

LIE ALGEBRAS RESPONSIBLE FOR ZERO-CURVATURE REPRESENTATIONS OF SCALAR EVOLUTION EQUATIONS

SERGEI IGONIN

*INdAM, Dipartimento di Scienze Matematiche, Politecnico di Torino,
Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Torino, Italy*
E-mail address: s-igonin@yandex.ru

GIANNI MANNO

*Dipartimento di Scienze Matematiche, Politecnico di Torino,
Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Torino, Italy*
E-mail address: giovanni.manno@polito.it

ABSTRACT. Zero-curvature representations (ZCRs) are one of the main tools in the theory of integrable PDEs. In particular, Lax pairs for $(1+1)$ -dimensional PDEs can be interpreted as ZCRs.

For any $(1+1)$ -dimensional scalar evolution equation \mathcal{E} , we define a family of Lie algebras $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E})$ which are responsible for all ZCRs of \mathcal{E} in the following sense. Representations of the algebras $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E})$ classify all ZCRs of the equation \mathcal{E} up to local gauge transformations. To achieve this, we find a normal form for ZCRs with respect to the action of the group of local gauge transformations.

Using these algebras, one obtains some necessary conditions for integrability of the considered PDEs (where integrability is understood in the sense of soliton theory) and necessary conditions for existence of a Bäcklund transformation between two given equations.

In our approach, ZCRs may depend on partial derivatives of arbitrary order, which may be higher than the order of the equation \mathcal{E} . The algebras $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E})$ are defined in terms of generators and relations and generalize Wahlquist-Estabrook prolongation algebras, which are responsible for a much smaller class of ZCRs.

We describe general properties of $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E})$ for arbitrary $(1+1)$ -dimensional scalar evolution equations and study the structure of $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E})$ for some classes of equations of orders 3, 5, 7, which include KdV, Krichever-Novikov, Kaup-Kupershmidt, Sawada-Kotera type equations. Among the obtained algebras, one finds infinite-dimensional Lie algebras of certain matrix-valued functions on rational and elliptic algebraic curves.

Applications to obtaining some non-existence results for Bäcklund transformations and proving non-integrability for some scalar evolution equations are presented as well.

1. INTRODUCTION AND THE MAIN RESULTS

1.1. Zero-curvature representations and the algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$. Zero-curvature representations and Bäcklund transformations belong to the main tools in the theory of integrable PDEs (see, e.g., [5, 23, 34]). This paper is part of a research program on investigating the structure of zero-curvature representations (ZCRs) for partial differential equations (PDEs) of various types. The study of ZCRs leads to some results on Bäcklund transformations and integrability, which are described on the next pages.

In this paper we mainly study $(1+1)$ -dimensional scalar evolution equations

$$(1) \quad u_t = F(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_d), \quad u = u(x, t),$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37K30, 37K35.

Key words and phrases. Scalar evolution equations, zero-curvature representations, gauge transformations, normal forms for zero-curvature representations, infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, integrability conditions.

where we use the notation

$$(2) \quad u_t = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \quad u_0 = u, \quad u_k = \frac{\partial^k u}{\partial x^k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

The number $d \geq 1$ in (1) is such that the function F may depend only on x, t, u_k for $k \leq d$.

The methods of this paper can be applied also to $(1+1)$ -dimensional multicomponent evolution PDEs, which are briefly discussed in Remark 8.

PDEs of the form (1) have attracted a lot of attention in the last 50 years and have been a source of many remarkable results on integrability. In particular, some types of equations (1) possessing higher-order symmetries and conservation laws have been classified (see, e.g., [20, 21, 28] and references therein). However, the problem of complete understanding of all integrability properties for equations (1) is still far from being solved.

Examples of integrable PDEs of the form (1) include the Korteweg-de Vries (KdV), Krichever-Novikov [16, 32], Kaup-Kupershmidt [14], Sawada-Kotera [29] (Caudrey-Dodd-Gibbon [1]) equations (these equations are discussed below). Many more examples can be found in [20, 21, 28] and references therein.

In the present paper, integrability is understood in the sense of soliton theory and the inverse scattering method. (This is sometimes called S-integrability.) It is well known that, in order to investigate possible integrability properties of (1), one needs to consider ZCRs. (In particular, Lax pairs for equations (1) can be interpreted as ZCRs.)

Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra. For an equation of the form (1), a *zero-curvature representation* (ZCR) with values in \mathfrak{g} is given by \mathfrak{g} -valued functions

$$(3) \quad A = A(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_p), \quad B = B(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{p+d-1})$$

satisfying

$$(4) \quad D_x(B) - D_t(A) + [A, B] = 0.$$

The *total derivative operators* D_x, D_t in (4) are

$$(5) \quad D_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sum_{k \geq 0} u_{k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}, \quad D_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{k \geq 0} D_x^k (F(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_d)) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}.$$

The number p in (3) is such that the function A may depend only on the variables x, t, u_k for $k \leq p$. Then equation (4) implies that the function B may depend only on $x, t, u_{k'}$ for $k' \leq p + d - 1$.

Such ZCRs are said to be of *order* $\leq p$. In other words, a ZCR given by A, B is of order $\leq p$ iff $\frac{\partial A}{\partial u_l} = 0$ for all $l > p$.

Remark 1. The right-hand side $F = F(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_d)$ of (1) appears in condition (4), because F appears in the formula for the operator D_t in (5). Note that (4) can be written as $[D_x + A, D_t + B] = 0$, because $[D_x, D_t] = 0$. See also Remark 4 below for another interpretation of equation (4).

Remark 2. It is well known that, using equation (1) and its consequences, one can express any partial derivative of $u = u(x, t)$ in terms of $x, t, u_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, where u_k is given by (2). Namely, equation (1) implies

$$(6) \quad \frac{\partial^{m+n} u}{\partial x^m \partial t^n} = D_x^m D_t^{n-1} (F(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_d)), \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_{> 0}.$$

According to formulas (5), the right-hand side of (6) depends only on x, t, u_k .

When we meet a function depending on $\frac{\partial^{m+n} u}{\partial x^m \partial t^n}$ for $n > 0$, we substitute the right-hand side of (6) in place of $\frac{\partial^{m+n} u}{\partial x^m \partial t^n}$. Because of this, when one studies functions depending on partial derivatives of $u = u(x, t)$, it is sufficient to consider functions depending on $x, t, u_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Remark 3. When we consider a function $Q = Q(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_l)$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we always assume that this function is analytic on an open subset of the manifold with the coordinates $x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_l$. For example, Q may be a meromorphic function, because a meromorphic function is analytic on some open subset of the manifold. In particular, this applies to the functions (3).

We study the following problem. How to describe all ZCRs (3), (4) for a given equation (1)?

In the case when $p = 0$ and the functions F, A, B do not depend on x, t , a partial answer to this question is provided by the Wahlquist-Estabrook prolongation method (WE method for short). Namely, for a given equation of the form $u_t = F(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_d)$, the WE method constructs a Lie algebra so that ZCRs of the form

$$(7) \quad A = A(u_0), \quad B = B(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{d-1}), \quad D_x(B) - D_t(A) + [A, B] = 0$$

correspond to representations of this algebra (see, e.g., [2, 10, 15, 33]). It is called the *Wahlquist-Estabrook prolongation algebra*. Note that in (7) the function $A = A(u_0)$ depends only on u_0 .

To study the general case of ZCRs (3), (4) with arbitrary p for any equation (1), we need to consider gauge transformations.

Without loss of generality, one can assume that \mathfrak{g} is a Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{gl}_N for some $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, where \mathfrak{gl}_N is the algebra of $N \times N$ matrices with entries from \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . So our considerations are applicable to both cases $\mathfrak{gl}_N = \mathfrak{gl}_N(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathfrak{gl}_N = \mathfrak{gl}_N(\mathbb{C})$. And we denote by GL_N the group of invertible $N \times N$ matrices.

Let \mathbb{K} be either \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{R} . Then $\mathfrak{gl}_N = \mathfrak{gl}_N(\mathbb{K})$ and $GL_N = GL_N(\mathbb{K})$. In this paper, all algebras are supposed to be over the field \mathbb{K} .

Remark 4. So we suppose that functions A, B in (4) take values in $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_N$. Then condition (4) implies that the auxiliary linear system

$$(8) \quad \partial_x(W) = -AW, \quad \partial_t(W) = -BW$$

is compatible modulo (1). Here $W = W(x, t)$ is an invertible $N \times N$ matrix-function.

Let $\mathcal{G} \subset GL_N$ be the connected matrix Lie group corresponding to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_N$. (That is, \mathcal{G} is the connected immersed Lie subgroup of GL_N corresponding to the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_N$.) A *gauge transformation* is given by an invertible matrix-function $G = G(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_l)$ with values in \mathcal{G} .

For any ZCR (3), (4) and any gauge transformation $G = G(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_l)$, the functions

$$(9) \quad \tilde{A} = GAG^{-1} - D_x(G) \cdot G^{-1}, \quad \tilde{B} = GBG^{-1} - D_t(G) \cdot G^{-1}$$

satisfy $D_x(\tilde{B}) - D_t(\tilde{A}) + [\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}] = 0$ and, therefore, form a ZCR. (This is explained in Remark 18 in Section 1.7.)

Moreover, since A, B take values in \mathfrak{g} and G takes values in \mathcal{G} , the functions \tilde{A}, \tilde{B} take values in \mathfrak{g} . (This is well known, but for completeness we prove this in Lemma 2 in Section 2.)

The ZCR (9) is said to be *gauge equivalent* to the ZCR (3), (4). For a given equation (1), formulas (9) determine an action of the group of gauge transformations on the set of ZCRs of this equation.

See also Remark 17 about gauge transformations with values in other matrix Lie groups.

The WE method does not use gauge transformations in a systematic way. In the classification of ZCRs (7) this is acceptable, because the class of ZCRs (7) is relatively small.

The class of ZCRs (3), (4) is much larger than that of (7). Gauge transformations play a very important role in the classification of ZCRs (3), (4). Because of this, the classical WE method does not produce satisfactory results for (3), (4), especially in the case $p > 0$.

To overcome this problem, we find a normal form for ZCRs (3), (4) with respect to the action of the group of gauge transformations. Using the normal form of ZCRs, for any given equation (1), we define a Lie algebra \mathbb{F}^p for each $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ so that the following property holds.

For every finite-dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , any \mathfrak{g} -valued ZCR (3), (4) of order $\leq p$ is locally gauge equivalent to the ZCR arising from a homomorphism $\mathbb{F}^p \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$.

More precisely, as is discussed below, we define a Lie algebra \mathbb{F}^p for each $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and each point a of the infinite prolongation \mathcal{E} of equation (1). So the full notation for the algebra is $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$. (The family of Lie algebras $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E})$ mentioned in the abstract of this paper consists of the algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $a \in \mathcal{E}$.)

Recall that the *infinite prolongation* \mathcal{E} of equation (1) is an infinite-dimensional manifold with the coordinates x, t, u_k for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. The precise definitions of the manifold \mathcal{E} and the algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for any equation (1) are presented in Section 2. For every $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $a \in \mathcal{E}$, the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is defined in terms of generators and relations. (To clarify the main idea, in Example 3 we consider the case $p = 1$.)

For every finite-dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , homomorphisms $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ classify (up to gauge equivalence) all \mathfrak{g} -valued ZCRs (3), (4) of order $\leq p$, where functions A, B are defined on a neighborhood of the point $a \in \mathcal{E}$. See Section 2 for details.

According to Section 2, the algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ are arranged in a sequence of surjective homomorphisms

$$(10) \quad \cdots \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a).$$

Remark 5. According to Theorem 9, for each $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is responsible for ZCRs of order $\leq p$, and the algebra $\mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is responsible for ZCRs of order $\leq p - 1$. The surjective homomorphism $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ in (10) reflects the fact that any ZCR of order $\leq p - 1$ is at the same time of order $\leq p$.

The homomorphism $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is defined by formulas (89), using generators of the algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$, $\mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a)$.

Using $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$, we obtain some necessary conditions for integrability of equations (1) and necessary conditions for existence of a Bäcklund transformation between two given equations.

To obtain such conditions, one needs to study some properties of ZCRs (3), (4) with arbitrary p , and we do this by means of the algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$. As has been explained above, the classical WE method (which studies ZCRs of the form (7)) is not sufficient for this.

Applications of $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ to obtaining necessary conditions for integrability of equations (1) are presented in Sections 1.5 and 1.6. Applications of $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ to the theory of Bäcklund transformations are discussed in Section 1.4.

We present also a number of results on the structure of the algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for some classes of scalar evolution equations of orders 3, 5, 7 and concrete examples. In particular, the KdV equation is considered in Theorem 2. The Krichever-Novikov equation is discussed in Section 1.3.

In Section 1.6 we study the algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ and integrability properties for a 5th-order scalar evolution equation, which was considered by A. P. Fordy [7] in connection with the Hénon-Heiles system. The problem to study this equation was suggested to us by A. P. Fordy.

Remark 6. In the theory of integrable $(1 + 1)$ -dimensional PDEs, one is especially interested in ZCRs depending on a parameter. That is, one studies ZCRs of the form

$$A = A(\lambda, x, t, u_0, \dots, u_p), \quad B = B(\lambda, x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{p+d-1}), \quad D_x(B) - D_t(A) + [A, B] = 0,$$

where \mathfrak{g} -valued functions A, B depend on x, t, u_k and a parameter λ .

For a given equation (1), existence of a nontrivial parameter-dependent ZCR is reflected in the structure of the algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ of equation (1). This is illustrated by Examples 1, 2.

Example 1. It is well known that the KdV equation possesses an $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K})$ -valued ZCR depending polynomially on a parameter λ . This is reflected in the structure of the algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for KdV as follows.

Consider the infinite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda]) \cong \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[\lambda]$, where $\mathbb{K}[\lambda]$ is the algebra of polynomials in λ . (If we regard \mathbb{K} as a rational algebraic curve with coordinate λ , the elements of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda])$ can be identified with polynomial $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K})$ -valued functions on this rational curve.)

According to Theorem 2, the algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda])$ plays the main role in the description of $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for the KdV equation. It is known that the KdV equation is closely related to the algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda])$, and in the structure of $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for KdV we see a reflection of this fact.

Also, one can prove similar results on the structure of $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for many other evolution equations possessing parameter-dependent ZCRs.

Example 2. According to [16, 22], the Krichever-Novikov equation has an $\mathfrak{so}_3(\mathbb{C})$ -valued ZCR with elliptic parameter. One can see this in the structure of the algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ as follows.

According to Proposition 1 in Section 1.3, in the description of $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for the Krichever-Novikov equation we see an infinite-dimensional Lie algebra of certain $\mathfrak{so}_3(\mathbb{C})$ -valued functions on an elliptic curve, which is defined in Section 1.3.

Recall that \mathbb{K} is either \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{R} . We suppose that the variables x, t, u_k take values in \mathbb{K} . A point $a \in \mathcal{E}$ is determined by the values of the coordinates x, t, u_k at a . Let

$$a = (x = x_a, t = t_a, u_k = a_k) \in \mathcal{E}, \quad x_a, t_a, a_k \in \mathbb{K}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},$$

be a point of \mathcal{E} . In other words, the constants x_a, t_a, a_k are the coordinates of the point $a \in \mathcal{E}$ in the coordinate system x, t, u_k .

Example 3. To clarify the definition of $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$, let us consider the case $p = 1$. To this end, we fix an equation (1) and study ZCRs of order ≤ 1 of this equation.

According to Theorem 7 in Section 2, any ZCR of order ≤ 1

$$(11) \quad A = A(x, t, u_0, u_1), \quad B = B(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_d), \quad D_x(B) - D_t(A) + [A, B] = 0$$

on a neighborhood of $a \in \mathcal{E}$ is gauge equivalent to a ZCR of the form

$$(12) \quad \tilde{A} = \tilde{A}(x, t, u_0, u_1), \quad \tilde{B} = \tilde{B}(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_d),$$

$$(13) \quad D_x(\tilde{B}) - D_t(\tilde{A}) + [\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}] = 0,$$

$$(14) \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{A}}{\partial u_1}(x, t, u_0, a_1) = 0, \quad \tilde{A}(x, t, a_0, a_1) = 0, \quad \tilde{B}(x_a, t, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_d) = 0.$$

Moreover, according to Theorem 8, for any given ZCR of the form (11), on a neighborhood of $a \in \mathcal{E}$ there is a unique gauge transformation $G = G(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_l)$ such that the functions $\tilde{A} = GAG^{-1} - D_x(G) \cdot G^{-1}$, $\tilde{B} = GBG^{-1} - D_t(G) \cdot G^{-1}$ satisfy (12), (13), (14) and $G(x_a, t_a, a_0, \dots, a_l) = \text{Id}$, where $\text{Id} \in \text{GL}_N$ is the identity matrix.

(In the case of ZCRs of order ≤ 1 , this gauge transformation G depends on x, t, u_0 , so $G = G(x, t, u_0)$. In a similar result about ZCRs of order $\leq p$, which is described in Theorems 7, 8, the corresponding gauge transformation depends on $x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{p-1}$.)

Therefore, we can say that properties (14) determine a normal form for ZCRs (11) with respect to the action of the group of gauge transformations on a neighborhood of $a \in \mathcal{E}$.

A similar normal form for ZCRs (3), (4) with arbitrary p is described in Theorem 7 and Remark 23.

Since the functions \tilde{A}, \tilde{B} from (12), (14) are analytic on a neighborhood of $a \in \mathcal{E}$, these functions are represented as absolutely convergent power series

$$(15) \quad \tilde{A} = \sum_{l_1, l_2, i_0, i_1 \geq 0} (x - x_a)^{l_1} (t - t_a)^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{i_0} (u_1 - a_1)^{i_1} \cdot \tilde{A}_{i_0, i_1}^{l_1, l_2},$$

$$(16) \quad \tilde{B} = \sum_{l_1, l_2, j_0, \dots, j_d \geq 0} (x - x_a)^{l_1} (t - t_a)^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{j_0} \dots (u_d - a_d)^{j_d} \cdot \tilde{B}_{j_0 \dots j_d}^{l_1, l_2}.$$

Here $\tilde{A}_{i_0, i_1}^{l_1, l_2}$ and $\tilde{B}_{j_0 \dots j_d}^{l_1, l_2}$ are elements of a Lie algebra, which we do not specify yet.

Using formulas (15), (16), we see that properties (14) are equivalent to

$$(17) \quad \tilde{A}_{i_0, 1}^{l_1, l_2} = \tilde{A}_{0, 0}^{l_1, l_2} = \tilde{B}_{0 \dots 0}^{0, l_2} = 0 \quad \forall l_1, l_2, i_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

To define $\mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a)$, we regard $\tilde{A}_{i_0, i_1}^{l_1, l_2}, \tilde{B}_{j_0 \dots j_d}^{l_1, l_2}$ from (15), (16) as abstract symbols. By definition, the algebra $\mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is generated by the symbols $\tilde{A}_{i_0, i_1}^{l_1, l_2}, \tilde{B}_{j_0 \dots j_d}^{l_1, l_2}$ for $l_1, l_2, i_0, i_1, j_0, \dots, j_d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Relations for these generators are provided by equations (13), (17). A more detailed description of this construction is given in Section 2.

Remark 7. Somewhat similar (but not the same) ideas were considered in [8] for a few scalar evolution equations of order 3. The theory described in the present paper is much more powerful than that of [8]. See Remark 19 in Section 1.7 for a more detailed discussion of the relations between the present paper and [8].

Remark 8. It is possible to introduce an analog of $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for multicomponent evolution PDEs

$$(18) \quad \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial t} = F^i(x, t, u^1, \dots, u^m, u_1^1, \dots, u_1^m, \dots, u_d^1, \dots, u_d^m),$$

$$u^i = u^i(x, t), \quad u_k^i = \frac{\partial^k u^i}{\partial x^k}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

In this paper we study only the scalar case $m = 1$. For $m > 1$ one gets interesting results as well, but the case $m > 1$ requires much more computations, which will be presented elsewhere. Some results for $m > 1$ (including a normal form for ZCRs with respect to the action of gauge transformations and the main properties of $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ in the multicomponent case) are sketched in the preprints [9, 11], which are not in final form yet and have not been submitted for publication.

The present paper is the first official publication about the algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$.

As has been discussed above, the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is defined by a certain set of generators and relations arising from a normal form of ZCRs. In Theorem 10 in Section 3 we describe a smaller subset of generators for $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$.

Example 4. Consider the case $p = 1$. According to the above definition of $\mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a)$, the algebra $\mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is given by the generators $\tilde{A}_{i_0, i_1}^{l_1, l_2}$, $\tilde{B}_{j_0, \dots, j_d}^{l_1, l_2}$ and the relations arising from (13), (17). Theorem 10 implies that the algebra $\mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a)$ coincides with the subalgebra generated by $\tilde{A}_{i_0, i_1}^{l_1, 0}$ for $l_1, i_0, i_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

According to Theorem 10, a similar result is valid also for $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for every p .

This result helps us to describe the structure of $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ and the homomorphisms (10) more explicitly for some PDEs. Consider first equations of the form $u_t = u_{2q+1} + f(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{2q-1})$ for $q \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Examples of such PDEs include

- the KdV equation $u_t = u_3 + u_0 u_1$,
- the Kaup-Kupershmidt equation [14] $u_t = u_5 + 10u_0 u_3 + 25u_1 u_2 + 20u_0^2 u_1$,
- the Sawada-Kotera equation [29] $u_t = u_5 + 5u_0 u_3 + 5u_1 u_2 + 5u_0^2 u_1$ (which is sometimes called the Caudrey-Dodd-Gibbon equation [1]).

Many more examples of integrable equations of this type can be found in [20, 21] and references therein.

Remark 9. The paper [20] presents a classification of equations of the form $u_t = u_3 + g(x, u_0, u_1, u_2)$ and $u_t = u_5 + g(u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)$ satisfying certain integrability conditions related to generalized symmetries and conservation laws.

We study the problem of describing all ZCRs (3), (4) for a given equation (1). This problem is very different from the problems of describing generalized symmetries and conservation laws.

Theorems 1 and 2 are proved in Sections 4 and 6 respectively.

