

THEORY OF APPROXIMATION AND CONTINUITY OF RANDOM PROCESSES.

E.Ostrovsky^a, L.Sirota^b

^a Corresponding Author. Department of Mathematics and computer science,
Bar-Ilan University, 84105, Ramat Gan, Israel.

E - mail: galo@list.ru eugostrovsky@list.ru

^b Department of Mathematics and computer science. Bar-Ilan University, 84105,
Ramat Gan, Israel.

E - mail: sirota3@bezeqint.net

ABSTRACT.

We introduce and investigate a new notion of the theory of approximation—the so-called *degenerate approximation*, i.e. approximation of the function of two (and more) variables (kernel) by means of degenerate function (kernel).

We apply obtained results to the investigation of the local structure of random processes, for example, we find the necessary and sufficient condition for continuity of Gaussian and non-Gaussian processes, some conditions for weak compactness and convergence of a family of random processes, in particular, for Central Limit Theorem in the space of continuous functions.

We give also many examples in order to illustrate the exactness of proved theorems.

Key words and phrases: Algebraic, trigonometrical and degenerate approximation, random processes (r.p.) and random fields (r.f.), Karunen-Loev expansion, Franklin's orthonormal system, De la Vallee-Poussin kernel and approximation, majorizing and minorizing measures, upper and lower estimates, criterion, module of continuity, lacunar series, generating functional, rank of degenerate functions, martingales, distribution of maximum, Lebesgue-Riesz norm and spaces.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 37B30, 33K55; Secondary 34A34, 65M20, 42B25.

1 Notations. Statement of problem.

Let $T = [0, 2\pi]$, $T^d = [0, 2\pi]^d$; for integrable 2π periodical on all variables function $f : T^d \rightarrow R$ we denote as ordinary

$$\|f\|_{p,d} = \|f\|_p = \left[\int_{T^d} |f(x)|^p dx \right]^{1/p}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty;$$

$$\|f\| = \|f\|_{\infty,d} = \|f\|_{\infty} = \operatorname{vraisup}_{t \in T^d} |f(t)|;$$

$$d = 1 \Rightarrow c_k = c_k(f) := (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) e^{-ikx} dx;$$

$$\omega_p(f, \delta) := \sup_{h: \|h\| \leq \delta} \|f(\cdot + h) - f(\cdot)\|_p, \quad \omega(f, \delta) = \omega_\infty(f, \delta), \quad \delta \in [0, 2\pi].$$

Let also $n = \vec{n} = \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d\}$, $n_j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ be a multiindex; we denote by $A(\vec{n}) = A(n)$ the set of all multivariate trigonometrical polynomials of a degree less or equal n : $A(\vec{n}) = \{g = g(x), x \in T^d\}$,

$$g(x) = \sum_{\vec{k} \leq \vec{n}} a(\vec{k}) e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}},$$

where the inequality $\vec{k} \leq \vec{n}$ is understood coordinate-wise: $\forall j = 1, 2, \dots, d \quad k_j \leq n_j$.

The following notion is very important in the approximation theory: an error $E(\vec{n}, f)_p$ of the best trigonometrical approximation of a function $f(\cdot)$ in the L_p sense:

$$E(\vec{n}, f)_p \stackrel{def}{=} \inf_{g \in A(\vec{n})} \|f - g\|_p, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty;$$

$$E(\vec{n}, f) = E(\vec{n}, f)_\infty = \inf_{g \in A(\vec{n})} \sup_x |f(x) - g(x)|.$$

See e.g. the classical monographs [1], [12], [32], [38], [40], [59], [68] etc.

For instance, there are obtained Jackson's type estimations of a view

$$E(n, f)_p \leq C(r, p) n^{-r} \omega(f^{(r)}, \pi/n)_p, \quad r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad p \in [1, \infty], \quad d = 1$$

with exact value of "constants" $C(r, p)$, see [32], [40], [68].

Here and further $\omega(f, \delta)_p$, $\delta \in (0, 2\pi)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ will denote the *one-dimensional* ordinary L_p modulus of continuity of the function f ;

$$\omega(f, \delta) := \omega(f, \delta)_\infty \stackrel{def}{=} \sup_{0 < |h| < \delta} \sup_t |f(t+h) - f(t)|.$$

We introduce and investigate in this article the degenerate approximation, and apply the obtained results to the theory of random fields, for instance for finding of necessary and sufficient condition for continuity of Gaussian and non-Gaussian processes.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we define and investigate a new notion: degenerate approximation of a function of several (two, for beginning) variables by means of linear combinations products of a one variable functions. The third section contains the main results: necessary and sufficient condition for continuity of Gaussian random processes in the terms of degenerate approximation of its covariation function.

We obtain in the 4th section on the basis of main result some new sufficient conditions for continuity of Gaussian processes, not necessary to be stationary. The

next section is devoted to generalization for non-Gaussian case, the 6th section we ensue some sufficient conditions for continuity of non-Gaussian processes.

In the seventh section we formulate and prove the necessary and sufficient condition for convergence of the sequence of random variables with probability one.

In the last section there are several concluding remarks.

2 Degenerate approximation.

Definition 2.1. The function of two variables $R = R(t, s)$, $t, s \in T$ of a view

$$R(t, s) = \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} \sum_{l=1}^{n_2} a_{k,l} \phi_k(t) \psi_l(s), \quad a_{k,l} = \text{const} \quad (2.1)$$

is said to be *degenerate*; more exactly, linear degenerate.

It will be presumed that if all the functions $h_1(t) = R(t, s)$ and $h_2(s) = R(t, s)$ belong to some Banach spaces, then all the functions $\{\phi_k(\cdot)\}$ and $\psi_l(\cdot)$ belong to at the same space.

For instance, if

$$\forall s \in T \Rightarrow \int_T |R(t, s)|^p dt < \infty,$$

then $\phi_k(\cdot) \in L_p$.

If the function $(t, s) \rightarrow R(t, s)$ is continuous, then all the functions $\phi_k(t)$, $\psi_l(s)$ are continuous.

We can and will assume that both the systems of a functions $\{\phi_k(\cdot)\}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n_1$ and $\psi_l(\cdot)$, $l = 1, 2, \dots, n_2$ are linear independent and moreover orthonormal:

$$\int_T \phi_k(t) \phi_l(t) dt = \delta_{k,l} = \int_T \psi_k(t) \psi_l(t) dt,$$

where $\delta_{k,l}$ is Kroneker's symbol.

We will call the equality (2.1) as *representation* of a function $R(t, s)$ and the pair of numbers (n_1, n_2) will named $\text{rank}(R) : (n_1, n_2) := \text{rank}(R)$.

Definition 2.2. Suppose the degenerate function $R(t, s)$ is symmetrical: $R(t, s) = R(s, t)$. The representation of a view

$$R(t, s) = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \phi_k(t) \phi_k(s), \quad b_k = \text{const} \quad (2.2)$$

is called *symmetrical*.

The set all of degenerate functions with finite rank (n_1, n_2) will be denotes by $Q(n_1, n_2)$; the set all of symmetrical degenerate functions with finite rank (n, n) will be denotes by $Q^{(s)}(n)$.

This notion is very important and may be used, for instance, in the theory of integral equations, where in the case when the kernel of equation $R(t, s)$:

$$X(t) = \int_T R(t, s) X(s) ds = f(t)$$

is degenerate, then this equation may be completely investigated and solved in explicit view.

Analogously, in the game theory, where $R(t, s)$ is the so-called pay function in the game between two players with zero sum, when $R(\cdot, \cdot)$ is degenerate, then this game may be solved in explicit view.

The application of this notion in the theory of random processes, where $R(t, s)$ is covariation function for Gaussian random process (field), obviously symmetrical and non-negative defined, will be discussed in the third section.

In the more general statement belonging to A.N.Kolmogorov (see [70]) considered in this article problem is called "Separability of multivariate functions"; see e.g. some recent publications [22], [34], [61]; where are considered in particular applications in the numerical methods and in statistics.

Definition 2.3. Error of degenerate approximation.

Let $R : T^2 \rightarrow R^1$ be integrable function and let n_1, n_2 be natural numbers. We define the value $D(n_1, n_2; R)_p$ as an error of minimal L_p approximation of the function $R(\cdot, \cdot)$ by means of degenerate functions of the rank (n_1, n_2) :

$$D(n_1, n_2; R)_p = \inf_{G \in Q(n_1, n_2)} \|R - G\|_{L_p(T^2)}. \quad (2.3)$$

As before, we define for the continuous function $R(\cdot, \cdot)$

$$D(n_1, n_2; R) = D(n_1, n_2; R)_\infty = \inf_{G \in Q(n_1, n_2)} \sup_{t, s} |R(t, s) - G(t, s)|. \quad (2.4)$$

Analogously we define for the symmetrical functions $R(t, s)$

$$D^{(s)}(n; R)_p = \inf_{G \in Q^{(s)}(n)} \|R - G\|_{L_p(T^2)}. \quad (2.5)$$

Example 2.1. Let $R = R(t, s)$ be non-zero continuous non-negative definite function. for example, the covariation function of Gaussian mean-square continuous process. Then the value $D^{(s)}(n; R)_2$ and the optimal approximate degenerate function $Q(n; R)$ may be calculated in explicit view. Namely, consider the following equation for eigen values and functions:

$$\int_T R(t, s) \phi_k(s) ds = \lambda_k \phi_k(t). \quad (2.6)$$

It follows from the theorem of Hilbert-Schmidt that the equation (2.6) has an enumerable set of eigen values λ_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and orthonormal complete in $L_2(T)$ sense sequence of eigen (continuous) functions $\{\phi_k\}$.

