

Cohomological rigidity of oriented Hantzsche-Wendt manifolds

J. Popko, A. Szczepański¹

*Institute of Mathematics, University of Gdańsk,
ul. Wita Stwosza 57, 80-952 Gdańsk, Poland*²

Abstract: By Hantzsche-Wendt manifold (for short *HW-manifold*) we understand any oriented closed Riemannian manifold of dimension n with a holonomy group $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n-1}$. Two *HW-manifolds* M_1 and M_2 are cohomological rigid if and only if a homeomorphism between M_1 and M_2 is equivalent to an isomorphism of graded rings $H^*(M_1, \mathbb{F}_2)$ and $H^*(M_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$. We prove that *HW-manifolds* are cohomological rigid.

MSC2000: 20H15, 53C29, 57R91, 57S17

Keywords: Hantzsche-Wendt manifold, cohomological rigidity

1 Introduction

Let M^n be a flat manifold of dimension n . By definition, this is a compact connected, Riemannian manifold without boundary with sectional curvature equal to zero. From the theorems of Bieberbach ([1], [8]) the fundamental group $\pi_1(M^n) = \Gamma$ determines a short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{p} G \rightarrow 0, \quad (1)$$

where \mathbb{Z}^n is a torsion free abelian group of rank n and G is a finite group which is isomorphic to the holonomy group of M^n . The universal covering of M^n is the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n and hence Γ is isomorphic to a discrete cocompact subgroup of the isometry group $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \text{O}(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n = \text{E}(n)$. In the above short exact sequence $\mathbb{Z}^n \cong (\Gamma \cap \mathbb{R}^n)$ and p can be considered as the

¹Corresponding author

²E-mail addresses: matas@univ.gda.pl, jpopko@mat.ug.edu.pl

projection $p : \Gamma \rightarrow G \subset O(n) \subset E(n)$ on the first component. An orthogonal representation p is equivalent (see [8]) to a holonomy representation. That is a homomorphism $\phi_\Gamma : G \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$, given by a formula $\phi_\Gamma(g)(z) = \bar{g}z\bar{g}^{-1}$, where $\bar{g} \in \Gamma, g \in G, z \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $p(\bar{g}) = g$. Conversely, given a short sequence of the form (1), it is known that the group Γ is (isomorphic to) a Bieberbach group if and only if Γ is torsion free.

By Hantzsche-Wendt manifold (for short *HW-manifold*) M^n we understand any oriented flat manifold of dimension n with a holonomy group $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n-1}$. It is easy to see that n is always an odd number. Moreover, any HW-manifold has a diagonal holonomy representation, see [7]. It means $\pi_1(M^n)$ is generated by $\beta_i = (B_i, b_i) \in SO(n) \times \mathbb{R}^n, 1 \leq i \leq n$, where

$$B_i = \text{diag}(-1, -1, \dots, -1, \underbrace{1}_i, -1, -1, \dots, -1) \quad (2)$$

and $b_i \in \{0, 1/2\}^n$. For other properties of M^n we send a reader to [8] and to next sections. We shall need.

Definition 1 (See [4].) *Two flat manifolds M_1 and M_2 are cohomological rigid if and only if a homeomorphism between M_1 and M_2 is equivalent to an isomorphism of graded rings $H^*(M_1, \mathbb{F}_2)$ and $H^*(M_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$.*

Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem. *Hantzsche-Wendt manifolds are cohomological rigid.*

The Theorem answers the question from [2, problem 4.3].

For the proof we introduce a new presentation of *HW-manifolds*. We consider these manifolds rather as a finite quotient of the torus than a quotient of the \mathbb{R}^n . Here, we use an obvious equivalence $\mathbb{R}^n/\Gamma = (\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n)/G = T^n/G$, where Γ is a Bieberbach group from (1). According to the definition of n -dimensional *HW-manifold* we shall define a $(n \times n)$ -*HW-matrix* A . The analysis of properties of the matrix A is used in the proof. Moreover, we apply the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence $\{E_r^{p,q}, d_r\}$ of the covering $T^n \rightarrow T^n/G$ with \mathbb{F}_2 coefficients. Since a holonomy representation Φ_Γ is diagonal $E_2^{p,q} = H^p((\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n-1}) \otimes H^q(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. We shall only use the multiplicative structure of the first and second cohomology group. In particular, we shall consider the properties of the transgression homomorphism $d_2 : H^1(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow H^2((\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n-1})$. Finally, another important point of the proof is an isomorphism of cohomology groups $H^1((\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n-1})$ and $H^1(\Gamma)$, which was

proved in [6, Theorem 3.1]. Hence, we can consider elements of the image of the transgression homomorphism d_2 as homogeneous polynomials of degree two which are equivalent to polynomial functions.

Let us present a structure of the paper. In the next section, we give a "new-old" definition of *HW-manifold* and we outline the proof of the theorem. In section three we define *HW-matrix* and prove some of its properties.

At the last section, we present the proof of the **Main Lemma**.

2 Proof of the Main Theorem

Let $\mathcal{D} = \{g_i \mid i = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$, where $g_i : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$, and $\forall z \in S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$,

$$g_0(z) = z, g_1(z) = -z, g_2(z) = \bar{z}, g_3(z) = -\bar{z}. \quad (3)$$

Equivalently, if $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \forall [t] \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$,

$$g_0([t]) = [t], g_1([t]) = [t + \frac{1}{2}], g_2([t]) = [-t], g_3([t]) = [-t + \frac{1}{2}]. \quad (4)$$

Let $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \in \mathcal{D}^n$ and $(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in T^n = \underbrace{S^1 \times S^1 \times \dots \times S^1}_n$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, and $g_3 = g_1 g_2$. For $k = 1, 2, 3$ we have different projections

$$p^{(k)} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2 = \{0, 1\} \quad (5)$$

such that $p^{(k)}(g_k) = 1$ and for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ we have homomorphisms

$$p^{(k)} \circ pr_i : \mathcal{D}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{p^{(k)}} \mathbb{F}_2 \quad (6)$$

given by the formula $p^{(k)} \circ pr_i(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_i, \dots, t_n) = p^{(k)}(t_i)$.

We summing up values of the projections $p^{(2)}$ and $p^{(3)}$ in a table:

	g_0	g_1	g_2	g_3
$p^{(2)}$	0	1	1	0
$p^{(3)}$	0	1	0	1

Table 1

The next, obvious formula

$$\forall x \in \mathcal{D} \quad x = p^{(2)}(x)2 + p^{(3)}(x)3 \quad (7)$$

will be useful later. We can define an action \mathcal{D}^n on T^n as follows:

$$(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = (t_1 z_1, t_2 z_2, \dots, t_n z_n). \quad (8)$$

We have

Proposition 1 *Let M^n be a HW-manifold of dimension n . Then there exists a subgroup $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n-1} \subset \mathcal{D}^n$ such that $M^n = T^n/(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n-1}$, where the action $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n-1}$ on T^n is defined by (2) and (8).*

Proof: Let $\pi_1(M^n) = \Gamma$ and $(B_l, b_l) \in \Gamma$ be the generators (2), $l = 1, 2, \dots, n$. On each coordinate, (4) defines $g_j \in \mathcal{D}$, $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$ which are determined by projections $p^{(1)} \circ pr_i, p^{(2)} \circ pr_i, p^{(3)} \circ pr_i$.

