

The Dyck pattern poset*

Antonio Bernini[†], Luca Ferrari[†], Renzo Pinzani[†], Julian West[‡]

Abstract

We introduce the notion of *pattern* in the context of lattice paths, and investigate it in the specific case of Dyck paths. Similarly to the case of permutations, the pattern-containment relation defines a poset structure on the set of all Dyck paths, which we call the *Dyck pattern poset*. Given a Dyck path P , we determine a formula for the number of Dyck paths covered by P , as well as for the number of Dyck paths covering P . We then address some typical pattern-avoidance issues, enumerating some classes of pattern-avoiding Dyck paths. Finally, we offer a conjecture concerning the asymptotic behavior of the sequence counting Dyck paths avoiding a generic pattern and we pose a series of open problems regarding the structure of the Dyck pattern poset.

1 Introduction

One of the most investigated and fruitful notions in contemporary combinatorics is that of a *pattern*. Historically it was first considered for permutations [Kn], then analogous definitions were provided in the context of many other structures, such as set partitions [Go, Kl, Sa], words [Bj, Bu], and trees [DPTW, Gi, R]. Perhaps all of these examples have been motivated or informed by the more classical notion of graphs and subgraphs. Informally speaking, given a specific class of combinatorial objects, a pattern can be thought of as an occurrence of a small object inside a larger one; the word “inside” means that the pattern is suitably embedded into the larger object, depending on the specific combinatorial class of objects. The main aim of the present work is to introduce the notion of pattern in the context of lattice paths and to begin its systematic study in the special case of Dyck paths.

For our purposes, a *lattice path* is a path in the discrete plane starting at the origin of a fixed Cartesian coordinate system, ending somewhere on the x -axis, never going below the x -axis and using only a prescribed set of steps Γ . We will refer to such paths as Γ -paths. This definition is extremely restrictive if compared to what is called a lattice path in the literature, but it will be enough for our purposes. Observe that a Γ -path can be alternatively described as a finite word on the alphabet Γ obeying certain conditions. Using this language, we say that the *length* of a Γ -path is simply the length of the word which encodes such a path. Among the classical classes of lattice paths, the most common are those using only steps $U(p) = (1, 1)$, $D(own) = (1, -1)$ and $H(orizontal) = (1, 0)$; with these definitions, Dyck, Motzkin and Schröder paths correspond respectively to the set of steps $\{U, D\}$, $\{U, H, D\}$ and $\{U, H^2, D\}$.

Consider the class \mathcal{P}_Γ of all Γ -paths, for some choice of the set of steps Γ . Given $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_\Gamma$ having length k and n respectively, we say that Q *contains (an occurrence of) the pattern P* whenever P occurs as a subword of Q . So, for instance, in the class of Dyck paths,

*LF and RP partially supported by INdAM project: *Proprietá algebriche e combinatorie dei cammini discreti*

[†]Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica “U. Dini”, viale Morgagni 65, University of Firenze, Firenze, Italy, antonio.bernini@unifi.it, luca.ferrari@unifi.it, pinzani@dsi.unifi.it

[‡]Heilbronn Institute for Mathematical Research, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK, julian.ndp@gmail.com

$UUDUDDUDUDD$ contains the pattern $UUDDUD$, whereas in the class of Motzkin paths, $UUHDDUUDHDDUDHUD$ contains the pattern $UHUDDHUD$. When Q does not contain any occurrence of P we will say that Q *avoids* P . In the Dyck case, the previously considered path $UUDUDDUDUDD$ avoids the pattern $UUUDDDD$.

This notion of pattern gives rise to a partial order in a very natural way, by declaring $P \leq Q$ when P occurs as a pattern in Q . In the case of Dyck paths, the resulting poset will be denoted by \mathcal{D} . It is immediate to notice that \mathcal{D} has a minimum (the empty path), does not have a maximum, is locally finite and is ranked (the rank of a Dyck path is given by its semilength). As an example, in Figure 1 we provide the Hasse diagram of an interval in the Dyck pattern poset.