It follows from the Mercer's theorem that

$$R(t, s) = \sum_k \lambda_k \phi_k(t) \phi_k(s), \quad (2.7)$$

and the series (2.7) converges uniformly.

Moreover,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k = \text{trace}(R) = \int_T R(t, t) dt < \infty.$$

Since the kernel $R(t, s)$ is non-negative definite, all the values $\{\lambda_k\}$ are non-negative; we can restrict ourselves only by positive eigen values $\lambda_k; \lambda_k > 0$.

We can suppose without loss of generality that the sequence of the eigen values decreases:

$$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_3 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq \dots; \quad (2.8)$$

the case when only finite set of eigen values is positive is trivial: it follows from (2.7) that $R(t, s)$ is symmetrical degenerate.

It is easy to calculate that the optimal approximate degenerate function $Q(n; R)$ for the kernel $R(\cdot, \cdot)$ is follows:

$$Q(n; R)(t, s) = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \phi_k(t) \phi_k(s), \quad (2.9)$$

and correspondingly

$$D^{(s)}(n; R)_1 = \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.10)$$

Example 2.2. Let in the example (2.1) for some integer value n $\lambda_n = \lambda_{n+1}$. Then in the capacity of the optimal approximate by degenerate function of the finite fixed rank n $Q(n; R)$ may be choose might as well the other function

$$Q(n; R)(t, s) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \lambda_k \phi_k(t) \phi_k(s) + \lambda_n \phi_{n+1}(t) \phi_{n+1}(s). \quad (2.11)$$

This reason imply that the optimal approximate degenerate function may be not unique.

The main result of this section is follows.

Theorem 2.1.

$$D(2n_1, 2n_2; R)_p \leq 1 \cdot E(n_1, n_2; R)_p, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty, \quad (2.12)$$

where for all the values p the constant "1" in (2.12) is the best possible.

Proof. A. Inequality.

The upper estimate

$$D(2n_1, 2n_2; R)_p \leq E(n_1, n_2; R)_p, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty,$$

is very simple. Indeed, there exists a two-variate trigonometrical polynomial $Q(n_1, n_2)(t, s)$ of degree n_1, n_2 , not necessary to be unique, for which

$$\| R - Q(n_1, n_2) \|_p = E(n_1, n_2; R)_p.$$

It remains to note that the function $Q(n_1, n_2)(t, s)$ is degenerate with the rank $(2n_1, 2n_2)$.

Proof. B. Exactness.

It is sufficient to consider the case $n_1 = n_2 = n$ and symmetrical function $R(\cdot, \cdot)$. We need first of all to specify the statement of this problem. Let us define

$$V = V(p) = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{R \in L_p(T^2)} \left[\frac{D^{(s)}(2n; R)_p}{E(n, n, R)_p} \right]. \quad (2.13)$$

It follows from the upper estimate (2.12) that $V \leq 1$; it remains to prove opposite inequality.

We consider as an example the following lacunar series:

$$R(t, s) = R_l(t, s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \cos(n_k t) \cos(n_k s), \quad (2.14)$$

where $a_k > 0$, $\sum_k a_k < \infty$, n_k be a increasing sequence of integer numbers such that

$$\frac{n_{k+1}}{n_k} = 2p_k + 1,$$

p_k is arbitrary sequence of integer numbers under condition $p_k \geq 2$.

Obviously, the function $R_l(t, s)$ is continuous since the series in (2.14) converges uniformly.

It is known from the theory of lacunar trigonometrical series, see, for example, [68], chapter 8, that

$$E(n, n, R_l)_p = \sum_{k=\nu+1}^{\infty} a_k, \quad n_{\nu} \leq n < n_{\nu+1}. \quad (2.15)$$

On the other hands, it follows from the example 2.1 that

$$D(2n_{\nu}, 2n_{\nu}; R_l)_p = \sum_{k=\nu+1}^{\infty} a_k, \quad n_{\nu} \leq n < n_{\nu+1}.$$

Therefore $V(p) \geq 1$, Q.E.D.

Example 2.3. Let $R(t, s)$ be degenerate function of finite rank but not a trigonometrical polynomial, for instance

$$R(t, s) = \phi(t)\phi(s), \quad \phi \notin \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A(n).$$

Then $Q(n, n; R) = 0$ for all sufficiently greatest values n , but for all the values n $E(n, n; R) > 0$.

3 Main results: criterion for continuity of Gaussian random processes in the terms of degenerate approximation.

A. Statement of problem.

Let $\xi(t)$, $t \in T$ be 2π periodical separable random process (r.p.) defined aside from the set T on some probabilistic space with probabilistic measure \mathbf{P} , expectation \mathbf{E} and covariation cov . Question: under what conditions the r.p. $\xi(t)$ is continuous with probability one:

$$\mathbf{P}(\xi(\cdot) \in C(T)) = 1? \quad (3.0)$$

This problem has a long history. The first result belongs to A.N.Kolmogorov [31] and E.E.Slutsky [60]. For the separable random fields $\xi(\vec{t})$, $\vec{t} \in [0, 1]^d$ the correspondent result belongs to V.Vinkler [69]: if

$$\mathbf{E}|\xi(\vec{t}) - \xi(\vec{s})|^\alpha \leq C \cdot \|\vec{t} - \vec{s}\|^{d+\beta}, C < \infty, 0 < \alpha, \beta < \infty,$$

then $\mathbf{P}(\xi(\cdot) \in C([0, 1]^d)) = 1$.

Further results was obtained by means of the so-called entropy terms introduced by R.M.Dudley [13] and X.Fernique [14] - [16].

A very interest results for Gaussian *stationary* processes and fields belong to N.Nisio [41] and H.Watanabe [72].

Recently appear many works based on the so-called notion of *majorizing and minorizing measures*, see e.g. the articles and books of X.Fernique [14], M.Ledoux and Talagrand [36], M.B.Marcus and L.A.Shepp [39], M.Talagrand [63] - [67], W.Bednorz [2]- [5]; see also [20], [25], [35], [42], [44], [45], [46], [47], [51] - [54], [58].

We intend in this and in the next sections to find some conditions (necessary and sufficient, sufficient) for the equality (3.0) in the terms of approximation theory, in particular, in the terms of degenerate approximation.

B. Necessary and sufficient condition for continuity of Gaussian process.

Note that this problem was solved in the another terms: by means of Jackson's polynomials in [52], in the geometrical notions of Hilbert's space generated by the Gaussian r.p. in [62], in the terms of the so-called partition schemes (generic chaining) - in [64], chapters 3,4. For the stationary Gaussian processes the famous criterion for continuity was obtained in the entropy terms by X.Fernique in [14].

We consider in this subsection the centered Gaussian periodical process $\xi(t)$, $t \in T$, $\mathbf{E}\xi(t) = 0$, with (non-negative definite) covariation function $R(t, s) = \text{cov}(\xi(t), \xi(s)) = \mathbf{E}\xi(t)\xi(s)$.

Let $\{\eta_k\}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$ be a sequence of independent standard distributed Gaussian r.v. Let also as in (2.7), (2.8) λ_k , $\phi_k(t)$ be correspondingly a sequence of eigen values and normed eigen function for the kernel R .

We define the following family of a semi-norms:

$$m \geq n + 1 \Rightarrow \tau_n^m(R) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{E} \left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^m \sqrt{\lambda_k} \eta_k \phi_k \right\|_\infty =$$

$$(2\pi)^{(n-m)/2} \int_{R^{m-n}} \exp \left(-0.5 \sum_{k=n+1}^m x_k^2 \right) \cdot \left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^m x_k \sqrt{\lambda_k} \phi_k(\cdot) \right\|_\infty \cdot \prod_{k=n+1}^m dx_k; \quad (3.1)$$

$$\tau(R) := \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{m \geq n+1} \tau_n^m(R),$$

where in the case when $\tau_n^m(R)$ is not defined, we take by definition $\tau_n^m(R) = +\infty$.

Theorem 3.1. *In order to the separable 2π periodical Gaussian r.p. $\xi(t)$ has continuous with probability one trajectories, is necessary and sufficient that its covariation function $R(t, s)$ is continuous and*

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{m \geq n+1} \tau_n^m(R) = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

or equally $R \in \ker(\tau)$.

