

A NOTE ON DIASTATIC ENTROPY AND BALANCED METRICS

ROBERTO MOSSA

ABSTRACT. We give an upper bound $\text{Ent}_d(\Omega, g) < \lambda$ of the diastatic entropy $\text{Ent}_d(\Omega, g)$ (defined by the author in [18]) of a complex bounded domain (Ω, g) in terms of the balanced condition (in Donaldson terminology) of the Kähler metric λg . When (Ω, g) is a homogeneous bounded domain we show that the converse holds true, namely if $\text{Ent}_d(\Omega, g) < 1$ then g is balanced. Moreover, we explicitly compute $\text{Ent}_d(\Omega, g)$ in terms of Piatetski-Shapiro constants.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENTS OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Let (M, g) be a real analytic Kähler manifold with associated Kähler form ω . Fix a local coordinate system $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ on a neighbourhood U of a point $p \in M$ and let $\phi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a real analytic Kähler potential (i.e. $\omega|_U = \frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi$). By shrinking U , we can assume that the potential $\phi(z)$ can be analytically continued to $U \times U$. Denote this extension by $\phi(z, \bar{w})$. The Calabi's *diastasis function* $\mathcal{D} : U \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (E. Calabi [4]) is defined by

$$\mathcal{D}(z, w) = \mathcal{D}_w(z) = \phi(z, \bar{z}) + \phi(w, \bar{w}) - \phi(z, \bar{w}) - \phi(w, \bar{z}).$$

Assume that ω has global diastasis function $\mathcal{D}_p : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ centered at p . The *diastatic entropy* at p is defined as

$$\text{Ent}_d(M, g)(p) = \min \left\{ c > 0 \mid \int_M e^{-c \mathcal{D}_p} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} < \infty \right\}. \quad (1)$$

This definition does not depend on the point p fixed, provided that for every $p \in \Omega$ the diastasis \mathcal{D}_p is globally defined (see [18, Proposition 2.2]). The concept of diastatic entropy was defined by the author in [18] (following the ideas developed in [15]), where he obtained upper and lower bound for the first eigenvalue of a real analytic Kähler manifold.

In this paper we study the link between the diastatic entropy $\text{Ent}_d(\Omega, g)$ and the balanced condition of the metric g . S. Donaldson in [8], in order to obtain a link between the constant scalar curvature condition on a Kähler metric g and the Chow stability of a polarization L , gave the definition of a balanced metric on a compact manifold. Later, this definition has been generalized, by C. Arezzo and A. Loi in [3] (see also [2]), to the noncompact case.

In this paper we are interested in complex domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ (connected open subset of \mathbb{C}^n) endowed with a real analytic Kähler metric g . Let ω be the Kähler form associated to g . Assume that ω admits a global Kähler potential $\phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We can define the weighted Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_ϕ of square integrable holomorphic functions on Ω with weight $e^{-\phi}$

$$\mathcal{H}_\phi = \left\{ s \in \text{Hol}(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} e^{-\phi} |s|^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} < \infty \right\}. \quad (2)$$

If $\mathcal{H}_\phi \neq \{0\}$ we can pick an orthonormal basis $\{s_j\}_{j=1, \dots, N \leq \infty}$,

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{-\phi} s_j \bar{s}_k \frac{\omega^n}{n!} = \delta_{jk}$$

and define its reproducing kernel by

$$K_\phi(z, \bar{w}) = \sum_{j=0}^N s_j(z) \overline{s_j(w)}. \quad (3)$$

The so called ε -function is defined by

$$\varepsilon_g(z) = e^{-\phi(z)} K_\phi(z, \bar{z}), \quad (4)$$

as suggested by the notation ε_g depends only on the metric g and not on the choice of the Kähler potential ϕ (see, for example, [14, Lemma 1] for a proof).

Definition 1. The metric g is *balanced* if and only if the function ε_g is a positive constant.

In the literature the constancy of ε_g was studied first by J. H. Rawnsley in [22] under the name of η -function, later renamed as ε -function in [5]. It also appears under the name of *distortion function* for the study of Abelian varieties by J. R. Kempf [13] and S. Ji [12], and for complex projective varieties by S. Zhang [24]. (See also [16] and references therein).

The following theorem represents the first result of this paper.

