

# INVARIANT HILBERT SCHEMES AND DESINGULARIZATIONS OF SYMPLECTIC REDUCTIONS FOR CLASSICAL GROUPS

RONAN TERPEREAU

ABSTRACT. Let  $G \subset GL(V)$  be a reductive algebraic subgroup acting on the symplectic vector space  $W = (V \oplus V^*)^{\oplus m}$ , and let  $\mu : W \rightarrow Lie(G)^*$  be the corresponding moment map. In this article, we use the theory of invariant Hilbert schemes to construct a canonical desingularization of the symplectic reduction  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$  for classes of examples where  $G = GL(V)$ ,  $O(V)$ , or  $Sp(V)$ . For these classes of examples,  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$  is isomorphic to the closure of a nilpotent orbit in a simple Lie algebra, and we compare the Hilbert-Chow morphism with the (well-known) symplectic desingularizations of  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$ .

## CONTENTS

1.	Introduction and statement of the main results	1
2.	Generalities on symplectic varieties and closures of nilpotent orbits	3
3.	Case of $GL_n$	5
4.	Case of $Sp_n$	14
	References	20

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULTS

First of all, let us recall briefly the definition of the *invariant Hilbert scheme*, constructed by Alexeev and Brion (see [AB05, Bri13] for more details). We work over the field of complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $G$  be a reductive algebraic group, and let  $h : \text{Irr}(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be a *Hilbert function* which assigns to every irreducible representation of  $G$  a nonnegative integer. If  $X$  is an (possibly reducible) affine  $G$ -variety, then the invariant Hilbert scheme  $\text{Hilb}_h^G(X)$  is the moduli space that parametrizes the  $G$ -stable closed subschemes  $Z$  of  $X$  such that

$$\mathbb{C}[Z] \cong \bigoplus_{M \in \text{Irr}(G)} M^{\oplus h(M)}$$

as a  $G$ -module. Let us now suppose that the categorical quotient

$$X//G := \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[X]^G)$$

is an irreducible variety. If  $h = h_0$  is the Hilbert function of the *general fibers* of the quotient morphism  $\nu : X \rightarrow X//G$  (that is, the fibers over a nonempty open subset of  $X//G$ ), then there exists a projective morphism

$$\gamma : \text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(X) \rightarrow X//G,$$

called the *Hilbert-Chow morphism*, that sends a closed subscheme  $Z \subset X$  to the point  $Z//G \subset X//G$ . The Hilbert-Chow morphism induces an isomorphism over the

flat locus  $U \subset X//G$  of  $\nu$ . The *main component* of  $\text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(X)$  is the irreducible component defined by

$$\text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(X)^{\text{main}} := \overline{\gamma^{-1}(U)}.$$

Then the restriction  $\gamma: \text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(X)^{\text{main}} \rightarrow X//G$  is a projective birational morphism, and thus  $\gamma$  is a candidate for a canonical desingularization of  $X//G$ . It is an open problem to determine whether this restriction is always a desingularization or not. Last, but not least, if  $H$  is any algebraic subgroup of the  $G$ -equivariant automorphism group  $\text{Aut}^G(X)$ , then  $H$  acts on  $X//G$  and  $\text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(X)$ , and the quotient morphism  $\nu: X \rightarrow X//G$  and the Hilbert-Chow morphism  $\gamma: \text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(X) \rightarrow X//G$  are  $H$ -equivariant.

Let now  $G$  be an algebraic group, let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie algebra of  $G$ , and let  $W$  be a *symplectic  $G$ -module*, that is, a  $G$ -module equipped with a  $G$ -invariant non-degenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form. Then  $W$  has a  $G$ -equivariant *moment map*

$$\mu_G: W \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*,$$

which is defined in the usual way. To simplify the notation, we will use  $\mu$  instead of  $\mu_G$ . The map  $\mu$  being  $G$ -equivariant, the set-theoretic fiber  $\mu^{-1}(0)$  is a  $G$ -stable subvariety of  $W$ . From now on, we suppose that  $G$  is reductive. The categorical quotient  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$  is called the *symplectic reduction* of  $W$  by  $G$  and plays a central role in the study of  $W$ . It is an important problem to determine whether  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$  admits a *symplectic desingularization* (which is a distinguished desingularization, see Section 2 for details); a candidate for such a desingularization is given by the Hilbert-Chow morphism  $\gamma: \text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(\mu^{-1}(0))^{\text{main}} \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(0)//G$ .

Let us take  $V$  a finite dimensional vector space, and  $m$  a nonnegative integer. In this paper, we are interested in the cases where

$$W := (V \oplus V^*)^{\oplus m},$$

on which  $GL(V)$  acts naturally, and  $G = GL(V)$ ,  $O(V)$ , or  $Sp(V)$ . In this situation, we can find a classical algebraic subgroup  $H \subset \text{Aut}^G(\mu^{-1}(0))$ , namely

- (1)  $H = GL_m$  for  $G = GL(V)$ ;
- (2)  $H = Sp_{2m}$  for  $G = O(V)$ ; and
- (3)  $H = SO_{2m}$  for  $G = Sp(V)$ .

In each case, we will see that the symplectic reduction  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$  identifies with a nilpotent orbit closure in the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  of  $H$ , except in Case (3) for  $m$  even and  $m \leq \dim(V)$  where it is the union of two such orbit closures. In Case (3), if  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G = Y_1 \cup Y_2$  is reducible, then **we always consider only one component** to simplify the statements (that is,  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$  should be replaced by  $Y_i$  and  $\mu^{-1}(0)$  by  $\nu^{-1}(Y_i)$ ). The geometry of nilpotent orbits has been extensively studied by Fu, Kraft, Namikawa, Procesi... ([KP79, KP81, KP82, Fu03a, Fu03b, Fu06b, Na06]). In particular, the normalizations of such closures are *symplectic varieties* (as defined by Beauville in [Bea00]) whose symplectic desingularizations are the so-called *Springer desingularizations*, obtained by collapsing the cotangent bundle over some flag varieties (see Section 2 for details).

In [Terb, Tera], we studied the invariant Hilbert scheme for classical groups acting on classical representations. We obtained classes of examples where the

Hilbert-Chow morphism is a desingularization of the categorical quotient, and further examples where it is not. In this article, we use the results of [Tera] to prove the following statements:

**Theorem A.** ([Sections 3.3 and 4.3]) *With the above notation, let  $G = GL(V)$ ,  $O(V)$ , or  $Sp(V)$ , then the Hilbert-Chow morphism  $\gamma : \text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(\mu^{-1}(0))^{\text{main}} \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(0)//G$  is a symplectic desingularization (and the unique one) if and only if*

- $G = GL(V)$ ,  $\dim(V) \geq m - 1$ , and  $m$  is even; or
- $G = O(V)$ , and  $\dim(V) \geq 2m - 1$ ; or
- $G = Sp(V)$ ,  $\dim(V)$  and  $m$  are even, and  $\dim(V) \geq 2m - 2$ .

**Theorem B.** ([Sections 3.3 and 4.3]) *With the above notation, let  $G = GL(V)$ ,  $O(V)$ , or  $Sp(V)$ , then the Hilbert-Chow morphism  $\gamma : \text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(\mu^{-1}(0))^{\text{main}} \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(0)//G$  is a desingularization that strictly dominates the symplectic desingularizations (when they exist) in the following cases:*

- $G = GL(V)$  and either  $\dim(V) = 1$ ,  $m \geq 3$  or  $\dim(V) = 2$ ,  $m \geq 4$ ; or
- $G = O(V)$  and either  $\dim(V) = 1 < m$  or  $\dim(V) = 2 \leq m$ ; or
- $G = Sp(V)$  and either  $\dim(V) = 2 < m$  or  $\dim(V) = 4 \leq m$ .

If  $G \subset GL(V)$  is any reductive algebraic subgroup, then it is generally a difficult problem to determine whether  $\text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(\mu^{-1}(0))$  is irreducible, that is, equals its main component. In this direction, we obtain

**Proposition C.** ([Propositions 3.17 and 4.10]) *With the above notation, if  $G = GL(V)$  and  $m \geq 2\dim(V)$ , then the invariant Hilbert scheme  $\text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(\mu^{-1}(0))$  has at least two irreducible components (and exactly two when  $\dim(V) = 1$ ). On the other hand, if  $G = O(V)$  or  $Sp(V)$ , and  $m \geq \dim(V) = 2$ , then  $\text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(\mu^{-1}(0))$  is irreducible.*

In Section 2, we recall some basic facts about symplectic varieties and closures of nilpotent orbits in simple Lie algebras. The case of  $GL(V)$  is treated in Section 3, and the case of  $Sp(V)$  is treated in Section 4. The case of  $O(V)$  is quite similar to the case of  $GL(V)$ , and details can be found in the thesis [Terb, §3.4] from which this article is extracted. Besides, we think that our methods also apply when  $G = SL(V)$ , while the case  $G = SO(V)$  should be more involved.

## 2. GENERALITIES ON SYMPLECTIC VARIETIES AND CLOSURES OF NILPOTENT ORBITS

**2.1. Symplectic varieties and symplectic desingularizations.** Let us first recall the definitions of symplectic variety and symplectic desingularization (see [Bea00] or the survey [Fu06a] for more details). Let  $Y$  be a normal variety whose regular locus  $Y_{\text{reg}}$  admits a *symplectic form*  $\Omega$  (that is,  $\Omega$  is a holomorphic 2-form which is closed and non-degenerate at every point of  $Y_{\text{reg}}$ ) such that, for any desingularization  $f : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ , the 2-form  $f^*(\Omega)$  extends to a 2-form on the whole  $\tilde{Y}$ , then we say that  $Y$  is a *symplectic variety*. Moreover, if  $f : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$  is a desingularization such that  $f^*(\Omega)$  extends to a symplectic form on  $\tilde{Y}$ , then we say that  $f$  is a *symplectic desingularization* of  $Y$ . It must be emphasized that symplectic varieties do not always admit symplectic desingularizations, and when they do, there may be several of them.

As in the introduction, we denote  $W = (V \oplus V^*)^{\oplus m}$ , we take a reductive algebraic subgroup  $G \subset GL(V)$  acting naturally on  $W$ , and we consider the symplectic reduction  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$ . The following conjecture motivates the study (and the name!) of  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$ :

**Conjecture 2.1** (Kaledin, Lehn, Sorger). With the above notation, the irreducible components  $Y_1, \dots, Y_r$  of  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$  are symplectic varieties. Moreover, if every  $Y_i$  admits a symplectic desingularization, then the quotient  $V^{\oplus m}//G$  is smooth.

When  $G$  is a finite group, Conjecture 2.1 was proved by Kaledin and Verbitsky, but the general case remains open. Let us mention that Becker showed in [Bec09] that the converse of the second part of Conjecture 2.1 holds for  $G = Sp(V)$  with  $\dim(V) = 2$ . In our setting, that is when  $G = GL(V)$ ,  $O(V)$ , or  $Sp(V)$ , one easily checks that Conjecture 2.1 holds (see [Terb, §A.2] for details).