Theorem 1 (Section 4). *Let \mathcal{E} be the infinite prolongation of an equation of the form*

$$(19) \quad u_t = u_{2q+1} + f(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{2q-1}), \quad q \in \{1, 2, 3\},$$

where f is an arbitrary function and $q \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Let $a \in \mathcal{E}$.

For each $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, consider the surjective homomorphism $\varphi_p: \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ from (10).

If $p \geq q + \delta_{q,3}$ then

$$[v_1, v_2] = 0 \quad \forall v_1 \in \ker \varphi_p, \quad \forall v_2 \in \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a).$$

In other words, if $p \geq q + \delta_{q,3}$ then the kernel of φ_p is contained in the center of the Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$.

Here $\delta_{q,3}$ is the Kronecker delta. So $\delta_{q,3} = 0$ if $q \neq 3$, and $\delta_{q,3} = 1$ if $q = 3$.

For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, let $\psi_k: \mathbb{F}^{k+q-1+\delta_{q,3}}(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{q-1+\delta_{q,3}}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ be the composition of the homomorphisms

$$\mathbb{F}^{k+q-1+\delta_{q,3}}(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{k+q-2+\delta_{q,3}}(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{q+\delta_{q,3}}(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{q-1+\delta_{q,3}}(\mathcal{E}, a)$$

from (10). Then

$$[h_1, [h_2, \dots, [h_{k-1}, [h_k, h_{k+1}] \dots]] = 0 \quad \forall h_1, \dots, h_{k+1} \in \ker \psi_k.$$

In particular, the kernel of ψ_k is nilpotent.

Some applications of Theorem 1 to obtaining necessary conditions for integrability of equations (19) are presented in Section 1.5. Results similar to Theorem 1 can be proved for many other evolution equations as well, but this will be described elsewhere.

Let \mathfrak{L} , \mathfrak{L}_1 , \mathfrak{L}_2 be Lie algebras. One says that \mathfrak{L}_1 is obtained from \mathfrak{L} by central extension if there is an ideal $\mathfrak{I} \subset \mathfrak{L}_1$ such that \mathfrak{I} is contained in the center of \mathfrak{L}_1 and $\mathfrak{L}_1/\mathfrak{I} \cong \mathfrak{L}$. Note that \mathfrak{I} may be of arbitrary dimension.

We say that \mathfrak{L}_2 is obtained from \mathfrak{L} by applying several times the operation of central extension if there is a finite collection of Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{g}_k$ such that $\mathfrak{g}_0 \cong \mathfrak{L}$, $\mathfrak{g}_k \cong \mathfrak{L}_2$ and \mathfrak{g}_i is obtained from \mathfrak{g}_{i-1} by central extension for each $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Remark 10. Theorem 1 implies that, for any equation of the form (19) with $q \in \{1, 2, 3\}$,

- for every $p \geq q + \delta_{q,3}$ the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is obtained from $\mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ by central extension,
- for every $p \geq q + \delta_{q,3}$ the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is obtained from $\mathbb{F}^{q-1+\delta_{q,3}}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ by applying several times the operation of central extension.

The infinite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda])$ has been defined in Example 1. According to Theorem 2 below, the algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda])$ plays the main role in the description of $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for the KdV equation.

Theorem 2 (Section 6). *Let \mathcal{E} be the infinite prolongation of the KdV equation $u_t = u_3 + u_0 u_1$. Let $a \in \mathcal{E}$. Then*

- the algebra $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda])$ and a 3-dimensional abelian Lie algebra,
- for every $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is obtained from $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda])$ by applying several times the operation of central extension.

To describe $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for the KdV equation in Theorem 2, we use the following fact. If the function F in (1) does not depend on x, t , then the algebra $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is isomorphic to a certain subalgebra of the Wahlquist-Estabrook prolongation algebra for (1) (see Theorem 13 in Section 5 for details).

The explicit structure of the Wahlquist-Estabrook prolongation algebra for the KdV equation is given in [3, 4] and contains $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda])$. This helps us to describe $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for KdV. The result on $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, for KdV in Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 1.

1.2. Abbreviations, conventions, and notation. The following abbreviations, conventions, and notation are used in the paper.

ZCR = zero-curvature representation, WE = Wahlquist-Estabrook, BT = Bäcklund transformation.

The symbols $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ denote the sets of positive and nonnegative integers respectively.

\mathbb{K} is either \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{R} . All vector spaces and algebras are supposed to be over the field \mathbb{K} . We denote by \mathfrak{gl}_N the algebra of $N \times N$ matrices with entries from \mathbb{K} and by GL_N the group of invertible $N \times N$ matrices.

By the standard Lie group – Lie algebra correspondence, for every Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_N$ there is a unique connected immersed Lie subgroup $\mathcal{G} \subset GL_N$ whose Lie algebra is \mathfrak{g} . We call \mathcal{G} the connected matrix Lie group corresponding to the matrix Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_N$.

We use the notation (2) for partial derivatives of a \mathbb{K} -valued function $u(x, t)$. Our convention about functions of the variables x, t, u_k is described in Remark 3.

1.3. The Krichever-Novikov equation. In this subsection we assume $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$. For any constants $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \mathbb{C}$, consider the Krichever-Novikov equation [16, 32]

$$(20) \quad \text{KN}(e_1, e_2, e_3) = \left\{ u_t = u_{xxx} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{u_{xx}^2}{u_x} + \frac{(u - e_1)(u - e_2)(u - e_3)}{u_x}, \quad u = u(x, t) \right\}.$$

To study $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for this equation, we need some auxiliary constructions.

Let $\mathbb{C}[v_1, v_2, v_3]$ be the algebra of polynomials in the variables v_1, v_2, v_3 . Let $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $e_1 \neq e_2 \neq e_3 \neq e_1$. Consider the ideal $\mathcal{I}_{e_1, e_2, e_3} \subset \mathbb{C}[v_1, v_2, v_3]$ generated by the polynomials

$$(21) \quad v_i^2 - v_j^2 + e_i - e_j, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3.$$

Set $E_{e_1, e_2, e_3} = \mathbb{C}[v_1, v_2, v_3] / \mathcal{I}_{e_1, e_2, e_3}$. In other words, E_{e_1, e_2, e_3} is the commutative associative algebra of polynomial functions on the algebraic curve in \mathbb{C}^3 defined by the polynomials (21). (This curve is given by the equations $v_i^2 - v_j^2 + e_i - e_j = 0$, $i, j = 1, 2, 3$, in the space \mathbb{C}^3 with coordinates v_1, v_2, v_3 .)

Since we assume $e_1 \neq e_2 \neq e_3 \neq e_1$, this curve is nonsingular, irreducible and is of genus 1, so this is an elliptic curve. It is known that the Landau-Lifshitz equation and the Krichever-Novikov equation possess $\mathfrak{so}_3(\mathbb{C})$ -valued ZCRs parametrized by points of this curve [31, 5, 22, 24]. (For the Krichever-Novikov equation, the paper [22] presents a ZCR with values in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathfrak{so}_3(\mathbb{C})$.)

We have the natural surjective homomorphism $\rho: \mathbb{C}[v_1, v_2, v_3] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[v_1, v_2, v_3] / \mathcal{I}_{e_1, e_2, e_3} = E_{e_1, e_2, e_3}$. Set $\hat{v}_i = \rho(v_i) \in E_{e_1, e_2, e_3}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Consider also a basis $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}_3(\mathbb{C})$ such that $[\alpha_1, \alpha_2] = \alpha_3$, $[\alpha_2, \alpha_3] = \alpha_1$, $[\alpha_3, \alpha_1] = \alpha_2$. We endow the space $\mathfrak{so}_3(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} E_{e_1, e_2, e_3}$ with the following Lie algebra structure

$$[\alpha \otimes h_1, \beta \otimes h_2] = [\alpha, \beta] \otimes h_1 h_2, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{so}_3(\mathbb{C}), \quad h_1, h_2 \in E_{e_1, e_2, e_3}.$$

Denote by $\mathfrak{R}_{e_1, e_2, e_3}$ the Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}_3(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} E_{e_1, e_2, e_3}$ generated by the elements

$$\alpha_i \otimes \hat{v}_i \in \mathfrak{so}_3(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} E_{e_1, e_2, e_3}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Since $\mathfrak{R}_{e_1, e_2, e_3} \subset \mathfrak{so}_3(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} E_{e_1, e_2, e_3}$, we can regard elements of $\mathfrak{R}_{e_1, e_2, e_3}$ as $\mathfrak{so}_3(\mathbb{C})$ -valued functions on the elliptic curve in \mathbb{C}^3 determined by the polynomials (21).

Set $z = \hat{v}_1^2 + e_1$. Since $\hat{v}_1^2 + e_1 = \hat{v}_2^2 + e_2 = \hat{v}_3^2 + e_3$ in E_{e_1, e_2, e_3} , we have

$$z = \hat{v}_1^2 + e_1 = \hat{v}_2^2 + e_2 = \hat{v}_3^2 + e_3.$$

It is easily seen (and is shown in [24]) that the following elements form a basis for $\mathfrak{R}_{e_1, e_2, e_3}$

$$(22) \quad \alpha_i \otimes \hat{v}_i z^l, \quad \alpha_i \otimes \hat{v}_j \hat{v}_k z^l, \quad i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \quad j < k, \quad j \neq i \neq k, \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Since the basis (22) is infinite, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{R}_{e_1, e_2, e_3}$ is infinite-dimensional.

It is shown in [24] that the Wahlquist-Estabrook prolongation algebra of the Landau-Lifshitz equation is isomorphic to the direct sum of $\mathfrak{R}_{e_1, e_2, e_3}$ and a 2-dimensional abelian Lie algebra.

According to Proposition 1 below, the algebra $\mathfrak{R}_{e_1, e_2, e_3}$ shows up also in the structure of $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for the Krichever-Novikov equation. A proof of Proposition 1 is given in [12] (this proof uses some results of [13, 24]).

Proposition 1 ([12]). *For any $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \mathbb{C}$, consider the Krichever-Novikov equation $\text{KN}(e_1, e_2, e_3)$ given by (20). Let \mathcal{E} be the infinite prolongation of this equation. Let $a \in \mathcal{E}$. Then*

- the algebra $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is zero,
- for each $p \geq 2$, the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is obtained from $\mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ by central extension, and the kernel of the surjective homomorphism $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a)$ from (10) is nilpotent,
- if $e_1 \neq e_2 \neq e_3 \neq e_1$, then $\mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a) \cong \mathfrak{R}_{e_1, e_2, e_3}$ and for each $p \geq 2$ the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is obtained from $\mathfrak{R}_{e_1, e_2, e_3}$ by applying several times the operation of central extension.

1.4. Necessary conditions for existence of Bäcklund transformations. The algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ help to obtain necessary conditions for existence of a Bäcklund transformation between two given evolution equations. In the present subsection we briefly discuss some results in this direction. A more detailed exposition of this topic is given in [12]. In particular, the definition of Bäcklund transformations is presented in [12], using a geometric approach from [15].

For each $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, consider the surjective homomorphism $\varphi_p: \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ from (10).

Let $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ be the inverse limit of the sequence (10). An element of $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is given by a sequence (c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots) , where $c_p \in \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ and $\varphi_p(c_p) = c_{p-1}$ for all p .

Since (10) consists of homomorphisms of Lie algebras and $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is the inverse limit of (10), the space $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is a Lie algebra as well. If (c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots) and $(c'_0, c'_1, c'_2, \dots)$ are elements of $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}, a)$, where $c_p, c'_p \in \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$, then the corresponding Lie bracket is

$$[(c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots), (c'_0, c'_1, c'_2, \dots)] = ([c_0, c'_0], [c_1, c'_1], [c_2, c'_2], \dots) \in \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}, a).$$

For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have the homomorphism

$$\rho_k: \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^k(\mathcal{E}, a), \quad \rho_k((c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots)) = c_k.$$

Since the homomorphisms (10) are surjective, ρ_k is surjective as well.

We define a topology on the algebra $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ as follows. For every $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and every $v \in \mathbb{F}^k(\mathcal{E}, a)$, the subset $\rho_k^{-1}(v) \subset \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is, by definition, open in $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}, a)$. Such subsets form a base of the topology on $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}, a)$.

Remark 11. The meaning of the topology on $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ can be clarified as follows.

Let \mathfrak{L} be a Lie algebra. Consider a homomorphism $\psi: \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}$. It is easily seen (and is shown in [12]) that the subset $\ker \psi \subset \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is open in $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ iff the homomorphism $\psi: \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}$ is of the form

$$(23) \quad \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}, a) \xrightarrow{\rho_k} \mathbb{F}^k(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and some homomorphism $\mathbb{F}^k(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}$. So the introduced topology on $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ allows us to remember which homomorphisms $\psi: \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}$ are of the form (23).

A Lie subalgebra $H \subset \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is called *tame* if there are $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and a subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathbb{F}^k(\mathcal{E}, a)$ such that $H = \rho_k^{-1}(\mathfrak{h})$. Since $\rho_k: \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^k(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is surjective, the codimension of H in $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is equal to the codimension of \mathfrak{h} in $\mathbb{F}^k(\mathcal{E}, a)$.

Remark 12. It is easy to prove that a subalgebra $H \subset \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is tame iff H is open and closed in $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ with respect to the topology on $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}, a)$.

A proof of Proposition 2 is given in [12].

Proposition 2 ([12]). *Let \mathcal{E}^1 and \mathcal{E}^2 be $(1+1)$ -dimensional scalar evolution equations. For each $i = 1, 2$, the symbol \mathcal{E}^i denotes also the infinite prolongation of the corresponding equation.*

Suppose that \mathcal{E}^1 and \mathcal{E}^2 are connected by a Bäcklund transformation. Then for each $i = 1, 2$ there are a point $a_i \in \mathcal{E}^i$ and a tame subalgebra $H_i \subset \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}^i, a_i)$ such that

- H_i is of finite codimension in $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}^i, a_i)$,
- H_1 is isomorphic to H_2 , and this isomorphism is a homeomorphism with respect to the topology induced by the embedding $H_i \subset \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}^i, a_i)$.

Proposition 2 provides a powerful necessary condition for two given evolution equations to be connected by a Bäcklund transformation (BT). For example, Proposition 3 below is obtained in [12] by means of Proposition 2.

For any $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \mathbb{C}$, we have the Krichever-Novikov equation $\text{KN}(e_1, e_2, e_3)$ given by (20). Consider also the algebraic curve

$$(24) \quad C(e_1, e_2, e_3) = \left\{ (z, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid y^2 = (z - e_1)(z - e_2)(z - e_3) \right\}.$$

If $e_1 \neq e_2 \neq e_3 \neq e_1$ then the curve (24) is elliptic.

Proposition 3 ([12]). *Let $e_1, e_2, e_3, e'_1, e'_2, e'_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $e_1 \neq e_2 \neq e_3 \neq e_1$, $e'_1 \neq e'_2 \neq e'_3 \neq e'_1$.*

If the curve $C(e_1, e_2, e_3)$ is not birationally equivalent to the curve $C(e'_1, e'_2, e'_3)$, then the equation $\text{KN}(e_1, e_2, e_3)$ is not connected with the equation $\text{KN}(e'_1, e'_2, e'_3)$ by any Bäcklund transformation.

Also, if $e_1 \neq e_2 \neq e_3 \neq e_1$, then $\text{KN}(e_1, e_2, e_3)$ is not connected with the KdV equation by any BT.

BTs of Miura type (differential substitutions) for (20) were studied in [20, 32]. According to [20, 32], the equation $\text{KN}(e_1, e_2, e_3)$ is connected with the KdV equation by a BT of Miura type iff $e_i = e_j$ for some $i \neq j$.

Propositions 2, 3 consider the most general class of BTs, which is much larger than the class of BTs of Miura type studied in [20, 32]. The definition of BTs is given in [12].

Remark 13. If $e_1 \neq e_2 \neq e_3 \neq e_1$ and $e'_1 \neq e'_2 \neq e'_3 \neq e'_1$, the curves $C(e_1, e_2, e_3)$ and $C(e'_1, e'_2, e'_3)$ are elliptic. The theory of elliptic curves allows one to determine when $C(e_1, e_2, e_3)$ is not birationally equivalent to $C(e'_1, e'_2, e'_3)$. One gets a certain algebraic condition on the numbers $e_1, e_2, e_3, e'_1, e'_2, e'_3$. See [12] for details.

1.5. Necessary conditions for integrability. As has been said in Section 1.1, in this paper, integrability of PDEs is understood in the sense of soliton theory and the inverse scattering method, relying on the use of ZCRs.

As has been discussed in Section 1.1, for each $(1+1)$ -dimensional scalar evolution equation (1), we have the family of Lie algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$, where \mathcal{E} is the infinite prolongation of equation (1), a is a point of the manifold \mathcal{E} , and $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. (A detailed definition of $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for a given equation (1) is presented in Section 2.)

In this subsection and in Subsection 1.6 we show that, using the Lie algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$, one obtains some necessary conditions for integrability of equations (1). Examples of the use of these conditions in proving non-integrability for some scalar evolution equations are given in Example 5 and in Subsection 1.6.

In this subsection, \mathfrak{g} is a finite-dimensional matrix Lie algebra, and \mathcal{E} is the infinite prolongation of an equation of the form (1). ZCRs and gauge transformations are supposed to be defined on a neighborhood of a point $a \in \mathcal{E}$.

Let \mathcal{G} be the connected matrix Lie group corresponding to the matrix Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . (The precise definition of \mathcal{G} has been given in Section 1.2.) Recall that a gauge transformation is given by an invertible matrix-function $G = G(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_l)$ with values in \mathcal{G} .

Remark 14. As has been said in Section 1.1, we consider gauge transformations of the form $G(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_l)$, which act on the set of ZCRs of a given equation (1). We would like to emphasize that equation (1) remains fixed and does not change under the action of gauge transformations. Also, we do not have any action on solutions of equation (1).

In the literature on integrable PDEs, other authors sometimes consider transformations of different nature with different properties and call them gauge transformations. So, when one speaks about gauge transformations, one should carefully define what they are.

A \mathfrak{g} -valued ZCR

$$A = A(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots), \quad B = B(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots), \quad D_x(B) - D_t(A) + [A, B] = 0$$

is called *gauge-nilpotent* if there is a gauge transformation $G = G(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_l)$ such that the functions

$$\tilde{A} = GAG^{-1} - D_x(G) \cdot G^{-1}, \quad \tilde{B} = GBG^{-1} - D_t(G) \cdot G^{-1}$$

take values in a nilpotent Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . In other words, a \mathfrak{g} -valued ZCR is gauge-nilpotent iff it is gauge equivalent to a ZCR with values in a nilpotent Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} .

It is known that a ZCR with values in a nilpotent Lie algebra cannot establish integrability of a given equation (1). Therefore, a gauge-nilpotent ZCR cannot establish integrability of (1) either, because a gauge-nilpotent ZCR is equivalent to a ZCR with values in a nilpotent Lie algebra.

Hence the property

(25) “there is \mathfrak{g} such that equation (1) possesses a \mathfrak{g} -valued ZCR which is not gauge-nilpotent”

can be regarded as a necessary condition for integrability of equation (1).

According to Theorem 9 in Section 2, for any \mathfrak{g} -valued ZCR of order $\leq p$, there is a homomorphism $\mu: \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ such that this ZCR is gauge equivalent to a ZCR with values in the Lie subalgebra $\mu(\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)) \subset \mathfrak{g}$.

Therefore, if for each $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and each $a \in \mathcal{E}$ the Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is nilpotent then any ZCR of (1) is gauge-nilpotent, which implies that equation (1) is not integrable. This yields the following result.

Theorem 3. *Let \mathcal{E} be the infinite prolongation of an equation of the form (1). If for each $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and each $a \in \mathcal{E}$ the Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is nilpotent, then this equation is not integrable.*

In other words, the property

$$(26) \quad \text{“there exist } p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ and } a \in \mathcal{E} \text{ such that the Lie algebra } \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \text{ is not nilpotent”}$$

is a necessary condition for integrability of equation (1).

Remark 15. Recall that equation (1) reads $u_t = F(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_d)$. According to Section 2, the Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for this equation is defined in terms of generators and relations. To define the generators and relations for $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ in Section 2, we use some procedure, which involves the function $F = F(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_d)$ and its partial derivatives. (In this procedure we use the operators D_x, D_t , which are determined by the function F and its partial derivatives, according to formula (5).)

Therefore, conditions on $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ can be understood as some conditions on the function F from (1).

For some classes of equations (1) one can find a nonnegative integer r such that for any $k > r$ the algebra $\mathbb{F}^k(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is obtained from $\mathbb{F}^{k-1}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ by central extension. This implies that for any $k > r$ the algebra $\mathbb{F}^k(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is obtained from $\mathbb{F}^r(\mathcal{E}, a)$ by applying several times the operation of central extension. Then condition (26) should be checked for $p = r$.

For example, according to Theorem 1 and Remark 10, for equations of the form (19) we can take $r = q - 1 + \delta_{q,3}$. According to Proposition 1, for the Krichever-Novikov equation (20) one can take $r = 1$.

Let us show how this works for equations (19).

Theorem 4. *Let \mathcal{E} be the infinite prolongation of an equation of the form*

$$(27) \quad u_t = u_{2q+1} + f(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{2q-1}), \quad q \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

Let $a \in \mathcal{E}$. If the Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}^{q-1+\delta_{q,3}}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is nilpotent, then $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is nilpotent for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Proof. According to Theorem 1 and Remark 10, for every $p \geq q + \delta_{q,3}$ the Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is obtained from $\mathbb{F}^{q-1+\delta_{q,3}}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ by applying several times the operation of central extension.

Since the homomorphisms (10) are surjective, for each $\tilde{p} \leq q - 1 + \delta_{q,3}$ we have a surjective homomorphism $\mathbb{F}^{q-1+\delta_{q,3}}(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{\tilde{p}}(\mathcal{E}, a)$.

Clearly, these properties imply the statement of Theorem 4. □

Combining Theorem 4 with Theorem 3, we obtain the following.

Theorem 5. *Let \mathcal{E} be the infinite prolongation of an equation of the form (27), where $q \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.*

If for all $a \in \mathcal{E}$ the Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}^{q-1+\delta_{q,3}}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is nilpotent, then for each $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ any ZCR of order $\leq p$

$$A = A(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_p), \quad B = B(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots), \quad D_x(B) - D_t(A) + [A, B] = 0$$

is gauge-nilpotent. Hence, if $\mathbb{F}^{q-1+\delta_{q,3}}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is nilpotent for all $a \in \mathcal{E}$, then equation (27) is not integrable.

