

HAHN-BANACH TYPE EXTENSION THEOREMS ON p -OPERATOR SPACES

JUNG-JIN LEE

ABSTRACT. Let $V \subseteq W$ be two operator spaces. Arveson-Wittstock-Hahn-Banach theorem asserts that every completely contractive map $\varphi : V \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ has a completely contractive extension $\tilde{\varphi} : W \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$, where $\mathcal{B}(H)$ denotes the space of all bounded operators from a Hilbert space H to itself. In this paper, we show that this is not in general true for p -operator spaces, that is, we show that there are p -operator spaces $V \subseteq W$, an SQ_p space E , and a p -completely contractive map $\varphi : V \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$ such that φ does not extend to a p -completely contractive map on W . Restricting E to L_p spaces, we also consider a condition on W under which every completely contractive map $\varphi : V \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L_p(\mu))$ has a completely contractive extension $\tilde{\varphi} : W \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L_p(\mu))$.

1. INTRODUCTION TO p -OPERATOR SPACES

Throughout this paper, we assume $1 < p, p' < \infty$ with $1/p + 1/p' = 1$, unless stated otherwise. For a Banach space X , we denote by $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}(X)$ the linear space of all $m \times n$ matrices with entries in X . By $\mathbb{M}_n(X)$, we will denote $\mathbb{M}_{n,n}(X)$. When $X = \mathbb{C}$, we will simply use $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}$ (respectively, \mathbb{M}_n) for $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$ (respectively, $\mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$). For Banach spaces X and Y , we will denote by $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ the space of all bounded linear operators from X to Y . We will also use $\mathcal{B}(X)$ for $\mathcal{B}(X, X)$. The ℓ_p direct sum of n copies of X will be denoted by $\ell_p^n(X)$.

Definition 1.1. Let SQ_p denote the collection of subspaces of quotients of L_p spaces. A Banach space X is called a *concrete p -operator space* if X is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{B}(E)$ for some $E \in SQ_p$.

Let $E \in SQ_p$. For a concrete p -operator space $X \subseteq \mathcal{B}(E)$ and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define a norm $\|\cdot\|_n$ on $\mathbb{M}_n(X)$ by identifying $\mathbb{M}_n(X)$ as a subspace of $\mathcal{B}(\ell_p^n(E))$, and let $M_n(X)$ denote the corresponding normed space. The norms $\|\cdot\|_n$ then satisfy

\mathcal{D}_∞ : for $u \in M_n(X)$ and $v \in M_m(X)$, we have $\|u \oplus v\|_{M_{n+m}(X)} = \max\{\|u\|_n, \|v\|_m\}$.

\mathcal{M}_p : for $u \in M_m(X)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{M}_{n,m}$, and $\beta \in \mathbb{M}_{m,n}$, we have $\|\alpha u \beta\|_n \leq \|\alpha\| \|\|u\|_m\| \|\beta\|$, where $\|\alpha\|$ is the norm of α as a member of $\mathcal{B}(\ell_p^m, \ell_p^n)$, and similarly for β .

Date: September 17, 2021.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47L25.

The author was supported by Hutchcroft Fund, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Mount Holyoke College.

When $p = 2$, these are Ruan's axioms and 2-operator spaces are simply operator spaces because the SQ_2 spaces are exactly the same as Hilbert spaces.

As in operator spaces, we can also define abstract p -operator spaces.

Definition 1.2. An *abstract p -operator space* is a Banach space X together with a sequence of norms $\|\cdot\|_n$ defined on $M_n(X)$ satisfying the conditions \mathcal{D}_∞ and \mathcal{M}_p above.

Thanks to Ruan's representation theorem [Rua88], we do not distinguish between concrete and abstract operator spaces. Le Merdy showed that this remains true for p -operator spaces.

Theorem 1.3. [LeM96, Theorem 4.1] *An abstract p -operator space X can be isometrically embedded in $\mathcal{B}(E)$ for some $E \in SQ_p$ in such a way that the canonical norms on $M_n(X)$ arising from this embedding agree with the given norms.*

Example 1.4.

- (a) Suppose E and F are SQ_p spaces and let $L = E \oplus_p F$, the ℓ_p direct sum of E and F . Then L is also an SQ_p space [Her71, Proposition 5] and the mapping

$$x \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ x & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is an isometric embedding of $\mathcal{B}(E, F)$ into $\mathcal{B}(L)$. Using this we can view $\mathcal{B}(E, F)$ as a p -operator space. Note that $M_n(\mathcal{B}(E, F))$ is isometrically isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}(\ell_p^n(E), \ell_p^n(F))$.

