ii), suppose $x \in I^{n-1}$ and $x + e_i \in I^{n-1}$ for some i . This implies $x^{(i)} = 0$, so $x \in D_\sigma$ for some $\sigma \in S_{n-1}$ such that $\sigma(n-1) = i$ (see (20)). Write $x = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_j \phi_{j,\sigma}$ in the barycentric coordinates associated to D_σ , so $b_j \geq 0$ and $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_j = 1$. Then $b_{n-1} = 0$, for otherwise $x^{(i)} = x^{(\sigma(n-1))} > 0$. Notice that $x + e_i \in D_{\tilde{\sigma}}$, where $\tilde{\sigma} \in S_{n-1}$ is given by

$$\tilde{\sigma}(1) = i, \quad \tilde{\sigma}(j) = \sigma(j-1) \quad (2 \leq j \leq n-1).$$

Hence,

$$\phi_{j,\tilde{\sigma}} = e_i + \phi_{j-1,\sigma}, \quad \varphi_{j,\tilde{\sigma}} = \tilde{\varepsilon}_i \varphi_{j-1,\sigma} \quad (1 \leq j \leq n-1). \quad (24)$$

From this one checks that the barycentric coordinates associated to $D_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ giving $x + e_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \tilde{b}_j \phi_{j,\tilde{\sigma}}$ are

$$\tilde{b}_0 = 0, \quad \tilde{b}_j = b_{j-1} \quad (1 \leq j \leq n-1).$$

By (i), we may use $A_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ to calculate $f(x + e_i)$ and A_{σ} for $f(x)$. From (18) and (24),

$$\begin{aligned} f(x + e_i) &= A_{\tilde{\sigma}}(x + e_i) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \tilde{b}_j \varphi_{j,\tilde{\sigma}} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \tilde{b}_j \varphi_{j,\tilde{\sigma}} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_{j-1} \tilde{\varepsilon}_i \varphi_{j-1,\sigma} \\ &= \tilde{\varepsilon}_i \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} b_j \varphi_{j,\sigma} = \tilde{\varepsilon}_i \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_j \varphi_{j,\sigma} = \tilde{\varepsilon}_i A_{\sigma}(x) = \tilde{\varepsilon}_i f(x), \end{aligned}$$

proving (ii).

Since $f(0) = f(\phi_{0,\sigma}) = \varphi_{0,\sigma} = \ell(f_{1,\sigma}) = \ell(1) = 1$, claim (iii) follows from (ii) by induction on the number of non-zero coordinates of the vertex. \square

5.3. Piercing. We now make an *ad hoc* definition, which we will later use to study the boundary of the signed fundamental domain in Theorem 1.

Definition 12. *Suppose $P \subset W$ is a subset of some finite-dimensional real vector space W . For $x, y \in W$, we shall say that $\overrightarrow{x, y}$ pierces P if $y \in P$ and the closed line segment $\overrightarrow{x, y}$ connecting x and y intersects the interior $\overset{\circ}{P}$ of P .*

Note the asymmetry between the initial point x and the final point y in the above definition. The final point must be in P , but the initial point need not be. If $x = y$, piercing is equivalent to $y \in \overset{\circ}{P}$. In general, there obviously is piercing if either x or y lie in $\overset{\circ}{P}$. Of course, piercing cannot occur if P has an empty interior.

A practical way of determining piercing for an r -simplex is through the barycentric coordinates $b_i(x)$ and $b_i(y)$.

Lemma 13. *Let W be a real vector space of dimension r , let $x \in W$ and let $y \in P = P(w_0, w_1, \dots, w_r)$, an r -simplex in W . Then $\overrightarrow{x, y}$ pierces P if and only if $b_i(x) > 0$ whenever $b_i(y) = 0$ ($0 \leq i \leq r$). Moreover, if z lies in the interior $\overset{\circ}{P}$ of P , then so do all points of $\overrightarrow{z, y}$, except possibly for y .*

Proof. The interior is

$$\overset{\circ}{P} := \left\{ w \in W \mid w = \sum_{i=0}^r b_i(w) w_i, \sum_{i=0}^r b_i(w) = 1, b_i(w) > 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq r \right\}. \quad (25)$$

Since $y \in P$ by assumption, $b_j(y) \geq 0$ for $0 \leq j \leq r$. Assume now that $\overrightarrow{x, y}$ pierces P . Then for some $t_0 \in [0, 1]$ and all $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, r\}$,

$$b_j((1 - t_0)x + t_0y) = (1 - t_0)b_j(x) + t_0b_j(y) > 0,$$

where we used (16). If $b_j(y) = 0$, the above implies $b_j(x) > 0$, as desired.

Conversely, assume $b_i(y) = 0$ implies $b_i(x) > 0$. If $b_j(y) > 0$, then for some $t_j < 1$ and all $t_j \leq t \leq 1$, we have $b_j((1 - t)x + ty) > 0$. If $b_j(y) = 0$, so $b_j(x) > 0$,

$$b_j((1 - t)x + ty) = (1 - t)b_j(x) + tb_j(y) = (1 - t)b_j(x) > 0 \quad (0 \leq t < 1).$$

Taking $s := \max\{t_j\} < 1$, we have $b_j((1 - s)x + sy) > 0$ for all $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, r\}$. Thus $(1 - s)x + sy \in \overrightarrow{x, y} \cap \overset{\circ}{P}$, as claimed.

To prove the last part of the lemma, suppose $z \in \overset{\circ}{P}$, so $b_j(z) > 0$ for $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, r\}$. Then, for $0 \leq t < 1$,

$$b_j((1-t)z + ty) = (1-t)b_j(z) + tb_j(y) \geq (1-t)b_j(z) > 0,$$

showing that $(1-t)z + ty \in \overset{\circ}{P}$, as claimed. \square

The next lemma is similar, so we omit the proof.

Lemma 14. *Let v_1, \dots, v_r be a basis of the real vector space W , let $C := \sum_{j=1}^r \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cdot v_j$ be an r -cone, $y = \sum_{j=1}^r y_j v_j \in C$ (i. e. $y_j \geq 0$) and $x = \sum_{j=1}^r x_j v_j \in W$. Then $\overrightarrow{x, y}$ pierces C if and only if $x_j > 0$ whenever $y_j = 0$ ($1 \leq j \leq r$).*

5.4. Piercing and the c_σ 's. With notation as in Proposition 11, let us define $\bar{c}_\sigma \subset \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}$ as the (closed) polytope with vertices $\varphi_{i,\sigma} := \ell(f_{i+1,\sigma})$ ($0 \leq i \leq n-1$),

$$\bar{c}_\sigma := P(\varphi_{0,\sigma}, \varphi_{1,\sigma}, \dots, \varphi_{n-1,\sigma}) = f(D_\sigma) = A_\sigma(D_\sigma) \quad (\sigma \in S_{n-1}). \quad (26)$$

Our notation is somewhat misleading. We define the polytope \bar{c}_σ for all $\sigma \in S_{n-1}$. However, we defined $c_\sigma \subset \bar{c}_\sigma$ only when $w_\sigma \neq 0$ (see (13) and (2)). It will prove convenient to define c_σ to be empty when $w_\sigma = 0$.

