

INVARIANTS OF HYPERBOLIC 3-MANIFOLDS IN RELATIVE GROUP HOMOLOGY

JOSÉ ANTONIO ARCINIEGA-NEVÁREZ AND JOSÉ LUIS CISNEROS-MOLINA

ABSTRACT. Let M be a complete oriented hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite volume. Using classifying spaces for families of subgroups we construct a class $\beta_P(M)$ in the Adamson relative homology group $H_3([PSL_2(\mathbb{C}) : \bar{P}]; \mathbb{Z})$, where \bar{P} is the subgroup of parabolic transformations which fix ∞ in the Riemann sphere. We also prove that the classes $F(M)$ in the Takasu relative homology groups $H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}), \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z})$ constructed by Zickert in [25], which are not well-defined and depend of a choice of decorations by horospheres are all mapped to $\beta_P(M)$ via a canonical comparison homomorphism $H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}), \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_3([PSL_2(\mathbb{C}) : \bar{P}]; \mathbb{Z})$. To do this, we simplify the construction of the classes $F(M)$ using a simpler complex which computes $H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}), \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z})$, getting a simple simplicial formula for $F(M)$, which in turn gives a simpler and more efficient formula to compute the volume and Chern–Simons invariant than the one given in [25]. The constructions can be extended for any boundary-parabolic $PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -representation.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Relative group homologies	5
3. The group $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ and some of its subgroups	10
4. Invariants for finite volume hyperbolic 3-manifolds	19
5. Relation with the extended Bloch group	23
6. Computing $\beta_P(M)$ and $\beta_B(M)$ using an ideal triangulation of M	26
7. The homomorphism $\hat{\sigma}: H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}), \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{C})$	29
8. Zickert’s relative class revisited	34
9. (G, H) -representations	42
10. Complex volume	45
References	46

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a discrete group and H a subgroup of G . In the literature there are two versions of *relative homology groups* for the pair (G, H) : the first one, denoted by $H_n([G : H]; \mathbb{Z})$, was defined by Adamson in [1], and the second one, denoted by $H_n(G, H; \mathbb{Z})$ was defined by Takasu in [22]. In general they do not coincide [3].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 57M27, 55R35, 18H10; Secondary 58J28.

Key words and phrases. Hyperbolic manifolds, classifying spaces for families of subgroups, Adamson relative group homology, Takasu relative group homology, extended Bloch group, boundary-parabolic representation, complex volume.

Given a compact oriented hyperbolic 3-manifold M there is a canonical representation $\bar{\rho}: \pi_1(M) = \Gamma \rightarrow PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$. To this representation corresponds a map $B\bar{\rho}: M \rightarrow BPSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ where $BPSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ is the classifying space of $PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ considered as a discrete group. There is a well-known invariant $[M]_{\text{PSL}}$ of M in the group $H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z})$ given by the image of the fundamental class of M under the homomorphism induced in homology by $B\bar{\rho}$.

In the case when M has cusps, a construction of $[M]_{\text{PSL}}$ was first described by Neumann in [15, §14]. Consider the Takasu relative group $H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}), \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z})$ where \bar{P} is the image in $PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ of the subgroup P of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ of matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & b \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix}$. The long exact sequence of Takasu relative group homology [22, Proposition 2.1] simplifies to short exact sequences (see [15, Proposition 14.3]), in particular

$$0 \rightarrow H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}), \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_2(\bar{P}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0,$$

and there is a natural splitting

$$(1.1) \quad \Psi: H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}), \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z}).$$

The hyperbolic 3-manifold M can be compactified to a manifold \bar{M} with $\partial\bar{M}$ consisting of tori. The fundamental class $[\bar{M}, \partial\bar{M}] \in H_3(\bar{M}, \partial\bar{M}; \mathbb{Z})$ determines a class in $H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}), \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z})$, and its image under the homomorphism Ψ gives $[M]_{\text{PSL}}$. This was proved in detail by Zickert in [25], where a complex of truncated simplices with labelings on its vertices by elements of $PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ is used to compute Takasu relative homology groups $H_n(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}), \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z})$, and using the fact that $H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to the extended Bloch group $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{C})$ defined by Neumann [15, Theorem 2.6] the homomorphism (1.1) is constructed. Suppose that the complete oriented non-compact hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite volume M (i.e., with cusps) is triangulated. From the triangulation and using the complex of truncated simplices, Zickert constructs a class $F(M)$ in $H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}), \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z})$, which is not well-defined, it depends on choices of horoballs at the cusps, but the image $[M]_{\text{PSL}} = \Psi(F(M) \in H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z}))$ is independent of these choices [25, Theorem 6.10].

In the present article we generalize the construction given by Cisneros-Molina and Jones in [6] using classifying spaces for families of isotropy subgroups to construct a well-defined class $\beta_P(M)$ in the Adamson relative homology group $H_3([PSL_2(\mathbb{C}) : \bar{P}]; \mathbb{Z})$. A family \mathfrak{F} of subgroups of a discrete group G is a set of subgroups of G which is closed under conjugation and subgroups. A classifying space $E_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ for the family \mathfrak{F} is a terminal object in the category of G -sets with isotropy subgroups in the family \mathfrak{F} . We consider the case $G = PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ and the family $\mathfrak{F}(\bar{P})$ of subgroups generated by the subgroup \bar{P} . One can compute Adamson relative group homology as $H_3([PSL_2(\mathbb{C}) : \bar{P}]; \mathbb{Z}) = H_3(B_{\mathfrak{F}(\bar{P})}(G); \mathbb{Z})$ where $B_{\mathfrak{F}(\bar{P})}(G)$ is the orbit space of $E_{\mathfrak{F}(\bar{P})}(G)$. Using general properties of classifying spaces for families of isotropy subgroups and the canonical representation $\bar{\rho}: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ we construct a canonical map $\widehat{\psi}_P: \widehat{M} \rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{F}(\bar{P})}(G)$ where \widehat{M} is the end compactification of M . We have that $H_3(\widehat{M}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and the image of the generator under the homomorphism $(\widehat{\psi}_P)_*: H_3(\widehat{M}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_3(B_{\mathfrak{F}(\bar{P})}(G); \mathbb{Z}) = H_3([PSL_2(\mathbb{C}) : \bar{P}]; \mathbb{Z})$ gives a well defined element $\beta_P(M) \in H_3([PSL_2(\mathbb{C}) : \bar{P}]; \mathbb{Z})$.

There is a canonical comparison homomorphism between Takasu and Adamson relative group homologies

$$(1.2) \quad \lambda_3: H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}), \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_3([PSL_2(\mathbb{C}) : \bar{P}]; \mathbb{Z}).$$

We prove that under λ_3 the class $F(M)$ is mapped to $\beta_P(M)$, independently of the choices made to define $F(M)$, in other words, the preimage $\lambda_3^{-1}(\beta_P(M))$ consists of the classes $F(M)$ varying the choices of horoballs. To prove this, first we simplify the construction of $F(M)$ in [25], instead of using the complex of truncated simplices with labelings on its vertices by elements of $PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$, we use a simpler complex, called the h_H^K -subcomplex, which computes the groups $H_n(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}), \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z})$ [3, Theorem 6.4] and we prove that the two complexes are isomorphic. Also following [9] we write explicitly homomorphism (1.1) in this context.

The construction of $\beta_P(M)$ has some advantages:

- (1) It does not require a triangulation of M .
- (2) It shows that the class $\beta_P(M)$ obtained in the Adamson relative homology group $H_3([PSL_2(\mathbb{C}) : \bar{P}]; \mathbb{Z})$ is a well-defined invariant of M which lifts the classical Bloch invariant $\beta(M)$.
- (3) Choosing an ideal triangulation of M one can give an explicit formula for the class $\beta_P(M)$.
- (4) Using $\beta_P(M)$ is possible to define the fundamental class $[M]_{\text{PSL}}$ without a triangulation of M by choosing a preimage $F(M) \in \lambda_3^{-1}(\beta_P(M))$ and defining $[M]_{\text{PSL}} = \Psi(F(M))$.

Our construction also works in the general context of (G, H) -representations of tame n -manifolds considered in [25]. In Section 9 we prove that a G -representation mapping peripheral subgroups to conjugates of a fixed subgroup H gives a well-defined class in the Adamson relative homology group $H_n([G : H]; \mathbb{Z})$. Since in general Adamson and Takasu relative homology groups do not necessarily coincide, the class in $H_n(G, H; \mathbb{Z})$ constructed by Zickert in [25, §5] depends of a choice of decoration and different classes given by different choices are all mapped to the class in $H_n([G : H]; \mathbb{Z})$ by a canonical homomorphism $H_n(G, H; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_n([G : H]; \mathbb{Z})$. So in general it is more appropriate to use Adamson relative group homology than Takasu relative group homology because we obtain classes independent of choice.

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the definitions and basic properties of Adamson and Takasu relative group homology theories, in particular, the topological definition of Adamson relative group homology using classifying spaces for families of subgroups, and the so-called h_H^K -subcomplex and conditions for which it computes Takasu relative group homology [3, Theorem 6.4]. In Section 3 we give explicit models for some homogeneous spaces of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$, explicit equivariant maps between them and we prove that the h_H^K -subcomplex given in Section 2 computes $H_n([PSL_2(\mathbb{C}) : \bar{P}]; \mathbb{Z})$. In Section 4 we define the invariants $\beta_B(M)$ and $\beta_P(M)$ of an orientable complete finite volume hyperbolic 3-manifold in Adamson relative group homology. In Section 5 we recall the definition of the Bloch group and extended Bloch group. In Section 6 using an ideal triangulation of M we give formulas for the invariants $\beta_B(M)$ and $\beta_P(M)$. In Section 7, using the models of homogeneous spaces given in Section 3 we give two versions of the h_H^K -subcomplex and following Dupont–Zickert [9] we construct a homomorphism

$\hat{\sigma}: H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}), \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{C})$. In Section 8 we prove that the complex of truncated simplices and the h_H^K -subcomplex are isomorphic and that under this isomorphism, the homomorphism $\hat{\sigma}$ corresponds to the homomorphism Ψ in (1.1) given by Zickert using the complex of truncated simplices. Then, we express Zickert classes $F(M)$ in terms of the h_H^K -subcomplex to prove that all the classes $F(M)$ are mapped to the invariant $\beta_P(M)$ under the comparison homomorphism (1.2). In Section 9 we extend our construction for (G, H) -representations of tame manifolds, in particular for boundary-parabolic representations and we define its PSL -fundamental class $[\rho]_{PSL} \in H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z})$. In Section 10 we recall Zickert's definition of the complex volume of a boundary-parabolic representation ρ and using a formula for the class $[\rho]_{PSL}$ obtained using the h_H^K -subcomplex to compute $H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}), \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z})$ and the corresponding version $\hat{\sigma}$ of homomorphism (1.1), we give an effective formula to compute the complex volume of ρ , in particular when ρ is the geometric representation we get efficient formulas for the volume and Chern-Simons invariant of a complete oriented hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite volume.

Remarks about this second version of the article. There are some important differences in this version of the article in comparison with the first one. The main difference is that in the first version, the proof of Proposition 3.20 is not correct (and probably also the statement). The main implication of this proposition was that for the pair $(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}), \bar{P})$ Adamson and Takasu relative homology groups coincide and that the relative class $F(M)$ constructed by Zickert coincide with the invariant $\beta_P(M)$. This contradicted the remark made by Zickert [25, Remark 5.19] that the class $F(M)$ is not well-defined and depends on the choice of decoration on the truncated simplices since the invariant $\beta_P(M)$ is well-defined. In this second version we prove that the different classes $F(M)$ obtained by different choices of decorations map to $\beta_P(M)$ via the comparison homomorphism as was mentioned in the introduction.

Following, we briefly list the changes made in this second version. The results on Sections 2 and 3 of the first version were published in the article [3] and Section 2 of this version was rewritten and only contains a summary of the results that we need, making reference for the proofs to [3]. In this version we call Adamson relative group homology to what we called Hochschild relative group homology in the first version, since was Adamson who defined the chain complex which gives the theory and Hochschild only interpreted it in terms of relative homological algebra, also to avoid confusion with Hochschild homology for associative algebras. Section 4 (now Section 3) basically was unchanged, only Corollary 4.16 was removed because was implied by Proposition 3.20. Section 5 and 6 (now Sections 4 and 5) did not change, except for minor corrections and we added Theorem 5.7. Sections 7 and 8 were permuted (now Sections 7 and 6 respectively) and Subsection 7.3 was removed. In Section 8 (now Section 8) Subsection 8.3 was rewritten. Section 10 (now Section 9) was unchanged and finally Section 11 (now Section 10) was rewritten.

Recently there has been some interest in this work [18, 17]. We apologize for the long delay to upload this corrected version of the article to the ArXiv and for any inconvenience that this may have caused. We also are very grateful to all the people interested in this work.

2. RELATIVE GROUP HOMOLOGIES

Let G be a discrete group and consider \mathbb{Z} as a trivial G -module. It is well known that the homology groups $H_\bullet(G; \mathbb{Z})$ of G can be defined topologically, as the homology groups of the classifying space BG of G with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} (see [5, Proposition II (4.1)], or algebraically, as the homology groups of the *standard free G -resolution* of \mathbb{Z} , tensored with \mathbb{Z} ; or more generally as the groups $\text{Tor}_n^G(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z})$ (see [5, III §2]).

Now consider a subgroup H of G . In the literature there are two versions of *relative homology groups* for the pair (G, H) . The first one, defined by Adamson in [1], generalises in a natural way the algebraic definition, while the second one, defined by Takasu in [22], generalises in a natural way the topological definition.

In this section we recall the definitions of Adamson and Takasu relative group homologies and some of their properties that we will use in the sequel. Here we mainly follow [3].

2.1. Homology of permutation representations. A *permutation representation* is a pair (G, X) where G is a group and X a G -set. For any G -set X we construct a complex $(\mathbf{C}_*(X), \partial_*)$ of abelian groups, where $\mathbf{C}_n(X)$ is the free abelian group generated by the ordered $(n+1)$ -tuples of elements of X . Define the i -th face homomorphism $d_i: \mathbf{C}_n(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{n-1}(X)$ by $d_i(x_0, \dots, x_n) = (x_0, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_n)$, where \widehat{x}_i denotes deletion. The boundary homomorphism $\partial_n: \mathbf{C}_n(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{n-1}(X)$ is given by $\partial_n = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i d_i$. The standard computation shows that $\partial_n \circ \partial_{n+1} = 0$ proving that $\mathbf{C}_n(X)$ is indeed a complex. Define $\mathbf{C}_{-1}(X) = \mathbb{Z}$ as the infinite cyclic group generated by $()$ and define $\partial_0(x) = ()$ for any $x \in X$. Notice that this extended complex is precisely the augmented complex

$$\mathbf{C}_n(X) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_2(X) \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \mathbf{C}_1(X) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \mathbf{C}_0(X) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0,$$

with $\varepsilon = \partial_0$ the augmentation homomorphism.

Remark 2.1. This complex is acyclic [3, Proposition 3.2], hence it is a G -resolution of the trivial G -module \mathbb{Z} .

The action of G on X induces an action of G on $\mathbf{C}_n(X)$ with $n \geq 0$ given by

$$g \cdot (x_0, \dots, x_n) = (g \cdot x_0, \dots, g \cdot x_n)$$

which endows $\mathbf{C}_n(X)$ with the structure of a G -module. We also let G act on $\mathbf{C}_{-1}(X) = \mathbb{Z}$ trivially. Denote by $(\mathbf{B}_*(X), \partial_* \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}})$ the complex given by

$$(2.1) \quad \mathbf{B}_*(X) = \mathbf{C}_*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} \mathbb{Z}.$$

We see $\mathbf{C}_*(X)$ as a right G -module by defining

$$(x_0, \dots, x_n) \cdot g := g^{-1} \cdot (x_0, \dots, x_n).$$

The groups

$$H_n(G, X; \mathbb{Z}) = H_n(\mathbf{B}_*(X)),$$

are the *homology groups of the permutation representation* (G, X) . The corresponding cohomology groups were defined by Snapper in [20].

Remark 2.2. If X is a free G -set, in particular $X = G$ acting on itself by left multiplication, the complex $\mathbf{B}_*(G)$ computes the homology of G [3, Remark 3.4].

2.2. Adamson relative group homology. If H is a subgroup of G and $X = G/H$ then we have that the homology of the complex $\mathbf{B}_*(G/H)$ gives the *Adamson relative homology groups*

$$(2.2) \quad H_i([G : H]; \mathbb{Z}) = H_i(\mathbf{B}_*(G/H)), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

defined by Adamson in [1, §3] and Hochschild in [11, §4]. More generally, let $X_{(H)}$ be a G -set, such that the action of G on X is *transitive* and the set of isotropy subgroups of points in $X_{(H)}$ is the conjugacy class of H in G . Then $X_{(H)}$ and G/H are isomorphic as G -sets and we have that the homology of the *transitive permutation representation* $(G, X_{(H)})$ is the Adamson relative group homology, that is,

$$H_n([G : H]; \mathbb{Z}) = H_n(G, X_{(H)}; \mathbb{Z}) = H_n(\mathbf{B}_*(X_{(H)})), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Notice that when $H = \{1\}$ we recover the homology groups $H_n(G; \mathbb{Z})$ of G .

There is also a topological definition of Adamson relative group homology, using classifying spaces for families of isotropy subgroups, which generalise de classifying space of a group. We recommend the survey article by Lück [14] and the bibliography there for more details.

Let G be a discrete group. A *family* \mathfrak{F} of subgroups of G is a set of subgroups of G which is closed under conjugation and taking subgroups. Let $\{H_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a set of subgroups of G , we denote by $\mathfrak{F}(H_i)$ the family consisting of all the subgroups of the $\{H_i\}_{i \in I}$ and all their conjugates by elements of G .

Let \mathfrak{F} be a family of subgroups of G . A model for the *classifying space for the family* \mathfrak{F} of subgroups is a G -CW-complex $E_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ which has the following properties:

- (1) All isotropy groups of $E_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ belong to \mathfrak{F} .
- (2) For any G -CW-complex Y , whose isotropy groups belong to \mathfrak{F} , there is up to G -homotopy a unique G -map $Y \rightarrow E_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$.

In other words, $E_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ is a terminal object in the G -homotopy category of G -CW-complexes, whose isotropy groups belong to \mathfrak{F} . In particular two models for $E_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ are G -homotopy equivalent and for two families $\mathfrak{F}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_2$ there is up to G -homotopy precisely one G -map $E_{\mathfrak{F}_1}(G) \rightarrow E_{\mathfrak{F}_2}(G)$.

There is a homotopy characterization of $E_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ which allows us to determine whether or not a given G -CW-complex is a model for $E_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$.

Theorem 2.3 ([14, Theorem. 1.9]). *A G -CW-complex X is a model for $E_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ if and only if all its isotropy groups belong to \mathfrak{F} and the H -fixed point set X^H is weakly contractible for each $H \in \mathfrak{F}$ and is empty otherwise. In particular, $E_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ is contractible.*

Let H be a subgroup of G . For a G -space X , let $\text{res}_H^G X$ be the H -space obtained by restricting the group action. If \mathfrak{F} is a family of subgroups of G , let $\mathfrak{F}/H = \{L \cap H \mid L \in \mathfrak{F}\}$ be the induced family of subgroups of H .

Proposition 2.4 ([23, Proposition 7.2.4], [10, Proposition A.5]).

$$\text{res}_H^G E_{\mathfrak{F}}(G) = E_{\mathfrak{F}/H}(H).$$

The following proposition gives a simplicial construction of a model for $E_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$. Let $\{H_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a set of subgroups of G such that every group in \mathfrak{F} is conjugate to a subgroup of an H_i , that is, $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}(H_i)$. Consider the disjoint union $\Delta_{\mathfrak{F}} = \coprod_{i \in I} G/H_i$, or more generally, let $X_{\mathfrak{F}}$ be any G -set such that \mathfrak{F} is precisely the set

of subgroups of G which fix at least one point of $X_{\mathfrak{F}}$. Notice that $\Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is an example of such a G -set.

Proposition 2.5 ([3, Proposition 4.16]). *Let $X_{\mathfrak{F}}$ be as above. A model for $E_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ is the geometric realization Y of the simplicial set whose n -simplices are the ordered $(n+1)$ -tuples (x_0, \dots, x_n) of elements of $X_{\mathfrak{F}}$. The face operators are given by*

$$d_i(x_0, \dots, x_n) = (x_0, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_n),$$

where \widehat{x}_i means omitting the element x_i . The degeneracy operators are defined by

$$s_i(x_0, \dots, x_n) = (x_0, \dots, x_i, x_i, \dots, x_n).$$

The action of $g \in G$ on an n -simplex (x_0, \dots, x_n) of Y gives (gx_0, \dots, gx_n) .

Remark 2.6. Let H be a subgroup of G and consider the family $\mathfrak{F}(H)$ which consists of all the subgroups of H and their conjugates by elements of G . In this case we can take $X_{\mathfrak{F}(H)} = G/H$.