Figure 1: An interval of rank 3 in the Dyck pattern poset.

Observe that this notion of pattern for paths is very close to the analogous notion for words (considered, for instance, in [Bj], where the author determines the Möbius function of the associated pattern poset). Formally, instead of considering the set of *all* words of the alphabet $\{U, D\}$, we restrict ourselves to the set of Dyck words (so what we actually do is to consider a subposet of Björner's poset). However, the conditions a word has to obey in order to belong to this subposet (which translate into the fact of being a Dyck word) make this subposet highly nontrivial, and fully justify our approach, consisting of the study of its properties independently of its relationship with the full word pattern poset.

2 The covering relation in the Dyck pattern poset

In the Dyck pattern poset \mathcal{D} , following the usual notation for covering relation, we write $P \prec Q$ (Q covers P) to indicate that $P \leq Q$ and the rank of P is one less than the rank of Q (i.e., $rank(P) = rank(Q) - 1$). Our first result concerns the enumeration of Dyck paths covered by a given Dyck path Q . We need some notation before stating it. Let $k + 1$ be the number of points of Q lying on the x -axis (call such points p_0, p_1, \dots, p_k). Then Q can be factorized into k Dyck factors F_1, \dots, F_k , each F_i starting at p_{i-1} and ending at p_i . Let n_i be the number of ascents in F_i (an ascent being a consecutive run of U steps; n_i also counts both the number of descents and the number of peaks in F_i). Moreover, we denote by $|UDU|$ and $|DUD|$ the

number of occurrences in a Dyck path of a consecutive factor UDU and DUD , respectively. In the path Q of Figure 2, we have $n_1 = 2$, $n_2 = 1$, $n_3 = 2$, $|UDU| = 3$, and $|DUD| = 2$.




Figure 2: A Dyck path having three factors.

Proposition 2.1 *If Q is a Dyck path with k factors F_1, \dots, F_k , with F_i having n_i ascents, then the number of Dyck paths covered by Q is given by*

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^k n_i^2 + (\sum_{i=1}^k n_i)^2}{2} - |UDU| - |DUD| \quad . \quad (1)$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on k . If Q is any Dyck path having only one factor (and so necessarily n_1 ascents), then a path P such that $P \prec Q$ is obtained by choosing (and then removing) a U step and a D step from an ascent and a descent of Q , respectively. This can be done in n_1^2 different ways. Note that, once an ascent and a descent have been selected, the path P is uniquely determined if each of the two steps U and D is chosen within the same ascent and descent, respectively. Moreover, for each UDU (resp., DUD) occurring in Q , removing the D step from the UDU (resp., the U step from the DUD) and a U (resp., D) step from the ascent (resp., descent) either immediately before D (resp., U) or immediately after D (resp., U) produces the same path P covered by Q . Therefore, these paths would be counted twice if the term n_1^2 were not corrected by subtracting both $|UDU|$ and $|DUD|$. This leads to formula (1) in the case $k = 1$.

Now suppose that \tilde{Q} is a Dyck path which has $k > 1$ factors F_1, \dots, F_k , each factor F_i having n_i ascents. Let l be the total number of UDU and DUD (i.e. $l = |UDU| + |DUD|$) in \tilde{Q} . If a new factor F_{k+1} having n_{k+1} ascents and a total number l_{k+1} of UDU and DUD factors is appended to \tilde{Q} (after F_k), then the paths covered by the new path Q can be obtained by removing a D step and a U step either both belonging to \tilde{Q} , or both belonging to F_{k+1} , or one belonging to \tilde{Q} and the other one belonging to F_{k+1} .

