

COMBINATORICS OF AFFINE BIRATIONAL MAPS

ILYA KARZHEMANOV

ABSTRACT. The main object of study in the present paper is the group \mathbf{UAut}_n of *unimodular automorphisms* of \mathbb{C}^n . Taking \mathbf{UAut}_n as a working example, our intention was to develop an approach (or rather an edifice) which allows one to prove, for instance, the non-simplicity of \mathbf{UAut}_n for all $n \geq 3$. More systematic and, perhaps, general exposition will appear elsewhere.

1. INTRODUCTION

The impetus for the present paper was the article [1] in which the study of combinatorics of certain birational automorphisms of \mathbf{k}^n was applied to answer a group-theoretic question.¹⁾ More specifically, given f from the *Cremona group* \mathbf{Cr}_n of birational automorphisms of \mathbf{k}^n , the combinatorics of f we have in mind is encoded (somehow) in a set of lattice points or rather a polytope, which comes for free with each $f \in \mathbf{Cr}_n$. These discrete gadgets are constructed, as used to be common now, by fixing a (non-archimedean) valuation on the field of rational functions of \mathbf{k}^n and applying this valuation to the components of various maps $f \in \mathbf{Cr}_n$. Or, heuristically, one “brings the action of f on \mathbf{k}^n to infinity” (see [6], [10], [9], [8], [15], [13] for related matters).

We would like to apply the preceding point of view to study polynomial automorphisms of \mathbf{k}^n . Recall that the group \mathbf{Aut}_n of such automorphisms carries a structure of an infinite-dimensional algebraic group (see [20]). Then, as an algebraic group, \mathbf{Aut}_n is generated by the group of affine linear automorphisms of \mathbf{k}^n and by the group of triangular automorphisms of \mathbf{k}^n (see [20, Theorem 4]). The group \mathbf{Aut}_n is also non-simple because of the Jacobi map $\det : \mathbf{Aut}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^*$. On the other hand, the kernel $\mathbf{UAut}_n := \text{Ker}(\det)$ is simple as an algebraic group (see [20, Theorem 5]), but is not that as an abstract group for $n = 2$ (see [5]). The aim of the present paper is to extend the latter result to the case of arbitrary $n \geq 3$:

Theorem 1.1. *The group \mathbf{UAut}_n is non-simple (as an abstract group) for all $n \geq 3$.*

To prove Theorem 1.1, we introduce a subgroup $G \subset \mathbf{Cr}_n$ that “looks like” a subgroup in $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ when brought to infinity — according to what we have said at the beginning (see Section 2 for the construction of G). Though the presence of G might be interesting and important on its own (see for example Proposition 6.2), we focus on one of its subgroups, namely $\mathfrak{G}_n \subset G$, instead (see Corollary 2.9). For technical reasons, one should also consider an “enlargement” of \mathfrak{G}_n , which we denote $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n$ (see Section 3). One of the crucial features of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n$ is provided by

MS 2010 classification: 14E07, 14R10, 20F69.

Key words: Cremona group, affine automorphism, non-simplicity.

¹⁾ Throughout the paper, if not stated otherwise, \mathbf{k} is an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and \mathbf{k}^n is the n -dimensional affine space.

Proposition 3.4. Up to this end all considerations employ only elementary algebra/combinatorics of polynomials in n variables.

Proposition 3.4 is enough to prove Theorem 1.1 provided that $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n$ contains *sufficiently many* normal subgroups. The latter turns out to be the case after we introduce a subset G_e of generators of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n$ in Section 4. More specifically, G_e is stable under the conjugation and inversion in $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n$, and generates a normal subgroup $N \neq \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n, \{1\}$. Here we apply (seem to be standard) an argument à la geometric group theory, based on the notion of *quasi-isometry*,²⁾ together with simple (asymptotic) properties of birational automorphisms of \mathbf{k}^n (see Section 5).

In conclusion, let us say that despite a recent progress in understanding the structure of \mathbf{Cr}_n , presumably for $n \leq 3$ (see [2], [18], [19]), this group still remains a mysterious creature and far more questions about it are currently out of reach (see for example [19] for a modest account of some of these). We hope that Theorem 1.1 and the methods used to prove it will shed the light on some part of the Cremona group (see Section 6 for further discussion).

Notation. Throughout the paper we use the following notation and conventions:

- \mathbb{P}^n is the projective space with coordinates $[X_0 : \cdots : X_n]$. We denote by $S := \mathbf{k}[X_0, \dots, X_n]_{\text{hom}}$ the semigroup of homogeneous polynomials in the ring $\mathbf{k}[X_0, \dots, X_n]$.
- We fix the lattice \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} with the basis dual to $\{X_0, \dots, X_n\}$. We also fix the sublattice $\mathbb{Z}^n \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ corresponding to $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$. Both \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} and \mathbb{Z}^n are equipped with the standard lexicographical order for which $X_0 \geq X_1 \geq \dots \geq X_n$.
- We set $X := (X_1, \dots, X_n)$, $X^I := X_1^{i_1} \dots X_n^{i_n}$ for $I \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $I := (i_1, \dots, i_n)$. $\mathbf{M}_n(R)$ denotes the set of all $(n \times n)$ -matrices M with entries $M_{i,j} \in R$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, in a ring R .
- Given $h \in \mathbf{k}[X_0, \dots, X_n]$ we set d_h to be the degree of h in X_0 . We denote by $\text{Supp } h$ the support of h (i. e. $\text{Supp } h$ is the collection of all monomials that appear in h with non-zero coefficients). We will identify $\text{Supp } h \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ with the dual set of monomials and denote by I_h the maximal vector among those $I \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $(d_h, I) \in \text{Supp } h$. We also put $\langle h \rangle := (d_h, I_h)$ (thus $\langle h \rangle$ is the monomial $X_0^{d_h} X^{I_h}$).
- Every $f \in \mathbf{Cr}_n$ (and, more generally, every rational self-map of \mathbb{P}^n) is represented by an $(n+1)$ -tuple $[f_0 : \cdots : f_n]$ of (not necessarily coprime) polynomials $f_0, \dots, f_n \in \mathbf{k}[X_0, \dots, X_n]_{\text{hom}}$. In particular, if all f_i are coprime, then f is uniquely determined by $[f_0 : \cdots : f_n]$.
- $f \circ g$ (or fg , or $f \cdot g$) denotes the composition $f(g)$ of two rational self-maps of \mathbb{P}^n .
- For a group G and any $a_1, a_2, b \in G$, we put $a_i^b := ba_i b^{-1}$, $C_{a_i} := \{a_i^b\}_{b \in G}$ (the conjugacy class of a_i), and write $a_1 \sim a_2$ if $a_1 \in C_{a_2}$. $N \triangleleft G$ signifies that N is a normal subgroup in G such that $N \neq G, \{1\}$ ($1 \in G$ is the unit element).
- We denote by \mathbb{F}_2 the free group in two generators (\mathbb{F}_2 always comes with the word metric with respect to a fixed set of generators). We will also use standard notions and facts from the geometric