Proof. Sufficiency. Let the conditions of theorem 3.1 be satisfied; then we can apply Mercer's theorem (2.7) with the order (2.8). We can write the Karunen-Loev expression for $\xi(\cdot)$:

$$\xi(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\lambda_k} \zeta_k \phi_k(t). \quad (3.3)$$

Here $\{\zeta_k\}$ is a sequence of independent standard distributed Gaussian r.v. See in detail [53]; in particular, it is prove therein that the eigen function $\phi_k(t)$ are continuous.

Denote the partial sum of Karunen-Loev expression as $\xi_n = \xi_n(t)$:

$$\xi_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sqrt{\lambda_k} \zeta_k \phi_k(t).$$

Let $n(l)$, $l = 1, 2, \dots$ be any strictly increasing subsequence of natural numbers such that

$$\tau_{n(l)+1}^{n(l+1)} \leq 2^{-l}, \quad l \geq l_0 = \text{const},$$

and define

$$S_l = S_l(t) = \sum_{k=n(l)+1}^{n(l+1)} \sqrt{\lambda_k} \zeta_k \phi_k(t) = \xi_{n(l+1)}(t) - \xi_{n(l)}(t).$$

then

$$\mathbf{E} \|S_l\|_\infty = \tau_{n(l)+1}^{n(l+1)} \leq 2^{-l}, \quad \sum_{l \geq l_0} \mathbf{E} \|S_l\|_\infty = \sum_{l \geq l_0} \tau_{n(l)+1}^{n(l+1)} < \infty,$$

and following the series

$$\sum_{l \geq 1} \|S_l\|_\infty$$

converges with probability one. Therefore, its partial sums, i.e. the subsequence of r.p. $\xi_{n(t)}(t)$ converges uniformly with probability one. Thus, the r.p. $\xi(t)$ is continuous (mod \mathbf{P}).

Proof. Necessity. It can be assumed here that the centered Gaussian r.p. $\xi(t)$ is continuous a.e. Therefore, its covariation function $R(t, s)$ is continuous and consequently the Karunen-Loev expression there exists.

It follows from theorem of K.Ito and M. Nisio [27] that in considered case the Karunen-Loev expression converges uniformly with probability one:

$$\mathbf{P}(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\xi(\cdot) - \xi_n(\cdot)\|_\infty = 0) = 1. \quad (3.4)$$

In turn, we conclude based on the equality (3.4) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E} \|\xi(\cdot) - \xi_n(\cdot)\|_\infty = 0, \quad (3.5)$$

see [55].

We get ultimately using triangle inequality

$$\tau_n^m(R) = \mathbf{E} \|\xi_m(\cdot) - \xi_n(\cdot)\|_\infty \leq \mathbf{E} \|\xi_m(\cdot) - \xi(\cdot)\|_\infty +$$

$$\mathbf{E} \|\xi(\cdot) - \xi_n(\cdot)\|_\infty \rightarrow 0, \quad n, m \rightarrow \infty.$$

This completes the proof of theorem 3.1.

C. Some comments.

1. Non-centered case. Let $\xi(t)$ be separable Gaussian distributed r.p. with non-zero expectation $\mathbf{E}\xi(t) = a(t)$, $t \in T$. The r.p. $\xi(t)$ is continuous iff $a(t)$ is continuous and the centered Gaussian process $\xi^{(0)}(t) := \xi(t) - a(t)$ satisfies the condition of theorem 3.1.

2. Discontinuous case. If the condition of theorem 1.3 are non satisfied, then

$$\mathbf{P}(\xi(\cdot) \in C(T)) = 0,$$

(zero-one law); see [14], [53].

3. Non-periodical case. The condition of periodicity $\xi(t \pm 2\pi) = \xi(t)$ is not essential restriction. Let for instance $\xi(t)$ be centered Gaussian process, $t \in [0, 1]$. Consider the linear extrapolation (spline) $\tilde{\xi}(t)$ of $\xi(t)$ on the set $[1, 2\pi]$, i.e. such that

$$\tilde{\xi}(t) = \xi(t), \quad t \in [0, 1]; \quad \tilde{\xi}(2\pi) = \xi(0)$$

and $\tilde{\xi}(t)$ is periodical continuation on the whole axis.

The Gaussian r.p. $\xi(\cdot)$ is continuous a.e. iff the periodical Gaussian r.p. $\tilde{\xi}(\cdot)$ is continuous.

4. Other norms. The expression for the semi-norm $\tau_n^m(R)$ may be rewritten as follows:

$$\tau_n^m(R) = \left\| \max_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{k=n+1}^m \sqrt{\lambda_k} \eta_k \phi_k(t) \right| \right\|_{L_1(\Omega, \mathbf{P})}. \quad (3.6)$$

But instead the $L_1(\Omega)$ norm may be used more strong rearrangement invariant norm, up to Orlicz's norm over our probabilistic space with N -function

$$N(u) = \exp(u^2/2) - 1;$$

see [55].

5. Banach space valued Gaussian r.v. Let B be separable Banach space equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_B$ and ξ be centered weak Gaussian distributed r.v. with covariation operator R . We ask: under some condition

$$\mathbf{P}(\xi \in B) = 1? \quad (3.7)$$

It is known [35], [71], chapter 5, section 5 that if (3.7) there holds, then there exists a sequence $\{g_n\}$ of topological free non-random elements of the space B and a sequence of independent standard distributed Gaussian r.v. $\{\zeta_n\}$ such that

$$\sum_n \|g_n\|_B^2 < \infty$$

and

$$\xi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \zeta_n g_n. \quad (3.8)$$

Define for natural numbers $n, m : m \geq n + 1$ the following semi-norm on the space of all symmetrical operators $\{R\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_n^m(R)[B] &= \mathbf{E} \left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^m \zeta_k g_k \right\|_B = \\ &= (2\pi)^{(n-m)/2} \int_{R^{m-n}} \exp \left(-0.5 \sum_{k=n+1}^m x_k^2 \right) \cdot \left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^m x_k g_k \right\|_B \cdot \prod_{k=n+1}^m dx_k. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

We conclude as before that the series (3.8) converges in the norm $\|\cdot\|_B$ with probability one and as a consequence (3.7) there holds if and only if

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{m \geq n+1} \tau_n^m(R)[B] = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

Obviously, instead the $L_1(\Omega)$ norm may be used more strong norm, up to Orlicz's norm over our probabilistic space with N -function $N(u) = \exp(u^2/2) - 1$.

Taking for instance the Hilbertian norm induced by N - function of a view $N(u) = u^2$, we get the classical criterion for equality (3.7) for the case of separable Hilbert space H :

$$\mathbf{P}(\xi \in H) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \text{trace}(R) < \infty.$$

6. Estimation of tail of distribution of $\|\xi\|_B$. We have under conditions of last subsection the following estimate:

$$E\|\xi\|_B \leq \sup_n \sup_{m \geq n+1} \tau_n^m(R)[B] =: \bar{\tau} < \infty. \quad (3.11)$$

Further, in the classical book of N.N.Wakhaniya, W.I.Tarieladze and S.A.Chobanjan [71] on the pages 263-264 is proved the following important inequality for the arbitrary centered Gaussian r.v. ξ in the space B :

$$[\mathbf{E}(\|\xi\|_B)^p]^{1/p} \leq c(p, s) [\mathbf{E}(\|\xi\|_B)^s]^{1/s}.$$

In particular, there exists an absolute finite positive constant C_1 such that $c(p, 1) \leq C_1 \cdot \sqrt{p}$, $p \geq 2$. Therefore

$$[\mathbf{E}(\|\xi\|_B)^p]^{1/p} \leq C_1 \cdot \bar{\tau} \cdot \sqrt{p}$$

or equally

$$\mathbf{P}(\|\xi\|_B > u) \leq \exp\left(-C_2(u/\bar{\tau})^2\right), \quad u > \bar{\tau}. \quad (3.12)$$

7. Special Banach spaces. The case when the Banach space B does not contains the subspace isomorphic to the space c_0 is considered in the monograph of V.V.Buldygin [7], p. 116-127; see also reference therein.

D. Boundedness of the Gaussian random processes.

The Gaussian (or with other distribution) r.p. $\xi(t)$ is called bounded (almost surely) if

$$\mathbf{P}(\sup_t |\xi(t)| < \infty) = 1. \quad (3.13)$$

The criterion for the boundedness of the separable centered Gaussian periodical r.p. $\xi(t)$ with continuous covariation function $R(\cdot, \cdot)$ is follows:

$$\tilde{\tau}(R) \stackrel{def}{=} \sup_n \sup_{m \geq n+1} \tau_n^m(R) < \infty; \quad (3.14)$$

we retain the previous notations.

A.N.Kolmogorov formulated as a hypotheses and Yu.K. Belyaev proved in [6] the following alternative for *stationary* Gaussian centered separable r.p. $\xi(t)$: either it is continuous a.e. or is unbounded on each non-empty interval.