Remark 2.7. When $\mathfrak{F} = \{1\}$, the above construction corresponds to the *universal bundle* EG of G . The G -orbit space of EG is the classical classifying space BG of G . In analogy with BG , we denote by $B_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ the G -orbit space of $E_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$. Thus when $\mathfrak{F} = \{1\}$, we have that $B_{\{1\}}(G) = BG$.

Proposition 2.8 ([3, Corollary 4.27]). *Let G be a discrete group. Let H be a subgroup of G . Consider the family of subgroups $\mathfrak{F}(H)$ generated by H . Then*

$$H_n(B_{\mathfrak{F}(H)}(G); \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_n([G : H]; \mathbb{Z}), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $B_{\mathfrak{F}(H)}(G)$ is the orbit space of the classifying space $E_{\mathfrak{F}(H)}(G)$.

When $H = \{1\}$ we recover the well-known fact $H_{\bullet}(BG; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_{\bullet}(G; \mathbb{Z})$.

So we can say that the space $B_{\mathfrak{F}(H)}(G)$ is a classifying space for the permutation representation $(G, X_{(H)})$, compare with Blowers [4] where a classifying space for an arbitrary permutation representation is constructed for Snapper's cohomology [20].

Proposition 2.9 ([3, Proposition 4.28]). *Let K be a normal subgroup of G contained in H . Then we have an isomorphism of relative homology groups*

$$H_n([G : H]; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_n([G/K : H/K]; \mathbb{Z}), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

If H is a normal subgroup of G , then we have an isomorphism $H_{\bullet}(G/H; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_{\bullet}([G : H]; \mathbb{Z})$.

2.2.1. *Natural G -maps and induced homomorphism.* Let H and K be subgroups of G . There exists a G -map $G/H \rightarrow G/K$ if and only if there exists $a \in G$ such that $a^{-1}Ha \subset K$ and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} R_a: G/H &\rightarrow G/K, \\ gH &\mapsto gaK. \end{aligned}$$

Any G -map $G/H \rightarrow G/K$ is of the form R_a for some $a \in G$ such that $a^{-1}Ha \subset K$ and $R_a = R_b$ only if $a^{-1}b \in K$, see tom Dieck [24, Proposition I(1.14)].

Let H and K be subgroups of G such that H is conjugate to a subgroup of K , then there is a G -map

$$h_H^K: X_{(H)} \rightarrow X_{(K)}.$$

This induces a G -homomorphism

$$(h_H^K)_*: \mathbf{C}_*(X_{(H)}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_*(X_{(K)}),$$

which in turn induces a homomorphism of homology groups

$$(2.3) \quad (h_H^K)_* : H_n([G : H]; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_n([G : K]; \mathbb{Z}).$$

Remark 2.10. Let H and K be subgroups of G . Consider the families $\mathfrak{F}(H)$ and $\mathfrak{F}(K)$ generated by H and K respectively and suppose that $\mathfrak{F}(H) \subset \mathfrak{F}(K)$. Then there exists a G -map unique up to G -homotopy $E_{\mathfrak{F}(H)}(G) \rightarrow E_{\mathfrak{F}(K)}(G)$. Notice that $\mathfrak{F}(H) \subset \mathfrak{F}(K)$ implies that H is conjugate to a subgroup of K and therefore there exists a G -map $h_H^K : X_{(H)} = X_{\mathfrak{F}(H)} \rightarrow X_{(K)} = X_{\mathfrak{F}(K)}$. Using the Simplicial Construction of Proposition 2.5 we can see the G -map $E_{\mathfrak{F}(H)}(G) \rightarrow E_{\mathfrak{F}(K)}(G)$ as the simplicial G -map given in n -simplices by

$$(x_0, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (h_H^K(x_0), \dots, h_H^K(x_n)), \quad x_i \in X_{\mathfrak{F}(H)}, \quad i = 0, \dots, n.$$

This map induces a canonical map $B_{\mathfrak{F}(H)}(G) \rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{F}(K)}(G)$ between the corresponding G -orbit spaces. This map in turn induces a canonical homomorphism in homology

$$H_n(B_{\mathfrak{F}(H)}(G); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_n(B_{\mathfrak{F}(K)}(G); \mathbb{Z}),$$

which by Proposition 2.8 corresponds to the homomorphism $(h_H^K)_*$ in (2.3).

2.3. Takasu relative group homology. Let H be a subgroup of G , the classifying space BH can be regarded as a subspace of the classifying space BG : let $\iota : BH \rightarrow BG$ be the map induced by the inclusion of H in G ; the *mapping cylinder* $\text{Cyl}(\iota)$ of ι is a model for BG since it is homotopically equivalent to BG and it clearly contains BH as subspace. We define the *Takasu relative homology groups* denoted by $H_n(G, H)$, as the homology of the pair $H_n(BG, BH; \mathbb{Z})$.

There is a description of these relative homology groups in terms of G -projective (in particular G -free) resolutions: Let H be a subgroup of G . Given a G -module N we consider the epimorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \theta : \mathbb{Z}[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[H]} N &\rightarrow N \\ g \otimes n &\mapsto gn \end{aligned}$$

and define the G -module

$$I_{(G,H)}(N) = \ker \theta.$$

We have that $I_{(G,H)}(N)$ is a covariant exact functor from the category of left G -modules to itself with respect to the variable N , see Takasu [22, Proposition 1.1 (i)].

Consider \mathbb{Z} as a trivial G -module. For any free G -resolution \mathbf{F}_* of $I_{(G,H)}(\mathbb{Z})$, we have a canonical isomorphism

$$(2.4) \quad H_n(G, H) \cong H_{n-1}(\mathbf{F}_* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} \mathbb{Z}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

That is, $H_n(G, H) = \text{Tor}_{n-1}^{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(I_{(G,H)}(\mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z})$, see Takasu [22, Def. §2 (i) & Proposition 3.2].

Remark 2.11. Notice that $\mathbb{Z}[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[H]} \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}[G/H] = \mathbf{C}_0(G/H)$ and with this isomorphism the epimorphism θ corresponds to the augmentation $\varepsilon : \mathbf{C}_0(G/H) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore

$$I_{(G,H)}(\mathbb{Z}) = \ker \varepsilon.$$

The relative homology groups fit in the long exact sequence [22, Proposition 2.1]

$$(2.5) \quad \dots \rightarrow H_i(H; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_i(G; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_i(G, H; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{i-1}(H; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \dots$$

which corresponds to the long exact sequence for the pair (BG, BH) .

Let H and K be a subgroup of G such that $H \leq K \leq G$. There exists an induced homomorphism [22, Proposition 1.2]

$$I_{(G,H)}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow I_{(G,K)}(\mathbb{Z}),$$

which in turn, by the functoriality of $Tor_{n-1}^{\mathbb{Z}[G]}$ induces a homomorphism

$$H_n(G, H; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_n(G, K; \mathbb{Z}).$$

2.3.1. Free G -resolution for $I_{(G,H)}(\mathbb{Z})$. Let G be a group of infinite order. Let H and K be subgroups of G such that H is conjugate to a subgroup of K and suppose K has *infinite* index in G . Consider a G -map $h_H^K: G/H \rightarrow G/K$ described in Subsection 2.2.1.

Let $\mathbf{C}_*^{h_H^K \neq}(X_{(H)})$ be the subcomplex of $\mathbf{C}_*(X_{(H)})$ generated by tuples mapping to different elements by the homomorphism h_H^K . This subcomplex is acyclic [3, Lemma 6.1] and we call it the h_H^K -subcomplex of $\mathbf{C}_*(X_{(H)})$. As before, set

$$\mathbf{B}_*^{h_H^K \neq}(X_{(H)}) = \mathbf{C}_*^{h_H^K \neq}(X_{(H)}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} \mathbb{Z}.$$

Remark 2.12. In the case $H = K$, we can take h_H^H to be the identity. Hence $\mathbf{C}_*^{h_H^H \neq}(X_{(H)})$ is generated by tuples of distinct elements, so we just denote it by $\mathbf{C}_*^{\neq}(X_{(H)})$.

In some cases the h_H^K -subcomplex $\mathbf{C}_*^{h_H^K \neq}(X_{(H)})$ computes $H_n(G, H; \mathbb{Z})$.

Let H and K be subgroups of G such that H is a subgroup of K . The group H is K -malnormal if for any $g \notin K$ we have $H \cap gHg^{-1} = \{e\}$ where $e \in G$ is the identity element. If H is H -malnormal we just say it is *malnormal*.

Let H and K be subgroups of G such that H is conjugate to a subgroup of K . We say that the subgroup H is K -malnormal conjugate if H is conjugate to a K -malnormal subgroup of K .

Theorem 2.13 ([3, Theorem 6.4]). *Let H and K be subgroups of G such that H is conjugate to a subgroup of K and suppose K has infinite index in G . Also assume that H is K -malnormal conjugate. Consider the h_H^K -subcomplex $\mathbf{C}_*^{h_H^K \neq}(G/H)$. Then $\mathbf{C}_*^{h_H^K \neq}(G/H)$ is a free G -resolution of $I_{(G,H)}(\mathbb{Z})$ and therefore for any trivial G -module A we have isomorphisms*

$$H_n(G, H; A) \cong H_n(\mathbf{B}_*^{h_H^K \neq}(G/H; A)),$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$

In Theorem 2.13 one has to be careful with the shift of indices in the definition of Takasu relative group homology (see [3, Remark 6.5]).

Theorem 2.14 ([3, Theorem 7.13]). *Let H be a malnormal subgroup of G and let A be a trivial G -module. Then*

$$H_n(G, H; A) = H_n([G : H]; A)$$

for $n \geq 1$.

2.4. Comparison of Adamson and Takasu relative group homologies. Adamson and Takasu relative group homologies do not coincide in general (see [3, §7]).

From the definitions of $H_n(G, H; \mathbb{Z})$ and $H_n([G : H]; \mathbb{Z})$ in terms of resolutions we get a canonical homomorphism between them (see [3, §7.1]).

Let \mathbf{F}_* be any free G -resolution of $I_{(G,H)}(\mathbb{Z})$. By Remarks 2.1 and 2.11 we have that the complex

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_3(G/H) \xrightarrow{\partial_3} \mathbf{C}_2(G/H) \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \mathbf{C}_1(G/H) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} I_{(G,H)}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0,$$

is a G -resolution of $I_{(G,H)}(\mathbb{Z})$. By the Comparison Theorem for Resolutions there is a chain map $\lambda_{n+1}: \mathbf{F}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{n+1}(G/H)$, $n \geq 0$, unique up to chain homotopy which by (2.4) and (2.2) induces a homomorphism

$$(2.6) \quad \lambda_n: H_n(G, H; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_n([G : H]; \mathbb{Z}), \quad n = 2, 3, \dots$$

As a consequence of Theorem 2.13 we have the following

Corollary 2.15 ([3, Corollary 7.5]). *Let H and K be subgroups of G such that H is conjugate to a subgroup of K and suppose K has infinite index in G . Also assume that H is K -malnormal conjugate. Then the chain morphism λ_* is given by the inclusion of complexes $\mathbf{C}_*^{h_H^K \neq}(G/H) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_*(G/H)$.*

In [3, Theorem 7.13] it is proved that a sufficient condition for the equality of Adamson and Takasu relative homologies of a pair (G, H) is that the subgroup H be a malnormal subgroup.

3. THE GROUP $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ AND SOME OF ITS SUBGROUPS

We denote by \mathbb{C}^\times the multiplicative group of the field of complex numbers. Consider the group $G = SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ and let H be one of the following subgroups of G :

$$\begin{aligned} \pm I &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad T = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in \mathbb{C}^\times \right\}, \quad U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid b \in \mathbb{C} \right\}, \\ P &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & b \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid b \in \mathbb{C} \right\}, \quad B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in \mathbb{C}^\times, b \in \mathbb{C} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By abuse of notation, we denote by I the identity matrix and also the subgroup of G which consists only of the identity matrix. Denote by $\bar{G} = G/\pm I = PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$. Given a subgroup H of G denote by \bar{H} the image of H in \bar{G} . Notice that $\bar{U} = \bar{P}$. We denote by \bar{g} the element of \bar{G} with representative $g \in G$. We shall use this notation through the rest of the article except in Section 9. As usual we consider all groups with the discrete topology.

We list some known facts about these groups. Their proofs are in Lang [13].

- $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ is generated by elementary matrices [13, Lem. XIII.8.1].
- B is a maximal proper subgroup [13, Proposition XIII.8.2].
- **Bruhat decomposition:** [13, XIII §8, p. 538]. Let $w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. There is a decomposition of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ into disjoint subsets

$$SL_2(\mathbb{C}) = B \cup BwB.$$

- The subgroups U and P are normal in B and we have the exact sequences

$$(3.1) \quad I \rightarrow U \xrightarrow{i} B \rightarrow T \cong \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow I$$

$$I \rightarrow P \xrightarrow{i} B \rightarrow T \cong \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow I.$$

- $PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ is a simple group [13, Theorem XIII.8.4]. Hence, $\pm I$ is the only normal subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$.

In this section we give models for the G -sets $X_{(H)}$ with $H = U, P, B$ and the explicit G -maps between them.

Remark 3.1. Recall that for $H = P, B$ we have bijections of sets $G/H \cong \bar{G}/\bar{H}$ which are equivariant with respect to the actions of G on G/H and of \bar{G} on \bar{G}/\bar{H} via the natural projection $G \rightarrow \bar{G}$. Thus, we have that $X_{(H)} = X_{(\bar{H})}$ as sets, the subgroup will indicate whether we are considering the action of G or \bar{G} on it.

3.1. The G -set $X_{(U)}$. Consider the action of G on $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ given by left matrix multiplication.

Proposition 3.2. *The group G acts transitively on $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$.*

Proof. Let (x, y) and (z, w) be elements of $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$. Then a matrix $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ which send (x, y) to (z, w) is given by:

If $x \neq 0$: we have two cases:

$w \neq 0$:

$$a = \frac{zw + xy}{xw}, \quad b = -\frac{x}{w}, \quad c = \frac{w}{x}, \quad d = 0.$$

That is

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{zw+xy}{xw} & -\frac{x}{w} \\ \frac{w}{x} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$w = 0$: which implies that $z \neq 0$

$$a = \frac{z - by}{x}, \quad c = -\frac{y}{z}, \quad d = \frac{x}{z}, \quad b = \text{any complex number.}$$

That is (simplifying taking $b = 0$)

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{z}{x} & 0 \\ -\frac{y}{z} & \frac{x}{z} \end{pmatrix}$$

If $x = 0$: which implies $y \neq 0$, then we have

$$b = \frac{z}{y}, \quad d = \frac{w}{y}, \quad a = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } z \neq 0, \\ \frac{y}{w} & \text{if } w \neq 0. \end{cases} \quad c = \begin{cases} -\frac{y}{z} & \text{if } z \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } w \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

That is

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{z}{y} \\ -\frac{y}{z} & \frac{w}{y} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{if } z \neq 0,$$

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{y}{w} & \frac{z}{y} \\ 0 & \frac{w}{y} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{if } w \neq 0.$$

This proves the transitivity of the action. □

Hence we have that

Proposition 3.3. *The isotropy subgroup of $(1, 0)$ is U . Therefore, there is a G -isomorphism between $SL_2(\mathbb{C})/U$ and $X_{(U)}$ given by*

$$SL_2(\mathbb{C})/U \rightarrow X_{(U)} \\ gU \mapsto g \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Let $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

implies that $a = 1$ and $c = 0$, but since the determinant of g is 1 we have that $d = 1$ and therefore $g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in U$. \square

Therefore we can set $X_{(U)} = \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$.

3.2. The G -set $X_{(P)}$. Now consider the action of \mathbb{Z}_2 on $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ such that -1 sends the pair (x, y) to its antipodal point $(-x, -y)$. Consider the orbit space $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}/\mathbb{Z}_2$ and denote by $[x, y] = \{(x, y), (-x, -y)\}$ the orbit of the pair (x, y) .

Let $g \in G$ and $[x, y] \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}/\mathbb{Z}_2$. We define an action of G on $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}/\mathbb{Z}_2$ given by

$$g \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} g \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix}.$$

This gives a well-defined action since

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ax + by \\ cx + dy \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} -ax - by \\ -cx - dy \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -x \\ -y \end{pmatrix}.$$

Remark 3.4. Since $-I$ acts as the identity, this action descends to an action of \bar{G} .

By the definition of the action of G on $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}/\mathbb{Z}_2$ and Proposition 3.2 we have

Corollary 3.5. *The group G acts transitively on $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}/\mathbb{Z}_2$.*

Proposition 3.6. *The isotropy subgroup of $[1, 0]$ is P . Therefore, there is a G -isomorphism between $SL_2(\mathbb{C})/P$ and $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}/\mathbb{Z}_2$ given by*

$$SL_2(\mathbb{C})/P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}/\mathbb{Z}_2 \\ gP \mapsto g \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. Let $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This implies that $a = \pm 1$ and $c = 0$, but since $\det g = 1$ we have that $d = \pm 1$ and therefore $g = \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & b \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix} \in P$. \square

Therefore we can set $X_{(P)} = \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\} / \mathbb{Z}_2$. By Remark 3.1 we also have that $X_{(\bar{P})} = \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\} / \mathbb{Z}_2$.

There is another model for $X_{(P)}$ which we learned from Ramadas Ramakrishnan. Consider the set Sym of 2×2 non-zero symmetric complex matrices with determinant zero. The set Sym is given by matrices of the form

$$(3.2) \quad \text{Sym} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x^2 & xy \\ xy & y^2 \end{pmatrix} \mid (x, y) \in X_{(U)} \right\}.$$

Let $g \in SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $S \in \text{Sym}$. We define an action of G on Sym by

$$g \cdot S = gSg^T,$$

where g^T is the transpose of g . The action is well-defined because transpose conjugation preserves symmetry and the determinant function is a homomorphism. Since $-I$ acts as the identity, this action descends to an action of \bar{G} .

Proposition 3.7. *The group G acts transitively on Sym .*

Proof. Let $\begin{pmatrix} x^2 & xy \\ xy & y^2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} z^2 & zw \\ zw & w^2 \end{pmatrix}$ be elements of Sym . Then the matrix $g' = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ which send $\begin{pmatrix} x^2 & xy \\ xy & y^2 \end{pmatrix}$ to $\begin{pmatrix} z^2 & zw \\ zw & w^2 \end{pmatrix}$ is given by:

If $x \neq 0$: we have two cases:

$w \neq 0$:

$$a = -\frac{zw + xy}{xw}, \quad b = \frac{x}{w}, \quad c = -\frac{w}{x}, \quad d = 0. d = \text{any complex number}$$

That is

$$g' = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{zw+xy}{xw} & \frac{x}{w} \\ -\frac{w}{x} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

If $w = 0$: which implies that $z \neq 0$

$$a = -\frac{z}{x}, \quad c = \frac{y}{z}, \quad d = -\frac{x}{z}, \quad b = 0$$

That is

$$g' = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{z}{x} & 0 \\ \frac{y}{z} & -\frac{x}{z} \end{pmatrix}$$

If $x = 0$: which implies that $y \neq 0$, then we have

$$b = -\frac{z}{y}, \quad d = -\frac{w}{y}, \quad a = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } z \neq 0, \\ -\frac{y}{w} & \text{if } w \neq 0. \end{cases} \quad c = \begin{cases} \frac{y}{z} & \text{if } z \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } w \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

That is

$$g' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{z}{y} \\ \frac{y}{z} & -\frac{w}{y} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{if } z \neq 0,$$

$$g' = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{y}{w} & -\frac{z}{y} \\ 0 & -\frac{w}{y} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{if } w \neq 0.$$

□

Remark 3.8. Notice that the matrices g' found in the proof of Proposition 3.7 are the negatives of the corresponding matrices g found in the proof of Proposition 3.2, that is $g' = -g$. In the proof of Proposition 3.7 one can also take the corresponding matrices g .

Proposition 3.9. *The isotropy subgroup of $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sym}$ is P . Therefore, there is a G -isomorphism between $SL_2(\mathbb{C})/P$ and Sym given by*

$$SL_2(\mathbb{C})/P \rightarrow \text{Sym} \\ gP \mapsto g \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} g^T.$$

Proof. Let $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & ac \\ ac & c^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This implies that $a = \pm 1$, $c = 0$ and $d = \pm 1$ since $\det g = 1$. Thus $g = \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & b \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix} \in P$ as claimed. \square

We denote $\bar{X}_{(P)} = \text{Sym}$ to distinguish it from $X_{(P)}$. By Remark 3.1 we have that Sym is also a model for \bar{G}/\bar{P} . We use the notation $\bar{X}_{(\bar{P})} = \text{Sym}$ to distinguish it from $X_{(\bar{P})}$ and emphasize the action of \bar{G} .

