

Universality of the three-body Efimov parameter at narrow Feshbach resonances

Sanjukta Roy¹, Manuele Landini¹, Andreas Trenkwalder¹, Giulia Semeghini¹, Giacomo Spagnolli¹, Andrea Simoni³, Marco Fattori^{1,2}, Massimo Inguscio^{1,2}, and Giovanni Modugno^{1,2}

¹*LENS and Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Firenze,
and Istituto Nazionale di Ottica, CNR, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Italy*

²*INFN, Sezione di Firenze, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Italy and*

³*Institut de Physique de Rennes, UMR 6251, CNRS and Université de Rennes 1, 35042 Rennes Cedex, France*

(Dated: October 29, 2018)

We measure the critical scattering length for the appearance of the first three-body bound state, or Efimov three-body parameter, at seven different Feshbach resonances in ultracold ³⁹K atoms. We study both intermediate and narrow resonances, where the three-body spectrum is expected to be determined by the non-universal coupling of two scattering channels. We observe instead approximately the same universal relation of the three-body parameter with the two-body van der Waals radius already found for broader resonances, which can be modeled with a single channel. This unexpected observation suggests the presence of a new regime for three-body scattering at narrow resonances.

PACS numbers: 34.50.-s; 34.50.Cx

Recent experiments on ultracold atoms with Feshbach resonances [1–13] have opened up a new path to study the Efimov spectrum of the three-body bound states that arise in the presence of resonant two-body interactions [14]. Under these conditions, the details of the underlying forces become irrelevant, leading to a universal behavior of completely different systems. This phenomenon was first described in the context of nuclear physics, but is now explored also in atomic, molecular and condensed-matter systems [15–18]. The resonant interaction is expected to give rise to a three-body potential scaling as $1/R^2$, where R is the hyperradius that parametrizes the moment of inertia of the system. This leads to an infinite series of trimer states with a universal geometrical scaling for the binding energies. For a finite, negative two-body scattering length a , the three-body potential has a long-range cutoff at $R \simeq |a|$, and only a finite number of bound states exist. The critical scattering length a_- for the appearance of the first Efimov state at the three-body threshold, often called the three-body parameter, was expected to be the only parameter to be influenced by non-universal physics, i.e. by the microscopic details of two or even three-body forces [14, 16]. While a clear evidence of the universal scaling of the Efimov spectrum is still missing, recent experiments on identical bosons suggested that also a_- might be universal [19]. This surprising result has been interpreted in a recent series of theoretical studies [20–22]. The underlying idea is that the sharp drop in the two-body interaction potential at a distance of the order of the van der Waals radius R_{vdW} results in an effective barrier in the three-body potential at a comparable distance [22]. This prevents the three particles to come sufficiently close to explore non-universal features of the interactions at short distances, and leads to a three-body parameter set by R_{vdW} alone, $a_- \simeq -9.5 R_{vdW}$ [19, 21, 22].

However, this scenario is realized only for the broad

Feshbach resonances studied so far in most experiments, which can be described in terms of a single scattering channel, the so-called open channel. For narrow resonances one must instead take into account the coupling of the open and a second closed channel [23]. It has been shown that in this case a new length scale that depends on the details of the specific Feshbach resonance, the so-called intrinsic length $R^* > R_{vdW}$, must be introduced to parameterize the two-body scattering. The three-body potentials are also modified, with an expected deviation from the Efimovian dependence into $1/(R^*R)$ for distances $R < R^*$ [24]. This tends to reduce the depth of the three-body potential, and leads to the non-universal result $a_- = -12.90R^*$ [24, 25], which is much larger than that obtained for broad resonances. This prediction is valid only close to resonance, where $|a| \gg R^*$. It is still unclear how a_- scales in the intermediate regime of $|a| \simeq R^*$ or generally for resonances of intermediate widths. Various general models have been proposed [26–31], but they are either not fully predictive, or give contradicting results.

In this Letter we address this problem by performing an experimental study of three-body collisions in ultracold bosonic ³⁹K atoms, where we determine the three-body parameter a_- at several Feshbach resonances of intermediate or narrow width. In particular, our measurements probe for the first time the regime of very small resonance strengths, $s_{res} = 0.956R_{vdW}/R^* \simeq 0.1$, where R^* might be expected to be the relevant length-scale that determines a_- . Surprisingly, we find values of a_- that are around the same $-9.5R_{vdW}$ measured for broad resonances, suggesting the existence of a novel intermediate regime of three-body scattering.