In other words, the property

$$(28) \quad \text{“the Lie algebra } \mathbb{F}^{q-1+\delta_{q,3}}(\mathcal{E}, a) \text{ is not nilpotent for some } a \in \mathcal{E}”$$

is a necessary condition for integrability of equations of the form (27).

Remark 16. In this paper we study integrability by means of ZCRs. Another well-known approach to integrability uses symmetries and conservation laws. Many remarkable classification results for some types of equations (1) possessing higher-order symmetries or conservation laws are known (see, e.g., [20, 21, 28] and references therein).

However, it is also known that the approach of symmetries and conservation laws is not completely universal for the study of integrability. For a given evolution equation, non-existence of higher-order symmetries and conservation laws does not guarantee non-integrability.

For example, in [25] one can find a scalar evolution equation which is connected with KdV by a Miura-type transformation and is, therefore, integrable, but does not possess higher-order symmetries and conservation laws.

Example 5. Consider (27) in the case $q = 2$. Let \mathcal{E} be the infinite prolongation of an equation of the form

$$(29) \quad u_t = u_5 + f(x, t, u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3).$$

According to Theorem 12 in Section 4, if $\frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial u_3 \partial u_3 \partial u_3} \neq 0$ then $\mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a) = 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{E}$.

Combining this with Theorem 5, we get the following. If $\frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial u_3 \partial u_3 \partial u_3} \neq 0$ then equation (29) is not integrable.

Another example of proving non-integrability for some evolution equations is presented in Subsection 1.6

1.6. An evolution equation related to the Hénon-Heiles system. The following scalar evolution equation was studied by A. P. Fordy [7] in connection with the Hénon-Heiles system

$$(30) \quad u_t = u_{xxxxx} + (4\alpha - 6\beta)u_x u_{xx} + (8\alpha - 2\beta)u u_{xxx} - 20\alpha\beta u^2 u_x.$$

Here $u = u(x, t)$ is a \mathbb{K} -valued function, where \mathbb{K} is either \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{R} , and α, β are arbitrary constants. (In [7] these constants are denoted by a, b , but we use the symbol a for a different purpose.)

If $\alpha = \beta = 0$ then (30) is the linear equation $u_t = u_{xxxxx}$. Since we intend to study nonlinear PDEs, in what follows we suppose that at least one of the constants α, β is nonzero. We want to determine for which values of α, β equation (30) is not integrable.

The following facts were noticed in [7].

- If $\alpha + \beta = 0$ then (30) is equivalent to the Sawada-Kotera equation. (That is, if $\alpha + \beta = 0$ then (30) can be transformed to the Sawada-Kotera equation by scaling of the variables. As has been said above, we assume that at least one of the constants α, β is nonzero.)
- If $6\alpha + \beta = 0$ then (30) is equivalent to the 5th-order flow in the KdV hierarchy.
- If $16\alpha + \beta = 0$ then (30) is equivalent to the Kaup-Kupershmidt equation.

So in the cases $\alpha + \beta = 0$, $6\alpha + \beta = 0$, $16\alpha + \beta = 0$ equation (30) is equivalent to a well-known integrable equation.

Now we need to study the case

$$(31) \quad \alpha + \beta \neq 0, \quad 6\alpha + \beta \neq 0, \quad 16\alpha + \beta \neq 0.$$

As has been discussed in Remark 16, there are several different approaches to the notion of integrability of PDEs. According to [7] and references therein, in the case (31) equation (30) is not integrable in the approach of symmetries and conservation laws. (This means that the equation does not possess higher-order symmetries and conservation laws.) However, according to Remark 16, this fact does not guarantee non-integrability of (30) in some other approaches.

Let us see what the structure of the algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ can say about integrability or non-integrability of equation (30) in the case (31). Lemma 1 is proved in [12].

Lemma 1 ([12]). *Let \mathcal{E} be the infinite prolongation of equation (30). Let $a \in \mathcal{E}$. Then*

- *the Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is obtained from $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ by central extension,*
- *if (31) holds and $\alpha \neq 0$, the algebra $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of the 3-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K})$ and an abelian Lie algebra of dimension ≤ 3 ,*
- *if $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$, the Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is nilpotent and is of dimension ≤ 6 .*

Combining Lemma 1 with Theorem 1 and Remark 10, we get the following.

Theorem 6. *Let \mathcal{E} be the infinite prolongation of equation (30). Let $a \in \mathcal{E}$. Then one has the following.*

- *For any $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the kernel of the surjective homomorphism $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ from (10) is nilpotent. The algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is obtained from the algebra $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ by applying several times the operation of central extension.*
- *If (31) holds and $\alpha \neq 0$, then $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K})$ and an abelian Lie algebra of dimension ≤ 3 , and for each $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ there is a surjective homomorphism $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K})$ with nilpotent kernel.*
- *If $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$, the Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is nilpotent for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.*

According to Theorem 6, if $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$ then for any $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ the Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for (30) is nilpotent. Then Theorem 3 implies that equation (30) is not integrable in the case when $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$.

Now it remains to study the case when (31) holds and $\alpha \neq 0$. Before doing this, we need to discuss something else.

All our experience in the study of the algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for various evolution equations suggests that the following conjecture is valid.

Conjecture 1. *Let \mathcal{E} be the infinite prolongation of a (1+1)-dimensional evolution equation (1). Suppose that the equation is integrable. Then there exist $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $a \in \mathcal{E}$ such that*

- *the Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is infinite-dimensional,*
- *for any nilpotent ideal $\mathfrak{I} \subset \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$, the quotient Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)/\mathfrak{I}$ is infinite-dimensional as well.*

This conjecture is supported by the following examples.

Example 6. According to Theorem 2, for the KdV equation, the Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is isomorphic to the direct sum $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda]) \oplus \mathbb{K}^3$, where \mathbb{K}^3 is a 3-dimensional abelian Lie algebra. Hence $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda])$ is embedded in $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ as a subalgebra of codimension 3.

Evidently, the infinite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda])$ does not have any nontrivial nilpotent ideals. Hence, for any nilpotent ideal $\mathfrak{I} \subset \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$, one has $\mathfrak{I} \cap \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda]) = 0$ and, therefore, $\dim \mathfrak{I} \leq 3$. This implies that Conjecture 1 is valid for the KdV equation.

Example 7. Recall that the KdV hierarchy consists of commuting flows, which are scalar evolution equations of orders $2k + 1$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. The standard $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K})$ -valued ZCR for the KdV hierarchy depends polynomially on a parameter λ . Therefore, this ZCR can be regarded as a ZCR with values in $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda])$.

It can be shown that this gives a surjective homomorphism $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda])$ for each equation in the hierarchy. Since the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda])$ is infinite-dimensional and does not have any nontrivial nilpotent ideals, this implies that $\dim \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a) = \infty$ and $\dim \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)/\mathfrak{I} = \infty$ for any nilpotent ideal $\mathfrak{I} \subset \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$, so Conjecture 1 holds true for each equation in the KdV hierarchy.

Example 8. According to Proposition 1, for the Krichever-Novikov equation $\text{KN}(e_1, e_2, e_3)$ in the case $e_1 \neq e_2 \neq e_3 \neq e_1$, one has $\mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a) \cong \mathfrak{R}_{e_1, e_2, e_3}$. Using the basis (22) for $\mathfrak{R}_{e_1, e_2, e_3}$, one can easily show that the infinite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{R}_{e_1, e_2, e_3}$ does not have any nontrivial nilpotent ideals. Therefore, $\mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is infinite-dimensional and does not have any nontrivial nilpotent ideals, which implies that Conjecture 1 is valid in this case.

According to [32], if $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ are such that $e_i = e_j$ for some $i \neq j$, then the Krichever-Novikov equation $\text{KN}(e_1, e_2, e_3)$ is connected by a Miura-type transformation with the KdV equation. Using this fact and the fact that Conjecture 1 is valid for the KdV equation, one can show that Conjecture 1 holds true for the equation $\text{KN}(e_1, e_2, e_3)$ when $e_i = e_j$ for some $i \neq j$.

Example 9. In this paper we study scalar evolution PDEs (1). As has been said in Remark 8, it is possible to introduce an analog of $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for multicomponent evolution PDEs (18). Therefore, one can try to check Conjecture 1 for multicomponent evolution PDEs. Computations in [11] show that Conjecture 1 holds true for the Landau-Lifshitz, nonlinear Schrödinger equations (which can be regarded as 2-component evolution PDEs) and for a number of other multicomponent PDEs. (The preprint [11] is not in final form yet and will be updated in arxiv.)

Example 10. The standard Lax pair of the Sawada-Kotera equation can be interpreted as an $\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{K})$ -valued ZCR of the form

$$A = A(\lambda, u_0), \quad B = B(\lambda, u_0, u_1, u_3, u_4), \quad D_x(B) - D_t(A) + [A, B] = 0,$$

where the $\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{K})$ -valued functions A, B depend polynomially on a parameter λ . This gives a homomorphism $\mu: \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{K}[\lambda])$ for the Sawada-Kotera equation. Analyzing the image $\mu(\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)) \subset \mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{K}[\lambda])$ of this homomorphism, one can prove that $\dim \mu(\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)) = \infty$ and $\dim (\mu(\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a))/\mathfrak{J}) = \infty$ for any nilpotent ideal $\mathfrak{J} \subset \mu(\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a))$ of the Lie algebra $\mu(\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a))$. This implies that Conjecture 1 is valid for the Sawada-Kotera equation.

Using similar arguments, one can show that Conjecture 1 is valid also for the Kaup-Kupershmidt equation.

Now return to the study of equation (30) in the case when (31) holds and $\alpha \neq 0$. According to Theorem 6, for any $a \in \mathcal{E}$ and any $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ there is a surjective homomorphism $\psi: \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K})$ with nilpotent kernel. Let $\mathfrak{J} \subset \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ be the kernel of ψ . Then \mathfrak{J} is a nilpotent ideal of $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$, and we have

$$\dim \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)/\mathfrak{J} = \dim \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}) = 3.$$

Then Conjecture 1 implies that equation (30) is not integrable in this case.

1.7. Additional remarks.

Remark 17. As has been said in Section 1.1, in this paper, when we study gauge transformations for ZCRs with values in a matrix Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_N$, we consider gauge transformations with values in the connected matrix Lie group $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathrm{GL}_N$ corresponding to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_N$.

Alternatively, one can take some other Lie group $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} \subset \mathrm{GL}_N$ whose Lie algebra is \mathfrak{g} and consider gauge transformations with values in $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$. The results of this paper will remain valid, if one replaces \mathcal{G} by $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ everywhere.

Another possibility is the following. Since $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_N$, one can regard \mathfrak{g} -valued ZCRs as \mathfrak{gl}_N -valued ZCRs and consider gauge transformations with values in GL_N . (So one can replace \mathfrak{g} by \mathfrak{gl}_N and \mathcal{G} by GL_N everywhere.)

Remark 18. It is well known that equation (4) implies $D_x(\tilde{B}) - D_t(\tilde{A}) + [\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}] = 0$ for \tilde{A}, \tilde{B} given by (9). Indeed, formulas (9) yield $D_x + \tilde{A} = G(D_x + A)G^{-1}$ and $D_x + \tilde{B} = G(D_t + B)G^{-1}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} D_x(\tilde{B}) - D_t(\tilde{A}) + [\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}] &= [D_x + \tilde{A}, D_t + \tilde{B}] = [G(D_x + A)G^{-1}, G(D_t + B)G^{-1}] = \\ &= G[D_x + A, D_t + B]G^{-1} = G(D_x(B) - D_t(A) + [A, B])G^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the equation $D_x(B) - D_t(A) + [A, B] = 0$ implies $D_x(\tilde{B}) - D_t(\tilde{A}) + [\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}] = 0$.

Remark 19. Somewhat similar (but not the same) ideas on ZCRs and BTs were considered in [8] for a few equations of order 3. The theory described in the present paper is much more powerful than that of [8].

Indeed, in [8] one studied a certain class of transformations of PDEs and considered three examples: the KdV equation, the Krichever-Novikov equation, and the linear equation $u_t = u_{xxx}$. For these equations, the paper [8] introduced Lie algebras \mathfrak{f}_p for $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ so that representations of \mathfrak{f}_p classify ZCRs the form

$$(32) \quad A = A(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_p), \quad B = B(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{p+2}), \quad D_x(B) - D_t(A) + [A, B] = 0$$

up to local gauge equivalence. Since the paper [8] assumed that A, B in (32) do not depend on x, t , the algebras \mathfrak{f}_p in [8] are different from the algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ defined in the present paper. (Recall that the algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ are responsible for ZCRs (3), (4), where dependence on x, t is allowed.)

So in [8] one studied ZCRs and transformations that are invariant with respect to the change of variables $x \mapsto x + \alpha, t \mapsto t + \beta$ for any constants α, β . In this framework, using finite-dimensional quotients of the algebras \mathfrak{f}_p , the paper [8] proved that the equation $u_t = u_{xxx}$ is not connected with the KdV equation and is not connected with the Krichever-Novikov equation by any BTs.

Concerning necessary conditions for existence of Bäcklund transformations between two given equations, Proposition 2 described above is much more powerful than the theory of [8]. Indeed, Proposition 2 works with the algebras $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}, a)$, which are infinite-dimensional in interesting cases and contain much more information than the finite-dimensional quotients of \mathfrak{f}_p considered in [8].

For example, Proposition 3 is proved in [12] by means of Proposition 2. The theory of [8] is not sufficient to obtain the result of Proposition 3.

Using the algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$, in Sections 1.5, 1.6 we have presented some necessary conditions for integrability of equations (1) and have proved non-integrability for some equations. Such results on integrability and non-integrability were not considered at all in [8].

Remark 20. For the Burgers and KdV equations, ZCRs of the form

$$(33) \quad A = A(u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots), \quad B = B(u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots), \quad D_x(B) - D_t(A) + [A, B] = 0$$

(where A and B may depend on any finite number of the coordinates u_k) were studied in [6]. However, gauge transformations were not considered in [6]. Because of this, the paper [6] had to impose some additional constraints on the functions A, B in (33).

Remark 21. Some other approaches to the study of the action of gauge transformations on ZCRs can be found in [17, 18, 19, 26, 27, 30] and references therein. For a given ZCR with values in a matrix Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , the papers [17, 18, 26] define certain \mathfrak{g} -valued functions, which transform by conjugation when the ZCR transforms by gauge. Applications of these functions to construction and classification of some types of ZCRs are described in [17, 18, 19, 26, 27, 30].

To our knowledge, the theory of [17, 18, 19, 26, 27, 30] does not produce any infinite-dimensional Lie algebras responsible for ZCRs. So this theory does not contain the algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$.

2. ZCRs, GAUGE TRANSFORMATIONS, AND THE ALGEBRAS $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$

Recall that x, t, u_k take values in \mathbb{K} , where \mathbb{K} is either \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{R} . Let \mathbb{K}^∞ be the infinite-dimensional space with the coordinates x, t, u_k for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. The topology on \mathbb{K}^∞ is defined as follows.

For each $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, consider the space \mathbb{K}^{l+3} with the coordinates x, t, u_k for $k \leq l$. One has the natural projection $\pi_l: \mathbb{K}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^{l+3}$ that “forgets” the coordinates $u_{k'}$ for $k' > l$.

Since \mathbb{K}^{l+3} is a finite-dimensional vector space, we have the standard topology on \mathbb{K}^{l+3} . For any $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and any open subset $V \subset \mathbb{K}^{l+3}$, the subset $\pi_l^{-1}(V) \subset \mathbb{K}^\infty$ is, by definition, open in \mathbb{K}^∞ . Such subsets form a base of the topology on \mathbb{K}^∞ . In other words, we consider the smallest topology on \mathbb{K}^∞ such that the maps $\pi_l, l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, are continuous.

Let $\mathbb{U} \subset \mathbb{K}^{d+3}$ be an open subset such that the function $F(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_d)$ from (1) is defined on \mathbb{U} . The *infinite prolongation* \mathcal{E} of equation (1) is defined as follows $\mathcal{E} = \pi_d^{-1}(\mathbb{U}) \subset \mathbb{K}^\infty$.

So \mathcal{E} is an open subset of the space \mathbb{K}^∞ with the coordinates x, t, u_k for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. The topology on \mathcal{E} is induced by the embedding $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{K}^\infty$.

Example 11. Using the notation (2), for any constants $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \mathbb{K}$ we can rewrite the Krichever-Novikov equation (20) as follows

$$(34) \quad u_t = F(x, t, u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3),$$

$$(35) \quad F(x, t, u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3) = u_3 - \frac{3(u_2)^2}{2u_1} + \frac{(u_0 - e_1)(u_0 - e_2)(u_0 - e_3)}{u_1}.$$

Since the right-hand side of (34) depends on u_k for $k \leq 3$, we have here $d = 3$.

Let \mathbb{K}^6 be the space with the coordinates x, t, u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3 . According to (35), the function F is defined on the open subset $\mathbb{U} \subset \mathbb{K}^6$ determined by the condition $u_1 \neq 0$.

Recall that \mathbb{K}^∞ is the space with the coordinates x, t, u_k for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We have the map $\pi_3: \mathbb{K}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^6$ that “forgets” the coordinates $u_{k'}$ for $k' > 3$. The infinite prolongation \mathcal{E} of equation (34) is the following open subset of \mathbb{K}^∞

$$\mathcal{E} = \pi_3^{-1}(\mathbb{U}) = \{(x, t, u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{K}^\infty \mid u_1 \neq 0\}.$$

Consider again an arbitrary scalar evolution equation (1). As has been said above, the infinite prolongation \mathcal{E} of (1) is an open subset of the space \mathbb{K}^∞ with the coordinates x, t, u_k for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

A point $a \in \mathcal{E}$ is determined by the values of the coordinates x, t, u_k at a . Let

$$(36) \quad a = (x = x_a, t = t_a, u_k = a_k) \in \mathcal{E}, \quad x_a, t_a, a_k \in \mathbb{K}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},$$

be a point of \mathcal{E} . In other words, the constants x_a, t_a, a_k are the coordinates of the point $a \in \mathcal{E}$ in the coordinate system x, t, u_k .

Recall that, for every $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we denote by \mathfrak{gl}_N the algebra of $N \times N$ matrices with entries from \mathbb{K} and by GL_N the group of invertible $N \times N$ matrices. Let $\mathrm{Id} \in \mathrm{GL}_N$ be the identity matrix.

For every Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_N$ there is a unique connected immersed Lie subgroup $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathrm{GL}_N$ whose Lie algebra is \mathfrak{g} . We call \mathcal{G} the connected matrix Lie group corresponding to the matrix Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_N$.

For any $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, a matrix-function $G = G(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_l)$ with values in \mathcal{G} is called a *gauge transformation*. Equivalently, one can say that a gauge transformation is given by a \mathcal{G} -valued function $G = G(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_l)$.

In this section, when we speak about ZCRs, we always mean that we speak about ZCRs of equation (1). For each $i = 1, 2$, let

$$A_i = A_i(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots), \quad B_i = B_i(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots), \quad D_x(B_i) - D_t(A_i) + [A_i, B_i] = 0$$

be a \mathfrak{g} -valued ZCR. The ZCR A_1, B_1 is said to be *gauge equivalent* to the ZCR A_2, B_2 if there is a gauge transformation $G = G(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_l)$ such that

$$A_1 = GA_2G^{-1} - D_x(G) \cdot G^{-1}, \quad B_1 = GB_2G^{-1} - D_t(G) \cdot G^{-1}.$$

The following lemma is known, but for completeness we present a proof of it.

Lemma 2. *Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Let $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_N$ be a matrix Lie algebra and $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathrm{GL}_N$ be the connected matrix Lie group corresponding to $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_N$.*

Let

$$(37) \quad A = A(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_p), \quad B = B(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{p+d-1}), \quad D_x(B) - D_t(A) + [A, B] = 0$$

be a ZCR of order $\leq p$ such that the functions A, B take values in \mathfrak{g} . Here D_x and D_t are given by (5).

Then for any \mathcal{G} -valued function

$$(38) \quad G = G(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{p-1})$$

depending on $x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{p-1}$, the functions

$$(39) \quad \tilde{A} = GAG^{-1} - D_x(G) \cdot G^{-1}, \quad \tilde{B} = GBG^{-1} - D_t(G) \cdot G^{-1}$$

form a \mathfrak{g} -valued ZCR of order $\leq p$. That is,

$$(40) \quad \tilde{A} = \tilde{A}(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_p), \quad \tilde{B} = \tilde{B}(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{p+d-1}), \quad D_x(\tilde{B}) - D_t(\tilde{A}) + [\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}] = 0,$$

and \tilde{A}, \tilde{B} take values in \mathfrak{g} . Formulas (39) determine an action of the group of \mathcal{G} -valued gauge transformations (38) on the set of \mathfrak{g} -valued ZCRs of order $\leq p$.

Proof. Since A, B take values in \mathfrak{g} and G takes values in the connected matrix Lie group \mathcal{G} corresponding to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_N$, the functions

$$(41) \quad GAG^{-1}, \quad GBG^{-1}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(G) \cdot G^{-1}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(G) \cdot G^{-1}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}(G) \cdot G^{-1} \quad \forall k$$

take values in \mathfrak{g} . Hence the functions \tilde{A}, \tilde{B} given by (39) take values in \mathfrak{g} as well. Using formulas (5), (37), (39) and the fact that G may depend only on $x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{p-1}$, we easily get (40).

One has $D_x + \tilde{A} = G(D_x + A)G^{-1}$ and $D_x + \tilde{B} = G(D_t + B)G^{-1}$, which implies that formulas (39) determine an action of the group of \mathcal{G} -valued gauge transformations (38) on the set of \mathfrak{g} -valued ZCRs of order $\leq p$. \square

Remark 22. For any $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, when we consider a function $Q = Q(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_l)$ defined on a neighborhood of $a \in \mathcal{E}$, we always assume that the function is analytic on this neighborhood. For example, Q may be a meromorphic function defined on an open subset of \mathcal{E} such that Q is analytic on a neighborhood of $a \in \mathcal{E}$. In particular, this applies to the functions A, B considered in Theorem 7 below.

Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. For a function $M = M(x, t, u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots)$, the notation $M \Big|_{u_k=a_k, k \geq s}$ means that we substitute $u_k = a_k$ for all $k \geq s$ in the function M .

Also, sometimes we need to substitute $x = x_a$ or $t = t_a$ in such functions. For example, if $M = M(x, t, u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3)$, then

$$M \Big|_{x=x_a, u_k=a_k, k \geq 2} = M(x_a, t, u_0, u_1, a_2, a_3).$$

Theorem 7. Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Let $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_N$ be a matrix Lie algebra and $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathrm{GL}_N$ be the connected matrix Lie group corresponding to $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_N$.

Let

$$(42) \quad A = A(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_p), \quad B = B(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{p+d-1}), \quad D_x(B) - D_t(A) + [A, B] = 0$$

be a ZCR of order $\leq p$ such that the functions A, B are defined on a neighborhood of $a \in \mathcal{E}$ and take values in \mathfrak{g} .

Then there is a \mathcal{G} -valued function $G = G(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{p-1})$ on a neighborhood of $a \in \mathcal{E}$ such that the functions

$$(43) \quad \tilde{A} = GAG^{-1} - D_x(G) \cdot G^{-1}, \quad \tilde{B} = GBG^{-1} - D_t(G) \cdot G^{-1}$$

satisfy

$$(44) \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{A}}{\partial u_s} \Big|_{u_k=a_k, k \geq s} = 0 \quad \forall s \geq 1,$$

$$(45) \quad \tilde{A} \Big|_{u_k=a_k, k \geq 0} = 0,$$

$$(46) \quad \tilde{B} \Big|_{x=x_a, u_k=a_k, k \geq 0} = 0,$$

and one has

$$(47) \quad G \Big|_{x=x_a, t=t_a, u_k=a_k, k \geq 0} = \mathrm{Id}.$$

Note that, according to Lemma 2, the functions (43) form a \mathfrak{g} -valued ZCR of order $\leq p$. That is,

$$(48) \quad \tilde{A} = \tilde{A}(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_p), \quad \tilde{B} = \tilde{B}(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{p+d-1}),$$

$$(49) \quad D_x(\tilde{B}) - D_t(\tilde{A}) + [\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}] = 0,$$

and \tilde{A}, \tilde{B} take values in \mathfrak{g} . Furthermore, in Theorem 8 below we will show that a \mathcal{G} -valued function G satisfying the above properties is unique.

Proof. To explain the main idea, let us consider first the case $p = 2$. So $A = A(x, t, u_0, u_1, u_2)$.

Consider the ordinary differential equation (ODE)

$$(50) \quad \frac{\partial G_1}{\partial u_1} = G_1 \cdot \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial u_2} \Big|_{u_k=a_k, k \geq 2} \right)$$

with respect to the variable u_1 and an unknown function $G_1 = G_1(x, t, u_0, u_1)$. The variables x, t, u_0 are regarded as parameters in this ODE.

Let $G_1(x, t, u_0, u_1)$ be a local solution of the ODE (50) with the initial condition $G_1(x, t, u_0, a_1) = \mathrm{Id}$. Since $\partial A / \partial u_2$ takes values in \mathfrak{g} , the function $G_1(x, t, u_0, u_1)$ takes values in \mathcal{G} . Set

$$(51) \quad \hat{A} = G_1 A G_1^{-1} - D_x(G_1) \cdot G_1^{-1}, \quad \hat{B} = G_1 B G_1^{-1} - D_t(G_1) \cdot G_1^{-1}.$$

As G_1 takes values in \mathcal{G} , the functions \hat{A} , \hat{B} take values in \mathfrak{g} . Using (51) and (50), we get

$$(52) \quad \left. \frac{\partial \hat{A}}{\partial u_2} \right|_{u_k=a_k, k \geq 2} = G_1 \left(\left. \frac{\partial A}{\partial u_2} \right|_{u_k=a_k, k \geq 2} \right) G_1^{-1} - \left(\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial u_2} (D_x(G_1)) \right|_{u_k=a_k, k \geq 2} \right) G_1^{-1} =$$

$$= G_1 \left(\left. \frac{\partial A}{\partial u_2} \right|_{u_k=a_k, k \geq 2} \right) G_1^{-1} - \frac{\partial G_1}{\partial u_1} G_1^{-1} = G_1 \left(\left. \frac{\partial A}{\partial u_2} \right|_{u_k=a_k, k \geq 2} \right) G_1^{-1} - G_1 \left(\left. \frac{\partial A}{\partial u_2} \right|_{u_k=a_k, k \geq 2} \right) G_1^{-1} = 0.$$

Now consider the ODE

$$(53) \quad \frac{\partial G_0}{\partial u_0} = G_0 \cdot \left(\left. \frac{\partial \hat{A}}{\partial u_1} \right|_{u_k=a_k, k \geq 1} \right)$$

with respect to the variable u_0 and an unknown function $G_0 = G_0(x, t, u_0)$, where x, t are regarded as parameters.

Let $G_0(x, t, u_0)$ be a local solution of the ODE (53) with the initial condition $G_0(x, t, a_0) = \text{Id}$. Since $\partial \hat{A} / \partial u_1$ takes values in \mathfrak{g} , the function $G_0(x, t, u_0)$ takes values in \mathcal{G} . Set

$$(54) \quad \bar{A} = G_0 \hat{A} G_0^{-1} - D_x(G_0) \cdot G_0^{-1}, \quad \bar{B} = G_0 \hat{B} G_0^{-1} - D_t(G_0) \cdot G_0^{-1}.$$

Then (52), (53), (54) yield

$$\left. \frac{\partial \bar{A}}{\partial u_s} \right|_{u_k=a_k, k \geq s} = 0 \quad \forall s \geq 1.$$

Furthermore, as G_0 takes values in \mathcal{G} , the functions \bar{A} , \bar{B} take values in \mathfrak{g} .

Let $\tilde{G} = \tilde{G}(x, t)$ be a local solution of the ODE

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{G}}{\partial x} = \tilde{G} \cdot \left(\bar{A} \Big|_{u_k=a_k, k \geq 0} \right)$$

with the initial condition $\tilde{G}(x_a, t) = \text{Id}$, where t is viewed as a parameter. Set

$$(55) \quad \check{A} = \tilde{G} \bar{A} \tilde{G}^{-1} - D_x(\tilde{G}) \cdot \tilde{G}^{-1}, \quad \check{B} = \tilde{G} \bar{B} \tilde{G}^{-1} - D_t(\tilde{G}) \cdot \tilde{G}^{-1}.$$

Then

$$\left. \frac{\partial \check{A}}{\partial u_s} \right|_{u_k=a_k, k \geq s} = 0 \quad \forall s \geq 1, \quad \check{A} \Big|_{u_k=a_k, k \geq 0} = 0.$$

Now let $\hat{G} = \hat{G}(t)$ be a local solution of the ODE

$$(56) \quad \frac{\partial \hat{G}}{\partial t} = \hat{G} \cdot \left(\check{B} \Big|_{x=x_a, u_k=a_k, k \geq 0} \right)$$

with the initial condition $\hat{G}(t_a) = \text{Id}$. Since \bar{A} takes values in \mathfrak{g} , the function \tilde{G} takes values in \mathcal{G} . Then we see that \check{A} , \check{B} given by (55) take values in \mathfrak{g} , which implies that \hat{G} takes values in \mathcal{G} . Set

$$(57) \quad \tilde{A} = \hat{G} \check{A} \hat{G}^{-1} - D_x(\hat{G}) \cdot \hat{G}^{-1}, \quad \tilde{B} = \hat{G} \check{B} \hat{G}^{-1} - D_t(\hat{G}) \cdot \hat{G}^{-1}.$$

Then \tilde{A} , \tilde{B} obey (44), (45), (46) and take values in \mathfrak{g} .

Let $G = \hat{G} \cdot \tilde{G} \cdot G_0 \cdot G_1$. Then equations (51), (54), (55), (57) imply

$$\tilde{A} = G A G^{-1} - D_x(G) \cdot G^{-1}, \quad \tilde{B} = G B G^{-1} - D_t(G) \cdot G^{-1}.$$

Furthermore, since

$$G_1(x, t, u_0, a_1) = G_0(x, t, a_0) = \tilde{G}(x_a, t) = \hat{G}(t_a) = \text{Id},$$

we have $G(x_a, t_a, a_0^1, a_0^2) = \text{Id}$. Thus $G = \hat{G} \cdot \tilde{G} \cdot G_0 \cdot G_1$ satisfies all the required properties in the case $p = 2$.

This construction can be easily generalized to the case of arbitrary p . One can define G as the product $G = \hat{G} \cdot \tilde{G} \cdot G_0 \cdot G_1 \dots G_{p-1}$, where the \mathcal{G} -valued functions

$$G_q = G_q(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_q), \quad q = 0, 1, \dots, p-1, \quad \tilde{G} = \tilde{G}(x, t), \quad \hat{G} = \hat{G}(t)$$

are defined as solutions of certain ODEs similar to the ODEs considered above. \square

Fix a point $a \in \mathcal{E}$ given by (36), which is determined by constants x_a, t_a, a_k .

A ZCR

$$(58) \quad A = A(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots), \quad B = B(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots), \quad D_x(B) - D_t(A) + [A, B] = 0$$

is said to be a -normal if A, B satisfy the following equations

$$(59) \quad \left. \frac{\partial A}{\partial u_s} \right|_{u_k=a_k, k \geq s} = 0 \quad \forall s \geq 1,$$

$$(60) \quad \left. A \right|_{u_k=a_k, k \geq 0} = 0,$$

$$(61) \quad \left. B \right|_{x=x_a, u_k=a_k, k \geq 0} = 0.$$

Remark 23. For example, the ZCR \tilde{A}, \tilde{B} described in Theorem 7 is a -normal, because \tilde{A}, \tilde{B} obey (44), (45), (46). Theorem 7 implies that any ZCR on a neighborhood of $a \in \mathcal{E}$ is gauge equivalent to an a -normal ZCR. Therefore, we can say that properties (59), (60), (61) determine a normal form for ZCRs with respect to the action of the group of gauge transformations on a neighborhood of $a \in \mathcal{E}$.

Analyzing properties (59), (60), (61) of a -normal ZCRs, it is easy to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3. Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Let $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_N$ be a matrix Lie algebra and $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathrm{GL}_N$ be the connected matrix Lie group corresponding to $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_N$.

For each $i = 1, 2$, let

$$A_i = A_i(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{p_i}), \quad B_i = B_i(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{p_i+d-1}), \quad D_x(B_i) - D_t(A_i) + [A_i, B_i] = 0$$

be an a -normal ZCR of order $\leq p_i$ such that the functions A_i, B_i are defined on a neighborhood of $a \in \mathcal{E}$ and take values in \mathfrak{g} .

Suppose that on a neighborhood of $a \in \mathcal{E}$ there is a function $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_l)$ with values in \mathcal{G} such that

$$A_1 = \mathbf{G}A_2\mathbf{G}^{-1} - D_x(\mathbf{G}) \cdot \mathbf{G}^{-1}, \quad B_1 = \mathbf{G}B_2\mathbf{G}^{-1} - D_t(\mathbf{G}) \cdot \mathbf{G}^{-1}.$$

In other words, we suppose that the a -normal ZCR A_1, B_1 is gauge equivalent to the a -normal ZCR A_2, B_2 by means of a gauge transformation $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_l)$.

Then the function \mathbf{G} is actually a constant element of the group \mathcal{G} (that is, \mathbf{G} does not depend on x, t, u_k), and we have

$$A_1 = \mathbf{G}A_2\mathbf{G}^{-1}, \quad B_1 = \mathbf{G}B_2\mathbf{G}^{-1}.$$

Theorem 8. Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Let $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_N$ be a matrix Lie algebra and $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathrm{GL}_N$ be the connected matrix Lie group corresponding to $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_N$.

Let

$$(62) \quad A = A(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_p), \quad B = B(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{p+d-1}), \quad D_x(B) - D_t(A) + [A, B] = 0$$

be a ZCR of order $\leq p$ such that the functions A, B are defined on a neighborhood of $a \in \mathcal{E}$ and take values in \mathfrak{g} .

Then on a neighborhood of $a \in \mathcal{E}$ there is a unique gauge transformation $G = G(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_l)$ such that $G(a) = \mathrm{Id}$ and the functions

$$(63) \quad \tilde{A} = GAG^{-1} - D_x(G) \cdot G^{-1}, \quad \tilde{B} = GBG^{-1} - D_t(G) \cdot G^{-1}$$

form an a -normal ZCR. (That is, the functions (63) satisfy (44), (45), (46), (49).)

Furthermore, G depends only on $x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{p-1}$, and the ZCR (63) is of order $\leq p$. (In particular, if $p = 0$ then G depends only on x, t .)

Note that, according to our definition of gauge transformations, the function G takes values in \mathcal{G} . The property $G(a) = \mathrm{Id}$ means that $G(x_a, t_a, a_0, \dots, a_{p-1}) = \mathrm{Id}$.

Proof. Existence of the required gauge transformation follows from Theorem 7. Let us prove uniqueness of it.

Suppose that we have two gauge transformations

$$G_1 = G_1(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{l_1}), \quad G_2 = G_2(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{l_2})$$

such that $G_1(a) = G_2(a) = \text{Id}$ and for each $i = 1, 2$ the ZCR given by the functions

$$(64) \quad A_i = G_i A G_i^{-1} - D_x(G_i) \cdot G_i^{-1}, \quad B_i = G_i B G_i^{-1} - D_t(G_i) \cdot G_i^{-1}$$

is a -normal.

Relations (64) say the following. For each $i = 1, 2$, applying the gauge transformation G_i to the ZCR A, B , we get the ZCR A_i, B_i . Therefore, applying the gauge transformation $G_1 G_2^{-1}$ to the ZCR A_2, B_2 , we get the ZCR A_1, B_1 . That is, from (64) one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= (G_1 G_2^{-1}) A_2 (G_1 G_2^{-1})^{-1} - D_x(G_1 G_2^{-1}) \cdot (G_1 G_2^{-1})^{-1}, \\ B_1 &= (G_1 G_2^{-1}) B_2 (G_1 G_2^{-1})^{-1} - D_t(G_1 G_2^{-1}) \cdot (G_1 G_2^{-1})^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which means that the a -normal ZCR A_1, B_1 is gauge equivalent to the a -normal ZCR A_2, B_2 by means of the gauge transformation $G_1 G_2^{-1}$. Then, by Lemma 3, the \mathcal{G} -valued function $G_1 G_2^{-1}$ is a constant element of the group \mathcal{G} . Since $G_1(a) = G_2(a) = \text{Id}$, this implies $G_1 = G_2$. \square

Remark 24. According to Remark 22, the \mathfrak{g} -valued functions (42) are analytic on a neighborhood of $a \in \mathcal{E}$. The construction of $G = G(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{p-1})$ in the proof of Theorem 7 implies that G is analytic as well. Then the \mathfrak{g} -valued functions \tilde{A}, \tilde{B} given by (43) are also analytic on a neighborhood of $a \in \mathcal{E}$.

Since \tilde{A}, \tilde{B} are analytic and are of the form (48), these functions are represented as absolutely convergent power series

$$(65) \quad \tilde{A} = \sum_{l_1, l_2, i_0, \dots, i_p \geq 0} (x - x_a)^{l_1} (t - t_a)^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{i_0} \dots (u_p - a_p)^{i_p} \cdot \tilde{A}_{i_0 \dots i_p}^{l_1, l_2},$$

$$(66) \quad \tilde{B} = \sum_{l_1, l_2, j_0, \dots, j_{p+d-1} \geq 0} (x - x_a)^{l_1} (t - t_a)^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{j_0} \dots (u_{p+d-1} - a_{p+d-1})^{j_{p+d-1}} \cdot \tilde{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1, l_2},$$

$$\tilde{A}_{i_0 \dots i_p}^{l_1, l_2}, \tilde{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1, l_2} \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we set

$$(67) \quad \mathcal{V}_k = \left\{ (i_0, \dots, i_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{k+1} \mid \exists r \in \{1, \dots, k\} \text{ such that } i_r = 1, i_q = 0 \ \forall q > r \right\}.$$

In other words, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $i_0, \dots, i_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, one has $(i_0, \dots, i_k) \in \mathcal{V}_k$ iff there is $r \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $(i_0, \dots, i_{r-1}, i_r, i_{r+1}, \dots, i_k) = (i_0, \dots, i_{r-1}, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Set also $\mathcal{V}_0 = \emptyset$. So the set \mathcal{V}_0 is empty.

Using formulas (65), (66), we see that properties (44), (45), (46) are equivalent to

$$(68) \quad \tilde{A}_{0 \dots 0}^{l_1, l_2} = \tilde{B}_{0 \dots 0}^{0, l_2} = 0, \quad \tilde{A}_{i_0 \dots i_p}^{l_1, l_2} = 0, \quad (i_0, \dots, i_p) \in \mathcal{V}_p, \quad l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Remark 25. Let \mathfrak{L} be a Lie algebra. Consider a formal power series of the form

$$C = \sum_{l_1, l_2, i_0, \dots, i_m \geq 0} (x - x_a)^{l_1} (t - t_a)^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{i_0} \dots (u_m - a_m)^{i_m} \cdot C_{i_0 \dots i_m}^{l_1, l_2}, \quad C_{i_0 \dots i_m}^{l_1, l_2} \in \mathfrak{L}.$$

Set

$$(69) \quad D_x(C) = \sum_{l_1, l_2, i_0, \dots, i_m} D_x((x - x_a)^{l_1} (t - t_a)^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{i_0} \dots (u_m - a_m)^{i_m}) \cdot C_{i_0 \dots i_m}^{l_1, l_2},$$

$$(70) \quad D_t(C) = \sum_{l_1, l_2, i_0, \dots, i_m} D_t((x - x_a)^{l_1} (t - t_a)^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{i_0} \dots (u_m - a_m)^{i_m}) \cdot C_{i_0 \dots i_m}^{l_1, l_2}.$$

The expressions

$$(71) \quad \begin{aligned} &D_x((x - x_a)^{l_1} (t - t_a)^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{i_0} \dots (u_m - a_m)^{i_m}), \\ &D_t((x - x_a)^{l_1} (t - t_a)^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{i_0} \dots (u_m - a_m)^{i_m}) \end{aligned}$$

are functions of the variables x, t, u_k . Taking the corresponding Taylor series at the point (36), we regard (71) as power series. Then (69), (70) become formal power series with coefficients in \mathfrak{L} .

According to (5), one has $D_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{k \geq 0} D_x^k(F) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}$, where $F = F(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_d)$ is given in (1). When we apply D_t in (70), we view F as a power series, using the Taylor series of the function F .

Consider another formal power series

$$R = \sum_{q_1, q_2, j_0, \dots, j_m \geq 0} (x - x_a)^{q_1} (t - t_a)^{q_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{j_0} \dots (u_m - a_m)^{j_m} \cdot R_{j_0 \dots j_m}^{q_1, q_2}, \quad R_{j_0 \dots j_m}^{q_1, q_2} \in \mathfrak{L}.$$

Then the Lie bracket $[C, R]$ is defined as follows

$$[C, R] = \sum_{\substack{l_1, l_2, i_0, \dots, i_m, \\ q_1, q_2, j_0, \dots, j_m}} (x - x_a)^{l_1 + q_1} (t - t_a)^{l_2 + q_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{i_0 + j_0} \dots (u_m - a_m)^{i_m + j_m} \cdot [C_{i_0 \dots i_m}^{l_1, l_2}, R_{j_0 \dots j_m}^{q_1, q_2}].$$

Remark 26. The main idea of the definition of the Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ can be informally outlined as follows. According to Theorem 7 and Remark 24, any ZCR (42) of order $\leq p$ is gauge equivalent to a ZCR given by functions \tilde{A}, \tilde{B} that are of the form (65), (66) and satisfy (49), (68).

To define $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$, we regard $\tilde{A}_{i_0 \dots i_p}^{l_1, l_2}, \tilde{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1, l_2}$ from (65), (66) as abstract symbols. By definition, the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is generated by the symbols $\tilde{A}_{i_0 \dots i_p}^{l_1, l_2}, \tilde{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1, l_2}$ for $l_1, l_2, i_0, \dots, i_p, j_0, \dots, j_{p+d-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Relations for these generators are provided by equations (49), (68). The details of this construction are presented below.

Let \mathfrak{F} be the free Lie algebra generated by the symbols $\mathbf{A}_{i_0 \dots i_p}^{l_1, l_2}$ and $\mathbf{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1, l_2}$ for all

$$l_1, l_2, i_0, \dots, i_p, j_0, \dots, j_{p+d-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Consider the following power series with coefficients in \mathfrak{F}

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A} &= \sum_{l_1, l_2, i_0, \dots, i_p \geq 0} (x - x_a)^{l_1} (t - t_a)^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{i_0} \dots (u_p - a_p)^{i_p} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{i_0 \dots i_p}^{l_1, l_2}, \\ \mathbf{B} &= \sum_{l_1, l_2, j_0, \dots, j_{p+d-1} \geq 0} (x - x_a)^{l_1} (t - t_a)^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{j_0} \dots (u_{p+d-1} - a_{p+d-1})^{j_{p+d-1}} \cdot \mathbf{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1, l_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the power series $D_x(\mathbf{B}), D_t(\mathbf{A}), [\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}]$ are defined according to Remark 25. We have

$$D_x(\mathbf{B}) - D_t(\mathbf{A}) + [\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}] = \sum_{l_1, l_2, q_0, \dots, q_{p+d} \geq 0} (x - x_a)^{l_1} (t - t_a)^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{q_0} \dots (u_{p+d} - a_{p+d})^{q_{p+d}} \cdot \mathbf{Z}_{q_0 \dots q_{p+d}}^{l_1, l_2}$$

for some elements $\mathbf{Z}_{q_0 \dots q_{p+d}}^{l_1, l_2} \in \mathfrak{F}$.

