

ON THE DEFORMATION OF A BARSOTTI-TATE GROUP OVER A CURVE

JIE XIA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study deformations of pairs (C, G) where G is a height 2 BT (or BT_n) group over a complete curve on algebraically closed field k of characteristic p . We prove that, if the curve C is a versal deformation of G , then there exists a unique lifting of the pair to the Witt ring W . We apply this result in the case of Shimura curves to obtain a lifting criterion.

1. INTRODUCTION

Illusie, along with Grothendieck and Raynaud, develop the deformation theory of BT groups (see [4]). One result in [4] is that, roughly speaking, BT groups are unobstructed over an affine base. In our paper, rather than an affine base, we consider the deformation of the pair of a complete curve and a BT group of height 2 over this curve. We show that there is no obstruction to lift the pair (C, G) from characteristic p to characteristic 0 when the curve C is a versal deformation. Furthermore, 7.4 indicates that this deformation result is a main ingredient in lifting a curve in characteristic p to a Shimura curve. This leads to our work on how to define Shimura varieties in positive characteristic. The relevant results will appear in our upcoming paper.

We note that Kang Zuo and his collaborators also work with the special rank 2 bundles (associated to height 2 BT groups via Dieudonne functor). For example, in [7], amid other results, they discover the periodicity of rank 2 semistable Higgs bundle. Shinichi Mochizuki has also considered a similar problem. In [10], he developed the theory of the rank 2 indigenous bundle, which is closely related to the height 2 BT group.

1.1. Main results. In this paper, let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic p , W Witt ring $W(k)$, W_n the truncated ring $W(k)/p^{n+1}$ and C a smooth proper curve of genus ≥ 2 over k . Let G be a Barsotti-Tate (BT) group of height 2 over C . For the definition of BT or truncated BT groups, we refer to Chapter 1 of [9].

In this situation, ([4, A.2.3.6]) says that the following two conditions are equivalent.

- For any closed point $c \in C$, let \hat{C}_c be the completion of C over c . The restriction of G over \hat{C}_c is the versal deformation of G_c in the category of local artinian k -algebras with residue field k .
- the Kodaira-Spencer map:

$$(1) \quad \text{ks} : T_C \rightarrow t_G \otimes t_{G^*}$$

is an isomorphism.

Here t_G is the degree 0 cohomology of the dual cotangent complex of G , i.e. $t_G = H^0(\check{l}_G)$. If the pair (C, G) satisfies either of the conditions, then we say that C is a versal deformation of G .

Theorem 1.2. *If C is a versal deformation of G , then there exists a unique curve C' over W which is a lifting of C and admits a lifting G' of G ,*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \longrightarrow & G' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C & \longrightarrow & C' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ k & \longrightarrow & W. \end{array}$$

Furthermore, G' is unique up to a unique isomorphism.

Remark 1.3. Let C_n be any flat curve over W_n with special fiber C . It is clear that C_n is proper and smooth, for any $n \geq 1$ and so is C' .

Remark 1.4. We also have a similar result for truncated BT groups but the uniqueness is not guaranteed. For details, see 4.9 .

As an application of 1.2, we show a liftability result on Shimura curves of Mumford type in 7.4. In [12], Mumford defines a family of Shimura curves of Hodge type over a number field which we call Shimura curves of Mumford type. If $X \rightarrow C$ is a family of abelian varieties over k with maximal Higgs field and its associated p -divisible group $X[p^\infty]$ has a special decomposition, then $X \rightarrow C$ is a good reduction of a Shimura curve of Mumford type. The proof is technical yet the strategy is fairly simple. Firstly 1.2 implies that $X \rightarrow C$ can be lifted to a formal family of abelian varieties over W . Then we prove any polarization lifts as well, which implies that the formal family is algebraizable.

1.5. Structure of the paper. Theorem 1.2 is proved in Sections 3 and 4 in the following steps:

- I Compute the obstruction class of the lifting to W_2 .
- II First order deformation: a choice of a lifting of C to W_2 can kill the obstruction class.
- III Higher order deformation: recursively apply steps I and II to W_n for any n to obtain a formal scheme over $\mathrm{Spf}(W)$.
- IV Algebraization: apply Grothendieck's existence theorem to algebraize the formal scheme.

In Section 5 and 6, we give two variations of 1.2 which we will use in our future work. In Section 7, we mainly prove 7.4.

1.6. Notation. We follow the notation in [4]. For any BT or truncated BT group G over C , let $e : C \rightarrow G[p]$ be the identity element. Let $l_G = Le^*L_{G/C}$ be the pull back of the cotangent complex of G/C . Let $\omega_G = e^*\Omega_{G[p]/C}^1$ and $t_G = H^0(\check{l}_G)$. The bundle $\mathbb{D}(G)_C = \mathbb{D}(G[p])$ admits a Hodge filtration (see [4, A 2.3.1])

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_G \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(G)_C \rightarrow t_{G^*} \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that $\mathbb{D}(G)$ admits a connection $\nabla : \mathbb{D}(G)_C \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(G)_C \otimes \Omega_C^1$, which induces the Higgs field $\theta_G : \omega_G \rightarrow t_{G^*} \otimes \Omega_C^1$. We say the Higgs field is maximal if it is an isomorphism. Also if G has height 2, ω_G and t_{G^*} has rank 1, then the Higgs field gives the Kodaira-Spencer map $T_C \rightarrow t_G \otimes t_{G^*}$.

For a family of abelian varieties $X \rightarrow C$, we use ω_X, t_X to represent the corresponding sheaves associated to the p -divisible group $X[p^\infty]$.

Acknowledgments. I would like to express my deep gratitude to my advisor A.J. de Jong, for suggesting the project to me and for his patience and guidance throughout its resolution. This paper would not exist without many inspiring discussions with him. I also want to thank Professor

Kang Zuo and Mao Sheng for the helpful conversations. Lastly, I thank Vivek Pal for checking the grammatical errors.

2. AN EXAMPLE OF THE VERSAL DEFORMATION

We construct the example from a fake elliptic curve, using Morita's equivalence.

Let D be a nonsplit quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} and $\mathcal{O} \subset D$ be a maximal order. The fake elliptic curve is a smooth proper Shimura curve of PEL type in the moduli $\mathcal{A}_{2,1}$ of abelian surfaces, defined by the following:

- (1) A/\mathbb{C} is an abelian surface,
- (2) $D \hookrightarrow \text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ such that $H_1(A, \mathbb{Z})$ as an \mathcal{O} -module is isomorphic to \mathcal{O} ,
- (3) the Rosati involution associated to the polarization \mathcal{L} induces the involution on \mathcal{O} ,
- (4) a level n structure.

Since every PEL type Shimura variety has an integral model(see [6]), we can choose a prime \mathfrak{p} such that \mathfrak{p} is prime to the discriminant $\text{disc}(D)$ and the fake elliptic curve admits a good reduction over \mathfrak{p} . We denote the reduction as S . Then S is proper, smooth and admits a family of abelian surfaces Y , and hence a family H of BT groups of height 4 over S . Furthermore, $M_2(\mathbb{F}_p) \cong D \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p$ acts on H .

By [11, Theorem 0.5], the PEL type Shimura curves all have maximal Higgs field.

By Morita's equivalence, the following

$$\{\text{BT groups of height 4 with an action of } M_2(\mathbb{F}_p)\} \leftrightarrow \{\text{BT groups of height 2}\}$$

$$H \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} H$$

$$H' \times H' \leftarrow H'$$

is an equivalence of categories. So we have a family H' of BT group of height 2 over S .

Furthermore, since the base change preserves the maximal Higgs field, by [4, A 2.3.6], $H' \rightarrow S$ is a versal deformation of height 2 BT groups.

3. FIRST ORDER DEFORMATION

In this section, without specific indications, G is a BT or BT_n group.

3.1. Step I. First recall the following theorems by Grothendieck and Illusie:

Proposition 3.2. ([4, Theorem 4.4]) *Let $S_0 \rightarrow S \xrightarrow{i} S'$ be a closed immersion defined by ideal sheaf $J \subset K$ with $JK = 0$ and $p^N \mathcal{O}_{S_0} = 0$. Let G be a BT_n group over S with $n \geq N$ or a BT group. Assume S' is affine and p is nilpotent on S' . Then*

- (1) *there exists a BT_n group G' on S' as a deformation of G ,*
- (2) *the set of deformations up to isomorphism is a torsor under the group $(t_G \otimes t_{G^*} \otimes J)(S_0)$*
- (3) *if $n - k > N$, then the morphism*

$$\text{Def}(G, i) \rightarrow \text{Def}(G[p^k], i)$$

is bijective.

Proposition 3.3. ([4, Corollary 4.7]) *Assumption as 3.2. Let H be a BT group on S .*

- (1) *If $n \geq N$, then the map*

$$\text{Def}(H, i) \rightarrow \text{Def}(H[p^n], i)$$

is bijective.

- (2) *The automorphism group of the deformation H' of H to S' is trivial.*

Corollary 3.4. Let $S_0 \rightarrow S \xrightarrow{i} S'$ be a closed immersion defined by ideal sheaf $J \subset K$ with $JK = 0$ and $p^N \mathcal{O}_{S_0} = 0$. Let G be a BT_n group over S with $n \geq N$ or a BT group. Assume p is nilpotent on S' and S_0 is a proper smooth curve over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic p . If $G[p]$ can be lifted to S' , then G can also be lifted to S' . Hence in this case, the obstruction to lift G is the same as that of $G[p]$.