The investigation of closed-channel dominated Feshbach resonances is particularly favoured in ³⁹K, which has several resonances with moderate magnetic width Δ and relatively small background scattering length

$-a_{bg} \simeq 20\text{-}30 a_0$ [32]. These parameters, together with the difference of the magnetic moments of the closed and open channels, $\delta\mu$, determine the intrinsic length $R^* = \hbar^2/(ma_{bg}\Delta\delta\mu)$ [23]. In particular, we investigated seven different resonances with s_{res} in the range 0.1-2.8 in the three magnetic sub-levels of the hyperfine ground state $F=1$ [32]. One of the broadest of those resonances was already studied before [2], and our new data clarifies an apparent deviation from the universal behaviour.

A detailed description of the experimental set-up and methods for preparing Bose-Einstein condensates of ^{39}K atoms by direct evaporation is given elsewhere [33]. The three-body parameter was determined by finding the maximum of the three-body loss coefficient K_3 in the region of negative a at each Feshbach resonance, as in previous experiments [1–13]. In the presence of three-body losses, both the atom number N and temperature T evolve according to $dN/dt = -K_3\langle n^2\rangle N$ and $dT/dt = (K_3/3)\langle n^2\rangle T$, where $\langle n^2\rangle = (1/N)\int n(\vec{x})^3 d^3x$ is the mean square density [34]. The temperature increase is due to the preferential removal of atoms in the high-density region around the trap center. The typical starting condition was a non-condensed sample with $3\text{-}80\times 10^4$ atoms in a temperature range of 20-400 nK, depending on the spin channel and Feshbach resonance [35]. The atoms were held in a purely optical trap (or in an optical trap with an additional magnetic confinement, depending on the specific resonance) at sufficiently low density to have a negligible mean-field interaction energy. Care was taken to have a trap depth sufficiently large to avoid an evaporation associated to the heating. The samples were initially prepared at small negative a in proximity of the Feshbach resonances; the measurements started 10 ms after the scattering length was ramped to the final value in about 2 ms.




FIG. 1: Example of the time-evolution of the atom number (circles) and temperature (triangles), fitted to Eq.1 (solid line) and Eq.2 (dashed line) to determine the three-body loss-coefficient K_3 .

Fig. 1 shows a typical evolution of N and T , as measured by absorption imaging after a free expansion. They

were simultaneously fitted with

$$N(t) = N_0 / (1 + \frac{3\beta^2 N_0^2}{\sqrt{27} T_0^3} K_3 t)^{1/3}, \quad (1)$$

$$T(t) = T_0 (1 + \frac{3\beta^2 N_0^2}{\sqrt{27} T_0^3} K_3 t)^{1/9}. \quad (2)$$

Here N_0 and T_0 are the initial atom number and temperature, respectively, and $\beta = (m\bar{\omega}^2/2\pi k_B)^{3/2}$, with $\bar{\omega}$ the mean trap frequency. In such a fit, one-body losses were neglected, since they occur on a much longer timescale.

Crucial ingredients for a reliable measurement of the K_3 dependence on the scattering length were an accurate calibration of the magnetic field B and the use of a high-quality coupled-channel (CC) model for $a(B)$, based on a large number of experimental observations for the positions and widths of the Feshbach resonances [32, 35]. The centers and widths of the Feshbach resonances were re-determined in the present work, finding a good agreement with the theoretical ones. An additional confirmation of the CC model was derived from a direct measurement of the dimer binding energy at the two narrowest resonances by radio-frequency spectroscopy. The magnetic field had a stability of better than 0.1 G, and was calibrated by means of radio-frequency or microwave spectroscopy with an accuracy of 0.1 G. The inhomogeneity of B across the atomic samples was estimated to be less than 0.01 G in all cases.

We observed for all Feshbach resonances a clear peak in K_3 in the region of $|a|=600\text{-}1000 a_0$, as shown in Figs.2-3. We compared the observations to the known relation for identical bosons at zero collision energy and in the zero-range approximation, for $a < 0$:

$$K_3(a) = 4590 \frac{3\hbar a^4}{m} \frac{\sinh(2\eta_-)}{\sin^2[s_0 \ln(a/a_-)] + \sinh^2\eta_-}. \quad (3)$$

Here $s_0 \simeq 1.00624$ is an universal constant and η_- is the decay parameter which sets the width of the Efimov resonance and incorporates short-range inelastic transitions to deeply bound molecular states [16]. At the finite temperature of the experiment, there is a limitation in the maximum observable K_3 set by unitarity at $K_3^{max} = 36\sqrt{3}\pi^2\hbar^5/(k_B T)^2 m^3$ [37, 38]. Therefore, we used an effective rate of the form $(1/K_3(a) + 1/K_3^{max})^{-1}$. As shown in Fig.2, the experimental $K_3(a)$ for the five broadest resonances is in good agreement with Eq.3, besides a multiplicative factor of order 3 that can be justified with the experimental uncertainty in the determination of the density [35]. We extracted the relevant parameters a_- and η_- with a fit.