□

Let us start to prove that the graded ring $H^*(M^n, \mathbb{F}_2)$ defines a manifold M^n . We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{p} (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n-1} \rightarrow 0, \quad (9)$$

where $\Gamma = \pi_1(M^n)$. As we mentioned already in the introduction the image of a holonomy representaion $\Phi_\Gamma((\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n-1})$, is a subgroup of the group of all diagonal matrices of $GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$. Moreover (see [6]) $H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{F}_2) = (\mathbb{F}_2)^{n-1}$ for any Hantzsche-Wendt group Γ of dimension n . That is an observation which we shall use during the proof.

Since $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n-1} \subset \mathcal{D}^n$ the above maps $p^{(k)} \circ pr_i$, $k = 1, 2, 3$ define homomorphisms from $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2 \in Hom((\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n-1}, \mathbb{F}_2) = H^1((\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n-1}, \mathbb{F}_2) \stackrel{[6]}{=} H^1(M^n, \mathbb{F}_2)$. Hence we can define elements

$$T_i = (p^{(2)} \circ pr_i) \cup (p^{(3)} \circ pr_i) \in H^2((\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n-1}, \mathbb{F}_2),$$

where \cup is a cup product. It is well known that $H^*((\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n-1}, \mathbb{F}_2)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_2[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}]$. Hence the elements $p^{(k)} \circ pr_i = p_i^{(k)}$ correspond to

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} p^{(k)}(pr_i(b_j))x_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} p^{(k)}(A_{ji})x_j \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}], \quad (10)$$

where b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n-1} is the basis of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n-1}$ and $k = 2, 3; i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Here the matrix $A_{ij}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1; j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ is related to *HW-matrix* (Definition 2) from the next section.

We shall apply the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence $\{E_r^{p,q}, d_r\}$ of (9). Since a holonomy representation Φ_Γ is diagonal $E_2^{p,q} = H^p((\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n-1}) \otimes H^q(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. Hence (see [3, Corollary 7.2.3 on p. 77]) we have an exact sequence (see [2, p.770])

$$H^1(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{F}_2) \xrightarrow{d_2} H^2((\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n-1}, \mathbb{F}_2) \xrightarrow{p^*} H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{F}_2), \quad (11)$$

where d_2 is a transgression and p^* is induced by the above homomorphism $p : \Gamma \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n-1}$. In what follows we shall prove (see also [2, Theorem 2.7]) that a rank of

$$\text{Im}(d_2) \subset H^2((\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n-1}, \mathbb{F}_2) \subset H^*((\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n-1}, \mathbb{F}_2) \simeq \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}]$$

is equal to n .

Let us define a basis $\hat{t}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ of $H^1(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{F}_2) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{F}_2)$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we shall write $\bar{k} = 0$ if k is even and $\bar{k} = 1$ if k is odd. Let $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and let

$$\hat{t}_i(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n) = \bar{k}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

We have.

Proposition 2 $d_2(\hat{t}_i) = T_i = (p^{(2)} \circ pr_i) \cup (p^{(3)} \circ pr_i)$. Moreover elements $T_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are a basis of $\text{Im}(d_2)$.

Proof: By Theorem 2.5 (ii) and Proposition 1.3 of [2] and using (10) it follows that

$$d_2(\hat{t}_i) = \sum_{A_{il}=1} x_i^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} x_i x_j,$$

where the second sum is taken for such i, j that

$$(A_{il}, A_{jl}) \in \{(1, 2), (2, 1), (1, 3), (3, 1), (3, 1), (3, 2), (2, 3)\}.$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
T_l &= p_l^{(2)} p_l^{(3)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p^{(2)}(A_{il}) p^{(3)}(A_{il}) x_i^2 + \\
&+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} (p^{(2)}(A_{il}) p^{(3)}(A_{jl}) + p^{(2)}(A_{jl}) p^{(3)}(A_{il}) x_i x_j. \quad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing coefficients of the above two polynomials finishes the proof. \square

The main idea of the proof of rigidity is an application of the above Proposition 2. It means, we show that any *HW-manifold* M , of dimension greater than three, define elements in the cohomology ring $H^*(M, \mathbb{F}_2)$ which determines M up to affine equivalence. In the **Main Lemma**, we shall prove an existence of n linear independence elements $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n \in \text{Im}(d_2)$ such that for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ $T_i = p_i q_i$. At the end of this section we give a method of a reconstruction of *HW-group* from the set $\{T_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots,n}$.

Let us define

$$D = \{y \in \text{Im}(d_2) \mid y \text{ is a product of two polynomials of degree 1}\}. \quad (13)$$

We shall prove that D has less than $n + 2$ elements from which we can reconstruct the basis T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n of $\text{Im}(d_2)$.

Main Lemma. *Let $n > 3$, then there are the following possibilities for the structure of the set D :*

1. $D = \{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n\}$;
2. $D = \{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n, T_i + T_j\}$, and we can find a polynomial p of degree one such that $p \mid T_i$ and $p \mid T_j$ for some $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. In the second case we can rediscover the set of generators T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n .

\square

Let M be *HW-manifold* of dimension n . From the **Main Lemma**, we know that there is a set $D = \{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n\} \subset \text{Im}(d_2)$ such that any T_i is a product of two polynomials p_i and q_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ of a degree one. Let V be $(n-1)$ -dimensional \mathbb{F}_2 vector space. We define a linear map $h : V^* \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^n$, which simple version is (7) such that

$$h_i(x) = p_i(x)2 + q_i(x)3, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (14)$$

where $p_i, q_i \in V \simeq V^{**}$. Hence, through formulas (10), (12) and the Table 1, $\text{Im}(h)$, defines a Hantzsche-Wendt group.

Example 1 1. Let $V = \text{gen}\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ and $D = \{x_1^2 + x_1x_2, x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2^2 + x_2x_3\}$. Put $p_1 = x_1, q_1 = x_1 + x_2, p_2 = x_1 + x_2, q_2 = x_2 + x_3$. Hence a homomorphism $h(x_1^*) = (1, 2), h(x_2^*) = (3, 1)$ and $h(x_3^*) = (0, 3)$. Here x_1^*, x_2^*, x_3^* is a dual basis of V^* . Finally we define a subgroup of \mathcal{D}^2 which generators are rows of the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

2. Let $\mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1} \subset \mathcal{D}^n$ be a HW-group, and D a set from the Proposition 2. Assume that $D = \{p_1q_1, p_2q_2, \dots, p_nq_n\}$. Then

$$h_i(x) = p_i(x)2 + q_i(x)3 = p(x_i)2 + q(x_i)3 = x_i.$$

Hence for $x \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1}$, $h(x) = x$ and $\text{Im}(h) = \mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1}$.

Let $\phi : H^*(M_1, \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow H^*(M_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$ be an isomorphism of cohomology rings of HW-manifolds M_1 and M_2 . From the **Main Lemma** for the both manifolds we have the sets of elements D_1 and D_2 such that $\phi(D_1) = D_2$. Hence we obtain the affine equivalence manifolds M_1 and M_2 .

□

3 Properties of Hantzsche-Wendt matrices

Let us illustrate the Proposition 1 on two HW-manifolds of dimension 5, (see [8]). We shall denote by Γ_1 and Γ_2 its fundamental groups.