Let $\mathfrak{I} \subset \mathfrak{F}$ be the ideal generated by the elements

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Z}_{q_0 \dots q_{p+d}}^{l_1, l_2}, \quad \mathbf{A}_{0 \dots 0}^{l_1, l_2}, \quad \mathbf{B}_{0 \dots 0}^{l_1, l_2}, \quad l_1, l_2, q_0, \dots, q_{p+d} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \\ \mathbf{A}_{i_0 \dots i_p}^{l_1, l_2}, \quad (i_0, \dots, i_p) \in \mathcal{V}_p, \quad l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}. \end{aligned}$$

Set $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) = \mathfrak{F}/\mathfrak{I}$. Consider the natural homomorphism $\psi: \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}/\mathfrak{I} = \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ and set

$$\mathbb{A}_{i_0 \dots i_p}^{l_1, l_2} = \psi(\mathbf{A}_{i_0 \dots i_p}^{l_1, l_2}), \quad \mathbb{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1, l_2} = \psi(\mathbf{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1, l_2}).$$

The definition of \mathfrak{I} implies that the power series

$$(72) \quad \mathbb{A} = \sum_{l_1, l_2, i_0, \dots, i_p \geq 0} (x - x_a)^{l_1} (t - t_a)^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{i_0} \dots (u_p - a_p)^{i_p} \cdot \mathbb{A}_{i_0 \dots i_p}^{l_1, l_2},$$

$$(73) \quad \mathbb{B} = \sum_{l_1, l_2, j_0, \dots, j_{p+d-1} \geq 0} (x - x_a)^{l_1} (t - t_a)^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{j_0} \dots (u_{p+d-1} - a_{p+d-1})^{j_{p+d-1}} \cdot \mathbb{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1, l_2}$$

satisfy

$$(74) \quad D_x(\mathbb{B}) - D_t(\mathbb{A}) + [\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}] = 0.$$

Remark 27. The Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ can be described in terms of generators and relations as follows.

Equation (74) is equivalent to some Lie algebraic relations for $\mathbb{A}_{i_0 \dots i_p}^{l_1, l_2}, \mathbb{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1, l_2}$. The algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is given by the generators $\mathbb{A}_{i_0 \dots i_p}^{l_1, l_2}, \mathbb{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1, l_2}$, the relations arising from (74), and the following relations

$$(75) \quad \mathbb{A}_{0 \dots 0}^{l_1, l_2} = \mathbb{B}_{0 \dots 0}^{0, l_2} = 0, \quad \mathbb{A}_{i_0 \dots i_p}^{l_1, l_2} = 0, \quad (i_0, \dots, i_p) \in \mathcal{V}_p, \quad l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Note that condition (75) is equivalent to the following equations

$$(76) \quad \left. \frac{\partial \mathbb{A}}{\partial u_s} \right|_{u_k = a_k, k \geq s} = 0 \quad \forall s \geq 1,$$

$$(77) \quad \left. \mathbb{A} \right|_{u_k = a_k, k \geq 0} = 0,$$

$$(78) \quad \left. \mathbb{B} \right|_{x = x_a, u_k = a_k, k \geq 0} = 0.$$

Note that, according to Remark 25, the definition of the power series $D_t(\mathbb{A})$ in (74) uses the Taylor series of the function $F = F(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_d)$ from (1), because D_t is determined by F . So the constructed generators and relations for the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ are determined by the Taylor series of the function F at the point (36).

Remark 28. Let \mathfrak{L} be a Lie algebra. If A, B are functions with values in \mathfrak{L} and satisfy (42) then A, B constitute a ZCR of order $\leq p$ with values in \mathfrak{L} .

Instead of functions with values in \mathfrak{L} , one can consider formal power series with coefficients in \mathfrak{L} . Then one gets the notion of *formal ZCRs with coefficients in \mathfrak{L}* .

More precisely, a *formal ZCR of order $\leq p$ with coefficients in \mathfrak{L}* is given by formal power series

$$(79) \quad \mathbb{A} = \sum_{l_1, l_2, i_0, \dots, i_p \geq 0} (x - x_a)^{l_1} (t - t_a)^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{i_0} \dots (u_p - a_p)^{i_p} \cdot \mathbb{A}_{i_0 \dots i_p}^{l_1, l_2},$$

$$(80) \quad \mathbb{B} = \sum_{l_1, l_2, j_0, \dots, j_{p+d-1} \geq 0} (x - x_a)^{l_1} (t - t_a)^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{j_0} \dots (u_{p+d-1} - a_{p+d-1})^{j_{p+d-1}} \cdot \mathbb{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1, l_2}$$

such that $\mathbb{A}_{i_0 \dots i_p}^{l_1, l_2}, \mathbb{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1, l_2} \in \mathfrak{L}$ and

$$(81) \quad D_x(\mathbb{B}) - D_t(\mathbb{A}) + [\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}] = 0.$$

If the power series (79), (80) satisfy (59), (60), (61) then this formal ZCR is said to be *a-normal*.

For example, since (72), (73) obey (74), (76), (77), (78) and $\mathbb{A}_{i_0 \dots i_p}^{l_1, l_2}, \mathbb{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1, l_2} \in \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$, the power series (72), (73) constitute an *a-normal* formal ZCR of order $\leq p$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$.

Remark 29. Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra. Let $\mu: \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be a homomorphism from $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ to \mathfrak{g} . Applying μ to the coefficients of the power series (72), (73), we get the following power series with coefficients in \mathfrak{g}

$$(82) \quad \mathbb{A} = \sum_{l_1, l_2, i_0, \dots, i_p} (x - x_a)^{l_1} (t - t_a)^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{i_0} \dots (u_p - a_p)^{i_p} \cdot \mu(\mathbb{A}_{i_0 \dots i_p}^{l_1, l_2}),$$

$$(83) \quad \mathbb{B} = \sum_{l_1, l_2, j_0, \dots, j_{p+d-1}} (x - x_a)^{l_1} (t - t_a)^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{j_0} \dots (u_{p+d-1} - a_{p+d-1})^{j_{p+d-1}} \cdot \mu(\mathbb{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1, l_2}).$$

Since (72), (73) obey (74), (76), (77), (78), the power series (82), (83) satisfy (59), (60), (61), (81). Therefore, (82), (83) constitute an *a-normal* formal ZCR of order $\leq p$ with coefficients in \mathfrak{g} .

A homomorphism $\mu: \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is said to be *regular* if the power series (82), (83) are absolutely convergent in a neighborhood of $a \in \mathcal{E}$. In other words, μ is regular iff (82), (83) are analytic functions with values in \mathfrak{g} on a neighborhood of $a \in \mathcal{E}$.

For a regular homomorphism μ , the analytic functions (82), (83) form an *a-normal* \mathfrak{g} -valued ZCR of order $\leq p$. We denote this ZCR by $\mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{E}, a, p, \mu)$. Formulas (82), (83) imply that the ZCR $\mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{E}, a, p, \mu)$ takes values in the Lie subalgebra $\mu(\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)) \subset \mathfrak{g}$.

Remark 30. Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional matrix Lie algebra. By Theorems 7, 8, for any \mathfrak{g} -valued ZCR (42) of order $\leq p$ on a neighborhood of $a \in \mathcal{E}$, there is a unique gauge transformation G such that $G(a) = \text{Id}$ and the functions (43) form an a -normal ZCR. (That is, the functions (43) satisfy (44), (45), (46), (49).)

Consider the Taylor series (65), (66) of the functions (43). Properties (49), (68) imply that the following homomorphism

$$(84) \quad \mu: \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}, \quad \mu(A_{i_0 \dots i_p}^{l_1, l_2}) = \tilde{A}_{i_0 \dots i_p}^{l_1, l_2}, \quad \mu(B_{j_0 \dots j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1, l_2}) = \tilde{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1, l_2},$$

is well defined. Here $\tilde{A}_{i_0 \dots i_p}^{l_1, l_2}, \tilde{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1, l_2} \in \mathfrak{g}$ are the coefficients of the power series (65), (66).

Since (65), (66) are the Taylor series of the analytic functions (43), the homomorphism (84) is regular. According to Remark 29, we get also the \mathfrak{g} -valued ZCR $\mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{E}, a, p, \mu)$ corresponding to the regular homomorphism (84). The ZCR $\mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{E}, a, p, \mu)$ coincides with the ZCR given by the functions (43).

Since the ZCR (42) is gauge equivalent to the ZCR given by (43), we see that the ZCR (42) is gauge equivalent to the ZCR $\mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{E}, a, p, \mu)$.

Theorem 9. Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Let $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_N$ be a matrix Lie algebra and $\mathcal{G} \subset \text{GL}_N$ be the connected matrix Lie group corresponding to $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_N$. In what follows, all ZCRs are defined on a neighborhood of $a \in \mathcal{E}$. Consider \mathfrak{g} -valued ZCRs of order $\leq p$

$$(85) \quad A = A(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_p), \quad B = B(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{p+d-1}), \quad D_x(B) - D_t(A) + [A, B] = 0.$$

We have the following correspondence between \mathfrak{g} -valued ZCRs (85) and homomorphisms $\mu: \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$.

- In Remark 30, for any \mathfrak{g} -valued ZCR (85), we have canonically defined a regular homomorphism $\mu: \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, so that the ZCR (85) is gauge equivalent to the ZCR $\mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{E}, a, p, \mu)$ defined in Remark 29. The ZCR $\mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{E}, a, p, \mu)$ is a -normal and takes values in the Lie subalgebra $\mu(\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)) \subset \mathfrak{g}$.
- In Remark 29, for any homomorphism $\mu: \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, we have canonically defined a formal ZCR of order $\leq p$ with coefficients in \mathfrak{g} . This formal ZCR is given by the formal power series (82), (83) and is a -normal. If the homomorphism μ is regular, then this formal ZCR is analytic and coincides with the ZCR $\mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{E}, a, p, \mu)$.
- For each $i = 1, 2$, let

$$(86) \quad A_i = A_i(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_p), \quad B_i = B_i(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{p+d-1}), \quad D_x(B_i) - D_t(A_i) + [A_i, B_i] = 0$$

be a \mathfrak{g} -valued ZCR of order $\leq p$. Let $\mu_i: \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be the regular homomorphism associated with the ZCR (86) by the construction in Remark 30.

Then we have the following property. The ZCR A_1, B_1 is gauge equivalent to the ZCR A_2, B_2 iff there is an element $\mathbf{G} \in \mathcal{G}$ such that

$$(87) \quad \mu_1(v) = \mathbf{G} \cdot \mu_2(v) \cdot \mathbf{G}^{-1} \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a).$$

Proof. We need to prove only the last statement of the theorem, because the other statements follow from Remarks 29, 30.

According to Remark 29, for each $i = 1, 2$ the ZCR A_i, B_i is gauge equivalent to the a -normal ZCR $\mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{E}, a, p, \mu_i)$. Therefore, the ZCR A_1, B_1 is gauge equivalent to the ZCR A_2, B_2 iff $\mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{E}, a, p, \mu_1)$ is gauge equivalent to $\mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{E}, a, p, \mu_2)$.

If there is an element $\mathbf{G} \in \mathcal{G}$ satisfying (87), then $\mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{E}, a, p, \mu_1)$ is gauge equivalent to $\mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{E}, a, p, \mu_2)$ by means of the constant gauge transformation equal to \mathbf{G} .

Conversely, if $\mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{E}, a, p, \mu_1)$ is gauge equivalent to $\mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{E}, a, p, \mu_2)$ by means of some gauge transformation, then existence of an element $\mathbf{G} \in \mathcal{G}$ satisfying (87) follows from Lemma 3, because the ZCRs $\mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{E}, a, p, \mu_1)$ and $\mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{E}, a, p, \mu_2)$ are a -normal. Indeed, by Lemma 3, if $\mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{E}, a, p, \mu_1)$ is gauge equivalent to $\mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{E}, a, p, \mu_2)$ by means of some gauge transformation, then this gauge transformation is actually a constant element $\mathbf{G} \in \mathcal{G}$ obeying

$$(88) \quad \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{E}, a, p, \mu_1) = \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{E}, a, p, \mu_2) \cdot \mathbf{G}^{-1}.$$

The definition of $\mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{E}, a, p, \mu)$ in Remark 29 implies that (88) is equivalent to (87). \square

Remark 31. Since we assume $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_N$ for some $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, homomorphisms $\mu: \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ are representations of the Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$. So from Theorem 9 we see that \mathfrak{g} -valued ZCRs of order $\leq p$ are classified by \mathfrak{g} -valued representations of $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$.

Suppose that $p \geq 1$. According to Remark 27, the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is given by the generators $\mathbb{A}_{i_0 \dots i_p}^{l_1, l_2}$, $\mathbb{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1, l_2}$ and the relations arising from (74), (75). Similarly, the algebra $\mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is given by the generators $\hat{\mathbb{A}}_{i_0 \dots i_{p-1}}^{l_1, l_2}$, $\hat{\mathbb{B}}_{j_0 \dots j_{p+d-2}}^{l_1, l_2}$ and the relations arising from

$$D_x(\hat{\mathbb{B}}) - D_t(\hat{\mathbb{A}}) + [\hat{\mathbb{A}}, \hat{\mathbb{B}}] = 0,$$

$$\hat{\mathbb{A}}_{0 \dots 0}^{l_1, l_2} = \hat{\mathbb{B}}_{0 \dots 0}^{0, l_2} = 0, \quad \hat{\mathbb{A}}_{i_0 \dots i_{p-1}}^{l_1, l_2} = 0, \quad (i_0, \dots, i_{p-1}) \in \mathcal{V}_{p-1}, \quad l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},$$

where

$$\hat{\mathbb{A}} = \sum_{l_1, l_2, i_0, \dots, i_{p-1}} (x - x_a)^{l_1} (t - t_a)^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{i_0} \dots (u_{p-1} - a_{p-1})^{i_{p-1}} \cdot \hat{\mathbb{A}}_{i_0 \dots i_{p-1}}^{l_1, l_2},$$

$$\hat{\mathbb{B}} = \sum_{l_1, l_2, j_0, \dots, j_{p+d-2}} (x - x_a)^{l_1} (t - t_a)^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{j_0} \dots (u_{p+d-2} - a_{p+d-2})^{j_{p+d-2}} \cdot \hat{\mathbb{B}}_{j_0 \dots j_{p+d-2}}^{l_1, l_2}.$$

This implies that the map

$$(89) \quad \mathbb{A}_{i_0 \dots i_{p-1} i_p}^{l_1, l_2} \mapsto \delta_{0, i_p} \cdot \hat{\mathbb{A}}_{i_0 \dots i_{p-1}}^{l_1, l_2}, \quad \mathbb{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{p+d-2} j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1, l_2} \mapsto \delta_{0, j_{p+d-1}} \cdot \hat{\mathbb{B}}_{j_0 \dots j_{p+d-2}}^{l_1, l_2}$$

determines a surjective homomorphism $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a)$. Here δ_{0, i_p} and $\delta_{0, j_{p+d-1}}$ are the Kronecker deltas.

According to Theorem 9, the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is responsible for ZCRs of order $\leq p$, and the algebra $\mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is responsible for ZCRs of order $\leq p-1$. The constructed homomorphism $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ reflects the fact that any ZCR of order $\leq p-1$ is at the same time of order $\leq p$. Thus we obtain the following sequence of surjective homomorphisms of Lie algebras

$$(90) \quad \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a).$$

3. GENERATORS OF THE ALGEBRAS $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$

We continue to study the Lie algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, defined in Section 2. Here \mathcal{E} is the infinite prolongation of equation (1), and $a \in \mathcal{E}$ is given by (36).

According to Remark 27, the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is given by the generators

$$(91) \quad \mathbb{A}_{i_0 \dots i_p}^{l_1, l_2}, \quad \mathbb{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1, l_2}, \quad l_1, l_2, i_0, \dots, i_p, j_0, \dots, j_{p+d-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},$$

and the relations arising from (74), (75). Using (5), we can rewrite equation (74) as

$$(92) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\mathbb{B}) + \sum_{k=0}^{p+d-1} u_{k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}(\mathbb{B}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\mathbb{A}) - \sum_{k=0}^p D_x^k(F(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_d)) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}(\mathbb{A}) + [\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}] = 0,$$

where $F(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_d)$ is the right-hand side of equation (1). We regard $F = F(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_d)$ as a power series, using the Taylor series of the function F at the point (36).

According to Remark 27, the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is generated by the elements (91). Theorem 10 says that the elements (93) generate the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ as well. This fact is very useful in computations of $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for concrete equations, because the set of the elements (93) is much smaller than that of (91). We will use Theorem 10 in Sections 4 and 5.

Theorem 10. *The elements*

$$(93) \quad \mathbb{A}_{i_0 \dots i_p}^{l_1, 0}, \quad l_1, i_0, \dots, i_p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},$$

generate the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$.

Proof. For each $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, denote by $\mathfrak{A}_l \subset \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ the subalgebra generated by all the elements $\mathbb{A}_{i_0 \dots i_p}^{l_1, l_2}$ with $l_2 \leq l$. To prove Theorem 10, we need several lemmas.

Lemma 4. *Let $l_1, l_2, j_0, \dots, j_{p+d-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be such that $j_0 + \dots + j_{p+d-1} > 0$. Then $\mathbb{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1, l_2} \in \mathfrak{A}_{l_2}$.*

Proof. For any $j_0, \dots, j_{p+d-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ satisfying $j_0 + \dots + j_{p+d-1} > 0$, denote by $\Phi(j_0, \dots, j_{p+d-1})$ the maximal integer $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, p+d-1\}$ such that $j_r \neq 0$. Set also $\Phi(0, \dots, 0) = -1$.

Differentiating (92) with respect to u_{p+d} , we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{p+d-1}}(\mathbb{B}) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_d} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial u_p}(\mathbb{A}),$$

which implies $\mathbb{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1, l_2} \in \mathfrak{A}_{l_2}$ for all $l_1, l_2, j_0, \dots, j_{p+d-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ obeying $\Phi(j_0, \dots, j_{p+d-1}) = p+d-1$.

Let $m \in \{0, 1, \dots, p+d-1\}$ be such that

$$(94) \quad \mathbb{B}_{j'_0 \dots j'_{p+d-1}}^{l_1, l_2} \in \mathfrak{A}_{l_2} \quad \text{for all } l_1, l_2, j'_0, \dots, j'_{p+d-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ satisfying } \Phi(j'_0, \dots, j'_{p+d-1}) > m.$$

We are going to show that

$$\mathbb{B}_{\tilde{j}_0 \dots \tilde{j}_{p+d-1}}^{l_1, l_2} \in \mathfrak{A}_{l_2}$$

for all $l_1, l_2, \tilde{j}_0, \dots, \tilde{j}_{p+d-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ satisfying $\Phi(\tilde{j}_0, \dots, \tilde{j}_{p+d-1}) = m$.

For any power series C of the form

$$C = \sum_{l_1, l_2, d_0, \dots, d_k \geq 0} (x - x_a)^{l_1} (t - t_a)^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{d_0} \dots (u_k - a_k)^{d_k} \cdot C_{d_0 \dots d_k}^{l_1, l_2}, \quad C_{d_0 \dots d_k}^{l_1, l_2} \in \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a),$$

set

$$\mathbf{S}(C) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{m+1}}(C) \right) \Big|_{u_k = a_k, \ k \geq m+1}.$$

That is, in order to obtain $\mathbf{S}(C)$, we differentiate C with respect to u_{m+1} and then substitute $u_k = a_k$ for all $k \geq m+1$. Property (75) implies

$$(95) \quad \mathbf{S}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\mathbb{A})\right) = 0.$$

Combining (92) with (95), we get

$$(96) \quad \mathbf{S}(D_x(\mathbb{B})) = \mathbf{S}\left(\sum_{k=0}^p D_x^k(F) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}(\mathbb{A})\right) - \mathbf{S}([\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}]).$$

Using (73), one obtains

$$(97) \quad \mathbf{S}(D_x(\mathbb{B})) = \sum_{\substack{l_1, l_2, j_0, \dots, j_{p+d-1} \geq 0, \\ \Phi(j_0, \dots, j_{p+d-1}) = m}} j_m (x - x_a)^{l_1} (t - t_a)^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{j_0} \dots (u_m - a_m)^{j_m-1} \mathbb{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1, l_2} + \\ + \mathbf{S}\left(\sum_{\substack{l_1, l_2, j_0, \dots, j_{p+d-1} \geq 0, \\ \Phi(j_0, \dots, j_{p+d-1}) > m}} (t - t_a)^{l_2} D_x\left((x - x_a)^{l_1} (u_0 - a_0)^{j_0} \dots (u_{p+d-1} - a_{p+d-1})^{j_{p+d-1}}\right) \cdot \mathbb{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1, l_2}\right).$$

From (75) it follows that $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{A}) = 0$, which yields

$$(98) \quad \mathbf{S}([\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}]) = \left[\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{A}), \mathbb{B} \Big|_{u_k = a_k, \ k \geq m+1} \right] + \left[\mathbb{A} \Big|_{u_k = a_k, \ k \geq m+1}, \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{B}) \right] = \left[\mathbb{A} \Big|_{u_k = a_k, \ k \geq m+1}, \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{B}) \right].$$

In view of (97), (98), for any $l_1, l_2, \tilde{j}_0, \dots, \tilde{j}_{p+d-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ satisfying $\Phi(\tilde{j}_0, \dots, \tilde{j}_{p+d-1}) = m$ the element $\mathbb{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1, l_2}$ appears only once on the left-hand side of (96) and does not appear on the right-hand side of (96). Combining (96), (97), (98), we see that the element $\mathbb{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1, l_2}$ is equal to a linear combination of elements of the form

$$(99) \quad \mathbb{A}_{i_0 \dots i_p}^{l'_1, l'_2}, \quad \mathbb{B}_{\hat{j}_0 \dots \hat{j}_{p+d-1}}^{\hat{l}_1, \hat{l}_2}, \quad \left[\mathbb{A}_{i_0 \dots i_p}^{l'_1, l'_2}, \mathbb{B}_{\hat{j}_0 \dots \hat{j}_{p+d-1}}^{\hat{l}_1, \hat{l}_2} \right], \quad l'_2 \leq l_2, \quad \hat{l}_2 \leq l_2, \quad \Phi(\hat{j}_0, \dots, \hat{j}_{p+d-1}) > m.$$

Obviously, for any $\hat{l}_2 \leq l_2$ one has $\mathfrak{A}_{\hat{l}_2} \subset \mathfrak{A}_{l_2}$. Taking into account assumption (94), we obtain that the elements (99) belong to \mathfrak{A}_{l_2} . Hence $\mathbb{B}_{\tilde{j}_0 \dots \tilde{j}_{p+d-1}}^{l_1, l_2} \in \mathfrak{A}_{l_2}$.