Also the two narrowest Feshbach resonances feature a maximum in K_3 around $-1000 a_0$, as shown in Fig.3. There is however a slower background variation of K_3 with a . It was shown that for narrow resonances one should expect a slower evolution in the regime $|a| < R^*$, with $K_3 \propto |a|^{7/2}$ [24], but also this behavior does not

seem to reproduce the data. Since these two resonances are in an excited spin state, there is in principle also a contribution of two-body processes in the losses, which are expected to have a slower dependence on a [23]. While it was not possible to distinguish in a reliable way two- from three-body losses in the experiment, we have verified that only the observed $K_3(a)$ far from the loss maxima might be partially attributed to two-body losses [35]. The low- $|a|$ tail at the Feshbach resonance centered at $B_0 = 58.92$ G might also be affected by a nearby narrow d -wave resonance [35]. While the deviations of the measured K_3 from theory for these narrow resonances will deserve further investigation, in the present work we identified the position of the Efimov resonance with the peak of a Gaussian fit of the measured maximum in K_3 as shown in Fig. 3.




FIG. 2: Three-body loss rate measured in the proximity of five Feshbach resonances of intermediate strength (see Table 1 for the assignment of the spin state). The experimental data (squares) is fitted to Eq.3 incorporating the effect of unitarity at finite temperature (solid line).

A summary of our analysis is reported in Table I. For




FIG. 3: Three-body loss rate measured in the proximity of two narrow Feshbach resonances in the $m_F = 0$ state. The experimental data (squares) is compared with Eq.3, using $\eta_- = 0.1$ (solid line), and fitted with a Gaussian (dashed line) to determine a_- from the position of the loss maximum.

the calculation of $a(B)$, we used the experimentally determined Feshbach resonance centers B_0^{exp} and the resonance widths and the background scattering lengths from the CC model. The uncertainties in B_0^{exp} include those in the calibration of B and in the determination of B_0 from the loss resonances. Particular care was put in the determination of B_0 for the two narrowest resonances, where we found a rather good agreement between independent measurements of the atom losses and of the binding energy [35]. The uncertainties in a_- include the statistical uncertainties from the fit of the K_3 data and from the determination of $a(B)$. For the two narrowest resonances, the dominant source of uncertainty comes from the determination of B_0 . The reported values of R^* are determined from the on-resonance predictions of our CC model [32, 35]. We observe a whole range of values of η_- for the different Efimov resonances; this is probably a consequence of the different measurement temperatures, but possibly also of the non-universal nature of η_- .

A comparison of the results in Table 1 leads to the striking conclusion that the three-body parameter a_- stays around values of the order of $-10 R_{vdW}$ for all the Feshbach resonances explored in ^{39}K , including the ones with R^* as large as $\sim 600 a_0$, hence much larger than R_{vdW} . We note that in the earlier measurement at the resonance in the $m_F=1$ state [2] a similar value of a_- was found, but we cannot confirm the additionally observed feature at $1500 a_0$. We suspect this was an artefact of the analysis of the limited time-dependent data.

Fig.4 shows the measured $|a_-|/R_{vdW}$ as a function of s_{res} . One notes just a moderate deviation of our data from the mean value $9.73(3)$ measured for open-channel

TABLE I: Theoretical and experimental parameters for the three-body resonances at Feshbach resonances in the m_F spin channels: measured resonance center B_0^{exp} ; intrinsic length R^* and strength s_{res} of the Feshbach resonances from the CC model; measured three-body parameter a_- and decay parameter η_- ; initial temperature T of the atomic sample. For ^{39}K , $R_{vdW}=64.49 a_0$.

m_F	B_0^{exp} (G)	$R^*(a_0)$	s_{res}	$-a_-(a_0)$	η_-	T (nK)
0	471.0 (4)	22	2.8	640 (100)	0.065 (11)	50 (5)
+1	402.6 (2)	22	2.8	690 (40)	0.145 (12)	90 (6)
-1	33.64 (15)	23	2.6	830 (140)	0.204 (10)	120 (10)
-1	560.72 (20)	24	2.5	640 (90)	0.22 (2)	20 (7)
-1	162.35 (18)	59	1.1	730 (120)	0.26 (5)	40 (5)
0	65.67 (5)	456	0.14	950 (250)		330 (30)
0	58.92 (3)	556	0.11	950(150)		400 (80)