²⁾ The results of Section 4 were motivated by (and are a group-theoretic counterpart of) the *Splitting theorem* for compact Lorentz manifolds with isometric $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ -action (cf. [4, §4] and Question 6.4 below).

group theory (see e.g. [9]). For instance, given two metric spaces X and Y , $X \sim_{\text{q.-i.}} Y$ (or X is q.-i. to Y) signifies that X is quasi-isometric to Y .

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. The set-up. We take $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}$ for simplicity. Consider $f \in \mathbf{Cr}_n$ given by some $f_0, \dots, f_n \in S$ (we assume that $n \geq 3$ in what follows). Suppose that

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} f_0 &= \alpha_0 X_0^{d_f} X^{I_{f_0}} + \sum_{k \geq 1} X_0^{d_f - k} F_k(X), \\ f_j &= \alpha_{j,0} X_0^{d_f - 1} X^{I_{f_j}} + \sum_{k \geq 1} X_0^{d_f - 1 - k} F_{j,k}(X) \end{aligned}$$

for all $j \geq 1$ and some $d_f \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\alpha_0, \alpha_{j,0} \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $F_k(X), F_{j,k}(X) \in \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n]_{\text{hom}} = S$ (cf. the **Notation** above). Note that the condition $d_{f_0} - d_{f_j} = 1$ (for all $j \geq 1$) is satisfied by every $(n+1)$ -tuple (f_0^*, \dots, f_n^*) such that $hf_i^* = f_i$ for all i and any (fixed) $h \in S$ (with f_i replaced by f_i^* in d_{f_i} for all i). In particular, we may take f_i to be coprime, $0 \leq i \leq n$, so that (2.2) is a property of the map f .

Let us also assume that f^{-1} satisfies (2.2) and denote by G the set of all such f . Then clearly $G \neq \{1\}$:

Example 2.3. G contains the following groups:

- the group $\mathfrak{D}_n := (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ of diagonal automorphisms of \mathbb{P}^n ;
- the subgroup in \mathbf{Aut}_n of those f which preserve the origin in \mathbb{C}^n and have Jacobi matrix equal 1;
- for each $M \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$, with the j -th column I_j contained in the hyperplane $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i = 1$ for all j , the birational transformation $[1 : X_1 : \dots : X_n] \mapsto [1 : X^{I_1} : \dots : X^{I_n}]$ (we identify X_j with *affine* X_j/X_0) also satisfies (2.2). Note that all such M form a group isomorphic to the subgroup $SL'_n(\mathbb{Z})$ of those elements in $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ that fix the vector $(1, \dots, 1)$ (see **5.1** below for an explicit example of two $a_1, a_2 \in SL'_n(\mathbb{Z}) \subset G$).

Less trivial examples are provided by the groups $\mathfrak{G}_n \subset G$ and $\langle \mathcal{E}_n \rangle \subseteq \mathfrak{G}_n$ below.

Example 2.3 justifies the existence of the

2.4. Group structure on G . Put $h(\langle f \rangle) := h(\langle f_0 \rangle, \dots, \langle f_n \rangle) = h(1, \frac{\langle f_1 \rangle}{\langle f_0 \rangle}, \dots, \frac{\langle f_n \rangle}{\langle f_0 \rangle}) \langle f_0 \rangle^{\deg(h)}$ for every $h \in S$ and $f \in G$ as above. Let also M_f be the $(n \times n)$ -matrix whose j -th column equals $I_{f_j} - I_{f_0}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Suppose that $(d_h, I) \in \text{Supp } h$, $I \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, yields $I = I_h$. In this setting we get the following:

Lemma 2.5. *The equality*

$$\langle h(\langle f \rangle) \rangle = (\deg(h)(d_f - 1) + d_h, M_f I_h + \deg(h) I_{f_0})$$

holds.

Proof. Indeed, since $f \in G$, from (2.2) and above definitions we get (by direct substitution)

$$\sigma(\langle f \rangle) = (\deg(h)(d_f - 1) + d_\sigma, M_f I + \deg(h)I_{f_0}) < (\deg(h)(d_f - 1) + d_h, M_f I_h + \deg(h)I_{f_0}) = \langle h(\langle f \rangle) \rangle$$

for all $\sigma := X_0^{d_\sigma} X^I \in \text{Supp } h \setminus \{\langle h \rangle\}$. □

Put $h(f) := h(f_0, \dots, f_n) = h(1, \frac{f_1}{f_0}, \dots, \frac{f_n}{f_0}) f_0^{\deg(h)}$. Note that $\langle h(\langle f \rangle) \rangle \in \text{Supp } h(f)$. Then from Lemma 2.5 we obtain

$$(2.6) \quad \langle h(f) \rangle = (\deg(h)(d_f - 1) + d_h, M_f I_h + \deg(h)I_{f_0}).$$

This leads to the anticipated

Proposition 2.7. *G is a subgroup in $\mathcal{C}r_n$.*

Proof. Take $f \in G$ as above. Consider also $g \in G$ given by some $g_0, \dots, g_n \in S$. Then from (2.6) (for $h = g_0, \dots, g_n$) we obtain that $g \circ f$ is of the form (2.2). Recall also that $f^{-1}, g^{-1} \in G$ by definition. Thus we get $(g \circ f)^{-1} = f^{-1} \circ g^{-1} \in G$, which proves the assertion. □

2.8. Homomorphism ρ . Consider the map $v : S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ defined as follows:

$$v : h \mapsto \langle h \rangle = (d_h, I_h) \mapsto I_h$$

for all $h \in S$. Then v is a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ -valuation on S . Furthermore, v (obviously) extends to a \mathbb{Z}^n -valuation on $\mathbb{C}(X_1/X_0, \dots, X_n/X_0)$, providing a particular case of valuations considered in [16], [17]. This determines a map

$$\rho : G \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{Z}), \quad f \mapsto \rho(f) := M_f$$

for all $f \in G$, with $v(f_i/f_0) = I_{f_i} - I_{f_0}$, the i -th column of M_f , $1 \leq i \leq n$.