- (b) The identification $L_p(\mu) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}, L_p(\mu)) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C} \oplus_p L_p(\mu))$ gives a p -operator space structure on $L_p(\mu)$ called the *column p -operator space structure* of $L_p(\mu)$, which we denote by $L_p^c(\mu)$. Similarly, the identification $L_{p'}(\mu) = \mathcal{B}(L_p(\mu), \mathbb{C})$ gives rise to p -operator space structure on $L_{p'}(\mu)$ which we denote by $L_{p'}^r(\mu)$ and call the *row p -operator space structure* of $L_{p'}(\mu)$. In general, we can define E^c and $(E')^r$ for any $E \in SQ_p$, where E' is the Banach dual space of E .

Note that a linear map $u : X \rightarrow Y$ between p -operator spaces X and Y induces a map $u_n : M_n(X) \rightarrow M_n(Y)$ by applying u entrywise. We say that u is *p -completely bounded* if $\|u\|_{pcb} := \sup_n \|u_n\| < \infty$. Similarly, we define *p -completely contractive*, *p -completely isometric*, and *p -completely quotient* maps. We write $\mathcal{CB}_p(X, Y)$ for the space of all p -completely bounded maps from X into Y .

To turn the mapping space $\mathcal{CB}_p(X, Y)$ between two p -operator spaces X and Y into a p -operator space, we define a norm on $M_n(\mathcal{CB}_p(X, Y))$ by identifying this space with $\mathcal{CB}_p(X, M_n(Y))$. Using Le Merdy's theorem, one can show that $\mathcal{CB}_p(X, Y)$ itself is a p -operator space. In particular, the *p -operator dual space* of X is defined to be $\mathcal{CB}_p(X, \mathbb{C})$. The next lemma by Daws shows that we may identify the Banach dual space X' of X with the p -operator dual space $\mathcal{CB}_p(X, \mathbb{C})$ of X .

Lemma 1.5. [Daw10, Lemma 4.2] *Let X be a p -operator space, and let $\varphi \in X'$, the Banach dual of X . Then φ is p -completely bounded as a map to \mathbb{C} . Moreover, $\|\varphi\|_{pcb} = \|\varphi\|$.*

If $E = L_p(\mu)$ for some measure μ and $X \subseteq \mathcal{B}(E) = \mathcal{B}(L_p(\mu))$, then we say that X is a p -operator space on L_p space. These p -operator spaces are often easier to work with. For example, let $\kappa_X : X \rightarrow X''$ denote the canonical inclusion from a p -operator space X into its second dual. Contrary to operator spaces, κ_X is *not* always p -completely isometric. Thanks to the following theorem by Daws, however, we can easily characterize those p -operator spaces with the property that the canonical inclusion is p -completely isometric.

Proposition 1.6. [Daw10, Proposition 4.4] *Let X be a p -operator space. Then κ_X is a p -complete contraction. Moreover, κ_X is a p -complete isometry if and only if $X \subseteq \mathcal{B}(L_p(\mu))$ p -completely isometrically for some measure μ .*

2. NON-EXISTENCE OF p -ARVESON-WITTSTOCK-HAHN-BANACH THEOREM

Let $V \subseteq W$ be two operator spaces. Arveson-Wittstock-Hahn-Banach theorem asserts that every completely bounded map $\varphi : V \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ has a completely bounded extension $\tilde{\varphi} : W \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$, where H is a Hilbert space. For p -operator spaces, the following question naturally arises.

Question 2.1. Let $V \subseteq W$ be p -operator spaces and E an SQ_p space. Does every p -completely bounded map $\varphi : V \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$ have a p -completely bounded extension $\tilde{\varphi} : W \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$?

To show that this question has a negative answer, let $p \neq 2$, and let E and $L_p(\Omega)$ such that E is a Hilbert space embedding to $L_p(\Omega)$. The existence of such E and $L_p(\Omega)$ is guaranteed by, for example, [DF93, Proposition 8.7]. Let $J : E \hookrightarrow L_p(\Omega)$ denote the isometric embedding, then we can view E as a subspace of $L_p(\Omega)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let J be as above. With p -operator space structure E^c and $L_p(\Omega)^c$, J becomes a p -complete isometry.*