Theorem 1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a complex domain and g a Kähler metric such that λg is balanced for some $\lambda > 0$. Then the diastatic entropy $\text{Ent}_d(\Omega, g)$ is constant and*

$$\text{Ent}_d(\Omega, g) < \lambda.$$

In particular (see Lemma 4 below) the diastatic entropy of a homogeneous bounded domain is constant.

It is natural to ask when the converse of the previous theorem holds true. In the next theorem we show that this is the case when (Ω, g) is assumed to be homogeneous.

Theorem 2. *Let (Ω, g) be a homogeneous bounded domain, then g is balanced if and only if*

$$\text{Ent}_d(\Omega, g) < 1.$$

We also compute the diastatic entropy of a homogeneous bounded domain in terms of the constants p_k, b_k, q_k and γ_k defined by the Piatetski-Shapiro's root structure (see (10) and (11) in Appendix below):

Corollary 2. *Let (Ω, g) be a homogeneous bounded domain. Then the diastatic entropy of (Ω, g) is the positive constant given by*

$$\text{Ent}_d(\Omega, g)(z) = \max_{1 \leq k \leq r} \frac{1 + p_k + b_k + q_k/2}{\gamma_k}, \quad \forall z \in \Omega.$$

Example 3. If g is the Bergman metric on Ω , then $\gamma_k = 2 + p_k + q_k + b_k, k = 1, \dots, r$ (see [10, Theorem 5.1] or [20, (2.19)]), so by Theorem 2,

$$\text{Ent}_d(\Omega, g)(z) = \max_{1 \leq k \leq r} \frac{1 + p_k + b_k + q_k/2}{2 + p_k + q_k + b_k}, \quad \forall z \in \Omega. \quad (5)$$

In particular, when (Ω, g) is a bounded symmetric domain (i.e. is a homogeneous bounded domain and a symmetric space as Riemannian manifold), there exist integers a and b such that

$$p_k = (k-1)a, \quad q_k = (r-k)a, \quad b_k = b, \quad \gamma_k = (r-1)a + b + 2.$$

where r is the rank of (Ω, g) . Therefore, denoted by γ the genus of Ω , by (5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ent}_d(\Omega, g)(z) &= \max_{1 \leq k \leq r} \frac{1 + (k-1)a + b + (r-k)a/2}{\gamma} \\ &= \frac{1 + (r-1)a + b}{\gamma} = \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma}, \quad \forall z \in \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

See also [19] for a similar formula relating the volume entropy with the invariants a, b , and r . The table below summarizes the numerical invariants and the dimension of irreducible bounded symmetric domains according to their type (for a more detailed description of these invariants, see e.g. [1], [24]).

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Professor Andrea Loi for his interest in my work, his comments and various stimulating discussions.

2. PROOFS OF THEOREM 1, 2 AND COROLLARY 2

2.1. Proof of Theorem 1. Assume that λg is balanced, namely that the ε -function is constant. Consider the Kähler potential $\lambda \phi(z)$ of λg , let $\lambda \phi(z, \bar{w})$ be its analytic continuation and let $K_{\lambda \phi}(z, \bar{w})$ be the reproducing kernel for the weighted Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\lambda \phi}$. We have

$$\varepsilon_{\lambda g}(z, \bar{w}) = e^{-\lambda \phi(z, \bar{w})} K_{\lambda \phi}(z, \bar{w}) = C > 0, \quad (6)$$

Type	$\Omega_I[n, m]$ $\{n \leq m\}$	$\Omega_{II}[n]$ $\{5 \leq n\}$	$\Omega_{III}[n]$ $\{2 \leq n\}$	$\Omega_{IV}[n]$ $\{5 \leq n\}$	Ω_V	Ω_{VI}
d	nm	$\frac{(n-1)n}{2}$	$\frac{(n+1)n}{2}$	n	16	27
r	n	$\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$	n	2	2	3
a	2, if $2 \leq n$ 0, if $1 = n$	4	1	$n - 2$	6	8
b	$m - n$	0, if n even 2, if n odd	0	0	4	0
γ	$m + n$	$2n - 2$	$n + 1$	n	12	18

FIGURE 1. Numerical invariants of irreducible bounded symmetric domains.