FIG. 4: (color online) Ratio of the measured three-body parameter to the van der Waals radius for ^{39}K atoms as a function of the strength of the Feshbach resonance (blue circles) and comparison to the predictions of theoretical models in Ref.[21] (gray shaded region) and Ref.[30] (solid line). The dashed lines show the maximum and minimum of the scatter in the experimental data for the three-body parameters measured at broad Feshbach resonances in other atomic species.

dominated resonances [12, 19, 21, 36], and also for other intermediate resonances [4–6, 13, 19, 36]. This observation is far from the already mentioned prediction for narrow resonances [24, 25], which indicates that the Efimov resonances should appear at scattering lengths that are multiples of $a_- = -12.9R^*$ by a factor $\exp(\pi/s_0) \simeq 22.7$. One might note that this result is expected to be valid only in the limit of a scattering length larger than any other length scale, $|a| \gg R^* \gg |a_{bg}|$, where the three-body potential at large hyperradii $R > R^*$ has an Efimovian character [24]. The present experiment does not access this extreme limit but is in an intermediate regime also for the two narrowest resonances, which show indeed

$$R^* \simeq |a_-|.$$

Other models for the three-body physics at Feshbach resonances of intermediate strength have been proposed [26–31]. The specific problem of connecting the results for the three-body parameter in the open-channel dominated regime, where a_- is determined by R_{vdW} , and the closed channel limit, where it is R^* which sets the scale for a_- , has been addressed recently [30, 31], finding however considerably different results. In particular, the model of Ref.[30] predicts that a crossover between the two regimes of broad and narrow resonances would take place around $s_{res} \simeq 1$, as shown in Fig.4. Additionally, the regime of $a_- = -12.9R^*$ should be reached only for excited Efimov states, while the first one has a slightly smaller $a_- = -10.3R^*$. Although an increase of $|a_-|$ with decreasing s_{res} might be present in the experimental data, there is a clear disagreement with such predictions. Experiments on ^7Li and ^{133}Cs have also measured similar values for a_- at three intermediate resonances with $s_{res} = 0.5 - 1$ [5, 6, 13, 19], indicating that this behavior might not be peculiar of ^{39}K .

We note that for the two narrowest resonances $|a_-|$ is only a factor of two larger than R^* . This observation seems to indicate that the three-body potential can support a bound state that resides only in the region with hyperradius $R \leq 2R^*$. This is a regime that was not accessible in previous one-channel models, and a multi-channel approach will be presumably necessary to model the experimental observations.

In conclusion, our study showed an apparent universal behaviour of the three-body parameter on several different Feshbach resonances of the same atomic species, down to a resonance strength $s_{res} \simeq 0.1$. This gives important information on the three-body physics in this narrow-resonance regime, where one expects a combined role of the open and closed molecular channels. Our results will provide a benchmark for three-body multichannel models. By employing a narrower, low-field Feshbach resonance in ^{39}K [32], further experiments probing a regime of even smaller $s_{res} \simeq 0.01$ might be possible in the future.

We thank R. Schmidt, M. Zaccanti and W. Zwerger for helpful discussions. This work was supported by the INFN (MICRA collaboration), the European Research Council (grants 203479 and 247371), the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (Contract No. ANR-12-BS04-0020-01) and the Italian Ministry for Research (PRIN 2009FBKLN).

-
- [1] T. Krämer, M. Mark, P. Waldburger, J. G. Danzl, C. Chin, B. Engeser, A. D. Lange, K. Pilch, A. Jaakkola, H.-C. Nägerl and R. Grimm, Nature **440**, 315 (2006).
 - [2] M. Zaccanti, B. Deissler, C. D’Errico, M. Fattori, M. Jona-Lasinio, S. Müller, G. Roati, M. Inguscio and G.