Corollary 3.10. *The sets $X_{(P)}$ and $\bar{X}_{(P)}$ are isomorphic as G -sets.*

Proof. This is immediate from Propositions 3.6 and 3.9. We can give an explicit isomorphism by using the transitivity of the actions of G on each of these G -sets. Let $[x, y] \in X_{(P)}$, then

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & z \\ y & w \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where z and w are complex numbers such that $xw - yz = 1$. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} x^2 & xy \\ xy & y^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & z \\ y & w \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix}$$

We define the isomorphism by

$$(3.3) \quad \varrho: X_{(P)} \rightarrow \bar{X}_{(P)} \\ \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} x^2 & xy \\ xy & y^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is equivariant because

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ax + by \\ cx + dy \end{bmatrix}$$

is sent by the isomorphism to

$$\begin{pmatrix} (ax + by)^2 & (ax + by)(cx + dy) \\ (ax + by)(cx + dy) & (cx + dy)^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x^2 & xy \\ xy & y^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

\square

3.3. The G -set $X_{(B)}$. Let $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the Riemann sphere. Let $\text{LF}(\widehat{\mathbb{C}})$ be the group of fractional linear transformations on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \phi: G = SL_2(\mathbb{C}) &\rightarrow \text{LF}(\widehat{\mathbb{C}}) \\ g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} &\mapsto \phi(g)(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}, \end{aligned}$$

be the canonical homomorphism. Let $g \in G$ act on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ by the corresponding fractional linear transformation $\phi(g)$. It is well-known that this action is transitive. Abusing of the notation, given $g \in G$ and $z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ we denote the action by $g \cdot z = \phi(g)(z)$.

We can also identify \mathbb{CP}^1 with $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ via $[z_1 : z_2] \leftrightarrow \frac{z_1}{z_2}$, where $[z_1 : z_2] \in \mathbb{CP}^1$ is written in homogeneous coordinates. In this case an element $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G$ acts in an element $[z_1 : z_2]$ in \mathbb{CP}^1 by matrix multiplication

$$g \cdot [z_1 : z_2] = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} = [az_1 + bz_2 : cz_1 + dz_2].$$

Proposition 3.11. *The isotropy subgroup of $\infty \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is B . Therefore, there is a G -isomorphism between $SL_2(\mathbb{C})/B$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ given by*

$$\begin{aligned} SL_2(\mathbb{C})/B &\rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \\ gB &\mapsto g \cdot \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in B$. Then

$$g \cdot [1 : 0] = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = [a : 0] = [1 : 0].$$

It is easy to see that all the elements in G that fix $[1 : 0]$ are of this form, i.e., that they are elements in B . \square

Therefore we set $X_{(B)} = \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. Again, by Remark 3.1 we also have that $X_{(\bar{B})} = \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$.

3.4. The explicit G -maps. The inclusions

$$(3.4) \quad I \hookrightarrow U \hookrightarrow P \hookrightarrow B$$

induce G -maps

$$\begin{aligned} G &\rightarrow G/U \rightarrow G/P \rightarrow G/B \\ g &\mapsto gU \mapsto gP \mapsto gB. \end{aligned}$$

Using the models $X_{(H)}$ for the G -sets G/H with $H = U, P, B$ given in the previous subsections we give the explicit G -maps between them.

Let $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G$. We have the G -maps

$$(3.5) \quad G \xrightarrow{h_I^U} X_{(U)} \xrightarrow{h_U^P} X_{(P)} \xrightarrow{h_P^B} X_{(B)}$$

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \longmapsto g \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix} \longmapsto g \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \end{bmatrix} \longmapsto g \cdot [1 : 0] = g \cdot \infty = \frac{a}{c}.$$

Notice that $h_U^P: X_{(U)} \rightarrow X_{(P)}$ is just the quotient map given by the action of \mathbb{Z}_2 . On the other hand, we have that

$$(3.6) \quad h_U^B = h_P^B \circ h_U^P,$$

where h_U^B is the Hopf map

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} h_U^B: X_{(U)} &\rightarrow X_{(B)} \\ h_U^B(a, c) &= \frac{a}{c}. \end{aligned}$$

Using $\bar{X}_{(P)}$ instead of $X_{(P)}$ we have

$$(3.8) \quad G \xrightarrow{h_U^P} X_{(U)} \xrightarrow{\bar{h}_U^P} \bar{X}_{(P)} \xrightarrow{\bar{h}_P^B} X_{(B)}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \longmapsto (a, c) \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & ac \\ ac & c^2 \end{pmatrix} \longmapsto \frac{a^2}{ac} = \frac{ac}{c^2} = \frac{a}{c}.$$

We have that

$$\bar{h}_P^B \circ \bar{h}_U^P = h_U^B.$$

It is also useful to write the G -map $\bar{h}_U^P: \bar{X}_{(P)} \rightarrow X_{(B)}$ in terms of the entries of the matrix in $\bar{X}_{(P)}$ without writing it in the form given in (3.2). Let $\begin{pmatrix} r & t \\ t & s \end{pmatrix} \in \bar{X}_{(P)}$, that is, $\begin{pmatrix} r & t \\ t & s \end{pmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $rs = t^2$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{h}_U^P: \bar{X}_{(P)} &\rightarrow X_{(B)} \\ \begin{pmatrix} r & t \\ t & s \end{pmatrix} &\mapsto \frac{r}{t} = \frac{t}{s}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.12. For the case of \bar{G} we have practically the same \bar{G} -homomorphisms as in (3.5) and (3.8) except that $X_{(U)} = \bar{X}_{(P)}$.

Remark 3.13. Consider $\infty \in X_{(B)}$ and its inverse image under the Hopf map (3.7)

$$(h_U^B)^{-1}(\infty) = \{ (x, 0) \mid x \in \mathbb{C}^\times \} \subset X_{(U)},$$

which corresponds to the first coordinate complex line minus the origin. Since by Proposition 3.11 the isotropy subgroup of $\infty \in X_{(B)}$ under the action of G is B , we have that $(h_U^B)^{-1}(\infty)$ is a B -invariant subset of $X_{(U)}$. Since the short exact sequence (3.1) splits, any element of B can be written in a unique way as the product of an element in U and an element in T

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & ab \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that U fixes pointwise the points of $(h_U^B)^{-1}(\infty)$, while T acts freely and transitively on $(h_U^B)^{-1}(\infty)$ where the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ acts multiplying $(x, 0)$ by $a \in \mathbb{C}^\times$.

Another way to interpret this is to write $\infty \in X_{(B)}$ in homogeneous coordinates $[\lambda : 0]$, then the elements in U fix ∞ and the homogeneous coordinates $[\lambda : 0]$, while an element in $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in T$ fix ∞ but multiply the homogeneous coordinates by $a \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ obtaining the homogeneous coordinates $[a\lambda : 0]$.

More generally, for any point $z \in X_{(B)}$, its isotropy subgroup G_z is a conjugate of B , which can be written as the direct product of the corresponding conjugates of U and T , which we denote by U_z and T_z . Writing $z \in X_{(B)}$ in homogeneous coordinates $[\lambda z : \lambda]$ the elements of U_z fix z and the homogeneous coordinates, while the elements of T_z fix z but multiply the homogeneous coordinates by a constant.

Remark 3.14. Analogously, consider $\infty \in X_{(B)}$ and its inverse image under the G -map h_P^B

$$(h_P^B)^{-1}(\infty) = \{ [x, 0] \mid x \in \mathbb{C}^\times \} \subset X_{(P)}.$$

By (3.9) any element of P can be written in a unique way as the product of an element in U and an element in T

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & b \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \pm b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Given a representative $(x, 0)$ of $[x, 0] \in (h_P^B)^{-1}(\infty)$, the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in T$ changes the sign of the representative to $(-x, 0)$ but fixes its class $[x, 0]$ and the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \pm b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in U$ fixes any representative of $[x, 0]$, thus it fixes the class itself. Therefore, the elements in P fix pointwise the points in $(h_P^B)^{-1}(\infty)$ while T acts transitively on $(h_P^B)^{-1}(\infty)$ with isotropy \mathbb{Z}_2 , where the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ acts multiplying $[x, 0]$ by $a \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ obtaining $[ax, 0]$.

If we use instead \bar{h}_P^B the inverse image of $\infty \in X_{(B)}$ is given by

$$(\bar{h}_P^B)^{-1}(\infty) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid x \in \mathbb{C}^\times \right\} \subset \bar{X}_{(P)}.$$

The elements in P fix pointwise the points in $(\bar{h}_P^B)^{-1}(\infty)$ while T acts transitively on $(\bar{h}_P^B)^{-1}(\infty)$ with isotropy \mathbb{Z}_2 , where the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ acts multiplying $\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ by a^2 with $a \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ obtaining $\begin{pmatrix} a^2 x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

More generally, for any point $z \in X_{(B)}$, its isotropy subgroup G_z is a conjugate of B , and let P_z denote the corresponding conjugate of P . The elements of P_z fix pointwise the points in $(\bar{h}_P^B)^{-1}(z)$ while T_z acts transitively on $(\bar{h}_P^B)^{-1}(z)$ multiplying by a constant.

3.5. Canonical homomorphisms. As in Remark 2.6, we denote by $\mathfrak{F}(H)$ the family of subgroups of G generated by H . The inclusions (3.4) induce the inclusions of families of subgroups of G

$$\mathfrak{F}(I) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{F}(U) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{F}(P) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{F}(B)$$

and in turn, these inclusion give canonical G -maps between classifying spaces

$$(3.11) \quad EG \rightarrow E_{\mathfrak{F}(U)}(G) \rightarrow E_{\mathfrak{F}(P)}(G) \rightarrow E_{\mathfrak{F}(B)}(G)$$

which are unique up to G -homotopy. Taking the quotient by the action of G we get canonical maps

$$BG \rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{F}(U)}(G) \rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{F}(P)}(G) \rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{F}(B)}(G).$$

The homomorphisms induced in homology give the sequence

$$H_i(BG) \rightarrow H_i(B_{\mathfrak{F}(U)}(G)) \rightarrow H_i(B_{\mathfrak{F}(P)}(G)) \rightarrow H_i(B_{\mathfrak{F}(B)}(G)),$$

which by Proposition 2.8 is the same as the sequence of homomorphisms

$$H_i(G) \xrightarrow{(h_U^U)_*} H_i([G : U]) \xrightarrow{(h_U^P)_*} H_i([G : P]) \xrightarrow{(h_P^B)_*} H_i([G : B]).$$

Recall that we denote by $\bar{G} = G/\pm I = PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ and given a subgroup H of G we denote by \bar{H} the image of the H in \bar{G} . Notice that $\bar{U} = \bar{P}$. Analogously, the inclusions $\bar{I} \hookrightarrow \bar{P} \hookrightarrow \bar{B}$ induce a sequence of homomorphisms

$$H_i(\bar{G}) \rightarrow H_i([\bar{G} : \bar{P}]) \rightarrow H_i([\bar{G} : \bar{B}]).$$

The relation between the coset sets of G and \bar{G} can be shown in the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} G & \longrightarrow & G/U & \longrightarrow & G/P = \bar{G}/\bar{P} & \longrightarrow & G/B = \bar{G}/\bar{B} \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow & & \nearrow & \\ & & \bar{G} = G/\pm I & \longrightarrow & \bar{G}/\bar{T} = G/T. & & \end{array}$$

In turn, by Proposition 2.9 this induces the following commutative diagram of relative homology groups

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} H_i(G) & \longrightarrow & H_i([G : U]) & \longrightarrow & H_i([G : P]) & \longrightarrow & H_i([G : B]) \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow & & \nearrow & \\ & & H_i(\bar{G}) & \longrightarrow & H_i([G : T]) & & \end{array}$$

3.6. Takasu relative homology of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. The following proposition states that for the cases of (G, U) and (\bar{G}, \bar{P}) Takasu relative homology can be computed using Theorem 2.13, compare with [9, Remark. 3.6] and [25, §7]).

Lemma 3.15. *Let $g \notin B$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} U \cap gUg^{-1} &= I, \\ P \cap gPg^{-1} &= \pm I. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, U is a B -malnormal subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ and \bar{P} is a \bar{B} -malnormal subgroup of $PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. Since $g \notin B$ by Bruhat decomposition we have that g can be written as

$$g = g_1wg_2, \quad g_1, g_2 \in B.$$

Then we have that

$$gUg^{-1} = g_1wg_2Ug_2^{-1}w^{-1}g_1^{-1} = g_1wUw^{-1}g_1^{-1}.$$

Let us analyze the elements in $g_1wUw^{-1}g_1^{-1}$. Consider $h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in U$ and $g_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in B$, so $a \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. We have that

$$g_1whw^{-1}g_1^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + a^{-1}be & -b^2e \\ a^{-2}e & 1 - a^{-1}be \end{pmatrix}.$$

The only way to have $g_1whw^{-1}g_1^{-1} \in U$ is to have $e = 0$ and in that case $g_1whw^{-1}g_1^{-1} = I$. Analogously if $h = \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & e \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix} \in P$ then

$$g_1whw^{-1}g_1^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 + a^{-1}be & -b^2e \\ a^{-2}e & \pm 1 - a^{-1}be \end{pmatrix},$$

and the only way to have $g_1whw^{-1}g_1^{-1} \in P$ is to have $e = 0$ and in that case $g_1whw^{-1}g_1^{-1} = \pm I$. □

Consider the h_U^B -subcomplex $\mathbf{C}_*^{h_U^B \neq}(X_{(U)})$ and the $h_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{B}}$ -subcomplex $\mathbf{C}_*^{h_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{B}} \neq}(X_{(\bar{P})})$ defined in Subsection 2.3.1.

Proposition 3.16. *We have isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} H_n(G, U; \mathbb{Z}) &\cong H_n(\mathbf{B}_*^{h_U^B \neq}(X_{(U)})), \quad n = 2, 3, \dots \\ H_n(\bar{G}, \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z}) &\cong H_n(\mathbf{B}_*^{h_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{B}} \neq}(X_{(\bar{P})})), \quad n = 2, 3, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.15 U is a B -malnormal subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ and \bar{P} is a \bar{B} -malnormal subgroup of $PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$. Hence, the result follows by Theorem 2.13. \square

Remark 3.17. The first isomorphism is mentioned in [9, Remark 3.6] but no proof was given, while in [25, §7] it is mentioned that follows from [25, Theorem 2.1] but there is no proof that $\mathbf{C}_*^{h_U^B \neq}(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\})$ is a $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -free resolution of the kernel of the augmentation map.

4. INVARIANTS FOR FINITE VOLUME HYPERBOLIC 3-MANIFOLDS

In this section we generalize the construction given in Cisneros-Molina-Jones [6] to define invariants $\beta_H(M) \in H_3([SL_2(\mathbb{C}) : H]; \mathbb{Z})$ of a complete oriented hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite volume M , where H is one of the subgroups P or B of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ defined in Section 3.

4.1. Hyperbolic 3-manifolds and the fundamental class of \widehat{M} . Consider the upper half space model for the hyperbolic 3-space \mathbb{H}^3 and identify it with the set of quaternions $\{z + tj \mid z \in \mathbb{C}, t > 0\}$. Let $\overline{\mathbb{H}^3} = \mathbb{H}^3 \cup \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ be the standard compactification of \mathbb{H}^3 . The group of orientation preserving isometries of \mathbb{H}^3 is isomorphic to $PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ and the action of $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ in \mathbb{H}^3 is given by the linear fractional transformation

$$\phi(w) = (aw + b)(cw + d)^{-1}, \quad w = z + tj, \quad ad - bc = 1,$$

which is the Poincaré extension to \mathbb{H}^3 of the complex linear fractional transformation on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ given by $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$. Recall that isometries of hyperbolic 3-space \mathbb{H}^3 can be of three types: *elliptic* if fixes a point in \mathbb{H}^3 ; *parabolic* if fixes no point of \mathbb{H}^3 and fixes a unique point of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ and *hyperbolic* if fixes no point of \mathbb{H}^3 and fixes two points of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$.

A subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ or $PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ is called *parabolic* if all its elements correspond to parabolic isometries of \mathbb{H}^3 fixing a common point in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. Since the action of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ (or $PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$) in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is transitive and the conjugates of parabolic isometries are parabolic [19, (4.7.1)] we can assume that the fixed point is the point at infinity ∞ which we denote by its homogeneous coordinates $\infty = [1 : 0]$ and therefore parabolic subgroups are conjugate to a group of matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & b \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix}$, with $b \in \mathbb{C}$, or its image in $PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$. In other words, a parabolic subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ or $PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ is conjugate to a subgroup of P or \bar{P} respectively.

A complete oriented hyperbolic 3-manifold M is the quotient of the hyperbolic 3-space \mathbb{H}^3 by a discrete, torsion-free subgroup Γ of orientation preserving isometries. Since Γ is torsion-free, it acts freely on \mathbb{H}^3 [19, Theorem 8.2.1] and therefore it consist only of parabolic and hyperbolic isometries. Notice that since \mathbb{H}^3 is contractible it is the universal cover of M and therefore $\pi_1(M) = \Gamma$ and M is a $\mathbf{K}(\Gamma, 1)$, i.e., $M = B\Gamma$, the classifying space of Γ . To such an hyperbolic 3-manifold we can

associate a *geometric representation* $\bar{\rho}: \pi_1(M) = \Gamma \rightarrow PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ given by the inclusion, which is canonical up to equivalence. This representation can be lifted to a representation $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ [7, Proposition 3.1.1]. There is a one-to-one correspondence between such lifts and spin structures on M . We identify Γ with a subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ using the representation $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ which corresponds to the spin structure.

Let M be an orientable complete hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite volume. Such manifolds contain a compact 3-manifold-with-boundary M_0 such that $M - M_0$ is the disjoint union of a finite number of cusps. Each cusp of M is diffeomorphic to $T^2 \times (0, \infty)$, where T^2 denotes the 2-torus, see for instance [19, page 647, Corollary 4 and Theorem 10.2.1]. The number of cusps can be zero, and this case corresponds when the manifold M is a closed manifold.

Let M be an oriented complete hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite volume with d cusps, with $d > 0$. Each boundary component T_i^2 of M_0 defines a subgroup Γ_i of $\pi_1(M)$ which is well defined up to conjugation. The subgroups Γ_i are called the *peripheral subgroups* of Γ . The image of Γ_i under the representation $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ given by the inclusion is a free abelian group of rank 2 of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. The subgroups Γ_i are parabolic subgroups of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. Hence we have that $\Gamma_i \in \mathfrak{P}$. Therefore the image of Γ_i under the representation $\bar{\rho}: \Gamma \rightarrow PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ is contained in $\mathfrak{P}(\bar{P})$.

Let $M = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^3$ be a non-compact orientable complete hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite volume. Let $\pi: \mathbb{H}^3 \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^3 = M$ be the universal cover of M . Consider the set \mathcal{C} of fixed points of parabolic elements of Γ in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ and divide by the action of Γ . The elements of the resulting set $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ are called the *cuspidal points* of M .

Remark 4.1. No hyperbolic element in Γ has as fixed point any point in \mathcal{C} , otherwise the group Γ would not be discrete [19, Theorem 5.5.4].

Let $\widehat{\mathbb{H}^3} = \mathbb{H}^3 \cup \mathcal{C}$ and consider $\widehat{M} = \Gamma \backslash \widehat{\mathbb{H}^3}$. If M is closed $\mathcal{C} = \emptyset$ and $\widehat{M} = M$, if M is non-compact we have that \widehat{M} is the *end-compactification* of M which is the result of adding the cuspidal points of M . We get an extension of the covering map π to a map $\widehat{\pi}: \widehat{\mathbb{H}^3} \rightarrow \widehat{M}$.

Consider as well the *one-point-compactification* M_+ of M which consists in identifying all the cuspidal points of \widehat{M} to a single point. Since M is homotopically equivalent to the compact 3-manifold-with-boundary M_0 we have that $M_+ = \widehat{M}/\widehat{\mathcal{C}} = M_0/\partial M_0$. By the exact sequence of the pair $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{\mathcal{C}})$ we have that $H_3(\widehat{M}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_3(\widehat{M}, \widehat{\mathcal{C}}; \mathbb{Z})$ and therefore we have that

$$(4.1) \quad H_3(\widehat{M}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_3(\widehat{M}, \widehat{\mathcal{C}}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_3(\widehat{M}/\widehat{\mathcal{C}}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_3(M_+; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_3(M_0, \partial M_0; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

We denote by $[\widehat{M}]$ the generator and call it the *fundamental class* of \widehat{M} .

4.2. Invariants of hyperbolic 3-manifolds of finite volume. Let M be a compact oriented hyperbolic 3-manifold. To the canonical representation $\bar{\rho}: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ corresponds a map $B\rho: M \rightarrow BPSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ where $BPSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ is the classifying space of $PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$. There is a well-known invariant $[M]_{\text{PSL}}$ of M in the group $H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z})$ given by the image of the fundamental class of M under the homomorphism induced in homology by $B\rho$.