**2.2. Closures of nilpotent orbits.** We now recall some basic facts concerning the closures of nilpotent orbits (see [CM93, Fu03a] for more details). The following well-known result is due to Kostant, Kirillov, Souriau, and Panyushev:

**Theorem 2.2.** *The normalization of the closure of an adjoint orbit in a semi-simple Lie algebra is a symplectic variety.*

Let now  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a simple Lie algebra of classical type. If  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{sl}_m$ , then every nilpotent element  $f \in \mathfrak{sl}_m$  is conjugate to an element of the form  $\text{diag}(J_{d_1}, \dots, J_{d_k})$ , where each  $J_{d_i}$  is a Jordan block of size  $d_i$ , and  $\mathbf{d} = [d_1, \dots, d_k]$  is a partition of  $m$ . Then there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the partitions  $\mathbf{d} = (d_1 \geq \dots \geq d_k)$  of  $m$  and the nilpotent orbits  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}} \subset \mathfrak{sl}_m$  (see [CM93, §3.1]). Now if  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2m}$  resp. if  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{so}_{2m}$ , then a similar description exists (see [CM93, §5.1]), it is obtained by cutting  $\mathfrak{h}$  with a  $SL_{2m}$ -orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}} \subset \mathfrak{sl}_{2m}$ , which gives a unique  $Sp_{2m}$ -orbit resp. a unique  $O_{2m}$ -orbit, if it is not empty. Let us note that an  $O_{2m}$ -orbit can be non-connected giving rise to two  $SO_{2m}$ -orbits that we will denote  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^I$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^II$ .

If  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{sl}_m$ , then  $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}}}$  is always normal ([KP79]). For the other classical types, the geometry of  $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}}}$  was studied in [KP82]; in particular, if  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2m}$  and  $d_1 + d_2 \leq 4$  resp. if  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{so}_{2m}$  and  $d_1 \leq 2$ , then  $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}}}$  is normal. In the next sections, we will be interested only by conjugacy classes of elements  $f \in \mathfrak{h}$  with  $f^2 = 0$ . Hence, from now on, we only consider partitions  $\mathbf{d}$  such that each  $d_i \leq 2$ . By Theorem 2.2, the variety  $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}}}$  is symplectic, and we are going to describe its symplectic desingularizations (see [Fu03a, Fu06b, FN04] for details).

As before, let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a simple Lie algebra of classical type, and let  $H$  be the adjoint group of  $\mathfrak{h}$ . We consider  $f : Z \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}}}$  a symplectic desingularization. Then, by [Fu03a, Proposition 3.1], the group  $H$  acts naturally on  $Z$  in such a way that  $f$  is  $H$ -equivariant. One says that  $f$  is a *Springer desingularization* if there exists a parabolic subgroup  $P \subset H$  and a  $H$ -equivariant isomorphism between  $Z$  and the total space of the cotangent bundle over  $H/P$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{T}^*(H/P)$ . Then, under this isomorphism, the map  $f$  becomes

$$\mathcal{T}^*(H/P) \cong H \times^P \mathfrak{u} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}, \quad (h, x) \longmapsto \text{Ad}(h).x,$$

where  $\mathfrak{u}$  is the nilradical of the Lie algebra of  $P$ , and  $H \times^P \mathfrak{u}$  denotes the quotient  $(H \times \mathfrak{u})/P$  under the (free) action of  $P$  given by  $p.(h, u) = (h \circ p^{-1}, \text{Ad}(p).u)$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** ([Fu03a, Theorem 3.3]) *With the above notation, if  $f : Z \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}}}$  is a symplectic desingularization, then  $f$  is a Springer desingularization.*

Thanks to the work of Fu and Namikawa, the Springer desingularizations of  $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}}}$  are known (up to isomorphism). In particular:

- Let  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{sl}_m$  and  $\mathbf{d} = [2^N, 1^{m-2N}]$  for some  $0 \leq N \leq \frac{m}{2}$ . We denote by  $\text{Gr}(p, \mathbb{C}^m)$  the Grassmannian of  $p$ -dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{C}^m$ , and by  $\mathcal{T}_1^*$  resp. by  $\mathcal{T}_2^*$ , the cotangent bundle over  $\text{Gr}(N, \mathbb{C}^m)$  resp. over  $\text{Gr}(m-N, \mathbb{C}^m)$ . By [Fu06b, §2], if  $N < \frac{m}{2}$ , then  $\mathcal{T}_1^*$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2^*$  are the two Springer desingularizations of  $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}}}$ ; else,  $\mathcal{T}_1^* = \mathcal{T}_2^*$  is the unique Springer desingularization of  $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}}}$ .
- Let  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2m}$  and  $\mathbf{d} = [2^N, 1^{2(m-N)}]$  for some  $0 \leq N \leq m$ . Then  $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}}}$  admits a Springer desingularization if and only if  $N = m$  ([Fu03a, Proposition 3.19]). We denote by  $\text{IGr}(p, \mathbb{C}^{2m})$  the Grassmannian of isotropic  $p$ -dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{C}^{2m}$ , and by  $\mathcal{T}^*$  the cotangent bundle over  $\text{IGr}(m, \mathbb{C}^{2m})$ . By [FN04, Proposition 3.5], if  $N = m$ , then  $\mathcal{T}^*$  is the unique Springer desingularization of  $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}}}$ .
- Let  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{so}_{2m}$  and  $\mathbf{d} = [2^N, 1^{2(m-N)}]$  for some  $0 \leq N \leq m$  with  $N$  even. If  $N = m$ , then one associates to  $\mathbf{d}$  two distinct nilpotent orbits  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^I$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^{II}$ . By [Fu03a, Proposition 3.20], the variety  $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}}}$  admits a Springer desingularization if and only if  $N \in \{m-1, m\}$ . We denote by  $\text{OGr}(p, \mathbb{C}^{2m})$  the Grassmannian of isotropic  $p$ -dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{C}^{2m}$ . The Grassmannian  $\text{OGr}(p, \mathbb{C}^{2m})$  is irreducible except if  $p = m$ , in which case  $\text{OGr}(m, \mathbb{C}^{2m}) = \text{OG}^I \cup \text{OG}^{II}$  is the union of two irreducible components (exchanged by the natural action of  $O_{2m}$ ). We denote by  $\mathcal{T}_I^*$  resp. by  $\mathcal{T}_{II}^*$ , the cotangent bundle over  $\text{OG}^I$  resp. over  $\text{OG}^{II}$ . If  $N = m-1$ , then  $\mathcal{T}_I^*$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{II}^*$  are the two Springer desingularizations of  $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{[2^{m-1}, 1^2]}}$  by [Fu06b, §2]. If  $N = m$ , then  $\mathcal{T}_I^*$  resp.  $\mathcal{T}_{II}^*$  is the unique Springer desingularization of  $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{[2^m]}^I}$  resp. of  $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{[2^m]}^{II}}$ , by [FN04, Proposition 3.5].

### 3. CASE OF $GL_n$

In this section, we denote  $V$  and  $V'$  two finite dimensional vector spaces, and we take  $G = GL(V)$  and  $H = GL(V')$ , both acting on

$$W := \text{Hom}(V', V) \times \text{Hom}(V, V')$$

as follows:

$$\forall (g, h) \in G \times H, \forall (u_1, u_2) \in W, (g, h) \cdot (u_1, u_2) := (g \circ u_1 \circ h^{-1}, h \circ u_2 \circ g^{-1}).$$

We denote by  $\mathfrak{g}$  resp. by  $\mathfrak{h}$ , the Lie algebra of  $G$  resp. of  $H$ , and  $N := \min(\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor, n)$ , where  $n := \dim(V)$ ,  $m := \dim(V')$ , and  $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$  is the lower integer part.

**3.1. The quotient morphism.** The two main results of this section are Proposition 3.3, which describes the symplectic reduction  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$ , and Corollary 3.6, which gives the Hilbert function  $h_0$  of the general fibers of the quotient morphism  $\nu: \mu^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(0)//G$ .

We recall that  $W$  is equipped with a  $G$ -invariant symplectic form  $\Omega$  defined by:

$$(1) \quad \forall (u_1, u_2), (u'_1, u'_2) \in W, \Omega((u_1, u_2), (u'_1, u'_2)) := \text{tr}(u'_1 \circ u_2) - \text{tr}(u_1 \circ u'_2),$$

where  $\text{tr}(\cdot)$  denotes the trace. The corresponding moment map is given by:

$$\mu: \begin{array}{ccc} W & \rightarrow & \mathfrak{g}^* \\ (u_1, u_2) & \mapsto & (f \mapsto \text{tr}(u_2 \circ f \circ u_1)) \end{array}$$

and thus the zero fiber of  $\mu$  is the  $G \times H$ -stable subvariety defined by:

$$\mu^{-1}(0) = \{(u_1, u_2) \in W \mid u_1 \circ u_2 = 0\}.$$

Let us determine the irreducible components of  $\mu^{-1}(0)$  as well as their dimensions. Let  $p \in \{0, \dots, m\}$ ; we define the subvariety

$$(2) \quad X_p := \left\{ (u_1, u_2) \in W \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{Im}(u_2) \subset \text{Ker}(u_1); \\ \text{rk}(u_2) \leq \min(n, p); \\ \dim(\text{Ker}(u_1)) \geq \max(m-n, p). \end{array} \right\} \subset \mu^{-1}(0),$$

and we consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z_p := \{(u_1, u_2, L) \in W \times \text{Gr}(p, V') \mid \text{Im}(u_2) \subset L \subset \text{Ker}(u_1)\} & & \\ \downarrow p_1 & \searrow p_2 & \\ X_p & & \text{Gr}(p, V') \end{array}$$

where the  $p_i$  are the natural projections. We fix  $L_0 \in \text{Gr}(p, V')$ ; the second projection equips  $Z_p$  with a structure of homogeneous vector bundle over  $\text{Gr}(p, V')$  whose fiber over  $L_0$  is isomorphic to  $F_p := \text{Hom}(V'/L_0, V) \times \text{Hom}(V, L_0)$ . Hence,  $Z_p$  is a smooth variety of dimension  $p(m-p) + mn$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *The irreducible components of  $\mu^{-1}(0)$  are*

$$\begin{cases} X_0, \dots, X_m & \text{if } m \leq n; \\ X_{m-n}, \dots, X_n & \text{if } n < m < 2n; \\ X_n & \text{if } m \geq 2n; \end{cases}$$

where  $X_p$  is defined by (2).

*Proof.* We have

$$\mu^{-1}(0) = \{(u_1, u_2) \in W \mid \text{Im}(u_2) \subset \text{Ker}(u_1)\} = \bigcup_{i=0}^m X_i.$$

Furthermore, for every  $p \in \{0, \dots, m\}$ , the morphism  $p_1$  is surjective and  $Z_p$  is irreducible, hence  $X_p$  is irreducible.

If  $m \geq 2n$ , then

$$\begin{cases} X_0 \subset \dots \subset X_n; \\ X_n = \dots = X_{m-n}; \\ X_{m-n} \supset \dots \supset X_m; \end{cases}$$

and thus  $\mu^{-1}(0) = X_n$ .