- Modugno, Nat. Phys. **5**, 586 (2009).
- [3] G. Barontini, C. Weber, F. Rabatti, J. Catani, G. Thalhammer, M. Inguscio, F. Minardi Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 043201 (2009).
- [4] S. E. Pollack, D. Dries, and R. G. Hulet, Science **326**, 1683 (2009).
- [5] N. Gross, Z. Shotan, S. Kokkelmans, and L. Khaykovich, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 163202 (2009).
- [6] N. Gross, Z. Shotan, S. Kokkelmans, and L. Khaykovich, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 103203 (2010).
- [7] T. B. Ottenstein, T. Lompe, M. Kohnen, A. N. Wenz, and S. Jochim, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 203202 (2008).
- [8] J. H. Huckans, J. R. Williams, E. L. Hazlett, R.W. Stites, and K. M. O'Hara, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 165302 (2009).
- [9] J. R. Williams, E. L. Hazlett, J. H. Huckans, R.W. Stites, Y. Zhang, and K. M. O'Hara, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 130404 (2009).
- [10] T. Lompe, T. B. Ottenstein, F. Serwane, K. Viering, A. N. Wenz, G. Zurn, and S. Jochim, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 103201 (2010).
- [11] S. Nakajima, M. Horikoshi, T. Mukaiyama, P.Naidon, and M. Ueda, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 143201 (2011).
- [12] R. J. Wild, P. Makotyn, J. M. Pino, E. A. Cornell, and D. S. Jin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 145305 (2012).
- [13] P. Dyke, S. E. Pollack, R. G. Hulet, arXiv:1302.0281v1 (2012).
- [14] V. Efimov, Phys. Lett. B **33**, 563 (1970).
- [15] E. Nielsen, D. V. Fedorov, A. S. Jensen and E. Garrido, Phys. Rep. **347**, 373 (2001).
- [16] E. Braaten and H.-W. Hammer, Phys. Rep. **428**, 259 (2006).
- [17] H.-W. Hammer and L. Platter, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **60**, 207 (2010).
- [18] Y. Nishida, Y. Kato and C. D. Batista, Nat. Phys. **9**, 93 (2013).
- [19] M. Berninger, A. Zenesini, B. Huang, W. Harm, H. C. Nägerl, F. Ferlaino, R. Grimm, P. S. Julienne, and J. M. Hutson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 120401 (2011).
- [20] C. Chin, arXiv:1111.1484v2 (2011).
- [21] J. Wang, J. P. D'Incao, B. D. Esry, and Chris H. Greene, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 263001 (2012).
- [22] P. Naidon, S. Endo, and M. Ueda, arXiv:1208.3912v1 (2012).
- [23] C. Chin, R. Grimm, P. S. Julienne, and E. Tiesinga, Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 1225 (2010).
- [24] D. S. Petrov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 143201 (2004).
- [25] A. O. Gogolin, C. Mora and R. Egger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 140404 (2008).
- [26] P. Massignan and H. T. C. Stoof, Phys. Rev. A, **78** 030701(R) (2008) .
- [27] M. Jona-Lasinio and L. Pricoupenko, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 023201 (2010).
- [28] Y. Wang, J. P. D'Incao and B. D. Esry, Phys. Rev. A **83**, 042710 (2011).
- [29] L. Pricoupenko and M. Jona-Lasinio, Phys. Rev. A, **84**, 062712 (2011).
- [30] R. Schmidt, S. P. Rath and W. Zwerger, Eur. Phys. J. B **85**, 386 (2012).
- [31] P. K. Sorensen, D. V. Fedorov, A. S. Jensen, N. T. Zinner, Phys. Rev. A **86**, 052516 (2012).
- [32] C. D'Errico, M. Zaccanti, M. Fattori, G. Roati, M. Inguscio, G. Modugno and A. Simoni, New J. Phys. **9** , 223 (2007).
- [33] M. Landini, S. Roy, G. Roati, A. Simoni, M. Inguscio, G. Modugno and M. Fattori, Phys. Rev. A **86**, 033421 (2012).
- [34] T. Weber, J. Herbig, M. Mark, H-C. Nägerl, and R. Grimm, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 123201 (2003).
- [35] See the supplementary material for more details on the measurements and their modelling.
- [36] F. Ferlaino, A. Zenesini, M. Berninger, B. Huang, H.-C. Nägerl, R. Grimm, Few-Body Syst. **51**, 113 (2011).
- [37] J. P. D'Incao, H. Suno, and B. D. Esry, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 123201 (2004).
- [38] B. S. Rem, A. T. Grier, I. Ferrier-Barbut, U. Eismann, T. Langen, N. Navon, L. Khaykovich, F. Werner, D. S. Petrov, F. Chevy, and C. Salomon, arXiv:1212.5274v2 (2012).
- [39] B. Gao, Phys. Rev. A **84**, 022706 (2011).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Measurement of the Feshbach resonances parameters