The proof of the lemma is completed by induction. \square

Lemma 5. For all $l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, one has $\mathbb{B}_{0\dots 0}^{l_1, l_2} \in \mathfrak{A}_{l_2}$.

Proof. According to (75), we have $\mathbb{B}_{0\dots 0}^{0, l_2} = 0$. Therefore, it is sufficient to prove $\mathbb{B}_{0\dots 0}^{l_1, l_2} \in \mathfrak{A}_{l_2}$ for $l_1 > 0$.

Note that property (75) implies

$$(100) \quad \mathbb{A} \Big|_{u_k = a_k, k \geq 0} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\mathbb{A}) \Big|_{u_k = a_k, k \geq 0} = 0.$$

In view of (73), one has

$$(101) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\mathbb{B}) \Big|_{u_k = a_k, k \geq 0} = \sum_{l_1 > 0, l_2 \geq 0} l_1(x - x_a)^{l_1-1}(t - t_a)^{l_2} \cdot \mathbb{B}_{0\dots 0}^{l_1, l_2}.$$

Substituting $u_k = a_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ in (92) and using (100), (101), we get

$$(102) \quad \sum_{l_1 > 0, l_2 \geq 0} l_1(x - x_a)^{l_1-1}(t - t_a)^{l_2} \cdot \mathbb{B}_{0\dots 0}^{l_1, l_2} = - \left(\sum_{k=0}^{p+d-1} u_{k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}(\mathbb{B}) \right) \Big|_{u_k = a_k, k \geq 0} + \left(\sum_{k=0}^p D_x^k(F) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}(\mathbb{A}) \right) \Big|_{u_k = a_k, k \geq 0}.$$

Combining (72), (73), (102), we see that for any $l_1 > 0$ and $l_2 \geq 0$ the element $\mathbb{B}_{0\dots 0}^{l_1, l_2}$ is equal to a linear combination of elements of the form

$$(103) \quad \mathbb{A}_{i_0\dots i_p}^{l'_1, l'_2}, \quad \mathbb{B}_{j_0\dots j_{p+d-1}}^{l'_1, l'_2}, \quad l'_1, i_0, \dots, i_p, j_0, \dots, j_{p+d-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \quad j_0 + \dots + j_{p+d-1} = 1.$$

According to Lemma 4 and the definition of \mathfrak{A}_{l_2} , the elements (103) belong to \mathfrak{A}_{l_2} . Thus $\mathbb{B}_{0\dots 0}^{l_1, l_2} \in \mathfrak{A}_{l_2}$. \square

Lemma 6. For all $l_1, l, i_0, \dots, i_p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have $\mathbb{A}_{i_0\dots i_p}^{l_1, l+1} \in \mathfrak{A}_l$.

Proof. Using (72), we can rewrite equation (92) as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l_1, l, i_0, \dots, i_p \geq 0} (l+1)(x - x_a)^{l_1}(t - t_a)^l (u_0 - a_0)^{i_0} \dots (u_p - a_p)^{i_p} \cdot \mathbb{A}_{i_0\dots i_p}^{l_1, l+1} &= \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\mathbb{B}) + \sum_{k=0}^{p+d-1} u_{k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}(\mathbb{B}) - \sum_{k=0}^p D_x^k(F) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}(\mathbb{A}) + [\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}]. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\mathbb{A}_{i_0\dots i_p}^{l_1, l+1}$ is equal to a linear combination of elements of the form

$$(104) \quad \mathbb{A}_{i_0\dots i_p}^{\hat{l}_1, \hat{l}_2}, \quad \mathbb{B}_{\tilde{j}_0\dots \tilde{j}_{p+d-1}}^{\tilde{l}_1, \tilde{l}_2}, \quad \left[\mathbb{A}_{i_0\dots i_p}^{\hat{l}_1, \hat{l}_2}, \mathbb{B}_{\tilde{j}_0\dots \tilde{j}_{p+d-1}}^{\tilde{l}_1, \tilde{l}_2} \right], \quad \hat{l}_2 \leq l, \quad \tilde{l}_2 \leq l, \quad i_0, \dots, i_p, \tilde{j}_0, \dots, \tilde{j}_{p+d-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Using Lemmas 4, 5 and the condition $\tilde{l}_2 \leq l$, we get $\mathbb{B}_{\tilde{j}_0\dots \tilde{j}_{p+d-1}}^{\tilde{l}_1, \tilde{l}_2} \in \mathfrak{A}_{\tilde{l}_2} \subset \mathfrak{A}_l$. Therefore, the elements (104) belong to \mathfrak{A}_l . Hence $\mathbb{A}_{i_0\dots i_p}^{l_1, l+1} \in \mathfrak{A}_l$. \square

Now we return to the proof of Theorem 10. According to Lemmas 4, 5 and the definition of \mathfrak{A}_l , we have $\mathbb{A}_{i_0\dots i_p}^{l_1, l_2}, \mathbb{B}_{j_0\dots j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1, l_2} \in \mathfrak{A}_{l_2}$ for all $l_1, l_2, i_0, \dots, i_p, j_0, \dots, j_{p+d-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Lemma 6 implies that

$$\mathfrak{A}_{l_2} \subset \mathfrak{A}_{l_2-1} \subset \mathfrak{A}_{l_2-2} \subset \dots \subset \mathfrak{A}_0.$$

Therefore, $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is equal to \mathfrak{A}_0 , which is generated by the elements (93). \square

4. SOME RESULTS ON $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ FOR EQUATIONS (19)

In this section we study the algebras (90) for equations of the form

$$(105) \quad u_t = u_{2q+1} + f(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{2q-1}), \quad q \in \{1, 2, 3\},$$

where f is an arbitrary function. Let \mathcal{E} be the infinite prolongation of equation (105). Then \mathcal{E} is an infinite-dimensional manifold with the coordinates x, t, u_k for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. (The precise definition of \mathcal{E} has been given in Section 2.)

For equation (105), the total derivative operators (5) are

$$(106) \quad D_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sum_{k \geq 0} u_{k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}, \quad D_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{k \geq 0} D_x^k (u_{2q+1} + f(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{2q-1})) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}.$$

Consider an arbitrary point $a \in \mathcal{E}$ given by

$$(107) \quad a = (x = x_a, t = t_a, u_k = a_k) \in \mathcal{E}, \quad x_a, t_a, a_k \in \mathbb{K}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

The constants x_a, t_a, a_k are the coordinates of the point $a \in \mathcal{E}$ in the coordinate system x, t, u_k .

Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be such that $p \geq q + \delta_{q,3}$, where $\delta_{q,3}$ is the Kronecker delta. According to Remark 27, the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ can be described as follows. Consider formal power series

$$(108) \quad \mathbb{A} = \sum_{l_1, l_2, i_0, \dots, i_p \geq 0} (x - x_a)^{l_1} (t - t_a)^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{i_0} \dots (u_p - a_p)^{i_p} \cdot \mathbb{A}_{i_0 \dots i_p}^{l_1, l_2},$$

$$(109) \quad \mathbb{B} = \sum_{l_1, l_2, j_0, \dots, j_{p+2q} \geq 0} (x - x_a)^{l_1} (t - t_a)^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{j_0} \dots (u_{p+2q} - a_{p+2q})^{j_{p+2q}} \cdot \mathbb{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{p+2q}}^{l_1, l_2}$$

satisfying

$$(110) \quad \mathbb{A}_{i_0 \dots i_p}^{l_1, l_2} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \exists r \in \{1, \dots, p\} \quad \text{such that} \quad i_r = 1, \quad i_m = 0 \quad \forall m > r,$$

$$(111) \quad \mathbb{A}_{0 \dots 0}^{l_1, l_2} = 0 \quad \forall l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},$$

$$(112) \quad \mathbb{B}_{0 \dots 0}^{0, l_2} = 0 \quad \forall l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Then $\mathbb{A}_{i_0 \dots i_p}^{l_1, l_2}, \mathbb{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{p+2q}}^{l_1, l_2}$ are generators of the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$, and the equation

$$(113) \quad D_x(\mathbb{B}) - D_t(\mathbb{A}) + [\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}] = 0$$

provides relations for these generators (in addition to relations (110), (111), (112)).

Note that condition (110) is equivalent to

$$(114) \quad \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial u_s}(\mathbb{A}) \right|_{u_k = a_k, k \geq s} = 0 \quad \forall s \geq 1.$$

Using (106), one can rewrite equation (113) as

$$(115) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\mathbb{B}) + \sum_{k=0}^{p+2q} u_{k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}(\mathbb{B}) + [\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}] = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\mathbb{A}) + \sum_{k=0}^p \left(u_{k+2q+1} + D_x^k(f(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{2q-1})) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}(\mathbb{A}).$$

Here we regard $f(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{2q-1})$ as a power series, using the Taylor series of the function f at the point (107). Differentiating (115) with respect to u_{p+2q+1} , we obtain

$$(116) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{p+2q}}(\mathbb{B}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_p}(\mathbb{A}).$$

Since $q \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, from (116) it follows that \mathbb{B} is of the form

$$(117) \quad \mathbb{B} = u_{p+2q} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_p}(\mathbb{A}) + \mathbb{B}_0(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{p+2q-1}),$$

where $\mathbb{B}_0(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{p+2q-1})$ is a power series in the variables

$$x - x_a, \quad t - t_a, \quad u_0 - a_0, \quad \dots, \quad u_{p+2q-1} - a_{p+2q-1}.$$

Differentiating (115) with respect to u_{p+2q} , u_{p+i} for $i = 1, \dots, 2q-1$ and using (117), one gets

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p}(\mathbb{A}) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+1} \partial u_{p+2q-1}}(\mathbb{B}_0) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+s} \partial u_{p+2q-1}}(\mathbb{B}_0) = 0, \quad 2 \leq s \leq 2q-1.$$

Therefore, $\mathbb{B}_0 = \mathbb{B}_0(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{p+2q-1})$ is of the form

$$(118) \quad \mathbb{B}_0 = u_{p+1} u_{p+2q-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \delta_{q,1} - 1 \right) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p}(\mathbb{A}) + u_{p+2q-1} \mathbb{B}_{01}(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_p) + \mathbb{B}_{00}(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{p+2q-2}).$$

Here $\mathbb{B}_{01}(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_p)$ is a power series in the variables $x - x_a$, $t - t_a$, $u_0 - a_0, \dots, u_p - a_p$ and $\mathbb{B}_{00}(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{p+2q-2})$ is a power series in the variables $x - x_a$, $t - t_a$, $u_0 - a_0, \dots, u_{p+2q-2} - a_{p+2q-2}$.

Lemma 7. Recall that $q \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $p \geq q + \delta_{q,3}$. We have

$$(119) \quad D_x \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p}(\mathbb{A}) \right) + \left[\mathbb{A}, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p}(\mathbb{A}) \right] = 0.$$

Proof. Since $D_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sum_{k \geq 0} u_{k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}$, one has

$$(120) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u_n} (D_x(Q)) = D_x \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_n} (Q) \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{n-1}} (Q) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$$

for any $Q = Q(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_l)$. Here Q is either a function or a power series.

In what follows we sometimes use the notation

$$\mathbb{B}_{u_n} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_n}(\mathbb{B}), \quad \mathbb{B}_{u_m u_n} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_m \partial u_n}(\mathbb{B}), \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Using (120), one gets

$$(121) \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_m \partial u_n} (D_x(\mathbb{B})) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_m} \left(D_x \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_n} (\mathbb{B}) \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{n-1}} (\mathbb{B}) \right) = \\ = D_x(\mathbb{B}_{u_m u_n}) + \mathbb{B}_{u_{m-1} u_n} + \mathbb{B}_{u_m u_{n-1}} \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}.$$

We will need also the formula

$$(122) \quad D_t(\mathbb{A}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\mathbb{A}) + \sum_{k=0}^p \left(u_{k+2q+1} + D_x^k(f(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{2q-1})) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}(\mathbb{A}),$$

which follows from (106).

Consider the case $q = 1$. Then, by our assumption, $p \geq 1$.

Equation (105) reads $u_t = u_3 + f(x, t, u_0, u_1)$. According to (117), (118), for $q = 1$ one has

$$(123) \quad \mathbb{B} = u_{p+2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_p}(\mathbb{A}) - \frac{1}{2} (u_{p+1})^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p}(\mathbb{A}) + u_{p+1} \mathbb{B}_{01}(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_p) + \mathbb{B}_{00}(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_p).$$

Since we assume $q = 1$ and $p \geq 1$, formula (122) implies

$$(124) \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_{p+2}} (D_t(\mathbb{A})) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_{p-1}}(\mathbb{A}), \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+1} \partial u_{p+1}} (D_t(\mathbb{A})) = 0.$$

Using (121), (123), (124), one obtains

$$(125) \quad \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_{p+2}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+1} \partial u_{p+1}} \right) \left(D_x(\mathbb{B}) - D_t(\mathbb{A}) + [\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}] \right) = \\ = D_x \left(\mathbb{B}_{u_p u_{p+2}} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{B}_{u_{p+1} u_{p+1}} \right) + \mathbb{B}_{u_{p-1} u_{p+2}} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_{p-1}}(\mathbb{A}) + \left[\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}_{u_p u_{p+2}} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{B}_{u_{p+1} u_{p+1}} \right] = \\ = \frac{3}{2} \left(D_x \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p}(\mathbb{A}) \right) + \left[\mathbb{A}, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p}(\mathbb{A}) \right] \right).$$

Since $D_x(\mathbb{B}) - D_t(\mathbb{A}) + [\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}] = 0$ by (113), equation (125) implies (119) in the case $q = 1$.

Now let $q = 2$. Then $p \geq 2$. Equation (105) reads $u_t = u_5 + f(x, t, u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3)$. Using (117), (118), for $q = 2$ one obtains

$$(126) \quad \mathbb{B} = u_{p+4} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_p}(\mathbb{A}) - u_{p+1} u_{p+3} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p}(\mathbb{A}) + u_{p+3} \mathbb{B}_{01}(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_p) + \mathbb{B}_{00}(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{p+2}).$$

Applying the operator $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+2} \partial u_{p+3}}$ to equation (115) and using (126), we get

$$(127) \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+2} \partial u_{p+2}}(\mathbb{B}_{00}) - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p}(\mathbb{A}) = 0.$$

Since we assume $q = 2$ and $p \geq 2$, formula (122) implies

$$(128) \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_{p+4}}(D_t(\mathbb{A})) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_{p-1}}(\mathbb{A}),$$

$$(129) \quad \left(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+1} \partial u_{p+3}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+2} \partial u_{p+2}} \right) (D_t(\mathbb{A})) = 0.$$

Using (121), (126), (127), (128), (129), one obtains

$$(130) \quad \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_{p+4}} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+1} \partial u_{p+3}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+2} \partial u_{p+2}} \right) (D_x(\mathbb{B}) - D_t(\mathbb{A}) + [\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}]) = \\ = D_x \left(\mathbb{B}_{u_p u_{p+4}} - \mathbb{B}_{u_{p+1} u_{p+3}} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{B}_{u_{p+2} u_{p+2}} \right) + \mathbb{B}_{u_{p-1} u_{p+4}} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_{p-1}}(\mathbb{A}) + \\ + \left[\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}_{u_p u_{p+4}} - \mathbb{B}_{u_{p+1} u_{p+3}} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{B}_{u_{p+2} u_{p+2}} \right] = \\ = \frac{5}{2} \left(D_x \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p}(\mathbb{A}) \right) + \left[\mathbb{A}, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p}(\mathbb{A}) \right] \right).$$

As $D_x(\mathbb{B}) - D_t(\mathbb{A}) + [\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}] = 0$, equation (130) yields (119) in the case $q = 2$.

Finally, consider the case $q = 3$. Then $p \geq 4$.

Equation (105) reads $u_t = u_7 + f(x, t, u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5)$. According to (117), (118), for $q = 3$ we have

$$(131) \quad \mathbb{B} = u_{p+6} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_p}(\mathbb{A}) - u_{p+1} u_{p+5} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p}(\mathbb{A}) + u_{p+5} \mathbb{B}_{01}(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_p) + \mathbb{B}_{00}(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{p+4}).$$

Differentiating (115) with respect to u_{p+5} , u_{p+i} for $i = 2, 3, 4$ and using (131), one gets

$$-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p}(\mathbb{A}) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+2} \partial u_{p+4}}(\mathbb{B}_{00}) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+3} \partial u_{p+4}}(\mathbb{B}_{00}) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+4} \partial u_{p+4}}(\mathbb{B}_{00}) = 0.$$

Therefore, $\mathbb{B}_{00} = \mathbb{B}_{00}(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{p+4})$ is of the form

$$(132) \quad \mathbb{B}_{00} = u_{p+2} u_{p+4} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p}(\mathbb{A}) + u_{p+4} \mathbb{B}_{001}(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{p+1}) + \mathbb{B}_{000}(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{p+3})$$

for some $\mathbb{B}_{001}(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{p+1})$ and $\mathbb{B}_{000}(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{p+3})$.

Applying the operator $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+3} \partial u_{p+4}}$ to equation (115) and using (131), (132), we obtain

$$(133) \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+3} \partial u_{p+3}}(\mathbb{B}_{000}) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p}(\mathbb{A}) = 0.$$

Since we consider the case when $q = 3$ and $p \geq 4$, formula (122) implies

$$(134) \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_{p+6}}(D_t(\mathbb{A})) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_{p-1}}(\mathbb{A}),$$

$$(135) \quad \left(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+1}\partial u_{p+5}} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+2}\partial u_{p+4}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+3}\partial u_{p+3}} \right) (D_t(\mathbb{A})) = 0.$$

Using (121), (131), (132), (133), (134), (135) one gets

$$(136) \quad \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p\partial u_{p+6}} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+1}\partial u_{p+5}} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+2}\partial u_{p+4}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+3}\partial u_{p+3}} \right) (D_x(\mathbb{B}) - D_t(\mathbb{A}) + [\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}]) =$$

$$= D_x \left(\mathbb{B}_{u_p u_{p+6}} - \mathbb{B}_{u_{p+1} u_{p+5}} + \mathbb{B}_{u_{p+2} u_{p+4}} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{B}_{u_{p+3} u_{p+3}} \right) + \mathbb{B}_{u_{p-1} u_{p+6}} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_{p-1}}(\mathbb{A}) +$$

$$+ \left[\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}_{u_p u_{p+6}} - \mathbb{B}_{u_{p+1} u_{p+5}} + \mathbb{B}_{u_{p+2} u_{p+4}} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{B}_{u_{p+3} u_{p+3}} \right] =$$

$$= \frac{7}{2} \left(D_x \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p}(\mathbb{A}) \right) + \left[\mathbb{A}, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p}(\mathbb{A}) \right] \right).$$

Since $D_x(\mathbb{B}) - D_t(\mathbb{A}) + [\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}] = 0$, equation (136) implies (119) in the case $q = 3$. \square

Lemma 8. *One has*

$$(137) \quad \frac{\partial^3}{\partial u_k \partial u_p \partial u_p}(\mathbb{A}) = 0 \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Proof. Suppose that (137) does not hold. Let k_0 be the maximal integer such that $\frac{\partial^3}{\partial u_{k_0} \partial u_p \partial u_p}(\mathbb{A}) \neq 0$. Equation (114) for $s = k_0 + 1$ says

$$(138) \quad \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{k_0+1}}(\mathbb{A}) \right|_{u_k=a_k, k \geq k_0+1} = 0.$$

Differentiating (119) with respect to u_{k_0+1} , we obtain

$$(139) \quad \frac{\partial^3}{\partial u_{k_0} \partial u_p \partial u_p}(\mathbb{A}) + \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{k_0+1}}(\mathbb{A}), \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p}(\mathbb{A}) \right] = 0.$$

Substituting $u_k = a_k$ in (139) for all $k \geq k_0 + 1$ and using (138), one gets $\frac{\partial^3}{\partial u_{k_0} \partial u_p \partial u_p}(\mathbb{A}) = 0$, which contradicts to our assumption. \square

Using equation (114) for $s = p$ and equation (137) for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we see that \mathbb{A} is of the form

$$(140) \quad \mathbb{A} = (u_p - a_p)^2 \mathbb{A}_2(x, t) + \mathbb{A}_0(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{p-1}),$$

where $\mathbb{A}_2(x, t)$ is a power series in the variables $x - x_a, t - t_a$ and $\mathbb{A}_0(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{p-1})$ is a power series in the variables $x - x_a, t - t_a, u_0 - a_0, \dots, u_{p-1} - a_{p-1}$.

From (137), (140) it follows that equation (119) reads

$$(141) \quad 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\mathbb{A}_2) + 2[\mathbb{A}_0, \mathbb{A}_2] = 0.$$

Note that condition (111) implies

$$(142) \quad \left. \mathbb{A}_0 \right|_{u_k=a_k, k \geq 0} = 0.$$

Substituting $u_k = a_k$ in (141) for all $k \geq 0$ and using (142), we get

$$(143) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\mathbb{A}_2) = 0.$$

Combining (143) with (141), one obtains

$$(144) \quad [\mathbb{A}_2, \mathbb{A}_0] = 0.$$

In view of (108), (140), we have

$$(145) \quad \mathbb{A}_0 = \sum_{l_1, l_2, i_0, \dots, i_{p-1} \geq 0} (x - x_a)^{l_1} (t - t_a)^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{i_0} \dots (u_{p-1} - a_{p-1})^{i_{p-1}} \cdot \mathbb{A}_{i_0 \dots i_{p-1} 0}^{l_1, l_2}$$

According to (108), (140), (143), one has

$$(146) \quad \mathbb{A}_2 = \sum_{l \geq 0} (t - t_a)^l \cdot \tilde{\mathbb{A}}^l, \quad \tilde{\mathbb{A}}^l = \mathbb{A}_{0 \dots 0 2}^{0, l} \in \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a).$$

Combining (140), (145), (146) with Theorem 10, we see that the elements

$$(147) \quad \tilde{\mathbb{A}}^0, \quad \mathbb{A}_{i_0 \dots i_{p-1} 0}^{l_1, 0}, \quad l_1, i_0, \dots, i_{p-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},$$

generate the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$. Substituting $t = t_a$ in (144) and using (145), (146), one gets

$$(148) \quad [\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^0, \mathbb{A}_{i_0 \dots i_{p-1} 0}^{l_1, 0}] = 0 \quad \forall l_1, i_0, \dots, i_{p-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Since the elements (147) generate the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$, equation (148) yields

$$(149) \quad [\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^0, \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)] = 0.$$

Lemma 9. *One has*

$$(150) \quad [\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^l, \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)] = 0 \quad \forall l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Proof. We prove (150) by induction on l . The property $[\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^0, \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)] = 0$ has been obtained in (149).