If  $m < 2n$ , then

$$\begin{cases} X_0 \subset \dots \subset X_{\max(0, m-n)}; \\ X_{\min(m, n)} \supset \dots \supset X_m; \end{cases}$$

and one easily checks that there is no other inclusion relation between the  $X_p$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *The dimension of  $\mu^{-1}(0)$  is*

$$\dim(\mu^{-1}(0)) = \begin{cases} nm + \frac{1}{4}m^2 & \text{if } m < 2n \text{ and } m \text{ is even;} \\ nm + \frac{1}{4}(m^2 - 1) & \text{if } m < 2n \text{ and } m \text{ is odd;} \\ 2nm - n^2 & \text{if } m \geq 2n. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.1, it suffices to compute the dimension of  $X_p$  for some  $p$ . If  $p \leq n$  or  $p \geq m - n$ , then one may check that the map  $p_1 : Z_p \rightarrow X_p$  is birational, and thus  $Q(p) := \dim(X_p) = \dim(Z_p) = p(m - p) + mn$ . It remains simply to study the variations of the polynomial  $Q$  to obtain the result.  $\square$

We recall that the quotient morphism  $W \rightarrow W//G$  is given by  $(u_1, u_2) \mapsto u_2 \circ u_1 \in \text{End}(V') = \mathfrak{h}$ , by classical invariant theory (see [Pro07, §9.1.4] for instance). Let us now fix  $l \in \{0, \dots, N\}$ . We also fix a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $V$  resp.  $\mathcal{B}'$  of  $V'$ , and we introduce some notation that we will use in the proofs of Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 3.5:

$$(3) \quad \bullet (u_1^l, u_2^l) := \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0_{l, m-l} & I_l \\ 0_{n-l, m-l} & 0_{n-l, l} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} I_l & 0_{l, n-l} \\ 0_{m-l, l} & 0_{m-l, n-l} \end{bmatrix} \right) \in W;$$

$$(4) \quad \bullet f_l := \begin{bmatrix} 0_{l, m-l} & I_l \\ 0_{m-l, m-l} & 0_{m-l, l} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{h}.$$

If  $\mathbf{d}$  is a partition of  $m$ , then we denote by  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}} \subset \mathfrak{h} \cong \mathfrak{gl}_m$  the corresponding nilpotent orbit (see Section 2.2).

**Proposition 3.3.** *The symplectic reduction of  $W$  by  $G$  is  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G = \overline{\mathcal{O}_{[2N, 1^{m-2N}]}}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $f \in \mu^{-1}(0)//G$ , then there exists  $(u_1, u_2) \in \mu^{-1}(0)$  such that  $f = u_2 \circ u_1$ , and thus  $f \circ f = (u_2 \circ u_1) \circ (u_2 \circ u_1) = u_2 \circ (u_1 \circ u_2) \circ u_1 = 0$ , whence the inclusion " $\subset$ ". Now, let  $f \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_{[2N, 1^{m-2N}]}}$ . Up to conjugation by an element of  $H$ , we can suppose that  $f = f_l$  for some  $l \leq N$ , where  $f_l$  is defined by (4). But then  $u_2^l \circ u_1^l = f_l$  and  $u_1^l \circ u_2^l = 0$ , where  $u_1^l$  and  $u_2^l$  are defined by (3), and thus  $f \in \mu^{-1}(0)//G$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *The symplectic reduction  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G \subset \mathfrak{h}$  is irreducible and decomposes into  $N + 1$  orbits for the adjoint action of  $H$ :*

$$U_i := \mathcal{O}_{[2^i, 1^{m-2i}]}, \quad \text{for } i = 0, \dots, N.$$

The closures of the nilpotent orbits  $U_i$  are nested in the following way:

$$\{0\} = \overline{U_0} \subset \dots \subset \overline{U_N} = \mu^{-1}(0)//G.$$

Hence,  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$  is a symplectic variety (see Section 2), of dimension  $2N(m - N)$  ([CM93, Corollary 6.1.4]), and whose singular locus is  $\overline{U_{N-1}}$  ([KP81, §3.2]).

By Corollary 3.2, the dimension of the general fibers of the quotient morphism  $\nu$  is

$$(5) \quad \begin{cases} nm - \frac{1}{4}m^2 & \text{if } m < 2n \text{ and } m \text{ is even;} \\ nm - \frac{1}{4}(m^2 - 1) & \text{if } m < 2n \text{ and } m \text{ is odd;} \\ n^2 & \text{if } m \geq 2n. \end{cases}$$

If  $m < 2n$ , then  $N = \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$ , and we denote

$$(6) \quad G' := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} M & 0_{n-N, N} \\ 0_{N, n-N} & I_N \end{bmatrix}, M \in GL_{n-N} \right\} \cong GL_{n-N},$$

which is a reductive algebraic subgroup of  $G \cong GL_n$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** *The general fibers of the quotient morphism  $\nu : \mu^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(0)//G$  are isomorphic to*

$$\begin{cases} G & \text{if } m \geq 2n; \\ G/G' & \text{if } m < 2n \text{ and } m \text{ is even;} \end{cases}$$

where  $G' \subset G$  is the subgroup defined by (6).

*Proof.* We first suppose that  $m < 2n$  and  $m$  is even (that is,  $N = \frac{m}{2}$ ). With the notation (3), and by a result of Luna (see [SB00, §I.6.2.5, Theorem 10]), we have the equivalence

$$G.(u_1^N, u_2^N) \text{ is closed in } \mu^{-1}(0) \Leftrightarrow C_G(G').(u_1^N, u_2^N) \text{ is closed in } \mu^{-1}(0).$$

Now  $C_G(G') = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} M & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda I_{n-N} \end{bmatrix}, M \in GL_N, \lambda \in \mathbb{G}_m \right\}$ , where  $\mathbb{G}_m$  denotes the multiplicative group. Hence

$$C_G(G').(u_1^N, u_2^N) = \left\{ \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & M \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} M^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right), M \in GL_N \right\} \subset \mu^{-1}(0)$$

is a closed subset, and thus  $G.(u_1^N, u_2^N)$  is the unique closed orbit contained in the fiber  $\nu^{-1}(f_N)$ , where  $f_N$  is defined by (4). One may check that  $\text{Stab}_G((u_1^N, u_2^N)) = G'$ . Furthermore,  $\dim(G/G') = N(2n - N)$ , which is also the dimension of the general fibers of  $\nu$  by (5), and thus  $\nu^{-1}(f_N) \cong G/G'$ .

We now suppose that  $m \geq 2n$  (that is,  $N = n$ ). One may check that  $\text{Stab}_G((u_1^n, u_2^n)) = Id$ , and thus the fiber  $\nu^{-1}(f_n)$  contains a unique closed orbit isomorphic to  $G$ . But  $\dim(G) = n^2$  is the dimension of the general fibers of  $\nu$  by (5), hence  $\nu^{-1}(f_n) \cong G$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.6.** *The Hilbert function  $h_0$  of the general fibers of the quotient morphism  $\nu : \mu^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(0)//G$  is given by:*

$$\forall M \in \text{Irr}(G), h_0(M) = \begin{cases} \dim(M) & \text{if } m \geq 2n; \\ \dim(M^{G'}) & \text{if } m < 2n \text{ and } m \text{ is even;} \end{cases}$$

where  $G' \subset G$  is the subgroup defined by (6).

If  $m < 2n$  and  $m$  is odd, then the situation is more complicated (except the case  $m = 1$  which is trivial) because the general fibers of the quotient morphism  $\nu$  are reducible. From now on, we will only consider the cases where either  $m \geq 2n$  or  $m < 2n$ ,  $m$  is even.

**3.2. The reduction principle for the main component.** In this section we prove our most important theoretical result, which is the *reduction principle* (Proposition 3.8). Let us mention that a similar reduction principle (but in a different setting) was already obtained in [Tera].

The subvariety  $\mu^{-1}(0) \subset W$  being  $G \times H$ -stable, it follows from [Bri13, Lemma 3.3] that the invariant Hilbert scheme

$$\mathcal{H} := \text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(\mu^{-1}(0))$$

is a  $H$ -stable closed subscheme of  $\text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(W)$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{H}^{\text{main}}$  the main component of  $\mathcal{H}$ . The scheme  $\text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(W)$  was studied in [Tera]; let us recall

**Proposition 3.7.** ([Tera, §4.4]) *Let  $h_0$  be the Hilbert function given by Corollary 3.6, and let  $H = GL(V')$  acting naturally on  $\text{Gr}(m - h_0(V), V'^*) \times \text{Gr}(m - h_0(V^*), V')$ . Then there exists a  $H$ -equivariant morphism*

$$\rho : \text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(W) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(m - h_0(V), V'^*) \times \text{Gr}(m - h_0(V^*), V')$$

given on closed points by  $[Z] \mapsto (\text{Ker}(f_Z^1), \text{Ker}(f_Z^2))$ , where  $f_Z^1 : V'^* \cong \text{Mor}^G(W, V) \rightarrow \text{Mor}^G(Z, V)$  and  $f_Z^2 : V' \cong \text{Mor}^G(W, V^*) \rightarrow \text{Mor}^G(Z, V^*)$  are the restriction maps.

By Corollary 3.6, we have  $h_0(V) = h_0(V^*) = N$ . We identify  $\mathrm{Gr}(m - N, V'^*)$  with  $\mathrm{Gr}(N, V')$ , and we denote

$$A_i := \{(L_1, L_2) \in \mathrm{Gr}(N, V') \times \mathrm{Gr}(m - N, V') \mid \dim(L_1 \cap L_2) = N - i\}, \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, N.$$

The  $A_i$  are the  $N + 1$  orbits for the action of  $H$  on  $\mathrm{Gr}(N, V') \times \mathrm{Gr}(m - N, V')$ , and

$$A_0 = \overline{A_0} \subset \overline{A_1} \subset \dots \subset \overline{A_N} = \mathrm{Gr}(N, V') \times \mathrm{Gr}(m - N, V').$$

In particular,  $A_N$  is the unique open orbit and

$$(7) \quad A_0 = \mathcal{F}_{N, m-N} := \{(L_1, L_2) \in \mathrm{Gr}(N, V') \times \mathrm{Gr}(m - N, V') \mid L_1 \subset L_2\},$$

which is a partial flag variety, is the unique closed orbit. Let

- $a_0 := (L_1, L_2) \in A_0$ , and  $P$  the parabolic subgroup of  $H$  stabilizing  $a_0$ ;
- $W' := \{(u_1, u_2) \in W \mid L_2 \subset \mathrm{Ker}(u_1) \text{ and } \mathrm{Im}(u_2) \subset L_1\}$ , which is a  $G \times P$ -module contained in  $\mu^{-1}(0)$ ; and
- $\mathcal{H}' := \mathrm{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(W')$ , and  $\mathcal{H}'^{\mathrm{main}}$  its main component.

