

A NOTE ON SUMSETS OF SUBGROUPS IN \mathbb{Z}_p^* .

DERRICK HART

ABSTRACT. Let A be a multiplicative subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_p^* . Define the k -fold sumset of A to be $kA = \{x_1 + \dots + x_k : x_i \in A, 1 \leq i \leq k\}$. We show that $6A \supseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ for $|A| > p^{\frac{11}{23} + \epsilon}$. In addition, we extend a result of Shkredov to show that $|2A| \gg |A|^{\frac{8}{5} - \epsilon}$ for $|A| \ll p^{\frac{5}{9}}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

For subsets A_1, \dots, A_k of a group define $A_1 + \dots + A_k = \{a_1 + \dots + a_k : a_i \in A_i, 1 \leq i \leq k\}$. In the case that all the subsets are equal we will denote the k -fold sumset of A by $kA = \{x_1 + \dots + x_k : x_i \in A, 1 \leq i \leq k\}$.

Let A be a multiplicative subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_p^* . What is the smallest $\alpha > 0$ such that $|A| \gg p^\alpha$ implies that $2A$ contains \mathbb{Z}_p^* ?

Conjecture 1. *Let $|A| > p^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}$, $\epsilon > 0$ then $2A$ contains \mathbb{Z}_p^* .*

It is relatively simple, using exponential sum bounds, to show that if $|A| > p^{\frac{3}{4}}$ then $2A \supseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^*$. Surprisingly, no improvement in the exponent has been made. An alternative approach would be to consider this conjecture from an inverse perspective. Let $|A| > p^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}$; what is the smallest k_0 such that $k_0 A$ contains \mathbb{Z}_p^* ? A direct application of classical counting methods using standard exponential sum bounds does not seem to yield any answer to this question. For example, using the fact that $\max_{\lambda \neq 0} |\sum_{x \in A} e_p(x\lambda)| \leq \sqrt{p}$ one may show that if $|A| > p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2k}}$ then kA contains \mathbb{Z}_p^* .

Using combinatorial methods Glibichuk [1] gave the first answer to this question showing that $8A \supseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ for $|A| \geq 2p^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Using an improved exponential sum bound, Schoen and Shkredov [5, Theorem 2.6] showed that $7A \supseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ for $|A| > p^{\frac{1}{2}}$. There was subsequent improvement to this result by Shkredov and Vyugin [7] followed by Schoen and Shkredov [6]. Recently, Shkredov [4] has shown that $6A \supseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ if $|A| > p^{\frac{55}{112} + \epsilon} = p^{.491\dots + \epsilon}$.

In this paper we elaborate on the methods in the above mentioned papers to show that $6A \supseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ if $|A| > p^{\frac{11}{23} + \epsilon} = p^{.478\dots + \epsilon}$. In addition, we extend a result of Shkredov ([4]) to show that $|2A| \gg |A|^{\frac{8}{5} - \epsilon}$ for $|A| \ll p^{\frac{5}{9}}$.

2. STATEMENT OF MAIN RESULTS

Let A and B be subsets of \mathbb{Z}_p . Given a set A we will denote the indicator function of A by $A(\cdot)$. Define the convolution of A and B by $(A * B)(z) = \sum_{x+y=z} A(x)B(y) = |A \cap (B + z)|$.

The additive energy between A and B be given by,

$$\begin{aligned} E(A, B) &= |\{(x, y, z, w) \in A \times B \times A \times B : x + y = z + w\}| \\ &= \sum_z (A * B)^2(z) = \sum_z |A \cap (z - B)|^2 \\ &= \sum_z (A * -A)(z)(B * -B)(z) = \sum_z |A_z||B_z|, \end{aligned}$$

where here and throughout the paper we will let $C_z = C \cap (C + z)$ for any subset C of \mathbb{Z}_p . In the case that $A = B$ we will write $E(A) = E(A, A)$. Similarly, we will denote the r th additive energy of a subset A by $E_r(A) = \sum_s |A_s|^r$.

One may also consider the additive energy in the frequency domain. Taking an exponential sum expansion, $E(A, B) = p^{-1} \sum_s \left| \sum_{x \in A} e_p(sx) \right|^2 \left| \sum_{y \in B} e_p(sy) \right|^2$, where $e_p(x) = e^{\frac{2\pi i x}{p}}$. For a subset A of \mathbb{Z}_p we define $\Phi_A = \max_{\lambda \neq 0} \left| \sum_{x \in A} e_p(\lambda x) \right|$.