Proof. By 3.3 (1), we know that over any affine open $U \subset S$, G_U can be uniquely lifted to $U' \subset S'$ which is compatible with the lifting of $G[1]_U$. Over $U \cap V$, $G_{U'}$ and $G_{V'}$ both induce the same lifting of $G[p]_{U \cap V}$. Hence due to the bijection in 3.3(1), $G_{U'} \cong G_{V'}$. Since S_0 is a curve, there is no need to check the coboundary condition. Hence $\{G_{U'}\}$ glue to a global BT group over S .

By 3.2 (3), the same argument works for the truncated case. \square

Remark 3.5. From 3.4, we only know G is liftable but it may not be globally compatible with the lifting of $G[p]$.

Corollary 3.6. Assumptions as 3.4 and further assume G is a BT group. The deformation space $\text{Def}(G, i)$ is a torsor under $H^0(S_0, t_G \otimes t_{G^*} \otimes J)$.

Proof. Let $\{U_i\}$ be an affine open cover of S . Let $\{U'_i\}$ be the lifting of $\{U_i\}$ to S' and $\{U_{i0}\}$ be the corresponding affine open cover on S_0 .

From ([4, 3.2(b)]), the deformation space $\text{Def}_{S'}(G)$ (up to isomorphism) is a torsor under some k -vector space V . By 3.2, for any $v \in V$ and any i , there exists $s_i \in (t_G \otimes t_{G^*} \otimes J)(U_{i0})$ such that $v|_{U'_i} = s_i$. Over each U_{ij} ,

$$s_i|_{U_{ij0}} = v|_{U'_{ij}} = s_j|_{U_{ij0}}.$$

So $\{s_i\}$ patch to a global section s of $t_G \otimes t_{G^*} \otimes J$. Hence we have the linear transformation

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} V &\longrightarrow H^0(S_0, t_G \otimes t_{G^*} \otimes J) \\ v &\mapsto s \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to show this transformation is surjective.

The kernel of morphism (2) consists of the elements which induce locally isomorphisms between any two deformations of G . Since G is a BT group, by 3.3 (2), over each U_i , the isomorphism between two deformations G_1 and G_2 which induces the identity on $G|_{U_i}$ is unique. Hence the local isomorphisms over each U_i can glue to a global isomorphism. Therefore the kernel is trivial. The space $\text{Def}(G, i)$ is a torsor under $H^0(S_0, t_G \otimes t_{G^*} \otimes J)$ \square

Now we can show

Theorem 3.7. Assumptions as 1.2, for any deformation C_2 of C over W_2 ,

- (1) the obstruction class $\text{ob}_{C_2}(G)$ of the deformation of G to C_2 is in $H^1(C, T_C)$,
- (2) if the obstruction class vanishes, then the deformation space is a torsor under $H^0(C, T_C)$.

Proof. (1) By 3.3 (2) and 3.4, we only need to consider the truncated BT group G . Choose any open affine cover $\{U_i\}$ of C and the deformation U'_i of U_i to W_2 is unique up to isomorphisms. By 3.2, G is locally liftable and the set of deformations of G_{U_i} (up to isomorphisms) is a torsor under the group $(t_G \otimes t_{G^*} \otimes J)(U_i)$. Since the thickening just has the first order, $J \cong k$ and the set of lifting is a torsor under $(t_G \otimes t_{G^*})(U_i)$. On $U_{ij} = U_i \cap U_j$, the restriction from $G_{U'_i}$ and $G_{U'_j}$ give two deformations of $G_{U_{ij}}$.

By 3.2, we can choose s_{ij} the element in $(t_G \otimes t_{G^*})(U_{ij})$ sending $[G_{U'_i}]$ to $[G_{U'_j}]$, i.e.

$$s_{ij} = [G_{U'_i}] - [G_{U'_j}] \in (t_G \otimes t_{G^*})(U_{ij}).$$

The vanishing of the Čech cocycle $\{s_{ij}\}$ precisely gives a deformation of G . Note by 3.4, we can adjust the deformation on U_i by elements in $(t_G \otimes t_{G^*})(U_i)$. Hence the obstruction is in $H^1(C, t_G \otimes t_{G^*})$.

Since C is a versal deformation, the Kodaira-Spencer map gives

$$t_G \otimes t_{G^*} \cong T_C.$$

(2) It directly follows from 3.6. □

Remark 3.8. From the proof, we know 3.7 (1) also holds for truncated BT groups.

Remark 3.9. Notation as 1.2. If we assume $g(C) \geq 2$, then $H^0(C, T_C) = 0$ and hence for the BT group G , there is a unique (up to a unique isomorphism, 3.3(2)) deformation G' to C' , if exists.

And according to [8, Corollary 5.4], if a curve along with a family of abelian varieties is liftable, preserving the maximal Higgs field, then the genus of the curve is necessarily greater than 2.

3.10. Step II. In this part, we prove 3.11.

Firstly, we recall that the deformation space of C to W_2 is $H^1(C, T_C)$. Without loss of generality, assume C can be covered by two affine open U and V , with the unique deformations U' and V' to W_2 .

Fix the embedding $(U \cap V)' \rightarrow V'$ and alternating the embedding $(U \cap V)' \rightarrow U'$ gives a different lifting of C . And all the deformations of C can be obtained in this way.

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} U' & \xleftarrow{\quad} & (U \cap V)' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & V' \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ U & \xleftarrow{\quad} & U \cap V & \xrightarrow{\quad} & V \end{array}$$

Since all the schemes involved in 3 are affine, we can interpret the diagram in the level of commutative algebras:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} B' & \xrightarrow{\psi_1} & A' & \xleftarrow{\quad} & C' \\ \downarrow /p & & \downarrow /p & & \downarrow /p \\ B & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A & \xleftarrow{\quad} & C \end{array}$$

where $\psi_1 - \psi_2 = p\delta$ where $\delta \in \text{Der}(A)$. Here $/p$ means quotient of p .

Let $G_{U'}$ be any deformation of G_U . Then the map ψ_1 and ψ_2 induce two deformations $G_{U'} \otimes_{\psi_1} A'$ and $G_{U'} \otimes_{\psi_2} A'$ of $G_{U \cap V}$. By 3.2 (2), we can denote the element in $t_G \otimes t_{G^*}(U \cap V)$ taking $G_{U'} \otimes_{\psi_2} A'$ to $G_{U'} \otimes_{\psi_1} A'$ as $[G_{U'} \otimes_{\psi_1} A'] - [G_{U'} \otimes_{\psi_2} A']$.

Theorem 3.11. *Restricting the ks to $U \cap V$ (for the definition of ks, see the morphism (1)), we have*

$$\text{ks}(\delta) = [G_{U'} \otimes_{\psi_1} A'] - [G_{U'} \otimes_{\psi_2} A'].$$

Proof. By ([4, 4.8.1]), we have

$$(4) \quad \text{ks}(\delta|_x) = [f^*G] - [G|_x \otimes_k k[\epsilon]]$$

where $\epsilon^2 = 0$ and $f : k[\epsilon] \rightarrow C$ is induced from the tangent vector $\delta|_x$. Let $f(\epsilon) = s \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_x^2}$ where \mathfrak{m}_x is the maximal ideal of x in A and s is actually the generator of $\mathfrak{m}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x^2$. Since A_x is a UFD of dimension 1, A_x is a PID and thus \mathfrak{m}_s is generated by s .

For any $x \in U \cap V$, by Hensel's lemma, there exists a section x' of $C' \rightarrow W_2$ lifting the point x . We can choose the ideal $I_{x'}$ such that the x' is given by $A' \rightarrow A'/I_{x'}$ locally on $U \cap V$.

Based on (4) it suffices to show

$$[G_{U'} \otimes_{\psi_1} A'/I_{x'}] - [G_{U'} \otimes_{\psi_2} A'/I_{x'}] = [f^*G] - [G|_x \otimes_k k[\epsilon]].$$

We can prove the result by local computation.

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} B & \dashrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{/\mathfrak{m}_x} & k \\ \downarrow \cdot p & & \downarrow \cdot p & & \downarrow \cdot p \\ B' & \xrightarrow{\psi_1} & A' & \xrightarrow{/I_{x'}} & W_2 \\ \downarrow & \xrightarrow{\psi_2} & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & k \end{array}$$

where $\psi_2 - \psi_1 = p\delta$. It is easy to see that the top arrow $A \rightarrow k$ is the quotient by the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_x of x .