From Proposition 2.7 we get the following:

Corollary 2.9. *$\rho(G) \subset GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and ρ is a group homomorphism. In particular, for $\mathfrak{G}_n := \text{Ker}(\rho)$ the group $\text{Out } \mathfrak{G}_n$ of outer automorphisms of \mathfrak{G}_n contains \mathbb{F}_2 .*

Proof. Let us use the notation from the proof of Proposition 2.7. Recall that $d_{g_0(f)} - d_{g_j(f)} = 1$ for all $j \geq 1$ and $I_{g_i(f)} = M_f I_{g_i} + \deg(g_0)I_{f_0}$ for all $i \geq 0$ (see (2.6)). This implies that $\rho(g \circ f) = M_{g \circ f} = M_f M_g = \rho(g)\rho(f)$. Note also that ρ splits over $SL'_n(\mathbb{Z}) \subset G$ and $\rho(G) = SL'_n(\mathbb{Z})$ by construction (see (2.2) and Example 2.3). Thus we get $G = \mathfrak{G}_n \rtimes SL'_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and a homomorphism $\mathbb{F}_2 \rightarrow \text{Out } \mathfrak{G}_n$ (cf. Lemma 5.3 below). Let us show that the latter is injective.

Consider an arbitrary $f \in \mathfrak{D}_n \subset G$ (cf. Example 2.3). We may assume that f coincides with the map

$$[X_0 : X_1 : \dots : X_n] \mapsto [X_0 : \lambda_1 X_1 : \dots : \lambda_n X_n]$$

for some fixed $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Now take any $a \in \mathbb{F}_2 \subseteq SL'_n(\mathbb{Z})$. Then $a(f) := afa^{-1}$ in G also belongs to \mathfrak{D}_n and is obtained from f by replacing every $\lambda_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, by the product $\prod_{j=1}^n \lambda_j^{k_{j,i}}$ for some $k_{j,i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\sum_j k_{j,i} = 1$.

In particular, one may always choose f (for $a \neq 1$) to be such that $\prod_{j=1}^n \lambda_j^{k_{j,i}} \neq \lambda_i$ for at least one i , so that $a(f) \neq f$ in this case.

On the other hand, if $a(f) = f^g$ for some $g \in \mathfrak{G}_n$, then it follows from Lemma 2.5 that $f^g = f$ (cf. (3.1) below for a “typical” shape of g). This together with $a(f) \neq f$ shows that \mathbb{F}_2 injects into $\text{Out } \mathfrak{G}_n$. \square

3. THE GROUP $\langle \mathcal{E}_n \rangle$

We retain the notation of Section 2. Consider a rational map $\Lambda : \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ defined as follows:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} X_0 &\mapsto X_0^d + X_0 X_1^{d-1} =: \Lambda_0, \\ X_1 &\mapsto X_0^{d-1} X_1 + X_1^d =: \Lambda_1, \\ X_j &\mapsto \alpha_j X_0^{d-1} X_j + \Lambda_j^*(X) =: \Lambda_j \end{aligned}$$

for all $j \geq 2$ and some $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Lambda_j^*(X) \in \mathbb{C}[X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n]_{\text{hom}}$, $d_{\Lambda_j^*} \leq d - 2$. Let us additionally assume that the map

$$\Lambda^*|_{X_1=0} : [X_0 : X_2 : \dots : X_n] \mapsto [X_0^d : \alpha_2 X_0^{d-1} X_2 + \Lambda_2^*(X) : \dots : \alpha_n X_0^{d-1} X_n + \Lambda_n^*(X)] \pmod{X_1}$$

is a birational automorphism of \mathbb{P}^{n-1} which coincides with a polynomial automorphism from \mathbf{UAut}_{n-1} on $\mathbb{C}^{n-1} = \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \cap (X_0 \neq 0)$. Denote by \mathcal{E}_n the set of all such Λ contained in \mathfrak{G}_n .

Lemma 3.2. *We have $\mathcal{E}_n \neq \{1\}$. More precisely, to every element in \mathbf{UAut}_{n-1} , which preserves the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ and has diagonal Jacobi matrix, there corresponds an element in \mathcal{E}_n , similarly as $\Lambda \in \mathcal{E}_n$ above corresponds to $\Lambda^* \in \mathbf{UAut}_{n-1}$.*

Proof. One may identify Λ with the map

$$(X_1, \dots, X_n) \mapsto (\Lambda_1/\Lambda_0 = X_1, \dots, \Lambda_n/\Lambda_0)$$

on the affine chart $\mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{P}^n \cap (X_0 \neq 0)$. In short we have $\Lambda : X \mapsto (X_1, (1 + X_1^{d-1})^{-1} \cdot \Lambda^*)$. It is then plain that $\Lambda^{-1} \in \mathbf{Cr}_n$ exists and is of the form (3.1). \square

Let $\text{Aff}_n(\mathbb{C}) \subset \text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the subgroup of affine transformations which preserve the hyperplane $\Pi := (X_1 = 0) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$. Each $g \in \text{Aff}_n(\mathbb{C})$ satisfies $g^* X_0 = \lambda(g) X_0$ for some $\lambda(g) \in \mathbb{C}^*$ (similarly for X_1) and the group $\text{Aff}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is characterized by this property.

Set $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n \subset \mathbf{Cr}_n$ to be the homomorphic image of the amalgamated product $\text{Aff}_n(\mathbb{C}) *_{\mathfrak{D}_n} \mathfrak{G}_n$. Recall that \mathbb{F}_2 acts on $\mathfrak{G}_n \supset \mathfrak{D}_n$ as earlier and \mathfrak{D}_n is invariant under this action (cf. the proof of Corollary 2.9). Then, using the Iwasawa decomposition for $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$,³⁾ one extends the action $\mathbb{F}_2 \curvearrowright \mathfrak{D}_n$ to the whole group $\text{Aff}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and hence to $\text{Aff}_n(\mathbb{C}) *_{\mathfrak{D}_n} \mathfrak{G}_n$ as well. Furthermore, since the kernel of the natural homomorphism $\text{Aff}_n(\mathbb{C}) *_{\mathfrak{D}_n} \mathfrak{G}_n \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n$ is obviously \mathbb{F}_2 -invariant by construction, we get an \mathbb{F}_2 -action on $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n$ inducing the initial one on \mathfrak{G}_n . Then the following holds:

Lemma 3.3. $\mathbb{F}_2 \subseteq \text{Out } \mathfrak{G}_n$ acts on $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n$ by the outer automorphisms as well.