Example 2 A group $\Gamma_1 \subset E(5)$ is generated by

$$(B_1, (1/2, 1/2, 0, 0, 0)), (B_2, (0, 1/2, 1/2, 0, 0)),$$

$$(B_3, (0, 0, 1/2, 1/2, 0)), (B_4, (0, 0, 0, 1/2, 1/2)).$$

From above $\mathbb{R}^5/\Gamma_1 \simeq T^5/(\mathbb{Z}_2)^4$, where $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^4 \subset \mathcal{D}^5$ is defined by

$$(g_1, g_3, g_2, g_2, g_2), (g_2, g_1, g_3, g_2, g_2),$$

$$(g_2, g_2, g_1, g_3, g_2), (g_2, g_2, g_2, g_1, g_3).$$

Moreover a group $\Gamma_2 \subset E(5)$ is generated by

$$(B_1, (1/2, 0, 1/2, 1/2, 0)), (B_2, (0, 1/2, 1/2, 1/2, 1/2)),$$

$$(B_3, (1/2, 1/2, 1/2, 1/2, 1/2)), (B_4, (1/2, 0, 1/2, 1/2, 1/2)).$$

Hence, $\mathbb{R}^5/\Gamma_2 \simeq T^5/(\mathbb{Z}_2)^4$ where generators of a group $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^4 \subset \mathcal{D}^5$ are following

$$(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_3, g_2), (g_2, g_1, g_3, g_3, g_3),$$

$$(g_3, g_3, g_1, g_3, g_3), (g_3, g_2, g_3, g_1, g_3).$$

In what follows we shall write i for g_i , $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Let A be a $(n \times m)$ matrix with coefficients $A_{ij} \in \mathcal{D}$. For short $A \in \mathcal{D}^{n \times m}$. Let A_i (A^j) denote i -row (j -column) of a matrix A .

Definition 2 By HW-matrix we shall understand a matrix $A \in \mathcal{D}^{n \times n}$ such that $A_{ii} = 1$, $A_{ij} \in \{2, 3\}$ for $i \neq j$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and if $X \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $1 \leq \#X \leq n - 1$ then the row $\sum_{i \in X} A_i$ has 1 on a some position.

Lemma 1 Any HW-manifold of dimension n defines a $(n \times n)$ HW-matrix.

Proof: Let (β_i, b_i) , $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ be generators of the fundamental group of some n -dimensional HW-manifold M . Then i - generator defines i -row of some $(n \times n)$ HW-matrix, cf. (2), (4). See also Example 2 and Proposition 1. The last row is defined by the product $\beta_1\beta_2 \dots \beta_{n-1}$ or equivalently is a sum of the first $(n - 1)$ rows. It is easy to see that the first property of the above matrix follows from a definition, see [5, p. 4]. Since a holonomy group $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n-1}$ acts free on T^n (or equivalently $\pi_1(M)$ is a torsion free group) the last part of lemma follows. □

We shall present some properties of HW-matrices.

Remark 1 Let $\sigma \in S_n$ and let P_σ be the corresponding permutation matrix. It is not difficult to see that if A is HW-matrix then $P_\sigma A P_\sigma^{-1}$ also satisfies conditions of the Definition 2. Moreover, if A' is a conjugation matrix of A , where conjugation means exchange at some column numbers 2 for 3, then A' is again a HW-matrix. The HW-matrix is related to the matrix defined on page 6 of [5].

Remark 2 Let A be a $(n \times n)$ HW-matrix. Then

$$(p^{(2)} + p^{(3)})(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (15)$$

Let $A \in \mathcal{D}^{m \times n}$ be a $(m \times n)$ matrix with coefficients in \mathcal{D} and $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \{2, 3\}^n$. By $p^{(\alpha)}(A)$ we shall understand a $(m \times n)$ -matrix with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_2 which a i -column is equal to $p^{(\alpha_i)}(A^i)$.

Let M be a matrix. By defect of M we shall understand a number

$$d(M) = \{\text{number of columns of } M\} - \text{rk}(M).$$

Lemma 2 1. Let M_1 be a matrix M from which we remove some columns. Then

$$d(M_1) \leq d(M),$$

2. If A is a HW-matrix of dimension n and $\alpha \in \{2, 3\}$, then

$$d(p^{(\alpha)}(A)) \leq 1.$$

Proof: The first statement is clear. For the proof of a second one, let us assume that $d(p^{(\alpha)}(A)) > 1$. Hence $\text{rk}(p^{(\alpha)}(A)) < n - 1$. By definition there exists a non-trivial $X \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$, such that $\sum_{i \in X} p^{(\alpha)}(A_i) = 0$. Finally $p^{(\alpha)}(\sum_{i \in X} A_i) = \sum_{i \in X} p^{(\alpha)}(A_i) = 0$. This contradicts the definition 2.

□

Lemma 3 Let $m < n$ and $W \in \mathcal{D}^{m \times n}$ is a sub-matrix of some $(n \times n)$ HW-matrix. Then $\text{rk}(p^{(\alpha)}(W)) = m$.

Proof: Similar to the proof of the last Lemma.

□

A symmetric $(m \times m)$ matrix $A \in (\mathbb{F}_2)^{m \times m}$ defines a nonoriented graph, $\text{graph}(A)$ with set of vertices $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and two different vertices i and j are connected if and only if $A_{ij} = 1$. We say that a matrix A is connected if a $\text{graph}(A)$ is connected. Let $A \in \mathcal{D}^{m \times m}$ be a symmetric matrix, then $p^{(i)}(A)$

are symmetric with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_2 , $i = 2, 3$. We shall write $i \sim_2 j$ if i, j are at the same connected component of a matrix $p^{(2)}(A)$. Similar definition is for a relation $i \sim_3 j$.

Lemma 4 *Let a HW-matrix M have the following decomposition on the blocks:*

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} * & 2 & * \\ C & A & D \\ * & 3 & * \end{bmatrix}, \quad (16)$$

where A is a symmetric matrix and $2, 3$ are block matrices with all rows and columns equal 2 and 3 correspondingly. Then

- (I) if $i \sim_2 j \implies D_i = D_j$;
- (II) if $i \sim_3 j \implies C_i = C_j$.

Proof: For the proof of (I) let us assume that i, j (where $i < j$) are connected by a 2-edge; i.e $A_{i,j} = 2$. Let r be some column of a matrix D . Let us consider a diagonal submatrix of the matrix M related to (i, j, r) . It looks like

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & a \\ 2 & 1 & b \\ 3 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (17)$$

The sums of the first two columns are zero. Since a Lemma 3 a sum of elements of the last one is not zero. Hence $a = b$. We have just proved that if $A_{i,j} = 2$ then $D_i = D_j$. It also means that if $i \sim_2 j$ then $D_i = D_j$. The proof of the second point of the lemma is similar.

□

The next lemmas are about possibilities of complement of some matrices to a HW-matrix. We shall first consider an odd case.

Lemma 5 *Let $A \in \mathcal{D}^{m \times m}$ be a symmetric matrix with 1 on the diagonal and $\{2, 3\}$ off the diagonal with a column sums equal to 1. Assume that $m > 1$. Then a matrix*

$$K_A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ A \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (18)$$

cannot be complement to HW-matrix.

Proof: Let us assume that there exists a HW-matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & 2 & * \\ C & A & D \\ * & 3 & * \end{bmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

From assumption m is an odd number and heights of the blocks 2 and 3 are also odd. We shall use induction. For $m = 3$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & a \\ a & 1 & a \\ a & a & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

Here $a = 2$ or 3 . If $a = 3$ then $\text{rk}(p^{(2)}(A)) = 1$ and $d(p^{(2)}(A)) = 3 - 1 = 2 > 1$. From Lemma 2 it is impossible. For $a = 2$ the proof is the same. Let us assume that $m > 3$.