Let $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be such that $[\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^l, \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)] = 0$ for all $l \leq r$. Since $\left. \frac{\partial^l}{\partial t^l}(\mathbb{A}_2) \right|_{t=t_a} = l! \cdot \tilde{\mathbb{A}}^l$, we get

$$(151) \quad \left[\left. \frac{\partial^l}{\partial t^l}(\mathbb{A}_2) \right|_{t=t_a}, \left. \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m}(\mathbb{A}_0) \right|_{t=t_a} \right] = 0 \quad \forall l \leq r, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Applying the operator $\frac{\partial^{r+1}}{\partial t^{r+1}}$ to equation (144), substituting $t = t_a$, and using (151), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{\partial^{r+1}}{\partial t^{r+1}}([\mathbb{A}_2, \mathbb{A}_0]) \Big|_{t=t_a} = \sum_{k=0}^{r+1} \binom{r+1}{k} \cdot \left[\left. \frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k}(\mathbb{A}_2) \right|_{t=t_a}, \left. \frac{\partial^{r+1-k}}{\partial t^{r+1-k}}(\mathbb{A}_0) \right|_{t=t_a} \right] = \\ &= \left[\left. \frac{\partial^{r+1}}{\partial t^{r+1}}(\mathbb{A}_2) \right|_{t=t_a}, \mathbb{A}_0 \Big|_{t=t_a} \right] = \\ &= \left[(r+1)! \cdot \tilde{\mathbb{A}}^{r+1}, \sum_{l_1, i_0, \dots, i_{p-1}} (x - x_a)^{l_1} (u_0 - a_0)^{i_0} \dots (u_{p-1} - a_{p-1})^{i_{p-1}} \cdot \mathbb{A}_{i_0 \dots i_{p-1} 0}^{l_1, 0} \right], \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(152) \quad [\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^{r+1}, \mathbb{A}_{i_0 \dots i_{p-1} 0}^{l_1, 0}] = 0 \quad \forall l_1, i_0, \dots, i_{p-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Equation (149) yields

$$(153) \quad [\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^0, \tilde{\mathbb{A}}^{r+1}] = 0.$$

Since the elements (147) generate the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$, from (152), (153) we get $[\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^{r+1}, \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)] = 0$. \square

Theorem 11. *Let \mathcal{E} be the infinite prolongation of an equation of the form*

$$u_t = u_{2q+1} + f(x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{2q-1}), \quad q \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

Let $a \in \mathcal{E}$. For each $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, consider the surjective homomorphism $\varphi_p: \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ from (90).

If $p \geq q + \delta_{q,3}$ then

$$(154) \quad [v_1, v_2] = 0 \quad \forall v_1 \in \ker \varphi_p, \quad \forall v_2 \in \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a).$$

In other words, if $p \geq q + \delta_{q,3}$ then the kernel of φ_p is contained in the center of the Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$.

For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, let $\psi_k: \mathbb{F}^{k+q-1+\delta_{q,3}}(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{q-1+\delta_{q,3}}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ be the composition of the homomorphisms

$$\mathbb{F}^{k+q-1+\delta_{q,3}}(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{k+q-2+\delta_{q,3}}(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{q+\delta_{q,3}}(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{q-1+\delta_{q,3}}(\mathcal{E}, a)$$

from (90). Then

$$(155) \quad [h_1, [h_2, \dots, [h_{k-1}, [h_k, h_{k+1}]] \dots]] = 0 \quad \forall h_1, \dots, h_{k+1} \in \ker \psi_k.$$

In particular, the kernel of ψ_k is nilpotent.

Proof. Let $p \geq q + \delta_{q,3}$. Combining formulas (140), (117), (146) with the definition of the homomorphism $\varphi_p: \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a)$, we see that $\ker \varphi_p$ is equal to the ideal generated by the elements $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^l$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then (154) follows from (150).

So we have proved that the kernel of the homomorphism $\varphi_p: \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is contained in the center of the Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for any $p \geq q + \delta_{q,3}$.

Let us prove (155) by induction on k . Since $\psi_1 = \varphi_{q+\delta_{q,3}}$, for $k = 1$ property (155) follows from (154). Let $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be such that (155) is valid for $k = r$. Then for any $h'_1, h'_2, \dots, h'_{r+2} \in \ker \psi_{r+1}$ we have

$$(156) \quad [\varphi_{r+q+\delta_{q,3}}(h'_2), [\varphi_{r+q+\delta_{q,3}}(h'_3), \dots, [\varphi_{r+q+\delta_{q,3}}(h'_r), [\varphi_{r+q+\delta_{q,3}}(h'_{r+1}), \varphi_{r+q+\delta_{q,3}}(h'_{r+2})]] \dots]] = 0,$$

because $\varphi_{r+q+\delta_{q,3}}(h'_i) \in \ker \psi_r$ for $i = 2, 3, \dots, r+2$. Equation (156) says that

$$(157) \quad [h'_2, [h'_3, \dots, [h'_r, [h'_{r+1}, h'_{r+2}]] \dots]] \in \ker \varphi_{r+q+\delta_{q,3}}.$$

Since $\ker \varphi_{r+q+\delta_{q,3}}$ is contained in the center of $\mathbb{F}^{r+q+\delta_{q,3}}(\mathcal{E}, a)$, property (157) yields

$$[h'_1, [h'_2, [h'_3, \dots, [h'_r, [h'_{r+1}, h'_{r+2}]] \dots]]] = 0.$$

So we have proved (155) for $k = r + 1$. Clearly, property (155) implies that $\ker \psi_k$ is nilpotent. \square

Now we prove a result which has been used in Example 5.

Theorem 12. *Let \mathcal{E} be the infinite prolongation of the equation*

$$(158) \quad u_t = u_5 + f(x, t, u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3)$$

for some function $f = f(x, t, u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3)$ such that $\frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial u_3 \partial u_3 \partial u_3} \neq 0$. (More precisely, we assume that the function $\frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial u_3 \partial u_3 \partial u_3}$ is not identically zero on any connected component of the manifold \mathcal{E} . Usually, the manifold \mathcal{E} is connected, and then our assumption means that $\frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial u_3 \partial u_3 \partial u_3}$ is not identically zero on \mathcal{E} .)

Then $\mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a) = \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a) = 0$ and $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is nilpotent for all $a \in \mathcal{E}$, $p > 1$.

Proof. Consider an arbitrary point $a \in \mathcal{E}$ given by (107). According to Remark 27, the algebra $\mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for equation (158) can be described as follows. Consider formal power series

$$(159) \quad \mathbb{A} = \sum_{l_1, l_2, i_0, i_1 \geq 0} (x - x_a)^{l_1} (t - t_a)^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{i_0} (u_1 - a_1)^{i_1} \cdot \mathbb{A}_{i_0, i_1}^{l_1, l_2},$$

$$(160) \quad \mathbb{B} = \sum_{l_1, l_2, j_0, \dots, j_5 \geq 0} (x - x_a)^{l_1} (t - t_a)^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{j_0} \dots (u_5 - a_5)^{j_5} \cdot \mathbb{B}_{j_0 \dots j_5}^{l_1, l_2}$$

satisfying

$$(161) \quad \mathbb{A}_{i_0, 1}^{l_1, l_2} = \mathbb{A}_{0, 0}^{l_1, l_2} = \mathbb{B}_{0 \dots 0}^{0, l_2} = 0, \quad l_1, l_2, i_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Then $\mathbb{A}_{i_0, i_1}^{l_1, l_2}$, $\mathbb{B}_{j_0 \dots j_5}^{l_1, l_2}$ are generators of the algebra $\mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a)$, and the equation

$$(162) \quad D_x(\mathbb{B}) - D_t(\mathbb{A}) + [\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}] = 0$$

provides relations for these generators (in addition to relations (161)). Note that here $D_t(\mathbb{A})$ is given by formula (122) for $q = 2$ and $p = 1$, so we have

$$(163) \quad D_t(\mathbb{A}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\mathbb{A}) + (u_5 + f(x, t, u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3)) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_0}(\mathbb{A}) + (u_6 + D_x(f(x, t, u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3))) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}(\mathbb{A}).$$

Similarly to (126), from (162) we deduce that \mathbb{B} is of the form

$$(164) \quad \mathbb{B} = u_5 \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}(\mathbb{A}) - u_2 u_4 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_1 \partial u_1}(\mathbb{A}) + u_4 \mathbb{B}_{01}(x, t, u_0, u_1) + \mathbb{B}_{00}(x, t, u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3),$$

where $\mathbb{B}_{01}(x, t, u_0, u_1)$ is a power series in the variables $x - x_a$, $t - t_a$, $u_0 - a_0$, $u_1 - a_1$ and $\mathbb{B}_{00}(x, t, u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3)$ is a power series in the variables $x - x_a$, $t - t_a$, $u_0 - a_0$, $u_1 - a_1$, $u_2 - a_2$, $u_3 - a_3$.

Differentiating (162) with respect to u_4 , u_3 and using (164), we get

$$(165) \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_3 \partial u_3}(\mathbb{B}_{00}) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_1 \partial u_1}(\mathbb{A}) + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial u_3 \partial u_3} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}(\mathbb{A}).$$

Using (120), (163), (164), (165), one can verify that

$$(166) \quad \left(-\frac{\partial^3}{\partial u_3 \partial u_2 \partial u_4} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^3}{\partial u_3 \partial u_3 \partial u_3} \right) (D_x(\mathbb{B}) - D_t(\mathbb{A}) + [\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}]) = \\ = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial u_3 \partial u_3 \partial u_3} \cdot \left(D_x \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}(\mathbb{A}) \right) + \left[\mathbb{A}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}(\mathbb{A}) \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial u_0}(\mathbb{A}) \right).$$

Since $D_x(\mathbb{B}) - D_t(\mathbb{A}) + [\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}] = 0$ by (162), equation (166) implies

$$(167) \quad \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial u_3 \partial u_3 \partial u_3} \cdot \left(D_x \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}(\mathbb{A}) \right) + \left[\mathbb{A}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}(\mathbb{A}) \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial u_0}(\mathbb{A}) \right) = 0.$$

Since the analytic function $\frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial u_3 \partial u_3 \partial u_3}$ is not identically zero on any connected component of the manifold \mathcal{E} , equation (167) yields

$$(168) \quad D_x \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}(\mathbb{A}) \right) + \left[\mathbb{A}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}(\mathbb{A}) \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial u_0}(\mathbb{A}) = 0.$$

Differentiating (168) with respect to u_2 , we obtain $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_1 \partial u_1}(\mathbb{A}) = 0$.

Recall that \mathbb{A} is of the form (159). Since $\mathbb{A}_{i_0,1}^{l_1,l_2} = 0$ for all $l_1, l_2, i_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ by (161), equation $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_1 \partial u_1}(\mathbb{A}) = 0$ yields $\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}(\mathbb{A}) = 0$. Combining the equation $\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}(\mathbb{A}) = 0$ with (168), one gets $\frac{\partial}{\partial u_0}(\mathbb{A}) = 0$.

Combining the equations $\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}(\mathbb{A}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_0}(\mathbb{A}) = 0$ with (161), we get $\mathbb{A}_{i_0,i_1}^{l_1,l_2} = 0$ for all $l_1, l_2, i_0, i_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Since, by Theorem 10, the algebra $\mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is generated by the elements $\mathbb{A}_{i_0,i_1}^{l_1,0}$ for $l_1, i_0, i_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we obtain $\mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a) = 0$. As one has the surjective homomorphism $\mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ in (90), one gets $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a) = 0$.

According to Theorem 11 for $q = 2$, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ the kernel of the homomorphism

$$\psi_k: \mathbb{F}^{k+1}(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a)$$

is nilpotent. Since $\mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a) = 0$, this implies that $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is nilpotent for all $p > 1$. \square

5. RELATIONS BETWEEN $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ AND THE WAHLQUIST-ESTABROOK PROLONGATION ALGEBRA

Consider a scalar evolution equation of the form

$$(169) \quad u_t = F(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_d), \quad u = u(x, t), \quad u_k = \frac{\partial^k u}{\partial x^k}, \quad u_0 = u.$$

Note that the function F in (169) does not depend on x, t .

Let \mathcal{E} be the infinite prolongation of equation (169). Recall that x, t, u_k are regarded as coordinates on the manifold \mathcal{E} . A point $a \in \mathcal{E}$ is determined by the values of x, t, u_k at a . Let

$$(170) \quad a = (x = x_a, t = t_a, u_k = a_k) \in \mathcal{E}, \quad x_a, t_a, a_k \in \mathbb{K}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},$$

be a point of \mathcal{E} . The constants x_a, t_a, a_k are the coordinates of the point $a \in \mathcal{E}$ in the coordinate system x, t, u_k .

The *Wahlquist-Estabrook prolongation algebra* of equation (169) at the point (170) can be defined in terms of generators and relations as follows. Consider formal power series

$$(171) \quad \mathcal{A} = \sum_{i \geq 0} (u_0 - a_0)^i \cdot \mathcal{A}_i, \quad \mathcal{B} = \sum_{j_0, \dots, j_{d-1} \geq 0} (u_0 - a_0)^{j_0} \dots (u_{d-1} - a_{d-1})^{j_{d-1}} \cdot \mathcal{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{d-1}},$$

where

$$(172) \quad \mathcal{A}_i, \quad \mathcal{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{d-1}}, \quad i, j_0, \dots, j_{d-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},$$

are generators of a Lie algebra, which is described below. The equation

$$(173) \quad D_x(\mathcal{B}) - D_t(\mathcal{A}) + [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}] = 0$$

is equivalent to some Lie algebraic relations for (172). The Wahlquist-Estabrook prolongation algebra (WE algebra for short) is given by the generators (172) and the relations arising from (173). A more detailed definition of the WE algebra is presented in [10]. We denote this Lie algebra by \mathfrak{W}_a .

Then (171), (173) is called the *formal Wahlquist-Estabrook ZCR with coefficients in \mathfrak{W}_a* .

The right-hand side $F = F(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_d)$ of (169) appears in equation (173), because F appears in the formula $D_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{k \geq 0} D_x^k(F) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}$ for the total derivative operator D_t . We are going to show that the algebra $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for equation (169) is isomorphic to some subalgebra of \mathfrak{W}_a .

According to Remark 27, the algebra $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is generated by $\mathbb{A}_i^{l_1, l_2}, \mathbb{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{d-1}}^{l_1, l_2}$. According to (75), one has $\mathbb{A}_0^{l_1, l_2} = \mathbb{B}_{0 \dots 0}^{0, l_2} = 0$ for all l_1, l_2 .

Since equation (169) is invariant with respect to the change of variables $x \mapsto x - x_a, t \mapsto t - t_a$, we can assume $x_a = t_a = 0$ in (170). Since $\mathbb{A}_0^{l_1, l_2} = \mathbb{B}_{0 \dots 0}^{0, l_2} = 0$ and $x_a = t_a = 0$, in the case $p = 0$ the power series (72), (73), (74) are written as

$$(174) \quad \mathbb{A} = \sum_{l_1, l_2 \geq 0, i > 0} x^{l_1} t^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^i \cdot \mathbb{A}_i^{l_1, l_2},$$

$$(175) \quad \mathbb{B} = \sum_{l_1, l_2, j_0, \dots, j_{d-1} \geq 0} x^{l_1} t^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{j_0} \dots (u_{d-1} - a_{d-1})^{j_{d-1}} \cdot \mathbb{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{d-1}}^{l_1, l_2}, \quad \mathbb{B}_{0 \dots 0}^{0, l_2} = 0,$$

$$(176) \quad D_x(\mathbb{B}) - D_t(\mathbb{A}) + [\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}] = 0, \quad \mathbb{A}_i^{l_1, l_2}, \mathbb{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{d-1}}^{l_1, l_2} \in \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a).$$

The next lemma follows from the definition of $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$.

Lemma 10. *Let \mathfrak{L} be a Lie algebra. Consider formal power series of the form*

$$P = \sum_{l_1, l_2 \geq 0, i > 0} x^{l_1} t^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^i \cdot P_i^{l_1, l_2}, \quad P_i^{l_1, l_2} \in \mathfrak{L},$$

$$Q = \sum_{l_1, l_2, j_0, \dots, j_{d-1} \geq 0} x^{l_1} t^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{j_0} \dots (u_{d-1} - a_{d-1})^{j_{d-1}} \cdot Q_{j_0 \dots j_{d-1}}^{l_1, l_2}, \quad Q_{j_0 \dots j_{d-1}}^{l_1, l_2} \in \mathfrak{L}, \quad Q_{0 \dots 0}^{0, l_2} = 0.$$

If $D_x(Q) - D_t(P) + [P, Q] = 0$, then the map $\mathbb{A}_i^{l_1, l_2} \mapsto P_i^{l_1, l_2}, \mathbb{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{d-1}}^{l_1, l_2} \mapsto Q_{j_0 \dots j_{d-1}}^{l_1, l_2}$ determines a homomorphism from $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ to \mathfrak{L} .

Let \mathfrak{L} be a Lie algebra. A *formal ZCR of Wahlquist-Estabrook type with coefficients in \mathfrak{L}* is given by formal power series

$$(177) \quad M = \sum_{i \geq 0} (u_0 - a_0)^i \cdot M_i, \quad N = \sum_{j_0, \dots, j_{d-1} \geq 0} (u_0 - a_0)^{j_0} \dots (u_{d-1} - a_{d-1})^{j_{d-1}} \cdot N_{j_0 \dots j_{d-1}},$$

$$M_i, N_{j_0 \dots j_{d-1}} \in \mathfrak{L},$$

satisfying

$$(178) \quad D_x(N) - D_t(M) + [M, N] = 0.$$

The next lemma follows from the definition of the WE algebra \mathfrak{W}_a .

Lemma 11. Any formal ZCR of Wahlquist-Estabrook type (177), (178) with coefficients in \mathfrak{L} determines a homomorphism $\mathfrak{W}_a \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}$ given by $\mathcal{A}_i \mapsto M_i$, $\mathcal{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{d-1}} \mapsto N_{j_0 \dots j_{d-1}}$.

Remark 32. For any Lie algebra \mathfrak{L} , there is a (possibly infinite-dimensional) vector space V such that \mathfrak{L} is isomorphic to a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$. Here $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is the algebra of linear maps $V \rightarrow V$.

For example, one can use the following construction. Denote by $U(\mathfrak{L})$ the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{L} . We have the injective homomorphism of Lie algebras

$$\xi: \mathfrak{L} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(U(\mathfrak{L})), \quad \xi(v)(w) = vw, \quad v \in \mathfrak{L}, \quad w \in U(\mathfrak{L}).$$

So one can set $V = U(\mathfrak{L})$.

Denote by \mathbf{F} the vector space of formal power series in variables z_1, z_2 with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$. That is, an element of \mathbf{F} is a power series of the form

$$\sum_{l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} z_1^{l_1} z_2^{l_2} C^{l_1 l_2}, \quad C^{l_1 l_2} \in \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a).$$

The space \mathbf{F} has the Lie algebra structure given by

$$\left[\sum_{l_1, l_2} z_1^{l_1} z_2^{l_2} C^{l_1 l_2}, \sum_{\tilde{l}_1, \tilde{l}_2} z_1^{\tilde{l}_1} z_2^{\tilde{l}_2} \tilde{C}^{\tilde{l}_1 \tilde{l}_2} \right] = \sum_{l_1, l_2, \tilde{l}_1, \tilde{l}_2} z_1^{l_1 + \tilde{l}_1} z_2^{l_2 + \tilde{l}_2} [C^{l_1 l_2}, \tilde{C}^{\tilde{l}_1 \tilde{l}_2}], \quad C^{l_1 l_2}, \tilde{C}^{\tilde{l}_1 \tilde{l}_2} \in \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a).$$

We have also the following homomorphism of Lie algebras

$$(179) \quad \nu: \mathbf{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a), \quad \nu \left(\sum_{l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} z_1^{l_1} z_2^{l_2} C^{l_1 l_2} \right) = C^{00}.$$

For $i = 1, 2$, let $\partial_{z_i}: \mathbf{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}$ be the linear map given by $\partial_{z_i} \left(\sum z_1^{l_1} z_2^{l_2} C^{l_1 l_2} \right) = \sum \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} (z_1^{l_1} z_2^{l_2}) C^{l_1 l_2}$.

Let D be the linear span of $\partial_{z_1}, \partial_{z_2}$ in the vector space of linear maps $\mathbf{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}$. Since the maps $\partial_{z_1}, \partial_{z_2}$ commute, the space D is a 2-dimensional abelian Lie algebra with respect to the commutator of maps.

Denote by \mathbb{L} the vector space $D \oplus \mathbf{F}$ with the following Lie algebra structure

$$[X_1 + f_1, X_2 + f_2] = X_1(f_2) - X_2(f_1) + [f_1, f_2], \quad X_1, X_2 \in D, \quad f_1, f_2 \in \mathbf{F}.$$

An element of \mathbb{L} can be written as a sum of the following form

$$(y_1 \partial_{z_1} + y_2 \partial_{z_2}) + \sum z_1^{l_1} z_2^{l_2} C^{l_1 l_2}, \quad y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{K}, \quad C^{l_1 l_2} \in \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a).$$

Theorem 13. Let $\mathfrak{R} \subset \mathfrak{W}_a$ be the subalgebra generated by the elements

$$(180) \quad (\text{ad } \mathcal{A}_0)^k(\mathcal{A}_i), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}.$$

Then the map $(\text{ad } \mathcal{A}_0)^k(\mathcal{A}_i) \mapsto k! \cdot \mathbb{A}_i^{k,0}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, determines an isomorphism between \mathfrak{R} and $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$.

(Note that for $k = 0$ we have $(\text{ad } \mathcal{A}_0)^0(\mathcal{A}_i) = \mathcal{A}_i$, hence $\mathcal{A}_i \in \mathfrak{R}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.)