Note that this alternative is not true for the non-stationary Gaussian processes. For example: let $\zeta(t) = \epsilon \cdot \text{sign}(t)$, $\text{Law}(\epsilon) = N(0, 1)$, $t \in [-1, 1]$; then the Gaussian r.p. $\zeta(t)$ is bounded and discontinuous.

But this process has discontinuous covariation function. We offer another example of a centered discontinuous *bounded* Gaussian process $\beta(t)$ with continuous covariation function. Let $w(t)$, $t \in [0, e^{-4}]$ be ordinary Brownian motion; we define $\beta(t)$ as follows:

$$\beta(t) = \frac{w(t)}{\sqrt{2 t \log |\log t|}}, \quad t > 0, \quad (3.15)$$

and $\beta(0) = 0$. Evidently, $\beta(t)$ is centered, Gaussian and mean square continuous.

It follows from the Law of Iterated Logarithm (LIL) that

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0+} \beta(t) = 1 = -\underline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0+} \beta(t), \quad (3.16)$$

therefore $\beta(t)$ is bounded and discontinuous.

4 Some new sufficient conditions for continuity of Gaussian processes.

The author be aware that the conditions of theorem 3.1 are hard to verify. Further in this section we will obtain some simple *sufficient* conditions for continuity of (periodical) separable Gaussian centered r.p. $\xi(t)$ based on some estimations for important for us semi-norm $\tau_n^m(R)$.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that there exists a strictly increasing non-random sequence of natural numbers $\{n(k)\}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$ such that $n(1) = 1$,*

$$\Sigma_E \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E^{1/2}([n(k)/2], [n(k)/2]; R) \cdot \sqrt{\log n(k+1)} < \infty. \quad (4.0)$$

Then $\mathbf{P}(\xi(\cdot) \in C(T)) = 1$ and moreover for some absolute constants C_3, C_4

$$[\mathbf{E}(\|\xi\|_B)^p]^{1/p} \leq C_3 \cdot \Sigma_E \cdot \sqrt{p}, \quad p \geq 1,$$

$$\mathbf{P}(\|\xi\|_B > u) \leq \exp\left(-C_4(u/\Sigma_E)^2\right), \quad u > \Sigma_E.$$

Proof.

1. We intend to apply in order to obtain the good degenerate approximation for the kernel $R(\cdot, \cdot)$ the well-known Vallee-Poussin sums [10], [68], chapter 5. Recall that the Vallee-Poussin kernel $K_{n,p}(t)$ is defined as follows:

$$K_{n,p}(t) = \frac{\sin((2n+1-p)t/2) \cdot \sin((p+1)t/2)}{2(p+1) \sin^2 t/2}.$$

It is known that $K_{n,p}(t)$ is trigonometrical polynomial of degree n .

The Vallee-Poussin approximation (sum) $V_{n,p}[f](t)$ for a periodical integrable function f may be defined as follows:

$$V_{n,p}[f](t) := [f * K_{n,p}](t)$$

(periodical convolution). We pick hereafter for definiteness for the values $n \geq 4$ $p = p(n) := [n/2]$, (integer part), so that $V_{n,p}[f](t) \in A(n)$ and

$$\|f(\cdot) - V_{n,p(n)}[f](\cdot)\|_\infty \leq C \cdot E([n/2], f),$$

see [40], chapter 6.

2. Lemma 4.1. ([53]). Let $\eta_n(t)$ be centered Gaussian process and simultaneously trigonometrical polynomial of degree n : $\eta_n(\cdot) \in A(n)$. Denote

$$\sigma^2(n) = \max_t \mathbf{Var}\{\eta_n(t)\}.$$

There holds:

$$\mathbf{E} \| \eta_n(\cdot) \|_\infty \leq C \cdot \sigma(n) \cdot \sqrt{\log n}, \quad n \geq 4. \quad (4.1)$$

3. Let $n(k), k = 1, 2, \dots$ be arbitrary strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers. Introduce the following sequence of a functions:

$$Y_k(t) = [K_{n(k+1),p(n(k+1))} * \xi](t) - [K_{n(k),p(n(k))} * \xi](t) =: [W(n(k+1), n(k), \cdot) * \xi(\cdot)](t), \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$W(n(k+1), n(k), t) = K_{n(k+1),p(n(k+1))}(t) - K_{n(k),p(n(k))}(t).$$

We state: $Y_k(t)$ is centered Gaussian process and trigonometrical polynomials with degree less than $n(k+1)$: $Y_k(t) \in A(n(k+1))$.

We get also applying the inequality of De la Vallée-Poussin:

$$\sup_t \mathbf{Var}[Y_k(t)] \leq C \cdot E([n(k)/2], [n(k)/2]; R).$$

Applying of Lemma (4.1) yields:

$$\mathbf{E} \| Y_k(t) \|_\infty \leq C \cdot E^{1/2}([n(k)/2], [n(k)/2]; R) \cdot \sqrt{\log n(k+1)}.$$

We conclude on the basis of condition (4.0) that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{E} \| Y_k(t) \|_\infty < \infty,$$

therefore

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \| Y_k(t) \|_\infty < \infty \pmod{\mathbf{P}}. \quad (4.3)$$

Following, the subsequence

$$\xi_{n(k+1)}(t) = [\xi * V_{n(k+1)/2, p(n(k+1)/2)}](t) = \sum_{m=1}^k Y_m(t)$$

converges uniformly in $t; t \in T$ with probability one and hence the limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$ of the r.p. $\xi_{n(k+1)}(t)$ is continuous with probability one.

Examples and conclusions.

1. The condition (4.0) is satisfied, for instance, if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E^{1/2}(2^k, 2^k; R) \cdot \sqrt{k} < \infty$$

or if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E^{1/2}(2^{2^k}, 2^{2^k}; R) \cdot 2^{k/2} < \infty \quad (4.4)$$

The last condition (4.4) is equivalent the following inequality:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E^{1/2}(2^{k^2}, 2^{k^2}; R) < \infty.$$

In turn, the condition (4.5) is satisfied, on the basis of Jackson inequality, if

$$\int_0^{\infty} \omega^{1/2}(R, e^{-x^2}) dx < \infty. \quad (4.5)$$

We obtain the famous Fernique's condition [14].

2. Let us show that the condition (4.0) is not necessary even for continuity of a *stationary* Gaussian r.p.

Consider the following example (lacunar random Fourier series):

$$\xi(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k \epsilon_k \cos(2n_k t), \quad (4.6)$$

where $\{\epsilon_k\}$ is a sequence of independent standard normal distributed r.v., n_k be a increasing sequence of integer numbers such that

$$\frac{n_{k+1}}{n_k} = 2p_k + 1,$$

p_k is arbitrary sequence of integer numbers under conditions $p_k \geq 2$, such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n(k+1)}{\log n(k)} = \infty.$$

The r.p. $\xi(t)$ is the real part of complex centered stationary Gaussian random process and has a covariation function

$$R_{\xi}(t, s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k^2 \cos(n_k t) \cos(n_k s).$$

The necessary and sufficient condition for continuity of $\xi(\cdot)$ is follows:

$$\sum_k |b_k| < \infty. \quad (4.7)$$

The condition (4.0) of theorem 4.1 may be formulated as convergence of a series:

$$\sum_k \sqrt{\sum_{m=k}^{\infty} b_m^2} \cdot \sqrt{\log n(k+1)} < \infty. \quad (4.8)$$

Obviously, the condition (4.8) is essentially more stronger than (4.7).

3. Let us show that if the condition (4.5) is not satisfied, the Gaussian r.p. $\xi(t)$ may be continuous as well as may be discontinuous.

Note first of all that the case of non-stationary process is very simple; it is sufficient to consider the degenerate process and covariation $\xi(t) = \epsilon \phi(t)$, $R(t, s) = \phi(t)\phi(s)$.

Hence, we need to consider only Gaussian stationary processes. Let us consider the family of examples of real parts of centered lacunar Gaussian stationary processes:

$$\xi_{\theta}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-\theta} \epsilon_k \cos(n(k)t), \quad (4.9)$$

where $\{\epsilon_k, \}$ $\{n(k)\}$ are as in the last subsection, $\theta = \text{const} > 1/2$. The corresponding covariation function

$$R_{\theta}(t, s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-2\theta} \cos(n(k)t) \cos(n(k)s). \quad (4.10)$$

is continuous by virtue of uniform convergence of the series (4.10). Let us estimate more precisely its modulus of continuity. We can use the following known estimate ([1], chapter 1:)

$$|c(k)| \leq 0.5 \omega(f, \pi/|k|), \quad k \neq 0.$$

We obtain therefore the following *lower* estimation for the modulus of continuity of the covariation function R :

$$\omega(R_{\theta}, \pi/n(k)) \geq C k^{-2\theta}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Following, the covariation function R does not satisfy the condition (4.5) for any value θ .