Lemma 15. *The polytope \bar{c}_σ defined in (26) is an $(n-1)$ -simplex (i. e. its n vertices are affinely independent) if and only if $w_\sigma \neq 0$. The affine map A_σ in (22) is invertible if and only if $w_\sigma \neq 0$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that $T_0, \dots, T_h \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ are linearly independent if and only if $\ell(T_0), \dots, \ell(T_h) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}$ are affinely independent. So suppose $\ell(T_0), \dots, \ell(T_h) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}$ are not affinely independent. Then for some $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$,

$$v = \sum_{i=0}^h b_i \ell(T_i) = \sum_{i=0}^h b'_i \ell(T_i), \quad \sum_{i=0}^h b_i = 1 = \sum_{i=0}^h b'_i, \quad b_j \neq b'_j \text{ for some } j.$$

Taking $x := (v, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have $\ell(x) = v$ and, by Lemma 8,

$$\sum_{i=0}^h (b_i/T_i^{(n)}) T_i = x = \sum_{i=0}^h (b'_i/T_i^{(n)}) T_i,$$

showing that the T_i are not linearly independent.

Conversely, if $0 = \sum_{i=0}^h c_i T_i$ with some $c_j \neq 0$, then

$$T_j = \sum_{i=0}^h \delta_i^j T_i = \sum_{i=0}^h (c_i + \delta_i^j) T_i \quad (\delta_i^j := 0 \text{ if } i \neq j, \delta_j^j := 1).$$

But then Lemma 8 shows that $\ell(T_j)$ has two distinct sets of barycentric coordinates with respect to $\ell(T_0), \dots, \ell(T_h)$.

The final statement in the lemma follows from the last line of §5.1. \square

When $w_\sigma \neq 0$, we defined in (13) a set c_σ lying between \bar{c}_σ and its interior, *i. e.* $\overset{\circ}{\bar{c}}_\sigma \subset c_\sigma \subset \bar{c}_\sigma$. If \bar{c}_σ has no interior, *i. e.* $w_\sigma = 0$, we defined $c_\sigma = \emptyset$, the empty set. Our next aim is to describe c_σ in terms of piercing.

Lemma 16. *For $z \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}$, we have $z \in c_\sigma$ if and only if $\overrightarrow{0, z}$ pierces \bar{c}_σ .*

Proof. If $z \notin \bar{c}_\sigma$, then by definition $\overrightarrow{0, z}$ does not pierce \bar{c}_σ . As $c_\sigma \subset \bar{c}_\sigma$, the lemma is clear in this case. If $w_\sigma = 0$, there cannot be piercing as \bar{c}_σ has an empty interior. Since $c_\sigma = \emptyset$ when $w_\sigma = 0$, the lemma is also obvious in this case. Thus we may assume $z \in \bar{c}_\sigma$ and $w_\sigma \neq 0$. We can then write $e_n := [0, \dots, 0, 1] \in \mathbb{R}^n$ in the basis $f_{1,\sigma}, \dots, f_{n,\sigma}$ of \mathbb{R}^n as $e_n = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(e_n) f_{i,\sigma}$, $c_i(e_n) \in \mathbb{R}$. We have $e_n \in H_{i,\sigma}^+$ if and only if $c_i(e_n) > 0$ (see footnote 2). Lemma 8, applied to $0 = \ell(e_n)$, shows that the barycentric coordinate $b_i(0)$ of 0 with respect to the affine basis $\varphi_{0,\sigma}, \dots, \varphi_{n-1,\sigma}$ has the same sign as $c_{i+1}(e_n)$ ($0 \leq i \leq n-1$). Thus $e_n \in H_{i+1,\sigma}^+$ if and only if $b_i(0) > 0$.

Write $z \in \bar{c}_\sigma$ as $z = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i(z) \varphi_{i,\sigma}$, $b_i(z) \geq 0$, $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i(z) = 1$. Suppose $z \in c_\sigma$. By definition of c_σ (see (13)), if $b_i(z) = 0$, then $e_n \in H_{i+1,\sigma}^+$, *i. e.* $b_i(0) > 0$. Lemma 13 now shows that $\overrightarrow{0, z}$ pierces \bar{c}_σ .

Conversely, if $\overrightarrow{0, z}$ pierces \bar{c}_σ , Lemma 13 shows that if $b_i(z) = 0$, then $b_i(0) > 0$, *i. e.* $e_n \in H_{i+1,\sigma}^+$. Thus $z \in c_\sigma$. \square

The next result justifies our description in §1 of the cone C_σ (see (4)) in terms of piercing the closed cone $\bar{C}_\sigma := \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cdot f_{i,\sigma}$ by a line segment from e_n .

Lemma 17. *For $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$, we have $x \in C_\sigma$ if and only if $\overrightarrow{e_n, x}$ pierces \bar{C}_σ .*

Proof. As in the previous lemma, the cases $w_\sigma = 0$ or $x \notin \bar{C}_\sigma$ are trivial. When $w_\sigma \neq 0$, we can write $e_n = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(e_n) f_{i,\sigma}$, and $x = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(x) f_{i,\sigma}$. But $c_i(x) \geq 0$, as $x \in \bar{C}_\sigma$. Suppose $x \in C_\sigma$. Then, by (4), $c_i(x) = 0$ implies $e_n \in H_{i,\sigma}^+$, *i. e.* $c_i(e_n) > 0$. Lemma 14 shows then that $\overrightarrow{e_n, x}$ pierces \bar{C}_σ . Conversely, assume $\overrightarrow{e_n, x}$ pierces \bar{C}_σ . Then, by Lemma 14, $c_i(x) = 0$ implies $c_i(e_n) > 0$, *i. e.* $e_n \in H_{i,\sigma}^+$. But then $x \in C_\sigma$. \square

The affine subspaces $h_{i,\sigma}$ extending faces of the polytope $\bar{c}_\sigma = f(D_\sigma)$ are

$$h_{i,\sigma} := \left\{ \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq n-1 \\ j \neq i}} b_j \varphi_{j,\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \mid b_j \in \mathbb{R}, \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq n-1 \\ j \neq i}} b_j = 1 \right\} \quad (0 \leq i \leq n-1). \quad (27)$$

We show next that none of these affine subspaces contains 0.

Lemma 18. *The origin of \mathbb{R}^{n-1} does not lie on any $h_{i,\sigma}$ ($0 \leq i \leq n-1$, $\sigma \in S_{n-1}$).*

Proof. Suppose otherwise. Then for some i and σ we have

$$0 = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq n-1 \\ j \neq i}} b_j \varphi_{j,\sigma} \quad \left(b_j \in \mathbb{R}, \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq n-1 \\ j \neq i}} b_j = 1 \right).$$

Since $\varphi_{j,\sigma} := \ell(f_{j+1,\sigma})$ ($0 \leq j \leq n-1$) and $0 = \ell(e_n)$, Lemma 8 applied to $x = e_n$ and $T_j = f_{j+1,\sigma}$ ($j \neq i$), shows

$$e_n = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq n-1 \\ j \neq i}} c_j f_{j+1,\sigma} \quad (c_j \in \mathbb{R}).$$

This contradicts Lemma 9. \square

6. MAPS BETWEEN TORI

We will show that $\mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}/\tilde{V}$ is homeomorphic to an $(n-1)$ -torus and that the piecewise affine map defined in Proposition 11 descends to a map F between $(n-1)$ -tori. We then show that F is homotopic to a homeomorphism F_0 .

To distinguish domains we let

$$\text{LOG} : \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \quad (\text{LOG } x)^{(i)} = \log x^{(i)} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-1), \quad (28)$$

$$\text{Log} : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \quad (\text{Log } x)^{(i)} = \log x^{(i)} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-1). \quad (29)$$

As in Theorem 1, we assume given independent totally positive units $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1}$ in a totally real number field k of degree $n \geq 2$. We let $\tilde{\varepsilon}_i = \ell(\varepsilon_i) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}$ (see (11)).

We now relate the signed regulator of the ε_i to that of the $\tilde{\varepsilon}_i$.