Proof. From Example 1.4, we note that $M_n(E^c) \subseteq M_n(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}, E)) = \mathcal{B}(\ell_p^n, \ell_p^n(E))$. For $[\xi_{ij}] \in M_n(E^c)$, the norm is given by

$$\|[\xi_{ij}]\|^p = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \xi_{ij} \right\|_E^p : \lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}, \sum_{j=1}^n |\lambda_j|^p \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Since J is an isometry,

$$\left\| J \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \xi_{ij} \right) \right\|_{L_p(\Omega)} = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \xi_{ij} \right\|_E$$

and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|J_n([\xi_{ij}])\|^p &= \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j J(\xi_{ij}) \right\|_{L_p(\Omega)}^p : \lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}, \sum_{j=1}^n |\lambda_j|^p \leq 1 \right\} \\
&= \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| J \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \xi_{ij} \right) \right\|_{L_p(\Omega)}^p : \lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}, \sum_{j=1}^n |\lambda_j|^p \leq 1 \right\} \\
&= \|[\xi_{ij}]\|^p.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Let $\tilde{E} = \mathbb{C} \oplus_p E$. Let $\pi : \tilde{E} \rightarrow E$ denote the projection from \tilde{E} onto E and define $\varphi : E^c \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\tilde{E})$ and $\psi : \mathcal{B}(\tilde{E}) \rightarrow E^c$ by

$$\varphi(\xi) = T_\xi, \quad T_\xi(\lambda \oplus_p e) = 0 \oplus_p \lambda \xi, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \quad e \in E$$

and

$$\psi(T) = \pi T(1 \oplus_p 0), \quad T \in \mathcal{B}(\tilde{E})$$

(see the diagram below).

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
L_p(\Omega)^c & & \\
\uparrow J & \searrow \tilde{\varphi} & \\
E^c & \xleftrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathcal{B}(\tilde{E}) \\
& \xleftarrow{\psi} &
\end{array}$$

It is then easy to check that φ and ψ are p -complete contractions with $\psi \circ \varphi = id_{E^c}$. Suppose that $\varphi : E^c \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\tilde{E})$ extends to $\tilde{\varphi} : L_p(\Omega)^c \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\tilde{E})$. Define $P : L_p(\Omega)^c \rightarrow E^c$ by $P = \psi \circ \tilde{\varphi}$, then it follows that P is a p -completely contractive projection onto E^c , meaning that E must be a 1-complemented subspace of $L_p(\Omega)$. This is, however, impossible, because it would imply that a Hilbert space E is isometrically isomorphic to some L_p space with $p \neq 2$.

3. A PREDUAL OF $\mathcal{CB}_p(V, M_n)$

In this section, we define a normed space structure on $\mathbb{M}_n(V)$ whose Banach dual is isometrically isomorphic to $\mathcal{CB}_p(V, M_n)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $1 < p, p' < \infty$ with $1/p + 1/p' = 1$. Let $\lambda = \{\lambda_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq n}$ be a finite sequence in \mathbb{C} . Then*

$$\|\lambda\|_{\ell_p^n} \leq n^{1/p-1/p'} \cdot \|\lambda\|_{\ell_{p'}^n}.$$

Proof. There is nothing to prove if $p = p' = 2$. If $p > p'$, then $\|\lambda\|_{\ell_p^n} \leq \|\lambda\|_{\ell_{p'}^n} \leq n^{1/p-1/p'} \cdot \|\lambda\|_{\ell_p^n}$, since $n^{1/p-1/p'} \geq 1$. Finally, assume $1 < p < p'$ and let $q = \frac{p'}{p} > 1$ and let q' be the conjugate exponent to q . By Hölder's inequality,

$$\|\lambda\|_{\ell_p^n}^p \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |\lambda_j|^{pq} \right)^{1/q} \cdot n^{1/q'} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |\lambda_j|^{p'} \right)^{p/p'} \cdot n^{1-p/p'}$$

and hence $\|\lambda\|_{\ell_p^n} \leq n^{1/p-1/p'} \cdot \|\lambda\|_{\ell_{p'}^n}$. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\alpha = [\alpha_{ij}] \in \mathbb{M}_{n,r}$ and $\beta = [\beta_{kl}] \in \mathbb{M}_{r,n}$. Let $1 < p, p' < \infty$ with $1/p + 1/p' = 1$. Then we have*

$$\|\alpha\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell_p^r, \ell_p^n)} \leq \|\alpha\|_{p'} \cdot n^{1/p-1/p'} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\beta\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell_p^n, \ell_p^r)} \leq \|\beta\|_p \cdot n^{1/p-1/p'},$$

where

$$\|\alpha\|_{p'} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^r |\alpha_{ij}|^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\beta\|_p = \left(\sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{l=1}^n |\beta_{kl}|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

Proof. Suppose $\xi = \{\xi_j\}_{j=1}^r$ is a unit vector in ℓ_p^r . For each i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $\eta_i = \left| \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_{ij} \xi_j \right|$, then by Hölder's inequality, $\eta_i \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^r |\alpha_{ij}|^{p'} \right)^{1/p'}$ and by Lemma 3.1,