so $K_{\lambda\phi}(z, \bar{w})$ never vanish. Hence, fixed a point z_0 , the function

$$\psi(z, \bar{w}) = \frac{K_{\lambda\phi}(z, \bar{w})K_{\lambda\phi}(z_0, \bar{z}_0)}{K_{\lambda\phi}(z, \bar{z}_0)K_{\lambda\phi}(z_0, \bar{w})}. \quad (7)$$

is well defined. Note that $\psi(z_0, \bar{w}) = \psi(z, \bar{z}_0) = 1$ and that

$$\mathcal{D}_{z_0}(z) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \log \psi(z, \bar{z}) \quad (8)$$

is the diastasis centered in z_0 associated to g . So the diastasis \mathcal{D}_{z_0} is globally defined and $\lambda \mathcal{D}_{z_0}$ is a global Kähler potential for λg . Consider the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\lambda \mathcal{D}_{z_0}}$ given by:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\lambda \mathcal{D}_{z_0}} = \left\{ f \in \text{Hol}(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} e^{-\lambda \mathcal{D}_{z_0}} |f|^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} < \infty \right\}.$$

Let $K_{\lambda \mathcal{D}_{z_0}}(z, \bar{w})$ be its reproducing kernel, since the ε -function does not depend on the Kähler potential, by (6) we have

$$\varepsilon_{\lambda g}(z, \bar{w}) = e^{-\lambda \mathcal{D}_{z_0}(z, \bar{w})} K_{\lambda \mathcal{D}_{z_0}}(z, \bar{w}) = C \quad (9)$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{z_0}(z, \bar{w})$ denote the analytic continuation of $\mathcal{D}_{z_0}(z) = \mathcal{D}_{z_0}(z, \bar{z})$. In particular

$$C = e^{-\lambda \mathcal{D}_{z_0}(z, \bar{z}_0)} K_{\lambda \mathcal{D}_{z_0}}(z, \bar{z}_0) = K_{\lambda \mathcal{D}_{z_0}}(z, \bar{z}_0) \in \mathcal{H}_{\lambda \mathcal{D}_{z_0}},$$

indeed by (7) and (8) we have $\mathcal{D}_{z_0}(z, \bar{z}_0) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \log \psi(z, \bar{z}_0) = 0$. It would be worth to say that $K_{\lambda \mathcal{D}_{z_0}} \in \mathcal{H}_{\lambda \mathcal{D}_{z_0}}$ by its very definition (indeed $K_{\lambda \mathcal{D}_{z_0}}(z, \bar{z}_0) = \sum_{j=0}^N s_j(z) \overline{s_j(z_0)}$ where $\{s_j\}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{H}_{\lambda \mathcal{D}_{z_0}}$). Thus $\mathcal{H}_{\lambda \mathcal{D}_{z_0}}$ contains the constant functions and

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{-\lambda \mathcal{D}_{z_0}} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} < \infty.$$

We conclude, by the very definition of the diastatic entropy, that $\text{Ent}_d(\Omega, g)(z_0) < \lambda$. Moreover, by (8), the diastasis \mathcal{D}_{z_0} is globally defined for any fixed $z_0 \in \Omega$ and [18, Proposition 2.2] says us that $\text{Ent}_d(\Omega, g)$ is constant. As wished.

2.2. Proof of Theorem 2. Recall that a homogeneous bounded domain (Ω, g) is a bounded domain of \mathbb{C}^n with a Kähler metric g such that the group $G = \text{Aut}(\Omega) \cap \text{Isom}(\Omega, g)$ acts transitively on it, where $\text{Aut}(\Omega)$ denotes the group of biholomorphisms of Ω and $\text{Isom}(\Omega, g)$ the group of isometries of (Ω, g) . It is well-known that such a domain Ω is contractible and that $\omega = \frac{i}{2}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi$ for a globally defined Kähler potential ϕ . Indeed Ω is pseudoconvex being biholomorphically equivalent to a Siegel domain (see, e.g. [11] for a proof) and so the existence of a global potential follows by a classical result of Hormander (see [6]) asserting that the equation $\bar{\partial}u = f$ with f $\bar{\partial}$ -closed form has a global solution on pseudoconvex domains (see also [17, 19] and the proof of Theorem 4 in [7], for an explicit construction of the potential ϕ following the ideas developed in [9]).

We have to prove that if $1 > \text{Ent}_d(\Omega, g)(z)$ then g is balanced (the converse follows by Theorem 1). Assume that $1 > \text{Ent}_d(\Omega, g)(z)$ i.e.