Lemma 19.

$$\det(\text{LOG } \tilde{\varepsilon}_1, \dots, \text{LOG } \tilde{\varepsilon}_{n-1}) = n \det(\text{Log } \varepsilon_1, \dots, \text{Log } \varepsilon_{n-1}), \quad (30)$$

where $\det(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1})$ is the determinant of the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix having columns $v_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. In particular, neither of the above $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ determinants vanishes, both have the same sign, and $\Lambda := \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{Z} \cdot \text{LOG } \tilde{\varepsilon}_i \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is a full lattice.

Proof. This is proved in [DF], but we repeat the proof here for completeness. Using $1/\varepsilon_i^{(n)} = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_i^{(j)}$, (30) reduces to showing $n = \det(I_{n-1} + B_{n-1})$, where the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrices I_{n-1} and B_{n-1} are, respectively, the identity and the matrix whose entries are all 1. But $\det(\lambda I_{n-1} - B_{n-1}) = \lambda^{n-2}(\lambda - (n-1))$, using the obvious eigenvalues 0 and $n-1$ of B_{n-1} . Substituting $\lambda = -1$ concludes the proof. \square

By Proposition 11 (iii), the map $f : I^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}$ satisfies $f(\sum_i b_i e_i) = \prod_i \tilde{\varepsilon}_i^{b_i}$ on the vertices of the hypercube, *i. e.* when $b_i = 0$ or 1 for all i . There is another map $f_0 : I^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}$ that trivially satisfies this on all of I^{n-1} ,

$$(f_0(x))^{(j)} := \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\tilde{\varepsilon}_i^{(j)})^{b_i} \quad \left(1 \leq j \leq n-1, \quad x = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b_i e_i, \quad 0 \leq b_i \leq 1\right). \quad (31)$$

The map f_0 also satisfies (ii) of Proposition 11, *i. e.*

$$f_0(x + e_i) = \tilde{\varepsilon}_i f_0(x) \quad (x \in I^{n-1} \text{ and } x + e_i \in I^{n-1}). \quad (32)$$

On taking LOG it is clear from Lemma 19 that f_0 is the restriction to I^{n-1} of a homeomorphism between \mathbb{R}^{n-1} and \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1} (given by (31), but with $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$).

Let

$$\widehat{T} := I^{n-1}/\sim \simeq \mathbb{R}^{n-1}/\mathbb{Z}^{n-1} \quad (33)$$

be the quotient space of I^{n-1} by the closure of the relation $x \sim x + e_i$, whenever $x, x + e_i \in I^{n-1}$. This is the usual model of the standard torus $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}/\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ as the cube I^{n-1} with opposite points identified. By Lemma 19, \widehat{T} is homeomorphic to the torus

$$T := \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}/\widetilde{V} = \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}/\langle \widetilde{\varepsilon}_1, \dots, \widetilde{\varepsilon}_{n-1} \rangle \simeq \mathbb{R}^{n-1}/\langle \text{LOG } \widetilde{\varepsilon}_1, \dots, \text{LOG } \widetilde{\varepsilon}_{n-1} \rangle. \quad (34)$$

The explicit homeomorphism $F_0 : \widehat{T} \rightarrow T$ is just the map induced by f_0 on the quotient tori. Part (ii) of Proposition 11 insures that f also induces a continuous map $F : \widehat{T} \rightarrow T$. The situation is summarized in the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{f_0} & \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1} \\ \downarrow \widehat{\pi} & & \downarrow \pi \\ \widehat{T} & \xrightarrow{F_0} & T \\ & \simeq & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} I^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1} \\ \downarrow \widehat{\pi} & & \downarrow \pi \\ \widehat{T} & \xrightarrow{F} & T \end{array} \quad (35)$$

where $\widehat{\pi}$ and π are the natural quotient maps.

The set $f_0([0, 1]^{n-1}) \subset \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}$ is an obvious fundamental domain for the action of \widetilde{V} on \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1} . We will show in §8 that this fundamental domain with curved boundaries can be deformed by a homotopy into a signed fundamental domain composed of (partly closed) polytopes. The first step towards proving this is to find a homotopy between the maps F and F_0 on the tori.

Lemma 20. *Suppose g_0 and g_1 are continuous maps from $I^{n-1} := [0, 1]^{n-1}$ to \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1} such that for any standard basis vector e_j of \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , $g_i(x + e_j) = \widetilde{\varepsilon}_j \cdot g_i(x)$ whenever $x \in I^{n-1}$ and $x + e_j \in I^{n-1}$ ($i = 0, 1$). Let $G_i : \widehat{T} \rightarrow T$ be the map induced by g_i on the tori defined in (33) and (34). Then G_0 is homotopic to G_1 . In particular, the maps $F : \widehat{T} \rightarrow T$ and $F_0 : \widehat{T} \rightarrow T$ between $(n-1)$ -tori defined by (35) are homotopic.*

Proof. For $0 \leq t \leq 1$, define $g_t : I^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}$ by $g_t(x) := (1-t)g_0(x) + tg_1(x)$. Clearly, $(t, x) \rightarrow g_t(x)$ is continuous. If $x \in I^{n-1}$ and $x + e_j \in I^{n-1}$, then

$$g_t(x + e_j) = (1-t)g_0(x + e_j) + tg_1(x + e_j) = (1-t)\widetilde{\varepsilon}_j \cdot g_0(x) + t\widetilde{\varepsilon}_j \cdot g_1(x) = \widetilde{\varepsilon}_j \cdot g_t(x).$$

Thus g_t descends to a homotopy $G_t : \widehat{T} \rightarrow T$ between G_0 and G_1 . \square

7. REVIEW OF TOPOLOGICAL DEGREE THEORY

Algebraic topology gives an elegant approach to degree theory using homology groups. More elementary (homology-free, but far longer) treatments of degree theory [OR, §III] first define the degree of a proper smooth map at regular values, and then apply an approximation process to define the degree of a proper continuous map. Our application of degree theory in §8 will concern the local and global degrees of the map $F : \widehat{T} \rightarrow T$ in (35). This map is proper and continuous, but not everywhere

differentiable. However, every point of T is the limit of regular values of F , so we will still be able to compute the local and global degrees of F .

There are several textbooks devoted entirely to degree theory, but we shall only need to draw on a few pages of Dold's algebraic topology textbook [Dol, pp. 266–269]. These pages rely on basic singular homology theory, such as excision and homotopy invariance [Dol, Ch. II–III, pp. 16–46] [Gre, §8–15, pp. 35–68], and the calculation of the relative singular homology group [Dol, Ch. VIII, §2.6, 3.3, 3.4] [Gre, §22, Cor. 22.26, p. 121]

$$H_r(M, M - C) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^t & \text{if } C \text{ is compact and has } t \text{ connected components,} \\ 0 & \text{if } C \text{ is connected, but not compact.} \end{cases} \quad (36)$$

Here M is an orientable r -dimensional manifold and $H_r(Y, X) = H_r(Y, X; \mathbb{Z})$ denotes the r^{th} relative singular homology group with \mathbb{Z} -coefficients of the topological space Y mod its subspace X [Dol, Ch. III, §3.1] [Gre, §13]. This fact underlies the definition in §7.1 below of the topological degree in terms of the fundamental class of a compact set and explains the crucial local-global principle in Proposition 21 (9) below. In particular, if $P \in M$ we have $H_r(M, M - P) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ (but this has an easy proof [Dol, Ch. VIII, §2.1]).

An isomorphism of homology groups (always taken with \mathbb{Z} -coefficients) will sometimes be written $\xrightarrow{\sim}$ or $\xleftarrow{\sim}$ to indicate that it is induced by an inclusion of topological spaces. By an r -manifold M^r we mean an r -dimensional topological manifold without boundary. Our manifolds will all have the same fixed dimension r , so we often write M for M^r .