But we conclude taking in attention the expression (4.0) based on the properties of lacunar series that the r.p. $\xi_{\theta}(\cdot)$ is continuous (mod \mathbf{P}) only iff $\theta > 1$.

Remark 4.1. Our example (4.9) - (4.10) justifies one of the results of an articles M.Nisio [41] and H.Watanabe [72]. Indeed, let $\eta(t)$, $t \in R^d$ be centered separable Gaussian random field with covariation function

$$\rho(t) = \mathbf{E}\eta(t+s)\eta(s) = \int_{R^d} \cos(\lambda \cdot t) F(d\lambda), \quad (4.11)$$

where $F = F(A)$, $\subset R^d$ is spectral measure. Denote

$$B(n) = \{\lambda, |\lambda| \leq 2^n\}, \quad s_n = F(B(2^{n+1}) - F(B(2^n))).$$

Theorem 2 in the article [72] asserts that if there exists a monotonically decreasing sequence $\{M(n)\}$ for which

$$s(n) \leq M(n), \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M^{1/2}(n) < \infty, \quad (4.12)$$

then $\eta(t)$ has continuous sample parts. Note that for the real part of stationary r.p. $\xi_{\theta}(t)$ with $\theta \in (1/2, 1)$ the condition (4.12) is not satisfied and it is discontinuous and moreover is unbounded on every non-empty interval.

5 Necessary and sufficient conditions for continuity of non-Gaussian processes.

The case of non-Gaussian processes $\xi(t)$ is more complicated. Firstly, the covariation function for $\xi(t)$ may do not exists. Secondly, the coefficients in the Karunen-Loev expansion are in general case dependent. Thirdly, this expression may not convergent uniformly still in the case of continuous process.

So, we consider in this section a separable periodical r.p. $\xi(t)$, $t \in T$. We can suppose without loss of generality that it is continuous in probability; as a consequence - $\xi(t)$ is measurable over variable t with probability one.

We need to use the so-called Franklin's system of continuous functions $\{f_k(t)\}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$; [17], [11], [29]. Recall that this system gives an unconditional basis in the space of all continuous functions.

Denote for the r.p. $\xi(t)$

$$\zeta_k = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_T \xi(t) f_k(t) dt,$$

so that we can write the formal Fourier - Franklin (FF) expression

$$\xi(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \zeta_k f_k(t). \quad (5.1)$$

Let us introduce the following important functionals

$$\beta_n^m = \beta_n^m(\xi) \stackrel{def}{=} \mathbf{E} \left\{ \arctan \left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^m \zeta_k f_k \right\|_{\infty} \right\}, \quad m \geq n + 1, \quad (5.2)$$

where in the case when β_n^m is not correctly defined, for instance if some coefficient ζ_k does not exists, we put by definition $\beta_n^m(\xi) = \infty$.

Theorem 5.1. *In order to the r.p. $\xi(t)$ has continuous with probability one sample path, is necessary and sufficient that it was stochastic continuous and that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{m \geq n+1} \beta_n^m(\xi) = 0. \quad (5.3)$$

Proof is alike as in the theorem 3.1. It is sufficient to recall that the functional

$$\rho(\xi, \eta) = \rho(\xi - \eta, 0) = \rho(\xi - \eta) = \mathbf{E} \arctan |\xi - \eta| \quad (5.4)$$

defined on the set of all pair of random variables (ξ, η) is translation invariant distance, in particular, satisfies the triangle inequality. The set of all r.v. defined on the our probabilistic space Ω equipped with the distance $\rho(\cdot, \cdot)$ is complete.

Moreover, the convergence $\rho(\eta_n, \eta) \rightarrow 0$, $n \rightarrow \infty$ is equivalent to convergence $\eta_n \rightarrow \eta$ in probability.

Sufficiency. Denote the partial sum of FF expression for $\xi(t)$ as $\xi_n = \xi_n(t)$:

$$\xi_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n \zeta_k f_k(t).$$

Let $n(l)$, $l = 1, 2, \dots$ be strictly increasing subsequence of natural numbers such that

$$\beta_{n(l)+1}^{n(l+1)} \leq 2^{-l}, \quad l \geq l_0 = \text{const},$$

and define

$$S_l = S_l(t) = \sum_{k=n(l)+1}^{n(l+1)} \zeta_k f_k(t) = \xi_{n(l+1)}(t) - \xi_{n(l)}(t),$$

then

$$\mathbf{E} \arctan \|S_l\|_\infty = \beta_{n(l)+1}^{n(l+1)} \leq 2^{-l},$$

$$\sum_{l \geq l_0} \mathbf{E} \arctan \|S_l\|_\infty = \sum_{l \geq l_0} \beta_{n(l)+1}^{n(l+1)} < \infty.$$

Therefore, the series

$$\sum_l \arctan \|S_l\|_\infty$$

converges with probability one, and this conclusion also is true for the series

$$\sum_l \|S_l\|_\infty,$$

following the subsequence of r.p. $\xi_{n(l)}(t)$ converges uniformly with probability one. Thus, the r.p. $\xi(t)$ is continuous (mod \mathbf{P}).

Necessity. It can be assumed here that the r.p. $\xi(t)$ is continuous a.e. As long as the Franklin's system $\{f_k(\cdot)\}$ formed an unconditional basis in the space of continuous functions $C(T)$, the series $\sum_k \zeta_k f_k(t)$ converges uniformly to the function $\xi(t)(\text{mod } P)$:

$$\mathbf{P}(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\xi(\cdot) - \xi_n(\cdot)\|_\infty = 0) = 1,$$

all the more

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E} \arctan \| \xi(\cdot) - \xi_n(\cdot) \| = 0.$$

We get using the triangle inequality for the ρ - distance

$$\beta_n^m(R) = \mathbf{E} \arctan \| \xi_m(\cdot) - \xi_n(\cdot) \|_\infty \leq \mathbf{E} \arctan \| \xi_m(\cdot) - \xi(\cdot) \|_\infty +$$

$$\mathbf{E} \arctan \| \xi(\cdot) - \xi_n(\cdot) \|_\infty \rightarrow 0, \quad n, m \rightarrow \infty.$$

This completes the proof of theorem 5.1.

6 Some sufficient conditions for continuity of non-Gaussian processes.

The author be aware that the conditions of theorem 5.1 are also hard to verify. Further in this section we will obtain some simple *sufficient* conditions for continuity of separable stochastic continue periodical non-Gaussian centered r.p. $\xi(t)$ based on some estimations for important for us semi-norm $\beta_n^m(\xi(\cdot))$.

Our approach here is development of one belonging to I.A.Ibragimov [26], where was applied the approach based on the embedding theorem, which is yet closely related with the approximation theory.

We will name the method used in [26] "power method", since it relies on the L_p norms of r.p. $\xi(t), \xi(t) - \xi(s)$ etc.

We suppose in this section, in contradiction, the existence of *exponential* moments of $\xi(\cdot)$. More exactly, we assume the existence of a so-called *generating functional*:

$$\Phi(\psi) = \Phi_\xi(\psi) \stackrel{def}{=} \mathbf{E} e^{(\xi, \psi)} = \mathbf{E} e^{\int_T \xi(s) d\psi(s)}, \quad (6.1)$$

where $\psi(\cdot)$ is deterministic function of bounded variation, $\psi(0) = 0$.

Other notations. Let as before $n(k), k = 1, 2, \dots$ be any strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_k(t) &= [V_{n(k+1), p(n(k+1))} * \xi](t) - [V_{n(k), p(n(k))} * \xi](t) = \\ &= [W(n(k+1), n(k), \cdot) * \xi(\cdot)](t) =: [W(\cdot) * \xi(\cdot)](t), \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

$$\Psi(\lambda, n(k), n(k+1)) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_T \mathbf{E} e^{\lambda Z_k(t)} dt, \quad \lambda = \text{const} > 0; \quad (6.3)$$

evidently, $\Psi(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ may be easily expressed through generating functional $\Phi(\cdot)$. Namely, let

$$\psi_t(s) = \int_0^s W(t-x) dx,$$

then

$$\Psi(\lambda, n(k), n(k+1)) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_T \mathbf{E} e^{\lambda \int_T \xi(s) W(t-s) ds} dt =$$

$$(2\pi)^{-1} \int_T dt \mathbf{E} e^{\lambda \int_T \xi(s) d\psi_t(s)} = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_T \Phi(\lambda \psi_t(\cdot)) dt.$$

It is natural to expect that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Z_k(t) = 0$$

and hence

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \log \Psi(\lambda, n(k), n(k+1)) = 0.$$

Further, define

$$U(n(k), n(k+1)) = \inf_{\lambda > 0} \left[\frac{\log n(k+1) + \log \Psi(\lambda, n(k), n(k+1))}{\lambda} \right]. \quad (6.4)$$

Theorem 6.1. *If for some sequence $\{n(k)\}$*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} U(n(k), n(k+1)) < \infty, \quad (6.5)$$

then almost all trajectories of r.p. $\xi(t)$ are continuous.