³⁾ Note that \mathbb{F}_2 acts trivially on the compact torus $(S^1)^n \subset \mathfrak{D}_n$ because $M(M^{-1}v) = v$ for any $M \in \text{SL}'_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. Suppose that $a(f) = f^g$ for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_2$, $f \in \mathfrak{D}_n$ and some fixed $g = g_1 \cdot g_2 \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n$, written in one of its normal forms with $g_1 \in \text{Aff}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $g_2 \in \mathfrak{G}_n$. Then we obtain $f^{g_2} = (a(f))^{g_1^{-1}} \in \text{Aff}_n(\mathbb{C})$. This is only possible when $f^{g_2} = f$. Hence necessarily $g_1 = 1$ and $a(f) = f$. \square

Let $\langle \mathcal{E}_n \rangle \subseteq \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n$ be the subgroup generated by \mathcal{E}_n and $\text{Aff}_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Proposition 3.4. *There exists a surjective homomorphism $\xi : \langle \mathcal{E}_n \rangle \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{UAut}_{n-1}$.*

Proof. ξ is defined via restriction to the locus $\Pi \cap (X_0 \neq 0)$. Its surjectivity is immediate by Lemma 3.2. \square

Remark 3.5. Let $\Lambda \in \mathcal{E}_n$ and $L \subseteq \mathbb{C}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be the linear subspace spanned by the rational functions $1, \Lambda_0/\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2/\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n/\Lambda_1$. Then, as $\dim L = n + 1$, the (self) intersection index $\underbrace{[L, \dots, L]}_{n+1 \text{ times}}$ (see e.g. [12]) is equal to the degree of Λ , which is 1 (cf. Lemma 3.2). Let also v be the valuation as in 2.8. It follows from (3.1) that $\{v(\Lambda_1/\Lambda_0), \dots, v(\Lambda_n/\Lambda_0)\}$ is the standard basis in \mathbb{Z}^n . Denote by Δ (resp. $\Delta(S(\Lambda))$) the corresponding simplex (resp. *Newton convex body*) in \mathbb{R}^n (note that $\Delta \subseteq \Delta(S(\Lambda))$). Then from [12, Theorem 11.2] we obtain that $1 = \text{Vol}(\Delta(S(\Lambda))) \geq \text{Vol}(\Delta) = 1$. So the Newton convex body of the rational map Λ is the standard simplex in \mathbb{R}^n . It would be interesting to study the class of algebraic varieties X for which the latter property is satisfied for *any* birational map $X \dashrightarrow X$.

4. INTERMEDIA: ONE GROUP-GEOMETRIC ARGUMENT

4.1. Two sets of generators in $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n$. Let G_{ne} be the set of all $f \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n$ such that $a(f) \not\sim f$ for every $a \in \mathbb{F}_2 \setminus \{1\}$ (cf. Lemma 3.3). Similarly, let G_e be the set of all $f \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n$ such that $a(f) \sim f$ for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_2$. In general, for any $g \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n$, let $E_g \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2$ be the group of those a for which $a(g) \sim g$ (i.e. $E_f = \mathbb{F}_2$ for all $f \in G_e$).

Example 4.2. It is easy to see that both sets $\mathfrak{D}_n \cap G_{ne}$ and $\mathfrak{D}_n \cap G_e$ are infinite. Note also that G_{ne} and G_e are stable under the conjugation and inversion in $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n$.

4.3. The tree \mathcal{T} . Recall that \mathbb{F}_2 acts freely, transitively and isometrically on a (four-valent) tree \mathcal{T} (cf. Figure 1 below). Furthermore, if \mathcal{X} is a Riemann surface of genus 2, the group \mathbb{F}_2 appears in the *Schottky uniformization* of \mathcal{X} (see e.g. [14]). Namely, since $\mathbb{F}_2 \subset \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, one obtains a natural \mathbb{F}_2 -action on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$. Let $S \subset \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ be the closure of the set of attractive and repulsive fixed points for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_2$. The complement $\Omega := \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus S$ is connected, $\Omega = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_2} \gamma \cdot D$ for D being the exterior domain of four non-intersecting circles on the Riemann sphere $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$, and $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{F}_2 \backslash \Omega$ for the proper discontinuous action $\mathbb{F}_2 \curvearrowright \Omega$.

This amounts to the next

Lemma 4.4. $\mathcal{T} \sim_{q.i.} \Omega$ (the latter being a domain in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$).

Further, given $f \in G_e$ let us suppose for a moment that $a(f^c) \neq f^c$ for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_2$, $c \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n$. Identify \mathcal{T} with its set of vertices $\{a(f)\}_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2}$ and similarly introduce the tree $\mathcal{T}_c^f := \{a(f^c)\}_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2}$ (thus \mathcal{T}_c^f is another copy of $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_1^f$). Then \mathcal{T}_c^f carries a metric $\text{dist}(*, *)$, coming from the word metric on \mathbb{F}_2 , so that $\text{dist}(a(f^c), b(f^c)) := \text{dist}(ab^{-1}, 1)$

for all $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_2$.⁴⁾ Now, gluing \mathcal{T}_c^f with $\mathcal{T}_{a(c)a}^f$ (isometrically) via $b(f^c) \mapsto b^a(f^{a(c)a})$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_2$ (we regard a , hence $a(c)a$, as an element in $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n$ acting on f by conjugation), we may identify the metric space

$$\mathcal{C}_f := \bigsqcup_{c \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n} \mathcal{T}_c^f / \asymp$$

with \mathcal{T} (as sets). Here \asymp is the equivalence relation such that $b(f^c) \asymp b^a(f^{a(c)a})$ for all a, b, c .⁵⁾ (Note also that the assertion of Lemma 4.4 obviously holds for \mathcal{C}_f in place of \mathcal{T} .)