1. We shall consider a matrix $p^{(2)}(A)$. We claim that there is no such decomposition as

$$p^{(2)}(A) = B \oplus E,$$

such that a dimension of a matrix B is odd and > 1 . In fact, in that case

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{B} & \frac{3}{3} \\ \frac{3}{3} & E \end{bmatrix}. \quad (21)$$

Since a column sums of A are equal to 1 and height of a block 3 under \tilde{B} is even, a column sums of \tilde{B} are 1. If K_A has complement then $K_{\tilde{B}}$ has a complement (where a dimension of a block 3 is greater on a dimension of E). But by induction it is impossible, since $1 < \text{dimension}(\tilde{B}) < m$.

2. We claim that there is no such a nontrivial decomposition as

$$p^{(2)}(A) = B \oplus E \oplus F.$$

In fact since m is odd we have two possibilities:

(a) dimension of one component is odd and other components have dimension even

(b) dimension of all components are odd.

In the case (a) $\dim(B \oplus E) > 1$ and odd. Hence we consider decomposition $p^{(2)}(A) = (B \oplus E) \oplus F$. But it is a previous case 1.

In case (b), since $m > 3$ there exists a component (for example B) which dimension is > 1 . In that case we have a decomposition $p^{(2)}(A) = B \oplus (E \oplus F)$ which was already considered in the point 1.

3. By definition we have a decomposition

$$p^{(2)}(A) = B_1 \oplus \dots \oplus B_s,$$

where all components are connected matrices. From the above we can assume that $s = 2$ and odd component has a graph equal to one point or $s = 1$. Equivalently,

- (a) $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & B \end{bmatrix}$ and $p^{(2)}(B)$ is connected or
- (b) $p^{(2)}(A)$ is connected.

In the first case

$$p^{(3)}(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & p^{(3)}(B) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (22)$$

Hence $p^{(3)}(A)$ is connected. Summing up, we have

- (a) $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & B \end{bmatrix}$ and both $p^{(2)}(B)$ and $p^{(3)}(A)$ are connected or
- (b) $p^{(2)}(A)$ is connected.

If we exchange $p^{(2)}$ for $p^{(3)}$ in the above points 1., 2. and 3. with the similar arguments, we obtain finally two cases:

- (a) $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & B \end{bmatrix}$ and both $p^{(2)}(B)$ and $p^{(3)}(A)$ are connected or
- (b) both $p^{(2)}(A)$ and $p^{(3)}(A)$ are connected.

We come back to the beginning of the proof. We shall try to figure out matrices C and D . From definition of \sim_3 and because $p^{(3)}(A)$ is connected we conclude that all rows of the matrix C are identical. By conjugation we can assume that $C = 2$. Using the same arguments and definition of \sim_2 together with a connectedness of $p^{(2)}(B)$ we conclude that with exception of the first row, all rows of the matrix D are the same. By conjugation and permutation we can assume that the first row of the matrix D is equal to $[2, \dots, 2, 3, \dots, 3]$. All other rows of a matrix D consist only 3. Summing up a matrix

$$W = [C \ A \ D]$$

is following

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & B \end{bmatrix} & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & \begin{bmatrix} 3 & B \end{bmatrix} & 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (23)$$

Apply homomorphisms: $p^{(3)}, [p^{(2)}, p^{(3)}], p^{(2)}, p^{(2)}$ to the corresponding columns we get a matrix

$$W' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & p^{(3)}(B) \end{bmatrix} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & p^{(3)}(B) \end{bmatrix} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (24)$$

We have $\text{rk}W' = 1 + \text{rk}(p^{(3)}(B))$. From assumption a sums of columns of a matrix A are equal to 1. Hence a sums of columns of a matrix

$$(p^{(2)}, p^{(3)})A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & p^{(3)}(B) \end{bmatrix} \quad (25)$$

are also equal to 1 and a sums of columns of a matrix $p^{(3)}(B)$ are equal to 0. It means $\text{rk}(p^{(3)}(B)) < m - 1$ and also $\text{rk}(W') < m$. From Lemma 3

$$\text{rk}(W') = \text{rk}(W) = \text{number of rows}(W) = m.$$

Hence a matrix W cannot be a matrix of some rows of HW -matrix.

We have to still consider a case when matrices $p^{(2)}(A)$ and $p^{(3)}(A)$ are connected. Similar to the above consideration, using relation \sim_2 and \sim_3 plus conjugation we can assume that

$$[C \ A \ D] = [2 \ A \ 3].$$

Hence all nonempty sums of rows of a matrix A include 1. For $m > 1$ it is impossible.

□

The next lemma is an even version of the Lemma 5.

Lemma 6 *Let $A \in \mathcal{D}^{m \times m}$ be a symmetric matrix with 1 on the diagonal and $\{2, 3\}$ off the diagonal with a column sums equal to 3. Assume that $m > 1$. Then a matrix*

$$K_A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ A \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (26)$$

cannot be a complement to some HW -matrix.

Proof: As in the proof of the previous lemma let us assume that there exists a HW -matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & 2 & * \\ C & A & D \\ * & 3 & * \end{bmatrix}. \quad (27)$$

From assumption and Definition 2 m is an even number and a hight of the block 2 is even and 3 is odd. We shall use induction. For $m = 4$.

1. On the beginning let us consider the case, where $p^{(2)}(A)$ is not connected. We have two cases of matrices of dimension 4:

- (a) $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & B \end{bmatrix}$, where B has a dimension 3 and

(b) $A = \begin{bmatrix} B & 3 \\ 3 & E \end{bmatrix}$, where matrices A, B have rank two.

The case (a) is impossible since $1 + 3 \neq 3$. In the case (b) matrices A and B are symmetric with columns sums equal to 3. Hence $B = E = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, and

$$p^{(2)}(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (28)$$

From the other side a matrix $p^{(2)}(K_A)$ has rows of 1 ($p^{(2)}(2) = 1$) and rows of 0 ($p^{(2)}(3) = 0$). These rows are linear combination of rows of $p^{(2)}(A)$ and

$$\text{rk}p^{(2)}(K_A) = \text{rk}p^{(2)}(A) = 2.$$

Finally $d(K_A) = 4 - 2 = 2 > 1$ and from Lemma 2 we are done.

2. As the second step let us consider the case where $p^{(3)}(A)$ is not connected. We have to consider two cases of matrices of dimension 4:

(a) $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & B \end{bmatrix}$, and

(b) $A = \begin{bmatrix} B & 2 \\ 2 & E \end{bmatrix}$, and B and E have dimension 2.

In the case (a) a matrix B is symmetric of dimension 3 with sums of columns 1. If K_A has complement to *HW* - matrix then also a matrix K_B has this possibility. But it is impossible by Lemma 5. In case (b) matrices B, E are symmetric with sums of columns 3. Hence $B = E = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and

$$p^{(2)}(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (29)$$

In the matrix $p^{(2)}(K_A)$ we have rows of 1 and 0. They are linearly dependent from the rows of $p^{(2)}(A)$. Hence

$$\text{rk}p^{(2)}(K_A) = \text{rk}p^{(2)}(A) = 1$$

and

$$d(K_A) = 4 - 1 = 3 > 1.$$

From Lemma 2 the matrix K_A has not complement to the *HW*-matrix.

3. By the above points 1. and 2. we have that $p^{(2)}(A)$ and $p^{(3)}(A)$ are connected matrices. As in the proof of Lemma 5 using relations \sim_2, \sim_3 and conjugations of matrices we can assume that

$$[C \ A \ D] = [2 \ A \ 3].$$

By assumption a sum of all rows of the above matrix has 1 on a some position. We can see easily that it is impossible at the first and the third block. For a matrix A it is also impossible since m is even. This contradicts our assumption that $m < n$.