Heath-Brown and Konyagin employed Stepanov's method in order to give a bound on the additive energy of multiplicative subgroups of \mathbb{Z}_p^* .

Theorem 2 ([2]). *Let A be a multiplicative subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_p^* with $|A| \ll p^{\frac{2}{3}}$. Then*

$$E(A) \ll |A|^{\frac{5}{2}}.$$

In [4] Shkredov gave the following combinatorial lemma.

Lemma 3 ([4], Equation 1)). *Let A be a finite subset of an abelian group. Then*

$$\sum_s \frac{|A_s|^2}{|A + A_s|} \ll |A|^{-2} E_3(A).$$

Schoen and Shkredov ([5]) gave an estimate for $E_3(A)$.

Lemma 4 ([5], Lemma 3.3). *Let A be a multiplicative subgroup A of \mathbb{Z}_p^* with $|A| \ll p^{\frac{2}{3}}$. Then we have,*

$$E_3(A) \ll |A|^3 \log(|A|).$$

Combining Lemma [4] and Lemma [5] and noting that $|A + A_s| \leq |(2A)_s|$ gives the following lemma.

Lemma 5. *Let A be a multiplicative subgroup A of \mathbb{Z}_p^* with $|A| \ll p^{\frac{2}{3}}$. Then we have,*

$$\sum_s \frac{|A_s|^2}{|(2A)_s|} \ll |A| \log(|A|).$$

Shkredov used this inequality in [4] to give the following estimate on the additive energy.

Theorem 6 ([4], Theorem 30). *Let A be a multiplicative subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_p^* such that $|A| \ll p^{\frac{2}{3}}$. If $E(A) \ll |A|^{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{p} \log(|A|)$ then*

$$E(A) \ll |A|^{\frac{4}{3}} |2A|^{\frac{2}{3}} \log(|A|).$$

In addition, using different methods he proved an energy estimate independent of the size of the sumset.

Theorem 7 ([4], Theorem 34). *Let A be a multiplicative subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_p^* such that $|A| \ll p^{\frac{2}{3}}$. Then*

$$E(A) \ll \max\{|A|^{\frac{22}{9}} \log(|A|), |A|^3 p^{-\frac{1}{3}} \log^{\frac{4}{3}}(|A|)\}.$$

Combining Theorem 6 and Theorem 7 and applying the trivial estimate $|2A| \geq |A|^4 E^{-1}(A)$ gives the following sumset estimate.

Theorem 8. *Let A be a multiplicative subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_p^* such that $|A| \ll p^{\frac{2}{3}}$. Then*

$$|2A| \gg \begin{cases} |A|^{\frac{8}{5}} \log^{-\frac{3}{5}}(|A|), & \text{if } |A| \ll p^{\frac{9}{17}}; \\ |A|^{\frac{14}{9}} \log^{-1}(|A|), & \text{if } |A| \ll p^{\frac{3}{5}} \log^{\frac{3}{5}}(|A|); \\ |A| p^{\frac{1}{3}} \log^{-\frac{4}{3}}(|A|), & \text{if } |A| \gg p^{\frac{3}{5}} \log^{\frac{3}{5}}(|A|). \end{cases}$$

Here we give the following energy estimate.

Theorem 9. *Let A be a multiplicative subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_p^* such that $|A| \ll p^{\frac{2}{3}}$. Then*

$$E(A) \ll \max\{|A|^{\frac{4}{3}} |2A|^{\frac{2}{3}} \log^{\frac{1}{2}}(|A|), |A| |2A|^2 p^{-1} \log(|A|)\}.$$

This allows us to improve Shkredov's sumset result in some ranges.