Proof.

1. Lemma 6.1. ([53]). Let $B_n(t)$, $t \in T$ be a trigonometrical polynomial of degree less or equal than n . Then

$$\text{mes}\{t : B_n(t) \geq 0.5 \mid\mid B_n \mid\mid_{\infty}\} \geq 1/(2n). \quad (6.6)$$

2. Let us consider the following variable:

$$I := (2\pi)^{-1} \mathbf{E} \int_T e^{\lambda Z_k(t)} dt.$$

Since $Z_k(t)$ is the trigonometrical polynomial of degree $\leq n(k+1)$, we can apply the lemma 6.1:

$$\begin{aligned} I &\geq (2\pi)^{-1} \mathbf{E} \int_{t: Z_k(t) \geq 0.5 \mid\mid Z_k \mid\mid_{\infty}} e^{\lambda Z_k(t)} dt \geq \\ &(2\pi)^{-1} \mathbf{E} \int_{t: Z_k(t) \geq 0.5 \mid\mid Z_k \mid\mid_{\infty}} e^{0.5 \lambda \mid\mid Z_k \mid\mid_{\infty}} dt \geq C [n(k+1)]^{-1} \mathbf{E} e^{0.5 \lambda \mid\mid Z_k \mid\mid_{\infty}}. \end{aligned}$$

We apply the Jensen's inequality:

$$\mathbf{E} \mid\mid Z_k \mid\mid_{\infty} \leq C \frac{\log n(k+1) + \log I}{\lambda} = C \frac{\log n(k+1) + \log \Psi(\lambda, n(k), n(k+1))}{\lambda},$$

therefore $\mathbf{E} \|Z_k\|_\infty \leq$

$$C \inf_{\lambda > 0} \left[\frac{\log n(k+1) + \log \Psi(\lambda, n(k), n(k+1))}{\lambda} \right] = C U(n(k), n(k+1)). \quad (6.7)$$

3. We conclude by virtue of condition (6.5) that the following series converge:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{E} \|Z_k\|_\infty < \infty,$$

with him

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|Z_k\|_\infty < \infty \pmod{\mathbf{P}}.$$

This completes as before the proof of theorem 6.1.

7 Convergence of a sequence of a random variables with probability one.

It is deserve of our attention the investigate the case of the separable Banach space c_0 of the numerical sequences $\{x(n)\}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ tending to zero equipped with the norm

$$\| \{x(n)\} \|_{c_0} = \sup_n |x(n)|. \quad (7.0)$$

In detail: let $\xi = \{\xi(n)\}$ be a random sequence; find the conditions (necessary conditions and sufficient conditions) under which $\mathbf{P}(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi(n) = 0) = 1$ or equally $\mathbf{P}(\{\xi\} \in c_0) = 1$.

The directly application of theorem 5.1 is very hard; we intend to obtain a simple formulated criterion.

We need to introduce a new notations.

$$\tilde{\xi}(n) := \arctan \xi(n), \quad \kappa_n^m = \kappa_n^m(\xi) \stackrel{def}{=} \mathbf{E} \arctan(\max_{k=n}^m |\xi(k)|) =$$

$$\mathbf{E} \max_{k=n}^m |\tilde{\xi}(k)|, \quad m \geq n + 1;$$

$$A = \{\omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi(n) = 0\} = \{\omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\xi}(n) = 0\}.$$

Further, we set

$$A_Q = \{\omega : \forall s = 1, 2, \dots \exists N = 1, 2, \dots : \max_{n \in [N, N+Q]} |\tilde{\xi}(n)| < 1/s\},$$

Obviously,

$$\mathbf{P}(A) = \lim_{Q \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}(A_Q).$$

Theorem 7.1. *In order to $\mathbf{P}(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi(n) = 0) = 1$, is necessary and sufficient that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{m > n} \kappa_n^m(\xi) = 0. \quad (7.1)$$

Proof. Necessity.

Let $\mathbf{P}(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\xi(n)| = 0) = 1$, then with at the same probability

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{m > n} |\xi(m)| = 0$$

and a fortiori

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{m > n} \arctan |\xi(m)| = 0$$

almost surely. We conclude on the ground of dominated convergence theorem

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E} \sup_{m > n} \arctan |\xi(m)| = 0,$$

which is equivalent to the equality (7.1.)

Proof. Sufficiency.

1. Note first of all that

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \{\omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi(n) = 0\} = \{\omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\tilde{\xi}(n)| = 0\} = \\ &\quad \cap_s \cup_N \{\omega : \sup_{n \geq N} |\tilde{\xi}(n)| < 1/s\} \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

and correspondingly

$$A_Q = \cap_s \cup_N \{\omega : \max_{n \in [N, N+Q]} |\tilde{\xi}(n)| < 1/s\}. \quad (7.3)$$

2. Let the condition (7.1) be satisfied. We consider a supplementary events:

$$B = \bar{A} = \Omega \setminus A, \quad B_Q = \bar{A}_Q = \Omega \setminus A_Q.$$

Elucidation: the set of elementary events B may contains (theoretically) also the elementary events when the limit does not exists.

We can write

$$B = \cup_s \cap_N \{\omega : \sup_{n \geq N} |\tilde{\xi}(n)| \geq 1/s\},$$

$$B_Q = \cup_s \cap_N \{\omega : \max_{n \in [N, N+Q]} |\tilde{\xi}(n)| \geq 1/s\} = \cup_s C_{s,Q}, \quad (7.4)$$

where

$$C_{s,Q} = \cap_N \{\omega : \max_{n \in [N, N+Q]} |\tilde{\xi}(n)| \geq 1/s\} = \cap_N D_{s,Q}^{(N)},$$

$$D_{s,Q}^{(N)} = \{\omega : \max_{n \in [N, N+Q]} |\tilde{\xi}(n)| \geq 1/s\}. \quad (7.5)$$

3. We obtain using the Tchebychev's inequality:

$$\mathbf{P}(D_{s,Q}^{(N)}) \leq \frac{\kappa_N^{N+Q}}{\arctan(1/s)} \rightarrow 0, \quad N \rightarrow \infty,$$

therefore for all the (natural) values s, Q

$$\mathbf{P}(C_{s,Q}) = 0, \quad (7.6)$$

following

$$\forall Q = 1, 2, \dots \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}(B_Q) = 0.$$

4. We find

$$\mathbf{P}(B) = \lim_{Q \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}(B_Q) = 0,$$

and ultimately $\mathbf{P}(A) = 1$, Q.E.D.

Example 7.1. Degenerate random processes and sequences.

Definition 7.1. The r.p. $\xi(t)$ is said to be (linear) degenerate, if

$$\xi(t) = \sum_{k=1}^N \eta_k \cdot h_k(t), \quad t \in T, \quad (7.7)$$

where $\{\eta_k\}$ are linear independent r.v., $\{h_k(\cdot)\}$ are linear independent deterministic functions.

We will say in the case $T = \{1, 2, \dots\}$, i.e. when

$$\xi(n) = \sum_{k=1}^N \eta_k \cdot h_k(n), \quad (7.8)$$

the r.p. $\xi(n)$ is called random degenerate sequence.

Let $\xi(n)$ be the random degenerate sequence; then

$$\mathbf{P}(\xi(n) \rightarrow 0) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E} \arctan |\xi(n)| = 0. \quad (7.9)$$

Remark 7.1.

We will consider here the case of the Banach space c consisting on all the numerical sequences $\{x(n)\}$ with existing the limit

$$\exists \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(n) =: x(\infty)$$

with at the same norm as in (7.0). As before, we consider the classical problem: let $\xi = \{\xi(n)\}$ be a random sequence; find the conditions (necessary conditions and sufficient conditions) under which $\mathbf{P}(\exists \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi(n)) = 1$ or equally $\mathbf{P}(\{\xi\} \in c) = 1$.

Notations:

$$\tilde{\xi}(n) := \arctan \xi(n), \quad \gamma_n^m = \gamma_n^m(\xi) \stackrel{def}{=} \mathbf{E} \arctan(\max_{k=n}^m |\xi(k) - \xi(n)|).$$

We find analogously to the theorem 7.1: $\mathbf{P}(\{\xi\} \in c) = 1$ if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{m > n} \gamma_n^m(\xi) = 0. \quad (7.10)$$

Remark 7.2.

It is known in the theory of martingales, see e.g. [8], [9], [24], chapters 2,3, [56] that the estimation of the maximum distribution play a very important role for the investigation of limit theorems, non asymptotical estimations etc.

It follows from our considerations that at the same is true in more general case of non-martingale processes and sequences.