If either  $m \geq 2n$  or  $m < 2n$ ,  $m$  even, then  $h_0$  coincides with the Hilbert function of the general fibers of the quotient morphism  $W' \rightarrow W'//G$  by [Tera, Proposition 4.13]; in particular,  $\mathcal{H}'^{\mathrm{main}}$  is well-defined. We are going to prove

**Proposition 3.8.** *If either  $m \geq 2n$  or  $m < 2n$ ,  $m$  even, and with the above notation, there is a  $H$ -equivariant isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{main}} \cong H \times^P \mathcal{H}'^{\mathrm{main}}.$$

First of all, we need

**Lemma 3.9.** *If either  $m \geq 2n$  or  $m < 2n$  with  $m$  even, then the morphism  $\rho$  of Proposition 3.7 sends  $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{main}}$  onto  $A_0$ , the  $H$ -variety defined by (7).*

*Proof.* As the quotient morphism  $\nu : \mu^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(0)//G$  is flat over the open orbit  $U_N$ , the restriction of the Hilbert-Chow morphism  $\gamma$  to  $\gamma^{-1}(U_N)$  is an isomorphism. We fix  $f_N \in U_N$ , and we denote  $Q := \mathrm{Stab}_H(f_N)$ , and  $[Z_N]$  the unique point of  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $\gamma([Z_N]) = f_N$ . As  $\gamma$  is  $H$ -equivariant,  $[Z_N]$  is  $Q$ -stable. In addition,  $\rho$  is also  $H$ -equivariant, hence  $\rho([Z_N])$  is a fixed point for the action of  $Q$ . But one may check that  $\mathrm{Gr}(N, V') \times \mathrm{Gr}(m - N, V')$  has a unique fixed point for  $Q$ , which is contained in  $A_0$ . Then, as  $A_0$  is  $H$ -stable, we have  $\rho([Z]) \in A_0$ , for every  $[Z] \in \gamma^{-1}(U_N)$ . Hence,  $\rho^{-1}(A_0)$  is a closed subscheme of  $\mathrm{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(W)$  containing  $\gamma^{-1}(U_N)$ , and the result follows.  $\square$

The restriction  $\rho|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{main}}} : \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{main}} \rightarrow A_0$  is  $H$ -equivariant, hence  $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{main}}$  is the total space of a  $H$ -homogeneous fiber bundle over  $A_0$ . Let  $F$  be the scheme-theoretic fiber of  $\rho|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{main}}}$  over  $a_0$ . The action of  $P$  on  $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{main}}$ , induced by the action of  $H$ , stabilizes  $F$ , and there is a  $H$ -equivariant isomorphism

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{main}} \cong H \times^P F.$$

Hence, to prove Proposition 3.8, we have to determine  $F$  as a  $P$ -scheme. We start by considering  $F'$ , the scheme-theoretic fiber of the restriction  $\rho|_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(N, V') \times \mathrm{Gr}(m - N, V')$  over  $a_0$ , as a  $P$ -scheme. The proof of the next lemma is analogous to the proof of [Tera, Lemma 3.7].

**Lemma 3.10.** *With the above notation, there is a  $P$ -equivariant isomorphism*

$$F' \cong \mathcal{H}',$$

where  $P$  acts on  $\mathcal{H}'$  via its action on  $W'$ .

As  $\mathcal{H}^{\text{main}}$  is an irreducible variety of dimension  $2N(m-N)$ , we deduce from (8) that  $F$  is an irreducible variety of dimension  $N^2$ . By Lemma 3.10, the fiber  $F$  is isomorphic to a subvariety of  $\mathcal{H}'^{\text{main}}$ , but  $\dim(\mathcal{H}'^{\text{main}}) = N^2$ , and thus there is a  $P$ -equivariant isomorphism

$$(9) \quad F \cong \mathcal{H}'^{\text{main}},$$

and Proposition 3.8 follows.

*Remark 3.11.* The scheme  $\mathcal{H}'$  is  $P$ -stable and identifies with a closed subscheme of  $\mathcal{H}$ , hence there is an inclusion of  $H$ -schemes  $H \times^P \mathcal{H}' \subset \mathcal{H}$ .

**3.3. Proofs of Theorems A and B for  $GL(V)$ .** Our strategy to prove Theorems A and B is the following: first we perform a reduction step (Proposition 3.8), then we use [Tera, §1, Theorem] to identify  $\text{Hilb}_{h_{W'}}^G(W')^{\text{main}}$ , and finally we compare the Hilbert-Chow morphism  $\gamma: \text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(\mu^{-1}(0))^{\text{main}} \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(0)//G$  with the Springer desingularizations of  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$ . Let us start by recalling

**Theorem 3.12.** ([Tera, §1, Theorem]) *Let  $G = GL(V)$ , let  $W = \text{Hom}(V', V) \times \text{Hom}(V, V')$ , and let  $h_W$  be the Hilbert function of the general fibers of the quotient morphism  $W \rightarrow W//G$ . We denote  $n := \dim(V)$ ,  $m := \dim(V')$ , and by  $Y_0$  the blow-up of  $W//G = \text{End}(V')^{\leq n} := \{f \in \text{End}(V') \mid \text{rk}(f) \leq n\}$  at 0. In the following cases, the invariant Hilbert scheme  $\mathcal{H}' := \text{Hilb}_{h_W}^G(W)$  is a smooth variety and the Hilbert-Chow morphism is the succession of blow-up described as follows:*

- if  $n \geq 2m - 1$ , then  $\mathcal{H}' \cong W//G = \text{End}(V')$ ;
- if  $m > n = 1$  or  $m = n = 2$ , then  $\mathcal{H}' \cong Y_0$ ;
- if  $m > n = 2$ , then  $\mathcal{H}'$  is isomorphic to the blow-up of  $Y_0$  along the strict transform of  $\text{End}(V')^{\leq 1}$ .

Let us now consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{F}_{N, m-N} & \\ p_1 \swarrow & & \searrow p_2 \\ \text{Gr}(N, V') & & \text{Gr}(m-N, V') \end{array}$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_{N, m-N}$  is defined by (7),  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  being the natural projections. We denote by  $\underline{V}'$  the constant vector bundle over  $\mathcal{F}_{N, m-N}$  with fiber  $V'$ , and by  $T_1$  resp. by  $T_2$ , the pull-back of the tautological bundle over  $\text{Gr}(N, V')$  by  $p_1$ , resp. over  $\text{Gr}(m-N, V')$  by  $p_2$ . In particular, if  $N = \frac{m}{2}$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_{N, m-N} = \text{Gr}(N, V')$  and  $T := T_1 = T_2$  is the tautological bundle over  $\text{Gr}(N, V')$ .

We deduce from Proposition 3.8 and Theorem 3.12 the following  $H$ -equivariant isomorphisms

$$(10) \quad \mathcal{H}^{\text{main}} \cong \begin{cases} \text{Hom}(\underline{V}'/T, T) & \text{if } n \geq m-1 \text{ and } m \text{ is even;} \\ \text{Hom}(\underline{V}'/T_2, T_1) & \text{if } n = 1 \text{ and } m \geq 3; \\ \text{Bl}_0(\text{Hom}(\underline{V}'/T_2, T_1)) & \text{if } n = 2 \text{ and } m \geq 4; \end{cases}$$

where  $\text{Bl}_0(\cdot)$  denotes the blow-up along the zero section. In all these cases,  $\mathcal{H}^{\text{main}}$  is smooth, and thus the Hilbert-Chow morphism  $\gamma: \mathcal{H}^{\text{main}} \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(0)//G$  is a desingularization.

On the other hand, we saw in Section 2 that the Springer desingularizations of  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$  are the cotangent bundles  $\mathcal{T}_1^* := \mathcal{T}^*\text{Gr}(N, V')$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2^* := \mathcal{T}^*\text{Gr}(m-N, V') \cong \mathcal{T}^*\text{Gr}(N, V'^*)$ . We then distinguish between two cases:

- (1) If  $N < \frac{m}{2}$ , then let us prove by contradiction that  $\gamma : \mathcal{H}^{\text{main}} \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(0)//G$  cannot be a Springer desingularization. First, we consider the isomorphism of  $G \times H$ -modules  $W \cong W^*$ . Denoting  $\mathcal{H}^* := \text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(\mu^{*-1}(0))$ , where  $\mu^*$  is the moment map for the natural action of  $G$  on  $W^*$ , there is an isomorphism of  $H$ -varieties  $\mathcal{H}^{\text{main}} \cong \mathcal{H}^{*\text{main}}$ . Now if we suppose that (say)  $\mathcal{H}^{\text{main}} \cong \mathcal{T}_1^*$ , then we get that  $\mathcal{H}^{*\text{main}} \cong \mathcal{T}_2^*$ , and thus  $\mathcal{T}_1^* \cong \mathcal{T}_2^*$  as a  $H$ -variety, which is absurd. However, one easily checks that if  $n \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $m \geq 2n + 1$ , then  $\gamma : \mathcal{H}^{\text{main}} \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(0)//G$  dominates the two Springer desingularizations  $\mathcal{T}_1^*$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2^*$  (see [Terb, §A.2.2] for details).
- (2) If  $N = \frac{m}{2}$ , then  $\mathcal{T}^* := \mathcal{T}_1^* = \mathcal{T}_2^*$  is the unique Springer desingularization of  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$ . Let us show that  $\gamma : \mathcal{H}^{\text{main}} \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(0)//G$  is the Springer desingularization if and only if  $n \geq m - 1$ . The implication " $\Leftarrow$ " is given by (10) since  $\mathcal{T}^* \cong \text{Hom}(V'/T, T)$ . The other implication is given by:

**Lemma 3.13.** *If  $N = \frac{m}{2}$  and the Hilbert-Chow morphism  $\gamma : \mathcal{H}^{\text{main}} \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(0)//G$  is the Springer desingularization, then  $n \geq m - 1$ .*

*Proof.* We suppose that  $\gamma : \mathcal{H}^{\text{main}} \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(0)//G$  is the Springer desingularization, that is,  $\mathcal{H}^{\text{main}} \cong \mathcal{T}^*$  as a  $H$ -variety. We fix  $L \in \text{Gr}(N, V')$ , and we define  $P \subset H$ ,  $W'$ , and  $\mathcal{H}'^{\text{main}}$  as in Section 3.2. We have  $\mathcal{T}^* \cong H \times^P \text{Hom}(V'/L, L)$ , and it follows from (8) and (9) that  $\mathcal{H}^{\text{main}} \cong H \times^P \mathcal{H}'^{\text{main}}$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{H}'^{\text{main}} \cong \text{Hom}(V'/L, L)$  as a  $P$ -variety. We denote by  $\gamma' : \mathcal{H}'^{\text{main}} \rightarrow W'//G$  the restriction of the Hilbert-Chow morphism. As  $\gamma'$  is projective and birational, and  $W'//G = \text{Hom}(V'/L, L)$  is smooth, Zariski's Main Theorem implies that  $\gamma'$  is an isomorphism. It follows that the quotient morphism  $\nu' : W' \rightarrow W'//G$  is flat, and thus  $n \geq 2N - 1$  by [Tera, Corollary 4.12].  $\square$

In addition, if  $m = 4$  and  $n = 2$ , then by (10) we have  $\mathcal{H}^{\text{main}} \cong \text{Bl}_0(\mathcal{T}^*)$ , and thus  $\gamma$  dominates the unique Springer desingularization of  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$ .

**3.4. Reducibility of the invariant Hilbert scheme.** The aim of this section is to prove Proposition C from the introduction, for  $G = GL(V)$ . We suppose that  $m \geq 2n$ , then  $N = n$ . We fix

$$(11) \quad a_n = (L'_1, L'_2) \in A_n$$

a point of the open  $H$ -orbit of  $\text{Gr}(n, V') \times \text{Gr}(m - n, V')$ , and we consider

$$\begin{aligned} W'' &:= \{(u_1, u_2) \in W \mid L'_2 \subset \text{Ker}(u_1) \text{ and } \text{Im}(u_2) \subset L'_1\} \\ &\cong \text{Hom}(V'/L'_2, V) \times \text{Hom}(V, L'_1), \end{aligned}$$

which is a  $G$ -submodule of  $W$ . As  $V' = L'_1 \oplus L'_2$ , there is a natural identification  $W'' \cong \text{Hom}(L'_1, V) \times \text{Hom}(V, L'_1)$  as a  $G$ -module. Hence, the  $G$ -module  $W''$  is symplectic and we denote by  $\mu'' : W'' \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$  the corresponding  $G$ -equivariant moment map (see the beginning of Section 3.1 for details). The proof of the next lemma is analogous to the proof of [Tera, Lemma 3.7].