Let us assume that $m > 4$. We shall consider three steps.

1. Assume that $p^{(2)}(A)$ is not connected. We have to consider two cases:

- (a) $p^{(2)}(A)$ is a direct sum of two odd blocks,
- (b) $p^{(2)}(A)$ is a direct sum of two even blocks.

Hence $A = \begin{bmatrix} B & 3 \\ 3 & E \end{bmatrix}$. In the case (a) since dimensions of B, E are odd and sums of column of A are 3 we obtain that sums of column of B and E are 0. Moreover, if B is an odd diagonal submatrix of HW -matrix then by definition 2 a sum of rows of B should enclose 1. But this is impossible and also case (a) is impossible.

In case (b) since dimensions of B, E are even and sums of column of A are 3 we obtain that sums of column of B and E are 3. Moreover either the matrix B or the matrix E has rank > 2 . Assume the matrix B has such a property. If a matrix K_A has complement, then a matrix K_B has complement to HW -matrix. But by induction it is impossible.

2. Assume that $p^{(3)}(A)$ is not connected. We have to consider two cases. The same as in the step 1.

- (a) $p^{(3)}(A)$ is a direct sum of two odd blocks,
- (b) $p^{(3)}(A)$ is a direct sum of two even bloks.

Hence $A = \begin{bmatrix} B & 2 \\ 2 & E \end{bmatrix}$. In the first case since dimensions of B, E are odd and sums of column of A are 3 we obtain that sums of column of B and E are 1. Moreover, either the matrix B or the matrix E has rank > 2 . Assume the matrix B has such a property. If a matrix K_A has complement then (after permutation of indexes) a matrix K_B has complement to HW -matrix. But by Lemma 5 it is impossible. In the second case, since dimensions of B, E are even and sums of column of A are 3 we obtain that sums of column of B and E are 3. Moreover, either the matrix B or E has rank > 2 . Assume the matrix B has such a property. If a matrix K_A has complement then a matrix K_B has complement to HW -matrix. But by induction it is impossible.

We can assume that matrices $p^{(2)}(A)$ and $p^{(3)}(A)$ are connected. As in the previous cases we can assume that

$$[C \ A \ D] = [2 \ A \ 3].$$

By definition 2 a sum of all rows should enclose 1. Since m is even and $m < n$ we have a contradiction.

□

4 Proof of the Main Lemma

We keep the notation from previous sections, but we also need a new definitions. Denote by \mathcal{P}_n an algebra of all subsets of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Let $|U|$ denote the number of elements of a set $U \in \mathcal{P}_n$ modulo two. We have an isomorphism of algebras $I : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_n$, where

$$I(x) = \{i \mid x_i = 1\}, x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \quad (30)$$

is an indicator.

Definition 3 *Let A be a HW-matrix. The function $J : \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_n$ is defined by*

$$J(U) = \{s \mid \sum_{i \in U} A_{is} = 1\}, \quad (31)$$

where $U \in \mathcal{P}_n$.

Remark 3 *In what follows we shall use a formula (10) with a basis $b_i, 1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Let us consider a map $l : \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$ given by a formula*

$$l_Z := \sum_{i \in Z} x_i. \quad (32)$$

In this language the formula (10) for $k = 2, 3$ we can write as

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} p^{(k)} A_{j,i} x_j = l_S$$

where $S = \{p^{(k)}(A_{1,i}), p^{(k)}(A_{2,i}), \dots, p^{(k)}(A_{n-1,i})\}$.

Proposition 3 *The map J has the following properties:*

1. $U \neq 0, 1$ then $J(U) \neq 0$, here $0, 1$ denote the trivial additive and multiplicative element of the algebra \mathcal{P}_n respectively;
2. $J(U+1) = J(U)$ where $U+1 = U'$ denotes a complement of the subset U in the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$;
3. $J(\{i\}) = \{i\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$;
4. if $|U| = 1$ then $J(U) \subset U$;
5. if $|U| = 0$ then $J(U) \subset U'$.

Proof: Elementary calculations with support of the matrix (15). □

Any polynomial of $\mathbb{F}_2[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ we shall identify with a polynomial map $\mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$. Hence by indicator function (30) the formula (32) has the following presentation $l_Z(e_j) = \{j \in Z\}$, where $Z \in \mathcal{P}_n$. Since the transgressive elements $T_i \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$ we define a split monomorphism of rings $\mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}] \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that $\bar{T}_i = \phi(T_i) \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Here, $\phi(x_i) = x_i + x_n$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Obviously $\#D = \#\phi(D)$. From definition, for polynomial functions \bar{T}_i we have $\bar{T}_i(e_j) = \delta_{ij}$, where $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $e_i \in (\mathbb{F}_2)^n$ is the standard basis. Hence, by the isomorphism (30) a map J (see Definition 3) is equivalent to a function $T : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^n$, $T(x) = (\bar{T}_1(x), \bar{T}_2(x), \dots, \bar{T}_n(x))$, where $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$. Hence and from an equation (12) we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{F}_2^n & \xrightarrow{T} & \mathbb{F}_2^n \\
 \downarrow I & & \downarrow I \\
 \mathcal{P}_n & \xrightarrow{J} & \mathcal{P}_n
 \end{array} \quad (33)$$

We shall use these observations in the proof of the **Main Lemma**. Moreover, we shall apply a remark that homogeneous polynomials of degree 2 are recognized by their polynomial functions. Let $S, Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathcal{P}_n$. From definition if

$$\sum_{i \in S} \bar{T}_i = l_{Z_1} \cdot l_{Z_2}$$

then $S = Z_1 \cap Z_2$.

Proposition 4 *The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) $\sum_{i \in S} \bar{T}_i = l_{Z_1} \cdot l_{Z_2}$
- (ii) $\forall U \in \mathcal{P}_n |J(U)S| = |UZ_1| \cdot |UZ_2|$.

Proof: We shall use (33) and an isomorphism I . Let $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n, U = I(x)$. We have

$$\sum_{i \in S} \bar{T}_i(x) = \sum_{i \in S \cap I(\bar{T}(x))} 1 = |I(\bar{T}(x)) \cap S| = |J(I(x)) \cap S| = |J(U) \cap S|.$$

From the other side

$$l_{Z_1}(x) \cdot l_{Z_2}(x) = \sum_{i \in Z_1 \cap I(x)} 1 \cdot \sum_{i \in Z_2 \cap I(x)} 1 = |UZ_1| \cdot |UZ_2|.$$

This finishes a proof. □

Corollary 1 *Let us assume the condition (ii) of Proposition 4, then*

1. $|Z_1|$ or $|Z_2|$ is even,
2. if $S \neq 0$ then $|Z_1|$ and $|Z_2|$ are even
3. if $S \neq Z_1$ and $S \neq Z_2$ then $Z_1 \cup Z_2 = 1$.

Proof: 1. Since $J(1) = J(\{1, 2, \dots, n\}) = 0$ the condition is true.
 2. Since $J(U) = J(U') = J(U + 1)$ we have

$$|UZ_1||UZ_2| = |(1 + U)Z_1|| (1 + U)Z_2|.$$

Hence

$$|Z_1||Z_2| + |Z_1||UZ_2| + |Z_2||UZ_1| = 0.$$

From a point 1. we can assume that $|Z_1| = 0$ (or $|Z_2| = 0$) and $|Z_2||UZ_1| = 0$. If $|Z_2| = 1$ then $\forall U \in \mathcal{P}_n, |UZ_1| = 0$ and $Z_1 = 0$. Since $S = Z_1 \cdot Z_2 \neq 0$ we have a contradiction.