We start by supposing that the two factors F_k and F_{k+1} are both different from UD . In the first of the above cases, the number of covered paths is given by formula (1) thanks to our inductive hypothesis (since the removal of the steps U and D involves only the first k factors of the Dyck path). The second case is easily dealt with using the induction hypothesis as well, namely applying the base case ($k = 1$) to the last factor F_{k+1} . Finally, concerning the last case, notice that the step D must be removed from \tilde{Q} , and the step U must be removed from F_{k+1} , otherwise the resulting path would fall below the x -axis. Then, the D step can be selected from $\sum_{i=1}^k n_i$ different descents of \tilde{Q} , while the U step can be chosen among the steps of the n_{k+1} ascents of F_{k+1} , leading to $n_{k+1} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^k n_i$ different paths covered by Q . Summing the contributions of the three cases considered above, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k n_i^2 + (\sum_{i=1}^k n_i)^2}{2} - l + n_{k+1}^2 - l_{k+1} + n_{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^k n_i \\ = & \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} n_i^2 + (\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} n_i)^2}{2} - l - l_{k+1} \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

However, we still have to take into account the cases in which F_k and/or F_{k+1} are equal to UD . If $F_k = F_{k+1} = UD$, then in formula (2) we have to subtract 2 (since we have one more factor UDU and one more factor DUD than those previously counted). In the remaining cases, there is only one more factor (either UDU or DUD), thus in formula (2) we have to subtract 1. In all cases, what we get is precisely formula (1). ■

In a similar fashion, we are also able to find a formula for the number of all Dyck paths which cover a given path.

Proposition 2.2 *If Q is a Dyck path of semilength n with k factors F_1, \dots, F_k , with F_i having semilength f_i , then the number of Dyck paths covering Q is given by*

$$1 + \sum_i f_i^2 + \sum_{i < j} f_i f_j. \quad (3)$$

Proof. A path P covers Q if and only if it is obtained from Q by suitably inserting an up step U and a down step D . Thus the set of all Dyck paths covering Q can be determined by choosing, in all possible ways, two positions (inside Q) in which to insert an up step and a down step. Clearly, in performing these insertions, we must take care not to fall below the x -axis.

Let P cover Q and denote with R the first occurrence (from the left) of Q in P . There are precisely two steps in P (a U and a D) which do not belong to R . We distinguish three distinct cases.

1. The last step of R is the third-to-last step of P (so that R is a prefix of P). This means that the two added steps are the last two steps of P (which therefore ends with UD), and it is clear that there is precisely one path P covering Q which falls into this case.
2. The last step of R is the second-to-last step of P . This means that the D step inserted into R is the last step of P . Thus P is obtained by inserting a U step somewhere in R (except that at the end, since in this case we will return to the previous case). The number of path P of this form is then given by the number of different places of R in which we are allowed to insert a new up step. Since R is required to be the first occurrence of Q in P , it can be shown that a new up step can be inserted immediately before each down step of R . There are precisely n Dyck paths of this form.
3. The last step of R is the last step of P . In this case, P is obtained from R by suitably inserting an up step and a down step. We can consider two distinct cases. If U and D are inserted into the same factor of R , then we can either insert U before D or vice versa. In this specific case, since R has to be the first occurrence of Q inside P , U can be inserted immediately before each D step of the factor and D can be inserted immediately before each U ; similarly, D can be inserted immediately before each U , except of course for the very first step of the factor, moreover D can also be inserted at the end of the factor. There is however one factor that behaves in a slightly different way. If we choose to insert the two new steps into the last factor of P , then we cannot insert a D at the end of the factor (since we are supposing that the last step of R is also the last step of P). Thus, if we insert U and D into the factor F_i , $i < k$, then we obtain f_i^2 different paths P of this form, whereas if we insert U and D into F_k we get a total of $f_k(f_k - 1)$ paths. So, in this specific case, the total number of paths thus obtained is $\sum_{i=1}^k f_i^2 - f_k$. On the other hand, if we choose to insert U and D into two distinct factors, then U must be inserted before D (otherwise the resulting path would fall below the x -axis). If we decide to insert D into the factor F_i , $i < k$ (for which, by an argument similar to the above one,

we have f_i possibilities), then we can insert U into any of the preceding factors, whence in $\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} f_j$ ways. Instead, if D is inserted into F_k , we only have $f_k - 1$ possibilities, and we can then insert U in any of the first $k - 1$ factors, for a total of $\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} f_j$ different paths thus obtained. Thus, in this last case, the total number of paths P having this form is given by $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (f_i \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} f_j) + (f_k - 1) \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} f_j$.