Lemma 4.5. *\mathcal{C}_f is defined for any $f \in G_e$. More precisely, this \mathcal{C}_f is q.-i. to Ω and coincides with \mathcal{T} set-theoretically, similarly as above.*

Proof. We use the notation from Section 2. Put $\mathbf{x} := [X_0 : \dots : X_n]$ and fix an arbitrary $a \in \mathbb{F}_2 \setminus \{1\}$. Then, since there is no $c \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n$ such that $c^{-1}(g(c(\mathbf{x}))) = a^{-1}(g(a(\mathbf{x})))$ for all $g \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n$ (because $a \in \text{Out } \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n$),⁶⁾ we can associate with $a(f^c)$ an ordered pair $\{a; c\}$. Now, since $\{a; c\}$ are all distinct for different a, c , we repeat the previous construction of the trees \mathcal{T}_c^f (with $a(f^c)$ replaced by $\{a; c\}$). Finally, we use the fact that $f \in G_e$ to glue the trees \mathcal{T}_c^f and $\mathcal{T}_{a(c)a}^f$ via \asymp as earlier, which gives \mathcal{C}_f ($\sim_{\text{q.-i.}} \Omega$) as wanted. \square

Remark 4.6. We should stress that the proof of Lemma 4.5 really uses the specifics of situation in order to define $\{a; c\}$ correctly. In general, for the group $PGL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$, say, and the automorphisms $\mathbb{F}_2 \subseteq \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}) \subseteq \text{Out}$ acting on it, or for the fundamental group $\pi_1(C, x)$ of a Riemann surface $C \ni x$ acted by a free group of Dehn twists, the same constructions of G_{ne}, G_e , etc. carry on, but similar to the above property (definition) of $\{a; c\}$ breaks because it depends, non-trivially, on the choice of a basis for $PGL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ (resp. a base point x for $\pi_1(C, x)$).

Remark 4.7. To say it in words, every \mathcal{T}_c^f in the definition of \mathcal{C}_f corresponds to a ‘‘coloring’’ of \mathcal{T} (one for each $c \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n$), compatible with the \mathbb{F}_2 -action (cf. Figure 1). In turn, the pairs $\{ ; \}$ from the proof of Lemma 4.5 can be considered as ‘‘local coordinates’’ (with \mathcal{T}_c^f being ‘‘local charts’’) on \mathcal{T} , where the $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n$ -part corresponds to ‘‘coordinate bases’’, while the \mathbb{F}_2 -part is the ‘‘coordinate values’’.

In view of Lemma 4.5, we will not distinguish between \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{C}_f in what follows, so that the tree \mathcal{T} comes enhanced with an additional structure (cf. Remark 4.7).

The next result may be considered as the glimpse of a certain ‘‘Anosov property’’ enjoyed by the elements from G_e , for one may observe an analogy between the (hyperbolic) \mathbb{Z} -action on Diff (see the discussion in [4, §2] or in [11, §5] for instance) and the \mathbb{F}_2 -action on G_e in our case, with assertions ‘‘two elements $f, g \in \text{Diff}$ are homotopic, C^r -close, etc.’’ being replaced by ‘‘ $f \sim g, f, g \in G_e$ ’’.

Proposition 4.8. *For every $f, g \in G_e$, the group E_{fg} is non-cyclic.⁷⁾*

⁴⁾ Note that $f^c \in G_e$ and $E_{f^c} = E_f$ for all c (cf. Example 4.2).

⁵⁾ In fact, we have $a'a(f) = a'(f^a) = f^{a'(a)a'} = f^{a'a}$ for all $a', a \in \mathbb{F}_2$, which implies that \asymp is symmetric and transitive.

⁶⁾ Recall that $a, g, c \in G$ act on \mathbb{P}^n . Then $c^{-1}(g(c(\mathbf{x}))) = a^{-1}(g(a(\mathbf{x})))$ is understood as an identity between the elements in G . In particular, this does not depend on the choice of \mathbf{x} , so that the forthcoming $\{a; c\}$ is correctly defined.

⁷⁾ The arguments below work for the product of any $f_1, \dots, f_m \in G_e$ and $m \geq 2$.

Proof. Suppose that $E_{fg} = \langle b \rangle$ for some $b \in \mathbb{F}_2$. Let us glue \mathcal{C}_f with \mathcal{C}_g as follows:

$$a(f^c) \asymp a(g^c) \text{ for all } a \in \mathbb{F}_2, c \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n.$$

(Obviously, the latter \asymp is compatible with the equivalence relation used to construct \mathcal{C}_f and \mathcal{C}_g above, and so we keep the same symbol for both.) Again, since $\mathbb{F}_2 \subseteq \text{Out } \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n$ and $f, g \in G_e$, this construction is compatible with the \mathbb{F}_2 -action. In particular (to simplify the notation), we will assume that $a(f^c) \neq f^c$, $a(g^c) \neq g^c$ for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_2$, $c \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n$, as in Figure 1 below.

Further, in the preceding definition of the trees \mathcal{T}_c^f we can formally replace each $a(f^c)$ by the conjugacy class $C_{a(f^c g^{c'})}$, with arbitrary $a \in \mathbb{F}_2$, $c, c' \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n$, where again $C_{a(f^c g^{c'})}$ is regarded as a (“{value; coordinate}”) triple $\{a; c, c'\}$, analogous to that in the proof of Lemma 4.5. Then we repeat the isometric gluings of \mathcal{T}_c^f with $\mathcal{T}_{a(c)}^f$ (resp. of $\mathcal{T}_{c'}^g$ with $\mathcal{T}_{a(c')a}^g$) to get the tree $\mathcal{T}' \sim_{\text{q.-i.}} \mathcal{T}$ (the former being (formally) identified with $\{C_{a(fg)}\}_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2}$), carrying a free, transitive and isometric \mathbb{F}_2 -action. Thus the construction/enhancement of \mathcal{T}' is essentially the repetition verbatim of that for \mathcal{T} .

Lemma 4.9. *There is an \mathbb{F}_2 -equivariant (continuous) map $\varphi : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}'$ of metric spaces which coincides with the quotient map $\mathbb{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2 / \langle b \rangle$ on the sets of vertices. In particular, φ is surjective.*

Proof. Identify \mathcal{T} with its chart $\mathcal{T}_1^f \asymp \mathcal{T}_1^g$ and set φ to be as follows:

$$(4.10) \quad a(f) \asymp a(g) \mapsto C_{a(fg)}, \quad a \in \mathbb{F}_2.$$

(Here we use the chart $\{C_{a(fg)}\}_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2}$ for \mathcal{T}' as well.) Clearly, the (set-theoretic) map φ is surjective and coincides with $\mathbb{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2 / \langle b \rangle$ on the sets of vertices, since $E_{fg} = \langle b \rangle$.