7.1. Basic properties. If M is an r -manifold and $P \in M$, we will write o_P for a choice of one of the two generators of $H_r(M, M - P) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. We will assume that all our manifolds are orientable and oriented, *i. e.* we assume given a consistent (“locally constant”) choice of $o_P = o_P(M)$ for all $P \in M$ [Dol, Ch. VIII, §2.9]. An oriented open subset $W \subset M$ has the orientation induced from M if for all $P \in W$, the isomorphism $H_r(W, W - P) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_r(M, M - P)$ maps $o_P(W)$ to $o_P(M)$. We will call such a W an (oriented) r -submanifold. If M is orientable and connected, an orientation on an open subset W determines a unique orientation on M , *i. e.* the one for which the given orientation on W coincides with the one induced from M . In fact, on a connected orientable r -manifold M , a generator $o_P \in H_r(M, M - P)$ for a single $P \in M$ determines a unique orientation on M satisfying $o_P(M) = o_P$.

More generally, for a compact non-empty subset $K \subset M$ of an (oriented) r -manifold M , the fundamental class $o_K = o_K(M)$ of K can be characterized as the unique element of $H_r(M, M - K)$ mapping to $o_P(M) \in H_r(M, M - P)$ for every $P \in K$ [Dol, Ch. VIII, §4.1]. Here the map on homology is induced by the inclusion of pairs $(M, M - K) \rightarrow (M, M - P)$. If K is empty, $o_K := 0$. If K is connected and not empty, o_K is a generator of $H_r(M, M - K) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ [Dol, Ch. VIII, §4.1].

If $G : N \rightarrow M$ is a continuous map between two oriented r -manifolds and $K \subset M$ is connected, non-empty and $G^{-1}(K) \subset N$ is compact, we define the degree of G over K as the unique integer $\deg_K(G)$ such that the induced map on homology

$G_* : H_r(N, N - G^{-1}(K)) \rightarrow H_r(M, M - K)$ satisfies

$$G_*(o_{G^{-1}(K)}) = \deg_K(G) \cdot o_K. \quad (37)$$

Often, instead of listing the above assumptions on K and G , we shall simply say that $\deg_K(G)$ is defined. Note that if $N = M$ (with the same orientation) and Id is the identity map, then $\deg_K(\text{Id}) = +1$.

We now give the main properties of the topological degree. Some of these obviously follow from the others, but we give them anyhow for later reference.

Proposition 21. *Suppose $G : N \rightarrow M$ is a continuous map between two oriented r -manifolds, and suppose $K \subset M$ is a connected, non-empty compact subset of M with $G^{-1}(K) \subset N$ compact. Then $\deg_K(G)$ is defined and the following hold.*

- (1) **(Degree over subsets)** *If $I \subset K$ is a connected, non-empty compact subset of K , then $\deg_I(G)$ is defined and $\deg_I(G) = \deg_K(G)$.*
- (2) **(Shifting points)** *If P and Q are points in K , then $\deg_P(G)$ and $\deg_Q(G)$ are defined and $\deg_P(G) = \deg_Q(G)$.*
- (3) **(Maps missing a point of K)** *If $K \not\subset G(N)$, then $\deg_K(G) = 0$.*
- (4) **(Homotopy invariance)** *Suppose $\Theta : N \times [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ is continuous and $\Theta^{-1}(K) \subset N \times [0, 1]$ is compact. Define $\Theta_t : N \rightarrow M$ as $\Theta_t(n) := \Theta(n, t)$ and suppose $G = \Theta_0$. Then $\deg_K(\Theta_1)$ is defined and $\deg_K(G) = \deg_K(\Theta_1)$.*
- (5) **(Global degree for proper maps)** *If G is proper (i. e. $G^{-1}(L) \subset N$ is compact for any compact $L \subset M$) and M is connected, then $\deg_L(G)$ is defined for any connected, non-empty compact subset L of M , and $\deg_L(G) = \deg_K(G)$. We let $\deg(G) := \deg_L(G)$ for any non-empty compact subset $L \subset M$.*
- (6) **(Compact case)** *Suppose N is compact and M is connected. Then $\deg_L(G)$ is defined for any non-empty, connected compact subset $L \subset M$. Moreover, if $G' : N \rightarrow M$ is homotopic to G , then $\deg(G) = \deg(G')$.*
- (7) **(Composition)** *If N' is an oriented r -manifold, $g : N' \rightarrow N$ is proper and N is connected, then $\deg_K(G \circ g)$ is defined and $\deg_K(G \circ g) = \deg_K(G) \cdot \deg(g)$.*
- (8) **(Homeomorphisms)** *If G is a homeomorphism between connected manifolds, then $\deg(G) = \pm 1$. In fact, $\deg(G) = +1$ if and only if G is orientation-preserving, i. e. $G_*(o_P(N)) = o_{G(P)}(M)$ for some (and therefore any) $P \in N$.*
- (9) **(Local-global)** *Suppose $U_i \subset N$ ($1 \leq i \leq t$) are r -submanifolds (i. e. open subsets with the induced orientation) such that*

$$G^{-1}(K) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^t U_i, \quad U_i \cap U_j \cap G^{-1}(K) = \emptyset \quad (i \neq j).$$

Let G_{U_i} denote G restricted to U_i . Then $\deg_K(G_{U_i})$ is defined and

$$\deg_K(G) = \sum_{i=1}^t \deg_K(G_{U_i}).$$

- (10) **(Shrinking the domain)** Assume $G^{-1}(K) \subset U$, where $U \subset N$ is an r -submanifold, and let G_U denote G restricted to U . Then $\deg_K(G_U)$ is defined and $\deg_K(G_U) = \deg_K(G)$.

Proof. Claims (1), (2) and (3) are proved in [Dol, Ch. VIII, §4.4]. To prove (4) [Dol, Ch. VIII, §4.10, Exercise 3], let

$$K' := \{n \in N \mid \Theta(n, t) \in K \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\}$$

be the projection to N of the compact set $\Theta^{-1}(K) \subset N \times [0, 1]$. Thus $K' \subset N$ is compact and $\Theta_t^{-1}(K) \subset K'$ ($0 \leq t \leq 1$). Hence Θ gives a homotopy of pairs $\Theta_t : (N, N - K') \rightarrow (M, M - K)$. Passing to homology, by homotopy invariance [Dol, Ch. III, §5.2],

$$\Theta_{0*} = \Theta_{1*}.$$

Since $\Theta_t^{-1}(K) \subset K'$ is a closed subset of a compact set, $\Theta_t^{-1}(K)$ is compact and so $\deg_K(\Theta_t)$ is defined. Also [Dol, Ch. VIII, §4.3],

$$\Theta_{t*}(o_{K'}(N)) = \deg_K(\Theta_t) \cdot o_K(M).$$

Combining the last two displays, we have

$$\deg_K(\Theta_0) \cdot o_K(M) = \Theta_{0*}(o_{K'}(N)) = \Theta_{1*}(o_{K'}(N)) = \deg_K(\Theta_1) \cdot o_K(M).$$

Since $G = \Theta_0$, we find $\deg_K(G) = \deg_K(\Theta_0) = \deg_K(\Theta_1)$, proving (4).

Claims (5) and (7) are proved in [Dol, Ch. VIII, §4.5–4.6]. Claim (8) follows from (5), with $L := G(P)$. To prove (6), note that any continuous map from a compact manifold is proper. Also, if Θ is a homotopy between G and G' , and $Q \in M$, then $\Theta^{-1}(Q) \subset [0, 1] \times N$ is compact, as N is assumed compact. From (5) and (4), $\deg(G) = \deg_Q(G) = \deg_Q(G') = \deg(G')$, proving (6).