Finally, summing up all the quantities obtained so far, we find the following expression for the number of paths covering a given path Q :

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 + n + \sum_{i=1}^k f_i^2 - f_k + \sum_{i=1}^k \left(f_i \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} f_j \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} f_i \\ &= 1 + \sum_{i=1}^k f_i^2 + \sum_{i < j} f_i f_j. \end{aligned}$$

This is precisely formula (3). ■

3 Enumerative results on pattern avoiding Dyck paths

In the present section we will be concerned with the enumeration of some classes of pattern avoiding Dyck paths. Similarly to what has been done for other combinatorial structures, we are going to consider classes of Dyck paths avoiding a single pattern, and we will examine the cases of short patterns. Specifically, we will count Dyck paths avoiding any single path of length ≤ 3 ; each case will arise as a special case of a more general result concerning a certain class of patterns.

Given a pattern P , we denote by $D_n(P)$ the set of all Dyck paths of semilength n avoiding the pattern P , and by $d_n(P)$ the cardinality of $D_n(P)$.

3.1 The pattern $(UD)^k$

This is one of the easiest cases.

Proposition 3.1 *For any $k \in \mathbf{N}$, $Q \in D_n((UD)^k)$ if and only if Q has at most $k - 1$ peaks.*

Proof. A Dyck path $Q = U^{a_1} D^{b_1} U^{a_2} D^{b_2} \dots U^{a_h} D^{b_h}$ contains the pattern $(UD)^k$ if and only if $h \geq k$, that is Q has at least k peaks. ■

Since it is well known that the number of Dyck paths of semilength n and having k peaks is given by the Narayana number $N_{n,k}$ (sequence A001263 in [Sl]), we have that $d_n((UD)^k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} N_{n,i}$ (partial sums of Narayana numbers). Thus, in particular:

- $d_n(UD) = 0$;
- $d_n(UDUD) = 1$;
- $d_n(UDUDUD) = 1 + \binom{n}{2}$.

3.2 The pattern $U^{k-1}DUD^{k-1}$

Let Q be a Dyck path of length $2n$ and $P = U^{k-1}DUD^{k-1}$. Clearly if $n < k$, then Q avoids P , and if $n = k$, then all Dyck paths of length $2n$ except one (Q itself) avoid Q . Therefore:

- $d_n(P) = C_n$ if $n < k$, and
- $d_n(P) = C_n - 1$ if $n = k$,

where C_n is the n -th Catalan number.

Now suppose $n > k$. Denote by A the end point of the $(k-1)$ -th U step of Q . It is easy to verify that A belongs to the line r having equation $y = -x + 2k - 2$. Denote with B the starting point of the $(k-1)$ -th-to-last D step of Q . An analogous computation shows that B belongs to the line s having equation $y = x - (2n - 2k + 2)$.

Depending on how the two lines r and s intersect, it is convenient to distinguish two cases.

1. If $2n - 2k + 2 \geq 2k - 4$ (i.e. $n \geq 2k - 3$), then r and s intersect at height ≤ 1 , whence $x_A \leq x_B$ (where x_A and x_B denote the abscissas of A and B , respectively). The path Q can be split into three parts (see Figure 3): a prefix Q_A from the origin $(0, 0)$ to A , a path X from A to B , and a suffix Q_B from B to the last point $(2n, 0)$.




Figure 3: Avoiding $U^{k-1}DUD^{k-1}$, with $n \geq 2k - 3$

We point out that Q_A has exactly $k-1$ U steps and its last step is a U step. Analogously, Q_B has exactly $k-1$ D steps and its first step is a D step. Notice that there is a clear bijection between the set \mathcal{A} of Dyck prefixes having $k-1$ U steps and ending with a U and the set \mathcal{B} of Dyck suffixes having $k-1$ D steps and starting with a D , since each element of \mathcal{B} can be read from right to left thus obtaining an element of \mathcal{A} . Moreover, \mathcal{A} is in bijection with the set of Dyck paths of semilength $k-1$ (just complete each element of \mathcal{A} with the correct sequence of D steps), hence $|\mathcal{A}| = C_{k-1}$.