Theorem 10. *Let A be a multiplicative subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_p^* such that $|A| \ll p^{\frac{2}{3}}$. Then*

$$|2A| \gg \begin{cases} |A|^{\frac{8}{5}} \log^{-\frac{3}{10}}(|A|), & \text{if } |A| \ll p^{\frac{5}{9}} \log^{-\frac{1}{18}}(|A|); \\ |A| p^{\frac{1}{3}} \log^{-\frac{1}{3}}(|A|), & \text{if } |A| \gg p^{\frac{5}{9}} \log^{-\frac{1}{18}}(|A|). \end{cases}$$

Using, Plancherel or orthogonality one can very quickly prove that for a multiplicative subgroups A , $\Phi_A \ll \sqrt{p}$ for $|A| \gg p^{\frac{1}{2}}$. This is only non-trivial when $|A| > p^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Shparlinski ([3]) improved this result in some ranges with the bound $\Phi_A \ll |A|^{\frac{7}{12}} p^{\frac{1}{6}}$ for $p^{\frac{2}{5}} \ll |A| \ll p^{\frac{4}{7}}$. Heath-Brown and Konyagin used the energy estimate of Theorem 2 to obtain the following improvement.

Theorem 11. *Let A be a multiplicative subgroup. Then,*

$$\Phi_A \ll \begin{cases} \sqrt{p}, & \text{if } p^{\frac{2}{3}} \ll |A| \leq p; \\ p^{\frac{1}{4}} |A|^{-\frac{1}{4}} E^{\frac{1}{4}}(A) \ll p^{\frac{1}{4}} |A|^{\frac{3}{8}}, & \text{if } p^{\frac{1}{2}} \ll |A| \ll p^{\frac{2}{3}}; \\ p^{\frac{1}{8}} E^{\frac{1}{4}}(A) \ll p^{\frac{1}{8}} |A|^{\frac{5}{8}}, & \text{if } p^{\frac{1}{3}} \ll |A| \ll p^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{cases}$$

Using Shkredov's energy estimate, then one may improve this result in some ranges in the case that the sumset is small. Let $|A| \ll p^{\frac{1}{2}}$ then,

$$\Phi_A \ll p^{\frac{1}{8}} |A|^{\frac{1}{3}} |2A|^{\frac{1}{6}} \log^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Using the same methods used to prove Lemma 4 one may obtain $E_{3/2}(A) \ll |A|^{\frac{9}{4}}$. In the case that the sumset is small we are able to significantly improve this bound.

Lemma 12. *Let A be a multiplicative subgroup with $|A| \ll p^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then*

$$E_{3/2}(A) \ll |A|^{\frac{1}{2}} |2A| \log^{\frac{7}{4}} |A|.$$

This Lemma allows us to obtain the following exponential sum bound which is an improvement of the result of Shkredov as long as $|2A| \ll |A|^{\frac{7}{4}}$.

Lemma 13. *Let A be a multiplicative subgroup with $|A| \ll p^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then*

$$\Phi_A \ll p^{\frac{1}{8}} |A|^{-\frac{1}{8}} |2A|^{\frac{1}{4}} E^{\frac{1}{8}}(|A|) \log^{\frac{7}{16}}(|A|).$$

In particular, applying Theorem 9 we have

$$\Phi_A \ll p^{\frac{1}{8}} |A|^{\frac{1}{24}} |2A|^{\frac{1}{3}} \log^{\frac{5}{8}}(|A|).$$

With Lemma 13 in tow, we may now prove our main result.

Theorem 14. *Let A be a multiplicative subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_p^* with $|A| \gg p^{\frac{11}{23}} \log^{\frac{15}{23}}(|A|)$. Then*

$$6A \supseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^*.$$

Proof. Fix a in \mathbb{Z}_p^* . We may assume that $|A| \ll p^{\frac{1}{2}}$ as the result is already known in the range $|A| \gg p^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Let N be the number of solutions to the equation,

$$x_1 + x_2 + y_1 + y_2 = ay_3,$$

with $x_1, x_2 \in 2A$ and $y_1, y_2, y_3 \in A$.

Taking an exponential sum expansion,

$$N = \frac{|2A|^2 |A|^3}{p} + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\lambda \neq 0} \left(\sum_{x \in 2A} e_p(\lambda x) \right)^2 \left(\sum_{y \in A} e_p(\lambda y) \right)^2 \left(\sum_{z \in A} e_p(-\lambda za) \right),$$

which by Plancherel implies that we have that $N > 0$ as long as, $|2A||A|^3 > p\Phi_A^3$.