As we said before, we generalize the construction given in Cisneros-Molina-Jones [6] to extend this invariant when M is a complete oriented hyperbolic 3-manifold

of finite volume (i.e., M is compact or with cusps) to invariants $\beta_H(M)$, but in this case $\beta_H(M)$ takes values in $H_3([PSL_2(\mathbb{C}) : \bar{H}]; \mathbb{Z})$, where \bar{H} is one of the subgroups \bar{P} or \bar{B} of $\bar{G} = PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ defined in Section 3.

Let Γ be a discrete torsion-free subgroup of $PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$. The action of Γ on the hyperbolic 3-space \mathbb{H}^3 is free and since \mathbb{H}^3 is contractible, by Theorem 2.3 it is a model for $E\Gamma$.

The action of Γ on $\widehat{\mathbb{H}^3}$ is no longer free. The points in \mathcal{C} have as isotropy subgroups the peripheral subgroups $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_d$ of Γ or their conjugates and any subgroup in $\mathfrak{F}(\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_d)$ fixes only one point in \mathcal{C} . Therefore, by Theorem 2.3 we have that $\widehat{\mathbb{H}^3}$ is a model for $E_{\mathfrak{F}(\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_d)}(\Gamma)$.

We have the following facts:

- Since $\{I\} \subset \mathfrak{F}(\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_d)$ there is a Γ -map $\mathbb{H}^3 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{H}^3}$ unique up to Γ -homotopy. We can use the inclusion.
- By Proposition 2.4 $\text{res}_{\Gamma}^{\bar{G}} E\bar{G}$ is a model for $E\Gamma$. Therefore, there is a Γ -homotopy equivalence $\mathbb{H}^3 \rightarrow \text{res}_{\Gamma}^{\bar{G}} E\bar{G}$ which is unique up to Γ -homotopy.
- Since $\mathfrak{F}(\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_d) = \mathfrak{F}(\bar{P})/\Gamma \subset \mathfrak{F}(\bar{B})/\Gamma$ we have Γ -maps

$$\widehat{\mathbb{H}^3} \rightarrow \text{res}_{\Gamma}^{\bar{G}} E_{\mathfrak{F}(\bar{P})}(\bar{G}) \rightarrow \text{res}_{\Gamma}^{\bar{G}} E_{\mathfrak{F}(\bar{B})}(\bar{G})$$

which are unique up to Γ -homotopy.

Remark 4.2. Since $\mathfrak{F}(\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_d) = \mathfrak{F}(\bar{P})/\Gamma$ by Proposition 2.4 we have that the Γ -space $\text{res}_{\Gamma}^{\bar{G}} E_{\mathfrak{F}(\bar{P})}(\bar{G})$ is a model for $E_{\mathfrak{F}(\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_d)}(\Gamma)$. Therefore, the Γ -map $\widehat{\mathbb{H}^3} \rightarrow \text{res}_{\Gamma}^{\bar{G}} E_{\mathfrak{F}(\bar{P})}(\bar{G})$ is in fact a Γ -homotopy equivalence.

Combining the previous Γ -maps with the \bar{G} -maps given in (3.11) we have the following commutative diagram (up to equivariant homotopy)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & E\bar{G} & \longrightarrow & E_{\mathfrak{F}(\bar{P})}(\bar{G}) & \longrightarrow & E_{\mathfrak{F}(\bar{B})}(\bar{G}) \\ & \nearrow & & & \psi_{\bar{P}} \nearrow & & \psi_{\bar{B}} \nearrow \\ \mathbb{H}^3 & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathbb{H}^3} & & & & \end{array}$$

Taking the quotients by $PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ and Γ we get the following commutative diagram

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & E\bar{G} & \longrightarrow & E_{\mathfrak{F}(\bar{P})}(\bar{G}) & \longrightarrow & E_{\mathfrak{F}(\bar{B})}(\bar{G}) \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow & & \psi_{\bar{P}} \nearrow & & \psi_{\bar{B}} \nearrow \\ \mathbb{H}^3 & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathbb{H}^3} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & B\bar{G} & \longrightarrow & B_{\mathfrak{F}(\bar{P})}(\bar{G}) & \longrightarrow & B_{\mathfrak{F}(\bar{B})}(\bar{G}) \\ & & \downarrow & & \hat{\psi}_{\bar{P}} \nearrow & & \hat{\psi}_{\bar{B}} \nearrow \\ & & \widehat{M} & & & & \end{array}$$

where $f = B\bar{\rho}: B\Gamma \rightarrow B\bar{G}$ is the map between classifying spaces which on fundamental groups induces the representation $\bar{\rho}: \Gamma \rightarrow PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ of M , and $\hat{\psi}_{\bar{P}}$ and $\hat{\psi}_{\bar{B}}$

are given by the compositions

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\psi}_{\bar{P}}: \widehat{M} &\rightarrow E_{\mathfrak{F}(\bar{P})}(\bar{G})/\Gamma \rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{F}(\bar{P})}(\bar{G}), \\ \widehat{\psi}_{\bar{B}}: \widehat{M} &\rightarrow E_{\mathfrak{F}(\bar{B})}(\bar{G})/\Gamma \rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{F}(\bar{B})}(\bar{G}),\end{aligned}$$

and they are well-defined up to homotopy.

The maps $\widehat{\psi}_{\bar{P}}$ and $\widehat{\psi}_{\bar{B}}$ induce homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}(\widehat{\psi}_{\bar{P}})_*: H_3(\widehat{M}; \mathbb{Z}) &\rightarrow H_3(B_{\mathfrak{F}(\bar{P})}(\bar{G}); \mathbb{Z}), \\ (\widehat{\psi}_{\bar{B}})_*: H_3(\widehat{M}; \mathbb{Z}) &\rightarrow H_3(B_{\mathfrak{F}(\bar{B})}(\bar{G}); \mathbb{Z}).\end{aligned}$$

We denote by $\beta_{\bar{P}}(M)$ and $\beta_{\bar{B}}(M)$ the canonical classes in $H_3(B_{\mathfrak{F}(\bar{P})}(\bar{G}); \mathbb{Z})$ and $H_3(B_{\mathfrak{F}(\bar{B})}(\bar{G}); \mathbb{Z})$ respectively, given by the images of the fundamental class $[\widehat{M}]$ of \widehat{M}

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_{\bar{P}}(M) &= (\widehat{\psi}_{\bar{P}})_*([\widehat{M}]), \\ \beta_{\bar{B}}(M) &= (\widehat{\psi}_{\bar{B}})_*([\widehat{M}]).\end{aligned}$$

By the commutativity of the lower triangle in (4.2) we have that $\beta_{\bar{P}}(M)$ is sent to $\beta_{\bar{B}}(M)$ by the canonical homomorphism from $H_3(B_{\mathfrak{F}(\bar{P})}(\bar{G}); \mathbb{Z})$ to $H_3(B_{\mathfrak{F}(\bar{B})}(\bar{G}); \mathbb{Z})$.

Thus, by Proposition 2.8 we have the following

Theorem 4.3. *Given a complete oriented hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite volume M we have well-defined invariants*

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_{\bar{P}}(M) &\in H_3([PSL_2(\mathbb{C}) : \bar{P}]; \mathbb{Z}), \\ \beta_{\bar{B}}(M) &\in H_3([PSL_2(\mathbb{C}) : \bar{B}]; \mathbb{Z}).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have that

$$\beta_{\bar{B}}(M) = (h_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{B}})_*(\beta_{\bar{P}}(M)),$$

where $(h_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{B}})_*: H_n([\bar{G} : \bar{P}]; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_n([\bar{G} : \bar{B}]; \mathbb{Z})$ is the homomorphism described in (2.3).

Remark 4.4. In general is easier to work with matrices in $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ than with cosets in $PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$. Let $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ be a lifting of the geometric representation $\bar{\rho}: \Gamma \rightarrow PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$. Notice that in diagram (4.2) we can replace \bar{G} by G . Since by Proposition 2.9 $H_3([G : P]; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_3([\bar{G} : \bar{P}]; \mathbb{Z})$ and $H_3([G : B]; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_3([\bar{G} : \bar{B}]; \mathbb{Z})$, by (3.12) we get the same invariants $\beta_{\bar{P}}(M)$ and $\beta_{\bar{B}}(M)$. That is, if we do the construction starting with a lifting ρ of the geometric representation $\bar{\rho}$, the invariants $\beta_P(M)$ and $\beta_B(M)$ of M only depend on the geometric representation $\bar{\rho}: \Gamma \rightarrow PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ and not on the lifting $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. In other words, they are independent of the choice a spin structure of M . In order to simplify notation we simply write $\beta_P(M)$ and $\beta_B(M)$.

Remark 4.5. The invariants $\beta_P(M)$ and $\beta_B(M)$ extend the invariant $[M]_{\text{PSL}}$ for M closed in the following sense: when M is compact $\widehat{M} = M$, by the commutativity of the lower diagram in (4.2) and by Remark 2.10 we have that

$$\begin{aligned}(\widehat{\psi}_{\bar{P}})_* &= (h_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{P}})_* \circ f_*, \\ (\widehat{\psi}_{\bar{B}})_* &= (h_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{B}})_* \circ f_*,\end{aligned}$$

where $(h_I^{\mathcal{P}})_*$ and $(h_I^{\mathcal{B}})_*$ are the homomorphisms described in (2.3). Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_{\mathcal{P}}(M) &= (h_I^{\mathcal{P}})_*([M]_{\text{PSL}}), \\ \beta_{\mathcal{B}}(M) &= (h_I^{\mathcal{B}})_*([M]_{\text{PSL}}).\end{aligned}$$

5. RELATION WITH THE EXTENDED BLOCH GROUP

In the present section we recall the definitions of the Bloch and extended Bloch groups and the Bloch invariant.

5.1. The Bloch group. The *pre-Bloch group* $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C})$ is the abelian group generated by the formal symbols $[z]$, $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ subject to the relation

$$(5.1) \quad [x] - [y] + \left[\frac{y}{x}\right] - \left[\frac{1-x^{-1}}{1-y^{-1}}\right] + \left[\frac{1-x}{1-y}\right] = 0, \quad x \neq y.$$

This relation is called the *five term relation*. By Dupont–Sah [8, Lemma 5.11] we also have the following relations in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C})$

$$(5.2) \quad [x] = \left[\frac{1}{1-x}\right] = \left[1 - \frac{1}{x}\right] = -\left[\frac{1}{x}\right] = -\left[\frac{x}{x-1}\right] = -[1-x].$$

Using this relations it is possible to extend the definition of $[x] \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C})$ allowing $x \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ and removing the restriction $x \neq y$ in (5.1). This is equivalent [8, after Lemma 5.11] to define $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C})$ as the abelian group generated by the symbols $[z]$, $z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned}[0] &= [1] = [\infty] = 0, \\ [x] - [y] + \left[\frac{y}{x}\right] - \left[\frac{1-x^{-1}}{1-y^{-1}}\right] + \left[\frac{1-x}{1-y}\right] &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Remark 5.1. If we consider the first definition of the pre-Bloch group where for the generators $[z]$ of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C})$ we only allow z to be in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, the pre-Bloch group can be interpreted as a homology group. Consider the subcomplex $\mathbf{C}_*^{\neq}(X_{(B)}) = \mathbf{C}_*^{\neq}(\widehat{\mathbb{C}})$ of tuples of distinct elements of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ (see Remark 2.12).

The action of \bar{G} on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ by fractional linear transformations (see §3.3) is not only transitive but triply transitive, that is, given four distinct points z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3 in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, there exists an element $g \in \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$g \cdot z_0 = 0, \quad g \cdot z_1 = \infty, \quad g \cdot z_2 = 1, \quad g \cdot z_3 = z$$

where $z = [z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3]$ is the *cross-ratio* of z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3 given by

$$(5.3) \quad [z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3] = \frac{(z_0 - z_3)(z_1 - z_2)}{(z_0 - z_2)(z_1 - z_3)}.$$

In other words, the orbit of a 4-tuple (z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3) of distinct points in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ under the diagonal action of \bar{G} is determined by its cross-ratio. Thus, we get a surjective homomorphism given by the cross-ratio

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned}\sigma: \mathbf{B}_3^{\neq}(X_{(B)}) &= \mathbf{B}_3^{\neq}(\widehat{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}) \\ (z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3)_G &\mapsto [z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3]\end{aligned}$$

where $(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3)_G$ denotes the G -orbit of the generator $(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbf{C}_3^\neq(X_{(\bar{B})})$. It is easy to see that the five term relation (5.1) is equivalent to the relation

$$\sum_{i=0}^4 (-1)^i [z_0 : \cdots : \widehat{z}_i : \cdots : z_4] = 0.$$

Also by the triply transitivity of the action of \bar{G} on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ we have that $\mathbf{B}_2(X_{(\bar{B})}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbf{B}_3(X_{(\bar{B})})$ consists only of cycles. Thus σ induces an isomorphism (compare with [21, Lemma 2.2])

$$(5.5) \quad H_3(\mathbf{B}_*^\neq(X_{(\bar{B})})) \cong \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Also using this definition of the pre-Bloch group it is possible to prove that it is isomorphic to the corresponding Takasu relative homology group:

Proposition 5.2.

$$H_3(G, B; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Proof. By [22, Theorem 2.2] we have that $H_3(G, B; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_2(G, I_{(G,B)}(\mathbb{Z}))$ and by [8, (A27), (A28)] we also have $H_2(G, I_{(G,B)}(\mathbb{Z})) \cong \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C})$. \square

Remark 5.3. If we extend the definition of the cross-ratio to $[z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3] = 0$ whenever $z_i = z_j$ for some $i \neq j$, we can extend the homomorphism σ to a homomorphism

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma: \mathbf{B}_3(X_{(\bar{B})}) &= \mathbf{B}_3(\widehat{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}) \\ (z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3)_G &\mapsto [z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3] \end{aligned}$$

where $(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3)_G$ denotes the G -orbit of the 3-simplex $(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbf{C}_3(X_{(\bar{B})})$. Using the relations (5.2) one can prove that the boundaries in $\mathbf{B}_3(X_{(\bar{B})})$ go to 0 in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C})$ under σ , so it extends to a homomorphism

$$(5.7) \quad \sigma: H_3([\bar{G} : \bar{B}]; \mathbb{Z}) = H_3(\mathbf{B}_*(X_{(\bar{B})})) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}).$$

By direct computation one can see that the extra cycles in $\mathbf{C}_3(X_{(\bar{B})})$ are also boundaries and therefore the homomorphism (5.7) is also an isomorphism.

The *Bloch group* $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C})$ is the kernel of the map

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \nu: \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}) &\rightarrow \wedge_{\mathbb{Z}}^2(\mathbb{C}^\times) \\ [z] &\mapsto z \wedge (1 - z). \end{aligned}$$

5.2. The Bloch invariant. An *ideal simplex* is a geodesic 3-simplex in $\overline{\mathbb{H}}^3$ whose vertices z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3 are all in $\partial\mathbb{H}^3 = \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. We consider the vertex ordering as part of the data defining an ideal simplex. By the triply transitivity of the action of G on \mathbb{H}^3 the orientation-preserving congruence class of an ideal simplex with vertices z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3 is given by the cross-ratio $z = [z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3]$. An ideal simplex is *flat* if and only if the cross-ratio is real, and if it is not flat, the orientation given by the vertex ordering agrees with the orientation inherited from \mathbb{H}^3 if and only if the cross-ratio has positive imaginary part.

From (5.3) we have that an even (i.e., orientation preserving) permutation of the z_i replaces z by one of three so-called *cross-ratio parameters*,

$$z, \quad z' = \frac{1}{1-z}, \quad z'' = 1 - \frac{1}{z},$$

while an odd (i.e., orientation reversing) permutation replaces z by

$$\frac{1}{z}, \quad \frac{z}{z-1}, \quad 1-z.$$

Thus, by the relations (5.2) in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C})$ we can consider the pre-Bloch group as being generated by (congruence classes) of oriented ideal simplices.

Let M be a non-compact orientable complete hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite volume. An *ideal triangulation* for M is a triangulation where all the tetrahedra are ideal simplices.

Let M be an hyperbolic 3-manifold and let $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n$ be the ideal simplices of an ideal triangulation of M . Let $z_i \in \mathbb{C}$ be the parameter of Δ_i for each i . These parameters define an element $\beta(M) = \sum_{i=1}^n [z_i]$ in the pre-Bloch group. The element $\beta(M) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C})$ is called the *Bloch invariant of M* , it was defined by Neumann and Yang in [16], where they proved that it does not depend on the ideal triangulation.

Remark 5.4. Neumann and Yang defined the Bloch invariant using *degree one ideal triangulations*, in that way it is defined for all hyperbolic 3-manifolds of finite volume, even the compact ones, see Neumann–Yang [16, §2] for details.

In [16, Theorem 1.1] it is proved that the Bloch invariant lies in the Bloch group $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C})$. An alternative proof of this fact is given in Cisneros-Molina–Jones [6, Cor. 8.7].

Remark 5.5. By (5.7) we have that $H_3([G : B]; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C})$ and in [6, Theorem 6.1] it is proved that $\beta_B(M)$ is precisely the Bloch invariant $\beta(M)$ of M , see Subsection 6.1.

5.3. The extended Bloch group. Given a complex number z we use the convention that its argument $\text{Arg } z$ always denotes its main argument $-\pi < \text{Arg } z \leq \pi$ and $\text{Log } z$ always denotes a fixed branch of logarithm, for instance, the principal branch having $\text{Arg } z$ as imaginary part.

Let Δ be an ideal simplex with cross-ratio z . A *flattening* of Δ is a triple of complex numbers of the form

$$(w_0, w_1, w_2) = (\text{Log } z + p\pi i, -\text{Log}(1-z) + q\pi i, \text{Log}(1-z) - \text{Log } z - p\pi i - q\pi i)$$

with $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$. The numbers w_0 , w_1 and w_2 are called *log parameters* of Δ . Up to multiples of πi , the log parameters are logarithms of the cross-ratio parameters.

Remark 5.6. The log parameters uniquely determine z . Hence we can write a flattening as $[z; p, q]$. Note that this notation depends on the choice of logarithm branch [15, Lemma 3.2].

Following [15] we assign cross-ratio parameters and log parameters to the edges of a flattened ideal simplex as indicated in Figure 1.

Let z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3 and z_4 be five distinct points in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ and let Δ_i denote the ideal simplices $(z_0, \dots, \widehat{z_i}, \dots, z_4)$. Let (w_0^i, w_1^i, w_2^i) be flattenings of the simplices Δ_i . Every edge $[z_i z_j]$ belongs to exactly three of the Δ_i and therefore has three associated log parameters. The flattenings are said to satisfy the *flattening condition* if for each edge the signed sum of the three associated log parameters is zero. The sign is positive if and only if i is even.




FIGURE 1. Cross-ratio and log parameters of a flattened ideal simplex

From the definition we have that the flattening condition is equivalent to the following ten equations:

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{array}{ll} [z_0 z_1] : & w_0^2 - w_0^3 + w_0^4 = 0 \\ [z_1 z_2] : & w_0^0 - w_1^3 + w_1^4 = 0 \\ [z_2 z_3] : & w_1^0 - w_1^1 + w_0^4 = 0 \\ [z_3 z_4] : & w_0^0 - w_0^1 + w_0^2 = 0 \\ [z_4 z_0] : & -w_1^1 + w_1^2 - w_1^3 = 0 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ll} [z_0 z_2] : & -w_0^1 - w_2^3 + w_2^4 = 0 \\ [z_1 z_3] : & w_2^0 + w_1^2 + w_2^4 = 0 \\ [z_2 z_4] : & w_2^0 - w_2^1 - w_0^3 = 0 \\ [z_3 z_0] : & -w_2^1 + w_2^2 + w_1^4 = 0 \\ [z_4 z_1] : & w_1^0 + w_2^2 - w_2^3 = 0 \end{array}$$

The *extended pre-Bloch group* $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{C})$ is the free abelian group generated by flattened ideal simplices subject to the relations:

Lifted five term relation:

$$(5.10) \quad \sum_{i=0}^4 (-1)^i (w_0^i, w_1^i, w_2^i) = 0,$$

if the flattenings satisfy the flattening condition.

Transfer relation:

$$[z; p, q] + [z; p', q'] = [z; p, q'] + [z; p', q].$$

The *extended Bloch group* $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{C})$ is the kernel of the homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\nu} : \widehat{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{C}) &\rightarrow \wedge_{\mathbb{Z}}^2(\mathbb{C}) \\ (w_0, w_1, w_2) &\mapsto w_0 \wedge w_1. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.7 ([15, Theorem 2.6]). *There is an isomorphism*

$$H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{C}).$$

6. COMPUTING $\beta_P(M)$ AND $\beta_B(M)$ USING AN IDEAL TRIANGULATION OF M

Let M be a non-compact orientable complete hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite volume. Let $\pi : \mathbb{H}^3 \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^3 = M$ be the universal cover of M . Then M lifts to an exact, convex, fundamental, ideal polyhedron P for Γ [19, Theorem 11.2.1]. An ideal triangulation of M gives a decomposition of P into a finite number of ideal

tetrahedra $(z_0^i, z_1^i, z_2^i, z_3^i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Since $\mathcal{P} = \{gP \mid g \in \Gamma\}$ is an exact tessellation of \mathbb{H}^3 [19, Theorem 6.7.1], this decomposition of P gives an ideal triangulation of \mathbb{H}^3 .

