

INITIAL COEFFICIENT BOUNDS FOR A GENERAL CLASS OF BI-UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS

H. ORHAN*, N. MAGESH, AND V.K.BALAJI

ABSTRACT. Inspired by the recent works of Srivastava et al. [14], Frasin and Aouf [6] and others [1, 5, 7, 17, 18], we propose to investigate the coefficient estimates for a general class of analytic and bi-univalent functions. Also, we obtain estimates on the coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for functions in this new class. Some interesting remarks, corollaries and applications of the results presented here are also discussed.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$. Further, by \mathcal{S} we shall denote the class of all functions in \mathcal{A} which are univalent in \mathbb{U} .

For two functions f and g , analytic in \mathbb{U} , we say that the function $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ in \mathbb{U} , and write

$$f(z) \prec g(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{U},$$

if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$, analytic in \mathbb{U} , with

$$w(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |w(z)| < 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{U},$$

such that

$$f(z) = g(w(z)), \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

In particular, if the function g is univalent in \mathbb{U} , the above subordination is equivalent to

$$f(0) = g(0) \quad \text{and} \quad f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}).$$

Some of the important and well-investigated subclasses of the univalent function class \mathcal{S} include (for example) the class $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ of starlike functions of order α in \mathbb{U} and the class $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ of convex functions of order α in \mathbb{U} . By definition, we have

$$\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha) := \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and } \Re \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \alpha; z \in \mathbb{U}; 0 \leq \alpha < 1 \right\} \quad (1.2)$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}(\alpha) := \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and } \Re \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > \alpha; z \in \mathbb{U}; 0 \leq \alpha < 1 \right\}. \quad (1.3)$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45.

Key words and phrases. Analytic functions, univalent functions, bi-univalent functions, starlike and convex functions, bi-starlike and bi-convex functions.

*Corresponding author e-mail: orhanhalit607@gmail.com.

It readily follows from the definitions (1.2) and (1.3) that

$$f \in \mathcal{K}(\alpha) \iff zf' \in \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha).$$

Also, let $\mathcal{S}_p^\beta(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{C}_p^\beta(\alpha)$ denote the subclasses of \mathcal{S} consisting functions $f(z)$ which are defined, respectively by

$$\mathcal{S}_p^\beta(\alpha) := \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and } \Re \left(e^{i\beta} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \alpha \cos \beta; z \in \mathbb{U}; 0 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \right\} \quad (1.4)$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_p^\beta(\alpha) := \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and } \Re \left(e^{i\beta} \frac{z(f'(z))'}{f'(z)} \right) > \alpha \cos \beta; z \in \mathbb{U}; 0 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \right\}. \quad (1.5)$$

It is easy to see that

$$f \in \mathcal{C}_p^\beta(\alpha) \iff zf' \in \mathcal{S}_p^\beta(\alpha).$$

It is well known that every function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ has an inverse f^{-1} , defined by

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}$$

and

$$f(f^{-1}(w)) = w, \quad |w| < r_0(f); \quad r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4},$$

where

$$f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3) w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4) w^4 + \dots \quad (1.6)$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be bi-univalent in \mathbb{U} if both $f(z)$ and $f^{-1}(z)$ are univalent in \mathbb{U} . Let Σ denote the class of bi-univalent functions in \mathbb{U} given by (1.1). Examples of functions in the class Σ are

$$\frac{z}{1-z}, \quad -\log(1-z), \quad \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)$$

and so on. However, the familiar Koebe function is not a member of Σ . Other common examples of functions in \mathcal{S} such as

$$z - \frac{z^2}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{z}{1-z^2}$$

are also not members of Σ (see [6, 14]).

In 1967, Lewin [9] investigated the bi-univalent function class Σ and showed that $|a_2| < 1.51$. On the other hand, Brannan and Clunie [2] (see also [3, 4, 15]) and Netanyahu [11] made an attempt to introduce various subclasses of the bi-univalent function class Σ and obtained non-sharp coefficient estimates on the first two coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ of (1.1). But the coefficient problem for each of the following Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $|a_n|$ ($n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\}$; $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$) is still an open problem. Following Brannan and Taha [4], many researchers (see [1, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 13, 14, 17, 18]) have recently introduced and investigated several interesting subclasses of the bi-univalent function class Σ and they have found non-sharp estimates on the first two Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$.

Motivated by the above mentioned works, we define the following subclass of function class Σ .