Let $I_1 = (\psi_1)^{-1}(I_{x'})$ and $I_2 = (\psi_2)^{-1}(I_{x'}) = (\psi_1 + p\delta)^{-1}(I_{x'})$. Note $U' = \text{Spec } B'$ and then

$$G_1 := G_{U'} \otimes B'/I_1, G_2 := G_{U'} \otimes B'/I_2$$

are both deformations of $G_U \otimes k$ to W_2 with

$$[G_{U'} \otimes_{\psi_1} A'/I_{x'}] - [G_{U'} \otimes_{\psi_2} A'/I_{x'}] = [G_2] - [G_1].$$

Since $s \in I_1/p = \mathfrak{m}_x$, we can choose a lifting \tilde{s} of s to B' such that $\tilde{s} \in I_1$. Then $(\psi_1 + p\delta)(\tilde{s} - p) = 0$, i.e. $\tilde{s} - p \in I_2$. Since $\mathfrak{m}_x = (s)$, we have

$$I_1 = (\tilde{s}), I_2 = (\tilde{s} - p).$$

Let $I = (\tilde{s}^2, ps)$. Note $I \subset I_1 \cap I_2$ and since U' is an affine flat scheme over W_2 , there exists an injection

$$(6) \quad i : W_2 \hookrightarrow B'/I.$$

Note $B'/(\tilde{s}, p) = k$ and there exists a section $k \rightarrow B'/(\tilde{s}^2, p)$. Thus $B'/(\tilde{s}^2, p) = k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$. We have the following diagram:

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & k[\epsilon] & \\ & \swarrow \phi_3 & \searrow \\ k & \xleftarrow{J} & B'/I \\ & \swarrow \phi_1 & \searrow \phi_2 \\ & W_2 & \end{array}$$

where $\phi_1(\tilde{s}) = 0, \phi_2(\tilde{s}) = p, \phi_3(p) = 0$ and $(B'/I)/J = k$. The ideal J is generated by (\tilde{s}, p) .

We consider the triple

$$\{G_{U'} \otimes B'/I, G_1 \otimes_{W_2} B'/I, G_2 \otimes_{W_2} B'/I\}$$

of deformations of $G_U \otimes k$ on B'/I where $G_i \otimes_{W_2} B'/I$ is through i (see 6). Let

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_1 &= [G_{U'} \otimes B'/I] - [G_1 \otimes B'/I] \\ \xi_2 &= [G_{U'} \otimes B'/I] - [G_2 \otimes B'/I] \\ \xi_3 &= [G_2 \otimes B'/I] - [G[p] \otimes B'/I] \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi_i \in t_{G_x} \otimes t_{G_x^*} \otimes_k J$ (prop. 3.2).

Then ϕ_i induces

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_i^* : t_G \otimes t_{G^*} \otimes_k J &\longrightarrow t_G \otimes t_{G^*} \otimes_k pW_2, i \in \{1, 2\}. \\ \phi_3^* : t_G \otimes t_{G^*} \otimes_k J &\longrightarrow t_G \otimes t_{G^*} \otimes_k k[\epsilon]. \end{aligned}$$

Since G has height 2, t_{G_x} is a one dimensional space over k . Hence $t_{G_x} \otimes t_{G_x^*} \cong k$.

Choose the canonical basis $(\epsilon, p), (p), (\epsilon)$ for $J, pW_2, \epsilon k[\epsilon]$, respectively. Then we can write

$$\phi_i^* : k^2 \longrightarrow k, 1 \leq i \leq 3.$$

Then for any $a, b \in k$

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_1^*(a\epsilon + bp) &= b, \\ \phi_2^*(a\epsilon + bp) &= a + b, \\ \phi_3^*(a\epsilon + bp) &= a.\end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$(8) \quad \phi_2^* = \phi_1^* + \phi_3^*.$$

Then we have the following relations:

- (1) $\phi_1^*(\xi_1) = 0, \xi_1 = \xi_2 + \xi_3,$
- (2) $\phi_2^*(\xi_2) = 0, \phi_3^*(\xi_2) = [G_U \otimes_f k[\epsilon]] - [G_U \otimes_k k[\epsilon]],$
- (3) $\phi_1^*(\xi_3) = \phi_2^*(\xi_3) = [G_2] - [G[p]].$

For (1), the pull back of the pair $\{G_{U'} \otimes B'/I, G[p] \otimes B'/I\}$ through ϕ_1 is identically $G[p]$. For (2), $\phi_3^*(B'/I) = B/(s^2)$ and then $\phi_3^*(G_{U'} \otimes B'/I) = G_U \otimes_f k[\epsilon]$. Similarly, one can verify the other formulas.

Hence

$$(9) \quad 0 = \phi_1^*(\xi_1) = (\phi_2^* - \phi_3^*)(\xi_2 + \xi_3) = \phi_2^*(\xi_3) - \phi_3^*(\xi_2)$$

which implies

$$[G_1] - [G_2] = [G_U \otimes_k k[\epsilon]] - [G_U \otimes_f k[\epsilon]]$$

as an element in $(t_G \otimes t_{G^*})(k)$.

Therefore, the difference of the two classes $[G \otimes_{\psi_1} A'_{|x'}] - [G \otimes_{\psi_2} A'_{|x'}]$ is the same as the difference between the trivial deformation to $k[\epsilon]$ and the deformation given by s , which is exactly $\text{ks}(\delta|_x)$. \square

Fix a lifting $C \longrightarrow C_2$ of C . By 3.7, $\text{ob}_{C_2}(G) = (s_{ij}) \in H^1(C, t_G \otimes t_{G^*})$ where $s_{ij} = [G_{U'_{ij}}] - [G_{U'_{ji}}]$. Then for $\iota : \text{Spec } k \longrightarrow \text{Spec } W_2$, by 3.11, the Kodaira-Spencer map induces a bijection

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned}\text{Def}(C, \iota) &\longrightarrow H^1(C, t_G \otimes t_{G^*}) \\ C'_2 &\mapsto \text{ks}([C'_2] - [C_2]).\end{aligned}$$

Then there is a lifting of C corresponding to 0 in $H^1(C, t_G \otimes t_{G^*})$. Explicitly, we change the gluing $U'_{ij} \longrightarrow U'_i$ by the derivation $\delta_{ij} = \text{ks}^{-1}(-s_{ij})$ and then from 3.11, the new $G_{U'_i}$ is isomorphic to $G_{U'_j}$.

That is how we adjust the lifting of C to kill the obstruction. It is clear that such a lifting is unique. Therefore for any BT or BT_n group $G \longrightarrow C$, once the curve C is a versal deformation, we obtain a unique first-order deformation of C such that G can be deformed.

Now we have finished the first order thickening.

4. HIGHER ORDER DEFORMATION

4.1. **Step III.** For the higher order deformation problem, we would like to complete the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G & \longrightarrow & G_n & \dashrightarrow & ? \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C & \longrightarrow & C_n & \longrightarrow & C_{n+1}. \end{array}$$

7

It is easy to check that 3.7(1) is still true for the higher order deformation, and thus the obstruction class $\text{ob}_{C_{n+1}}(G_n)$ is still in $H^1(C, T_C)$.

Proposition 4.2. *Theorem 3.11 is also true for higher order thickening.*

Proof. We prove it by induction.

Based on the induction hypothesis that the proposition is true for n -th order thickening, we just mimic the proof of 3.11. Similar to the diagram 3, we can adjust the lifting $C_n \rightarrow C_{n+1}$ by

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U_{n+1} & \xleftarrow{\quad} & (U_{n+1} \cap V_{n+1}) & \longrightarrow & V_{n+1} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ U_n & \longleftarrow & U_n \cap V_n & \longrightarrow & V_n \end{array}$$

In terms of algebra, correspondingly, the diagram (5) becomes :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} B & \xrightarrow{\delta} & A & \xrightarrow{/m_x} & k \\ \downarrow \cdot p^n & & \downarrow \cdot p^n & & \downarrow \cdot p^n \\ B_{n+1} & \xrightarrow[\psi]{\psi + p^n \delta} & A_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{/I_{x_{n+1}}} & W_{n+1}(k) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B_n & \longrightarrow & A_n & \xrightarrow{/I_{x_n}} & W_n \end{array}$$

where $\delta|_x$ is given by $f : k[\epsilon] \rightarrow U \cap V, \epsilon \mapsto s \in \mathfrak{m}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x^2$.

Let $G_{U_{n+1}}$ be any deformation of $(G_n)_{U_n}$ to U_{n+1} . Let

$$I_1 := \psi^{-1}(I_{x_{n+1}}), I_2 := (\psi + p\delta)^{-1}(I_{x_{n+1}}).$$

Then $B_{n+1}/I_1 \cong B_{n+1}/I_2 \cong W_{n+1}(k)$. We use \tilde{s} to denote the lifting of s to B_{n+1} such that $\tilde{s} \in I_1$. Then

$$I_2 = (\tilde{s} - p^n), I_1 = (\tilde{s}).$$

Let

$$G_1 := G_{U_{n+1}} \otimes B_{n+1}/I_1, G_2 := G_{U_{n+1}} \otimes B_{n+1}/I_2.$$

Choose $I = (\tilde{s}^2, p\tilde{s})$ and $J = (\tilde{s}, p^n)$. Similar to diagram 7, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & B_{n+1}/I_1 & & \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ k & \longleftarrow & W_n & \longleftarrow & B_{n+1}/I_2 & \longleftarrow & B_{n+1}/I \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ & & W_n[\epsilon]/(p\epsilon) & & \end{array}$$

where $\phi_1(\tilde{s}) = 0, \phi_2(\tilde{s}) = p^n, \phi_3(p^n) = 0$ and $(B_{n+1}/I)/J \cong W_n$.

As in the proof of 3.11, let

$$\xi_1 = [G_{U_{n+1}} \otimes B_{n+1}/I] - [G_1 \otimes B_{n+1}/I]$$

$$\xi_2 = [G_{U_{n+1}} \otimes B_{n+1}/I] - [G_2 \otimes B_{n+1}/I]$$

$$\xi_3 = [G_2 \otimes B_{n+1}/I] - [G_1 \otimes B_{n+1}/I]$$

where $\xi_i \in t_{G_x} \otimes t_{G_x^*} \otimes J \cong k^2$.