Remark 7.3. Roughly speaking, the result of theorem 7.1 may be reformulated as follows. Let again $\{\xi(n)\}$ be a sequence of a r.v., $\tilde{\xi}(n) = \arctan(|\xi(n)|)$, and

$$\eta(n) = \sup_{m \geq n} |\tilde{\xi}(m)|. \quad (7.11)$$

Then

$$\{\omega : \xi(n) \rightarrow 0\} = \{\omega : \eta(n) \rightarrow 0\}. \quad (7.11)$$

But the random sequence $\{\eta(n)\}$ is monotonically non-increasing, therefore the sequence $\{\eta(n)\}$ tends to zero *with probability one* iff this sequence tends to zero *in probability*, or equally

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}\eta(n) = 0, \quad (7.12)$$

because the variables $\eta(n)$ are uniformly bounded.

8 Concluding remarks.

1. Estimate of modulus of continuity for random process.

In the practice, for instance, in the investigation of limits theorem for random processes, may be appeared the need of estimation the modulus of continuity for r. p. We can use for this purpose the *inverse* theorems of approximation theory, for example, Stechkin's estimate ([68], chapter 6, section 6.1:)

$$\omega(f, 1/n) \leq \frac{c}{n} \cdot \sum_{m=0}^n E_m(f). \quad (8.1)$$

We ensue for periodical r.p. $\xi(t)$:

Proposition 8.1.

$$\mathbf{E}\omega(\xi(\cdot), 1/n) \leq \frac{c}{n} \cdot \sum_{m=0}^n \mathbf{E} E_m(\xi). \quad (8.2)$$

Note as a slight consequence:

Proposition 8.2.

If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E} E_n(\xi) = 0$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E} \omega(\xi(\cdot), 1/n) = 0$$

and therefore

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0+} \mathbf{P}(\omega(\xi(\cdot), \delta) > \epsilon) = 0.$$

Moreover, since the function $\delta \rightarrow \omega(f, \delta)$ is monotonically non-decreasing,

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0+} \omega(\xi(\cdot), \delta) = 0\right) = 1. \quad (8.3)$$

The last assertion may be strengthened as follows. It is sufficient to suppose instead condition $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E} E_n(\xi) = 0$ to assume $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E} \arctan E_n(\xi) = 0$; then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E} \arctan \omega(\xi(\cdot), 1/n) = 0;$$

herewith the conclusion (8.3) remains true.

2. Application in the theory of limits theorem for random processes.

Let $\xi_\alpha(t)$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ be a *family* of separable periodical processes, \mathcal{A} be arbitrary set. Assume that for some non-random point $t_0 \in T$ the family of one-dimensional r.v. $\xi_\alpha(t_0)$ is tight. If

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\alpha} \mathbf{E} \arctan E_n(\xi_\alpha) = 0, \quad (8.4)$$

then the family of distributions $\mu_\alpha(\cdot)$ in the space $C(T)$ generated by $\xi_\alpha(\cdot)$:

$$\mu_\alpha(A) = \mathbf{P}(\xi_\alpha(\cdot) \in A)$$

is weakly compact.

Indeed, we conclude on the basis of the last subsection

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\alpha} \mathbf{E} \arctan[\omega(\xi_{\alpha}(\cdot), 1/n)] = 0.$$

Our proposition follows from theorem 1 in the book of I.I.Gikhman and A.V.Skorohod [21], chapter 9, section 2 after applying the Tchebychev's inequality.

In detail, let us introduce the following *family* of functionals

$$\beta_n^m(\alpha) = \beta_n^m(\xi_{\alpha}), \quad \alpha \in \mathcal{A}, \quad (8.5)$$

see (5.2), (5.3).

Theorem 8.1. *In order to all the r.p. $\xi_{\alpha}(t)$ have continuous with probability one sample path, and moreover its distributions are weakly compact in the space of continuous function $C(T)$, is necessary and sufficient that they was stochastic continuous and that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\alpha} \sup_{m \geq n+1} \beta_n^m(\alpha) = 0. \quad (8.6)$$

Note that the criterion (8.6) is more convenient for applications as in [21], chapter 9, section 2. For example, we can use the sufficient condition given by theorem 6.1.

For instance, let $\{\alpha\} = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and

$$\zeta_n(t) = n^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^n \eta_j(t),$$

where $\{\eta_j(t)\}$ are continuous independent identical distributed centered r.p. with finite continuous covariation function $R(t, s)$. The weak as $n \rightarrow \infty$ convergence of the sequence $\zeta_n(\cdot)$ to the centered continuous Gaussian distributed r.p. ζ_{∞} with at the same covariation function $R(t, s)$ in the space $C(T)$ implies the Central Limit Theorem (CLT) in this space; see [13], [33], [36], [42]; about applications CLT in the space $C(T)$ in the Monte-Carlo method see, e.g. [18], [23].

Note in addition that the generating functional for the r.p. $\zeta_n(\cdot)$, i.e. $\Phi_{\zeta_n}(\psi)$ may be calculated and uniformly estimated as follows:

$$\Phi_{\zeta_n}(\psi) = n \Phi_{\eta}(\psi/\sqrt{n}), \quad (8.7)$$

$$\Phi_{\zeta_n}(\psi) \leq \sup_n \left[n \Phi_{\eta}(\psi/\sqrt{n}) \right] < \infty. \quad (8.8)$$

3. Many variables.

It is no hard to generalize the notions and properties of the degenerate approximation into the functions of many variables. Besides, we can use instead trigonometrical approximation the approximation by means of algebraic polynomials.

References

- [1] ACHIESER N.I. *Theory of Approximation*. Frederic Ungar Publishing Co., Second Printing, New York, (1956).
- [2] BEDNORZ W. (2006). *A theorem on Majorizing Measures*. Ann. Probab., **34**, 1771-1781. MR1825156.
- [3] BEDNORZ W. *The majorizing measure approach to the sample boundedness*. arXiv:1211.3898v1 [math.PR] 16 Nov 2012
- [4] BEDNORZ W. (2010), *Majorizing measures on metric spaces*. C.R. math. Acad. Sci. Paris, (2010), 348, no. 1-2, 75-78, MR2586748
- [5] BEDNORZ W. *Hölder continuity of random processes*. arXiv:math/0703545v1 [math.PR] 19 Mar 2007.
- [6] BELYAEV YU.K. *Continuity and Hölder condition for sample functions of stationary Gaussian processes*. 4th Berkeley Symp. Stat. Probab. Vol. 2 (1961) p. 23-33.
- [7] BULDYGIN V.V. *Convergence of random elements in topological spaces*. Naukova Dumka, Kiev, (1980), (in Russian).
- [8] BURKHOLDER D.L. AND R. F. GUNDY. *Extrapolation and interpolation of quasi-linear operators on martingales*, Acta Math. 124 (1970), 249 -304. MR 55:13567
- [9] BURKHOLDER D.L. *The best constant in the Davis inequality for the expectation of the martingale square function*. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, (2001), V. 354 No 1 105 - 131.
- [10] CH.J. DE LA VALLEE-POUSSIN. *Sur la meilleure approximation des fonctions d'une variable réelle par des expressions d'ordre donné*. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. I. Math. , 166 (1918) pp. 799802
- [11] CIESIELSKI Z. *Properties of the orthogonal Franklin system*. Studia Math. , 23 : 2 (1963) pp. 141157
- [12] DE VORE A., LORENTZ G.G. *Constructive approximation*. Springer, (1993), Berlin, Heidelberg, New York.
- [13] DUDLEY R.M. *Uniform Central Limit Theorem*. Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [14] FERNIQUE X. (1975). *Regularité des trajectoires des fonction aleatoires gaussiennes*. Ecole de Probablite de Saint-Flour, IV - 1974, Lecture Notes in Mathematic. **480**, 1 - 96, Springer Verlag, Berlin.
- [15] FERNIQUE X, *Characterisation de processus de trajectoires majores ou continues*. Seminaire de Probabilits XII. Lecture Notes in Math. 649, (1978), 691706, Springer, Berlin.