In order to extend φ to a *metric morphism* it suffices to show the definition of φ does not depend on the (\mathbb{F}_2 -equivariant) identification of \mathcal{T} with \mathcal{T}_1^f (aka \mathcal{T}_1^g). This will follow once we check the definition of φ does not depend on replacing a by $a'a$ for an arbitrary fixed $a' \in \mathbb{F}_2$ and all a . But that is why we need the *enhanced* \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{T}' . Namely, regarding (as usual) a' as an element in $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n$ acting on f, g by conjugation, we simply pass to the chart $\mathcal{T}_{a'}^f \asymp \mathcal{T}_{a'}^g$ of \mathcal{T} so that φ now acts like this:

$$a'aa'^{-1}(f^{a'}) \asymp a'aa'^{-1}(g^{a'}) \mapsto C_{a'aa'^{-1}(f^{a'}g^{a'})}.$$

(Here \mathcal{T}' is also considered in its other chart $\{C_{a(f^c g^{c'})}\}_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2}$.) Thus we have replaced $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}'$ by their isometric copies and defined φ for these also (compatibly with (4.10)). This is correct because the charts $\mathcal{T}_1^f \asymp \mathcal{T}_1^g$, $\mathcal{T}_{a'}^f \asymp \mathcal{T}_{a'}^g$, etc. are (formally) distinct by construction. \square

Lemmas 4.4 and 4.9 yield a 1-cycle fibration $\Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ which is q.-i. to φ :

But the latter is impossible for the domains in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$. Proposition 4.8 is proved. \square

The set G_e generates a normal subgroup N in $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n$ with $N \cap \langle \mathcal{E}_n \rangle \supseteq G_e \cap \mathfrak{D}_n \neq \{1\}$ (cf. Section 3 and Example 4.2). We will see in Section 5 that the complement $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n \setminus G_{ne} \sqcup G_e$ contains an element Λ such that the group E_Λ is *cyclic*. This together with Proposition 4.8 implies that $N \triangleleft \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n$. We will show that in fact $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n \setminus N \ni \Lambda$ for some $\Lambda \in \langle \mathcal{E}_n \rangle \setminus \text{Ker}(\xi)$ (cf. Proposition 3.4), which easily yields $\xi(N \cap \langle \mathcal{E}_n \rangle) \triangleleft \mathbf{UAut}_{n-1}$ (see the discussion after Corollary 5.6 below), hence Theorem 1.1. (Notice by the way that $N \cap \langle \mathcal{E}_n \rangle \not\subseteq \text{Ker}(\xi)$ because $G_e \cap \mathfrak{D}_n \not\subseteq \text{Ker}(\xi)$.)




FIGURE 1.

Remark 4.11. It would be interesting to test non-simplicity of any group G satisfying $\mathbb{F}_2 \subseteq \text{Out } G$ and $G_e \cdot G_e \subseteq G_{ne} \sqcup G_e$ (cf. Question 6.4 below).

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

5.1. We keep up with the previous notation. Let us assume in addition that $n \geq 4$. Consider $\Lambda \in \mathcal{E}_n \subset \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n$ defined as follows:

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} X_i &\mapsto X_0^{d-1} X_i, \\ X_4 &\mapsto X_0^{d-1} X_4 + \Lambda_d(X_2, X_4, \dots, X_n) \end{aligned}$$

for all $i \neq 0, 1, 4$ (cf. (3.1)). Let us also consider $a_1, a_2 \in SL'_n(\mathbb{Z})$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &: [1 : X_1 : \dots : X_n] \mapsto [1 : X_1 : X_2 : X^{I_1} : X_4 : \dots : X_n], \\ a_2 &: [1 : X_1 : \dots : X_n] \mapsto [1 : X_1 : X^{I_2} : X_3 : \dots : X_n] \end{aligned}$$

for $I_1 := (1, -1, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $I_2 := (-1, 1, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ (cf. Example 2.3).

Lemma 5.3. *The elements a_1^2, a_2^2 generate a free subgroup $\mathbb{F}_2 \subseteq SL'_n(\mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. Take a matrix $\star := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & a & b \end{pmatrix}$ with some $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$. Then the matrix $X' := \star a_1$ has entries $X'_{2,3} = a, X'_{3,3} = -a + b$. Similarly, $X'' := \star a_2$ has $X''_{2,3} = a + b, X''_{3,3} = b$. Letting $a := 1, b := 0$ and $a := 0, b := 1$ we obtain a homomorphism from the group generated by a_1^2, a_2^2 onto the subgroup $\Gamma \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ generated by the matrices $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Hence it suffices to show that $\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{F}_2$. But the latter follows from the Ping-Pong Lemma. \square

Notice that $a_1 \Lambda a_1^{-1} = \Lambda$ (i. e. $a_1 \in E_\Lambda$ in the notation of 4.1). On the other hand, we have the following:

Proposition 5.4. *There exists Λ of the form (5.2) such that $a \Lambda a^{-1} \not\sim \Lambda$ for any $a \in \mathbb{F}_2 \setminus \{a_1^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $a\Lambda a^{-1} = g\Lambda g^{-1}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{F}_2 \setminus \{a_1^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $g \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n$. We will exclude only the case $a := a_2$ for the general case is treated similarly. The map $a_2\Lambda a_2^{-1}$ acts as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} X_i &\mapsto X_3^d X_0^{d-1} X_i, \\ X_4 &\mapsto X_0^{d-1} X_3^d X_4 + \Lambda_d(X_1 X_2, X_4 X_3, \dots, X_n X_3) \end{aligned}$$

for all $i \neq 0, 1, 4$. At this stage we assume that $\Lambda_d \neq 0 \pmod{(X_4, \dots, X_n)}$. Then the map $a_2\Lambda a_2^{-1}$ contracts the hyperplane $H := (X_3 = 0)$ to a point. On the other hand, we have

Lemma 5.5. *$g\Lambda g^{-1}$ does not contract H .*

Proof. Write $g = g_1 \cdot g_2$ as in the proof of Lemma 3.3. It suffices to consider only the case when $g_1 = 1$ (for $g_1 \in PGL_n(\mathbb{C})$ a priori).