Similar to Equation 8, we have

$$\phi_2^* = \phi_1^* + \phi_3^*.$$

Hence the same result as Equation 9 holds for the higher order deformation.

We obtain that

$$[G_{U_{n+1}} \otimes B_{n+1}/I_1] - [G_{U_{n+1}} \otimes B_{n+1}/I_2] = [G_n \otimes B_n/I] - [G_n \otimes_{B_n/I_{x_n}} W_n(\epsilon)].$$

The right hand side is the deformation of $G|_{U_n} \otimes W_n$ and mod p , it is just

$$[G \otimes_f k[\epsilon]] - [G \otimes_k k[\epsilon]] = \text{ks}(\delta).$$

Therefore by functoriality, the right hand side

$$[G_n \otimes B_n/I] - [G_n \otimes_{W_n} W_n(\epsilon)] = \text{ks}(\delta).$$

Hence the result of 3.11 is also true for the higher order deformation. \square

Let $\iota : \text{Spec } W_n \rightarrow \text{Spec } W_{n+1}$. Proposition 4.2 implies (10) holds in the higher order case:

$$\text{Def}(C_n, \iota) \rightarrow H^1(C, t_G \otimes t_{G^*})$$

is bijective. Then we can always adjust the lifting C_{n+1} so that it admits a lifting G_{n+1} as BT or BT_n groups.

In the following, we only consider the BT group case. By 3.9, for a BT group G , the lifting of G to C_2 is strongly unique. Since 3.3(2) and 3.6 also hold for higher deformation case, the lifting of BT group G is strongly unique for the deformation problem $C \hookrightarrow C_{n-1} \hookrightarrow C_n$. In this case, we have a canonical choice of deformations $\{C_n\}$ of the curve C such that each C_n admits the unique BT group G_n .

Proposition 4.3. *For a BT group G , the sequence of deformations $\{C_n\}$ of curves C which admits a deformation of G is unique.*

4.4. Step IV. In this step, we always assume G is a BT group. By 4.3, we have a formal scheme

$$\hat{G} = \lim G_n \rightarrow \hat{C} = \lim C_n \rightarrow \text{Spf}(W).$$

A natural question is whether there exists actual schemes

$$G' \rightarrow C' \rightarrow W$$

that base change to W_n , it is $G_n \rightarrow C_n$.

Recall the Grothendieck existence theorem: let A be a noetherian ring, I an ideal of A and $Y = \text{Spec } A, Y_n = \text{Spec } A/I^{n+1}, \hat{Y} = \text{colim}_n Y_n = \text{Spf}(A)$.

Theorem 4.5. ([3, 5.1.4]) *Let X be a noetherian scheme, separated and of finite type over Y , and let \hat{X} be its I -adic completion. Then the functor $F \mapsto \hat{F}$ from the category of coherent sheaves on X whose support is proper over Y to the category of coherent sheaves on \hat{X} whose support is proper over \hat{Y} is an equivalence.*

Theorem 4.6. ([3, 5.4.5]) *Let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spf}(A)$ be a formal scheme and \mathcal{L} an ample line bundle on over \mathcal{X} , then $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ are algebraizable.*

Hence the formal projective curve $\{C_n\}$ is algebraizable. Denote the algebraic curve as C' .

Corollary 4.7. *Let X/Y be as the 4.5. Then*

- (1) $Z \rightarrow \hat{Z}$ is a bijection from the set of closed subschemes of X which are proper over Y to the set of closed formal subschemes of \hat{X} which are proper over \hat{Y} .
- (2) $Z \rightarrow \hat{Z}$ is an equivalence from the category of finite X -schemes which are proper over Y to the category of finite \hat{X} -formal schemes which are proper over \hat{Y} .

(3) If both of X and Z are proper, then $(f : X \rightarrow Z) \mapsto (\hat{f} : \hat{X} \rightarrow \hat{Z})$ is a bijection from the set of morphisms between X and Z to the set of formal morphisms between \hat{X} and \hat{Z} . In particular, any finite locally free group schemes over X_n can be algebraized to a finite locally free group scheme over X .

Proof. The first and second assertions are ([5, 4.5, 4.6]). For any $f_n : X_n \rightarrow Z_n$ morphism between formal schemes, the graph of morphism: $(X_n \cong) \Gamma_n \subset X_n \times Z_n$ can be algebraized to $\Gamma \subset X \times Z$ which is still isomorphic to X and then the morphism can also be uniquely algebraized. \square

Proposition 4.8. *The formal scheme*

$$\hat{G} \rightarrow \hat{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spf}(W)$$

can be algebraized.

Proof. First consider the truncated case, we have an algebraization $G' \rightarrow C'$ of $G_n \rightarrow C_n$ where G' is a finite locally free group scheme over C' . It remains to show G' is BT_n . It suffices to show it satisfies the exact sequence for any $l \leq k$:

$$0 \rightarrow G'[p^l] \rightarrow G' \rightarrow G'[p^{k-l}] \rightarrow 0.$$

Since the algebraization of closed subschemes and morphisms are unique up to isomorphism, the algebraization of $G_n[p^l] \rightarrow G_n$ gives a p^l -torsion subgroup $G'[p^l] \rightarrow G'$ and the composition $G'[l] \rightarrow G' \rightarrow G'[p^{k-l}]$ is trivial. Furthermore, the morphism $G_n/G_n[p^l] \cong G_n[p^{k-l}]$ can also be algebraized.

For the BT groups, any BT group G_n can be represented as a colimit $\mathrm{colim}_k G_n[p^k]$ and $G_n[p^k]$ can be algebraized to $G'[p^k]$ for each k . Since the algebraization preserves the morphism, $G'[k]$ is still an inductive system and $\mathrm{colim}_k G'[p^k]$ is a BT group. Hence the BT group G_n can be algebraized too. \square

4.9. The truncated case. For a BT_n group G versally deformed over curve C/k , by 4.2, G is unobstructed. But since 3.7(2) may not be true for BT_n , the lifting of G may not be unique and consequently the choice of C_m may not be unique if m is large enough. But we still have the following weaker result:

Theorem 4.10. *If C is a versal deformation of a BT_n group G , then there exists unique curve C_n/W_n and BT_n (may not be unique) G_n/C_n such that the following diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \longrightarrow & G_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C & \longrightarrow & C_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ k & \longrightarrow & W_n \end{array}$$

is a fiber product.

Proof. We have known the existence from Step I, II and III. So it suffices to show the uniqueness of C_n . We prove it by induction.

From 3.11, C_2 is unique for any $n \geq 1$. By ([4, Theorem 4.4(d)]), we know for any $G' \in \mathrm{Def}_{C_2}(G)$, the morphism

$$(11) \quad \mathrm{Aut}(G') \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(G'[p^{n-1}])$$

is trivial. Note we have the morphism 2 is null and $H^0(C, t_G \otimes t_{G^*}) \cong H^0(C, T_C) = 0$. Hence for any $G', G'' \in \text{Def}_{C_2}(G)$, G' and G'' are locally isomorphic. Restricting to $G'[p^{n-1}]$ and $G''[p^{n-1}]$, these local isomorphism are unique and then compatible on the overlapping. Hence we have

$$G'[p^{n-1}] \cong G''[p^{n-1}]$$

globally. By 3.4, all the deformation to C_2 has the same obstruction class to the second order deformation. Then by 4.2, there exists a unique C_3 admits the deformation.

Assume that C_{k-1} is unique with $k - 2 < n$ and all the deformations in $\text{Def}_{C_{k-1}}(G)$ share the same p^{n-k+2} -kernel, i.e. for any $G', G'' \in \text{Def}_{C_{k-1}}(G)$, globally

$$G'[p^{n-k+2}] \cong G''[p^{n-k+2}].$$

Then by 3.4 and 4.2, the obstruction to deform $G'[p^{n-k+2}]$ from C_{k-1} gives a unique lifting $C_{k-1} \rightarrow C_k$. And by the same argument in the C_2 case, the different lifting of $G'[p^{n-k+2}]$ share the same p -kernel, i.e. for any $K', K'' \in \text{Def}_{C_k}(G)$,

$$K'[p^{n-k+1}] \cong K''[p^{n-k+1}].$$

So we can keep induction until $k = n$. □

For higher order deformation, there may not exist a canonical choice of C_m . However, since the lifting of BT_n is always unobstructed, using the result in Step IV, we have

Theorem 4.11. *For a BT_n group G versally deformed over C , there exists a curve C' over $W(k)$ and a BT_n group $G' \rightarrow C'$ such that the following diagrams*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \longrightarrow & G' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C & \longrightarrow & C' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ k & \longrightarrow & W \end{array}$$

are both fiber products.

5. EQUI-CHARACTERISTIC CASE

We have an analogue to 1.2 in the equi-characteristic case.