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i^p \right)^{1/p} \leq n^{1/p-1/p'} \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} \leq n^{1/p-1/p'} \cdot \|\alpha\|_{p'}$$

and hence we get $\|\alpha\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell_p^r, \ell_p^n)} \leq n^{1/p-1/p'} \cdot \|\alpha\|_{p'}$. To prove the second inequality, let $\gamma = \beta^T \in \mathbb{M}_{n,r}$, the transpose of β . Then by the argument above we have

$$\|\gamma\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell_{p'}^r, \ell_p^n)} \leq \|\gamma\|_p \cdot n^{1/p-1/p'}.$$

Since $\|\gamma\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell_{p'}^r, \ell_p^n)} = \|\beta\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell_p^n, \ell_{p'}^r)}$ and $\|\gamma\|_p = \|\beta\|_p$, we get the desired inequality. \square

Let V be a p -operator space. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and define $\|\cdot\|_{1,n} : \mathbb{M}_n(V) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$(3.1) \quad \|v\|_{1,n} = \inf \{ \|\alpha\|_{p'} \|w\| \|\beta\|_p : r \in \mathbb{N}, \quad v = \alpha w \beta, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{M}_{n,r}, \quad \beta \in \mathbb{M}_{r,n}, \quad w \in M_r(V) \},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{p'}$ and $\|\cdot\|_p$ as in Lemma 3.2.

Proposition 3.3. *Suppose that V is a p -operator space and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\|\cdot\|_{1,n}$ defines a norm on $\mathbb{M}_n(V)$.*

Proof. Suppose $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{M}_n(V)$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. For $i = 1, 2$, we can find α_i, β_i , and w_i such that $v_i = \alpha_i w_i \beta_i$ with $\|w_i\| \leq 1$ and

$$(3.2) \quad \|\alpha_i\|_{p'} < (\|v_i\|_{1,n} + \epsilon)^{1/p'}, \quad \|\beta_i\|_p < (\|v_i\|_{1,n} + \epsilon)^{1/p}.$$

Let

$$\alpha = [\alpha_1 \ \alpha_2], \quad \beta = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad w = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

then $\|\alpha\|_{p'}^{p'} = \|\alpha_1\|_{p'}^{p'} + \|\alpha_2\|_{p'}^{p'}$, $\|\beta\|_p^p = \|\beta_1\|_p^p + \|\beta_2\|_p^p$, and $\|w\| \leq 1$. Since $v_1 + v_2 = \alpha w \beta$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_1 + v_2\|_{1,n} &\leq \|\alpha\|_{p'} \|\beta\|_p \\ \text{(Young's inequality)} &\leq \frac{\|\alpha\|_{p'}^{p'}}{p'} + \frac{\|\beta\|_p^p}{p} \\ &= \frac{\|\alpha_1\|_{p'}^{p'} + \|\alpha_2\|_{p'}^{p'}}{p'} + \frac{\|\beta_1\|_p^p + \|\beta_2\|_p^p}{p} \\ \text{(by (3.2))} &< \frac{\|v_1\|_{1,n} + \|v_2\|_{1,n} + 2\epsilon}{p'} + \frac{\|v_1\|_{1,n} + \|v_2\|_{1,n} + 2\epsilon}{p} \\ &= \|v_1\|_{1,n} + \|v_2\|_{1,n} + 2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since ϵ is arbitrary, we get $\|v_1 + v_2\|_{1,n} \leq \|v_1\|_{1,n} + \|v_2\|_{1,n}$.

For any $c \in \mathbb{C}$, if $v = \alpha w \beta$, then we have $cv = \alpha(cw)\beta$ and hence $\|cv\|_{1,n} \leq \|\alpha\|_{p'} |c| \|w\| \|\beta\|_p$. Taking the infimum, we get

$$(3.3) \quad \|cv\|_{1,n} \leq |c| \|v\|_{1,n}.$$

When $c \neq 0$, replacing c by $1/c$ and v by cv in (3.3) gives

$$(3.4) \quad |c| \|v\|_{1,n} \leq \|cv\|_{1,n},$$

so (3.3) together with (3.4) gives $\|cv\|_{1,n} = |c| \|v\|_{1,n}$, which is obviously true when $c = 0$.