3. Let $a \in Z_1 \setminus S, b \in Z_2 \setminus S$ and $c \notin Z_1 \cup Z_2$. Put $U = \{a, b, c\}$. We have $J(U)S \subset US = 0$ and $UZ_1 = \{a\}, UZ_2 = \{b\}$. Hence

$$0 = |J(U)S| = |UZ_1||UZ_2| = 1 \cdot 1 = 1.$$

This is a contradiction.

□

Definition 4 *Define*

$$\sigma_a^S := \sum_{i \in S} A_{a,i},$$

where $a \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $S \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $A \in \mathcal{D}^{n \times n}$.

Let us present relations between the above definition and the function J .

Proposition 5 *Let A be $(n \times n)$ HW-matrix, $a, b \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $S \in \mathcal{P}_n$. Then*

1. $|J(\{a, b\})S| = \sigma_a^S + \sigma_b^S$, where $a, b \notin S$;
2. $|J(\{a, b\})S| = \sigma_a^S + \sigma_b^S + A_{a,b} + 1$, where $a \notin S, b \in S$;
3. $|J(\{a, b\})S| = \sigma_a^S + \sigma_b^S + A_{a,b} + A_{b,a}$, where $a, b \in S$.

Proof: 1. By a point 5. of Proposition 3 we know that $J(\{a, b\}) \subset \{a, b\}'$. If $J(\{a, b\})S = \emptyset$ we are done. On the contrary we shall consider the following cases.

(a) Assume $|S| = 1$ and $|J(\{a, b\})S| = 1$. We have two rows, which correspond to a and b ,

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} 2 & 2 & \dots & 2 & 2 & \\ 2 & 3 & \dots & 3 & 2 & \end{array} \quad (34)$$

with a number of columns equal to $|S|$, and a number of columns with different coefficients equal to $J(\{a, b\})$. Hence a sum of the upper row is equal to 2 and a sum of the down row is equal to 3. This finishes a proof in this case.

(a') Assume $|S| = 1$ and $|J(\{a, b\})S| = 0$. We also have (34) and a sum of the upper row is equal to 2 and a sum of the down row is also equal to 2. This finishes a proof in this case.

(b) Assume $|S| = 0$. Then again we have two subcases $|J(\{a, b\})S| = 1$, then a sum of the upper row of (34) is equal to 0 and a sum of the down row is equal to 1. The proof of the case is complete. When $|J(\{a, b\})S| = 0$ a sum of the upper row of (34) is 0 and a sum of the down row is also 0. This finished a proof of point 1. The proofs of other cases are similar and we put it as an exercise.

□

Using the above language we shall prove that for a *HW-manifold* there exists only a limited number of transgressive elements which are a product of degree one nontrivial polynomials.

Proposition 6 *Let A be a $(n \times n)$ HW-matrix, $(n > 3)$ then there does not exist not empty set $S \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that*

$$\forall U \in \mathcal{P}_n |J(U)S| = |US|. \quad (35)$$

Proof: It is the case $S = Z_1 = Z_2$. Let us assume (35). We are going to divide the proof into four steps.

Step 1. We claim that, if $a_1, a_2 \notin S$ and $b \in S$ then $A_{a_1,b} = A_{a_2,b}$. In fact, from (35) for $U = \{a_1, a_2\}$, $|J(\{a_1, a_2\})S| = |\{a_1, a_2\}S| = 0$. By Proposition 5 (1.), $\sigma_{a_1}^S = \sigma_{a_2}^S := \sigma$. If $a \notin S$ then from Proposition 5 (2.)

$$1 = |J(\{a, b\})S| = |\{a, b\}S| = \sigma_a^S + \sigma_b^S + A_{a,b} + 1 = \sigma + \sigma_b^S + A_{a,b} + 1.$$

Hence $\forall a \notin S, A_{a,b} = \sigma + \sigma_b^S$ and *Step 1.* is proved.

Step 2. We claim that, if $US = 0$ then $J(U)S = 0$. In fact from *Step 1.* all elements (numbers of columns) of $J(U)$ which are considered have not the first indexes from S and are equal each other. Then $J(U)S = 0$.

Step 3. We claim that, if $S \neq 0$ then $\#S = n - 1$. From *Step 2.* if $0 \neq U \subset S'$ then $J(U)S' \neq 0$. Let B be a diagonal submatrix of the matrix A related to the set S' . Then B is a quadratic matrix with 1 on the diagonal and 2, 3 otherwise. Moreover all sums of rows of B have at some position an element 1. Hence, the only possible matrix B is (1×1) matrix.

Step 4. We claim that, if $S \neq 0$ then $n \leq 3$. For the proof, let us assume that $n > 3$. From the *Step 3.* we can assume that $S = \{2, 3, \dots, n\}$. Let l_2 denote a number of 2 at the first column of A . We shall prove that $|l_2| = 0$. In fact, we can assume that $0 < l_2 < n - 1$ and at the first column, from the top we have first 2 then going down we have 3. On the contrary, suppose that l_2 is odd and let v be a sum of the first $2l_2 + 1$ rows. Since $l_2 + 1$ is even v has not 1 on places $1, 2, \dots, l_2 + 1$. Then it has 1 on the position $> l_2 + 1$. Hence there exists $k \geq l_2 + 1$ such that $A_{1,r} \neq A_{k,r}$ or equivalently $A_{1,r} + A_{k,r} = 1$. Let us consider a diagonal submatrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 * & A_{1,r} \\ 2 & 1 & A_{k,r} \\ 3 * & & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (36)$$

A sum of elements at the first column and at the third column is 0, then it at the second column has to be $\neq 0$. Let $U = \{1, k, r\}$. Since $j(U) \subset U$ and $n > 3$, $J(U) = \{k\}$. Finally

$$1 = |\{k\}S| = |J(U)S| = |US| = |\{k, r\}S| = 0.$$

That is a contradiction and l_2 is even. Moreover if l_3 is a number of 3 at the first column then $|l_3 = n - 1 - l_2| = 0$ and a sum $1 + l_2 * 2 + l_3 * 2 = 1$. But a sum of all rows is zero and we have a contradiction. This finishes a proof.

□

Corollary 2 *At the space $\text{Im}(d_2)$ we have not squares.*

Proof: If $l_Z \in \text{Im}(d_2)$, then $S = Z = Z$. For $n > 3$ it is impossible.

□

Proposition 7 *Let $S, Z \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $0 \neq S \neq Z$. Let A, J be as in Proposition 6. Assume that*

$$\forall U \in \mathcal{P}_n \quad |J(U)S| = |US| \cdot |UZ|$$

then $\#S = 2, |Z| = 0$ and $S \subset Z$.

Proof: On the beginning we claim that up to permutation and conjugation,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} * & 2 & * \\ * & B & * \\ * & 3 & * \end{bmatrix}, \quad (37)$$

where B is a symmetric matrix with a column sums 3. Moreover a block 2 has rows indexed by numbers from the set $Z \setminus S$ and a block 3 has rows indexed by numbers from the set $1 + Z = Z'$. In fact, from Proposition 4, $S \subset Z$ and Corollary 1, $S \subset Z$ and $|S| = |Z| = 0$. Let us change the indexes of A such that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} * & E & * \\ * & B & * \\ * & F & * \end{bmatrix}, \quad (38)$$

and E has rows indexed by numbers from the set $Z \setminus S$, B has rows indexed by numbers from S and F is indexed by $1 + Z = Z'$. From the point 1 of Proposition 5, for $a, b \notin S$

$$\sigma_a^S + \sigma_b^S = |J(\{a, b\}S)| = |\{a, b\}S| \cdot |\{a, b\}Z| = 0.$$

Hence $\sigma_a^S = \sigma_b^S$. Let $\sigma := \sigma_a^S$, for $a \notin S$.