Theorem 5.1. *Assumption as 1.2, for any $n \geq 2$, the unique deformation (up to isomorphisms) of $G \rightarrow C$ to $k[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^n)$ is the trivial deformation $G \times_k k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^n \rightarrow C \times_k k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^n$, i.e. $G \rightarrow C$ is rigid.*

The proof of 5.1 largely parallels the mixed-characteristic case. We consider the equi-characteristic first-order deformation

$$\text{Spec } k \xrightarrow{i} \text{Spec } k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2.$$

The fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_{2,0} & \longrightarrow & G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C_{2,0} & \longrightarrow & C \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec } k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2 & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec } k \end{array}$$

gives the trivial deformation of the BT group since the fiber product preserves the BT structure.

Since we can carry on the proof word by word except replacing W_2 by $k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$, Theorem 3.7 is true for the equi-characteristic case. And further, we can show 3.11 is also true in the equi-characteristic case.

Assume $C = U \cup V$ as union of open affine schemes and U' (resp. V') are the unique deformation of U (resp. V) to $k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$ as in the proof of 3.11. Comparing the deformation of C with the trivial deformation: locally,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} B' := B[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2 & \xrightarrow[l]{l+\epsilon\delta} & A[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2 & \xrightarrow{\pi} & k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \xrightarrow[l]{} & A & \xrightarrow{/m_x} & k \end{array}$$

where similar to 6, δ induces $f : B \rightarrow k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$. Then

$$\pi \circ (l + \epsilon\delta) = f.$$

Hence

$$G_{U'} \otimes_{\pi \circ (l + \epsilon\delta)} k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2 = G_{U'} \otimes_f k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2.$$

That justifies that

$$\text{ks}(\delta) = [G_{U'} \otimes_{1+\epsilon\delta} A[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2] - [G_{U'} \otimes_1 A[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2].$$

Therefore, the Kodaira Spencer map induces an isomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Def}(C, i) &\longrightarrow H^0(C, t_G \otimes t_{G^*}) \\ C_2 &\mapsto \text{ks}([C_2] - [C_{2,0}]) \end{aligned}$$

Hence only the trivial deformation of C admits a lifting of G . Theorem 3.7(2) shows such a lifting is unique.

By induction, we can prove it for the higher order deformation $\text{Spec } k \rightarrow \text{Spec } k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^n$. For any deformation $G_n \rightarrow C_n \rightarrow k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^n$, base change to $k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^{n-1}$, it is the trivial deformation on $\text{Spec } k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^{n-1}$. Then we can carry the argument in the Section 4.1 word by word to the current situation. Therefore 5.1 is proved.

6. A HEIGHT 2 BT GROUP WITH TRIVIAL KODAIRA SPENCER MAP

Another extreme case is G with trivial Kodaira-Spencer map, or equivalently, a rank 2 Dieudonne crystal over C with trivial Higgs field. Let \mathcal{V} be the Dieudonne crystal $\mathbb{D}(G)$ associated to G with the Hodge filtration

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow (\mathcal{V})_C \rightarrow \mathcal{L}' \rightarrow 0$$

and trivial Higgs field $\theta : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}' \otimes \Omega_C^1$. Let F and V be the Frobenius and Verschiebung for \mathcal{V} . Then over C , $\ker F = \text{im } V = \mathcal{L}^p$.

Theorem 6.1. *If a height 2 BT group G has trivial Kodaira-Spencer map, then there exists a BT group H such that $H^{(p)} = G$.*

Proof. Since $\theta = 0$, the connection preserves the line bundle \mathcal{L} . Choose a lifting C' of C to W . Then the crystal \mathcal{V} corresponds to a bundle with an integral connection. For notational simplicity, we still denote it as (\mathcal{V}, ∇) . Let $L = \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{L}) \subset \mathcal{V}$ be the inverse image of \mathcal{L} under $\pi : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_C$. Since ∇ preserves \mathcal{L} , ∇ preserves L .

For any affine open subset $U \subset C'$, choose a lifting of the absolute Frobenius σ to U . Then $L^\sigma \subset \mathcal{V}^\sigma$. Since $\pi(L^\sigma) = \pi(V(\mathcal{V}))$ where V is the Verschiebung, we have $L^\sigma = V(\mathcal{V}) \cong \mathcal{V}$. In particular, L^σ is isomorphic to a rank 2 vector bundle over U . It implies that L can be viewed as a vector bundle over C' .

It remains to define the Frobenius F_L and Verschiebung V_L for L locally. Over any $U, V : \mathcal{V}^\sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ induces just the inclusion $L \rightarrow L^\sigma \cong \mathcal{V}$, which can be chosen to be V_L . And $F(L^\sigma) = F(V(\mathcal{V})) = p.\mathcal{V} \subset L$. Hence F induces a morphism $F_L : L^\sigma \rightarrow L$. Since both of F_L and V_L are restriction of F and V , we have $F_L \circ V_L = V_L \circ F_L = p.\text{Id}$.

Therefore (L, ∇, F_L, V_L) corresponds to a rank 2 Dieudonne crystal whose Frobenius pullback is isomorphic to \mathcal{V} . By ([2, Main Theorem 1]), such a crystal corresponds to a height 2 BT group H and $H^{(p)} = G$. \square

7. APPLICATION: LIFTING TO A SHIMURA CURVE

7.1. Shimura curves of Mumford type. In [12], Mumford defines a family of Shimura curves. We briefly recall the construction as follows. Let F be a cubic totally real field and D be a quaternion division algebra over F such that

$$D \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H} \times M_2(\mathbb{R}), \text{Cor}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(D) \cong M_8(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Here \mathbb{H} is the quaternion algebra over \mathbb{R} .

Let $G = \{x \in D^* | x.\bar{x} = 1\}$. Then G is a \mathbb{Q} -simple algebraic group. And it is the \mathbb{Q} -form of the \mathbb{R} -algebraic group $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})$.

$$h : \mathbb{S}_m(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G(\mathbb{R})$$

$$e^{i\theta} \mapsto I_4 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

The pair (G, h) forms a Shimura datum. And it defines a Shimura curve, parameterizing abelian fourfolds. Generalizing the construction, one is able to define some Shimura curves of Hodge type, parameterizing 2^m dimensional polarized abelian varieties (see [14]). We call such curves (with its universal family) *the Shimura curves of Mumford type*.

Definition 7.2. Define the binary operation between two BT groups:

$$G \odot H := \text{colim}_n (G[p^n] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H[p^n]).$$

Remark 7.3. The inductive system $(G[p^n] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H[p^n])$ will be explained in the Appendix A. Note in general $G \odot H$ is just an abelian sheaf rather than a group scheme. But in our case, H is etale and we will show in the Appendix A that $G \odot H$ is indeed a BT group and $(G \odot H)[p^n] = G[p^n] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H[p^n]$.

Theorem 7.4. Let $X \rightarrow C$ be a family of abelian varieties over a smooth proper curve C such that

- (1) X/C has the maximal Higgs field,
- (2) there exists an isomorphism

$$X[p^\infty] \cong G \odot H$$

where G is a height 2 BT group and H is an etale BT group over C .

Then X/C is a good reduction of a Shimura curve of Mumford type.

We devote the rest of the section to prove this theorem. We first apply 1.2 to lift $X \rightarrow C$ to a formal family over W . Secondly, to algebraize the family, we show any polarization lifts as well.

Remark 7.5. There exist some good reductions of Shimura curves of Mumford type satisfying the conditions of 7.4. We will show it in our next paper.

7.6. Lifting of X/C . Let \mathcal{T} be the unit crystal $\mathbb{D}(H)$ and \mathcal{V} be the Dieudonne crystal $\mathbb{D}(G)$.

Lemma 7.7. *We have $\mathbb{D}(G \odot H) = \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ and the Hodge filtration of $\mathbb{D}(X[p])$ is just*

$$0 \longrightarrow \omega_G \otimes \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}(X[p])_C \longrightarrow t_{G^*} \otimes \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow 0$$

Proof. Since H is etale, for any n , there exists a finite etale morphism $f_n : T_n \rightarrow C$ such that $f_n^*(H[p^n])$ is trivial.

Over T_n ,

$$(*) \quad \mathbb{D}_{T_n}(f_n^*(G[p^n] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H[p^n])) \cong f_n^*(\mathcal{V}/p^n)^{\oplus \text{ht}(H)} \cong f_n^*\mathcal{V}/p^n \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C} f_n^*\mathcal{T}/p^n$$

as Dieudonne crystals. Both sides are effective descent datum with respect to $T_n \rightarrow C$. For any $g \in \text{Aut}(T_n/C)$, g^* acts on both of $f_n^*(G[p^n] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H[p^n])$ and $f_n^*\mathcal{V}/p^n \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C} f_n^*\mathcal{T}/p^n$ which is compatible with the functor \mathbb{D}_{T_n} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f^*(G[p^n] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H[p^n]) & \xrightarrow{g^*} & f^*(G[p^n] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H[p^n]) \\ \downarrow \mathbb{D}_{C'} & & \downarrow \mathbb{D}_{C'} \\ f^*(\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{T}/p^n) & \xrightarrow{g^*} & f^*(\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{T}/p^n) \end{array}$$

is commutative. Hence the isomorphism (*) between effective descent datum also descends to C .