Finally, suppose $\|v\|_{1,n} = 0$. To show that $v = 0$, it suffices to show that

$$(3.5) \quad \|v\| \leq n^{2|1/p-1/p'|} \cdot \|v\|_{1,n}.$$

Indeed, if $v = \alpha w \beta$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{M}_{n,r}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{M}_{r,n}$, and $w \in M_r(v)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\| &\leq \|\alpha\| \|w\| \|\beta\| \\ \text{(by Lemma 3.2)} &\leq \|\alpha\|_{p'} \cdot n^{|1/p-1/p'|} \cdot \|w\| \cdot \|\beta\|_p \cdot n^{|1/p-1/p'|} \\ &= n^{2|1/p-1/p'|} \cdot \|\alpha\|_{p'} \cdot \|w\| \cdot \|\beta\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the infimum, (3.5) follows. □

For a p -operator space V , let $\mathcal{T}_n(V)$ denote the normed space $(\mathbb{M}_n(V), \|\cdot\|_{1,n})$.

Lemma 3.4. *For a p -operator space V , $\mathcal{T}_n(V)' = M_n(V') = \mathcal{CB}_p(V, M_n)$ isometrically.*

Proof. The second isometric isomorphism comes from the definition of the p -operator space structure on V' . We follow the idea as in [ER00, §4.1]. Let $f = [f_{ij}] \in M_n(V') = \mathcal{CB}_p(V, M_n)$. Note that

$$\|f\| = \sup\{\|\langle f, \tilde{v} \rangle\| : r \in \mathbb{N}, \tilde{v} = [\tilde{v}_{kl}] \in M_r(V), \|\tilde{v}\| \leq 1\}.$$

Let $D_{n \times r}^p$ denote the closed unit ball of $\ell_p^{n \times r}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\| &= \sup\{|\langle \langle f, \tilde{v} \rangle, \eta, \xi \rangle| : r \in \mathbb{N}, \tilde{v} = [\tilde{v}_{kl}] \in M_r(V), \|\tilde{v}\| \leq 1, \eta \in D_{n \times r}^p, \xi \in D_{n \times r}^{p'}\} \\ &= \sup\left\{\left|\sum_{i,j,k,l} f_{ij}(\tilde{v}_{kl})\eta_{(j,l)}\xi_{(i,k)}\right| : r \in \mathbb{N}, \tilde{v} = [\tilde{v}_{kl}] \in M_r(V), \|\tilde{v}\| \leq 1, \eta \in D_{n \times r}^p, \xi \in D_{n \times r}^{p'}\right\} \\ &= \sup\left\{\left|\sum_{i,j=1}^n \left\langle f_{ij}, \sum_{k,l=1}^r \xi_{(i,k)}\tilde{v}_{kl}\eta_{(j,l)}\right\rangle\right| : r \in \mathbb{N}, \tilde{v} = [\tilde{v}_{kl}] \in M_r(V), \|\tilde{v}\| \leq 1, \eta \in D_{n \times r}^p, \xi \in D_{n \times r}^{p'}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\sum_{k,l=1}^r \xi_{(i,k)}\tilde{v}_{kl}\eta_{(j,l)}$ is the (i, j) -entry of the matrix product $\alpha\tilde{v}\beta$, where

$$\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} \xi_{(1,1)} & \cdots & \xi_{(1,r)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \xi_{(n,1)} & \cdots & \xi_{(n,r)} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \begin{bmatrix} \eta_{(1,1)} & \cdots & \eta_{(n,1)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \beta_{(1,r)} & \cdots & \eta_{(n,r)} \end{bmatrix},$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\| &= \sup\left\{\left|\sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle f_{ij}, (\alpha\tilde{v}\beta)_{ij} \rangle\right| : \|\tilde{v}\| \leq 1, \|\alpha\|_{p'} \leq 1, \|\beta\|_p \leq 1\right\} \\ &= \sup\{|\langle f, v \rangle| : v = \alpha\tilde{v}\beta, \|\tilde{v}\| \leq 1, \|\alpha\|_{p'} \leq 1, \|\beta\|_p \leq 1\} \\ (3.6) \quad &= \sup\{|\langle f, v \rangle| : \|v\|_{1,n} \leq 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Define the scalar pairing $\Phi : M_n(V') \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_n(V)'$ by $f \mapsto \langle f, \cdot \rangle$, then from (3.6) it follows that Φ is an isometric isomorphism. \square

Proposition 3.5. *Let $V \subseteq W$ be p -operator spaces such that the inclusion $\mathcal{T}_n(V) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_n(W)$ is isometric. Then every p -completely contractive map $\varphi : V \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L_p(\Omega))$ has a completely contractive extension $\tilde{\varphi} : W \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L_p(\Omega))$.*