Proof. We have $D_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sum_{k \geq 0} u_{k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}$ and $D_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{k \geq 0} D_x^k(F) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}$, where $F = F(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_d)$ is given in (169). Equation (176) is equivalent to

$$(181) \quad \sum_{l_1, l_2, j_0, \dots, j_{d-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (x^{l_1} t^{l_2}) (u_0 - a_0)^{j_0} \dots (u_{d-1} - a_{d-1})^{j_{d-1}} \cdot \mathbb{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{d-1}}^{l_1, l_2} + \\ + \sum_{l_1, l_2, j_0, \dots, j_{d-1}} x^{l_1} t^{l_2} D_x \left((u_0 - a_0)^{j_0} \dots (u_{d-1} - a_{d-1})^{j_{d-1}} \right) \cdot \mathbb{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{d-1}}^{l_1, l_2} \\ - \sum_{l_1, l_2, i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (x^{l_1} t^{l_2}) (u_0 - a_0)^i \cdot \mathbb{A}_i^{l_1, l_2} - \sum_{l_1, l_2, i} x^{l_1} t^{l_2} D_t \left((u_0 - a_0)^i \right) \cdot \mathbb{A}_i^{l_1, l_2} + [\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}] = 0.$$

We regard the expressions

$$(182) \quad \tilde{A} = \partial_{z_1} + \sum_{i > 0} (u_0 - a_0)^i \cdot \left(\sum_{l_1, l_2} z_1^{l_1} z_2^{l_2} \mathbb{A}_i^{l_1, l_2} \right),$$

$$(183) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{B}} = \left(\partial_{z_2} + \sum_{l_1, l_2} z_1^{l_1} z_2^{l_2} \mathbb{B}_{0 \dots 0}^{l_1, l_2} \right) + \sum_{\substack{j_0, \dots, j_{d-1} \geq 0, \\ j_0 + \dots + j_{d-1} > 0}} (u_0 - a_0)^{j_0} \dots (u_{d-1} - a_{d-1})^{j_{d-1}} \cdot \left(\sum_{l_1, l_2} z_1^{l_1} z_2^{l_2} \mathbb{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{d-1}}^{l_1, l_2} \right)$$

as formal power series with coefficients in \mathbb{L} .

Since the function F in (169) does not depend on x and t , equation (181) is equivalent to

$$D_x(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}) - D_t(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}) + [\tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}] = 0,$$

which implies that the power series (182), (183) constitute a formal ZCR of Wahlquist-Estabrook type with coefficients in \mathbb{L} .

Applying Lemma 11 to this formal ZCR, we obtain the homomorphism

$$(184) \quad \varphi: \mathfrak{W}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{L}, \quad \varphi(\mathcal{A}_0) = \partial_{z_1}, \quad \varphi(\mathcal{A}_i) = \sum_{l_1, l_2} z_1^{l_1} z_2^{l_2} \mathbb{A}_i^{l_1, l_2}, \quad i > 0,$$

$$\varphi(\mathcal{B}_{0 \dots 0}) = \left(\partial_{z_2} + \sum_{l_1, l_2} z_1^{l_1} z_2^{l_2} \mathbb{B}_{0 \dots 0}^{l_1, l_2} \right), \quad \varphi(\mathcal{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{d-1}}) = \left(\sum_{l_1, l_2} z_1^{l_1} z_2^{l_2} \mathbb{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{d-1}}^{l_1, l_2} \right), \quad j_0 + \dots + j_{d-1} > 0.$$

Clearly, \mathbf{F} is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathbb{L} = \mathbf{D} \oplus \mathbf{F}$. In view of (184), for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ one has

$$(185) \quad \varphi\left((\text{ad } \mathcal{A}_0)^k(\mathcal{A}_i)\right) = (\text{ad } \partial_{z_1})^k \left(\sum_{l_1, l_2} z_1^{l_1} z_2^{l_2} \mathbb{A}_i^{l_1, l_2} \right) = (\partial_{z_1})^k \left(\sum_{l_1, l_2} z_1^{l_1} z_2^{l_2} \mathbb{A}_i^{l_1, l_2} \right) \in \mathbf{F}.$$

Since $\mathfrak{R} \subset \mathfrak{W}_a$ is generated by the elements (180), property (185) implies $\varphi(\mathfrak{R}) \subset \mathbf{F} \subset \mathbb{L}$. Using the homomorphism (179) and property (185), we get

$$(186) \quad \nu \circ \varphi|_{\mathfrak{R}}: \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a), \quad (\nu \circ \varphi)\left((\text{ad } \mathcal{A}_0)^k(\mathcal{A}_i)\right) = k! \cdot \mathbb{A}_i^{k, 0}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}.$$

Using Remark 32, we can assume that \mathfrak{W}_a is embedded in the algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ for some vector space V . Let \mathbf{S} be the vector space of power series of the form

$$(187) \quad \sum_{l_1, l_2, i_0, \dots, i_k \geq 0} x^{l_1} t^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{i_0} \dots (u_k - a_k)^{i_k} \cdot C_{i_0 \dots i_k}^{l_1, l_2}, \quad C_{i_0 \dots i_k}^{l_1, l_2} \in \mathfrak{gl}(V), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Note that \mathbf{S} contains the power series (187) for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. For each $C \in \mathbf{S}$, the power series $D_x(C), D_t(C) \in \mathbf{S}$ are defined according to Remark 25.

Recall that $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ consists of linear maps $V \rightarrow V$. Since $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is an associative algebra with respect to the composition of maps, the space \mathbf{S} is an associative algebra with respect to the standard multiplication of formal power series.

Also, using Remark 25 and the Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$, we obtain a Lie bracket on the space \mathbf{S} .

We set $\mathcal{B}_0 = \mathcal{B}_{0 \dots 0}$, where $\mathcal{B}_{0 \dots 0}$ is the free term of the power series \mathcal{B} from (171). Since $\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{d-1}} \in \mathfrak{W}_a \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ for all $i, j_0, \dots, j_{d-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the power series $e^{x\mathcal{A}_0}$, $e^{t\mathcal{B}_0}$, and (171) belong to \mathbf{S} . Set

$$(188) \quad P = -e^{t\mathcal{B}_0} \mathcal{A}_0 e^{-t\mathcal{B}_0} + e^{t\mathcal{B}_0} e^{x\mathcal{A}_0} \mathcal{A} e^{-x\mathcal{A}_0} e^{-t\mathcal{B}_0}, \quad Q = -\mathcal{B}_0 + e^{t\mathcal{B}_0} e^{x\mathcal{A}_0} \mathcal{B} e^{-x\mathcal{A}_0} e^{-t\mathcal{B}_0}.$$

Using (188), we get

$$(189) \quad D_x(Q) = e^{t\mathcal{B}_0} [\mathcal{A}_0, e^{x\mathcal{A}_0} \mathcal{B} e^{-x\mathcal{A}_0}] e^{-t\mathcal{B}_0} + e^{t\mathcal{B}_0} e^{x\mathcal{A}_0} D_x(\mathcal{B}) e^{-x\mathcal{A}_0} e^{-t\mathcal{B}_0},$$

$$(190) \quad D_t(P) = -[\mathcal{B}_0, e^{t\mathcal{B}_0} \mathcal{A}_0 e^{-t\mathcal{B}_0}] + [\mathcal{B}_0, e^{t\mathcal{B}_0} e^{x\mathcal{A}_0} \mathcal{A} e^{-x\mathcal{A}_0} e^{-t\mathcal{B}_0}] + e^{t\mathcal{B}_0} e^{x\mathcal{A}_0} D_t(\mathcal{A}) e^{-x\mathcal{A}_0} e^{-t\mathcal{B}_0}.$$

Recall that $D_x(\mathcal{B}) - D_t(\mathcal{A}) + [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}] = 0$ according to (173). Combining this with (188), (189), (190), one obtains

$$(191) \quad D_x(Q) - D_t(P) + [P, Q] = e^{t\mathcal{B}_0} e^{x\mathcal{A}_0} (D_x(\mathcal{B}) - D_t(\mathcal{A}) + [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}]) e^{-x\mathcal{A}_0} e^{-t\mathcal{B}_0} = 0.$$

Formulas (171), (188) yield

$$(192) \quad P = -e^{t\mathcal{B}_0} \mathcal{A}_0 e^{-t\mathcal{B}_0} + \sum_{i \geq 0} (u_0 - a_0)^i \cdot e^{t\mathcal{B}_0} e^{x\mathcal{A}_0} \mathcal{A}_i e^{-x\mathcal{A}_0} e^{-t\mathcal{B}_0} =$$

$$= \sum_{l_1, l_2 \geq 0, i > 0} x^{l_1} t^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^i \frac{1}{l_1! l_2!} (\text{ad } \mathcal{B}_0)^{l_2} \left((\text{ad } \mathcal{A}_0)^{l_1} (\mathcal{A}_i) \right).$$

$$(193) \quad Q = -\mathcal{B}_0 + \sum_{j_0, \dots, j_{d-1} \geq 0} (u_0 - a_0)^{j_0} \dots (u_{d-1} - a_{d-1})^{j_{d-1}} \cdot e^{t\mathcal{B}_0} e^{x\mathcal{A}_0} \mathcal{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{d-1}} e^{-x\mathcal{A}_0} e^{-t\mathcal{B}_0} =$$

$$= -\mathcal{B}_0 + \sum_{l_1, l_2, j_0, \dots, j_{d-1} \geq 0} x^{l_1} t^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{j_0} \dots (u_{d-1} - a_{d-1})^{j_{d-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{l_1! l_2!} (\text{ad } \mathcal{B}_0)^{l_2} \left((\text{ad } \mathcal{A}_0)^{l_1} (\mathcal{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{d-1}}) \right).$$

From (191), (192), (193) it follows that the power series P , Q satisfy all conditions of Lemma 10. Applying Lemma 10 to P , Q given by (192), (193), we obtain the homomorphism

$$(194) \quad \psi: \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}_a, \quad \psi(\mathbb{A}_i^{l_1, l_2}) = \frac{1}{l_1! l_2!} (\text{ad } \mathcal{B}_0)^{l_2} \left((\text{ad } \mathcal{A}_0)^{l_1} (\mathcal{A}_i) \right), \quad l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0},$$

$$\psi(\mathbb{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{d-1}}^{l_1, l_2}) = \frac{1}{l_1! l_2!} (\text{ad } \mathcal{B}_0)^{l_2} \left((\text{ad } \mathcal{A}_0)^{l_1} (\mathcal{B}_{j_0 \dots j_{d-1}}) \right), \quad l_1, l_2, j_0, \dots, j_{d-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \quad j_0 + \dots + j_{d-1} > 0,$$

$$\psi(\mathbb{B}_{0 \dots 0}^{l'_1, l'_2}) = \frac{1}{l'_1! l'_2!} (\text{ad } \mathcal{B}_0)^{l'_2} \left((\text{ad } \mathcal{A}_0)^{l'_1} (\mathcal{B}_{0 \dots 0}) \right), \quad l'_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \quad l'_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

From (194) we get

$$(195) \quad \psi(\mathbb{A}_i^{l_1, 0}) = \frac{1}{l_1!} (\text{ad } \mathcal{A}_0)^{l_1} (\mathcal{A}_i) \in \mathfrak{R}, \quad l_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}.$$

Since, by Theorem 10, the elements $\mathbb{A}_i^{l_1, 0}$, $l_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, generate the algebra $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$, property (195) implies $\psi(\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)) \subset \mathfrak{R}$. Then from (186), (195) it follows that the homomorphisms $\psi: \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ and $\nu \circ \varphi|_{\mathfrak{R}}: \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ are inverse to each other. \square

6. THE ALGEBRAS $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ FOR THE KdV EQUATION

Consider the infinite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda]) \cong \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[\lambda]$, where $\mathbb{K}[\lambda]$ is the algebra of polynomials in λ .

Lemma 12. *Let \mathcal{E} be the infinite prolongation of the KdV equation $u_t = u_3 + u_0 u_1$. Let $a \in \mathcal{E}$.*

Then $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda])$ and a 3-dimensional abelian Lie algebra.

Proof. We are going to use Theorem 13, which says that $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is isomorphic to a certain subalgebra of the Wahlquist-Estabrook prolongation algebra \mathfrak{W}_a .

The Wahlquist-Estabrook prolongation algebra for the KdV equation was computed in [3, 4]. According to [4], this algebra is isomorphic to the direct sum of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda])$ and a 5-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra H . The algebra H has a basis $(r_{-3}, r_{-1}, r_0, r_1, r_3)$ satisfying

$$(196) \quad [r_1, r_{-1}] = [r_{-3}, r_3] = -r_0, \quad [r_i, r_j] = 0 \quad \text{if } i + j \neq 0.$$

In order to be in agreement with formulas from [4], we take the KdV equation in the form

$$(197) \quad u_t = -u_3 - 12u_0 u_1,$$

which can be transformed to $u_t = u_3 + u_0 u_1$ by scaling of the variables x , t , u . (Recall that we use the notation (2).)

Let (h, y, z) be a basis of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K})$ satisfying

$$(198) \quad [h, y] = 2y, \quad [h, z] = -2z, \quad [y, z] = h.$$

In Section 5 for any evolution PDE of the form (169) we have defined the notion of formal Wahlquist-Estabrook ZCR with coefficients in \mathfrak{W}_a , which is given by formulas (171), (173). According to [4], for the KdV equation (197), we have $\mathfrak{W}_a \cong H \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda])$, and \mathcal{A} from (171) can be written as

$$(199) \quad \mathcal{A} = -2X_1 - 2u_0 X_2 - 3u_0^2 X_3,$$

where

$$(200) \quad X_1 = r_1 - \frac{1}{2}y + \frac{1}{2}z\lambda, \quad X_2 = r_{-1} + z, \quad X_3 = r_{-3}.$$

Since $r_1, r_{-1}, r_{-3} \in H$ and $y, z, z\lambda \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda])$, the elements X_1, X_2, X_3 given by (200) belong to $H \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda])$.

The paper [4] uses the symbol T in place of λ . For equation (197), the paper [4] contains also an explicit formula for \mathcal{B} from (171), but it is not needed for us.

Formulas (196), (198), (199), (200) imply that, in this case, the subalgebra $\mathfrak{R} \subset \mathfrak{W}_a$ defined in Theorem 13 is equal to the subalgebra

$$\tilde{H} \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda]) \subset H \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda]) \cong \mathfrak{W}_a,$$

where $\tilde{H} \subset H$ is spanned by the elements r_{-1}, r_{-3}, r_0 . Formulas (196) imply that the 3-dimensional Lie algebra \tilde{H} is abelian.

According to Theorem 13, one has $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a) \cong \mathfrak{R}$. Since $\mathfrak{R} \cong \tilde{H} \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda])$, we see that $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda])$ and the 3-dimensional abelian Lie algebra \tilde{H} . \square

Theorem 14. *Let \mathcal{E} be the infinite prolongation of the KdV equation $u_t = u_3 + u_0 u_1$. Let $a \in \mathcal{E}$.*

Then $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda])$ and a 3-dimensional abelian Lie algebra.

For every $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, consider the surjective homomorphism $\varphi_p: \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ from (90) and the surjective homomorphism $\psi_p: \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ that is equal to the composition of

$$\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a).$$

Then the kernel of φ_p is contained in the center of the Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$, and the kernel of ψ_p is nilpotent. Furthermore, the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is obtained from $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda])$ by applying several times the operation of central extension.

Proof. The statement about $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ has been proved in Lemma 12. In particular, we see that $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is isomorphic to a central extension of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda])$.

The results about the homomorphisms $\varphi_p: \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ and $\psi_p: \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ follow from Theorem 11 in the case $q = 1$.

Hence for each $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is obtained from $\mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ by central extension. Since $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is isomorphic to a central extension of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda])$, we see that $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is obtained from $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda])$ by applying several times the operation of central extension. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

S. Igonin is a research fellow of Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM), Italy. S. Igonin and G. Manno are members of GNSAGA of INdAM.

The authors acknowledge support by the project FIR-2013 Geometria delle equazioni differenziali. G. Manno was also partially supported by “Starting grant per giovani ricercatori” of Politecnico di Torino.

S. Igonin would like to thank A. Henriques, A. P. Fordy, I. S. Krasilshchik, Yu. I. Manin, V. V. Sokolov, A. M. Verbovetsky, and A. M. Vinogradov for useful discussions.

S. Igonin is grateful to the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics (Bonn, Germany) for its hospitality and excellent working conditions during 02.2006–01.2007 and 06.2010–09.2010, when part of this research was done.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. J. Caudrey, R. K. Dodd, and J. D. Gibbon. A new hierarchy of Korteweg-de Vries equations. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A* **351** (1976), 407–422.
- [2] R. Dodd and A. Fordy. The prolongation structures of quasipolynomial flows. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A* **385** (1983), 389–429.
- [3] H. N. van Eck. The explicit form of the Lie algebra of Wahlquist and Estabrook. A presentation problem. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math.* **45** (1983), 149–164.

- [4] H. N. van Eck. A non-Archimedean approach to prolongation theory. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **12** (1986), 231–239.
- [5] L. D. Faddeev and L. A. Takhtajan. *Hamiltonian methods in the theory of solitons*. Springer-Verlag, 1987.
- [6] J. D. Finley and J. K. McIver. Prolongations to higher jets of Estabrook-Wahlquist coverings for PDEs. *Acta Appl. Math.* **32** (1993), 197–225.
- [7] A. P. Fordy. The Hénon-Heiles system revisited. *Phys. D* **52** (1991), 204–210.
- [8] S. Igonin. Coverings and fundamental algebras for partial differential equations. *J. Geom. Phys.* **56** (2006), 939–998.
- [9] S. Igonin. Higher jet prolongation Lie algebras and Bäcklund transformations for $(1+1)$ -dimensional PDEs. Preprint at arXiv:1212.2199
- [10] S. Igonin, J. van de Leur, G. Manno, and V. Trushkov. Infinite-dimensional prolongation Lie algebras and multicomponent Landau-Lifshitz systems associated with higher genus curves. *J. Geom. Phys.* **68** (2013), 1–26.
- [11] S. Igonin and G. Manno. On Lie algebras responsible for zero-curvature representations of multicomponent $(1+1)$ -dimensional evolution PDEs. Preprint at arXiv:1703.07217
- [12] S. Igonin and G. Manno. On Lie algebras responsible for zero-curvature representations and Bäcklund transformations of $(1+1)$ -dimensional scalar evolution PDEs. Preprint, to appear at arxiv.org
- [13] S. Igonin and R. Martini. Prolongation structure of the Krichever-Novikov equation. *J. Phys. A* **35** (2002), 9801–9810.
- [14] D. J. Kaup. On the inverse scattering problem for cubic eigenvalue problems of the class $\psi_{xxx} + 6Q\psi_x + 6R\psi = \lambda\psi$. *Stud. Appl. Math.* **62** (1980), 189–216.
- [15] I. S. Krasilshchik and A. M. Vinogradov. Nonlocal trends in the geometry of differential equations. *Acta Appl. Math.* **15** (1989), 161–209.
- [16] I. M. Krichever and S. P. Novikov. Holomorphic bundles over algebraic curves and nonlinear equations. *Russian Math. Surveys* **35** (1980), 53–79.
- [17] M. Marvan. On zero-curvature representations of partial differential equations. *Differential geometry and its applications (Opava, 1992)*, 103–122. Silesian Univ. Opava, 1993. www.emis.de/proceedings/5ICDGA
- [18] M. Marvan. A direct procedure to compute zero-curvature representations. The case sl_2 . *Secondary Calculus and Cohomological Physics (Moscow, 1997)*, 9 pp. www.emis.de/proceedings/SCCP97
- [19] M. Marvan. On the spectral parameter problem. *Acta Appl. Math.* **109** (2010), 239–255.
- [20] A. G. Meshkov and V. V. Sokolov. Integrable evolution equations with constant separant. *Ufa Math. J.* **4** (2012), 104–153, arXiv:1302.6010
- [21] A. V. Mikhailov, A. B. Shabat, and V. V. Sokolov. The symmetry approach to classification of integrable equations. *What is integrability?*, 115–184. Springer, 1991.
- [22] D. P. Novikov. Algebraic-geometric solutions of the Krichever-Novikov equation. *Theoret. and Math. Phys.* **121** (1999), 1567–1573.
- [23] C. Rogers and W. F. Shadwick. *Bäcklund transformations and their applications*. Academic Press, New York, 1982.
- [24] G. H. M. Roelofs and R. Martini. Prolongation structure of the Landau-Lifshitz equation. *J. Math. Phys.* **34** (1993), 2394–2399.
- [25] S. Yu. Sakovich. On Miura transformations of evolution equations. *J. Phys. A* **26** (1993), L369–L373.
- [26] S. Yu. Sakovich. On zero-curvature representations of evolution equations. *J. Phys. A* **28** (1995), 2861–2869.
- [27] S. Yu. Sakovich. Cyclic bases of zero-curvature representations: five illustrations to one concept. *Acta Appl. Math.* **83** (2004), 69–83.
- [28] J. A. Sanders and J. P. Wang. Number theory and the symmetry classification of integrable systems. *Integrability*, 89–118, *Lecture Notes in Phys.* **767**. Springer, Berlin, 2009.
- [29] K. Sawada and T. Kotera. A method for finding N -soliton solutions of the K.d.V. equation and K.d.V.-like equation. *Progr. Theoret. Phys.* **51** (1974), 1355–1367.
- [30] P. Sebestyén. On normal forms of irreducible sl_n -valued zero-curvature representations. *Rep. Math. Phys.* **62** (2008), 57–68.
- [31] E. K. Sklyanin. On complete integrability of the Landau-Lifshitz equation. *Preprint LOMI E-3-79*, Leningrad, 1979.
- [32] S. I. Svinolupov, V. V. Sokolov, and R. I. Yamilov. On Bäcklund transformations for integrable evolution equations. *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **28** (1983), 165–168.
- [33] H. D. Wahlquist and F. B. Estabrook. Prolongation structures of nonlinear evolution equations. *J. Math. Phys.* **16** (1975), 1–7.
- [34] V. E. Zakharov and A. B. Shabat. Integration of nonlinear equations of mathematical physics by the method of inverse scattering. II. *Functional Anal. Appl.* **13** (1979), 166–174.