Then we have for any n ,

$$\mathbb{D}(G[p^n] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H[p^n]) = (\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{T}/p^n, F_{\mathcal{V}} \otimes F_{\mathcal{T}}, V_{\mathcal{V}} \otimes F_{\mathcal{T}}^{-1}).$$

So $\mathbb{D}(G \odot H) = \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ and in particular, we have a tensor decomposition of Frobenius:

$$F_{\mathbb{D}(X[p])} = F_{\mathbb{D}(G)} \otimes F_{\mathcal{T}}.$$

Note $\ker F_{\mathbb{D}(G)} = \omega_{G[p]}$ and $F_{\mathcal{T}}$ is isomorphic. Hence $\ker(F_{\mathbb{D}(G)} \otimes F_{\mathcal{T}}) = (\omega_{G[p]} \otimes \mathcal{T})^{(p)}$. By ([2, 2.5.2]), the Hodge filtration is just given by $\omega_G \otimes \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(X[p])_C$. \square

Lemma 7.8. *The curve C is a versal deformation of G .*

Proof. Consider the Hodge filtration

$$0 \longrightarrow \omega_{X[p]} \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{D}(X[p])_C \longrightarrow t_{X[p]} \longrightarrow 0.$$

By 7.7, we have $\omega_{X[p]} \cong \omega_G \otimes \mathcal{T}$ and i induces an isomorphism on \mathcal{T} . Hence the Higgs field $\theta_{\mathbb{D}(X[p])}$ on $X[p]$ actually comes from the Higgs field $\theta_{\mathbb{D}(G)}$ on G :

$$\theta_{\mathbb{D}(X[p])} \cong \theta_{\mathbb{D}(G)} \otimes \text{id}.$$

Hence the maximal Higgs field descends to G/C . By ([4, A 2.3.6]), C is a versal deformation of G . \square

Remark 7.9. By 1.2, C has a unique lifting C' to W such that C' admits a lifting of G . We fix this lifting once for all and take

$$C \longrightarrow C_n$$

as the corresponding lifting to W_n .

Proposition 7.10. *The family $X \rightarrow C$ can be lifted to a formal abelian scheme $\{X_n \rightarrow C_n\}$ over W .*

Proof. Since H is etale, it automatically lifts to $W(k)$. Combining with 1.2, $X[p^\infty]$ can be uniquely lifted to C_n . By ([9]), we have a formal abelian scheme $\{X_n \rightarrow C_n\}$. \square

7.11. Lifting of polarization. Choose any polarization $\lambda : X \rightarrow X^t$ over C and fix it throughout the rest of the paper. Once we can lift the polarization λ , the formal scheme in 7.10 can be algebraized. Since λ is an isogeny, it suffices to lift the sub group scheme $\ker \lambda$. We can always assume $\lambda_1 : X[p] \rightarrow X^t[p]$ induced by λ is not zero. Otherwise, $X[p] \subset \ker \lambda$ and since $X[p]$ always lifts, we can replace λ by $\frac{1}{p}\lambda$.

By 7.8, the curve C is a versal deformation of G . For any closed point c , \hat{C}_c is the versal deformation space $\text{Def}(G_c)$. Theorem 3.2 in [13] indicates that the supersingular loci in $\text{Def}(G_c)$ has only finite points. Therefore G is generically ordinary over C .

Since $X[p^\infty] \cong G \odot H$,

$$X^t[p^\infty] \cong G^t \odot H^t$$

where H^t corresponds to the dual Galois representation of H .

Let η be the generic point of C and h be the height of H . Let \mathcal{V} be the Dieudonne crystal $\mathbb{D}(G)$ and \mathcal{T} be the unit root crystal $\mathbb{D}(H)$. Then \mathcal{V}_C has Hodge filtration

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Lemma 7.12. *If a morphism $d : \mathcal{V}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_C^\vee$ preserves Hodge filtration, conjugate filtration and Higgs field while induces a zero morphism in $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}_1^\vee)$, then $d = 0$.*

Proof. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{V}_C^\sigma & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{V}_C \\ \downarrow d^\sigma & & \downarrow d \\ \mathcal{V}_C^{\vee, \sigma} & \xrightarrow{F^\vee} & \mathcal{V}_C^\vee. \end{array}$$

Note this diagram is commutative since d commutes with F .

On one hand, since d preserves Hodge filtration and induces zero on cokernels, d factors through $\mathcal{L}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2^\vee$. And $F^\vee : \mathcal{V}_C^{\vee, \sigma} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_C^\vee$ factors through $\mathcal{L}_1^{\vee, \sigma}$. Therefore $F^\vee \circ d^\sigma = 0$.

On the other hand, F induces $\mathcal{L}_2^\sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_C$. Since \mathcal{V}_C has maximal Higgs field, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathcal{L}_2^\sigma & & \\ & & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ \mathcal{L}_1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{V}_C & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L}_2 \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \mathcal{L}_1^\sigma & & \end{array}$$

the induced map $\mathcal{L}_2^\sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2$ is generically surjective. In order for $d \circ F = 0$, we must have $d = 0$ since d factors through \mathcal{L}_2 . \square

Corollary 7.13. *Over any finite etale covering T over C ,*

$$\text{End}(\mathcal{V}_T, \mathcal{L}_{1T} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}_T, \mathcal{L}_{2T}^\sigma \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}_T, \theta_T) = k.$$

Proof. For any $\phi \in \text{End}(\mathcal{V}_T, \mathcal{L}_{1T} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}_T, \mathcal{L}_{2T}^\sigma \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}_T, \theta_T)$, ϕ induces a map $s \in \text{End}(\mathcal{L}_{1T}) = k$. Since ϕ preserves θ and $\theta : \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2 \otimes \Omega_C^1$ is isomorphic, the map induced by ϕ in $\text{End}(\mathcal{L}_2)$ is also s .

Consider $\phi - s \cdot \text{Id}$. It preserves Hodge filtration, conjugate filtration and Higgs field while induces a zero morphism in $\text{End}(\mathcal{L}_1)$. Since $T \rightarrow C$ is etale, the pull back Higgs field θ_T is still maximal. Therefore the conclusion in 7.12 is still true if we consider \mathcal{V}_T instead of \mathcal{V}_C . And hence $\phi = s \cdot \text{Id}$. \square

Lemma 7.14. *The sequence associated to the ordinary group scheme*

$$0 \longrightarrow G^{\text{mult}}[p]_{\eta} \longrightarrow G[p]_{\eta} \longrightarrow G^{\text{et}}[p]_{\eta} \longrightarrow 0$$

does not split.

Proof. If the sequence splits, then $\mathbb{D}(G[p]_{\eta}) \cong \mathbb{D}(G^{\text{mult}}[p]_{\eta}) \times \mathbb{D}(G^{\text{et}}[p]_{\eta})$. So the Hodge filtration of $\mathbb{D}(G[p]_{\eta})$ is the direct sum of that of $\mathbb{D}(G^{\text{et}}[p]_{\eta})$ and $\mathbb{D}(G^{\text{mult}}[p]_{\eta})$. Therefore

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}(G^{\text{et}}[p]_{\eta}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}(G[p]_{\eta}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}(G^{\text{mult}}[p]_{\eta}) \longrightarrow 0$$

is the Hodge filtration. Since Gauss-Manin connection preserves this filtration, it contradicts to the maximal Higgs field. \square

Lemma 7.15. *For any subgroup scheme $K \subset G[p]_{\eta}$, K can only be 0, $G[p]_{\eta}$ or $G^{\text{mult}}[p]_{\eta}$.*

Proof. Since $G[p]_{\eta}$ has height 2, any nonzero proper subgroup scheme K can only have height 1 and order p . Note both of $G^{\text{mult}}[p]_{\eta}$ and $G^{\text{et}}[p]_{\eta}$ have order p and height 1.

Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & K & & \\ & & \downarrow i & \searrow & \\ G^{\text{mult}}[p]_{\eta} & \longrightarrow & G[p]_{\eta} & \xrightarrow{F} & G^{\text{et}}[p]_{\eta}. \end{array}$$

If $K \cap G^{\text{mult}}[p]_{\eta}$ is not empty, then $G^{\text{mult}}[p]_{\eta} \subset K$. Since K has height 1, $G^{\text{mult}}[p]_{\eta} = K$.

If $K \cap G^{\text{mult}}[p]_{\eta}$ is empty, then $F \circ i$ is an injection. Comparing the height, it is further an isomorphism. But it contradicts to 7.14. \square

Again as in the proof of 7.13, for any finite etale covering $T \rightarrow C$, the pull back Higgs field θ_T is still maximal. Therefore we can carry the proof of 7.14 and 7.15 on T . And we have the following corollary.

Corollary 7.16. *For any finite etale covering T of C , we use G_T to denote the pull back of G to T and hence for any subgroup scheme $K \subset G_T[p]_{\eta}$, K can only be 0, $G_T[p]_{\eta}$ or $G_T^{\text{mult}}[p]_{\eta}$.*

Lemma 7.17. *There exists a pair $\{\tilde{C}, \gamma\}$ such that*

- (1) $\tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ is a finite etale covering ;
- (2) Let $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ (resp. \tilde{G}) denote the pull back Dieudonne crystal (resp. BT group) of \mathcal{V} (resp. G) from C to \tilde{C} .
And $\gamma : \tilde{\mathcal{V}}^{\vee} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Firstly we consider $\wedge^2 \mathcal{V}$. It is a F -crystal over C and hence corresponds to a triple (\mathcal{L}, ∇, F) , an invertible sheaf over C' with integrable connection and Frobenius. Obviously as F -isocrystal, \mathcal{L} is isoclinical of slope p . Replace F by $p^{-1}F$ and then \mathcal{L} is unit root. Thereby it is equivalent to a one dimensional monodromy

$$\pi_1(C, \bar{c}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^*.$$

Note $\pi_1^{\text{ab}}(C, \bar{c})$ is an extension of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ with a finite group. So the image of the monodromy is just a finite subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_p^* . And then there exists a finite etale covering $\tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ such that the pull back of the monodromy to \tilde{C} is trivial.