Put $g_i^{-1}/g_0^{-1} := X_i + \varepsilon$ for the components of g^{-1} , $1 \leq i \leq n$, and some (varying) $0 \leq \varepsilon \ll 1$. Let also $O := [0 : 1 : 0 : \dots : 0]$.

Now, since $g = g_2 \in \mathfrak{G}_n$ and $\Lambda(O) = O$, one can easily see that $g\Lambda g^{-1}$ asymptotically equals Λ . More precisely, as in the proof of Lemma 2.5 one finds that $(\Lambda g^{-1})_i = \Lambda_i + \varepsilon$ for the components of Λg^{-1} and Λ , respectively. Furthermore, since ε can be expressed as an analytic function in Λ_i , locally near the point O , by the same argument (with X_i and Λ_i interchanged) we get $(g\Lambda g^{-1})_i = \Lambda_i + \varepsilon$ for the components of $g\Lambda g^{-1}$. Thus $g\Lambda g^{-1}$ can not contract H . \square

From Lemma 5.5 we obtain a contradiction $a_2\Lambda a_2^{-1} \neq g\Lambda g^{-1}$. This finishes the proof of Proposition 5.4. \square

Let $\mathfrak{G}_n^\Pi \subseteq \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n$ be the maximal subgroup preserving the hyperplane $\Pi = (X_1 = 0)$. Take Λ as in the proof of Proposition 5.4 and let Λ_0 be the restriction of Λ to Π . Then from (the proof of) Proposition 5.4 we get the following (with respect to the induced $\rho(G)$ -action on Π):

Corollary 5.6. *$a_1\Lambda_0 a_1^{-1} = \Lambda_0$ and $a\Lambda_0 a^{-1} \not\sim \Lambda_0$ in $\mathfrak{G}_n^\Pi|_\Pi$ for every $a \in \mathbb{F}_2 \setminus \{a_1^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$.*

We have $\mathcal{E}_n \subseteq \mathfrak{G}_n^\Pi$ and $\mathbb{F}_2 \subseteq \text{Out } \mathfrak{G}_n^\Pi$ via the induced $\rho(G)$ -action on Π (cf. Lemmas 5.3 and 3.3). Then the arguments of Section 4, with the extra condition “modulo X_1 ” added, apply verbatim to show that $(N \cap \mathfrak{G}_n^\Pi)|_\Pi$ is a proper normal subgroup of $\mathfrak{G}_n^\Pi|_\Pi$ such that $(N \cap \langle \mathcal{E}_n \rangle)|_\Pi \neq \{1\}$ and $\Lambda_0 \notin N|_\Pi$ (for the latter we have also used Corollary 5.6).

Lemma 5.7. *$\xi(N \cap \langle \mathcal{E}_n \rangle) \triangleleft \mathbf{UAut}_{n-1}$.*

Proof. Indeed, we have

- $\langle \mathcal{E}_n \rangle|_\Pi := \xi(\langle \mathcal{E}_n \rangle) = \mathbf{UAut}_{n-1}$ (see Proposition 3.4),
- $\Lambda \in \mathcal{E}_n$ and $\Lambda_0 = \xi(\Lambda) \notin \xi(N \cap \langle \mathcal{E}_n \rangle) = (N \cap \langle \mathcal{E}_n \rangle)|_\Pi$ because $\Lambda_0 \notin N|_\Pi$,
- $N \cap \langle \mathcal{E}_n \rangle \not\subseteq \text{Ker}(\xi)$.

This shows that $\xi(N \cap \langle \mathcal{E}_n \rangle) \neq \{1\}$, \mathbf{UAut}_{n-1} , i. e. $\xi(N \cap \langle \mathcal{E}_n \rangle) \triangleleft \mathbf{UAut}_{n-1}$. \square

Lemma 5.7 finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

6. FINAL COMMENTS

The way we used the groups G , \mathfrak{G}_n , \mathcal{E}_n , etc. to prove Theorem 1.1 makes it reasonable to develop the preceding arguments more systematically and study other subgroups in \mathbf{Cr}_n which “behave expectedly at infinity”. Let us advocate this thesis by proving the following:

Proposition 6.1 (cf. [7, 5.1]). *For any, not necessarily algebraically closed field $\mathbf{k} \subset \mathbb{C}$, the group \mathbf{Cr}_n is not embeddable into $GL_m(\mathbb{C})$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $n \geq 2$.⁸⁾*

Proof. Take $g_1 \in \mathfrak{D}_n$ and $g_2 \in SL'_n(\mathbb{Z})$ any unipotent element. Consider the group $E := \langle g_1, g_2 \rangle \subset G$. Let us also suppose that $g_1^2 = 1$. We can always choose g_1, g_2 in such a way that $E = \langle g_1 \rangle^{\oplus k} \rtimes \langle g_2 \rangle$ for some $2 \leq k \leq n$.

Lemma 6.2. *E is not embeddable into $GL_m(\mathbb{C})$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$.*

Proof. Here we follow the paper [9]. Suppose that $E \subset GL_m(\mathbb{C})$ for some m .

Consider a word metric dist_E on E and the corresponding metric space (E, dist_E) . Then, since the extension $\langle g_1 \rangle^{\oplus k} \rtimes \langle g_2 \rangle \subset GL_m(\mathbb{C})$ is non-trivial, we may assume that $2 \leq m < \infty$, which gives a natural isometric embedding $(E, \text{dist}_E) \hookrightarrow (\langle \langle g_1 \rangle^{\oplus k}, S^1 \rangle \times \mathbb{R}, \text{dist})$, for $\log(\text{dist}|_E) = \text{dist}_E$, such that $(\langle \langle g_1 \rangle^{\oplus k}, S^1 \rangle \times \mathbb{R}, \text{dist})$ is a hyperbolic space and $s \times \mathbb{R}$ is a horocycle for all $s \in \langle \langle g_1 \rangle^{\oplus k}, S^1 \rangle$ (see [9, 2.B, (d), (e), (f)]). In particular, since $g_1^2 = 1$ and $\langle g_2 \rangle = \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}$, this implies that $\text{Con}_\infty(E)$, the *asymptotic cone* of E (with induced metric), is totally disconnected (*op. cit.*).