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{-\mathcal{D}_z} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} < \infty,$$

then the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}_z}$ contains the constant functions and the associated ε -function is strictly positive. By the homogeneity of (Ω, g) we conclude that ε_g has to be a positive constant (see the proof of [14, Lemma 1]), that is g is balanced. This concludes the proof of Theorem 2.

2.3. Proof of Corollary 2. In order to prove Corollary 2 we need of the following result:

Lemma 4. ([14, Theorem 2] and [14, (12)]). *Let $(\Omega, \lambda g)$ be a homogeneous bounded domain and let p_k, b_k, q_k and γ_k be the constants defined by (10) and (11) in Appendix. Then the metric λg is balanced if and only if*

$$\lambda > \frac{1 + p_k + b_k + q_k/2}{\gamma_k}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq r.$$

By the very definition of diastatic entropy, we have that

$$\text{Ent}_d(\Omega, \lambda g) = \frac{\text{Ent}_d(\Omega, g)}{\lambda},$$

combining this with Theorem 2 we obtain that $\text{Ent}_d(\Omega, g) < \lambda$ if and only if the metric λg is balanced. Therefore

$$\text{Ent}_d(\Omega, g) = \min \{ \lambda > 0 \mid \lambda g \text{ is balanced} \}, \quad \forall z \in \Omega.$$

Together with Lemma 4, this concludes the proof of Corollary 2.

3. APPENDIX

In this section we give the definition of the constants p_k, b_k, q_k and γ_k associated to the Piatetski-Shapiro's root structure (see [21] for details).

Let $(\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n, g)$ be an homogenous bounded domain, and set $G = \text{Aut}(\Omega) \cap \text{Isom}(\Omega, g)$, where $\text{Aut}(\Omega)$ (resp. $\text{Isom}(\Omega, g)$) denotes the group of invertible holomorphic maps (resp. g -isometries) of Ω . By [9, Theorem 2 (c)], there exists a connected split solvable Lie subgroup $S \subset G$ acting simply transitively on the domain Ω . Fix a point $p_0 \in \Omega$, we have a diffeomorphism $\iota : S \rightarrow \Omega$ with $\iota(h) = h \cdot p_0$. By differentiation, we get a linear isomorphism between the Lie algebra \mathfrak{s} of S and the tangent space $T_{p_0}M$, given by $X \mapsto X \cdot p_0$. Then the evaluation of the Kähler form ω on \mathfrak{s} is given by

$$\omega(X \cdot p_0, Y \cdot p_0) = \beta([X, Y]) \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{s}$$

with a certain linear form $\beta \in \mathfrak{s}^*$. Let $j : \mathfrak{s} \rightarrow \mathfrak{s}$ be the linear map defined in such a way that

$$(jX) \cdot p_0 = \sqrt{-1}(X \cdot p_0) \text{ for } X \in \mathfrak{s}.$$

We have $g(X \cdot p_0, Y \cdot p_0) = \beta([jX, Y])$ for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{s}$, so the right-hand side defines a positive inner product on \mathfrak{s} . Let \mathfrak{a} be the orthogonal complement of $[\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{s}]$ in \mathfrak{s} with respect to this inner product. Then \mathfrak{a} is a commutative Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{s} . Given a linear form α on the Cartan algebra \mathfrak{a} , we denote by \mathfrak{s}_α the subspace

$$\mathfrak{s}_\alpha = \{X \in \mathfrak{s} : [C, X] = \alpha(C)X, \forall C \in \mathfrak{a}\}$$

of \mathfrak{s} . We say that α is a *root* if $\mathfrak{s}_\alpha \neq \{0\}$ and $\alpha \neq 0$. Thanks to [21, Chapter 2, Section 3] or [23, Theorem 4.3], there exists a basis $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$, ($r := \dim \mathfrak{a}$) of \mathfrak{a}^* such that every root is one of the following:

$$\alpha_k, \alpha_k/2, (k = 1, \dots, r), \quad (\alpha_l \pm \alpha_k)/2, \quad 1 \leq k < l \leq r.$$