By the point 2 of Proposition 5 for $b \in S$ and $a \notin Z$,

$$A_{a,b} = \sigma + \sigma_b^S. \quad (39)$$

From the above all columns of the matrix F are constant. Again from the point 2 of Proposition 5 for $b \in S, a \in Z \setminus S$,

$$A_{a,b} = \sigma + \sigma_b^S + 1. \quad (40)$$

It follows that also columns of the matrix E are constant. Let us conjugate columns of the matrix A such that $E = 2$. In that case $\sigma = 0$ since for $a \in Z \setminus S$ we have $\sigma = \sigma_a^S = |S| \cdot 2 = 0$.

From (40), for $b \in S, 2 = 0 + \sigma_b^S + 1$. Hence $\sigma_b^S = 3$ and $F = 3$, because from the formula (39) $A_{a,b} = 0 + 3$, for $a \in Z'$ and $b \in S$. Finally, from Proposition 5 for $a, b \in S$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{a,b} + A_{b,a} &= 3 + 3 + A_{a,b} + A_{b,a} = \sigma_a^S + \sigma_b^S + A_{a,b} + A_{b,a} = \\ &= |J(\{a, b\})S| = |\{a, b\}S| \cdot |\{a, b\}Z| = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

To finish a proof it suffices to apply Lemma 6.

□

Proposition 8 *We keep the notation from the previous propositions. Let us assume $S, Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathcal{P}_n$ such that $0 \neq S, S \neq Z_1, S \neq Z_2$ and*

$$\forall U \in \mathcal{P}_n |J(U)S| = |UZ_1| \cdot |UZ_2|$$

then $\#S = 1, |Z_1| = |Z_2| = 0$ and $Z_1 + Z_2 = 1$.

Proof: A proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 7. On the beginning we show that (up to permutation and conjugation)

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} * & 2 & * \\ * & B & * \\ * & 3 & * \end{bmatrix}, \quad (42)$$

where B is a symmetric matrix of odd dimension with sums of columns 1, a block 2 is indexed by the set $Z_1 \setminus S$ and a block 3 is indexed by the set $Z_2 \setminus S$. In fact, from assumption and Corollary 1, $S = Z_1 Z_2, |Z_1| = |Z_2| = 0$ and

$Z_1 + Z_2 = 1$. Hence $|S| = 1$. Let us change the order of rows in the matrix A such that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} * & E & * \\ * & B & * \\ * & F & * \end{bmatrix} \quad (43)$$

and E is indexed by $Z_1 \setminus S$, B by S and F by $Z_2 \setminus S$. From Proposition 5 we have $\forall a, b \in Z_1 \setminus S, \sigma_a^S = \sigma_b^S := \sigma_E$. With similar consideration we have $\forall a, b \in Z_2 \setminus S, \sigma_a^S = \sigma_b^S := \sigma_F$. Moreover, by Proposition 5 (2) for $b \in S$ and $a \in Z_1 \setminus S$,

$$A_{a,b} = \sigma_E + \sigma_b^S + 1. \quad (44)$$

From the above, all columns of the matrix E are the same. By analogy for $b \in S$ and $a \in Z_2 \setminus S$,

$$A_{a,b} = \sigma_F + \sigma_b^S + 1 \quad (45)$$

and columns of the matrix F are also constant. Let us conjugate columns of A such that $E = 2$. Then $\sigma_E = 2$, because for $a \in Z_1 \setminus S, \sigma_a^S = |S| \cdot 2 = 2$ and for $b \in S, \sigma_b^S = 1$. The last equality follows from (44) because $2 = 2 + \sigma_b^S + 1$. Similarly, by (45) for $b \in S$ and $a \in Z_2 \setminus S$, we have $A_{a,b} = \sigma_F + 1 + 1 = \sigma_F$ and the matrix F is constant and equal to σ_F . Finally, a matrix B is symmetric since from Proposition 5

$$\sigma_a^S + \sigma_b^S + A_{a,b} + A_{b,a} = |J(\{a, b\}S)|$$

what means,

$$A_{a,b} + A_{b,a} = |\{a, b\}Z_1| \cdot |\{a, b\}Z_2| = 0.$$

We have still to show that $\sigma_F = 3$. In fact from assumption a column's sums of B are 1. Since B is symmetric this same is true for rows. Let us calculate a sum of some column of A :

$$(|Z_1| - |S|)2 + 1 + (|Z_2| - |S|)\sigma_F = 2 + 1 + \sigma_F = 3 + \sigma_F = 0.$$

To finish a proof of Proposition we have to apply Lemma 5. □

Summing up we have the following two possibilities:

- I. $\#S = 1$ and $Z_1 + Z_2 = 1$;
- II. $\#S = 2$ and $S = Z_1, S \neq Z_2$ or $S = Z_2, S \neq Z_1$.

Let us recall that $\text{Im}(d_2)$ is a n -dimensional \mathbb{Z}_2 -space generated by $T_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$. We are interested in description of the set D of elements in

$\text{Im}d_2$ which are a product of two nontrivial linear polynomials, see (13). We claim that $D \leq n + 1$. In what follows, if it does not give a contradiction we shall write T_i for $\bar{T}_i, i = 1, \dots, n$.

Lemma 7 *Let $w \in D$, then $w = T_i$ or $w = T_j + T_k$ for some $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$.*

Proof: On the beginning we shall prove that $T_i + T_j$ is a product of two nontrivial linear polynomials if and only if T_i, T_j have a common component. It means there exists $p \neq 0$ s.t. $p|T_i$ and $p|T_j$. Let $T_i + T_j$ have a common component, then from the above case II we can assume that $j = i + 1$ and the matrix A enclose:

$$\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ 2 \quad 2 \\ \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{array} \right] \\ 3 \quad 3 \\ \dots \end{array}$$

By definition

$$T_i = (x_1 + \dots + x_i + x_{i+1})(x_i + x_{i+2} + \dots + x_n)$$

$$T_{i+1} = (x_1 + \dots + x_i + x_{i+1})(x_{i+1} + \dots + x_n).$$

For the proof of the opposite conclusion we shall need

Definition 5 *Let X be a subset of some monoid. By Γ_X we define a graph with the vertex set X and two vertices a, b are connected by an edge $a \xrightarrow{f} b$ if and only if $f|a$ and $f|b$. Put $\Gamma := \Gamma_{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n}$.*

We claim that for $n > 3$ the graph

$$i \xrightarrow{f} j \xrightarrow{g} k \tag{46}$$

is not a subgraph of Γ , where $i := T_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. In fact we have two possibilities:

1. $f = g$. Let $i = 1, j = 2, k = 3$ and let \mathfrak{J} be an ideal generated by (f, T_4, \dots, T_n) in the polynomial ring. Since there exist a nontrivial solution of system of $(n - 2)$ linear equation in $(n - 1)$ linear space an algebraic set

$V(\mathfrak{J})$ is not trivial. It means $0 \neq x \in V(\mathfrak{J})$. From definition $x \in V(\mathfrak{J}')$, where \mathfrak{J}' is an ideal generated by (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n) . But it is impossible.