**Lemma 3.14.** *We suppose that  $m \geq 2n$ , and let  $\rho : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \text{Gr}(n, V') \times \text{Gr}(m - n, V')$  be the morphism of Proposition 3.7. The scheme-theoretic fiber  $F''$  of  $\rho$  over the point  $a_n$ , defined by (11), is isomorphic to the invariant Hilbert scheme  $\text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(\mu''^{-1}(0))$ ,*

where  $h_0$  is the Hilbert function defined by  $h_0(M) = \dim(M)$ , for every  $M \in \text{Irr}(G)$ , and  $\mu'' : W'' \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$  is the moment map defined above.

*Remark 3.15.* The Hilbert function  $h_0$  of Lemma 3.14 does not generally coincide with the Hilbert function of the general fibers of the quotient morphism  $\mu''^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \mu''^{-1}(0)//G$ .

By Lemma 3.9, the morphism  $\rho : \text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(W) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(n, V') \times \text{Gr}(m-n, V')$  of Proposition 3.7 sends  $\mathcal{H}^{\text{main}}$  onto  $A_0$ . Hence, to prove Proposition C for  $G = GL(V)$ , it is enough, by Lemma 3.14, to prove that  $\text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(\mu''^{-1}(0))$  is non-empty. We denote  $V'' := L'_1$ , and we equip  $W'' \cong \text{Hom}(V'', V) \times \text{Hom}(V, V'')$  with the natural action of  $H' := GL(V'')$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}[W'']_2 \cong & (S^2(V'') \otimes S^2(V^*)) \oplus (S^2(V''^*) \otimes S^2(V)) \\ & \oplus (\Lambda^2(V'') \otimes \Lambda^2(V^*)) \oplus (\Lambda^2(V''^*) \otimes \Lambda^2(V)) \\ & \oplus ((sl(V'') \oplus M_0) \otimes (sl(V) \oplus V_0)) \text{ as a } G \times H' \text{-module,} \end{aligned}$$

where  $V_0$  is the trivial  $G$ -module resp.  $M_0$ , is the trivial  $H'$ -module, and  $sl(V'') := \{f \in \text{End}(V'') \mid \text{tr}(f) = 0\}$ .

We denote by  $I_0$  the ideal of  $\mathbb{C}[W'']$  generated by  $(sl(V'') \otimes V_0) \oplus (M_0 \otimes V_0) \oplus (M_0 \otimes sl(V)) \subset \mathbb{C}[W'']_2$ . The ideal  $I_0$  is homogeneous,  $G \times H'$ -stable, and contains the ideal generated by the homogeneous  $H'$ -invariants of positive degree of  $\mathbb{C}[W'']$ . In particular,  $I_0$  identifies with an ideal of  $\mathbb{C}[\mu''^{-1}(0)]$ .

**Proposition 3.16.** *Let  $I_0 \subset \mathbb{C}[W'']$  be the ideal defined above, then  $I_0$  is a point of the invariant Hilbert scheme  $\text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(\mu''^{-1}(0))$  defined in Lemma 3.14.*

*Proof.* We have to check that the ideal  $I_0$  has the Hilbert function  $h_0$ , that is,

$$\mathbb{C}[W'']/I_0 \cong \bigoplus_{M \in \text{Irr}(G)} M^{\oplus \dim(M)}$$

as a  $G$ -module. To do that, we are going to adapt the method used by Kraft and Schwarz to prove [KS, Theorem 9.1]. The result [loc. cit.] was used in [Terb, §2.1.3 and §3.3.2].

We denote  $R := V''^* \otimes V$ , which is an irreducible  $G \times H'$ -submodule of  $W''^* \cong R \oplus R^*$ . Then  $R$  and  $R^*$  are orthogonal modulo  $I_0$ , which means that the image of the  $G \times H'$ -submodule  $R \otimes R^* \subset \mathbb{C}[W'']_2$  in  $\mathbb{C}[W'']/I_0$  is isomorphic to the highest weight component of  $R \otimes R^*$  (that is,  $sl(V'') \otimes sl(V)$ ). Then, by [Bri85, Lemme 4.1], any irreducible  $G \times H'$ -submodule of  $\mathbb{C}[R]$  is orthogonal to any irreducible  $G \times H'$ -submodule of  $\mathbb{C}[R^*]$ , and thus the natural morphism

$$\phi : \mathbb{C}[R]^{U \times U'} \otimes \mathbb{C}[R^*]^{U \times U'} \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}[W'']/I_0)^{U \times U'}$$

is surjective, where  $U$  resp.  $U'$ , denotes the unipotent radical of a Borel subgroup  $B \subset G$  resp.  $B' \subset H'$ . Furthermore, if  $T \subset B$  resp. if  $T' \subset B'$ , is a maximal torus, then  $\phi$  is  $T \times T'$ -equivariant.

Now by [Pro07, §13.5.1] we have the following isomorphisms of  $T \times T'$ -algebras  $\mathbb{C}[R]^{U \times U'} \cong \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , where  $x_i \in \Lambda^i V'' \otimes \Lambda^i V^*$  is a highest weight vector, and  $\mathbb{C}[R^*]^{U \times U'} \cong \mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ , where  $y_j \in \Lambda^j V''^* \otimes \Lambda^j V$  is a highest weight vector. Hence, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n] \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}[W'']/I_0)^{U \times U'} \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $K_0$  is the kernel of  $\phi$ . One may check that the ideal  $K_0$  is generated by the products  $x_r y_s$  with  $r + s > n$  (see [KS, §9, Proof of Theorem 9.1(1)]).

We denote  $\Lambda = \langle \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n \rangle$  the weight lattice of the linear group  $GL_n$  with its natural basis, and  $\Lambda_+ \subset \Lambda$  the subset of dominant weights, that is, weights of the form  $r_1 \epsilon_1 + \dots + r_n \epsilon_n$ , with  $r_1 \geq \dots \geq r_n$ . If  $\lambda \in \Lambda_+$ , then we denote by  $S^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n)$  the irreducible  $GL_n$ -module of highest weight  $\lambda$ . We fix  $\lambda = k_1 \epsilon_1 + \dots + k_t \epsilon_t - k_{t+1} \epsilon_{t+1} - \dots - k_n \epsilon_n \in \Lambda_+$ , where each  $k_i$  is a nonnegative integer. One easily checks that the weight of the monomial

$$x_{n-t}^{k_{t+1}} x_{n-t-1}^{k_{t+2}-k_{t+1}} x_{n-t-2}^{k_{t+3}-k_{t+2}} \dots x_1^{k_n-k_{n-1}} y_t^{k_t} y_{t-1}^{k_{t-1}-k_t} y_{t-2}^{k_{t-2}-k_{t-1}} \dots y_1^{k_1-k_2}$$

for the action of  $T \times T'$  is  $(\lambda, \lambda^*)$ , where  $\lambda^*$  denotes the highest weight of the  $GL_n$ -module  $S^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^{n^*})$ , and that  $\lambda$  uniquely determines this monomial. We get that the isotypic component of the  $G$ -module  $S^\lambda(V)$  in  $\mathbb{C}[W'']/I_0$  is the  $G \times H'$ -module  $S^\lambda(V''^*) \otimes S^\lambda(V)$ . As  $\dim(V) = \dim(V'') = n$ , we have  $\dim(S^\lambda(V)) = \dim(S^\lambda(V''^*))$ , for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda_+$ . In other words, each irreducible  $G$ -module  $M$  occurs in  $\mathbb{C}[W'']/I_0$  with multiplicity  $\dim(M)$ .  $\square$

By Proposition 3.16, the scheme  $\text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(\mu'^{-1}(0))$  is non-empty, and thus  $\mathcal{H}$  has an irreducible component, different from  $\mathcal{H}^{\text{main}}$ , of dimension greater or equal to  $\dim(A_n) = 2n(m-n)$ , which implies Proposition C for  $G = GL(V)$ .

**3.5. Study of the case  $n = 1$ .** We saw in Section 3.3 that  $\mathcal{H}^{\text{main}}$  is a smooth variety, and in Section 3.4 that  $\mathcal{H}$  is always reducible. In this section, we determine the irreducible components of  $\mathcal{H}$  when  $n = 1$ .

We suppose that  $m \geq 2$  (the case  $m = 1$  being trivial). Then  $G = \mathbb{G}_m$  is the multiplicative group,  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G = \overline{\mathcal{O}_{[2,1^{m-2}]}} \subset \mathfrak{h}$  by Proposition 3.3, and the morphism of Proposition 3.7 is  $\rho: \text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(W) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V') \times \mathbb{P}(V'^*)$ . The Segre embedding gives a  $H$ -equivariant isomorphism  $\mathbb{P}(V') \times \mathbb{P}(V'^*) \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}^{\leq 1})$ , where  $\mathfrak{h}^{\leq 1} := \{f \in \mathfrak{h} \mid \text{rk}(f) \leq 1\}$ , and thus we can consider  $\rho': \text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(W) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}^{\leq 1})$ , the morphism induced by  $\rho$ .

**Proposition 3.17.** *We equip the invariant Hilbert scheme  $\mathcal{H}$  with its reduced structure. If  $m > n = 1$ , then there is a  $H$ -equivariant isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{H} \cong \{(f, L) \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_{[2,1^{m-2}]}} \times \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}^{\leq 1}) \mid f \in L\}.$$

*In particular,  $\mathcal{H}$  is the union of two smooth irreducible components of dimension  $2m - 2$  defined by:*

- $C_1 := \{(f, L) \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_{[2,1^{m-2}]}} \times \mathbb{P}(\overline{\mathcal{O}_{[2,1^{m-2}]}}) \mid f \in L\} = \mathcal{H}^{\text{main}}$ , and the Hilbert-Chow morphism  $\gamma: \mathcal{H}^{\text{main}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{O}_{[2,1^{m-2}]}}$  is the blow-up of  $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{[2,1^{m-2}]}}$  at 0;
- $C_2 := \{(0, L) \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_{[2,1^{m-2}]}} \times \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}^{\leq 1})\} \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}^{\leq 1})$ , and the Hilbert-Chow morphism is the zero map.

*Proof.* By [Tera, §1, Theorem], there is a  $H$ -equivariant isomorphism

$$\gamma \times \rho': \text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(W) \rightarrow \{(f, L) \in \mathfrak{h}^{\leq 1} \times \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}^{\leq 1}) \mid f \in L\}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow \text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(W)$ , there is a  $H$ -equivariant closed embedding

$$\gamma \times \rho': \mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y} := \{(f, L) \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_{[2,1^{m-2}]}} \times \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}^{\leq 1}) \mid f \in L\}.$$

One may check that  $\mathcal{Y}$  is the union of the two irreducible components  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , both of dimension  $2m - 2$ . The morphism  $\gamma \times \rho'$  sends  $\mathcal{H}^{\text{main}}$  into  $C_1$ ; the varieties  $\mathcal{H}^{\text{main}}$  and  $C_1$  have the same dimension, hence  $\gamma \times \rho': \mathcal{H}^{\text{main}} \rightarrow C_1$  is an

isomorphism. On the other hand, we saw in Section 3.4 that  $\mathcal{H}$  admits another irreducible component, denoted by  $\mathcal{H}_2$ , of dimension at least  $2m - 2$ , which is the dimension of  $C_2$ , and thus  $\gamma \times \rho'$  is an isomorphism between  $\mathcal{H}_2$  and  $C_2$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.18.* One may check that the component  $C_2$  of Proposition 3.17 consists of the homogeneous ideals of  $\mathbb{C}[\mu^{-1}(0)]$ .