Applying Theorem gives the condition,

$$|2A||A|^3 \gg p^{\frac{11}{8}} |2A||A|^{\frac{1}{8}} \log^{\frac{15}{8}}(|A|),$$

which in turn gives the condition,

$$|A| \gg p^{\frac{11}{23}} \log^{\frac{15}{23}}(|A|).$$

□

3. A FEW PRELIMINARY LEMMAS

We begin with a lemma of Shkredov and Vyugin [7, Corollary 5.1] which is a generalization of a result of Heath-Brown and Konyagin [2]. We say that a subset $S \neq \{0\}$ is A -invariant if $SA = \{sa : s \in S, a \in A\} = S$, that is S is a union of cosets of A and possibly $\{0\}$.

Lemma 15. (Shkredov and Vyugin [7, Corollary 5.1]) *Let A be a multiplicative subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_p and S_1, S_2, S_3 be A -invariant sets such that $|S_1 \setminus \{0\}||S_2 \setminus \{0\}||S_3 \setminus \{0\}| \ll \min\{|A|^5, p^3|A|^{-1}\}$. Then*

$$\sum_{z \in S_3} (S_1 * S_2)(z) \ll |A|^{-1/3} (|S_1||S_2||S_3|)^{2/3}.$$

Remark 3.1. The above lemma has been modified slightly from its original form in order to allow S_1, S_2, S_3 contain the zero element. One may check that the additional terms in $\sum_{z \in S_3} (S_1 * S_2)(z)$ allowing S_1, S_2 , and to contain the zero element only affect the implied constant.

We can now give slight generalizations of several results of Schoen and Shkredov ([5], [6]).

Lemma 16. *Let $k \gg 1$ and S_1, S_2 be A -invariant sets and let M be any A -invariant subset of the set $\{z : (S_1 * S_2)(z) \geq k\}$. If $|S_1||S_2||M||A| \ll \min\{|A|^6, p^3\}$ then for $r \geq 1, r \neq 3$,*

$$\sum_{z \in M} (S_1 * S_2)^r(z) \ll |S_1|^2 |S_2|^2 |A|^{-1} k^{r-3},$$

and

$$\sum_{z \in M} (S_1 * S_2)^3(z) \ll |S_1|^2 |S_2|^2 |A|^{-1} \log(|S_1|^2 |S_2|^2 |A|^{-2} k^{-3}).$$

Proof. Let $l_i = (S_1 * S_2)(z_i), z_i \neq 0$ where $l_1 \geq l_2 \geq \dots$ are arranged in decreasing order. For each z in the coset $aA = \{aa' : a' \in A\}, a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ note that $(S_1 * S_2)(z) = (S_1 * S_2)(a)$. By the coset $a_i A$ we will mean the coset on which $l_i = (S_1 * S_2)(a_i)$. Let M be any A -invariant subset of the set $\{z : (S_1 * S_2)(z) \geq k\}$ and $M_i = \cup_{j=1}^i a_j A \subseteq M$. From Lemma 15 we have that

$$l_i |A| i \leq \sum_{j=1}^i |A| l_j \leq \sum_{z \in M_i} (S_1 * S_2)(z) \ll i^{2/3} |A|^{1/3} |S_1|^{2/3} |S_2|^{2/3},$$

as long as $i|A||S_1||S_2| \ll |M||S_1||S_2| \ll \min\{|A|^5, p^3|A|^{-1}\}$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{z \in M} (S_1 * S_2)^r(z) &\leq |A| \sum_{i \ll |S_1|^3 |S_2|^3 |A|^{-2k-3}} l_i^r \\ &\ll |A| \sum_{i \ll |S_1|^2 |S_2|^2 |A|^{-2k-3}} \left(i^{-\frac{1}{3}} |A|^{-\frac{2}{3}} |S_1|^{2/3} |S_2|^{2/3} \right)^r. \end{aligned}$$

□

4. ADDITIVE ENERGY BOUND: PROOF OF THEOREM 9

We may assume that $E(A) \gg \max\{|A|^{4/3} |2A|^{2/3} \log^{1/2}(|A|), |A| |2A|^2 p^{-1} \log(|A|)\}$. Combining this with the energy estimate from Theorem 2 we may also assume that

$$|2A| \ll \max\{|A|^{7/4} \log^{-3/4}(|A|), |A|^{3/4} p^{1/2} \log^{-1/2}(|A|)\}.$$

Write,

$$E(A) = \sum_s |A_s|^2 \ll \sum_{s \in M_1} |A_s|^2,$$

where $M_1 = \{s : |A_s| \gg k_1 := |A|^{-2} E(A)\}$. Note that we have the trivial estimate $|M_1| \ll |A|^2 k_1^{-1} = |A|^4 E^{-1}(|A|)$. Now by Lemma 5 we have,

$$E(A) = \sum_s |A_s|^2 \ll \frac{E(A)}{|A| \log(A)} \sum_{s \in M_2^c} \frac{|A_s|^2}{|(2A)_s|} + \sum_{s \in M_2} |A_s|^2 \ll \sum_{s \in M_2} |A_s|^2,$$

where $M_2 = \{s : s \in M_1, |(2A)_s| \gg k_2 := |A|^{-1} \log^{-1}(|A|) E(A)\}$.