To prove (9), let $U_0 := N - G^{-1}(K)$. Then U_0 is an open subset of N and $U_0 \cap U_i \cap G^{-1}(K) = \emptyset$ for $i \neq 0$. Also, $\bigcup_{i=0}^t U_i = N$, so by [Dol, Ch. VIII, §4.7],

$$\deg_K(G) = \sum_{i=0}^t \deg_K(G_{U_i}).$$

But $\deg_K(G_{U_0}) = 0$ by (3), as $G(U_0) \not\subset K$, so we have proved (9).

Claim (10) follows from (9) with $t = 1$. □

7.2. Local degree. Suppose $G : N \rightarrow M$ is a map between oriented manifolds and that $p \in N$ is an isolated point of $G^{-1}(G(p))$. Thus there is an r -submanifold $V \subset N$ (*i. e.* an open subset with the induced orientation) such that $G^{-1}(G(p)) \cap V = \{p\}$. Then $\deg_{G(p)}(G_V)$ is defined, where G_V is G restricted to V . If $V' \subset N$ is another r -submanifold such that $G^{-1}(G(p)) \cap V' = \{p\}$, Proposition 21 (10) shows

$$\deg_{G(p)}(G_V) = \deg_{G(p)}(G_{V \cap V'}) = \deg_{G(p)}(G_{V'}).$$

Hence $\deg_{G(p)}(G_V)$ depends only on p and G , so we shall write

$$\text{locdeg}_p(G) := \deg_{G(p)}(G_V) \quad (p \in N, V \cap G^{-1}(G(p)) = \{p\}), \quad (38)$$

and call $\text{locdeg}_p(G)$ the local degree of G at p .

If G is a local homeomorphism at p (*i. e.* G restricted to some open neighborhood of p is a homeomorphism onto its image), then $\text{locdeg}_p(G)$ is certainly defined and equals ± 1 by Proposition 21 (8). If $G : N \rightarrow M$ is a homeomorphism between connected manifolds, we have by Proposition 21 (5) and (10),

$$\text{locdeg}_p(G) = \deg(G) \quad (p \in N). \quad (39)$$

If $g : N' \rightarrow N$ and $G : N \rightarrow M$ are local homeomorphisms at $p' \in N'$ and at $g(p') \in N$ respectively, then Proposition 21 (7) shows that

$$\text{locdeg}_{p'}(G \circ g) = \text{locdeg}_{g(p')}(G) \cdot \text{locdeg}_{p'}(g). \quad (40)$$

We now prove the standard formula for the degree of a local diffeomorphism of Euclidean space. This formula is often taken as the starting point for the definition of the local degree of a map between smooth oriented r -manifolds. We include a proof since Dold [Dol] does not treat the differentiable case.

Proposition 22. *Fix an orientation on \mathbb{R}^r and give the open subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^r$ the induced orientation. Let $G : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r$ be continuously differentiable and suppose that at some $\gamma \in U$, the differential $dG_\gamma : \mathbb{R}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r$ of G at γ is an invertible linear transformation. Then $\text{locdeg}_\gamma(G)$ is defined and*

$$\text{locdeg}_\gamma(G) = \text{sign}(\det(dG_\gamma)). \quad (41)$$

We note quite generally, that if $G : U \rightarrow M$ and $U \subset M$ is an r -submanifold (with the induced orientation) of an oriented r -manifold M , then $\deg_K(G)$ (when defined) is independent of the choice of orientation on M . Hence it is not surprising that $\text{locdeg}_\gamma(G)$ in (41) is independent of the orientation on \mathbb{R}^r .

Proof. We first compute the degree of a translation $T_\alpha : \mathbb{R}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r$ given (for some fixed $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^r$) by $T_\alpha(v) := v + \alpha$ for $v \in \mathbb{R}^r$. Let $\Theta : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r$ be defined by $\Theta(t, v) := v + t\alpha$. Then $\Theta^{-1}(0) = \{(t, -t\alpha) \mid t \in [0, 1]\}$, a compact set. Hence, by Proposition 21 (4),

$$\deg_0(T_\alpha) = \deg_0(\Theta_1) = \deg_0(\Theta_0) = \deg_0(\text{Id}) = +1 \quad (\Theta_t(v) := \Theta(t, v)),$$

i. e. translations have (global and local) degree $+1$, in agreement with (41).

Next we consider an invertible linear function $T : \mathbb{R}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r$ and prove that

$$\text{locdeg}_\gamma(T) = \text{sign}(\det(T)). \quad (42)$$

If $\det(T) > 0$, there is a continuous path $T_t \in \text{GL}(r, \mathbb{R})$ connecting $T = T_0$ to the identity map $\text{Id} = T_1$. In Proposition 21 (4), let $\Theta : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r$ be defined by $\Theta(t, v) = T_t(v)$. Then $\Theta^{-1}(0) = \{(t, 0) \mid t \in [0, 1]\}$, a compact set. Hence $\deg(T) = \deg(T_0) = \deg(T_1) = \deg(\text{Id}) = +1$. If $\det(T) < 0$, there is a continuous path $T_t \in \text{GL}(r, \mathbb{R})$ connecting T to a reflection T_1 across a hyperplane though 0. Here an explicit calculation with simplices shows that $\deg(T_1) = -1$ [Dol, Ch. IV, §4.3].

We can now prove Proposition 22. By the inverse function theorem, G is a local diffeomorphism in some neighborhood of γ , hence the local degree of G is certainly defined at γ . In view of (40), after composing with translations we can assume that $\gamma = 0$ and $G(0) = 0$. Since we already know (41) for linear maps, by considering

$dG_0^{-1} \circ G$, (40) shows that we may assume $dG_0 = \text{Id}$. After these simplifications, the proposition will be proved once we have $\text{locdeg}_0(G) = +1$.

To calculate the local degree of G at 0, we may restrict G to any small enough open ball $B := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^r \mid \|x\| < \delta\}$, where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm on \mathbb{R}^r . Since G is differentiable at 0 and $dG_0 = \text{Id}$, for some $\delta > 0$ we have $G(x) = x + w(x)$, where $\|w(x)\| \leq \|x\|/2$ for $x \in B$. Also, $w(0) = 0 = G(0)$. Define $\Theta : [0, 1] \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r$ by $\Theta(t, x) := x + tw(x)$, so that $\Theta_0(x) := \Theta(0, x) = x$ and $\Theta_1(x) := \Theta(1, x) = G(x)$ for $x \in B$. Note that $\Theta(t, x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$ since, for $x \in B$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1$,

$$\|\Theta(t, x)\| = \|x + tw(x)\| \geq \|x\| - \|tw(x)\| \geq \|x\| - \|x\|/2 > 0 \quad (x \neq 0).$$

Hence $\Theta^{-1}(0) = \{(t, 0) \mid t \in [0, 1]\}$, a compact set, and so homotopy invariance gives $\text{locdeg}_0(G) = \text{locdeg}_0(\text{Id}) = +1$, as claimed. \square

8. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM

We have shown (see Proposition 10 and Definition 4) that to prove Theorem 1, we need to prove the basic count

$$\sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_{n-1} \\ w_\sigma \neq 0}} \sum_{z \in c_\sigma \cap \tilde{V} \cdot y} w_\sigma = 1 \quad (y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}), \quad (43)$$

and that the number of elements of $c_\sigma \cap \tilde{V} \cdot y$ is bounded independently of y . This latter part is clear on applying the isomorphism $\text{LOG} : \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Indeed, $\text{LOG}(c_\sigma)$ has closure $\text{LOG}(\bar{c}_\sigma)$, a compact set, and $\text{LOG}(\tilde{V})$ is a lattice (see Lemma 19).