As in Subsection 4.1 let \mathcal{C} be the set of fixed points of parabolic elements of Γ in $\partial\mathbb{H}^3 = \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ and consider $\widehat{\mathbb{H}^3} = \mathbb{H}^3 \cup \mathcal{C}$, which is the result of adding the vertices of the ideal tetrahedra of the ideal triangulation of \mathbb{H}^3 . Hence we can consider $\widehat{\mathbb{H}^3}$ as a simplicial complex with 0-simplices given by the elements of $\mathcal{C} \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. The action of \bar{G} on $\widehat{\mathbb{H}^3}$ induces an action of \bar{G} on the tetrahedra of the ideal triangulation of $\widehat{\mathbb{H}^3}$. Taking the quotient of $\widehat{\mathbb{H}^3}$ by Γ we obtain \widehat{M} and we get an extension of the covering map π to a map $\widehat{\pi}: \widehat{\mathbb{H}^3} \rightarrow \widehat{M}$. The Γ -orbits $(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3)_\Gamma$ of the tetrahedra (z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3) of the ideal triangulation of $\widehat{\mathbb{H}^3}$ correspond to the tetrahedra of the ideal triangulation of \widehat{M} . The Γ -orbit set $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ of \mathcal{C} corresponds to the cusps points of M , we suppose that M has d cups, so the cardinality of $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ is d .

6.1. Computation of $\beta_B(M)$. Using the simplicial construction of $E_{\bar{\mathfrak{F}}}(\bar{G})$ given by Proposition 2.5 we have that a model for $E_{\bar{\mathfrak{F}}(\bar{B})}(\bar{G})$ is the geometric realization of the simplicial set whose n -simplices are the ordered $(n+1)$ -tuples (z_0, \dots, z_n) of elements of $X_{\bar{\mathfrak{F}}(\bar{B})} = \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ and the i -th face (respectively, degeneracy) of such a simplex is obtained by omitting (respectively, repeating) z_i . The action of $\bar{g} \in \bar{G}$ on an n -simplex (z_0, \dots, z_n) gives the simplex $(\bar{g}z_0, \dots, \bar{g}z_n)$.

Considering $\widehat{\mathbb{H}^3}$ as the geometric realization of its ideal triangulation and since its vertices are elements in $\mathcal{C} \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ we have that the Γ -map $\psi_{\bar{B}}: \widehat{\mathbb{H}^3} \rightarrow E_{\bar{\mathfrak{F}}(\bar{B})}(\bar{G})$ in diagram (4.2) is given by the (geometric realization of the) Γ -equivariant simplicial map

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathbb{H}^3} &\xrightarrow{\psi_{\bar{B}}} E_{\bar{\mathfrak{F}}(\bar{B})}(\bar{G}) \\ (z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3) &\mapsto (z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3). \end{aligned}$$

This induces the map $\widehat{\psi}_B: \widehat{M} \rightarrow B_{\bar{\mathfrak{F}}(\bar{B})}(\bar{G})$ in diagram (4.2). Furthermore, this induces on simplicial 3-chains the homomorphism $(\widehat{\psi}_B)_*: C_3(\widehat{M}) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_3(B_{\bar{\mathfrak{F}}(\bar{B})}(\bar{G})) = \mathbf{B}_3(X_{\bar{B}})$ (see [3, Remark 4.25]) which we can compose with homomorphism (5.6) to get

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} C_3(\widehat{M}) &= C_3(\widehat{\mathbb{H}^3})_\Gamma \xrightarrow{(\widehat{\psi}_B)_*} \mathbf{B}_3(X_{\bar{B}}) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}) \\ (z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3)_\Gamma &\mapsto (z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3)_G \rightarrow [z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3]. \end{aligned}$$

where $(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3)_\Gamma$ (resp. $(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3)_G$) denotes the Γ -orbit (respectively \bar{G} -orbit) of the 3-simplex (z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3) in $C_3(\widehat{\mathbb{H}^3})$ (respectively in $\mathbf{B}_3(X_{\bar{B}})$) and $[z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3]$ is the cross-ratio parameter of the ideal tetrahedron (z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3) .

Let $(z_0^i, z_1^i, z_2^i, z_3^i)_\Gamma$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, be the ideal tetrahedra of the ideal triangulation of \widehat{M} and let $z_i = [z_0^i : z_1^i : z_2^i : z_3^i] \in \mathbb{C}$ be the cross-ratio parameter of the ideal tetrahedron $(z_0^i, z_1^i, z_2^i, z_3^i)$ for each i . Then the image of the fundamental class $[\widehat{M}]$

under the homomorphism in homology given by (6.1) is given by

$$H_3(\widehat{M}) \xrightarrow{(\widehat{\psi_B})^*} H_3([\bar{G} : \bar{B}]; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C})$$

$$[\widehat{M}] = \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (z_0^i, z_1^i, z_2^i, z_3^i)_\Gamma \right] \mapsto \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (z_0^i, z_1^i, z_2^i, z_3^i)_{\bar{G}} \right] \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n [z_i],$$

and we have that the invariant $\beta_B(M)$ under the isomorphism σ corresponds to the Bloch invariant $\beta(M)$, see Cisneros-Molina–Jones [6, Theorem 6.1].

6.2. Computation of $\beta_P(M)$. We want to give a simplicial description of the Γ -map $\psi_{\bar{P}}: \widehat{\mathbb{H}^3} \rightarrow E_{\mathfrak{F}(\bar{P})}(\bar{G})$ in diagram (4.2). For this, we also use the Simplicial Construction of Proposition 2.5 to give a model for $E_{\mathfrak{F}(\bar{P})}(\bar{G})$ as the geometric realization of the simplicial set whose n -simplices are the ordered $(n+1)$ -tuples of elements of $X_{\mathfrak{F}(\bar{P})} = X_{(\bar{P})}$ (or $X_{\mathfrak{F}(\bar{P})} = \bar{X}_{(\bar{P})}$) (see [3, Remark 4.25] and Subsection 3.2). The i -th face (respectively, degeneracy) of such a simplex is obtained by omitting (respectively, repeating) the i -th element. The action of $\bar{g} \in \bar{G}$ on an n -simplex is the diagonal action.

Since the vertices of $\widehat{\mathbb{H}^3}$ are elements in $\mathcal{C} \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, to give a simplicial description of the Γ -map $\psi_{\bar{P}}: \widehat{\mathbb{H}^3} \rightarrow E_{\mathfrak{F}(\bar{P})}(\bar{G})$ is enough to give a Γ -map

$$\Phi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow X_{(\bar{P})}, \quad \text{or} \quad \Phi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \bar{X}_{(\bar{P})}$$

and define

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathbb{H}^3} &\xrightarrow{\psi_{\bar{P}}} E_{\mathfrak{F}(\bar{P})}(\bar{G}) \\ (z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3) &\mapsto (\Phi(z_0), \Phi(z_1), \Phi(z_2), \Phi(z_3)). \end{aligned}$$

For $i = 1, \dots, d$, every cusp point $\hat{c}_i \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ of M corresponds to a Γ -orbit of \mathcal{C} . Choose $c_i \in \mathcal{C}$ in the Γ -orbit corresponding to $\hat{c}_i \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$. Now choose an element $\mathbf{v}_i \in (\bar{h}_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{B}})^{-1}(c_i) \subset X_{(\bar{P})}$ (or $A_i \in (\bar{h}_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{B}})^{-1}(c_i) \subset \bar{X}_{(\bar{P})}$) and define

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi: \mathcal{C} &\rightarrow X_{(\bar{P})} & \text{or} & & \Phi: \mathcal{C} &\rightarrow \bar{X}_{(\bar{P})} \\ c_i &\mapsto \mathbf{v}_i & & & c_i &\mapsto A_i \end{aligned}$$

and extend Γ -equivariantly by

$$\Phi(\bar{g} \cdot c_i) = \bar{g} \cdot \mathbf{v}_i, \quad \text{or} \quad \Phi(\bar{g} \cdot c_i) = \bar{g} A_i \bar{g}^T.$$

Remark 6.1. Suppose that for every cusp point $\hat{c}_i \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ we have chosen $c_i \in \mathcal{C} \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ in the Γ -orbit corresponding to \hat{c}_i . Using homogeneous coordinates we can write

$$c_i = [z_i : w_i].$$

So, one way to choose \mathbf{v}_i (or A_i) is given by

$$\mathbf{v}_i = [z_i, w_i], \quad \text{or} \quad A_i = \begin{pmatrix} z_i^2 & z_i w_i \\ z_i w_i & w_i^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Remark 6.2. The Γ -isotropy subgroups of the c_i are conjugates of the peripheral subgroups Γ_i and they consist of parabolic elements, that is, elements in a conjugate of P , and by Remark 3.14 they fix pointwise the elements of $(\bar{h}_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{B}})^{-1}(c_i)$. On the other hand, by Remark 4.1 no hyperbolic element in Γ has as fixed point any point in \mathcal{C} . Therefore Φ is a well-defined Γ -equivariant map and the map $\psi_{\bar{P}}$ in (6.2) is a well-defined Γ -equivariant map. By property (2) of $E_{\mathfrak{F}(\bar{P})}(\bar{G})$

any two such Γ -maps are Γ -homotopic, so $\psi_{\bar{P}}$ is independent of the choice of the c_i and their corresponding \mathbf{v}_i (or A_i) up to Γ -homotopy. This induces the map $\widehat{\psi}_{\bar{P}}: \widehat{M} \rightarrow B_{\widehat{\mathfrak{F}}(\bar{P})}(\bar{G})$ in diagram (4.2) and choosing different c_i and A_i we obtain homotopic maps. Thus, this induces a canonical homomorphism in homology $(\widehat{\psi}_{\bar{P}})_*: H_3(\widehat{M}) \rightarrow H_3([\bar{G} : \bar{P}]; \mathbb{Z})$ independent of choices.

Let $(z_0^i, z_1^i, z_2^i, z_3^i)_\Gamma$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, be the ideal tetrahedra of the ideal triangulation of \widehat{M} . The image of the fundamental class $[\widehat{M}]$ under $(\widehat{\psi}_{\bar{P}})_*$ is given by

$$(6.4) \quad H_3(\widehat{M}) \xrightarrow{(\widehat{\psi}_{\bar{P}})_*} H_3([\bar{G} : \bar{P}]; \mathbb{Z})$$

$$[\widehat{M}] = \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (z_0^i, z_1^i, z_2^i, z_3^i)_\Gamma \right] \mapsto \beta_P(M) = \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (\Phi(z_0^i), \Phi(z_1^i), \Phi(z_2^i), \Phi(z_3^i))_{\bar{G}} \right]$$

obtaining an explicit formula for the invariant $\beta_P(M)$.

Remark 6.3. Notice that the cycle

$$(6.5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n (\Phi(z_0^i), \Phi(z_1^i), \Phi(z_2^i), \Phi(z_3^i))_{\bar{G}}$$

can also be seen as a cycle in $\mathbf{B}_3^{h_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{B} \neq}}(X_{(\bar{P})})$ and by Proposition 3.16 it represents a class

$$(6.6) \quad F_\Phi(M) \in H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}), \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z})$$

which depends on the choice of the Γ -map Φ in (6.3). By Corollary 2.15 the inclusion $\mathbf{C}_3^{h_H^{\bar{K} \neq}}(G/H) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_3(G/H)$ induces the canonical homomorphism $H_3(\bar{G}, \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_3([\bar{G} : \bar{P}]; \mathbb{Z})$; under this homomorphism $F_\Phi(M)$ is mapped to $\beta_P(M)$, so its image is independent of the choice of the map Φ .

7. THE HOMOMORPHISM $\widehat{\sigma}: H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}), \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{C})$

In [25] Zickert defined a homomorphism

$$\Psi: H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}), \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{C}),$$

which by Neumann's isomorphism $H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{C})$ (Theorem 5.7) corresponds to the homomorphism (1.1) mentioned in the Introduction, used to define the class $[M]_{PSL} \in H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z})$ for an hyperbolic 3-manifold with cusps. To define this homomorphism, Zickert uses a complex of truncated simplices to compute the Takasu relative homology groups $H_\bullet(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}), \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z})$ (see §8.1 below).

In this section we use Proposition 3.16 to compute $H_\bullet(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}), \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z})$ and following ideas in Dupont and Zickert [9, §3] we define a homomorphism

$$H_3(\bar{G}, \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{C}),$$

which corresponds to Zickert's homomorphism Ψ (Proposition 8.10 below). We learned that this map was first defined by Inoue and Kabaya in [12, §7.1] in the context of quandle homology.

We use the models for $X_{(U)}$, $X_{(P)}$, $X_{(B)}$ and the explicit G -maps between them described in Section 3. We simplify notation by setting

$$h_U = h_U^B: X_{(U)} \rightarrow X_{(B)},$$

$$h_P = h_P^B: X_{(P)} \rightarrow X_{(B)}.$$

Consider the h_H -subcomplexes with $H = U, P, B$: $\mathbf{C}_*^{h_U \neq}(X_{(U)})$, $\mathbf{C}_*^{h_P \neq}(X_{(P)})$ and $\mathbf{C}_*^{\neq}(X_{(B)})$ defined in Subsection 2.2.1.

Since h_U^P , h_U and h_P are G -equivariant they induce maps

$$\begin{aligned} (h_U^P)_* &: \mathbf{C}_*^{h_U \neq}(X_{(U)}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_*^{h_P \neq}(X_{(P)}), \\ (h_U)_* &: \mathbf{C}_*^{h_U \neq}(X_{(U)}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_*^{\neq}(X_{(B)}), \\ (h_P)_* &: \mathbf{C}_*^{h_P \neq}(X_{(P)}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_*^{\neq}(X_{(B)}), \end{aligned}$$

We start defining a homomorphism $\mathbf{C}_3^{h_U \neq}(X_{(U)}) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{C})$ which descends to a homomorphism

$$\mathbf{C}_3^{h_P \neq}(X_{(P)}) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{C}).$$

We assign to each 4-tuple $(v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \mathbf{C}_3^{h_U \neq}(X_{(U)})$ a combinatorial flattening of the ideal simplex $(h_U(v_0), h_U(v_1), h_U(v_2), h_U(v_3))$ in such a way that the combinatorial flattenings assigned to tuples $(v_0, \dots, \widehat{v}_i, \dots, v_4)$ satisfy the flattening condition.

Given $v_i = (v_i^1, v_i^2) \in X_{(U)}$ we denote by

$$\det(v_i, v_j) = \det \begin{pmatrix} v_i^1 & v_i^2 \\ v_j^1 & v_j^2 \end{pmatrix} = v_i^1 v_j^2 - v_i^2 v_j^1.$$

Let $(v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \mathbf{C}_3^{h_U \neq}(X_{(U)})$. As was noticed in [9, Section 3.1], the cross-ratio parameters z , $\frac{1}{1-z}$ and $\frac{z-1}{z}$ of the simplex $(h_U(v_0), h_U(v_1), h_U(v_2), h_U(v_3))$ can be expressed in terms of determinants

(7.1)

$$z = [h_U(v_0) : h_U(v_1) : h_U(v_2) : h_U(v_3)] = \frac{\left(\frac{v_0^1}{v_0^2} - \frac{v_3^1}{v_3^2}\right)\left(\frac{v_1^1}{v_1^2} - \frac{v_2^1}{v_2^2}\right)}{\left(\frac{v_0^1}{v_0^2} - \frac{v_2^1}{v_2^2}\right)\left(\frac{v_1^1}{v_1^2} - \frac{v_3^1}{v_3^2}\right)} = \frac{\det(v_0, v_3) \det(v_1, v_2)}{\det(v_0, v_2) \det(v_1, v_3)},$$

(7.2)

$$\frac{1}{1-z} = [h_U(v_1) : h_U(v_2) : h_U(v_0) : h_U(v_3)] = \frac{\left(\frac{v_1^1}{v_1^2} - \frac{v_3^1}{v_3^2}\right)\left(\frac{v_2^1}{v_2^2} - \frac{v_0^1}{v_0^2}\right)}{\left(\frac{v_1^1}{v_1^2} - \frac{v_0^1}{v_0^2}\right)\left(\frac{v_2^1}{v_2^2} - \frac{v_3^1}{v_3^2}\right)} = \frac{\det(v_1, v_3) \det(v_0, v_2)}{\det(v_0, v_1) \det(v_2, v_3)},$$

$$\frac{z-1}{z} = [h_U(v_0) : h_U(v_2) : h_U(v_3) : h_U(v_1)] = \frac{\left(\frac{v_0^1}{v_0^2} - \frac{v_1^1}{v_1^2}\right)\left(\frac{v_2^1}{v_2^2} - \frac{v_3^1}{v_3^2}\right)}{\left(\frac{v_0^1}{v_0^2} - \frac{v_3^1}{v_3^2}\right)\left(\frac{v_2^1}{v_2^2} - \frac{v_1^1}{v_1^2}\right)} = \frac{\det(v_0, v_1) \det(v_2, v_3)}{\det(v_0, v_3) \det(v_2, v_1)}.$$

Hence we also have

(7.3)

$$\frac{1-z}{z} = -[h_U(v_0) : h_U(v_2) : h_U(v_3) : h_U(v_1)] = \frac{\left(\frac{v_0^1}{v_0^2} - \frac{v_1^1}{v_1^2}\right)\left(\frac{v_2^1}{v_2^2} - \frac{v_3^1}{v_3^2}\right)}{\left(\frac{v_0^1}{v_0^2} - \frac{v_3^1}{v_3^2}\right)\left(\frac{v_1^1}{v_1^2} - \frac{v_2^1}{v_2^2}\right)} = \frac{\det(v_0, v_1) \det(v_2, v_3)}{\det(v_0, v_3) \det(v_1, v_2)}.$$

We have that

$$h_U(v_i) \neq h_U(v_j) \Leftrightarrow \frac{v_i^1}{v_i^2} - \frac{v_j^1}{v_j^2} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{v_i^1 v_j^2 - v_i^2 v_j^1}{v_i^2 v_j^2} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \det(v_i, v_j) \neq 0.$$

Then all the previous determinants are non-zero.

We define

$$(7.4) \quad \langle v_i, v_j \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Log} \det(v_i, v_j)^2.$$

Using formulas (7.1), (7.2), and (7.3), we assign a flattening to $(v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \mathbf{C}_3^{h_U \neq}(X(U))$ by setting

$$(7.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{w}_0(v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3) &= \langle v_0, v_3 \rangle + \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle - \langle v_0, v_2 \rangle - \langle v_1, v_3 \rangle, \\ \tilde{w}_1(v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3) &= \langle v_0, v_2 \rangle + \langle v_1, v_3 \rangle - \langle v_0, v_1 \rangle - \langle v_2, v_3 \rangle, \\ \tilde{w}_2(v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3) &= \langle v_0, v_1 \rangle + \langle v_2, v_3 \rangle - \langle v_0, v_3 \rangle - \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that for $w \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ we have that

$$(7.6) \quad \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Log} w^2 = \begin{cases} \operatorname{Log} w + \pi i & \text{if } \operatorname{Arg} w \in (-\pi, -\frac{\pi}{2}], \\ \operatorname{Log} w & \text{if } \operatorname{Arg} w \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}], \\ \operatorname{Log} w - \pi i & \text{if } \operatorname{Arg} w \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi]. \end{cases}$$

By the addition theorem of the logarithm [2, Ch. 2-§3.4] and (7.6) we have that:

$$\tilde{w}_0 = \operatorname{Log} z + ik\pi, \quad \tilde{w}_1 = \operatorname{Log}\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right) + il\pi, \quad \tilde{w}_2 = \operatorname{Log}\left(\frac{1-z}{z}\right) + im\pi,$$

for some integers k, l and m . Hence, \tilde{w}_0, \tilde{w}_1 and \tilde{w}_2 are respectively logarithms of the cross-ratio parameters $z, \frac{1}{1-z}$ and $\frac{z-1}{z}$ up to multiples of πi and clearly we have that $\tilde{w}_0 + \tilde{w}_1 + \tilde{w}_2 = 0$. Therefore $(\tilde{w}_0, \tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2)$ is a flattening in $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{C})$ of the ideal simplex $(h_U(v_0), h_U(v_1), h_U(v_2), h_U(v_3))$.