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ denote the pull back of \mathcal{V} to \tilde{C} . Then $\wedge^2 \tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ is trivial as an F -crystal which implies as a F -isocrystal, $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ is self-dual. Consider the following morphism

$$\tilde{\mathcal{V}} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{V}}^{\vee} \longrightarrow \wedge^2 \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{V}}^{\vee} \cong \tilde{\mathcal{V}}^{\vee}.$$

Obviously it is nonzero and induces a morphism between BT groups $\tilde{G}^t \rightarrow \tilde{G}$. By 7.16, the morphism $\tilde{G}^t \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ is isomorphic. So we have found an isomorphism $\gamma : \tilde{\mathcal{V}}^{\vee} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{V}}$. \square

Let \mathcal{T}^\vee be the unit root crystal $\mathbb{D}(H^\vee)$ corresponding to the dual H^\vee and \tilde{H} (resp. $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$) be the pull back of H (resp. \mathcal{T}) to \tilde{C} . Since \tilde{H} is an etale BT group, for each n there exists a finite etale covering T_n of \tilde{C} such that the pull back of $\tilde{H}[p^n]$ to T_n is trivial. Then

$$T_n \longrightarrow T_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow T_1 \longrightarrow \tilde{C}$$

is a tower of finite etale coverings of \tilde{C} .

Then the polarization $\lambda_1 : X[p] \longrightarrow X^t[p]$ induces $\tilde{\lambda}_1^* : \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\tilde{C}}^\vee \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\tilde{C}}^\vee \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\tilde{C}} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\tilde{C}}$. Let γ_1 be the restriction of γ to $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\tilde{C}}^\vee$.

Lemma 7.18. *The morphism $\tilde{\lambda}_1^*$ has a tensor decomposition*

$$\tilde{\lambda}_1^* = \gamma_1 \otimes \beta_1$$

where β_1 is a morphism between $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\tilde{C}}^\vee$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\tilde{C}}$.

Proof. Firstly we consider the analogous result over T_1 . Let λ_{T_1} (resp. $\mathcal{V}_{T_1}, \mathcal{T}_{T_1}$) be the base change of λ_1 (resp. $\mathcal{V}_C, \mathcal{T}_C$) to T_1 . Then $\lambda_{T_1}^*$ induces a morphism between Hodge filtrations:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L}_{1T_1} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{T_1} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{T_1} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{T_1} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L}_{2T_1} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{T_1} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \lambda_{1T_1}^* & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L}_{2T_1}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{T}_{T_1}^\vee & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{T_1}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{T}_{T_1}^\vee & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L}_{1T_1}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{T}_{T_1}^\vee \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

On one hand, since \mathcal{T}_{T_1} is trivial and $\lambda_{1T_1}^*$ is nonzero, by 7.13, $\lambda_{1T_1}^*$ induces an isomorphism $\gamma' \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{V}_{T_1}^\vee, \mathcal{V}_{T_1})$, preserving the Hodge filtration, the Frobenius and the Higgs field.

On the other hand, we have that $\mathcal{L}_{1T_1} \cong \mathcal{L}_{2T_1}^\vee$ by 7.17, and hence $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}_{1T_1} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{T_1}, \mathcal{L}_{2T_1}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{T}_{T_1}^\vee) \cong \text{Hom}(\mathcal{T}_{T_1}, \mathcal{T}_{T_1}^\vee)$. Let $\beta' \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{T}_{T_1}, \mathcal{T}_{T_1}^\vee)$ be the corresponding morphism. Thus there exists an isomorphism $t \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}_{1T_1}, \mathcal{L}_{2T_1}^\vee)$ such that the induced morphism on the kernel (resp. cokernel) is $t \otimes \beta'$ (resp. $t^\vee \otimes \beta'^\vee$). We can adjust γ' by a scalar such that it induces t .

Consider the difference $d = \lambda_{1T_1}^* - \gamma' \otimes \beta'$. Then d preserves Hodge filtration, conjugate filtration and the Higgs field while induces zero morphism on the kernel and cokernel of Hodge filtration. By 7.12, d is zero. So $\lambda_{1T_1}^* = \gamma' \otimes \beta'$. Again by 7.13, we can adjust γ' by a scalar k so that $\lambda_{1T_1}^* \cong \gamma_{1T_1} \otimes \beta'$.

Note both of $\lambda_{1T_1}^*, \gamma_{1T_1}$ can descend to \tilde{C} . Thus β' descends to a morphism β_1 over \tilde{C} and $\lambda_1^* = \gamma_1 \otimes \beta_1$. \square

In the proof of 7.18, the only special property of the polarization $\tilde{\lambda}$ we use is that it preserves the Hodge filtration, Frobenius and the Higgs field. So we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 7.19. *In the 7.18, we can replace $\tilde{\lambda}_1^*$ by any nonzero morphism between $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\tilde{C}} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\tilde{C}}^\vee \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{T}}^\vee$ in the category of F -crystals over $\text{cris}(\tilde{C}/k)$ and the result still holds.*

Proposition 7.20. *As a morphism between Dieudonne crystals, $\tilde{\lambda}^* = \gamma \otimes \beta$ where β is a morphism between \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{T}^\vee .*

Proof. We will prove the tensor decomposition by induction on the group order. Let λ_n^* be the polarization

$$\lambda_n^* : \mathbb{D}(G^t[p^n]) \otimes \mathbb{D}(H^\vee[p^n]) \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}(G[p^n]) \otimes \mathbb{D}(H[p^n])$$

It is true for $n = 1$. If it is true for $n - 1$, then for n ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{D}(\tilde{G}[p]) \otimes \mathbb{D}(\tilde{H}[p]) & \xleftarrow{\gamma_1 \otimes \beta_1} & \mathbb{D}(\tilde{G}^t[p]) \otimes \mathbb{D}(\tilde{H}[p])^t \\
\uparrow p^{n-1} & & \uparrow p^{n-1} \\
\mathbb{D}(\tilde{G}[p^n]) \otimes \mathbb{D}(\tilde{H}[p^n]) & \xleftarrow{\tilde{\lambda}_n} & \mathbb{D}(\tilde{G}^t[p^n]) \otimes \mathbb{D}(\tilde{H}[p^n])^t \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\mathbb{D}(\tilde{G}[p^{n-1}]) \otimes \mathbb{D}(\tilde{H}[p^{n-1}]) & \xleftarrow{\gamma_{n-1} \otimes \beta_{n-1}} & \mathbb{D}(\tilde{G}^t[p^{n-1}]) \otimes \mathbb{D}(\tilde{H}[p^{n-1}])^t.
\end{array}$$

Base change to T_n , since $H[p^n]$ is trivial on T_n , we can find

$$\beta_{T_n} : \mathbb{D}(H_{T_n}[p^n])^t \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}(H_{T_n}[p^n])$$

so that the restriction of β_{T_n} to $\mathbb{D}(H'_{T_{n-1}}[p^{n-1}])$ yields $\beta_{T_{n-1}}$.

Therefore $(\lambda_n^*)_{T_n} - \gamma_{T_n} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}} \beta_{T_n}$ factors through

$$\alpha : \mathbb{D}(G_{T_n}[p])^\vee \otimes \mathbb{D}(H_{T_n}[p])^t \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}(G_{T_n}[p^{n-1}]) \otimes \mathbb{D}(H_{T_n}[p^{n-1}]).$$

Definitely $\text{im } \alpha \subset \mathbb{D}(G_{T_n}[p]) \otimes \mathbb{D}(H_{T_n}[p])$. If α is nonzero, by 7.19, $\alpha = \gamma_1 \otimes \beta'$ where $\beta' : \mathbb{D}(H_{T_n}[p])^t \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}(H_{T_n}[p^{n-1}])$.

Note $\gamma_1 = \gamma_{T_n}|_{p^{n-1}\mathbb{D}(G_{T_n}[p^n])^\vee}$, we have α can be written as the composition

$$\mathbb{D}(G_{T_n}^t[p^n]) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n} \mathbb{D}(H_{T_n}[p^n])^t \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \otimes p^{n-1}} \mathbb{D}(G_{T_n}^t[p^n]) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n} \mathbb{D}(H_{T_n}[p])^t \xrightarrow{\gamma_{T_n} \otimes \beta'} \mathbb{D}(G_{T_n}[p^{n-1}]) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n} \mathbb{D}(H_{T_n}[p^{n-1}]).$$

Thus $(\lambda_n^*)_{T_n} - \gamma_{T_n} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n} \beta_{T_n} = \gamma_{T_n} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n} p^{n-1} \beta'$. So $(\lambda_n^*)_{T_n} = \gamma_{T_n} \otimes (\tilde{\beta}_{T_n} + p^{n-1} \beta')$.