By Lemma 15 we have that $k_2 |M_2| \ll |A|^{-1/3} |2A|^{4/3} |M_2|^{2/3}$ yielding $|M_2| \ll |2A|^4 |A|^{-1} k_2^{-3}$ as long as $|2A|^2 |M_2| \ll \min\{|A|^5, p^3 |A|^{-1}\}$. In order to see that first condition is satisfied, one may note that $|M_2| \ll |M_1|$ combined with our assumptions on the size of energy and sumset. To show that

$|2A|^2|M_2| \ll p^3|A|^{-1}$ we use an exponential sum expansion,

$$|M_2|k_2 \ll \sum_{s \in M} |(2A)_s| \ll \frac{1}{p} \sum_m \left| \sum_{x \in 2A} e_p(xm) \right|^2 \left(\sum_{x \in M_2} e_p(xm) \right),$$

followed by applying the bound $\max_{m \neq 0} |\sum_{x \in M_2} e_p(xm)| \ll p^{\frac{1}{2}}|M_2|^{\frac{1}{2}}|A|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ to give,

$$|M_2|k_2 \ll \max\{p^{-1}|2A|^2|M_2|, p^{\frac{1}{2}}|2A||M_2|^{\frac{1}{2}}|A|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\}.$$

If the first of these two bounds hold then we have $E(A) \ll |A||2A|^2p^{-1} \log(|A|)$. We may then assume that $|M_2| \ll p|2A|^2|A|^{-1}k_2^{-2}$ which implies that $|2A|^2|M_2| \ll p|2A|^4|A| \log^2(|A|)E^{-2}(A) \ll p^3|A|^{-1}$.

Therefore, for $|A| \ll p^{\frac{2}{3}}$, we have that $|M_2| \ll |2A|^4|A|^{-1}k_2^{-3}$. Using this fact we may again reduce the number of terms,

$$E(A) = \sum_s |A_s|^2 \ll k_3^2|M_2| + \sum_{s \in M_3} |A_s|^2 \ll \sum_{s \in M_3} |A_s|^2,$$

where $M_3 = \{s : s \in M_2, |A_s| \gg k_3 := |2A|^{-2}|A|^{-1} \log^{-\frac{3}{2}}(|A|)E^2(A)\}$.

Finally, applying Lemma 16 we have,

$$E(A) \ll |A|^4|2A|^2 \log^{\frac{3}{2}}(|A|)E^{-2}(|A|),$$

as long as $|A|^2|M_3| \ll |2A|^2|M_2| \ll \min\{|A|^5, p^3|A|^{-1}\}$.

5. $E_{3/2}(A)$: PROOF OF LEMMA 12

Let $l_i = |A_{z_i}|, z_i \neq 0$ where $l_1 \geq l_2 \geq \dots$ are arranged in decreasing order. For each z in the coset $aA = \{aa' : a' \in A\}, a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ note that $|A_z| = |A_a|$. By the coset a_iA we will mean the coset on which $l_i = |A_{a_i}|$. Let M be any A -invariant subset of the set $\{z : |A_z| \geq k\}$ and $M_i = \cup_{j=1}^i a_jA \subseteq M$. Set $k = |2A|^2|A|^{-3}$.