This defines a homomorphism

$$(7.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma}: \mathbf{C}_3^{h_U \neq}(X(U)) &\rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{C}) \\ (v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3) &\mapsto (\tilde{w}_0, \tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 7.1. *Let $v_i, v_j \in X(U)$. Then $\det(v_i, v_j)$ is invariant under the action of G on $X(U)$.*

Proof. Let $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ and set

$$\bar{v}_i = (\bar{v}_i^1, \bar{v}_i^2) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_i^1 \\ v_i^2 \end{pmatrix} = (av_i^1 + bv_i^2, cv_i^1 + dv_i^2)$$

We have that

$$(7.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \det(\bar{v}_i, \bar{v}_j) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} \bar{v}_i^1 & \bar{v}_i^2 \\ \bar{v}_j^1 & \bar{v}_j^2 \end{pmatrix} = \bar{v}_i^1 \bar{v}_j^2 - \bar{v}_i^2 \bar{v}_j^1 \\ &= (av_i^1 + bv_i^2)(cv_j^1 + dv_j^2) - (av_j^1 + bv_j^2)(cv_i^1 + dv_i^2) \\ &= (ad - bc)(v_i^1 v_j^2 - v_i^2 v_j^1) \quad \text{since } ad - bc = 1 \\ &= \det(v_i, v_j). \end{aligned}$$

□

Hence the homomorphism $\tilde{\sigma}$ descends to the quotient by the action of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$

$$\tilde{\sigma}: \mathbf{B}_3^{h_U \neq}(X(U)) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Now suppose that $(\tilde{w}_0^0, \tilde{w}_1^0, \tilde{w}_2^0), \dots, (\tilde{w}_0^4, \tilde{w}_1^4, \tilde{w}_2^4)$ are flattenings defined as above for the simplices $(h_U(v_0), \dots, h_U(v_i), \dots, h_U(v_4))$. We must check that these flattenings satisfy the flattening condition, that is, we have to check that the ten equations (5.9) are satisfied. We check the first equation, the others are similar:

$[z_0 z_1]$:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{w}_0^2 &= \langle v_0, v_4 \rangle + \langle v_1, v_3 \rangle - \langle v_0, v_3 \rangle - \langle v_1, v_4 \rangle, \\ -\tilde{w}_0^3 &= -\langle v_0, v_4 \rangle - \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle + \langle v_0, v_2 \rangle + \langle v_1, v_4 \rangle, \\ \tilde{w}_0^4 &= \langle v_0, v_3 \rangle + \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle - \langle v_0, v_2 \rangle - \langle v_1, v_3 \rangle,\end{aligned}$$

Having verified all the ten equations, it now follows from [9, Theorem 2.8] or [15, Lemma 3.4] that $\tilde{\sigma}$ sends boundaries to zero and we obtain a homomorphism

$$\tilde{\sigma}: H_3(\mathbf{B}_*^{h_U \neq}(X_{(U)})) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{C}).$$

By Proposition 3.16 we get a homomorphism from Takasu relative homology

$$\tilde{\sigma}: H_3(SL_2(\mathbb{C}), U; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{C}).$$

7.1. The homomorphism $\tilde{\sigma}$ descends to $\mathbf{C}_3^{h_P \neq}(X_{(P)})$. Given $v_i = (v_i^1, v_i^2) \in X_{(U)}$ we denote by $\mathbf{v}_i = h_U^P(v_i) = [v_i^1, v_i^2]$ its class in $X_{(P)}$.

Remark 7.2. Notice that if $v_i = (v_i^1, v_i^2) \in X_{(U)}$, then

$$(7.9) \quad \det(v_i, v_j) = \det \begin{pmatrix} v_i^1 & v_j^2 \\ v_j^1 & v_i^2 \end{pmatrix} = v_i^1 v_j^2 - v_i^2 v_j^1 = \det(-v_i, -v_j),$$

but on the other hand

$$(7.10) \quad \det(-v_i, v_j) = \det \begin{pmatrix} -v_i^1 & -v_j^2 \\ v_j^1 & v_i^2 \end{pmatrix} = -v_i^1 v_j^2 + v_i^2 v_j^1 = -\det(v_i, v_j).$$

Thus, the quantity $\det(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j)$ is just well-defined up to sign. However, its square $\det(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j)^2$ is well-defined.

By Lemma 7.1, (7.9) and (7.10) we have:

Lemma 7.3. *Let $\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j \in X_{(P)}$. Then $\det(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j)^2$ is invariant under the action of G on $X_{(P)}$.*

So, we define

$$(7.11) \quad \langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \text{Log } \det(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j)^2.$$

Let $(\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3) \in \mathbf{C}_3^{\tilde{h} \neq}(X_{(P)})$. The homomorphism $\tilde{\sigma}$ given in (7.7) descends to a well-defined homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}: \mathbf{C}_3^{h_P \neq}(X_{(P)}) &\rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{C}) \\ (\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3) &\mapsto (\tilde{w}_0, \tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2).\end{aligned}$$

by assigning to $(\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3)$ the flattening of the ideal simplex

$$(h_P(\mathbf{v}_0), h_P(\mathbf{v}_1), h_P(\mathbf{v}_2), h_P(\mathbf{v}_3)) = (h_U(v_0), h_U(v_1), h_U(v_2), h_U(v_3))$$

given by (7.5). By Remark 3.4 the action of $G = SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ on $\mathbf{C}_3^{h_P \neq}(X_{(P)})$ descends to an action of $\bar{G} = PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$, we use the notation $\mathbf{C}_3^{h_{\bar{P}} \neq}(X_{(\bar{P})})$ to emphasize the action of \bar{G} . Hence we obtain a homomorphism

$$\hat{\sigma}: H_3(\mathbf{B}_*^{h_{\bar{P}} \neq}(X_{(\bar{P})})) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{C}).$$

By Proposition 3.16 we get a homomorphism from Takasu relative homology

$$\hat{\sigma}: H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}), \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Remark 7.4. Notice that we do not get a homomorphism from $H_3(SL_2(\mathbb{C}), P; \mathbb{Z})$, since P is not B -malnormal in $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$.

Proposition 7.5. *The image of $\hat{\sigma}: H_3(\mathbf{B}_*^{h_{\bar{P}} \neq}(X_{(\bar{P})})) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{C})$ is in $\hat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. Define a map $\mu: \mathbf{B}_2^{h_{\bar{P}} \neq}(X_{(\bar{P})}) \rightarrow \wedge_{\mathbb{Z}}^2(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$(\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2)_G \mapsto \langle \mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle \wedge \langle \mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle - \langle \mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle \wedge \langle \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle + \langle \mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle \wedge \langle \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle.$$

Recall that the extended Bloch group $\hat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{C})$ is the kernel of the homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\nu}: \hat{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{C}) &\rightarrow \wedge_{\mathbb{Z}}^2(\mathbb{C}) \\ (w_0, w_1, w_1) &\mapsto w_0 \wedge w_1. \end{aligned}$$

A straightforward computation shows that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{B}_3^{h_{\bar{P}} \neq}(X_{(\bar{P})}) & \xrightarrow{\hat{\sigma}} & \hat{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{C}) \\ \downarrow \partial & & \downarrow \hat{\nu} \\ \mathbf{B}_2^{h_{\bar{P}} \neq}(X_{(\bar{P})}) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \wedge_{\mathbb{Z}}^2(\mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

is commutative. This means that cycles are mapped to $\hat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{C})$ as desired. \square

Therefore $\hat{\sigma}: H_3(\mathbf{B}_*^{h_{\bar{P}} \neq}(X_{(\bar{P})})) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{C})$. Then by Proposition 3.16 we have a homomorphism

$$(7.12) \quad \hat{\sigma}: H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}), \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{C}).$$

7.2. Using $\bar{X}_{(P)}$. Sometimes is useful to express the homomorphism $\hat{\sigma}$ using $\bar{X}_{(\bar{P})}$ instead of $X_{(\bar{P})}$ since with $\bar{X}_{(\bar{P})}$ we do not have to worry about equivalence classes and representatives. Again, we simplify notation by setting

$$\bar{h}_{\bar{P}} = \bar{h}_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{B}}: \bar{X}_{(\bar{P})} \rightarrow X_{(\bar{B})}.$$

Let $A_i, A_j \in \bar{X}_{(P)}$, then we have that

$$A_i = \begin{pmatrix} r_i & t_i \\ t_i & s_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad r_i s_i = t_i^2.$$

Define

$$DS(A_i, A_j) = r_i s_j - 2t_i t_j + r_j s_i.$$

Recall from Corollary 3.10 that the \bar{G} -isomorphism between $X_{(\bar{P})}$ and $\bar{X}_{(\bar{P})}$ is given by

$$\varrho: X_{(\bar{P})} \rightarrow \bar{X}_{(\bar{P})},$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} u^2 & uv \\ uv & v^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 7.6. *Let \mathbf{v}_i and \mathbf{v}_j in $X_{(\bar{P})}$. Then*

$$DS(\varrho(\mathbf{v}_i), \varrho(\mathbf{v}_j)) = \det(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j)^2.$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{v}_i = [u_i, v_i]$ and $\mathbf{v}_j = [u_j, v_j]$ in $X_{(P)}$. We have that

$$\varrho(\mathbf{v}_i) = \begin{pmatrix} u_i^2 & u_i v_i \\ u_i v_i & v_i^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varrho(\mathbf{v}_j) = \begin{pmatrix} u_j^2 & u_j v_j \\ u_j v_j & v_j^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then

$$DS(\varrho(\mathbf{v}_i), \varrho(\mathbf{v}_j)) = u_i^2 v_j^2 - 2u_i v_i u_j v_j + u_j^2 v_i^2 = (u_i v_j - u_j v_i)^2 = \det(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j)^2. \quad \square$$

Combining Corollary 3.10 and Lemma 7.6 we get the following corollary which can also be proved with a straightforward but tedious computation.

Corollary 7.7. *Let $A_i, A_j \in \bar{X}_{(\bar{P})}$ and $\bar{g} \in \bar{G}$. Then $DS(A_i, A_j)$ is \bar{G} -invariant, that is,*

$$DS(\bar{g}A_i\bar{g}^T, \bar{g}A_j\bar{g}^T) = DS(A_i, A_j).$$

We define

$$\langle A_i, A_j \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \text{Log } DS(A_i, A_j).$$

So by Lemma 7.6, given $\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j \in X_{(P)}$ we have that $\langle \varrho(\mathbf{v}_i), \varrho(\mathbf{v}_j) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle$.

Let $(A_0, A_1, A_2, A_3) \in \mathbf{C}_3^{\bar{h}_{P \neq}}(\bar{X}_{(P)})$. Then the homomorphism

$$\tilde{\sigma}: \mathbf{C}_3^{\bar{h}_{P \neq}}(\bar{X}_{(\bar{P})}) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{C})$$

$$(A_0, A_1, A_2, A_3) \mapsto (\bar{w}_0, \bar{w}_1, \bar{w}_2),$$

is given by assigning to (A_0, A_1, A_2, A_3) the flattening defined by

$$\tilde{w}_0 = \langle A_0, A_3 \rangle + \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle - \langle A_0, A_2 \rangle - \langle A_1, A_3 \rangle,$$

$$\tilde{w}_1 = \langle A_0, A_2 \rangle + \langle A_1, A_3 \rangle - \langle A_0, A_1 \rangle - \langle A_2, A_3 \rangle,$$

$$\tilde{w}_2 = \langle A_0, A_1 \rangle + \langle A_2, A_3 \rangle - \langle A_0, A_3 \rangle - \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle,$$

which by Lemma 7.6 is the same as the one given in (7.5) and by Proposition 7.5 we obtain the homomorphism (7.12).

8. ZICKERT'S RELATIVE CLASS REVISITED

In [25] Zickert defines a complex $\bar{C}_*(\bar{G}, \bar{P})$ of truncated simplices and proves that the complex $\bar{B}_*(\bar{G}, \bar{P}) = \bar{C}_*(\bar{G}, \bar{P}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} \mathbb{Z}$ computes the Takasu relative homology groups $H_*(\bar{G}, \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z})$ [25, Corollary 3.8]. This complex is used to define a homomorphism $\Psi: H_3(\bar{G}, \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{C})$ [25, Theorem 3.17]. Given an ideal triangulation of an hyperbolic 3-manifold M and using a developing map of the geometric representation to give to each ideal simplex a decoration by horospheres, it is defined a *relative class* $F(M)$ in the group $H_3(\bar{G}, \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z})$ [25, Corollary 5.6]. This relative

class depends on the choice of decoration, but it is proved that its image under the homomorphism Ψ is independent of the choice of decoration [25, Theorem 6.10]. In fact, in [25] it is considered the more general situation of a tame 3-manifold with a boundary parabolic $PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -representation, this will be considered in the following section.

In this section we compare the results in [25] with our construction of the invariant $\beta_P(M)$. We give an explicit isomorphism between the complexes $\bar{C}_*(\bar{G}, \bar{P})$ and $\mathbf{C}_*^{h_{\bar{P}} \neq 1}(X_{(\bar{P})})$; under this isomorphism, the homomorphisms Ψ and $\hat{\sigma}$ in (7.12) coincide.

Moreover, we prove that the image of Zickert's class $\lambda_3(F(M)) \in H_3([\bar{G} : \bar{P}]; \mathbb{Z})$ under the canonical homomorphism (2.6) is independent on the choice of decoration and it is precisely the invariant $\beta_P(M)$ in $H_3([\bar{G} : \bar{P}]; \mathbb{Z})$.

Remark 8.1. Notice the difference of notation, in [25] $G = PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ and P corresponds to the subgroup of $PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ given by the image of the group of upper triangular matrices with 1 in the diagonal, that is, in our notation to the subgroup $\bar{U} = \bar{P}$.

8.1. The complex of truncated simplices. Let Δ be an n -simplex with a vertex ordering given by associating an integer $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ to each vertex. Let $\bar{\Delta}$ denote the corresponding truncated simplex obtained by chopping off disjoint regular neighborhoods of the vertices. Each vertex of $\bar{\Delta}$ is naturally associated with an ordered pair ij of distinct integers. Namely, the ij th vertex of $\bar{\Delta}$ is the vertex near the i th vertex of Δ and on the edge going to the j th vertex of Δ .

Let $\bar{\Delta}$ be a truncated n -simplex. A \bar{G} -vertex labeling $\{\bar{g}^{ij}\}$ of $\bar{\Delta}$ assigns to the vertex ij of $\bar{\Delta}$ an element $\bar{g}^{ij} \in \bar{G}$ satisfying the following properties:

- (i) For fixed i , the labels \bar{g}^{ij} are distinct elements in \bar{G} mapping to the same left \bar{P} -coset.
- (ii) The elements $\bar{g}_{ij} = (\bar{g}^{ij})^{-1}\bar{g}^{ji}$ are counterdiagonal, that is, of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c^{-1} \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Let $\bar{C}_n(\bar{G}, \bar{P})$, $n \geq 1$, be the free abelian group generated by \bar{G} -vertex labelings of truncated n -simplices.

Remark 8.2. Since $X_{(\bar{P})}$ is \bar{G} -isomorphic to the set of left \bar{P} -cosets, using the homomorphism $h_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{P}}$ given in (3.5) (see Remark 3.12) property (i) means that for fixed i we have

$$h_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{P}}(\bar{g}^{ij}) = [a_i, c_i]$$

for some fixed element $[a_i, c_i] \in X_{(\bar{P})}$ and for all $j \neq i$. By the definition of $h_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{P}}$ we have that for fixed i all the \bar{g}^{ij} have the form

$$\bar{g}^{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_{ij} \\ c_i & d_{ij} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{with } j \neq i \text{ and } b_{ij} \neq b_{ik} \text{ and } d_{ij} \neq d_{ik} \text{ for } j \neq k.$$

Left multiplication endows $\bar{C}_n(\bar{G}, \bar{P})$ with a free \bar{G} -module structure and the usual boundary map on untruncated simplices induces a boundary map on $\bar{C}_n(\bar{G}, \bar{P})$, making it into a chain complex. Define

$$\bar{B}_*(\bar{G}, \bar{P}) = \bar{C}_*(\bar{G}, \bar{P}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\bar{G}]} \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let $\{\bar{g}^{ij}\}$ be a \bar{G} -vertex labeling of a truncated n -simplex $\bar{\Delta}$. We define a \bar{G} -edge labeling of $\bar{\Delta}$ assigning to the oriented edge going from vertex ij to vertex kl the labeling $(\bar{g}^{ij})^{-1}\bar{g}^{kl}$. It is easy to see that for any $\bar{g} \in \bar{G}$, the \bar{G} -vertex labelings

of $\bar{\Delta}$ given by $\{\bar{g}^{ij}\}$ and $\{\bar{g}\bar{g}^{ij}\}$ have the same \bar{G} -edge labelings. Hence, a \bar{G} -edge labeling represents a generator of $\bar{B}_*(\bar{G}, \bar{P})$. The labeling of an edge going from vertex i to vertex j in the untruncated simplex is denoted by \bar{g}_{ij} , and the labeling of the edges near the i th vertex are denoted by $\bar{\alpha}_{jk}^i$. These edges are called the *long edges* and the *short edges* respectively. By properties (i) and (ii) in the definition of \bar{G} -vertex labelings of a truncated simplex, the $\bar{\alpha}_{jk}^i$'s are nontrivial elements in \bar{P} and the \bar{g}_{ij} 's are counterdiagonal. Moreover, from the definition of \bar{G} -edge labelings we have that the product of edge labeling along any two-face (including the triangles) is \bar{I} .

In [25, Corollary 3.8] it is proved that the complex $\bar{B}_*(\bar{G}, \bar{P})$ computes the groups $H_*(\bar{G}, \bar{P})$.

In what follows we need a more explicit version of [25, Lemma 3.5].

Lemma 8.3 ([25, Lemma 3.5]). *Let $\bar{g}_i\bar{P} = \overline{\begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{pmatrix}}\bar{P}$ and $\bar{g}_j\bar{P} = \overline{\begin{pmatrix} a_j & b_j \\ c_j & d_j \end{pmatrix}}\bar{P}$ be \bar{P} -cosets satisfying the condition $\bar{g}_i\bar{B} \neq \bar{g}_j\bar{B}$. There exists unique coset representatives $\bar{g}_i\bar{x}_{ij}$ and $\bar{g}_j\bar{x}_{ji}$ satisfying the condition that $(\bar{g}_i\bar{x}_{ij})^{-1}\bar{g}_j\bar{x}_{ji}$ be counterdiagonal given by*

$$(8.1) \quad \bar{g}_i\bar{x}_{ij} = \overline{\begin{pmatrix} a_i & \frac{a_j}{a_i c_j - a_j c_i} \\ c_i & \frac{c_j}{a_i c_j - a_j c_i} \end{pmatrix}}, \quad \bar{g}_j\bar{x}_{ji} = \overline{\begin{pmatrix} a_j & \frac{a_i}{a_j c_i - a_i c_j} \\ c_j & \frac{c_i}{a_j c_i - a_i c_j} \end{pmatrix}}.$$

Proof. We start by reproducing the proof of [25, Lemma 3.5] since it saves computations. Let $\bar{g}_i^{-1}\bar{g}_j = \overline{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}}$, and let $\bar{x}_{ij} = \overline{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & p_{ij} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}$ and $\bar{x}_{ji} = \overline{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & p_{ji} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}$. We have

$$\bar{x}_{ij}^{-1}\bar{g}_i^{-1}\bar{g}_j\bar{x}_{ji} = \overline{\begin{pmatrix} a - cp_{ij} & ap_{ji} + b - p_{ij}(cp_{ji} + d) \\ c & cp_{ji} + d \end{pmatrix}}.$$

Since $\bar{g}_i\bar{B} \neq \bar{g}_j\bar{B}$, it follows that c is nonzero. This implies that there exists unique complex numbers p_{ij} and p_{ji} such that the above matrix is conterdiagonal. They are given by

$$(8.2) \quad p_{ij} = \frac{a}{c}, \quad p_{ji} = -\frac{d}{c}.$$

Now, using the explicit expressions for \bar{g}_i and \bar{g}_j we have

$$(8.3) \quad \bar{g}_i^{-1}\bar{g}_j = \overline{\begin{pmatrix} a_j d_i - b_i c_j & d_i b_j - b_i d_j \\ a_i c_j - a_j c_i & a_i d_j - c_i b_j \end{pmatrix}} = \overline{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}}.$$

Substituting in (8.2) we get

$$p_{ij} = \frac{a_j d_i - b_i c_j}{a_i c_j - a_j c_i}, \quad p_{ji} = \frac{a_i d_j - b_i c_j}{a_i c_j - a_j c_i}.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}_i\bar{x}_{ij} &= \overline{\begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{pmatrix}} \overline{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{a_j d_i - b_i c_j}{a_i c_j - a_j c_i} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} = \overline{\begin{pmatrix} a_i & \frac{a_j}{a_i c_j - a_j c_i} \\ c_i & \frac{c_j}{a_i c_j - a_j c_i} \end{pmatrix}}, \\ \bar{g}_j\bar{x}_{ji} &= \overline{\begin{pmatrix} a_j & b_j \\ c_j & d_j \end{pmatrix}} \overline{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{a_i d_j - b_i c_j}{a_j c_i - a_i c_j} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} = \overline{\begin{pmatrix} a_j & \frac{a_i}{a_j c_i - a_i c_j} \\ c_j & \frac{c_i}{a_j c_i - a_i c_j} \end{pmatrix}}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\bar{g}_i \bar{x}_{ij}$ is a well defined element in \bar{G} , if we change the signs of a_i and c_i the whole matrix changes sign, while if we change the signs of a_j and c_j the matrix does not change. Analogously for $\bar{g}_j \bar{x}_{ji}$. \square

Remark 8.4. Notice that the expressions for $\bar{g}_i \bar{x}_{ij}$ and $\bar{g}_j \bar{x}_{ji}$ given in (8.1) only depend on the classes $[a_i, c_i]$ and $[a_j, c_j]$ in $X_{(\bar{P})}$, so indeed they only depend on the left \bar{P} -cosets $\bar{g}_i \bar{P}$ and $\bar{g}_j \bar{P}$. Also notice that by (8.3) the condition $\bar{g}_i \bar{B} \neq \bar{g}_j \bar{B}$ is equivalent to $a_i c_j - a_j c_i \neq 0$ which is equivalent to $h_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{B}}(\bar{g}_i \bar{P}) = \frac{a_i}{c_i} \neq \frac{a_j}{c_j} = h_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{B}}(\bar{g}_j \bar{P})$.