Proof. Following [ER00, Corollary 4.1.4, Theorem 4.1.5], it suffices to assume that $\mathcal{B}(L_p(\Omega)) = \mathcal{B}(\ell_p^n) = M_n$. If the inclusion $i : \mathcal{T}_n(V) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_n(W)$ is isometric, then by Lemma 3.4, the adjoint $i' : \mathcal{CB}_p(W, M_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{CB}_p(V, M_n)$, which is a restriction mapping, is an exact quotient mapping. \square

4. ℓ_p -POLAR DECOMPOSITION

Let $V \subseteq W$ be p -operator spaces. By Proposition 3.5, if the inclusion $\mathcal{T}_n(V) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_n(W)$ is isometric, then every p -completely contractive map $\varphi : V \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L_p(\Omega))$ has a completely contractive extension $\tilde{\varphi} : W \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L_p(\Omega))$. In this section, we consider a condition on W under which the inclusion $\mathcal{T}_n(V) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_n(W)$ is isometric. Recall that the vector p -norm of $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is defined by

$$\|x\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

If we identify $\mathbb{M}_{r,n}$ with $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2^n, \ell_2^r)$, the space of all bounded linear operators from ℓ_2^n to ℓ_2^r , it is well known that every $\beta \in \mathbb{M}_{r,n}$ with $r \geq n$ has a *polar decomposition*, that is, β can be written as $\beta = \tau\beta_0$, where $\tau \in \mathbb{M}_{r,n}$ has orthonormal columns, that is, τ is an isometry, and $\beta_0 \in \mathbb{M}_n$ is positive semidefinite [HJ90, §7.3]. For $p \neq 2$ and $r \geq n$, regarding $\mathbb{M}_{r,n}$ as $\mathcal{B}(\ell_p^n, \ell_p^r)$, the space of all bounded linear operators from ℓ_p^n to ℓ_p^r , we ask if there is an ℓ_p -analogue of the polar decomposition. First of all, we need to define what we should mean by polar decomposition when $p \neq 2$, because, for example, if $T : \ell_p^n \rightarrow \ell_p^r$, then the adjoint T' is from $\ell_{p'}^n$ to $\ell_{p'}^r$, where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$, and therefore $T'T$ is not defined, which in turn means we lose the concept of positive (semi)definiteness. We use the definition below as a natural p -analogue of the polar decomposition.

Definition 4.1. Let $r \geq n$. We say that $\beta \in \mathbb{M}_{r,n} = \mathcal{B}(\ell_p^n, \ell_p^r)$ is ℓ_p -*polar decomposable* if there is an isometry $\tau \in \mathbb{M}_{r,n}$ and an operator $\beta_0 \in \mathbb{M}_n$ such that $\beta = \tau\beta_0$. In this case, we say that $\beta = \tau\beta_0$ is an ℓ_p -*polar decomposition* of β . The set of all full rank ℓ_p -polar decomposable $r \times n$ matrices is denoted by $\mathbb{M}_{r,n}^{(p)}$.

Remark 4.2.

- (a) If $r < n$, then there is no isometry in $\mathbb{M}_{r,n} = \mathcal{B}(\ell_p^n, \ell_p^r)$ and hence we only consider the case $r \geq n$ in Definition 4.1.
- (b) It is well known [HJ90, §0.4] that $\text{rank } AB \leq \min\{\text{rank } A, \text{rank } B\}$ whenever AB is defined for matrices A and B , so if $\beta = \tau\beta_0$ is an ℓ_p -polar decomposition of a full rank $r \times n$ matrix β , then

$$n = \text{rank } \beta \leq \min\{\text{rank } \tau, \text{rank } \beta_0\} \leq n$$

and it follows that $\text{rank } \tau = \text{rank } \beta_0 = n$. In particular, β_0 is nonsingular.

- (c) If $\beta = \tau\beta_0$ is an ℓ_p -polar decomposition of β , then $\|\beta\|_p = \|\beta_0\|_p$, where $\|\cdot\|_p$ is as in Lemma 3.2.

To give a characterization of ℓ_p -polar decomposable matrices, we begin with a characterization of isometries from ℓ_p^n to ℓ_p^r . Recall that for a vector $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$, we define $\text{supp } x$, the *support* of x , by $\text{supp } x = \{i : 1 \leq i \leq m, x_i \neq 0\}$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$, and $r \geq n$. Then $\tau : \ell_p^n \rightarrow \ell_p^r$ is an isometry if and only if the columns of τ have mutually disjoint supports with each vector p -norm equal to 1.*