On the other hand, since $g_2^a \circ g \circ g_2^b = g' \circ g^c$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $g \in \langle g_1 \rangle^{\oplus k}$ and some $c := c(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}$, $g' := g'(g) \in \langle g_1 \rangle^{\oplus k}$, the group $E \subset \mathfrak{G}_n$ (obviously) acts as $\mathbb{Z} = \langle g_2 \rangle$ on the Berkovich spectrum of \mathbb{C}^n (cf. [13, Section 5]). In particular, we obtain that (E, dist_E) is q.-i. to \mathbb{Z} with the corresponding word metric (see [9, 0.2.C]), which implies that $\text{Con}_\infty(E) = \mathbb{R}$ with the usual metric (see [9, 2.B, (a)]). This contradicts the previous paragraph. \square

Lemma 6.2 proves Proposition 6.2. \square

Remark 6.3. It would be interesting to construct examples of algebraic varieties X over a number field F for which the above non-embeddability result for \mathbf{Cr}_n provides a non-trivial obstruction to rationality of X over F .

Finally, Corollary 2.9 relates \mathfrak{G}_n to hyperbolic groups and groups with small cancellation (cf. [3]), which together with results of Section 3 makes one ask the next

Question 6.4. *Let G be a group such that $\mathbb{F}_2 \subseteq \text{Out } G$. Is G non-simple?*

Unfortunately, the answer to Question 6.4 is negative in general, as the case of the group $G := PGL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ (with $\mathbb{F}_2 \subset \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \text{Out } G$) shows.⁹⁾ However, the latter indicates an interesting difference between the groups $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_n$ and $PGL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$, which together with the proof of Theorem 1.1 suggests a way to attack the (non-) simplicity

⁸⁾ After the text has been written, I was informed by S. Cantat about <http://perso.univ-rennes1.fr/serge.cantat/Articles/cnl-5.jpg>, where a similar statement had been proved (via a group-theoretic argument) for every *finite* $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

⁹⁾ Pointed out by M. Gromov.

of \mathbf{Cr}_n for all $n \geq 2$ (basically, one constructs a normal subgroup $N \subseteq \mathbf{Cr}_n$ ¹⁰) exactly as in Section 4 above (cf. Remark 4.11), and tries to show that $N \neq \mathbf{Cr}_n$, arguing as in Section 5 for instance).

Acknowledgments. The work owes much to the Hurricane Irene (August, 2011), due to which I was stuck in Boston for couple of extra days. I am grateful to A. Postnikov for hospitality. Also thanks to F. Bogomolov, M. Gizatullin, and A. Khovanskii for their patience and encouragement. Partial financial support was provided by CRM fellowship and NSERC grant.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. M. Bergman, The logarithmic limit-set of an algebraic variety, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **157** (1971), 459 – 469.
- [2] S. Cantat and S. Lamy, Normal subgroups in the Cremona group, *Acta Math.* **210** (2013), no. 1, 31 – 94.
- [3] R. Coulon, Automorphismes extérieurs du groupe de Burnside libre, Institut de Recherche Mathématique Avancée, Université de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, (2010).
- [4] G. D’Ambra and M. Gromov, Lectures on transformation groups: geometry and dynamics, in *Surveys in differential geometry (Cambridge, MA, 1990)*, 19 – 111, Lehigh Univ., Bethlehem, PA.
- [5] V. I. Danilov, Non-simplicity of the group of unimodular automorphisms of an affine plane, *Mat. Zametki* **15** (1974), 289 – 293.
- [6] V. I. Danilov, Polyhedra of schemes and algebraic varieties, *Math. USSR-Sb.* **26** (1975), no. 1, 137 – 149.
- [7] J. Déserti, Sur les automorphismes du groupe de Cremona, *Compos. Math.* **142** (2006), no. 6, 1459 – 1478.
- [8] M. Einsiedler, M. Kapranov, and D. Lind, Non-Archimedean amoebas and tropical varieties, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **601** (2006), 139 – 157.
- [9] M. Gromov, Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups, in *Geometric group theory, Vol. 2 (Sussex, 1991)*, 1 – 295, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 182 Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge.
- [10] M. Gromov, Groups of polynomial growth and expanding maps, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* No. 53 (1981), 53 – 73.
- [11] M. Gromov, Hyperbolic manifolds, groups and actions, in *Riemann surfaces and related topics: Proceedings of the 1978 Stony Brook Conference (State Univ. New York, Stony Brook, N.Y., 1978)*, 183 – 213, *Ann. of Math. Stud.*, 97 Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ.
- [12] K. Kaveh and A. Khovanskii, Algebraic equations and convex bodies, in *Perspectives in analysis, geometry, and topology*, 263 – 282, *Progr. Math.*, 296 Birkhäuser/Springer, New York.
- [13] M. Kontsevich and Yu. Tschinkel, Non-archimedean Kähler geometry, Preprint (2011).
- [14] Yu. I. Manin, Three-dimensional hyperbolic geometry as ∞ -adic Arakelov geometry, *Invent. Math.* **104** (1991), no. 2, 223 – 243.
- [15] G. Mikhalkin, Real algebraic curves, the moment map and amoebas, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **151** (2000), no. 1, 309 – 326.
- [16] A. Okounkov, Brunn-Minkowski inequality for multiplicities, *Invent. Math.* **125** (1996), no. 3, 405 – 411.
- [17] A. Okounkov, Why would multiplicities be log-concave?, in *The orbit method in geometry and physics (Marseille, 2000)*, 329 – 347, *Progr. Math.*, 213 Birkhäuser, Boston, Boston, MA.
- [18] Y. Prokhorov, Simple finite subgroups of the Cremona group of rank 3, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **21** (2012), no. 3, 563 – 600.
- [19] J.-P. Serre, Le groupe de Cremona et ses sous-groupes finis, *Astérisque* No. 332 (2010), Exp. No. 1000, vii, 75 – 100.
- [20] I. R. Shafarevich, On some infinite-dimensional groups. II, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **45** (1981), no. 1, 214 – 226.

¹⁰ Provided that $\mathbb{F}_2 \subseteq \text{Out } \mathbf{Cr}_n$. For example, one may attain this for $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \text{Out}(\mathbf{Cr}_n)$ (cf. [7]), but then the construction of N may be no longer valid.

MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS, VIVATSGASSE 7, 53111 BONN, GERMANY

E-mail address: KARZHEMA@MPIM-BONN.MPG.DE