Corollary 8.5. *Let $\bar{\Delta}$ be a truncated n -simplex. A generator of $\bar{C}_n(\bar{G}, \bar{P})$, i.e., a \bar{G} -vertex labeling $\{\bar{g}^{ij}\}$ of $\bar{\Delta}$ has the form*

$$\bar{g}^{ij} = \overline{\begin{pmatrix} a_i & \frac{a_j}{a_i c_j - a_j c_i} \\ c_i & \frac{a_j c_j - a_j c_i}{a_i c_j - a_j c_i} \end{pmatrix}}, \quad i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad j \neq i, \quad a_i c_j - a_j c_i \neq 0,$$

and the class $[a_i, c_i] \in X_{(\bar{P})}$ corresponds to the left \bar{P} -coset associated to the i -th vertex of $\bar{\Delta}$. Hence, a generator of $\bar{B}_n(\bar{G}, \bar{P})$, i.e., a \bar{G} -edge labeling of $\bar{\Delta}$ has the form

$$(8.4) \quad \bar{\alpha}_{jk}^i = \overline{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{a_k c_j - a_j c_k}{(a_i c_j - a_j c_i)(a_i c_k - a_k c_i)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}, \quad i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad i \neq j, k, \quad j \neq k,$$

$$(8.5) \quad \bar{g}_{ij} = \overline{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{a_i c_j - a_j c_i} \\ a_i c_j - a_j c_i & 0 \end{pmatrix}}, \quad i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad i \neq j.$$

Proof. Follows immediately from Remark 8.2, Lemma 8.3 and Remark 8.4. \square

Corollary 8.6. *There is a \bar{G} -isomorphism of chain complexes*

$$\mathbf{C}_n^{h_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{B}} \neq}(X_{(\bar{P})}) \leftrightarrow \bar{C}_n(\bar{G}, \bar{P})$$

$$([a_0, c_0], \dots, [a_n, c_n]) \leftrightarrow \left\{ \bar{g}^{ij} = \overline{\begin{pmatrix} a_i & \frac{a_j}{a_i c_j - a_j c_i} \\ c_i & \frac{a_j c_j - a_j c_i}{a_i c_j - a_j c_i} \end{pmatrix}} \right\}, \quad i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad i \neq j.$$

Hence, there is an isomorphism of chain complexes $\mathbf{B}_n^{h_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{B}} \neq}(X_{(\bar{P})}) \cong \bar{B}_n(\bar{G}, \bar{P})$ where the \bar{G} -orbit $([a_0, c_0], \dots, [a_n, c_n])_{\bar{G}}$ corresponds to the \bar{G} -edge labeling given by (8.4) and (8.5).

Proof. This is a refined version of [25, Corollary 3.6] and follows from Corollary 8.5. By direct computation it is easy to see that the isomorphism is \bar{G} -equivariant. The only thing that remains to prove is that the isomorphism commutes with the boundary maps of the complexes, which is an easy exercise. \square

Remark 8.7. From Corollary 8.6, to represent a generator of $\mathbf{C}_n^{h_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{B}} \neq}(X_{(\bar{P})})$ we just need $2(n+1)$ complex numbers, while to represent a generator of $\bar{C}_n(\bar{G}, \bar{P})$ we need $4(n+1)n$ because there is a lot of redundant information in \bar{g}^{ij} , the entries b_{ij} and d_{ij} in g^{ij} , see Remarks 8.2 and 8.4. So it is more efficient to use the complex $\mathbf{C}_*^{h_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{B}} \neq}(X_{(\bar{P})})$ than the complex $\bar{C}_*(\bar{G}, \bar{P})$ to compute $H_*(\bar{G}, \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z})$.

Remark 8.8. If we denote by $\mathbf{v}_i = [v_i^1, v_i^2]$ an element in $X_{(\bar{P})}$ as in Subsection 7.1, we have that the isomorphism of Corollary 8.6 is written as

$$(\mathbf{v}_0, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n) \leftrightarrow \left\{ \bar{g}^{ij} = \overline{\begin{pmatrix} v_i^1 & \frac{v_j^1}{\det(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j)} \\ v_i^2 & \frac{v_j^2}{\det(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j)} \end{pmatrix}} \right\}, \quad i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad i \neq j,$$

where $(\mathbf{v}_0, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n)$ is an $(n+1)$ -tuple of elements of $X_{(\bar{P})}$ such that $\det(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j)^2 \neq 0$ for $i \neq j$, see Remark 8.4. We also have that in this notation the \bar{G} -edge labeling given by (8.4) and (8.5) is written as

$$(8.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{\alpha}_{jk}^i &= \overline{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{\det(\mathbf{v}_k, \mathbf{v}_j)}{\det(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j)\det(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_k)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}, \quad i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad i \neq j, k, \quad j \neq k, \\ \bar{g}_{ij} &= \overline{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{\det(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j)} \\ \det(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j) & 0 \end{pmatrix}}, \quad i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad i \neq j. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that although $\det(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j)$ is only well-defined up to sign, see Remark 7.2, we get well-defined elements in \bar{G} . The fact that the matrices (8.4) and (8.5) of the \bar{G} -edge labeling are constant under the action of \bar{G} is because $\det(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j)$ is invariant (up to sign) under the action of \bar{G} , see Lemma 7.1.

8.2. Decorated ideal simplices and flattenings. Also in [25] it is proved that there is a one-to-one correspondence between generators of $\bar{B}_3(\bar{G}, \bar{P})$ and congruence classes of decorated ideal simplices.

Remember that the subgroup \bar{P} fixes $\infty \in \bar{\mathbb{H}}^3$ and acts by translations on any horosphere at ∞ . A horosphere at ∞ is endowed with the counterclockwise orientation as viewed from ∞ . Since \bar{G} acts transitively on horospheres, we get an orientation on all horospheres.

A horosphere together with a choice of orientation-preserving isometry to \mathbb{C} is called an *Euclidean horosphere* [25, Definition 3.9]. Two horospheres based at the same point are considered equal if the isometries differ by a translation. Denote by $H(\infty)$ the horosphere at ∞ at height 1 over the bounding complex plane \mathbb{C} , with the Euclidean structure induced by projection. We let \bar{G} act on Euclidean horospheres in the obvious way, this action is transitive and the isotropy subgroup of $H(\infty)$ is \bar{P} . Hence the set of Euclidean horospheres can be identified with the set \bar{G}/\bar{P} of left \bar{P} -cosets, which is \bar{G} -isomorphic to $X_{(\bar{P})}$, where an explicit \bar{G} -isomorphism is given by

$$(8.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \{\text{Euclidean horospheres}\} &\leftrightarrow X_{(\bar{P})} \\ H(\infty) &\leftrightarrow [1, 0], \end{aligned}$$

and extending equivariantly using the action of \bar{G} .

A choice of Euclidean horosphere at each vertex of an ideal simplex is called a *decoration* of the simplex. Having fixed a decoration, we say that the ideal simplex is *decorated*. Two decorated ideal simplices are called *congruent* if they differ by an element of \bar{G} .

Using the identification of Euclidean horospheres with left \bar{P} -cosets, we can see a decorated ideal simplex as an ideal simplex with a choice of a left \bar{P} -coset for each vertex of the ideal simplex.

Proposition 8.9 ([25, Theorem 3.13]). *Generators in $\mathbf{C}_3^{h_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{B}} \neq}(X_{(\bar{P})})$ are in one-to-one correspondence with decorated simplices. Thus, generators of $\mathbf{B}_3^{h_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{B}} \neq}(X_{(\bar{P})})$ are in one-to-one correspondence with congruence classes of decorated simplices.*

Proof. Consider the homomorphism $(h_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{B}})_* : \mathbf{C}_3^{h_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{B}} \neq}(X_{(\bar{P})}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_3^{\neq}(X_{(\bar{B})})$ and consider a generator $(\mathbf{v}_0, \dots, \mathbf{v}_3)$ of $\mathbf{C}_3^{h_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{B}} \neq}(X_{(\bar{P})})$. Its image $((h_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{B}})_*(\mathbf{v}_0), \dots, (h_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{B}})_*(\mathbf{v}_3))$ is a 4-tuple of distinct points in $X_{(\bar{B})}$, so it determines a unique ideal simplex in $\overline{\mathbb{H}^3}$. Moreover, \mathbf{v}_i represents a left \bar{P} -coset which corresponds to the vertex $(h_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{B}})_*(\mathbf{v}_i)$ of such ideal simplex. Hence $(\mathbf{v}_0, \dots, \mathbf{v}_3)$ represents a decorated simplex. \square

This together with the isomorphism given in Corollary 8.6 proves [25, Theorem 3.13] that there is a one-to-one correspondence between generators of $\bar{B}_3(\bar{G}, \bar{P})$ and congruence classes of decorated ideal simplices, see [25, Remark 3.14].

For a matrix $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, let $c(g)$ denote the entry c . Let α be a generator of $\bar{B}(\bar{G}, \bar{P})$. By (8.6) we have that $c(\bar{g}_{ij}) = \pm \det(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j)$, that is, it is only well-defined up to sign. But we have that

$$(8.8) \quad c(\bar{g}_{ij})^2 = \det(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j)^2$$

is a well-defined non-zero complex number. Squaring formulas (7.1), (7.2) and (7.3) and using (8.8) we get

$$\frac{c(\bar{g}_{03})^2 c(\bar{g}_{12})^2}{c(\bar{g}_{02})^2 c(\bar{g}_{13})^2} = z^2, \quad \frac{c(\bar{g}_{13})^2 c(\bar{g}_{02})^2}{c(\bar{g}_{01})^2 c(\bar{g}_{23})^2} = \left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right)^2, \quad \frac{c(\bar{g}_{01})^2 c(\bar{g}_{23})^2}{c(\bar{g}_{03})^2 c(\bar{g}_{12})^2} = \left(\frac{1-z}{z}\right)^2,$$

which are the formulas of [25, Lemma 3.15]. Now, our choice of logarithm branch defines a square root of $c(\bar{g}_{ij})^2$, see [25, Remark 3.4], given by

$$(8.9) \quad \text{Log } c(\bar{g}_{ij}) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Log } c(\bar{g}_{ij})^2 = \frac{1}{2} \text{Log } \det(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j)^2,$$

which is the definition of $\langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle$ given in (7.11). Using $\text{Log } c(\bar{g}_{ij})$ in [25, (3.6)] to every generator of $\bar{B}(\bar{G}, \bar{P})$ associates a flattening giving a homomorphism [25, Theorem 3.17]

$$(8.10) \quad \Psi : H_3(\bar{G}, \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Proposition 8.10. *The following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_3(\bar{G}, \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_3(\mathbf{B}_*^{h_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{B}} \neq}(X_{(\bar{P})})) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_3(\bar{B}_*(\bar{G}, \bar{P})) \cong H_3(\bar{G}, \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z}) \\ & \searrow \hat{\sigma} & \swarrow \Psi \\ & \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{C}) & \end{array}$$

where Ψ is the homomorphism (8.10) given in [25, Theorem 3.17], $\hat{\sigma}$ is the homomorphism given in (7.12) and the horizontal arrow is given by the isomorphism of Corollary 8.6.

Proof. The definition of Ψ given by formula [25, (3.6)], by (8.9) coincides with the definition of $\hat{\sigma}$ given by (7.5) via the isomorphism of Corollary 8.6. \square

Remark 8.11. In [15, Proposition 14.3] Neumann proves that the long exact sequence (2.5) gives rise to a split exact sequence

$$(8.11) \quad 0 \rightarrow H_3(\bar{G}; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_3(\bar{G}, \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_2(\bar{P}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0.$$

In [25, Proposition 6.12] Zickert proves that Ψ defines a splitting of the sequence (8.11). This together with Proposition 8.10 proves that $\tilde{\sigma}$ defines a splitting of the sequence (8.11).

8.3. Zickert's relative class. Now we compare the construction of Zicker's relative class in $H_3(\bar{G}, \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z})$ with our computation of the invariant $\beta_P(M)$ given in Subsection 6.2.

As in Section 6, consider an hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite volume M with an ideal triangulation and let $\bar{\rho}: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ be the geometric representation. Let $\widehat{\pi}: \widehat{\mathbb{H}^3} \rightarrow \widehat{M}$ be the extension of the universal cover of M to its end-compactification. A *developing map* of $\bar{\rho}$ is a $\bar{\rho}$ -equivariant map

$$D: \widehat{\mathbb{H}^3} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{H}^3}$$

sending the points in \mathcal{C} to $\partial\overline{\mathbb{H}^3}$. Let $\widehat{c} \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ and for each lift $c \in \mathcal{C}$ of \widehat{c} , let $H(D(c))$ be an Euclidean horosphere based at $D(c)$. The collection $\{H(D(c))\}_{c \in \widehat{\pi}^{-1}(\widehat{c})}$ of Euclidean horospheres is called a *decoration* of \widehat{c} if the following equivariance condition is satisfied:

$$H(D(\gamma \cdot c)) = \bar{\rho}(\gamma)H(D(c)), \quad \text{for } \gamma \in \pi_1(M), c \in \widehat{\pi}^{-1}(\widehat{c}).$$

A developing map of $\bar{\rho}$ together with a choice of decoration of each $\widehat{c} \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ is called a *decoration* of $\bar{\rho}$.

By [25, Corollary 5.16] a decoration of $\bar{\rho}$ defines a class $F(M)$ in $H_3(\bar{G}, \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z})$. This can be seen as follows. The decoration of $\bar{\rho}$ endows each 3-simplex of M with the shape of a decorated simplex. By [25, Theorem 3.13] each congruence class of these decorated simplices corresponds to a generator of $\bar{B}_3(\bar{G}, \bar{P})$ which is a truncated simplex with a \bar{G} -edge labeling. The decoration and the \bar{G} -edge labelings respect the face pairings so this gives a well-defined cycle α in $\bar{B}_3(\bar{G}, \bar{P})$ which represents the class $F(M)$, see [25, p. 518] for details. The class $F(M)$ is not well-defined, it depends on the choice of decoration, see [25, Remark 5.19].

In Subsection 6.2 the inclusion $\widehat{\mathbb{H}^3} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{H}^3}$ is a developing map of the geometric representation $\bar{\rho}: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$. Using the bijection between the set of horospheres and $\bar{X}_{(\bar{P})}$ given in (8.7) there is a one-to-one correspondence between *decorations* of $\bar{\rho}$ and the Γ -equivariant maps Φ given in (6.3). So Φ endows each ideal 3-simplex of $\widehat{\mathbb{H}^3}$ with the shape of a decorated simplex, via the homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} C_3(\widehat{\mathbb{H}^3}) &\rightarrow \mathbf{C}_3^{h_{\bar{P}} \neq} (X_{(\bar{P})}) \\ (z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3) &\mapsto (\Phi(z_0), \Phi(z_1), \Phi(z_2), \Phi(z_3)). \end{aligned}$$

where $C_*(\widehat{\mathbb{H}^3})$ is the simplicial chain complex of $\widehat{\mathbb{H}^3}$ of the ideal triangulation of $\widehat{\mathbb{H}^3}$ given by the lifting of the ideal triangulation of M (see §6). This homomorphism induces a homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} C_3(\widehat{M}) = C_3(\widehat{\mathbb{H}^3})_\Gamma &\rightarrow \mathbf{B}_3^{h_{\bar{P}} \neq} (X_{(\bar{P})}) \cong \bar{B}_3(\bar{G}, \bar{P}) \\ (z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3)_\Gamma &\mapsto (\Phi(z_0), \Phi(z_1), \Phi(z_2), \Phi(z_3))_{\bar{G}}, \end{aligned}$$

which in turn, induces a homomorphism in homology

$$(8.12) \quad \widehat{\psi}_\Phi: H_3(\widehat{M}) \rightarrow H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}), \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z}).$$

The image of the fundamental class $[\widehat{M}]$ of \widehat{M} under this homomorphism gives Zickert's class

$$[\widehat{M}] = \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (z_0^i, z_1^i, z_2^i, z_3^i)_\Gamma \right] \mapsto F(M) = \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (\Phi(z_0^i), \Phi(z_1^i), \Phi(z_2^i), \Phi(z_3^i))_{\bar{G}} \right].$$

corresponding to the decoration Φ ; it is the class $F_\Phi(M)$ described in Remark 6.3. Thus we proved the following proposition.

Proposition 8.12. *Zickert's class $F(M)$ is the class $F_\Phi(M)$ described in Remark 6.3, and Φ corresponds to the decoration used to define $F(M)$.*

Corollary 8.13. *The image of the class $F(M)$ under the canonical homomorphism $\lambda_3: H_3(\bar{G}, \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_3([\bar{G} : \bar{P}]; \mathbb{Z})$ given in (2.6), is the invariant $\beta_P(M)$. Hence, it does not depend on the choice of decoration.*

Proof. The class $F_\Phi(M) \in H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}), \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z})$ is mapped by the canonical map (2.6) to the invariant $\beta_P(M)$ (Remark 6.3) which is independent of the choice of decoration by Theorem 4.3 (see also Remark 6.2). \square

Remark 8.14. If we vary the decoration Φ all the corresponding classes $F_\Phi(M)$ are in $\lambda_3^{-1}(\beta_P(M))$.

8.4. PSL -fundamental class for non-compact M . As we mentioned above, the class $F_\Phi(M)$ is not well-defined, it depends on the choice of decoration Φ , however, Zickert proves that the image of $F_\Phi(M)$ under the homomorphism (8.10) is independent of such choice [25, Theorem 6.10]. We shall denote such image by $[M]_{\text{PSL}}$ and call it the PSL -fundamental class (in [15, Theorem 14.2] it is denoted $\widehat{\beta}(M)$).

Recall that to construct Zickert's relative class $F_\Phi(M)$ it is necessary a triangulation of M . One can define the PSL -fundamental class $[M]_{\text{PSL}}$ without a triangulation of M using the invariant $\beta_P(M)$. By Remark 8.14 the preimage $\lambda_3^{-1}(\beta_P(M))$ under the canonical map (2.6) consists of the classes $F_\Phi(M)$ varying the decoration Φ , so choosing a preimage $F_\Phi(M) \in \lambda_3^{-1}(\beta_P(M))$ we can define the PSL -fundamental class by

$$(8.13) \quad [M]_{\text{PSL}} = \widehat{\sigma}(F_\Phi(M)) \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{C}) \cong H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z}).$$

Now, using a triangulation of M but the construction of the class $F_\Phi(M)$ using the $h_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{B}}$ -subcomplex $\mathbf{C}_n^{h_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{B}}} (X_{(\bar{P})})$ to compute $H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}), \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z})$ as in Remark 6.3, we can write down a explicit formula for $[M]_{\text{PSL}}$ applying to the cycle (6.5) the homomorphism (7.12) to get

$$(8.14) \quad [M]_{\text{PSL}} = \widehat{\sigma}(F_\Phi(M)) \\ = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\widetilde{w}_0(\Phi(z_0^i), \Phi(z_1^i), \Phi(z_2^i), \Phi(z_3^i)), \widetilde{w}_1(\Phi(z_0^i), \Phi(z_1^i), \Phi(z_2^i), \Phi(z_3^i)), \widetilde{w}_2(\Phi(z_0^i), \Phi(z_1^i), \Phi(z_2^i), \Phi(z_3^i)) \right).$$

In view of Remark 8.7, such formula is very difficult to write using Zickert's definition of the class $F_\Phi(M)$ using the complex of truncated simplices $\bar{C}_*(G, \bar{P})$.

Remark 8.15. Notice that the image of the PSL -fundamental class $[M]_{\text{PSL}}$ under the homomorphism $(h_T^{\bar{T}})_*: H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_3([PSL_2(\mathbb{C}) : \bar{T}]; \mathbb{Z})$ in diagram (3.12) is also an invariant of M which we can denote by $\beta_T(M)$. This invariant is sent to the classical Bloch invariant $\beta_B(M)$ by the homomorphism

$$H_3([PSL_2(\mathbb{C}) : \bar{T}]; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{h_T^{\bar{B}}} H_3([PSL_2(\mathbb{C}) : \bar{B}]; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}).$$

It would be interesting to see which information carries this invariant.