If we require Q to avoid P , then necessarily $X = U^i D^j$, for suitable i, j (for, if a valley DU occurred in X , then Q would contain P since U^{k-1} and D^{k-1} already occur in Q_A and Q_B , respectively). In other words, A and B can be connected only in one way, using a certain number (possibly zero) of U steps followed by a certain number (possibly zero) of D steps. Therefore, a path Q avoiding P is essentially constructed by choosing a prefix Q_A from \mathcal{A} and a suffix Q_B from \mathcal{B} , whence:

$$d_n(P) = C_{k-1}^2, \quad (\text{if } n \geq 2k - 3). \quad (4)$$

2. Suppose now $k+1 \leq n < 2k-3$ (which means that r and s intersect at height > 1). Then it can be either $x_A \leq x_B$ or $x_A > x_B$.

- a) If $x_A \leq x_B$, then we can count all Dyck paths Q avoiding P using an argument analogous to the previous one. However, in this case the set of allowable prefixes of each such Q is a proper subset of \mathcal{A} . More specifically, we have to consider only those for which $x_A = k-1, k, k+1, \dots, n$ (see Figure 4). In other words, an allowable prefix has $k-1$ U steps and $0, 1, 2, \dots$ or $n-k+1$ D steps. If $b_{i,j}$ denotes the numbers of




Figure 4: Avoiding $U^{k-1}DUD^{k-1}$, with $x_A \leq x_B$

Dyck prefixes with i U steps and j D steps ($i \geq j$), then the contribution to $d_n(P)$ in this case is

$$d_n^{(1)}(P) = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-k+1} b_{k-2,j} \right)^2 .$$

The coefficients $b_{i,j}$ are the well-known *ballot numbers* (sequence A009766 in [Sl]), whose first values are reported in Table 1.

- b) If $x_A > x_B$, then it is easy to see that Q necessarily avoids P , since A clearly occurs after B , and so there are strictly less than $k-1$ D steps from A to $(2n, 0)$. Observe that, in this case, the path Q lies below the profile drawn by the four lines $y = x$, r , s and $y = -x + 2n$. In order to count these paths, referring to Figure 5, just split each of them into a prefix and a suffix of equal length n and call C the point having abscissa n .




Figure 5: Avoiding $U^{k-1}DUD^{k-1}$, with $x_A > x_B$

Since C must lie under the point where r and s intersect, then its ordinate y_C equals $-n + 2k - 2 - 2t$ with $t \geq 1$ (and also recalling that $y_C = -n + 2k - 2 - 2t \geq 0$). A prefix whose final point is C has $k-j$ U steps and $n-k+j$ D steps, with $j \geq 2$. Since, in this case, a path Q avoiding P is constructed by gluing a prefix and a suffix chosen among $b_{k-j, n-k+j}$ possibilities ($j \geq 2$), we deduce that the contribution to

i \ j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1									
1	1	1								
2	1	2	2							
3	1	3	5	5						
4	1	4	9	14	14					
5	1	5	14	28	42	42				
6	1	6	20	48	90	132	132			
7	1	7	27	75	165	297	429	429		
8	1	8	35	110	275	572	1001	1430	1430	
9	1	9	44	154	429	1001	2002	3432	4862	4862

Table 1: The sum of the gray entries gives the bold entry in the line below. The sum of the squares of the bold entries gives an appropriate element of Table 2.