Since both λ_n^* and γ_{T_n} can be descent to \tilde{C} , $\tilde{\beta}_{T_n} + p^{n-1} \beta'$ can be descent to \tilde{C} as well. Therefore as a morphism between Dieudonne crystal over \tilde{C} , $\tilde{\lambda}^* = \gamma \otimes \beta$. \square

By the equivalence between Dieudonne crystals and BT groups over \tilde{C} ([2] Main Theorem 1), we know $\tilde{\lambda}$ can be decomposed to a tensor product of an isomorphism between \tilde{G} and \tilde{G}^t and a morphism between H and H^\vee . Apply 1.2 to (\tilde{C}, \tilde{G}) and thus there exists a strongly unique pair (\tilde{C}', \tilde{G}') over W which is a lifting of (\tilde{C}, \tilde{G}) . In particular, the isomorphism between \tilde{G} and \tilde{G}^t lifts to an isomorphism \tilde{G}' and \tilde{G}'^t . Since H is etale over C , any morphism between \tilde{H} and \tilde{H}^\vee naturally lifts. Therefore the polarization $\tilde{\lambda}$ lifts.

For each n , let $\tilde{\lambda}_n$ denote the lifting of λ to \tilde{X}_n/\tilde{C}_n . Since $\text{Aut}(\tilde{C}_n/C_n) = \text{Aut}(\tilde{C}/C)$, $\tilde{\lambda}_n$ is a descent datum as $\tilde{\lambda}$ and hence descends to a polarization λ_n on X_n/C_n .

By B.1, such a lifting λ_n is unique. Therefore we have a compatible family of polarization $\{\lambda_n\}$ and hence the formal family $\{X_n \longrightarrow C_n\}$ can be algebraized to a family of abelian varieties $X' \longrightarrow C'$ over W .

Remark 7.21. If we know in priori that X/C is a family of principally polarized abelian varieties, i.e. λ is isomorphic, then λ naturally lifts to any X_n since the lifting X_n of X is strongly unique.

7.22. Lift to Shimura curves. In the following proposition, we show $X' \longrightarrow C'$ is a Shimura curve of Mumford type.

Proposition 7.23. *The generic fiber $(X'/C') \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is a Shimura curve of Mumford type.*

Proof. Obviously the family $X' \longrightarrow C'$ has maximal Higgs field. By Theorem 0.5 in [14], we directly conclude that $(X'/C') \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is a Shimura curve of Mumford type. Note in that theorem, a family that reaches the Arakelov bound is equivalent to a family with the maximal Higgs field. So we can apply that theorem in our situation. \square

A. THE DOT TENSOR PRODUCT $G \odot H$

In this appendix, let G and H be BT groups over any connected smooth variety X . And H is further an etale BT group with height h .

Lemma A.1. *For any two integers $n < m$, $G[p^n] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^m} H[p^m] = G[p^n] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n} H[p^n]$.*

Proof. Consider the following sequence:

$$H[p^m] \xrightarrow{p^n} H[p^m] \longrightarrow H[p^n] \longrightarrow 0.$$

Tensoring with $G[p^n]$ yields

$$H[p^m] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^m} G[p^n] \xrightarrow{p^n \otimes G[p^n]=0} H[p^m] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^m} G[p^n] \longrightarrow H[p^n] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^m} G[p^n] \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. Therefore $H[p^m] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^m} G[p^n] \cong H[p^n] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^m} G[p^n] \cong H[p^n] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n} G[p^n]$. \square

Lemma A.2. *$G[p^n] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H[p^n]$ is a BT_n group scheme over X .*

Proof. Since $H[p^n]$ is etale, there exists an etale morphism $f_n : X' \rightarrow X$ such that

$$f_n^*(G[p^n] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H[p^n]) \cong f_n^*(G[p^n])^{\times h}$$

is a BT_n group. Furthermore, it defines a descent datum with respect to the etale covering $X' \rightarrow X$. Since $G[p^n]$ is a finite group scheme, $f_n^*(G[p^n])^{\times h} \rightarrow X'$ is an affine morphism. By ([1, Chapter Descent, Lemma 33.1, Lemma 35.1]), the group scheme representing $f_n^*(G[p^n])^{\times h}$ descends to C and represents $G[p^n] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H[p^n]$. Hence $G[p^n] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H[p^n]$ is a group scheme. Obviously it is p^n -torsion.

Furthermore, all the extra structure of BT_n group can also descent from $f_n^*(G[p^n])^{\times h}$ to $G[p^n] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H[p^n]$. Hence $G[p^n] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H[p^n]$ is a BT group. \square

Proposition A.3. *$G \odot H$ is a BT group over X .*

Proof. Let

$$i_n : G[p^n] \longrightarrow G[p^{n+1}]$$

be the inclusions of truncated BT groups. Since each $H[p^{n+1}]$ is a flat \mathbb{Z}/p^{n+1} module, i_n induces an inclusion

$$G[p^n] \otimes H[p^{n+1}] \hookrightarrow G[p^{n+1}] \otimes H[p^{n+1}]$$

with cokernel $G[p] \otimes H[p^{n+1}]$. From A.1,

$$G[p^n] \otimes H[p^n] \cong G[p^n] \otimes H[p^{n+1}], G[p] \otimes H[p^{n+1}] \cong G[p] \otimes H[p].$$

Hence we have the short exact sequence of truncated BT groups

$$0 \longrightarrow G[p^n] \otimes H[p^n] \hookrightarrow G[p^{n+1}] \otimes H[p^{n+1}] \longrightarrow G[p] \otimes H[p] \longrightarrow 0.$$

Similarly, one can show this sequence holds even replacing 1 by any positive integer k .

Hence $\{G[p^n] \otimes H[p^n], i_n\}$ forms a inductive system and taking the inductive limit gives a BT group. \square

B. UNIQUENESS OF THE LIFTING OF THE POLARIZATION

Let (A, λ) be a polarized abelian variety over k and A_n be an abelian variety over W_n .

Proposition B.1. *If there exists a lifting polarization λ_n of λ on A_n , then the lifting is unique.*

Proof. Let $\omega_A \subset \mathbb{D}(A)_k$ be the Hodge filtration associated to A . Note the lifting problem of (A, λ) to W_n is equivalent to the lifting problem of $(\mathbb{D}(A[p^\infty]), \langle \rangle, \omega_A \subset \mathbb{D}(A)_k)$, i.e. finding a totally isotropic submodule of $\mathbb{D}(A[p^\infty])_{W_n}$ lifting ω_A .

By the assumption, regardless of the totally isotropic condition, the lifting of the Hodge filtration is fixed. So the lifting of the polarization, if exists, is merely given by restriction of the symplectic form $\langle \rangle$ to $\mathbb{D}(A[p^\infty])_{|W_n/\mathbb{Z}_p}$. Obviously, such restriction is unique. \square

REFERENCES

1. A. J. de Jong, *Stacks project*, <http://stacks.math.columbia.edu/>.
2. ———, *Crystalline Dieudonné module theory via formal and rigid geometry*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1995), no. 82, 5–96 (1996). MR 1383213 (97f:14047)
3. A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. III. Étude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérents. II*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1963), no. 17, 91. MR 0163911 (29 #1210)
4. Luc Illusie, *Déformations de groupes de Barsotti-Tate (d'après A. Grothendieck)*, Astérisque (1985), no. 127, 151–198, Séminar on arithmetic bundles: the Mordell conjecture (Paris, 1983/84). MR 801922
5. ———, *Grothendieck's existence theorem in formal geometry*, Fundamental algebraic geometry, Math. Surveys Monogr., vol. 123, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005, With a letter (in French) of Jean-Pierre Serre, pp. 179–233. MR 2223409
6. Mark Kisin, *Integral canonical models of Shimura varieties*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux **21** (2009), no. 2, 301–312. MR 2541427 (2010i:11087)
7. Guitang Lan, Mao Sheng, and Kang Zuo, *Semistable higgs bundles and representations of algebraic fundamental groups: Positive characteristic case*, arXiv:1210.8280.
8. Jun Lu, Mao Sheng, and Kang Zuo, *An Arakelov inequality in characteristic p and upper bound of p -rank zero locus*, J. Number Theory **129** (2009), no. 12, 3029–3045. MR 2560851 (2010j:14057)
9. William Messing, *The crystals associated to Barsotti-Tate groups: with applications to abelian schemes*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 264, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972. MR 0347836 (50 #337)
10. Shinichi Mochizuki, *A theory of ordinary p -adic curves*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **32** (1996), no. 6, 957–1152. MR 1437328 (98h:11078)
11. Martin Möller, Eckart Viehweg, and Kang Zuo, *Special families of curves, of abelian varieties, and of certain minimal manifolds over curves*, Global aspects of complex geometry, Springer, Berlin, 2006, pp. 417–450. MR 2264111 (2007k:14054)
12. D. Mumford, *A note of Shimura's paper "Discontinuous groups and abelian varieties"*, Math. Ann. **181** (1969), 345–351. MR 0248146 (40 #1400)
13. Frans Oort, *Newton polygons and formal groups: conjectures by Manin and Grothendieck*, Ann. of Math. (2) **152** (2000), no. 1, 183–206. MR 1792294 (2002e:14075)
14. Eckart Viehweg and Kang Zuo, *A characterization of certain Shimura curves in the moduli stack of abelian varieties*, J. Differential Geom. **66** (2004), no. 2, 233–287. MR 2106125 (2006a:14015)

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK
 E-mail address: xiajie@math.columbia.edu