Definition 1.1. Let $h : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, be a convex univalent function such that $h(0) = 1$ and $h(\bar{z}) = \overline{h(z)}$, for $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $\Re(h(z)) > 0$. A function $f(z)$ given by (1.1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{NP}_{\Sigma}^{\mu, \lambda}(\beta, h)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$f \in \Sigma, \quad e^{i\beta} \left((1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu} + \lambda f'(z) \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu-1} \right) \prec h(z) \cos \beta + i \sin \beta, \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \quad (1.7)$$

and

$$e^{i\beta} \left((1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{g(w)}{w} \right)^{\mu} + \lambda g'(w) \left(\frac{g(w)}{w} \right)^{\mu-1} \right) \prec h(w) \cos \beta + i \sin \beta, \quad w \in \mathbb{U}, \quad (1.8)$$

where $\beta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$, $\lambda \geq 1$, $\mu \geq 0$ and the function g is given by

$$g(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3) w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4) w^4 + \dots \quad (1.9)$$

the extension of f^{-1} to \mathbb{U} .

Remark 1.2. If we set $h(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, in the class $\mathcal{NP}_{\Sigma}^{\mu, \lambda}(\beta, h)$, we have $\mathcal{NP}_{\Sigma}^{\mu, \lambda}(\beta, \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz})$ and defined as

$$f \in \Sigma, \quad e^{i\beta} \left((1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu} + \lambda f'(z) \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu-1} \right) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \cos \beta + i \sin \beta, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}$$

and

$$e^{i\beta} \left((1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{g(w)}{w} \right)^{\mu} + \lambda g'(w) \left(\frac{g(w)}{w} \right)^{\mu-1} \right) \prec \frac{1 + Aw}{1 + Bw} \cos \beta + i \sin \beta, \quad w \in \mathbb{U},$$

where $\beta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$, $\lambda \geq 1$, $\mu \geq 0$ and the function g is given by (1.9).

Remark 1.3. Taking $h(z) = \frac{1+(1-2\alpha)z}{1-z}$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ in the class $\mathcal{NP}_{\Sigma}^{\mu, \lambda}(\beta, h)$, we have $\mathcal{NP}_{\Sigma}^{\mu, \lambda}(\beta, \alpha)$ and $f \in \mathcal{NP}_{\Sigma}^{\mu, \lambda}(\beta, \alpha)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$f \in \Sigma, \quad \Re \left(e^{i\beta} \left((1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu} + \lambda f'(z) \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu-1} \right) \right) > \alpha \cos \beta, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1; \quad z \in \mathbb{U}$$

and

$$\Re \left(e^{i\beta} \left((1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{g(w)}{w} \right)^{\mu} + \lambda g'(w) \left(\frac{g(w)}{w} \right)^{\mu-1} \right) \right) > \alpha \cos \beta, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1; \quad w \in \mathbb{U},$$

where $\beta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$, $\lambda \geq 1$, $\mu \geq 0$ and the function g is given by (1.9).

Remark 1.4. Taking $\lambda = 1$ and $h(z) = \frac{1+(1-2\alpha)z}{1-z}$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ in the class $\mathcal{NP}_{\Sigma}^{\mu, \lambda}(\beta, h)$, we have $\mathcal{NP}_{\Sigma}^{\mu, 1}(\beta, \alpha)$ and $f \in \mathcal{NP}_{\Sigma}^{\mu, 1}(\beta, \alpha)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$f \in \Sigma, \quad \Re \left(e^{i\beta} f'(z) \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu-1} \right) > \alpha \cos \beta, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1; \quad \mu \geq 0; \quad z \in \mathbb{U}$$

and

$$\Re \left(e^{i\beta} g'(w) \left(\frac{g(w)}{w} \right)^{\mu-1} \right) > \alpha \cos \beta, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1; \quad \mu \geq 0; \quad w \in \mathbb{U},$$

where $\beta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ and the function g is given by (1.9).

Remark 1.5. Taking $\mu + 1 = \lambda = 1$ and $h(z) = \frac{1+(1-2\alpha)z}{1-z}$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ in the class $\mathcal{NP}_{\Sigma}^{\mu,\lambda}(\beta, h)$, we have $\mathcal{NP}_{\Sigma}^{0,1}(\beta, \alpha)$ and $f \in \mathcal{NP}_{\Sigma}^{0,1}(\beta, \alpha)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$f \in \Sigma, \Re \left(e^{i\beta} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \alpha \cos \beta, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1; \quad z \in \mathbb{U}$$

and

$$\Re \left(e^{i\beta} \frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} \right) > \alpha \cos \beta, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1; \quad w \in \mathbb{U},$$

where $\beta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ and the function g is given by (1.9).