Proof. Let $\tau_j = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{1j} \\ \vdots \\ \tau_{rj} \end{bmatrix}$ denote the j^{th} column of an $r \times n$ matrix τ . If τ_1, \dots, τ_n have mutually disjoint supports with each p -norm equal to 1, then for any $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \ell_p^n$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tau x\|_p^p &= \sum_{i=1}^r \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \tau_{ij} x_j \right|^p = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i \in \text{supp } \tau_k} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \tau_{ij} x_j \right|^p \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i \in \text{supp } \tau_k} |\tau_{ik} x_k|^p = \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|^p \sum_{i \in \text{supp } \tau_k} |\tau_{ik}|^p \\ &= \|x\|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose $\tau : \ell_p^n \rightarrow \ell_p^r$ is an isometry. Since $\tau_j = \tau e_j$ for each j , where e_j denotes the unit vector in ℓ_p^n whose only non-zero entry is 1 at the j^{th} place, it follows that τ_j is of norm 1. To show that columns of τ have mutually disjoint supports, let $j \neq k$ and consider $e_j \pm e_k$ in ℓ_p^n . Since $\|e_j \pm e_k\|_p = 2^{1/p}$, we get $\|\tau_j \pm \tau_k\|_p^p = 2$ and the result follows from [Roy88, Lemma 15.7.23]. \square

Remark 4.4. The result above remains true when $p = 1$.

Let V be a p -operator space. For $v \in \mathbb{M}_n(V)$, we define

$$(4.1) \quad \|v\|_{2,n} = \inf \{ \|\alpha\|_{p'} \|w\| \|\beta\|_p : r \in \mathbb{N}, \quad v = \alpha w \beta, \quad \alpha^T \in \mathbb{M}_{r,n}^{(p')}, \quad \beta \in \mathbb{M}_{r,n}^{(p)}, \quad w \in M_r(V) \},$$

where α^T denotes the transpose of α and

$$\|\alpha\|_{p'} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^r |\alpha_{ij}|^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\beta\|_p = \left(\sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{l=1}^n |\beta_{kl}|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

Proposition 4.5. *Let $V \subseteq W$ be p -operator spaces. If $\|w\|_{2,n} = \|w\|_{1,n}$ for all $w \in \mathbb{M}_n(W)$, then the inclusion $\mathcal{T}_n(V) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_n(W)$ is isometric.*

Proof. Let $v \in \mathbb{M}_n(V)$. It is clear that $\|v\|_{\mathcal{T}_n(W)} \leq \|v\|_{\mathcal{T}_n(V)}$. Suppose $\|v\|_{\mathcal{T}_n(W)} < 1$, then by assumption, one can find $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{M}_{n,r}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{M}_{r,n}$, and $w \in M_r(W)$ such that $v = \alpha w \beta$, $\alpha^T \in \mathbb{M}_{r,n}^{(p')}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{M}_{r,n}^{(p)}$, $\|\alpha\|_{p'} < 1$, $\|w\| < 1$, and $\|\beta\|_p < 1$. Let $\beta = \tau \beta_0$ (respectively, $\alpha^T = \sigma \alpha_0$) be ℓ_p - (respectively, ℓ_p' -) polar decomposition of β (respectively, α^T), and set $\tilde{w} = \sigma^T w \tau$, then $\|\tilde{w}\|_{M_n(W)} < 1$. Moreover, by Remark 4.2, α_0 and β_0 are invertible and hence $\tilde{w} = (\alpha_0^T)^{-1} v \beta_0^{-1} \in M_n(V)$, giving that $\|\tilde{w}\|_{M_n(V)} < 1$. Since $v = \alpha_0^T \tilde{w} \beta_0$, $\|\alpha_0^T\|_{p'} = \|\alpha\|_{p'} < 1$, and $\|\beta_0\|_p = \|\beta\|_p < 1$ by Remark 4.2, it follows that $\|v\|_{\mathcal{T}_n(V)} < 1$. \square

For any $v \in \mathbb{M}_n(V)$, it is clear that $\|v\|_{1,n} \leq \|v\|_{2,n}$. At this moment of writing, we do not know of any nontrivial example of p -operator space V such that $\|\cdot\|_{1,n} = \|\cdot\|_{2,n}$. It is not even clear whether $\|\cdot\|_{2,n}$ defines a norm on $\mathbb{M}_n(V)$ for some p -operator space V (see Remark 4.7). However, thanks to Lemma 4.3, we can give a characterization of ℓ_p -polar decomposable matrices which may lead to finding a nontrivial example of p -operator spaces V such that $\|v\|_{1,n} = \|v\|_{2,n}$ for all $v \in \mathbb{M}_n(V)$.