- [16] FERNIQUE X. *Regularite de fonctions aleatoires non gaussiennes*. Ecole de Ete de Probabilites de Saint-Flour XI-1981. Lecture Notes in Math. 976, (1983), 174, Springer, Berlin.
- [17] FRANKLIN P. *A set of continuous orthogonal functions*. Math. Ann. , 100 (1928), pp. 522-529
- [18] FROLOV A.S., TCHENTZOV N.N. *On the calculation by the Monte-Carlo method definite integrals depending on the parameters*. Journal of Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, (1962), V. 2, Issue 4, p. 714-718 (in Russian).
- [19] FIORENZA A., AND KARADZHOV G.E. *Grand and small Lebesgue spaces and their analogs*. Consiglio Nazionale Delle Ricerche, Istituto per le Applicazioni del Calcolo Mauro Picone, Sezione di Napoli, Rapporto tecnico n. 272/03, (2005).
- [20] GARSIA, A. M.; RODEMICH, E.; AND RUMSEY, H., JR. *A real variable lemma and the continuity of paths of some Gaussian processes*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 20 (1970/1971), 565-578.
- [21] GIKHMAN I.I., SKOROHOD A.V. *Introduction to the theory of random processes*. Nauka, GIFML, Moscow (1965).
- [22] TAKASHI GODA. *On the separability of multivariate functions*. arXiv:1301.5962v1 [math.NA] 25 Jan 2013
- [23] GRIGORJEVA M.L., OSTROVSKY E.I. *Calculation of Integrals on discontinuous Functions by means of depending trials method*. Journal of Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, (1996), V. 36, Issue 12, p. 28-39 (in Russian).
- [24] HALL P., HEYDE C.C. *Martingale Limit Theory and Applications*. Academic Press, New York. (1980)
- [25] HEINKEL B. *Measures majorantes et le theoreme de la limite centrale dans $C(S)$* . Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheory. verw. Geb., (1977). **38**, 339-351.
- [26] I. A. IBRAGIMOV I.A. *Properties of sample functions of stochastic processes and embedding theorems*. Teory Probab. Appl., 18:3 (1973), 468-480.
- [27] ITO K., NISIO M. *On the convergence of sums of independent Banach space valued random variables*. Osaka J. Math., (1968), **5**, No 1, 35-48.
- [28] YAOZHONG HU AND KHOA LE *A multiparameter Garsia-Rodemich-Rumsey inequality and some applications*. arXiv:1211.6809v1 [math.PR] 29 Nov 2012
- [29] KACZMARZ S., STEINHAUS H. *Theorie der Orthogonalreihen*, Chelsea, reprint (1951).
- [30] KWAPIEN S., SZYMANSKI B. *Some remarks on Gaussian measures on Banach spaces*. Probability and Math. Statistics, (1980), V.1 n. 1, 59-65.

- [31] KOLMOGOROV A.N. *On the analytical methods in the probability theory*. Soviet Math. Surveys, (1938), **5**, 5-41. (in Russian).
- [32] KORNEICHUK N.P. *Exact Constants in Approximation Theory*. Cambridge University Press, (1991)
- [33] KOZACHENKO YU. V., OSTROVSKY E.I. (1985). *The Banach Spaces of random Variables of subgaussian type*. Theory of Probab. and Math. Stat. (in Russian). Kiev, KSU, **32**, 43 - 57.
- [34] KUO, F.Y., SLOAN, I.H., WASILKOWSKI, G.W. AND WOZNIAKOWSKI H. *On decompositions of multivariate functions*. Math. Comput., 79, 953966 (2010)
- [35] KWAPIEN S. AND ROSINSKY J. *Sample Hölder continuity of stochastic processes and majorizing measures*. (2004). Seminar on Stochastic Analysis, Random Fields and Applications IV, Progr. in Probab. 58, 155163. Birkhäuser, Basel.
- [36] LEDOUX M., TALAGRAND M. (1991) *Probability in Banach Spaces*. Springer, Berlin, MR 1102015.
- [37] LIFLYAND E., OSTROVSKY E., SIROTA L. *Structural Properties of Bilateral Grand Lebesgue Spaces*. Turk. J. Math.; **34** (2010), 207-219.
- [38] LORENTZ G.G. *Approximation of a Functions*. Chelsea, (1986), London, Berlin, New York, Hong Kong.
- [39] MARCUS M.B. AND SHEPP L.A. *Continuity of Gaussian processes*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **151**, (1970), 377-391.
- [40] NATANSON I.P. *Constructive Function Theory*. Frederic Ungar Publishing Co., New York, (1964).
- [41] NISIO N. *On the continuity of stationary Gaussian processes*. Nagoya Math. J., **34**, (1969), 89 - 104.
- [42] OSTROVSKY E.I. (1999). *Exponential estimations for random Fields and its applications (in Russian)*. Moscow - Obninsk, OINPE.
- [43] OSTROVSKY E. AND SIROTA L. *Moment Banach spaces: theory and applications*. HIAT Journal of Science and Engineering, **C**, Volume 4, Issues 1 - 2, pp. 233 - 262, (2007).
- [44] OSTROVSKY E. AND SIROTA L. *Module of continuity for the functions belonging to the Sobolev-Grand Lebesgue Spaces*. arXiv:1006.4177v1 [math.FA] 21 Jun 2010
- [45] OSTROVSKY E., SIROTA L. *Continuity of Functions belonging to the fractional Order Sobolev's-Grand Lebesgue Spaces*. arXiv:1301.0132v1 [math.FA] 1 Jan 2013
- [46] OSTROVSKY E., ROGOVER E. *Exact exponential Bounds for the random Field Maximum Distribution via the Majorizing Measures (Generic Chaining.)* arXiv:0802.0349v1 [math.PR] 4 Feb 2008

- [47] OSTROVSKY E.I. (2002). *Exact exponential Estimations for Random Field Maximum Distribution*. Theory Probab. Appl. 45 v.3, 281 - 286.
- [48] OSTROVSKY E., SIROTA L. *A counterexample to a hypothesis of light tail of maximum distribution for continuous random processes with light finite-dimensional tails*. arXiv:1208.6281v1 [math.PR] 30 Aug 2012
- [49] OSTROVSKY E., ROGOVER E. *Non - asymptotic exponential bounds for MLE deviation under minimal conditions via classical and generic chaining methods*. arXiv:0903.4062v1 [math.PR] 24 Mar 2009
- [50] OSTROVSKY E., SIROTA L. *Monte-Carlo method for multiple parametric integrals calculation and solving of linear integral Fredholm equations of a second kind, with confidence regions in uniform norm*. arXiv:1101.5381v1 [math.FA] 27 Jan 2011
- [51] OSTROVSKY E., SIROTA L. *Simplification of the majorizing method, with development*. arXiv:1302.3202v1 [math.PR] 13 Feb 2013
- [52] OSTROVSKY E. *On the local structure of normal fields*. Soviet Math. Doklady, (1970), v. 105 No 1 p. 1425-1428.
- [53] OSTROVSKY E. *Convergence of canonical expression for normal fields*. Math Notes, (1973), V. 14 Issue 4 p. 565-572.
- [54] OSTROVSKY E. *Local properties of Gaussian processes and fields*. Ph. D. Dissertation, MSU, (1972).
- [55] OSTROVSKY E. *Covariation operators and some estimated of Gaussian vectors in Banach space*. Soviet Math. Doklady, (1977), v. 236 No 3 p. 541-544.
- [56] PESHKIR G., SHIRJAEV A.N. *The Khintchine inequalities and martingale expanding sphere of their action*. Russian Math. Surveys; 50, 5, 849 - 904 (1995)
- [57] PROKHOROV YU.V. *Convergence of Random Processes and Limit Theorems of Probability Theory*. Probab. Theory Appl., (1956), V. 1, 177-238.
- [58] RAL'CHENKO, K. V. *The two-parameter Garsia-Rodemich-Rumsey inequality and its application to fractional Brownian fields*. Theory Probab. Math. Statist. No. 75 (2007), 167-178.
- [59] RIVLIN T.J. *Introduction to the Approximation of Functions*. Dover, (1969), New York.
- [60] SLUTSKY E.E. *Some proposals on the theory of random functions*. Proceedings of Middle-Asia University. (1949), V 5, **31**, 3-15. (in Russian).
- [61] SOBOL, I.M. *Theorems and examples on high dimensional model representation*. Reliab. Eng. Syst. Saf., 79, 187193 (2003).
- [62] SUDAKOV V.N. *A remark on the criterion of continuity of Gaussian sample functions*. Lecture Notes in Math., **330**, (1973), 444-454.

- [63] TALAGRAND M. (1996). *Majorizing measure: The generic chaining*. Ann. Probab., **24** 1049 - 1103. MR1825156
- [64] TALAGRAND M. (2005). *The Generic Chaining. Upper and Lower Bounds of Stochastic Processes*. Springer, Berlin. MR2133757.
- [65] TALAGRAND M. (1987). *Regularity of Gaussian processes*. Acta Math. 159 no. 1-2, 99 149, MR 0906527.
- [66] TALAGRAND M. (1990), *Sample boundedness of stochastic processes under increment conditions*. Annals of Probability 18, N. 1, 1-49, MR1043935.
- [67] TALAGRAND M. (1992). *A simple proof of the majorizing measure theorem*. Geom. Funct. Anal. 2, no. 1, 118 - 125. MR 1143666
- [68] TIMAN A.F. *Theory of Approximation of a real variables*. Pergamon Press, Oxford, London, New York, Paris, (1963)
- [69] VINKLER V. *Continuity condition for sample functions of random fields*. Probab. Theory Appl., **4**, (1959), 439 - 444.
- [70] VITUSHKIN A.G. *Estimate of the complexity of tabulation problem*. Moscow, (1959), GIFML (in Russian).
- [71] WAKHANIYA N.N., TARIELADZE W.I., CHOBANJAN S.A. *Probabilistic Distributions in Banach Spaces*. NAUKA, Moscow, (1985), (in Russian).
- [72] WATANABE H. *On the continuity property of Gaussian random fields*. Studia Mathematica, V. XLIX, (1973), 81-90.