When  $m \geq 2n \geq 4$ , irreducible components of dimension greater than  $\dim(\mathcal{H}^{\text{main}})$  may appear. For instance, if  $n = 2$  and  $m \geq 4$ , then one may check that the irreducible component consisting of the homogeneous ideals of  $\mathbb{C}[\mu^{-1}(0)]$  is of dimension  $4m - 5$ , whereas the main component  $\mathcal{H}^{\text{main}}$  is of dimension  $4m - 8$ . In addition, we showed in Section 3.4 that  $\mathcal{H}$  has at least two components, but  $\mathcal{H}$  may have more components.

#### 4. CASE OF $Sp_n$

Let  $V$  and  $V'$  be two vector spaces of dimension  $n$  (which is even) and  $m$  respectively, and let  $W := \text{Hom}(V', V) \times \text{Hom}(V, V')$ . We denote  $E := V' \oplus V'^*$  on which we fix a non-degenerate quadratic form  $q$ , and we take  $G = Sp(V)$  and  $H = SO(E)$ . As  $G$  resp.  $H$ , preserves a non-degenerate bilinear form on  $V$  resp. on  $E$ , we can identify  $V \cong V^*$  as a  $G$ -module resp.  $E \cong E^*$  as a  $H$ -module. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} W &\cong \text{Hom}(V', V) \times \text{Hom}(V'^*, V^*) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}(V', V) \times \text{Hom}(V'^*, V) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}(E, V) \end{aligned}$$

as a  $G$ -module, and thus  $H$  acts naturally on  $W$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{g}$  resp. by  $\mathfrak{h}$ , the Lie algebra of  $G$  resp. of  $H$ .

**4.1. The quotient morphism.** The main results of this section are Proposition 4.3, which describes the irreducible components of the symplectic reduction  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$ , and Corollary 4.6, which gives the Hilbert function of the general fibers of the quotient morphism  $\nu : \mu^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(0)//G$  for each irreducible component of  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$ . Contrary to the case of  $GL(V)$  studied in Section 3, we will see that  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$  is reducible when  $m \leq n$  and  $m$  is even.

We have seen that  $W$  is equipped with a  $G$ -invariant symplectic form (see the beginning of Section 3.1 for details). If  $w \in \text{Hom}(E, V)$ , we denote the transpose of  $w$  by  ${}^t w \in \text{Hom}(V^*, E^*) \cong \text{Hom}(V, E)$ . Then, by [Bec09, Proposition 3.1], the zero fiber of the moment map  $\mu : W \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$  is the  $G \times H$ -stable subvariety defined by:

$$\mu^{-1}(0) = \{w \in W \mid w \circ {}^t w = 0\}.$$

*Remark 4.1.* One may check that the biggest subgroup of  $GL(E)$  that stabilizes  $\mu^{-1}(0)$  in  $W$  is the orthogonal group  $O(E)$ . However, we prefer to consider the action of  $H = SO(E)$  for practical reasons.

The proof of the next proposition is analogous to those of Proposition 3.1 and Corollary 3.2.

**Proposition 4.2.** *The zero fiber of the moment map  $\mu : W \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$  is*

- *an irreducible subvariety of dimension  $2mn - \frac{1}{2}n(n+1)$  if  $m > n$ ;*
- *the union of two irreducible components of dimension  $mn + \frac{1}{2}m(m-1)$  if  $m \leq n$ .*

If  $\mathbf{d}$  is a partition of  $2m$ , then we denote by  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$  resp. by  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^I$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^{II}$ , the corresponding nilpotent orbit(s) of  $\mathfrak{h} \cong \mathfrak{so}_{2m}$  associated to  $\mathbf{d}$  (see Section 2.2). The following result was proved by Becker:

**Proposition 4.3.** ([Bec09, Proposition 3.6]) *The symplectic reduction of  $W$  by  $G$  is*

$$\mu^{-1}(0)//G = \begin{cases} \overline{\mathcal{O}_{[2^n, 1^{2(m-n)}}]} & \text{if } m > n; \\ \overline{\mathcal{O}_{[2^{m-1}, 1^2]}} & \text{if } m < n \text{ and } m \text{ is odd}; \\ \overline{\mathcal{O}_{[2^m]}^I \cup \mathcal{O}_{[2^m]}^{II}} & \text{if } m \leq n \text{ and } m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

**Corollary 4.4.** *The orbits for the adjoint action of  $H$  on  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$  are*

- $U_i := \mathcal{O}_{[2^i, 1^{2(m-i)}]}$ , for  $i = 0, 2, \dots, n$ , if  $m > n$ ;
- $U_i := \mathcal{O}_{[2^i, 1^{2(m-i)}]}$ , for  $i = 0, 2, \dots, m-1$ , if  $m < n$  and  $m$  is odd;
- $U_i := \mathcal{O}_{[2^i, 1^{2(m-i)}]}$ , for  $i = 0, 2, \dots, m-2$ , and  $U_m^I := \mathcal{O}_{[2^m]}^I$ ,  $U_m^{II} := \mathcal{O}_{[2^m]}^{II}$ , if  $m \leq n$  and  $m$  is even.

The closures of the nilpotent orbits  $U_i$  are nested in the following way:

$$\begin{cases} \{0\} = \overline{U_0} \subset \overline{U_2} \subset \dots \subset \overline{U_n} & \text{if } m > n; \\ \{0\} = \overline{U_0} \subset \overline{U_2} \subset \dots \subset \overline{U_{m-1}} & \text{if } m < n \text{ and } m \text{ is odd}; \\ \{0\} = \overline{U_0} \subset \overline{U_2} \subset \dots \subset \overline{U_{m-2}} = \overline{U_m^I} \cap \overline{U_m^{II}} & \text{if } m \leq n \text{ and } m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

If  $m > n$  or  $m$  is odd resp. if  $m \leq n$  and  $m$  is even, then the symplectic reduction  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$  is the closure of a nilpotent orbit resp. the union of two closures of nilpotent orbits, and thus the irreducible components of  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$  are symplectic varieties (see Section 2). If  $m > n$ , then  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$  is of dimension  $2mn - n(n+1)$ , and its singular locus is  $\overline{U_{n-2}}$ . On the other hand, if  $m \leq n$ , then each irreducible component of  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$  is of dimension  $m(m-1)$ , and the singular locus of  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$  is  $\overline{U_{m-2}}$  resp.  $\overline{U_{m-3}}$ , when  $m$  is even resp. when  $m$  is odd. The dimension of the irreducible components of  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$  is given by [CM93, Corollary 6.1.4], and the singular locus of  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$  is given by [KP82, Theorem 2].

We are now interested in the Hilbert function of the general fibers of the quotient morphism for each irreducible component of  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$ . We will distinguish between the following cases:

- If  $m > n$ , then  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$  is irreducible, and we denote by  $h_0$  the Hilbert function of the general fibers of the quotient morphism  $\nu : \mu^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(0)//G$ . By Proposition 4.2, the dimension of these fibers is  $\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)$ .
- If  $m \leq n$  and  $m$  is even, then by Proposition 4.2, the zero fiber  $\mu^{-1}(0)$  is the union of two irreducible components that we denote by  $X_I$  and by  $X_{II}$ . Let  $\nu_I : X_I \rightarrow Y_I$  and  $\nu_{II} : X_{II} \rightarrow Y_{II}$  be the quotient morphisms. Up to the exchange of  $X_I$  and  $X_{II}$ , we can suppose that  $Y_I = \overline{U_m^I}$  and  $Y_{II} = \overline{U_m^{II}}$ . The orthogonal group  $O(E)$  acts transitively on  $U_m^I \cup U_m^{II}$ , hence the general fibers of  $\nu_I$  and  $\nu_{II}$  are isomorphic. In particular, these fibers have the same Hilbert function, denoted by  $h_0$ , and the same dimension, which is  $mn - \frac{1}{2}m(m-1)$ .
- If  $m < n$  and  $m$  is odd, then  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$  is irreducible, and we denote by  $h_0$  the Hilbert function of the general fibers of the quotient morphism  $\nu : \mu^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(0)//G$ . These fibers being reducible, determining  $h_0$  is more complicated than in the previous cases (except the case  $m = 1$  which

is trivial). From now on, we will always exclude the case where  $m < n$  and  $m$  is odd.

If  $m < n$  and  $m$  is even, then we denote

$$(12) \quad G' := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} M & 0_{n-m,m} \\ 0_{m,n-m} & I_m \end{bmatrix}, M \in Sp_{n-m} \right\} \cong Sp_{n-m},$$

which is a reductive algebraic subgroup of  $G \cong Sp_n$ . The proof of the next proposition is analogous to the proof of Proposition 3.5:

**Proposition 4.5.** *If  $m > n$ , then the general fibers of the quotient morphism  $\nu : \mu^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(0)//G$  are isomorphic to  $G$ .*

*If  $m = n$ , then the general fibers of the quotient morphisms  $\nu_I : X_I \rightarrow Y_I$  and  $\nu_{II} : X_{II} \rightarrow Y_{II}$  are isomorphic to  $G$ .*

*If  $m < n$  and  $m$  is even, then the general fibers of  $\nu_I$  and  $\nu_{II}$  are isomorphic to  $G/G'$ , where  $G' \subset G$  is the subgroup defined by (12).*

**Corollary 4.6.** *The Hilbert function  $h_0$  defined above is given by:*

$$\forall M \in \text{Irr}(G), h_0(M) = \begin{cases} \dim(M) & \text{if } m \geq n; \\ \dim(M^{G'}) & \text{if } m < n \text{ and } m \text{ is even;} \end{cases}$$

where  $G' \subset G$  is the subgroup defined by (12).

**4.2. The reduction principle for the main component.** In this section, we give the guidelines to prove the reduction principle when  $G = Sp(V)$  (Proposition 4.8). The strategy is the same as for  $GL(V)$  (see Section 3.2), but as the symplectic reduction  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$  is reducible when  $m \leq n$  and  $m$  is even, it seems necessary to give some additional details.