We will prove (43) by showing that it is an instance of the local-global principle applied to the map $F : \hat{T} \rightarrow T$ defined in (35). It is not hard to calculate the global degree of F since F is homotopic to the much simpler map F_0 , also defined in (35). The calculation of the local degree of F at a generic point will prove straight-forward, yielding (43) for a generic $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}$.

To deal with the remaining y (those whose \tilde{V} -orbit intersects a boundary piece of some \bar{c}_σ), we will approach y along the segment $\overrightarrow{0, y}$ and show that its points are generic when they are sufficiently close to y . This will allow us to conclude that (43) also holds for y .

8.1. Global degree. We fix once and for all an orientation of \mathbb{R}^{n-1} and use it to fix orientations on the $(n-1)$ -tori \hat{T} and T in (33) and (34) as follows. Since $\hat{\pi} : [0, 1]^{n-1} \rightarrow \hat{T}$ restricted to $(0, 1)^{n-1}$ is a local homeomorphism and tori are connected and orientable, we orient \hat{T} by declaring $\hat{\pi}$ to be orientation-preserving. Here the open subset $(0, 1)^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is given the induced orientation. Thus the local degree of $\hat{\pi}$ at any point of $(0, 1)^{n-1}$ is $+1$. Similarly, we orient $T = \pi(\mathbb{R}_+^{n-1})$ by giving $\mathbb{R}_+^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ the induced orientation, declaring the local homeomorphism $\pi : \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1} \rightarrow T$ to have local degree $+1$.

Lemma 23. *Let $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1}$ be independent totally positive units of a totally real field k and let $F : \widehat{T} \rightarrow T$ be as defined in (35). Then $\deg(F)$ is defined and*

$$\deg(F) = \text{sign}(\det(\text{Log } \varepsilon_1, \text{Log } \varepsilon_2, \dots, \text{Log } \varepsilon_{n-1})) = \pm 1, \quad (44)$$

where $\det(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1})$ is the determinant of the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix having columns $v_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, and $\text{Log} : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is given by $(\text{Log } x)^{(j)} = \log x^{(j)}$ ($1 \leq j \leq n-1$).

Before proving the lemma, we note that $\deg(F) = \pm 1 \neq 0$ implies that F is surjective (use Proposition 21 (3) with $K = N = T$ and $G = F$). Since $\widehat{\pi}$ is surjective, we see from (35) that $\pi \circ f = \widehat{\pi} \circ F$ is also surjective. Since the image of f is the union of the polytopes \bar{c}_σ , this means that every orbit $\widetilde{V} \cdot y \subset \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}$ must intersect at least one \bar{c}_σ , i. e. $\bigcup_{\sigma \in S_{n-1}} \bar{c}_\sigma$ contains a true fundamental domain for \widetilde{V} acting on \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1} .

Proof. By Lemma 20, F and F_0 are homotopic maps between compact, connected, oriented manifolds. By Proposition 21 (6), their degrees are defined and $\deg(F_0) = \deg(F)$. Hence it suffices to show that $\deg(F_0)$ is given by the sign of the determinant in (44).

Since F_0 is a homeomorphism of connected manifolds (see (35)), (39) shows

$$\deg(F_0) = \text{locdeg}_{\widehat{\pi}(P)}(F_0)$$

for any $P \in (0, 1)^{n-1}$. By (35), $F_0 \circ \widehat{\pi} = \pi \circ f_0$, and f_0 is a local homeomorphism around P . Recall that $\pi : \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1} \rightarrow T$ is a local homeomorphism everywhere and $\widehat{\pi} : [0, 1]^{n-1} \rightarrow \widehat{T}$ is a local homeomorphism at all $P \in (0, 1)^{n-1}$. Since we have oriented \widehat{T} and T so that the local degree of $\widehat{\pi}$ and π is $+1$, by (40) we have

$$\deg(F_0) = \text{locdeg}_{\widehat{\pi}(P)}(F_0) = \text{locdeg}_P(f_0) \quad (P \in (0, 1)^{n-1}).$$

To compute the latter degree note that by Proposition 22, the diffeomorphism $\text{LOG} : \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ in (28) has local degree $+1$. Thus

$$\deg(F_0) = \text{locdeg}_P(f_0) = \text{locdeg}_P(\text{LOG} \circ f_0) = \text{sign}(\det(\text{LOG } \widetilde{\varepsilon}_1, \dots, \text{LOG } \widetilde{\varepsilon}_{n-1})),$$

since $\text{LOG} \circ f_0 : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is an invertible linear map taking the basis element e_i to $\text{LOG } \widetilde{\varepsilon}_i$ (again use Proposition 22). Lemma 19 shows that the above determinant has the same sign if we replace $\text{LOG } \widetilde{\varepsilon}_i$ by $\text{Log } \varepsilon_i$. \square

8.2. Proof of the basic count for generic points. We first calculate the local degree of F at points where it is a local diffeomorphism.

Lemma 24. *If x is an interior point of the simplex D_σ and $w_\sigma \neq 0$ (see (20) and (2)), then the local degree of F at $\widehat{\pi}(x)$ is defined and*

$$\text{locdeg}_{\widehat{\pi}(x)}(F) = v_\sigma := (-1)^{n-1} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \cdot \text{sign}(\det(f_{1,\sigma}, f_{2,\sigma}, \dots, f_{n,\sigma})), \quad (45)$$

where $\text{sign}(\det(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n))$ is the sign of the determinant of the $n \times n$ matrix having columns $v_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\text{sgn}(\sigma) = \pm 1$ is the sign of the permutation $\sigma \in S_{n-1}$.

Proof. Recall from (35) that $F \circ \widehat{\pi} = \pi \circ f$, with f as in Proposition 11. Since f restricted to D_σ is the affine map A_σ , which by Lemma 15 is a bijection when $w_\sigma \neq 0$, it is clear that f is a local diffeomorphism around x . But $\widehat{\pi}$ and π are also local diffeomorphisms of degree $+1$, so F is a local diffeomorphism around $\widehat{\pi}(x)$. By (40) and Proposition 22,

$$\text{locdeg}_{\widehat{\pi}(x)}(F) = \text{locdeg}_x(f) = \text{sign}(\det(L_\sigma)), \quad (46)$$

where $L_\sigma : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is the linear part of A_σ . In the basis $\{\phi_{i,\sigma}\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$ of \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , $L_\sigma(\phi_{i,\sigma}) = A_\sigma(\phi_{i,\sigma}) - A_\sigma(0) = \varphi_{i,\sigma} - \varphi_{0,\sigma} = \ell(f_{i+1,\sigma}) - 1_{n-1}$ ($1_{n-1} := (1, 1, \dots, 1)$), where we used (21), (22), $\phi_{0,\sigma} := 0$ and the paragraph following (17).