Proposition 4.6. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$, and $r \geq n$. Then $\beta = \begin{bmatrix} \text{---} & u_1 & \text{---} \\ & \vdots & \\ \text{---} & u_r & \text{---} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{M}_{r,n} = \mathcal{B}(\ell_p^n, \ell_p^r)$ is ℓ_p -polar decomposable if and only if there are $u_{j_1}, u_{j_2}, \dots, u_{j_n}$, not necessarily distinct, such that each u_i ($1 \leq i \leq r$) is a scalar multiple of u_{j_k} for some k , $1 \leq k \leq n$.*

Proof. Let $\beta = \begin{bmatrix} \text{---} & u_1 & \text{---} \\ & \vdots & \\ \text{---} & u_r & \text{---} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{M}_{r,n} = \mathcal{B}(\ell_p^n, \ell_p^r)$. Suppose that there are $u_{j_1}, u_{j_2}, \dots, u_{j_n}$ (not necessarily distinct) such that each u_i ($1 \leq i \leq r$) is a scalar multiple of u_{j_k} for some k , $1 \leq k \leq n$. Rearranging rows of β with an appropriate permutation if necessary, we may assume that $1 = j_1 < j_2 < j_3 < \dots < j_n \leq r$ and that for i with $j_k \leq i < j_{k+1}$, $u_i = c_i u_{j_k}$ for some scalar c_i . For each k , $1 \leq k \leq n$, we define $\lambda_k = \left(\sum_{j_k \leq i < j_{k+1}} |c_i|^p \right)^{-p}$. Note that λ_k is well defined since $c_{j_k} = 1$. Define $\tau \in \mathbb{M}_{r,n}$ and $\beta_0 \in \mathbb{M}_n$ by

$$\tau = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ c_2 \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{j_2-1} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & c_{j_2} \lambda_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & c_{j_2+1} \lambda_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & c_{j_3-1} \lambda_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & c_{j_n} \lambda_n \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & c_{j_n+1} \lambda_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & c_r \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \text{---} & \frac{1}{\lambda_1} u_{j_1} & \text{---} \\ \text{---} & \frac{1}{\lambda_2} u_{j_2} & \text{---} \\ & \vdots & \\ \text{---} & \frac{1}{\lambda_n} u_{j_n} & \text{---} \end{bmatrix},$$

then by Lemma 4.3, it follows that $\beta = \tau \beta_0$ is an ℓ_p -polar decomposition of β .

Conversely, assume that $\beta = \tau \beta_0$ is a p -polar decomposition of β . To exclude triviality, we may assume that β contains no rows of only zeros. Let τ_k denote the k^{th} column of τ . By Lemma 4.3, $\text{supp } \tau_k \neq \emptyset$ so

we can pick $j_k \in \text{supp } \tau_k$. Moreover, for each i , $1 \leq i \leq r$, there is exactly one $k(i)$ such that $i \in \text{supp } \tau_{k(i)}$ and it follows that u_i is a constant multiple of $u_{j_{k(i)}}$. \square

Remark 4.7. Let $v_1 \in \mathbb{M}_n(V)$ and $v_2 \in \mathbb{M}_m(V)$ for some p -operator space V , then one can easily show that $\|cv_1\|_{2,n} = |c|\|v_1\|_{2,n}$. Moreover, the decomposition $v_1 = \alpha_1^T w_1 \beta_1$ and $v_2 = \alpha_2^T w_2 \beta_2$ gives

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1^T \\ \alpha_2^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

which, combined with Proposition 4.6, shows that $\|v_1 \oplus v_2\|_{2,n+m} \leq \|v_1\|_{2,n} + \|v_2\|_{2,m}$.

REFERENCES

- [Daw10] Matthew Daws. p -operator spaces and Figà-Talamanca-Herz algebras. *J. Operator Theory*, 63:47–83, 2010.
- [DF93] A. Defant and K. Floret. *Tensor Norms and Operator Ideals*. North-Holland, 1993.
- [ER00] E. Effros and Z.-J. Ruan. *Operator Spaces*. Oxford Science Publications, 2000.
- [Her71] C. Herz. The theory of p -spaces with an application to convolution operators. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 154:69–82, 1971.
- [HJ90] Roger A. Horn and Charles R. Johnson. *Matrix analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990. Corrected reprint of the 1985 original.
- [LeM96] Christian LeMerdy. Factorization of p -completely bounded multilinear maps. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 172:187–213, 1996.
- [Roy88] H. L. Royden. *Real analysis*. Macmillan Publishing Company, New York, third edition, 1988.
- [Rua88] Z.-J. Ruan. Subspaces of C^* -algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 76:217–230, 1988.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MOUNT HOLYOKE COLLEGE, SOUTH HADLEY, MA 01075, USA
E-mail address, Jung-Jin Lee: jjlee@matholyoke.edu