$d_n(P)$ in this case is:

$$d_n^{(2)}(P) = \sum_{j \geq 2} b_{k-j, n-k+j}^2.$$

Summing up the two contributions we have obtained in a) and b), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} d_n(P) &= d_n^{(1)}(P) + d_n^{(2)}(P) \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-k+1} b_{k-2,j} \right)^2 + \sum_{j \geq 2} b_{k-j, n-k+j}^2, \quad \text{if } k+1 \leq n < 2k-3. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Notice that formula (5) reduces to the first sum if $n \geq 2k-3$, since in that case $n-k+j > k-j$, for $j \geq 2$. We then have a single formula including both cases 1. and 2.:

$$d_n(P) = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-k+1} b_{k-2,j} \right)^2 + \sum_{j \geq 2} b_{k-j, n-k+j}^2, \quad \text{if } n \geq k+1. \quad (6)$$

Formula (6) can be further simplified by recalling a well known recurrence for ballot numbers, namely that

$$b_{i+1,j} = \sum_{s=0}^j b_{i,s}.$$

Therefore, we get the following interesting expression for $d_n(P)$ (when $n \geq k+1$) in terms of sums of squares of ballot numbers along a skew diagonal (see also Tables 1 and 2):

$$d_n(P) = \sum_{j \geq 1} b_{k-j, n-k+j}^2. \quad (7)$$

Therefore we obtain in particular:

$$d_n(UUDUDD) = 4, \quad \text{when } n \geq 3.$$

$k \backslash n$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	...
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	...
2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	...
3	1	1	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	...
4	1	1	2	5	13	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	...
5	1	1	2	5	14	41	106	196	196	196	196	196	196	196	...
6	1	1	2	5	14	42	131	392	980	1764	1764	1764	1764	1764	...
7	1	1	2	5	14	42	132	428	1380	4068	9864	17424	17424	17424	...
8	1	1	2	5	14	42	132	429	1429	4797	15489	44649	105633	184041	...
9	1	1	2	5	14	42	132	429	1430	4861	16714	56749	181258	511225	...

Table 2: Number of Dyck paths of semilength n avoiding $U^{k-1}DUD^{k-1}$. Entries in boldface are the nontrivial ones ($k+1 \leq n < 2k-3$).

$k \backslash n$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	...
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	...
2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	...
3	1	1	2	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	...
4	1	1	2	5	13	25	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	...
5	1	1	2	5	14	41	106	196	196	0	0	0	0	0	...
6	1	1	2	5	14	42	131	392	980	1764	1764	0	0	0	...
7	1	1	2	5	14	42	132	428	1380	4068	9864	17424	17424	0	...
8	1	1	2	5	14	42	132	429	1429	4797	15489	44649	105633	184041	...
9	1	1	2	5	14	42	132	429	1430	4861	16714	56749	181258	511225	...

Table 3: Number of Dyck paths of semilength n avoiding U^kD^k . Entries in boldface are the nontrivial ones ($k+1 \leq n < 2k-3$).

3.3 The pattern U^kD^k

The case $P = U^kD^k$ is very similar to the previous one. We just observe that, when $x_A \leq x_B$, the two points A and B can be connected only using a sequence of D steps followed by a sequence of U steps. This is possible only if $n \leq 2k-2$, which means that r and s do not intersect below the x -axis. Instead, if $n \geq 2k-1$, Q cannot avoid P . Therefore we get (see also Table 3):

$$d_n(P) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \geq 2k-1; \\ \sum_{j \geq 1} b_{k-j, n-k+j}^2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, we then find:

- $d_n(UUDD) = 0$, when $n \geq 3$;
- $d_n(UUUDDD) = 0$, when $n \geq 5$.

3.4 The pattern $U^{k-1}D^{k-1}UD$

This is by far the most challenging case.

Let Q be a Dyck path of length $2n$ and $P = U^{k-1}D^{k-1}UD$. If Q avoids P , then there are two distinct options: either Q avoids $U^{k-1}D^{k-1}$ or Q contains such a pattern. In the first case, we already know that $d_n(U^{k-1}D^{k-1})$ is eventually equal to zero. So, for the sake of simplicity, we will just find a formula for $d_n(P)$ when n is sufficiently large, i.e. $n \geq 2k - 3$. Therefore, for the rest of this section, we will suppose that Q contains $U^{k-1}D^{k-1}$.