We have that

$$l_i|A|i \leq \sum_{j=1}^i |A|l_j \leq \sum_{z \in M_i} |A_z|.$$

Now

$$\sum_{z \in M_i} |A_z| = \sum_{z \in M_i} \frac{|A_z|}{|(2A)_z|^{\frac{1}{2}}} |(2A)_z|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(\sum_z \frac{|A_z|^2}{|2A_z|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{z \in M_i} |2A_z| \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 5 we have that

$$l_i^2|A|^2i^2 \ll |A| \log(|A|) \sum_{z \in M_i} |2A_z|,$$

Noting that $|M_i| \ll |A|^2k^{-1}$ we have $|M_i||2A|^2 \ll |A|^5$. Therefore we can apply Lemma 15 to give,

$$l_i^2|A|^2i^2 \ll |2A|^{\frac{4}{3}}i^{\frac{2}{3}}|A|^{\frac{4}{3}} \log |A|.$$

Therefore

$$l_i \ll |2A|^{\frac{2}{3}}i^{-\frac{2}{3}}|A|^{-\frac{1}{3}} \log^{\frac{1}{2}} |A|,$$

for $i \ll |A - A||A|^{-1} \leq |A|$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_z |A_z|^{\frac{3}{2}} &\ll k^{\frac{1}{2}}|A|^2 + |A| \sum_{i \ll |A|} |l_i|^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\ll k^{\frac{1}{2}}|A|^2 + |A|^{\frac{1}{2}}|2A| \log^{\frac{7}{4}}(|A|), \end{aligned}$$

giving the desired result.

6. EXPONENTIAL SUM BOUND: PROOF OF LEMMA 13

We begin by expanding the sum below and performing a basic substitution,

$$\begin{aligned} |A| \left| \sum_{x \in A} e_p(\lambda x) \right|^2 &= \sum_{y \in A} \left| \sum_{x \in A} e_p(\lambda y x) \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{x_1, x_2 \in A} \sum_{y \in A} e_p(\lambda y(x_1 - x_2)) = \sum_s |A_s| \sum_{y \in A} e_p(\lambda y s). \end{aligned}$$

Now we may take absolute values and estimate from above,

$$|A|\Phi_A^2 \leq \sum_s |A_s| \left| \sum_{y \in A} e_p(\lambda y s) \right|.$$

Applying Holder we have,

$$|A|\Phi_A^2 \ll \left(\sum_s |A_s|^{\frac{4}{3}} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \left(\sum_s \left| \sum_{y \in A} e_p(\lambda y s) \right|^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}},$$

which by Plancherel gives,

$$(1) \quad |A|\Phi_A^2 \ll \left(\sum_s |A_s|^{\frac{4}{3}} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} p^{\frac{1}{4}} E^{\frac{1}{4}}(A).$$

Now again applying Holder,

$$\sum_s |A_s|^{\frac{4}{3}} = \sum_s |A_s| |A_s|^{\frac{1}{3}} \ll \left(\sum_s |A_s|^{\frac{3}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} |A|^{\frac{2}{3}},$$

and applying Lemma 12,

$$\sum_s |A_s|^{\frac{4}{3}} \ll |A|^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(|A|^{\frac{1}{2}} |2A| \log^{\frac{7}{4}}(|A|) \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \ll |A| |2A|^{\frac{2}{3}} \log^{\frac{7}{6}}(|A|).$$

Putting this estimate into (1) gives the stated result.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. A. Glibichuk, *Combinational properties of sets of residues modulo a prime and the Erdős-Graham problem*, Mat. Zametki 79, no. 3, (2006), 384-395. Translated in Math. Notes 79, no. 3, (2006), 356-365. **1**
- [2] D. R. Heath-Brown and S. V. Konyagin, *New bounds for Gauss sums derived from k th powers, and for Heilbronn's exponential sum*, Q. J. Math. 51 (2000), no. 2, 221-235. **2, 4**
- [3] I. E. Shparlinski, *On Bounds of Gaussian Sums*, Mat. Zametki, 50 (1991), 122130. **3**
- [4] I. D. Shkredov, *Some new inequalities in additive combinatorics*, preprint. **1, 2, 3**
- [5] T. Schoen and I. D. Shkredov, *On a question of Cochrane and Pinner concerning multiplicative subgroups*, arXiv:1008.0723v2, May 27, 2011, 1-10. **1, 2, 5**
- [6] ———, *Higher moments of convolutions*, arXiv:1110.2986v1, Oct. 13, 2011, 1-35. **1, 5**
- [7] I. D. Shkredov and I. V. Vyugin, *On additive shifts of multiplicative subgroups*, arXiv:1102.1172v1, Feb. 6, 2011, 1-18. **1, 4**

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY, MANHATTAN, KS 66506

E-mail address: `dnhart@math.ksu.edu`