Given the importance of the quality of the coupled-channels (CC) model to predict a reliable $a(B)$, we confirmed experimentally several of its predictions for the seven Feshbach resonances investigated in this work. One example of the typical calibration measurements is shown in Fig.5 for the narrow resonance at $B_0 \simeq 58.9$ G in the $m_F=0$ state. For example, the centers of the Feshbach resonances were experimentally determined, by measuring the atom losses versus the magnetic field after a constant waiting time. The resonance centers were identified with the loss maxima. Also the positions of the zero-crossings of a were determined as minima in the elastic collisional rate, after a forced evaporation procedure. The zero crossings were identified with the maxima in the temperature. In all cases we found a very good agreement within the typical experimental and theoretical uncertainties, as shown for example for the resonance centers in Table II. The uncertainties in the experiment are of the order of 0.1 G for the centers and 1 G for the widths. The corresponding uncertainties for the CC calculations are of the order of 0.1 G.

Note that the theoretical parameters for the Feshbach resonances are slightly different from those previously reported [32], which considered only s -wave scattering; the present calculations include instead also d - and g -wave scattering. In Table II we also report a narrow d -wave resonance, also predicted by the CC model, in the vicinity of the narrow resonance at $B_0 \simeq 58.9$ G. Its presence limited the range of accessible scattering lengths to $|a| \simeq 200a_0$.

We used particular care in determining the centers of the two narrow resonances, where the interesting region of $|a| \simeq R^*$ appears at detunings $B - B_0$ of the order of 0.1 G, which is also our typical accuracy in the calibration of the magnetic field. In these cases, the resonance center was determined also from the measurement of the




FIG. 5: Example of the calibration measurements for the narrow Feshbach resonance centered at $B_0 \simeq 58.9$ G in the $m_F=0$ state. a) The magnetic-field position of the zero crossing was determined by evaporation measurements to be at $B_{zc}=43(2)$ G. b) The position of the resonance center was determined via loss measurements to be at $B_0=58.9(2)$ G. c) The center was independently determined from the three-body loss data to be at $B_0=58.92(3)(10)$ G, where the first uncertainty is statistical, and the second one is the accuracy in the absolute calibration of B . Lines are Gaussian fits to the data. d) The scattering length vs the magnetic field from the CC model of Ref.[32] predicts the zero crossing at $B_{zc}=44.3(2)$ G and the center at $B_0=58.8(1)$ G. To make the zero-crossing more visible, the scattering length in that region was multiplied by a factor 50.

three-body loss coefficient on both sides of the resonance, thus achieving a precision as low as 0.03 G. One measurement is shown in Fig.5c. In absence of a detailed model of the loss rates very close to the resonance center, we performed a Gaussian fit of the loss maximum, taking the center as B_0 and the halfwidth at $1/e^2$ as the uncertainty. The values for B_0 measured via the plain losses and K_3 are in good agreement between themselves and with the theory, with a typical deviation below 0.1 G.

Measurement of the dimer binding energy and estimation of R^*

We obtained another confirmation of the quality of the CC model by directly measuring the binding energy of the weakly-bound dimers at the two Feshbach resonances. We employed a standard technique: a weakly-interacting Bose-Einstein condensate was prepared in the $m_F=-1$ state, and then transferred to the $m_F=0$ state by a radio-frequency pulse. At small detunings from the resonance, we observed a transfer to both the atomic and a molecular state, as shown in the example in the inset of Fig.6. The atomic signal is power broadened because the Rabi frequencies of the atom-molecule and atom-atom transitions are very different already for small detunings. We fitted the spectra of the atomic and molecu-

lar signals with Gaussian distributions. The molecular binding energy E_b was determined as twice the energy detuning between the atomic and molecular peaks, taking conservatively as uncertainty the quadrature of the individual widths of the fitted Gaussian. For example, Fig.6 shows the measured E_b for the resonance centered at $B_0 \simeq 58.9$ G, for which we found a very good agreement with the CC model, within the 0.1 G uncertainties of both the CC model and the experiment. A similar agreement was found also for the other narrow resonance at $B_0 \simeq 65.6$ G.

It is known that the near-threshold molecular structure of ^{39}K cannot be rigorously interpreted in terms of one- or two-channel models over a wide range of magnetic field values, because of the presence of broad avoided crossings [32]. This makes the calculation of the intrinsic length through the equation $R^* = \hbar^2 / m a_{bg} \delta\mu \Delta$ difficult, since the relative molecular magnetic moment $\delta\mu$ changes with the magnetic field. The values of $\delta\mu$ previously reported in [32], represent indeed the average magnetic moment in a finite region of magnetic fields close to the resonance centers.