The $(k - 1)$ -th D step of the first occurrence of $U^{k-1}D^{k-1}$ in Q lies on the line having equation $y = -x + 2n$. This is due to the fact that Q has length $2n$ and there cannot be any occurrence of UD after the first occurrence of $U^{k-1}D^{k-1}$. The path Q touches the line of equation $y = -x + 2k - 2$ for the first time with the end point A of its $(k - 1)$ -th U step. After that, the path Q must reach the starting point B of the $(k - 1)$ -th D step occurring after A . Finally, a sequence of consecutive D steps terminates Q (see Figure 6). Therefore, Q can be split into three parts: the first part, from the beginning to A , is a Dyck prefix having $k - 1$ U steps and ending with a U step; the second part, from A to B , is a path using $n - k + 1$ U steps and $k - 2$ D steps; and the third part, from B to the end, is a sequence of D steps (whose length depends on the coordinates of A). However, both the first and the second part of Q have to obey some additional constraints.




Figure 6: A path Q avoiding $P = U^{k-1}D^{k-1}UD$

The height of the point A (where the first part of Q ends) must allow Q to have at least $k - 1$ D steps after A . Thus, the height of A plus the number of U steps from A to B minus the number of D steps from A to B must be greater than or equal to 1 (to ensure that the pattern $U^{k-1}D^{k-1}$ occurs in Q). Hence, denoting with x the maximum number of D steps which can occur before A , either $x = k - 2$ or the following equality must be satisfied:

$$(k - 1) - x + (n - k + 1) - (k - 2) = 1.$$

Therefore, $x = \min\{n - k + 1, k - 2\}$. Observe however that, since we are supposing that $n \geq 2k - 3$, we always have $x = k - 2$.

Concerning the part of Q between A and B , since we have to use $n - k + 1$ U steps and $k - 2$ D steps, there are $\binom{n-1}{k-2}$ distinct paths connecting A and B . However, some of them must be discarded, since they fall below the x -axis. In order to count these “bad” paths, we split each of them into two parts. Namely, if A' and B' are the starting and ending points of the first (necessarily D) step below the x -axis, the part going from A to A' , and the remaining part (see Fig. 7). It is not too hard to realize that the number of possibilities we have to choose the first part is given by a ballot number (essentially because, reading the path from right to left, we have to choose a Dyck prefix from A' to A), whereas the number of possibilities we have to choose the second part is given by a binomial coefficient (essentially because, after having discarded the step starting at A' , we have to choose an unrestricted path from B' to B). After a careful inspection, we thus get to the following expression for the total number $d_n(P)$ of Dyck




Figure 7: A forbidden subpath from A to B .

$k \backslash n$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
3	1	1	2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16
4	1	1	2	5	13	28	48	73	103	138
5	1	1	2	5	14	41	110	245	450	739
6	1	1	2	5	14	42	131	397	1069	2427

Table 4: Avoiding $U^{k-1}D^{k-1}UD$

paths of semilength $n \geq 2k - 3$ avoiding P :

$$d_n(P) = \binom{n-1}{k-2} C_{k-1} - \sum_{s=2}^{k-2} b_{k-2,s} \cdot \left(\sum_{i=0}^{s-2} b_{k-3-i,s-2-i} \binom{n-k-s+3+2i}{i} \right). \quad (8)$$

Formula (8) specializes to the following expressions for low values of k (see also Table 4):

- when $k = 3$, $d_n(P) = 2n - 2$ for $n \geq 3$;
- when $k = 4$, $d_n(P) = \frac{5n^2 - 15n + 6}{2}$ for $n \geq 5$;
- when $k = 5$, $d_n(P) = \frac{14n^3 - 84n^2 + 124n - 84}{6}$ for $n \geq 7$.