We now compute $\det(L_\sigma)$. Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$ be the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . From (21), $\phi_{i,\sigma} := \sum_{j \leq i} e_{\sigma(j)}$, so

$$L_\sigma(e_{\sigma(i)}) = L_\sigma(\phi_{i,\sigma} - \phi_{i-1,\sigma}) = L_\sigma(\phi_{i,\sigma}) - L_\sigma(\phi_{i-1,\sigma}) = \varphi_{i,\sigma} - \varphi_{i-1,\sigma} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-1).$$

Let $P_\sigma : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ be the linear map determined by $P_\sigma(e_i) := e_{\sigma(i)}$, so that $\det(P_\sigma) = \text{sgn}(\sigma)$. We have just shown that

$$\text{sgn}(\sigma) \det(L_\sigma) = \det(L_\sigma \circ P_\sigma) = \det(\varphi_{1,\sigma} - \varphi_{0,\sigma}, \varphi_{2,\sigma} - \varphi_{1,\sigma}, \dots, \varphi_{n-1,\sigma} - \varphi_{n-2,\sigma}).$$

Adding the first column above to the second, then the second to the third and so on, we find using $\varphi_{0,\sigma} = 1_{n-1}$,

$$\text{sgn}(\sigma) \det(L_\sigma) = \det(\varphi_{1,\sigma} - 1_{n-1}, \varphi_{2,\sigma} - 1_{n-1}, \dots, \varphi_{n-1,\sigma} - 1_{n-1}). \quad (47)$$

Since $\varphi_{i,\sigma} = \ell(f_{i+1,\sigma})$, the above $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ determinant is related to the $n \times n$ determinant in the lemma by the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sign}\left(\det(1_n, w_2, \dots, w_n)\right) &= \\ &(-1)^{n-1} \text{sign}\left(\det(\ell(w_2) - 1_{n-1}, \ell(w_3) - 1_{n-1}, \dots, \ell(w_n) - 1_{n-1})\right), \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

valid for any $w_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $w_i^{(n)} > 0$ ($2 \leq i \leq n$).⁴

Combining (46), (47) and (48) gives the lemma. \square

We now prove the basic count (43) at a generic point, *i. e.* for $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1} - \mathcal{B}$, where

$$\mathcal{B} := \bigcup_{\sigma \in S_{n-1}} \mathcal{B}_\sigma, \quad \mathcal{B}_\sigma := \bigcup_{\tilde{\varepsilon} \in \tilde{V}} \tilde{\varepsilon} \cdot \partial \bar{c}_\sigma. \quad (49)$$

Note that $\bar{c}_\sigma \subset \mathcal{B}$ when $w_\sigma = 0$, for then \bar{c}_σ coincides with its boundary $\partial \bar{c}_\sigma$.

Let $\alpha := \pi(y) \in T - \pi(\mathcal{B})$. By the remark immediately following Lemma 23, $F^{-1}(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\delta \in F^{-1}(\alpha) \subset \widehat{T}$, and suppose $x \in [0, 1]^{n-1}$ satisfies $\widehat{\pi}(x) = \delta$. Then $\alpha = F(\widehat{\pi}(x)) = \pi(f(x))$. If we had $x \in \partial D_\sigma$ for some $\sigma \in S_{n-1}$, then $f(x) \in f(\partial D_\sigma) \subset \partial \bar{c}_\sigma \subset \mathcal{B}$, contradicting $\alpha \notin \pi(\mathcal{B})$. Thus, $x \notin \partial D_\sigma$ for any $\sigma \in S_{n-1}$. Similarly, $x \notin D_\sigma$ for any $\sigma \in S_{n-1}$ such that $w_\sigma = 0$. If $w_\sigma \neq 0$, the

⁴ To prove (48), start with the matrix $(1_n, w_2, \dots, w_n)$, divide the i^{th} column (*i. e.* w_i) by $w_i^{(n)}$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$. This makes no change in the sign of the determinant as $w_i^{(n)} > 0$. Now subtract the first column 1_n from each of the other columns and expand by the last row.

map $f = A_\sigma$ (see Proposition 11) gives a bijection between the interior of D_σ and the interior of \bar{c}_σ . It follows that f is a local homeomorphism in a neighborhood of x , as are $\hat{\pi}$ and π (the latter in a neighborhood of $f(x)$). Hence F is a local homeomorphism in a neighborhood of δ . Thus, $\delta = \hat{\pi}(x)$ with x in the interior of some D_σ , and $w_\sigma \neq 0$. Moreover, as $\hat{\pi}$ restricted to $(0, 1)^{n-1}$ is a bijection onto its image, there is a unique point $x \in \hat{\pi}^{-1}(\delta)$. Also, $f(x)$ is in the interior of \bar{c}_σ , so $f(x) \in c_\sigma$.

We now calculate using Lemma 24, Proposition 21 (6) and (9),

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(F) &= \deg_\alpha(F) = \sum_{\delta \in F^{-1}(\alpha)} \text{locdeg}_\delta(F) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_{n-1} \\ w_\sigma \neq 0}} \sum_{\substack{x \in D_\sigma \\ \hat{\pi}(x) \in F^{-1}(\alpha)}} \text{locdeg}_{\hat{\pi}(x)}(F) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_{n-1} \\ w_\sigma \neq 0}} \sum_{\substack{x \in D_\sigma \\ F(\hat{\pi}(x)) = \alpha}} v_\sigma = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_{n-1} \\ w_\sigma \neq 0}} \sum_{\substack{x \in D_\sigma \\ \pi(f(x)) = \pi(y)}} v_\sigma = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_{n-1} \\ w_\sigma \neq 0}} \sum_{\substack{x \in D_\sigma \\ f(x) \in \tilde{V} \cdot y}} v_\sigma \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_{n-1} \\ w_\sigma \neq 0}} \sum_{z \in c_\sigma \cap \tilde{V} \cdot y} v_\sigma = \deg(F) \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_{n-1} \\ w_\sigma \neq 0}} \sum_{z \in c_\sigma \cap \tilde{V} \cdot y} w_\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

since $v_\sigma = \deg(F)w_\sigma$ by (2), (44) and (45). The main count (43), for $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1} - \mathcal{B}$, follows on dividing both sides by $\deg(F) = \pm 1$.

8.3. End of proof of Theorem 1. We now address \tilde{V} -orbits which may intersect the boundary $\partial \bar{c}_\sigma$ of some $\bar{c}_\sigma \subset \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}$. For $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}$ and $\sigma \in S_{n-1}$, define $J_\sigma(y) \subset \tilde{V}$ as

$$J_\sigma(y) := \{\tilde{\varepsilon} \in \tilde{V} \mid \tilde{\varepsilon} \cdot y \in c_\sigma\}. \quad (50)$$

As noted at the beginning of §8, $J_\sigma(y)$ is a finite (possibly empty) set for any $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}$. The point of defining J_σ is that

$$\sum_{z \in c_\sigma \cap \tilde{V} \cdot y} w_\sigma = w_\sigma \text{Card}(J_\sigma(y)) \quad (y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}, \sigma \in S_{n-1}). \quad (51)$$

Recall that we defined \mathcal{B}_σ in (49) as the \tilde{V} -orbit of the boundary of \bar{c}_σ .

Lemma 25. *For $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}$ and $\sigma \in S_{n-1}$, there exists $T_\sigma(y) \in (0, 1)$ such that $T_\sigma(y) \leq t < 1$ implies $J_\sigma(y) = J_\sigma(ty)$ and $ty \notin \mathcal{B}_\sigma$.*

Proof. We first deal with the J_σ 's. Suppose $\tilde{\varepsilon} \in J_\sigma(y)$, so $\tilde{\varepsilon} \cdot y \in c_\sigma$. Lemma 16 shows that $\overrightarrow{0, \tilde{\varepsilon} \cdot y}$ pierces \bar{c}_σ . By Definition 12, this means that there is some $z = t_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}(\tilde{\varepsilon} \cdot y)$, with $0 \leq t_{\tilde{\varepsilon}} \leq 1$, such that $z \in \overset{\circ}{\bar{c}}_\sigma$, i. e. z lies in the interior of \bar{c}_σ . We cannot have $t_{\tilde{\varepsilon}} = 0$ as $\bar{c}_\sigma \subset \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}$ lies in the strictly positive orthant. If $t_{\tilde{\varepsilon}} = 1$, then $\tilde{\varepsilon} \cdot y$ itself is interior to \bar{c}_σ , so we may reduce $t_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}$ so that $0 < t_{\tilde{\varepsilon}} < 1$. As $z = t_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}(\tilde{\varepsilon} \cdot y) \in \overset{\circ}{\bar{c}}_\sigma$, the last claim in Lemma 13 shows that $t(\tilde{\varepsilon} \cdot y) \in \overset{\circ}{\bar{c}}_\sigma \subset c_\sigma$ for $t_{\tilde{\varepsilon}} \leq t < 1$. As $t(\tilde{\varepsilon} \cdot y) = \tilde{\varepsilon} \cdot ty$, we have shown $J_\sigma(y) \subset J_\sigma(ty)$ for $T_0 \leq t < 1$, where $T_0 := \max_{\tilde{\varepsilon} \in J_\sigma(y)} \{t_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}\} < 1$.