9. (G, H) -REPRESENTATIONS

Our construction also works in the general context of (G, H) -representations of tame manifolds considered in [25]. Here we give the basic definitions and facts, for more detail see [25, §4].

A *tame* n -manifold is an n -manifold M diffeomorphic to the interior of a compact manifold \bar{M} . The boundary components E_i of \bar{M} are called the *ends* of M . The number of ends can be zero to include closed manifolds as tame manifolds with no ends.

Let M be a tame n -manifold. We have that $\pi_1(M) \cong \pi_1(\bar{M})$ and each end E_i of M defines a subgroup $\pi_1(E_i)$ of $\pi_1(M)$ which is well defined up to conjugation. These subgroups are called *peripheral subgroups* of M .

Let \widehat{M} be the compactification of M obtained by identifying each end of M to a point. We call the points in \widehat{M} corresponding to the ends as *ideal points* of M . Let \widetilde{M} be the compactification of the universal cover \widetilde{M} of M obtained by adding ideal points corresponding to the lifts of the ideal points of M . The covering map extends to a map from \widetilde{M} to \widehat{M} . We choose a point in M as a base point of \widehat{M} and one of its lifts as base point of \widetilde{M} . With the base points fixed, the action of $\pi_1(M)$ on \widetilde{M} by covering transformations extends to an action on \widetilde{M} which is not longer free. The stabilizer of a lift \tilde{e} of an ideal point e corresponding to an end E_i is isomorphic to a peripheral subgroup $\pi_1(E_i)$. Changing the lift \tilde{e} corresponds to changing the peripheral subgroup by conjugation.

Let G be a discrete group, let H be any subgroup and consider the family of subgroups $\mathfrak{F}(H)$ generated by H . Let M be a tame manifold, a representation $\rho: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow G$ is called a (G, H) -*representation* if the images of the peripheral subgroups under ρ are in $\mathfrak{F}(H)$.

In the particular case when $G = PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $H = \bar{P}$ a (G, H) -representation $\rho: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ is called *boundary-parabolic*.

The geometric representation of a hyperbolic 3-manifold is boundary parabolic. For further examples see Zickert [25, §4].

Let M be a tame n -manifold with d ends and let $\rho: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow G$ be a (G, H) -representation. Let Γ be the image of $\pi_1(M)$ in G under ρ , also denote by Γ_i the image of the peripheral subgroup $\pi_1(E_i)$ under ρ and consider the family $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}(\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_d)$ of subgroups of G . On the other hand, define $\Gamma'_i = \rho^{-1}(\Gamma_i)$ and consider the family $\mathfrak{F}' = \mathfrak{F}'(\Gamma'_1, \dots, \Gamma'_d)$ of subgroups of $\pi_1(M)$.

Proposition 9.1. *Consider the classifying space $E_{\mathfrak{F}}(\Gamma)$ as a $\pi_1(M)$ -space defining the action by*

$$\gamma \cdot x = \rho(\gamma) \cdot x, \quad \gamma \in \pi_1(M), \quad x \in E_{\mathfrak{F}}(\Gamma).$$

Then, with this action $E_{\mathfrak{F}}(\Gamma)$ is a model for the classifying space $E_{\mathfrak{F}'}(\pi_1(M))$.

Proof. Consider the Γ -set $\Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}$ defined in Subsection 2.2. It is enough to see that $\Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}$ seen as a $\pi_1(M)$ -set using ρ is $\pi_1(M)$ -isomorphic to $\Delta_{\mathfrak{F}'}$. By the definition of Γ' and Γ'_i we have that $\Gamma'/\ker\rho \cong \Gamma$ and $\Gamma'_i/\ker\rho \cong \Gamma_i$. Then

$$\Gamma'/\Gamma'_i \cong (\Gamma'/\ker\rho) / (\Gamma'_i/\ker\rho) \cong \Gamma/\Gamma_i.$$

Therefore

$$\Delta_{\mathfrak{F}'} = \prod_{i=1}^d \Gamma'/\Gamma'_i \cong \prod_{i=1}^d \Gamma/\Gamma_i = \Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}.$$

So now we can use $\Delta_{\mathfrak{F}'}$ in the simplicial construction of $E_{\mathfrak{F}'}(\pi_1(M))$ and we obtain precisely $E_{\mathfrak{F}}(\Gamma)$. \square

Since the action of $\pi_1(M)$ on \widehat{M} has as isotropy subgroups the peripheral subgroups $\pi_1(E_i)$ and $\pi_1(E_i) \in \mathfrak{F}'$ there is a $\pi_1(M)$ -map unique up to $\pi_1(M)$ -homotopy

$$(9.1) \quad \psi_{\mathfrak{F}'}: \widehat{M} \rightarrow E_{\mathfrak{F}'}(\pi_1(M)) \cong E_{\mathfrak{F}}(\Gamma).$$

Now consider the classifying space $E_{\mathfrak{F}(H)}(G)$, by Proposition 2.4, restricting the action of G to Γ we have that $\text{res}_{\Gamma}^G E_{\mathfrak{F}(H)}(G) \cong E_{\mathfrak{F}(H)/\Gamma}(\Gamma)$. On the other hand, we have that $\mathfrak{F} \subset \mathfrak{F}(H)/\Gamma$, so we have a Γ -map unique up to Γ -homotopy

$$(9.2) \quad \psi_{\mathfrak{F}}: E_{\mathfrak{F}}(\Gamma) \rightarrow E_{\mathfrak{F}(H)}(G).$$

Composing (9.1) with (9.2) we obtain a ρ -equivariant map unique up to ρ -homotopy

$$(9.3) \quad \psi_{\rho}: \widehat{M} \rightarrow E_{\mathfrak{F}(H)}(G).$$

Taking the quotients by the actions of $\pi_1(M)$ and G we get a map unique up to homotopy given by the composition

$$\widehat{\psi}_{\rho}: \widehat{M} \rightarrow E_{\mathfrak{F}(H)}(G)/\Gamma \rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{F}(H)}(G).$$

By a computation analogous to (4.1) \widehat{M} has a fundamental class $[\widehat{M}]$ in $H_n(\widehat{M}; \mathbb{Z})$. Denote by $\beta_H(\rho)$ the image of the fundamental class $[\widehat{M}]$ of \widehat{M} under the map induced in homology by $\widehat{\psi}_{\rho}$

$$(9.4) \quad \begin{aligned} H_n(\widehat{M}; \mathbb{Z}) &\xrightarrow{(\widehat{\psi}_{\rho})^*} H_n(B_{\mathfrak{F}(H)}(G); \mathbb{Z}) \\ [\widehat{M}] &\mapsto \beta_H(\rho). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Proposition 2.8 we have:

Theorem 9.2. *Given an oriented tame n -manifold with a (G, H) -representation $\rho: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow G$ we have a well-defined invariant*

$$\beta_H(\rho) \in H_n([G : H]; \mathbb{Z}).$$

As before, one can compute the class $\beta_H(\rho)$ using a triangulation of M . A *triangulation* of a tame manifold M is an identification of \widehat{M} with a complex obtained by gluing together simplices with simplicial attaching maps. A triangulation of M always exists and it lifts uniquely to a triangulation of \widehat{M} .

9.1. Zickert's classes for (G, H) -representations. Let M be a tame n -manifold with d ends and let $\rho: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow G$ be a (G, H) -representation. In [25, §5.2], given a triangulation of M it is constructed a (G, H) -cocycle, see [25, §5.2] for the definition, which defines a class $F(\rho)$ in $H_n(G, H; \mathbb{Z})$ (see [25, Theorem 5.13]). The construction of the (G, H) -cocycle depends on a *decoration* of ρ by *conjugation elements*. Such decorations are given as follows: for each ideal point $e_i \in \widehat{M}$ choose a lifting $\tilde{e}_i \in \widehat{M}$ and assign to this lifting an element $g_i(\tilde{e}_i) \in G$, or rather an H -coset $g_i(\tilde{e}_i)H$, then extend ρ -equivariantly by

$$g_i(\gamma \cdot \tilde{e}_i) = \rho(\gamma)g_i(\tilde{e}_i), \quad \gamma \in \pi_1(M).$$

Let \mathcal{I} denote the set of ideal point in \widehat{M} . Notice that a decoration by conjugation elements is equivalent to give a ρ -equivariant map

$$\Phi_\rho: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow G/H.$$

The map Φ_ρ defines explicitly the ρ -map (9.3) and using the triangulation of M gives also explicitly the homomorphism (9.4) as in Subsection 6.2.

Remark 9.3. Given a group G and a subgroup H , in general $H_n(G, H; \mathbb{Z})$ does not coincides with $H_n([G : H]; \mathbb{Z})$, see Subsection 2.4. The construction of the (G, H) -cocycle depends on the choice of decoration of ρ by conjugation elements, so in principle, choosing different decorations one can obtain different classes in $H_n(G, H; \mathbb{Z})$, in that case, all this classes are mapped to $\beta_H(\rho) \in H_n([G : H]; \mathbb{Z})$ under the canonical homomorphism (2.6) since $\beta_H(\rho)$ does not depend on the choice of decoration because the ρ -map (9.3) given by the decoration is unique up to ρ -homotopy. So in general it is more appropriate to use Adams relative group homology than Takasu relative group homology because we obtain invariants independent of choice.

9.2. Boundary-parabolic representations. In the case of boundary-parabolic representations of tame 3-manifolds we can use a developing map with a decoration to compute $\beta_P(\rho)$. Let M be a tame 3-manifold and let $\bar{\rho}$ be a boundary-parabolic representation. A *developing map* of ρ is a ρ -equivariant map $D_\rho: \widehat{M} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{H}^3}$ sending the ideal points of \widehat{M} to $\partial\overline{\mathbb{H}^3} = \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. Taking a sufficiently fine triangulation of M it is always possible to construct a developing map of ρ [25, Theorem 4.11]. Let \mathcal{C} be the image under D_ρ of the set of ideal points \mathcal{I} of \widehat{M} . A *decoration* of ρ is a ρ -equivariant map $\Phi_\rho: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow X_{(P)}$ which can be obtained assigning a Euclidean horosphere to each element of \mathcal{C} as in Subsection 8.3 or as in Remark 6.1. Again, the decoration defines explicitly the ρ -map (9.3) which gives explicitly the homomorphism (9.4) with $G = PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $H = \bar{P}$.

As in the case of the geometric representation Zickert defines the PSL -fundamental class $[\rho]_{\text{PSL}} \in H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z})$ of ρ as the image of the class $F(\rho)$ under the homomorphism (7.12), since by [25, Theorem 6.10] the image is independent of the choice of decoration. As in (8.13) one can define the class $[\rho]_{\text{PSL}}$ without using a triangulation of M , by Remark 9.3 we can choose a preimage $F(\rho) \in \lambda_3^{-1}(\beta_P(\rho))$ and take

$$[\rho]_{\text{PSL}} = \widehat{\sigma}(F(\rho)) \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{C}) \cong H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z}).$$

Using the construction of the class $F(\rho)$ using the $h_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{B}}$ -subcomplex $\mathbf{C}_n^{h_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{B}} \neq}(X_{(\bar{P})})$ to compute $H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}), \bar{P}; \mathbb{Z})$ as in Remark 6.3, we can write down an explicit formula for $[\rho]_{\text{PSL}}$ applying to $F(\rho)$ homomorphism (7.12).

The image of $\beta_P(\rho)$ under $(h_{\bar{P}}^{\bar{B}})_* : H_3([PSL_2(\mathbb{C}) : \bar{P}]; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_3([PSL_2(\mathbb{C}) : \bar{B}]; \mathbb{Z})$ gives an invariant $\beta_B(\rho)$ which can be computed using a developing map as in Subsection 6.1.

Also as in Remarks 8.15 the image of the PSL -fundamental class $[\rho]_{\text{PSL}}$ under the homomorphism $(h_{\bar{T}}^{\bar{B}})_* : H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_3([PSL_2(\mathbb{C}) : \bar{T}]; \mathbb{Z})$ in diagram (3.12) is also an invariant $\beta_T(\rho)$ of ρ .

10. COMPLEX VOLUME

Recall that Rogers dilogarithm is given by

$$L(z) = - \int_0^z \frac{\text{Log}(1-t)}{t} dt + \frac{1}{2} \text{Log}(z) \text{Log}(1-z).$$

In [15, Proposition 2.5] Neumann defines the homomorphism

$$(10.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{L} : \widehat{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{C}) &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}/\pi^2\mathbb{Z}, \\ [z; p, q] &\mapsto L(z) + \frac{\pi i}{2} (q \text{Log } z + p \text{Log}(1-z)) - \frac{\pi^2}{6} \end{aligned}$$

where $[z; p, q]$ denotes elements in the extended pre-Bloch group using our choice of logarithm branch, see Remark 5.6, but (10.1) is actually independent of this choice, see [25, Remark 1.9]. In [15, Theorem 2.6 or Theorem 12.1] Neumann proves that under the isomorphism $H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{C})$ the homomorphism \widehat{L} corresponds to the Cheeger–Chern–Simons class.

For the geometric representation of a complete oriented hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite volume M we have [15, Corollary 14.6]

$$(10.2) \quad \widehat{L}([M]_{\text{PSL}}) = i(\text{Vol}(M) + i \text{CS}(M)) \in \mathbb{C}/i\pi^2\mathbb{Z},$$

where $\text{Vol}(M)$ is the volume of M and $\text{CS}(M) = 2\pi^2 \text{cs}(M) \in \mathbb{R}/\pi^2\mathbb{Z}$ with $\text{cs}(M)$ the Chern–Simons invariant of M . Usually $\text{Vol}(M) + i \text{CS}(M)$ is called the *complex volume* of M , see Neumann [15] and Zickert [25] for details. So, using formula (8.14) for $[M]_{\text{PSL}}$ in (10.2) we get a formula to compute the volume and Chern–Simons invariant of a complete oriented hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite volume which by Remark 8.7 it is more efficient than Zickert’s formula in [25].

For the case of a boundary-parabolic representation $\rho : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ of a tame 3-manifold M , Zickert [25, §6] defined the *complex volume* of the representation ρ by

$$i(\text{Vol}(\rho) + i \text{CS}(\rho)) = \widehat{L}([\rho]_{\text{PSL}}).$$

Applying \widehat{L} to a formula for $[\rho]_{\text{PSL}}$ analogous to (8.14), but using the decoration $\Phi_\rho : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow X_{(\bar{P})}$ of ρ of Subsection 9.2, we obtain an explicit efficient formula for the complex volume of ρ .

Question. The main disadvantage of invariant $\beta_P(M) \in H_3([PSL_2(\mathbb{C}) : \bar{P}]; \mathbb{Z})$ is that, so far, we cannot get directly from it the volume and the Chern-Simons invariant of M , so one can ask if there exists a homomorphism

$$H_3([PSL_2(\mathbb{C}) : \bar{P}]; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_3(PSL_2(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{C}),$$

such that the image of $\beta_P(M)$ is $[M]_{\text{PSL}}$.

Acknowledgments. Both authors would like to thank Ramadas Ramakrishnan for many fruitful discussions and suggestions about this work and for his hospitality during the authors visits to the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy. Both authors also thank Francisco Gonzales Acuña for his interest in this work and many illustrative talks.

The second author thanks Walter Neumann for many useful discussions and for his kind hospitality during his visit to Columbia University as part of his sabbatical year in 2012. He also thanks Christian Zickert for the discussions about his work, his interest in this work and for pointing out to me a mistake in a previous version of this article. He also would like to thank Wolfgang Lück and Haydée Aguilar for the interesting conversations related to this work.

The first author was partially supported by CONACYT-“Becas-Mixtas en el extranjero para becarios CONACyT nacionales 2011-2012”, Mexico. The second author is a Regular Associate of the Abdus Salam ICTP. He was partially supported by CONACYT and UNAM-DGAPA-PASPA, Mexico during his sabbatical visits and he is currently supported by project CONACYT 253506.

REFERENCES

- [1] Iain T. Adamson. Cohomology theory for non-normal subgroups and non-normal fields. *Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc.*, 2:66–76, 1954. 1, 2, 2.2
- [2] Lars V. Ahlfors. *Complex Analysis*. McGraw-Hill, 1979. 7
- [3] José Antonio Arciniega-Nevárez and José Luis Cisneros-Molina. Comparison of relative group (co)homologies. *Boletín de la Sociedad Matemática Mexicana*, pages 41–74, 2016. 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2.1, 2.2, 2.5, 2.8, 2.9, 2.3.1, 2.13, 2.3.1, 2.14, 2.4, 2.15, 2.4, 6.1, 6.2
- [4] James V. Blowers. The classifying space of a permutation representation. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 227:345–355, 1977. 2.2
- [5] Kenneth S. Brown. *Cohomology of groups*, volume 87 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994. Corrected reprint of the 1982 original. 2
- [6] José Luis Cisneros-Molina and John D. S. Jones. The Bloch invariant as a characteristic class in $B(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}), \mathfrak{T})$. *Homology Homotopy Appl.*, 5(1):325–344, 2003. 1, 4, 4.2, 5.2, 5.5, 6.1
- [7] Marc Culler and Peter B. Shalen. Varieties of group representations and splittings of 3-manifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 117(1):109–146, 1983. 4.1
- [8] Johan L. Dupont and Chih-Han Sah. Scissors congruences. II. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 25(2):159–195, 1982. 5.1, 5.1, 5.1
- [9] Johan L. Dupont and Christian K. Zickert. A dilogarithmic formula for the Cheeger-Chern-Simons class. *Geom. Topol.*, 10:1347–1372 (electronic), 2006. 1, 1, 3.6, 3.17, 7, 7
- [10] F. T. Farrell and L. E. Jones. Isomorphism conjectures in algebraic K -theory. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 6(2):249–297, 1993. 2.4
- [11] G. Hochschild. Relative homological algebra. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 82:246–269, 1956. 2.2
- [12] Ayumu Inoue and Yuichi Kabaya. Quandle homology and complex volume. *Geom. Dedicata*, 171:265–292, 2014. 7
- [13] Serge Lang. *Algebra*, volume 211 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, third edition, 2002. 3, 3
- [14] Wolfgang Lück. Survey on classifying spaces for families of subgroups. In *Infinite groups: geometric, combinatorial and dynamical aspects*, volume 248 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 269–322. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2005. 2.2, 2.3
- [15] Walter D. Neumann. Extended Bloch group and the Cheeger-Chern-Simons class. *Geom. Topol.*, 8:413–474 (electronic), 2004. 1, 1, 5.6, 5.3, 5.7, 7, 8.11, 8.4, 10, 10, 10
- [16] Walter D. Neumann and Jun Yang. Bloch invariants of hyperbolic 3-manifolds. *Duke Math. J.*, 96(1):29–59, 1999. 5.2, 5.4, 5.2
- [17] Takefumi Nosaka. On the fundamental 3-classes of knot group representations, August 2017. arXiv:1609.05766v3 [math.GT]. 1

- [18] Takefumi Nosaka. *Quandles and topological pairs*. SpringerBriefs in Mathematics. Springer, Singapore, 2017. Symmetry, knots, and cohomology. 1
- [19] John G. Ratcliffe. *Foundations of hyperbolic manifolds*, volume 149 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, second edition, 2006. 4.1, 4.1, 6
- [20] Ernst Snapper. Cohomology of permutation representations. I. Spectral sequences. *J. Math. Mech.*, 13:133–161, 1964. 2.1, 2.2
- [21] A. A. Suslin. K_3 of a Field and the Bloch Group. *Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics*, 4:217–239, 1991. 5.1
- [22] Satoru Takasu. Relative homology and relative cohomology theory of groups. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo. Sect. I*, 8:75–110, 1959. 1, 2, 2.3, 2.3, 2.3, 2.3, 5.1
- [23] Tammo tom Dieck. *Transformation Groups and Representation Theory*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 766. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1979. 2.4
- [24] Tammo tom Dieck. *Transformation Groups*. Number 8 in Studies in Mathematics. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1987. 2.2.1
- [25] Christian K. Zickert. The volume and Chern-Simons invariant of a representation. *Duke Math. J.*, 150(3):489–532, 2009. (document), 1, 1, 1, 1, 3.6, 3.17, 7, 8, 8.1, 8.1, 8.3, 8.1, 8.1, 8.2, 8.9, 8.2, 8.2, 8.2, 8.10, 8.2, 8.11, 8.3, 8.4, 9, 9.1, 9.2, 10, 10

DIVISIÓN DE INGENIERÍAS, CAMPUS GUANAJUATO, UNIVERSIDAD DE GUANAJUATO, AV. JUÁREZ
 NO. 77, ZONA CENTRO, GUANAJUATO, GTO., MÉXICO, C.P. 36000
E-mail address: ja.arciniega@ugto.mx

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIDAD CUERNAVACA, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTONOMA DE
 MÉXICO, AVENIDA UNIVERSIDAD SIN NÚMERO, COLONIA LOMAS DE CHAMILPA, CUERNAVACA, MORE-
 LOS, MÉXICO
E-mail address: jlcisneros@im.unam.mx