2. $f \neq g$. Using permutation of indexes and conjugation we can assume that in *HW-matrix* A , $j = i + 1, k = i + 2$. Recall that $S = \{i, i + 1\}$ and A is as in Lemma 6. Hence it has a diagonal block related to rows (columns) $\{i, i + 1, i + 2\}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & b \\ 2 & 1 & a \\ 3 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (47)$$

and a matrix A has upper two first columns of (47) only elements 2, but lower only elements 3. Let us consider polynomials T_i, T_{i+1} and T_{i+2} for $x_s = 0, s \notin \{i, i + 1, i + 2\}$ and denote it by \hat{T}_i respectively. We have

$$\hat{T}_i = (x_i + x_{i+1})(x_i + x_{i+2})$$

and

$$\hat{T}_{i+1} = (x_i + x_{i+1})(x_{i+1} + x_{i+2}).$$

The both polynomials are divided by $(x_i + x_{i+1})$. Hence \hat{T}_{i+1} and \hat{T}_{i+2} are divided by $(x_{i+1} + x_{i+2})$. From the above we can observe that

$$\hat{T}_{i+2} = (x_{i+1} + x_{i+2})(x_{i+2} + x_i). \quad (48)$$

By (48) and definition we get $a \neq b$. Hence a sum of all columns of the matrix (47) are equal to 0. But it is impossible, since $n > 3$. This finishes a proof of our claim and we have

Corollary 3 *For $n > 3$ all connected components of a graph Γ are points or edges $i \xrightarrow{f} j$.*

□

Corollary 4 *For $n > 3, D = \{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n\}$ or $D = \{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n, T_i + T_j\}$ for some $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.*

Proof: Conversely, suppose that edges

$$1 \xrightarrow{f} 2 \text{ and } 3 \xrightarrow{g} 4$$

are components of the graph Γ . Let us consider an ideal $\mathfrak{J} = (f, g, T_5, \dots, T_n)$ in polynomial ring. Since there exist a nontrivial solution of system of $(n - 2)$

linear equation in $(n - 1)$ linear space an algebraic set $V(\mathfrak{J})$ is not trivial. It means $0 \neq x \in V(\mathfrak{J})$. But from definition $x \in V(\mathfrak{J}')$, where \mathfrak{J}' is an ideal generated by (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n) . But it is impossible. This finishes a proof.

□

Let us prove the **Main Lemma**.

Main Lemma *Let $n > 3$, then there are the following possibilities for the structure of the set D :*

1. $D = \{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n\}$;
2. $D = \{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n, T_i + T_j\}$, and we can find a polynomial p of degree one such that $p \mid T_i$ and $p \mid T_j$ for some $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. In the second case we can rediscover the set of generators T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n .

Proof: We start from the simple observation. If $i \neq j$ and $T_i = p \cdot q, T_j = p \cdot r$ then $\forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ $q + r$ is not divided T_i . In fact $q + r \neq p$ since in other case $T_i + T_j = p(q + r) = p^2$. By Corollary 2 it is impossible. Hence T_i and T_j are also not divided by $q + r$. Moreover, if $T_r = (q + r)s$ then $T_i + T_j + T_r = (q + r)(p + s)$. By Proposition 7, a decomposition for $\#S = 3$ is impossible. Let us prove the second point of the above lemma. From definition the graph Γ_{T_1, \dots, T_n} has connected components which are vertices for $r \notin \{i, j\}$, of the triangle with vertices $T_i, T_j, T_i + T_j$ and a constant label which is a component of T_i and T_j . Let $T_i = p \cdot q$ and $T_j = p \cdot r$ then $T_i + T_j = p(q + r)$. The triangle is a connected component of a graph because by (46) for $r \notin \{i, j\}$ elements p, q, r do not divide T_r . Also from the above simple observation, the element $(q + r)$ does not divide T_r .

We continue the proof of the **Main Lemma**. Let $w = \xi\eta$ where ξ and η are linear polynomials. Let us define $s(w) := \xi + \eta$. Since *HW-manifolds* are oriented $\sum_i s(T_i) = 0$. We claim that if $T_i + T_j \in D$, then $s(\xi) + s(\eta)$ recognizes subsets of order two of the set $\{T_i, T_j, T_i + T_j\}$. In fact, let $T_i = p \cdot q, T_j = p \cdot r$, then $T_i + T_j = p(q + r)$ and $s(T_i) + s(T_j) = q + r, s(T_i) + s(T_i + T_j) = r, s(T_j) + s(T_i + T_j) = q$.

Let $n > 3$, then there are the following possibilities for the structure of the set D :

1. $D = \{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n\}$;
2. $D = \{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n, T_i + T_j\}$, for some $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Let $n > 3$ if D has

n elements we are done. If it has $(n + 1)$ elements then the graph $\Gamma_{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n}$ has $(n - 2)$ discrete connected components D^c and a triangle. We proceed in two steps:

1. Put $s_{D^c} := \sum_{a \in D^c} s(a)$
2. From the triangle we take a unique pair ξ, η such that

$$s(\xi) + s(\eta) + s_{D^c} = 0.$$

Hence $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n\} = \{\xi, \eta\} \cup D$. This finishes a proof of the **Main Lemma**.

□

For illustration of possibilities of the structure of the set D we present two examples.

Example 3 Let $G \subset \mathcal{D}^5$ correspond to HW-matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

The set

$$\begin{aligned} D = \{ & T_1 = (x_1 + x_2)(x_1 + x_3 + x_4), T_2 = (x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4)x_2, \\ & T_3 = (x_1 + x_3)(x_2 + x_3 + x_4), T_4 = (x_1 + x_2 + x_4)(x_3 + x_4), \\ & T_5 = (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)x_4 \}. \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

From Remark 1 the above group is isomorphic to the group Γ_1 of the example 2. The next example illustrate the second case of the **Main Lemma**.

Example 4 Let a matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{D}^{5 \times 5}$ be the second HW-matrix of dimension 5.

In this cases we have

$$\begin{aligned} D = \{ & T_1 = (x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4)x_1, T_2 = (x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4)x_2, \\ & T_3 = (x_1 + x_3 + x_4)(x_2 + x_3), T_4 = (x_1 + x_2 + x_4)(x_3 + x_4), \\ & T_5 = (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)x_4, T_1 + T_2 \}. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

Acknowledgments: Authors would like to thank the referee for many constructive remarks, which improve the exposition. The second author would like to thank R. Lutowski for help during preparing the manuscript.

References

- [1] Charlap L.S, *Bieberbach Groups and Flat Manifolds*. Springer-Verlag, 1986.
- [2] S. Console, R. Miatello, J. P. Rossetti, \mathbb{Z}_2 -cohomology and spectral properties of flat manifolds of diagonal type, *J. Geom. and Physics*, 60 (2010) 760-781
- [3] L. Evens, *Cohomology of Groups*. Oxford University Press, 1992
- [4] Y. Kamishima, M. Masuda, Cohomological rigidity of real Bott manifolds, *Algebr. & Geom. Topol.* 9 (2009), 2479-2502
- [5] R. Miatello, J. P. Rossetti, Isospectral Hantzsche-Wendt manifolds, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 515 (1999),
- [6] B. Putrycz, Commutator Subgroups of Hantzsche-Wendt Groups, *J. Group Theory*, 10 (2007), 401 - 409
- [7] J. P. Rossetti, A. Szczepański, Generalized Hantzsche-Wendt flat manifolds, *Revista Iberoam. Mat.* **21**(3), 2005, 1053-1079
- [8] A. Szczepański, *Geometry of Crystallographic Groups*. World Scientific, 2012