As  $\mu^{-1}(0)$  is a  $G \times H$ -stable subvariety of  $W$ , it follows from [Bri13, Lemma 3.3] that the invariant Hilbert scheme

$$\mathcal{H} := \text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(\mu^{-1}(0))$$

is a  $H$ -stable closed subscheme of  $\text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(W)$ . As we aim at constructing desingularizations of the irreducible components of  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$ , we consider the two  $H$ -stable closed subschemes  $\mathcal{H}_I := \text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(X_I)$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{II} := \text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(X_{II})$  instead of  $\mathcal{H}$  when  $m \leq n$  and  $m$  is even. Let us note that if we fix  $y_0 \in O(E) \setminus SO(E)$  and make  $H$  act on  $X_{II}$  by  $(y_0 y y_0^{-1}) \cdot x$  for every  $y \in H$  and every  $x \in X_{II}$ , then  $\phi : X_I \rightarrow X_{II}$ ,  $x \mapsto y_0 \cdot x$  is a  $G \times H$ -equivariant isomorphism, and thus  $\mathcal{H}_I \cong \mathcal{H}_{II}$  as a  $H$ -scheme. We denote by  $\mathcal{H}_I^{\text{main}}$  resp. by  $\mathcal{H}_{II}^{\text{main}}$ , the main component of  $\mathcal{H}_I$  resp. of  $\mathcal{H}_{II}$ . We always have the (set-theoretic) inclusion  $\mathcal{H}_I \cup \mathcal{H}_{II} \subset \mathcal{H}$ , but this may not be an equality. If  $m > n$ , then  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$  is irreducible, and we denote by  $\mathcal{H}^{\text{main}}$  the main component of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

The scheme  $\text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(W)$  was studied in [Terb]. In particular, we obtained

**Proposition 4.7.** ([Terb, §1.5.1]) *Let  $h_0$  be the Hilbert function given by Corollary 4.6, and let  $H = SO(E)$  acting naturally on  $\text{Gr}(2m - h_0(V^*), E)$ . Then there exists a  $H$ -equivariant morphism*

$$\rho : \text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(W) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(2m - h_0(V^*), E)$$

given on closed points by  $[Z] \mapsto \text{Ker}(f_Z)$ , where  $f_Z : E \cong \text{Mor}^G(W, V^*) \rightarrow \text{Mor}^G(Z, V^*)$  is the restriction map.

We identify  $\mathrm{Gr}(2m - h_0(V^*), E)$  with  $\mathrm{Gr}(h_0(V^*), E^*)$ . By Corollary 4.6, if either  $m > n$  or  $m \leq n$ ,  $m$  even, then  $h_0(V^*) = N := \min(m, n)$ . The non-degenerate quadratic form  $q$  on  $E$  gives a canonical isomorphism  $E \cong E^*$ . In particular,  $q$  identifies with a non-degenerate quadratic form on  $E^*$ . For  $i = 0, \dots, N$ , we denote

$$A_i := \{L \in \mathrm{Gr}(N, E^*) \mid q|_L \text{ is of rank } i\}.$$

If  $m > n$ , then the  $A_i$  are the  $n + 1$  orbits for the action of  $H$  on  $\mathrm{Gr}(n, E^*)$ .

However, if  $m \leq n$ , then the  $A_i$  are  $H$ -orbits for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , but the isotropic Grassmannian  $A_0 = \mathrm{OGr}(m, E^*)$  is the union of two  $H$ -orbits, denoted by  $\mathrm{OGr}^I$  and by  $\mathrm{OGr}^{II}$ , which are exchanged by the action of any element of  $O(E) \setminus SO(E)$ . In any case, we have

$$\mathrm{OGr}(N, E^*) = \overline{A_0} \subset \overline{A_1} \subset \dots \subset \overline{A_N} = \mathrm{Gr}(N, E^*).$$

Let us now fix some notation:

- $L_0 \in A_0$ , and  $P$  the parabolic subgroup of  $H$  stabilizing  $L_0$ ;
- $W' := \mathrm{Hom}(E/L_0^\perp, V)$ , which identifies with a  $G \times P$ -module contained in  $\mu^{-1}(0)$ ; and
- $\mathcal{H}' := \mathrm{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(W')$ , and  $\mathcal{H}'^{\mathrm{main}}$  its main component.

It must be emphasized that, if either  $m > n$  or  $m \leq n$ ,  $m$  even, then the Hilbert function of the general fibers of the quotient morphism  $W' \rightarrow W'//G$  coincides with the Hilbert function  $h_0$  of Corollary 4.6 (in particular,  $\mathcal{H}'^{\mathrm{main}}$  is well defined).

Proceeding as for Lemma 3.9, one may check that, if  $m > n$  resp. if  $m \leq n$  with  $m$  even, then the morphism  $\rho$  of Proposition 4.7 sends  $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{main}}$  resp.  $\mathcal{H}_I^{\mathrm{main}}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{II}^{\mathrm{main}}$ , onto  $A_0$ . More precisely, if  $m \leq n$  and  $m$  is even, then  $\rho$  sends  $\mathcal{H}_I^{\mathrm{main}}$  onto one of the irreducible component of  $A_0$ , and  $\mathcal{H}_{II}^{\mathrm{main}}$  onto the other component. Up to the exchange of these two components, we can suppose that  $\rho$  sends  $\mathcal{H}_I^{\mathrm{main}}$  onto  $\mathrm{OGr}^I$ , and  $\mathcal{H}_{II}^{\mathrm{main}}$  onto  $\mathrm{OGr}^{II}$ .

It follows that the restriction of  $\rho$  equips  $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{main}}$  resp.  $\mathcal{H}_I^{\mathrm{main}}$ , resp.  $\mathcal{H}_{II}^{\mathrm{main}}$ , with a structure of a  $H$ -homogeneous fiber bundle over  $A_0$  resp. over  $\mathrm{OGr}^I$ , resp. over  $\mathrm{OGr}^{II}$ . Hence, it is enough to determine the fiber  $F_0$  over  $L_0$  to determine  $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{main}}$  resp.  $\mathcal{H}_I^{\mathrm{main}}$ , resp.  $\mathcal{H}_{II}^{\mathrm{main}}$ . Proceeding as in Section 3.2, we obtain that  $F_0$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{H}'^{\mathrm{main}}$  as a  $P$ -scheme. We deduce

**Proposition 4.8.** *With the above notation, we have the following  $H$ -equivariant isomorphisms:*

- If  $m > n$ , then

$$\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{main}} \cong H \times^P \mathcal{H}'^{\mathrm{main}}.$$

- If  $m \leq n$ ,  $m$  even, and  $L_0 \in \mathrm{OGr}^I$  resp.  $L_0 \in \mathrm{OGr}^{II}$ , then

$$\mathcal{H}_I^{\mathrm{main}} \cong H \times^P \mathcal{H}'^{\mathrm{main}} \quad \text{resp.} \quad \mathcal{H}_{II}^{\mathrm{main}} \cong H \times^P \mathcal{H}'^{\mathrm{main}}.$$

**4.3. Proofs of Theorems A and B for  $Sp(V)$ .** In this section, we proceed as in Section 3.3 to prove Theorems A and B when  $G = Sp(V)$ . Before going any further, let us mention that the case  $n = 2$ ,  $m = 3$  was already handled by Becker in [Bec11]. In this situation,  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$  is a closure of a nilpotent orbit that admits two Springer desingularizations, and Becker showed that  $\gamma: \mathrm{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(\mu^{-1}(0)) \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(0)//G$  is a desingularization that dominates them both. To obtain this result, Becker first used the existence of natural morphisms from the invariant Hilbert scheme to Grassmannians to identify  $\mathrm{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(\mu^{-1}(0))^{\mathrm{main}}$  with

the total space of a homogeneous line bundle over a Grassmannian, and then she showed that  $\text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(\mu^{-1}(0)) = \text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(\mu^{-1}(0))^{\text{main}}$  by computing the tangent space of  $\text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(\mu^{-1}(0))$  at every point of the main component.

Let us now recall the following result:

**Theorem 4.9.** ([Tera, §1, Theorem]) *Let  $G = \text{Sp}(V)$ , let  $W = \text{Hom}(E, V)$ , and let  $h_W$  be the Hilbert function of the general fibers of the quotient morphism  $W \rightarrow W//G$ . We denote  $n := \dim(V)$ ,  $e := \dim(E)$ , and we denote by  $Y_0$  the blow-up of  $W//G = \Lambda^2(E^*)^{\leq n} := \{Q \in \Lambda^2(E^*) \mid \text{rk}(Q) \leq n\}$  at 0. In the following cases, the invariant Hilbert scheme  $\mathcal{H}' := \text{Hilb}_{h_W}^G(W)$  is a smooth variety, and the Hilbert-Chow morphism is the succession of blow-up described as follows:*

- if  $n \geq 2e - 2$ , then  $\mathcal{H}' \cong W//G = \Lambda^2(E^*)$ ;
- if  $e > n = 2$  or  $e = n = 4$ , then  $\mathcal{H}' \cong Y_0$ ;
- if  $e > n = 4$ , then  $\mathcal{H}'$  is isomorphic to the blow-up of  $Y_0$  along the strict transform of  $\Lambda^2(E^*)^{\leq 2}$ .

If  $m > n$ , then we denote by  $T$  the tautological bundle over  $A_0 = \text{OGr}(n, E^*)$ . If  $m \leq n$  and  $m$  is even, then we denote by  $T_I$  resp. by  $T_{II}$ , the tautological bundle over  $\text{OGr}^I$  resp. over  $\text{OGr}^{II}$ . We deduce from Proposition 4.8 and Theorem 4.9 the following  $H$ -equivariant isomorphisms

$$(13) \quad \mathcal{H}^{\text{main}} \cong \begin{cases} \Lambda^2(T) & \text{if } m > n = 2; \\ \text{Bl}_0(\Lambda^2(T)) & \text{if } m > n = 4; \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\bullet}^{\text{main}} \cong \begin{cases} \Lambda^2(T_{\bullet}) & \text{if } n \geq 2m - 2 \text{ and } m \text{ is even;} \\ \text{Bl}_0(\Lambda^2(T_{\bullet})) & \text{if } m = n = 4; \end{cases}$$

where  $\bullet$  stands for  $I$  or  $II$ , and  $\text{Bl}_0(\cdot)$  denotes the blow-up along the zero section. In all these cases, the main component of the invariant Hilbert scheme is smooth, and thus the Hilbert-Chow morphism  $\gamma: \mathcal{H}^{\text{main}} \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(0)//G$  resp.  $\gamma: \mathcal{H}_{\bullet}^{\text{main}} \rightarrow Y_{\bullet}$ , is a desingularization.

It remains to compare  $\gamma$  with the Springer desingularizations (when they exist) of the irreducible components of  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$ . We saw in Section 2 that the irreducible components of  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$  have Springer desingularizations if and only if  $m \leq n + 1$ . We then distinguish between the following cases:

- (1) If  $m \leq n + 1$  and  $m$  is odd, then  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$  admits two Springer desingularizations, which are given by the cotangent bundles  $\mathcal{T}_I^*$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{II}^*$  over  $\text{OGr}^I$  and  $\text{OGr}^{II}$  respectively. The natural action of the orthogonal group  $O(E)$  on  $\text{OGr}(m, E^*)$  induces an action on the cotangent bundle  $\mathcal{T}^* \text{OGr}(m, E^*)$  that exchanges  $\mathcal{T}_I^*$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{II}^*$ . On the other hand, it follows from Remark 4.1, that the group  $O(E)$  stabilizes  $\mathcal{H}^{\text{main}}$ , and thus  $\gamma: \mathcal{H}^{\text{main}} \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(0)//G$  cannot be a Springer desingularization. However, if  $n \in \{2, 4\}$  and  $m = n + 1$ , then one may prove that  $\gamma$  dominates the two Springer desingularizations of  $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$  (see [Bec11, Introduction] for the case  $n = 2$ , the case  $n = 4$  being analogous).
- (2) If  $m \leq n$  and  $m$  is even, then  $Y_{\bullet}$  has a unique Springer desingularization, which is given by the cotangent bundle  $\mathcal{T}_{\bullet}^* \cong \Lambda^2(T_{\bullet})$  over  $\text{OGr}^{\bullet}$ . Proceeding as we did for  $GL(V)$  in Section 3.3, one may prove that  $\gamma: \mathcal{H}_{\bullet}^{\text{main}} \rightarrow Y_{\bullet}$  is the Springer desingularization if and only if  $n \geq 2m - 2$ .