4 Some remarks on the asymptotics of pattern avoiding Dyck paths

In this final section we collect some thoughts concerning the asymptotic behavior of integer sequences counting pattern-avoiding Dyck paths. Unlike the case of permutations, for Dyck paths it seems plausible that a sort of “master theorem” exists, at least in the case of single avoidance. This means that all the sequences which count Dyck paths avoiding a single pattern P have the same asymptotic behavior (with some parameters, such as the leading coefficient, depending on the specific path P). We have some computational evidence which leads us to formulate a conjecture, whose proof we have not been able to complete, and so we leave it as an open problem.

Let P denote a fixed Dyck path of semilength x . We are interested in the behavior of $d_n(P)$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$. Our conjecture is the following:

Conjecture. *Suppose that P starts with a U steps and ends with b D steps. Then, setting $k = 2x - 2 - a - b$, we have that $d_n(P)$ is asymptotic to*

$$\frac{\alpha_P \cdot C_a \cdot C_b}{k!} n^k,$$

where C_m denotes the m -th Catalan numbers and α_P is the number of saturated chains in the Dyck lattice of order x (see [FP]) from P to the maximum $U^x D^x$.

Equivalently, α_P is the number of standard Young tableaux whose Ferrers shape is determined by the region delimited by the path P and the path $U^x D^x$, as shown in Figure 8.




Figure 8: An instance of a standard Young tableau determined by a Dyck path.

In the above conjecture, the only parts of the formula we are able to justify are the coefficients C_a and C_b . Indeed, suppose that Q is a Dyck path of semilength n , with n very large. Then we can consider the minimum prefix Q_{pref} of Q containing exactly a U steps and the minimum suffix Q_{suff} of Q containing exactly b D steps. They certainly exist, due to the hypothesis that n is very large. As we have already shown in the previous section, the number of Dyck prefixes having a U steps and ending with U is precisely equal to C_a . Of course, an analogous fact holds for suffixes as well.

We close our paper with some further conjectures concerning the order structure of the Dyck pattern poset.

- What is the Möbius function of the Dyck pattern poset (from the bottom element to a given path? Of a generic interval?)?
- How many (saturated) chains are there up to a given path? Or in a general interval?
- Does there exist an infinite antichain in the Dyck pattern poset?

The last conjecture has been suggested by an analogous one for the permutation pattern poset which has been solved in the affirmative (see [SB] and the accompanying comment). In the present context we have no intuition on what could be the answer, though we are a little bit less optimistic than in the permutation case.

References

- [Bj] A. Björner, *The Möbius function of subword order*, Invariant theory and tableaux (Minneapolis, MN, 1988), 118124, IMA Vol. Math. Appl., 19, Springer, New York, 1990.
- [Bu] A. Burstein, *Enumeration of words with forbidden patterns*, PhD thesis, University of Pennsylvania, 1998.
- [DPTW] M. Dairyko, L. Pudwell, S. Tyner, C. Wynn, *Non-contiguous pattern avoidance in binary trees*, Electron. J. Combin., 19(3) (2012) #P22.
- [FP] L. Ferrari, R. Pinzani, *Lattices of lattice paths*, J. Statist. Plann. Inference, 135 (2005) 77–92.
- [Gi] Samuele Giraudo, *Balanced binary trees in the Tamari lattice*, Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput. Sci. Proc., AN (2010) 593–604.
- [Go] A. Goyt, *Avoidance of partitions of a three element set*, Adv. Appl. Math., 41 (2008) 95–114.
- [Kl] M. Klazar, *On abab-free and abba-free sets partitions*, European J. Combin., 17 (1996) 53–68.
- [Kn] D. Knuth, *The art of computer programming*, Vol. 1, Addison Wesley, Boston, 1968.
- [R] E. Rowland, *Pattern avoidance in binary trees*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 117 (2010) 741–758.
- [Sa] B. E. Sagan, *Pattern avoidance in set partitions*, Ars Combin., 94 (2010) 79–96.
- [Sl] N. J. A. Sloane, *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, electronically available at oeis.org.
- [SB] D. A. Spielman, M. Bóna, *An infinite antichain of permutations*, Electron. J. Combin., 7 (2000) #N2 (4 pp.).