To determine R^* more accurately, we first extracted from our numerically calculated collision phase shift the value r_e^{res} of the effective range at resonance ($a \rightarrow \infty$). We then used the relation between r_e^{res} and the intrinsic




FIG. 6: Dimer binding energy versus the magnetic field. E_b/h is determined as the difference between the molecular (red squares) and the atomic (green squares) maxima in the radiofrequency transfer. For the atomic transition we plot the deviations of the observed radio frequency transitions to the expected ones. The data from coupled-channels model of Ref.[32] (blue circles) has been shifted to lower fields by 0.08 G to match the experiment. A fit of the theoretical binding energy with the two-channel model of Ref.[29], also given in Eq. 5 (blue line), predicts $B_0 = 58.901(5)$ G (vertical dashed line). The inset shows a typical molecule association spectrum from the $m_F=-1$ to the $m_F=0$ state, where the signal is the number of atoms left in $m_F=-1$ after the radiofrequency transfer. Both atomic and molecular signals can be seen.

resonance length

$$r_e^{\text{res}} = -2R^* + \frac{2}{3\pi}\Gamma^2 \left(\frac{1}{4}\right) R_{vdW} \quad (4)$$

obtained within a quantum-defect model in Ref.[39].

To gain more analytical insight we also used an exactly solvable two-channel model developed in Ref.[27, 29], which has already been adapted to narrow and intermediate-strength Feshbach resonances such as the ones in ^{39}K . In this model, the binding energy for $|a| \gg a_{bg}$ is expressed as $E_b = \hbar^2 q_{dim}^2 / 2m$, with

$$q_{dim} = (a - \sqrt{a^2 - 2ar_e}) / ar_e, \quad (5)$$

where the effective range is

$$r_e \simeq -2R^*(1 - a_{bg}/a) + 4b/\sqrt{\pi} - 2b^2/a. \quad (6)$$

Here b is a fitting parameter of the order of the van der Waals radius.

We fitted the calculated binding energies for all seven Feshbach resonances investigated in the experiment, using the calculated values for the background scattering length a_{bg} and for the resonance width Δ to evaluate $a(B)$, while leaving both b and R^* as fit parameters. In the fit we employed CC data in a range of detunings $|B - B_0| < \delta B_{max}$, with a maximum δB_{max} of the order

of 0.5Δ for each resonance; we derived a characteristic uncertainty on the fitted quantities by comparing the results for the cases $\delta B_{max} = 0.75\Delta$ and $\delta B_{max} = 0.25\Delta$. As shown in Table II, the determined values of R^* are in very good agreement with the numerically exact CC calculation.

Analysis of the K_3 measurements

We now discuss in more detail the analysis of the loss measurements that resulted in the data of Figs.2-3 of the main paper. We recall that to determine K_3 we fitted the coupled equations for $N(t)$ and $T(t)$ at each magnetic field, obtaining the three quantities $\Gamma = 3\beta^2 N_0^2 K_3 / \sqrt{27} T_0^3$, N_0 and T_0 . The typical statistical errors are: 20% for Γ ; 3% for N_0 ; 10% for T_0 . The overall relative statistical error on K_3 , obtained by summing the individual contributions, typically amounts to 60%, and is quantified by the error bars in Figs.2-3 of the main paper.

In addition, we have two systematic sources of error on K_3 . The first one is a 30% uncertainty on N_0 , which comes from our imperfect knowledge of the actual absorption cross-section of the atoms. The second one comes from the uncertainty in the mean trap frequency $\bar{\omega}$, which is contained in the parameter β ($\beta^2 \propto \bar{\omega}^6$). This was measured by exciting a sloshing motion of the atomic sample along the three trap axes, with a typical uncertainty of 20%. The overall systematic uncertainty is therefore as large as 200%. This implies that the K_3 scale is determined only up to a factor of about 3.

The unitarity-limited three-body rate contains instead only one experimental parameter, the temperature: $K_3^{max} = 36\sqrt{3}\pi^2 \hbar^5 / (k_B T)^2 m^3$. In our analysis we used the initial temperature T_0 to estimate K_3^{max} , with an overall uncertainty that is negligible with respect to the scaling factor above.

When fitting the experimental data for the five broad resonances, we allowed for a single fitting factor in front of the overall rate $(1/K_3(a) + 1/K_3^{max})^{-1}$. In all cases we found factors smaller than 3, in agreement with the estimated uncertainty.