In addition, if  $m = n = 4$ , then by (13) we have  $\mathcal{H}_\bullet^{\text{main}} \cong \text{Bl}_0(\mathcal{T}_\bullet^*)$ , and thus  $\gamma$  dominates the unique Springer desingularization of  $Y_\bullet$ .

**4.4. Study of the case  $n = 2$ .** In this section, we suppose that  $m \geq n = 2$  (the case  $m = 1$  being trivial). We will prove that if  $m \geq 3$  resp. if  $m = 2$ , then  $\mathcal{H}$  resp.  $\mathcal{H}_\bullet$  (where  $\bullet$  stands for  $I$  or  $II$ ), is irreducible. In particular, the geometric properties of the invariant Hilbert scheme for  $G = Sp(V)$  are quite different from the case of  $G = GL(V)$  studied in Section 3. Let us recall that the case  $m = 3, n = 2$  was treated by Becker in [Bec11]; she showed that  $\mathcal{H}$  is the total space of a line bundle over  $\text{OGr}(2, E^*)$ .

We have  $G \cong Sp_2 = SL_2$ , and the morphism of Proposition 4.7 is  $\rho: \text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(W) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(2, E^*)$ . Denoting  $\mathfrak{h}^{\leq 2} := \{f \in \mathfrak{h} \mid \text{rk}(f) \leq 2\}$ , there is a  $H$ -equivariant isomorphism

$$(14) \quad \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}^{\leq 2}) \cong \text{Gr}(2, E^*),$$

and thus we can consider the morphism  $\rho': \text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(W) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}^{\leq 2})$  induced by  $\rho$ . By Proposition 4.3, we have

$$\mu^{-1}(0)//G = \begin{cases} \overline{\mathcal{O}_{[2^2, 1^{2m-4}]}} & \text{if } m \geq 3; \\ \overline{\mathcal{O}_{[2^2]}^I \cup \mathcal{O}_{[2^2]}^{II}} & \text{if } m = 2. \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 4.10.** *We equip all the invariant Hilbert schemes with their reduced structures. If  $m > n = 2$ , then  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^{\text{main}}$  is a smooth variety isomorphic to*

$$\text{Bl}_0(\overline{\mathcal{O}_{[2^2, 1^{2m-4}]}}) := \{(f, L) \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_{[2^2, 1^{2m-4}]}} \times \mathbb{P}(\overline{\mathcal{O}_{[2^2, 1^{2m-4}]}}) \mid f \in L\},$$

and the Hilbert-Chow morphism  $\gamma: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(0)//G$  is the blow-up of  $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{[2^2, 1^{2m-4}]}}$  at 0. If  $m = n = 2$ , then  $\text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(\mu^{-1}(0)) = \mathcal{H}_I \cup \mathcal{H}_{II}$  is the union of two smooth irreducible components isomorphic to  $\text{Bl}_0(\overline{\mathcal{O}_{[2^2]}^I})$  and  $\text{Bl}_0(\overline{\mathcal{O}_{[2^2]}^{II}})$  respectively, and the set-theoretic intersection  $\mathcal{H}_I \cap \mathcal{H}_{II}$  is formed by the homogeneous ideals of  $\mathbb{C}[\mu^{-1}(0)]$ . Moreover, the Hilbert-Chow morphism  $\gamma: \mathcal{H}_I \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{O}_{[2^2]}^I}$  resp.  $\gamma: \mathcal{H}_{II} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{O}_{[2^2]}^{II}}$ , is the blow-up of  $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{[2^2]}^I}$  resp. of  $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{[2^2]}^{II}}$ , at 0.

*Proof.* The proofs for the cases  $m = 2$  and  $m \geq 3$  are quite similar, and thus we will only consider the case  $m \geq 3$  (which is simpler in terms of notation!). Using arguments similar to those used to prove Proposition 3.17, we obtain a closed embedding

$$\gamma \times \rho': \mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y} := \{(f, L) \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_{[2^2, 1^{2m-4}]}} \times \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}^{\leq 2}) \mid f \in L\}.$$

One may check that  $\mathcal{Y}$  is the union of the two irreducible components  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  defined by:

- $C_1 := \text{Bl}_0(\overline{\mathcal{O}_{[2^2, 1^{2m-4}]}})$ ; and
- $C_2 := \{(0, L) \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_{[2^2, 1^{2m-4}]}} \times \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}^{\leq 2})\} \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}^{\leq 2})$ .

The components  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are of dimension  $4m - 6$  and  $4m - 4$  respectively. The morphism  $\gamma \times \rho'$  sends  $\mathcal{H}^{\text{main}}$  into  $C_1$ ; the varieties  $\mathcal{H}^{\text{main}}$  and  $C_1$  have the same dimension, hence  $\gamma \times \rho': \mathcal{H}^{\text{main}} \rightarrow C_1$  is an isomorphism.

Now it follows from [Terb, Proposition 3.3.13] that the component  $C_2$  identifies with the closed subset of  $\text{Hilb}_{h_0}^G(W)$  formed by the homogeneous ideals of  $\mathbb{C}[W]$ . Let us describe this identification. If  $L \in C_2 \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}^{\leq 2})$ , then we denote by  $I_L$  the ideal of  $\mathbb{C}[W]$  generated by the homogeneous  $G$ -invariants of positive degree

of  $\mathbb{C}[W]$ , and by the  $G$ -module  $L^\perp \otimes V \subset \mathbb{C}[W]_1 \cong E \otimes V$ , where  $L$  is identified with a 2-dimensional subspace of  $E^*$  via the isomorphism (14). Let us show that  $I_L$  is a point of  $\mathcal{H}$  if and only if  $L \in \text{OGr}(2, E^*)$ ; the result will follow since  $\mathbb{P}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[2^2, 1^{2m-4}]})$  identifies with  $\text{OGr}(2, E^*)$  via the isomorphism (14), and since  $\{(0, L) \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[2^2, 1^{2m-4}]} \times \mathbb{P}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[2^2, 1^{2m-4}]})\}$  is a subvariety of  $C_1$ . We denote  $W' := \text{Hom}(E/L^\perp, V)$ , then

$$\mathbb{C}[W']_2 \cong (S^2(E/L^\perp) \otimes S^2(V)) \oplus (\Lambda^2(E/L^\perp) \otimes \Lambda^2(V))$$

as a  $G$ -module. Let  $I'_L$  be the ideal of  $\mathbb{C}[W']$  generated by  $\Lambda^2(E/L^\perp) \otimes \Lambda^2(V) \subset \mathbb{C}[W']_2$ , then one may check (using [Terb, Proposition 3.3.13]) that

$$\mathbb{C}[W]/I_L \cong \mathbb{C}[W']/I'_L \cong \bigoplus_{M \in \text{Irr}(G)} M^{\oplus \dim(M)}$$

as a  $G$ -module. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} I_L \in \mathcal{H} &\Leftrightarrow I_L \cap \mathbb{C}[W]_2 \supset E_0 \otimes S^2(V), \text{ where } E_0 \text{ is the trivial representation of } H; \\ &\Leftrightarrow q|_L = 0, \text{ where } q \text{ is the quadratic form preserved by } H; \\ &\Leftrightarrow L \in \text{OGr}(2, E^*). \end{aligned}$$

□

*Remark 4.11.* In the proof of Proposition 4.10, we showed that if  $m > n = 2$ , then the homogeneous ideals of  $\mathcal{H}$  are contained in  $\mathcal{H}^{\text{main}}$ . Using analogous arguments, one may check that this statement is true more generally when  $m > n \geq 2$ .

**Acknowledgments:** I am deeply thankful to Michel Brion for proposing this subject to me, for a lot of helpful discussions, and for his patience. I thank Tanja Becker for exchange of knowledge on invariant Hilbert schemes by e-mail and during her stay in Grenoble in October 2010. I also thank Bart Van Steirteghem for helpful discussions during his stay in Grenoble in Summer 2011.

## REFERENCES

- [AB05] V. Alexeev and M. Brion. Moduli of affine schemes with reductive group action. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 14:83–117, 2005.
- [Bea00] A. Beauville. Symplectic singularities. *Invent. Math.*, 139:no.3, 541–549, 2000.
- [Bec09] T. Becker. On the existence of symplectic resolutions of symplectic reductions. *Math. Z.*, 265:343–363, 2009.
- [Bec11] T. Becker. An example of an  $SL_2$ -Hilbert scheme with multiplicities. *Transform. Groups*, 16:no. 4, 915–938, 2011.
- [Bri85] M. Brion. Représentations exceptionnelles des groupes semi-simples. *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.*, 2:345–387, 1985.
- [Bri13] M. Brion. Invariant Hilbert schemes. *Handbook of Moduli: Volume I, Advanced Lectures in Mathematics*, 24:63–118, Fordham University, New York, 2013.
- [CM93] D. Collingwood and W. McGovern. *Nilpotent orbits in semisimple Lie algebras*. Van Nostrand Reinhold Mathematics Series, vol. 296. Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York, 1993.
- [FN04] B. Fu and Y. Namikawa. Uniqueness of crepant resolutions and symplectic singularities. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 54:1–19, 2004.
- [Fu03a] B. Fu. Symplectic resolutions for nilpotent orbits. *Invent. Math.*, 151:167–186, 2003.
- [Fu03b] B. Fu. Symplectic resolutions for nilpotent orbits (II). *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 337:277–281, 2003.

- [Fu06a] B. Fu. A survey on symplectic singularities and resolutions. *Ann. Math. Blaise Pascal*, 13:209–236, 2006.
- [Fu06b] B. Fu. Symplectic resolutions for nilpotent orbits (III). *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 342:585–588, 2006.
- [KP79] H. Kraft and C. Procesi. Closures of conjugacy classes of matrices are normal. *Invent. Math.*, 53:227–247, 1979.
- [KP81] H. Kraft and C. Procesi. Minimal singularities in  $GL_n$ . *Invent. Math.*, 62:503–515, 1981.
- [KP82] H. Kraft and C. Procesi. On the geometry of conjugacy classes in classical groups. *Comment. Math. Helvetici*, 57:539–602, 1982.
- [KS] H. Kraft and G. W. Schwarz. Representations with a reduced null cone. ArXiv: 1112.3634, to appear in *Progress in Mathematics* (Birkhäuser), a volume in honor of Nolan Wallach.
- [Na06] Y. Namikawa. Birational geometry of symplectic resolutions of nilpotent orbits. *Moduli spaces and arithmetic geometry*, 45, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo:75–116, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 2006.
- [Pro07] C. Procesi. *Lie Groups, an Approach through Invariants and Representations*. Universitext. Springer, New York, 2007.
- [SB00] G. W. Schwarz and M. Brion. *Théorie des invariants et géométrie des variétés quotients*. Travaux en cours, vol. 61. Hermann, Paris, 2000.
- [Tera] R. Terpereau. Invariant Hilbert schemes and desingularizations of quotients by classical groups. ArXiv: 1301.4020, to appear in *Transform. Groups*.
- [Terb] R. Terpereau. Schémas de Hilbert invariants et théorie classique des invariants (Ph.D. thesis). ArXiv: 1211.1472.

Université Grenoble I, Institut Fourier,  
UMR 5582 CNRS-UJF, BP 74,  
38402 St. Martin d'Hères Cédex, FRANCE  
*E-mail address:* ronan.terpereau@ujf-grenoble.fr