For $k = 1, \dots, r$ we define (see [23, Definition 4.7] and [20, (2.7)])

$$p_k := \sum_{i < k} \dim \mathfrak{s}_{(\alpha_k - \alpha_i)/2}, \quad q_k := \sum_{l > k} \dim \mathfrak{s}_{(\alpha_l - \alpha_k)/2}, \quad b_k := \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathfrak{s}_{\alpha_k/2}, \quad (10)$$

$$\gamma_k := 4\beta([jA_k, A_k]), \quad (11)$$

where $\{A_1, \dots, A_r\}$ is the basis of \mathfrak{a} dual to $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Arazy, *A Survey of Invariant Hilbert Spaces of Analytic Functions on Bounded Symmetric Domains*, Contemporary Mathematics 185 (1995).
- [2] C. Arezzo and A. Loi, *Quantization of Kähler manifolds and the asymptotic expansion of Tian–Yau–Zelditch*, J. Geom. Phys. 47 (2003), 87-99.
- [3] C. Arezzo and A. Loi, *Moment maps, scalar curvature and quantization of Kähler manifolds*, Comm. Math. Phys. 246 (2004), 543-549.
- [4] E. Calabi, *Isometric Imbeddings of Complex Manifolds*, Ann. of Math. 58 (1953), 1-23.

- [5] M. Cahen, S. Gutt, J. H. Rawnsley, *Quantization of Kähler manifolds I: Geometric interpretation of Berezin's quantization*, JGP. 7 (1990), 45-62.
- [6] S.-C. Chen, M.-C. Shaw, *Partial differential equations in several complex variables*, AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 19. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; International Press, Boston, MA, (2001), xii+380 pp.
- [7] A. J. Di Scala, H. Hishi, A. Loi, *Kähler immersions of homogeneous Kähler manifolds into complex space forms*, Asian J. Math. 16 (2012), no. 3, 479-487
- [8] S. K. Donaldson, *Scalar curvature and projective embeddings. I*, J. Differential Geom. 59 (2001), no. 3, 479-522.
- [9] J. Dorfmeister, *Simply transitive groups and Kähler structures on homogeneous Siegel domains*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 288 (1985), 293-305.
- [10] S. G. Gindikin, *Analysis in homogeneous domains*, Russian Math. Surveys 19 (1964), 1-89.
- [11] È. B. Vinberg, S. G. Gindikin, Piatetski-Shapiro, I. I., *Classification and canonical realization of complex homogeneous bounded domains*, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. 12 (1963) 359-388
- [12] S. Ji, *Inequality for distortion function of invertible shaves on Abelian varieties*, Duke Math. J. 58 (1989), 657-667.
- [13] G. R. Kempf, *Metrics on invertible shaves on abelian varieties*, Topics in algebraic geometry (Guanajuato, 1989), Aportaciones Mat. Notas Investigacion 5, Soc. Mat. Mexicana, Mexico, 1992, pp. 107-108.
- [14] A. Loi, R. Mossa, *Berezin quantization of homogeneous bounded domains*, Geom. Dedicata 161 (2012), 119-128.
- [15] A. Loi, R. Mossa, *The diastatic exponential of a symmetric space*, Math. Z. 268 (2011), 3-4, 1057-1068.
- [16] A. Loi, R. Mossa, *Uniqueness of balanced metrics on holomorphic vector bundles* J. Geom. Phys. 61 (2011), 1, 312-316.
- [17] R. Mossa *A bounded homogeneous domain and a projective manifold are not relatives*, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma 4 (2013), no. 1, 55-59.
- [18] R. Mossa, *Upper and lower bounds for the first eigenvalue and the volume entropy of noncompact Kähler manifold*, arXiv:1211.2705 [math.DG] (2012).
- [19] R. Mossa, *The volume entropy of local Hermitian symmetric space of noncompact type*, Differential Geom. Appl. 31 (2013), no. 5, 594-601.
- [20] T. Nomura *Berezin transforms and Laplace-Beltrami operators on homogeneous Siegel domains*, J. Lie Theory 11 (2001), 185-206.
- [21] I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro, *Automorphic functions and the geometry of classical domains*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1969.
- [22] J. Rawnsley, *Coherent states and Kähler manifolds*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2), n.28 (1977), 403-415.
- [23] H. Rossi, M. Vergne, *Representations of certain solvable Lie groups on Hilbert spaces of holomorphic functions and the application to the holomorphic discrete series of a semisimple Lie group*, J. Functional Analysis 13 (1973), 324-389.

- [24] S. Zhang, *Heights and reductions of semi-stable varieties*, Comp. Math. 104 (1996), 77-105.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA, PALAZZO DELLE SCIENZE, VIA OSPEDALE 72 -
09124 CAGLIARI

E-mail address: roberto.mossa@gmail.com