Three-body and two-body decay

In principle, atoms in the excited $m_F=0,-1$ states can decay also via two-body relaxation. The two-body rate is normally very small, since it involves processes that change the total m_F , but it can become relevant close to the Feshbach resonances, where the universal behavior for the two body constant $K_2 \propto a^2$ sets in. While the measured K_3 at the broader Feshbach resonances follows the expected a^4 behavior, a possible reason for the slower variation of K_3 with a at the two narrow Feshbach

TABLE II: Theoretical and experimental parameters for the Feshbach resonances in the spin channels m_F : resonance centers from the CC model (B_0^{th}) and the experiment (B_0^{exp}); resonance widths Δ and background scattering lengths a_{bg} [32]; intrinsic length (R^*) calculated from the on-resonance effective range (see text) or (R_{2ch}^*) derived from a fit to a two-channel model [27]; resonance strengths s_{res} ; measured three-body parameter $a_-(a_0)$ and decay parameter η_- ; initial temperature T ; initial atom number N and mean trap frequency $\bar{\omega}/2\pi$.

m_F	B_0^{th} (G)	B_0^{exp} (G)	$-\Delta$ (G)	a_{bg} (a_0)	R^*	R_{2ch}^*	s_{res}	$a_-(a_0)$	η_-	T (nK)	N (10^4)	$\bar{\omega}/2\pi$ (Hz)
0	471.9	471.0 (4)	72	-28	22	20(2)	2.8	640 (100)	0.065 (11)	50 (5)	5 (2)	28
+1	402.4	402.6 (2)	52	-29	22	22(3)	2.8	690 (40)	0.145 (12)	90 (6)	40 (3)	14
-1	33.6	33.64 (20)	-55	-19	23	23(2)	2.6	830 (140)	0.204 (10)	120 (7)	80 (20)	14
-1	560.7	560.72 (15)	56	-29	24	23(2)	2.5	640 (90)	0.22 (2)	20 (5)	3 (2)	14
-1	162.3	162.35 (18)	37	-19	59	59(3)	1.1	730 (120)	0.26 (5)	40 (8)	16 (3)	12
0	65.6	65.67 (5)	7.9	-18	456	449(8)	0.14	950 (250)	-	330 (30)	5(2)	140
0	58.8	58.92 (3)	9.6	-18	556	559(1)	0.11	950(150)	-	400 (80)	7 (1)	136
0^a	60.5	60.1 (1)	-0.6									

^a d -wave




FIG. 7: Comparison of the measured K_2 (dots) with the CC calculations (solid line), for the narrow Feshbach resonances around: a) 58.8 G; b) 65.6 G.

resonances might be indeed a contribution of two-body processes, namely dipolar relaxation of a pair of $m_F=0$ atoms into $m_F=1$ atoms. To investigate this possibility, we tried to model the observed evolution of $N(t)$ and $T(t)$ also as a two-body decay, for which the rate equations are

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = -K_2 \langle n \rangle N, \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{dT}{dt} = \frac{K_2 \langle n \rangle T}{4}, \quad (8)$$

where $\langle n \rangle = (1/N) \int n(\vec{x})^2 d^3x$ is the mean density. A compact solution for the average density can be found as

$$\langle n(t) \rangle = \frac{\langle n_0 \rangle}{1 + \frac{11}{8} \langle n_0 \rangle K_2 t}, \quad (9)$$

which is nominally different from the analogous solution for $\langle n(t)^2 \rangle$ in presence of three-body losses

$$\langle n(t)^2 \rangle = \frac{\langle n_0^2 \rangle}{1 + 3 \langle n_0^2 \rangle K_3 t}. \quad (10)$$

However, the two solutions are not too different, and the experimental data can in general be fitted almost equally well using the two models.

Since K_2 can be predicted exactly by the CC model, we could however compare a K_2 fitted from the experimental data assuming only two-body losses, with the calculated one. As shown in Fig.7, this comparison indicates that the two-body decay is unlikely to play a role in the observed decay for the resonance at 58.9 G, but might indeed contribute to the observed background losses for the resonance at 65.6 G. Note however that we estimate a typical uncertainty of about 2 in the overall scale of the measured K_2 , so that further detailed experiments, possibly with different T_0 and N_0 will be necessary to assess the real impact of two-body losses even in the latter case. In any case, the measured loss maxima cannot be explained at all in terms of two-body losses. A similar analysis we performed for the five broader resonances indicates that in those cases the two-body losses are more than one order of magnitude smaller than the three-body ones.