We now prove that $J_\sigma(ty) \subset J_\sigma(y)$ for all $t < 1$ sufficiently close to 1. Assume this is false. Then there is a sequence $\{t_j\}_j$, with $0 < t_j < 1$, converging to 1 with

$J_\sigma(t_j y) \not\subset J_\sigma(y)$, *i. e.* for each j there is some $\tilde{\varepsilon}_j \in \tilde{V}$ such that $\tilde{\varepsilon}_j \cdot t_j y \in c_\sigma$, but $\tilde{\varepsilon}_j \cdot y \notin c_\sigma$. Since all but a finite number of $\tilde{\varepsilon} \in \tilde{V}$ take a small neighborhood of y to the complement of \bar{c}_σ , the $\tilde{\varepsilon}_j$ range over a finite subset of \tilde{V} . By passing to a subsequence of the t_j 's (which we again denote by t_j), we can assume $\tilde{\varepsilon}_j = \tilde{\varepsilon}$, a fixed element of \tilde{V} with $\tilde{\varepsilon} \notin J_\sigma(y)$. By Lemma 16, $0, \tilde{\varepsilon} \cdot t_j y$ pierces \bar{c}_σ . In particular, $\tilde{\varepsilon} \cdot t_j y \in \bar{c}_\sigma$. Since \bar{c}_σ is closed and $t_j \rightarrow 1$, we see that $\tilde{\varepsilon} \cdot y \in \bar{c}_\sigma$. But $0, \tilde{\varepsilon} \cdot t_j y$ intersects $\overset{\circ}{\bar{c}}_\sigma$, as it pierces \bar{c}_σ . Now, $0, \tilde{\varepsilon} \cdot y$ contains $0, \tilde{\varepsilon} \cdot t_j y$, so it also pierces \bar{c}_σ . But Lemma 16 implies that $\tilde{\varepsilon} \cdot y \in c_\sigma$, contradicting $\tilde{\varepsilon} \notin J_\sigma(y)$. Hence $J_\sigma(y) = J_\sigma(ty)$ for all $t < 1$ near enough to 1, as claimed.

We now prove the last claim in the lemma, namely that $ty \notin \mathcal{B}_\sigma$ for all t sufficiently close to 1. If this is false, there is again a sequence $\{t_j\}_j$, with $0 < t_j < 1$, converging to 1 such that $t_j y \in \mathcal{B}_\sigma$, *i. e.* for each j there is some $\tilde{\varepsilon}_j \in \tilde{V}$ such that $\tilde{\varepsilon}_j \cdot t_j y \in \partial \bar{c}_\sigma$. Passing to a subsequence, we can assume that $\tilde{\varepsilon} \cdot t_j y \in \partial \bar{c}_\sigma$ for some $\tilde{\varepsilon} \in \tilde{V}$. But the boundary $\partial \bar{c}_\sigma$ lies in the union of the affine subspaces $h_{i,\sigma}$ (see (27)) extending the faces of \bar{c}_σ ($0 \leq i \leq n-1$). Passing again to a subsequence, we can assume that $\tilde{\varepsilon} \cdot t_j y \in h_{i_0,\sigma}$, for a fixed i_0 . Since $h_{i_0,\sigma}$ is an affine subspace, and it contains more than one point on the straight line connecting 0 and $\tilde{\varepsilon} \cdot y$, it must contain the entire line. In particular, $0 \in h_{i_0,\sigma}$, contradicting Lemma 18. \square

We now conclude the proof of the main count (43) for any $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}$. The above lemma shows the existence of $y_0 = y_0(y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}$ such that $J_\sigma(y_0) = J_\sigma(y)$ and $y_0 \notin \mathcal{B}_\sigma$ for all $\sigma \in S_{n-1}$. Thus $y_0 \notin \mathcal{B} := \bigcup_\sigma \mathcal{B}_\sigma$. In particular, from the previous subsection, we know that (43) holds for y_0 . Hence, using (51),

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_{n-1} \\ w_\sigma \neq 0}} \sum_{z \in c_\sigma \cap \tilde{V} \cdot y_0} w_\sigma = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_{n-1} \\ w_\sigma \neq 0}} w_\sigma \text{Card}(J_\sigma(y_0)) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_{n-1} \\ w_\sigma \neq 0}} w_\sigma \text{Card}(J_\sigma(y)) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_{n-1} \\ w_\sigma \neq 0}} \sum_{z \in c_\sigma \cap \tilde{V} \cdot y} w_\sigma. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [Co1] P. Colmez, *Résidu en $s = 1$ des fonctions zêta p -adiques*, Invent. Math. **91** (1988), 371–389.
- [Co2] P. Colmez, *Algébricité des valeurs spéciales de fonctions L* , Invent. Math. **95** (1989), 161–205.
- [DF] F. Diaz y Diaz and E. Friedman, *Colmez cones for fundamental units of totally real cubic fields*, J. Number Th. **132** (2012), 1653–1663.
- [Dol] A. Dold, *Lectures on algebraic topology*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften **200**, Berlin: Springer-Verlag (1972).
- [FR] E. Friedman and S. Ruijsenaars, *Shintani-Barnes zeta and gamma functions*, Advances Math. **187** (2004), 362–395.
- [Gre] M. Greenberg, *Lectures on algebraic topology*, 4th corrected printing of the 1967 edition, Reading, Mass.: Benjamin (1976).
- [HP] U. Halbritter and M. Pohst, *On lattice bases with special properties*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux **12** (2000), 437–453.

- [Neu] J. Neukirch, *Algebraic number theory*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften **322**, Berlin: Springer-Verlag (1999).
- [OR] E. Outerelo and J. M. Ruiz, *Mapping Degree Theory*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **108**, Providence, R.I., Amer. Math. Soc. (2009).
- [Sh1] T. Shintani, *On evaluation of zeta functions of totally real algebraic number fields at non-positive integers*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sec. IA **23** (1976), 393–417.
- [Sh2] T. Shintani, *On a Kronecker limit formula for real quadratic fields*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sec. IA **24** (1977), 167–199.
- [TV] E. Thomas and A. Vasquez, *On the resolution of cusp singularities and the Shintani decomposition in totally real cubic number fields*, Math. Ann. **247** (1980), 1–20.

E-mail address: `Francisco.Diaz-y-diaz@math.u-bordeaux1.fr`

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE BORDEAUX, UMR 5251, UNIVERSITÉ BORDEAUX I,
351, COURS DE LA LIBÉRATION, F-33405 TALENCE CÉDEX, FRANCE.

E-mail address: `friedman@uchile.cl`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE CHILE,
CASILLA 653, SANTIAGO, CHILE.