Remark 1.6. Taking $\mu = 1$ and $h(z) = \frac{1+(1-2\alpha)z}{1-z}$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ in the class $\mathcal{NP}_{\Sigma}^{\mu,\lambda}(\beta, h)$, we have $\mathcal{NP}_{\Sigma}^{1,\lambda}(\beta, \alpha)$ and $f \in \mathcal{NP}_{\Sigma}^{1,\lambda}(\beta, \alpha)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$f \in \Sigma, \Re \left(e^{i\beta} \left((1-\lambda) \frac{f(z)}{z} + \lambda f'(z) \right) \right) > \alpha \cos \beta, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1; \quad \lambda \geq 1; \quad z \in \mathbb{U}$$

and

$$\Re \left(e^{i\beta} \left((1-\lambda) \frac{g(w)}{w} + \lambda g'(w) \right) \right) > \alpha \cos \beta, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1; \quad \lambda \geq 1; \quad w \in \mathbb{U},$$

where $\beta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ and the function g is given by (1.9).

Remark 1.7. Taking $\mu = \lambda = 1$ and $h(z) = \frac{1+(1-2\alpha)z}{1-z}$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ in the class $\mathcal{NP}_{\Sigma}^{\mu,\lambda}(\beta, h)$, we have $\mathcal{NP}_{\Sigma}^{1,1}(\beta, \alpha)$ and $f \in \mathcal{NP}_{\Sigma}^{1,1}(\beta, \alpha)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$f \in \Sigma, \Re (e^{i\beta} f'(z)) > \alpha \cos \beta, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1; \quad z \in \mathbb{U}$$

and

$$\Re (e^{i\beta} g'(w)) > \alpha \cos \beta, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1; \quad w \in \mathbb{U},$$

where $\beta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ and the function g is given by (1.9).

We note that

- (1) $\mathcal{NP}_{\Sigma}^{1,1}(0, \alpha) = \mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^{\alpha}$ (see [14])
- (2) $\mathcal{NP}_{\Sigma}^{1,\lambda}(0, \alpha) = \mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(\alpha, \lambda)$ (see [6])
- (3) $\mathcal{NP}_{\Sigma}^{0,1}(0, \alpha) = \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}(\alpha)$ (see [10])
- (4) $\mathcal{NP}_{\Sigma}^{\mu,1}(0, \alpha) = \mathcal{N}_{\Sigma}^{\mu}(\alpha)$ (see [13])
- (5) $\mathcal{NP}_{\Sigma}^{\mu,\lambda}(0, \alpha) = \mathcal{N}_{\Sigma}^{\mu,\lambda}(\alpha)$ (see [5]).

In order to derive our main result, we have to recall here the following lemma.

Lemma 1.8. [12, 16] *Let the function $\varphi(z)$ given by*

$$\varphi(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}$$

be convex in \mathbb{U} . Suppose also that the function $h(z)$ given by

$$h(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}$$

is holomorphic in \mathbb{U} . If $h(z) \prec \varphi(z)$, $z \in \mathbb{U}$, then $|h_n| \leq |B_1|$, $n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$.

The object of the present paper is to introduce a general new subclass $\mathcal{NP}_{\Sigma}^{\mu,\lambda}(\beta, h)$ of the function class Σ and obtain estimates of the coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for functions in this new class $\mathcal{NP}_{\Sigma}^{\mu,\lambda}(\beta, h)$.

2. COEFFICIENT BOUNDS FOR THE FUNCTION CLASS $\mathcal{NP}_{\Sigma}^{\mu,\lambda}(\beta, h)$

In this section we find the estimates on the coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for functions in the class $\mathcal{NP}_{\Sigma}^{\mu,\lambda}(\beta, h)$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{NP}_{\Sigma}^{\mu,\lambda}(\beta, h)$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $\lambda \geq 1$ and $\mu \geq 0$, then*

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2|B_1| \cos \beta}{(1 + \mu)(2\lambda + \mu)}} \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{2|B_1| \cos \beta}{(2\lambda + \mu)(1 + \mu)}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\beta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$.

Proof. It follows from (1.7) and (1.8) that there exists $p, q \in \mathcal{P}$ such that

$$e^{i\beta} \left((1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu} + \lambda f'(z) \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu-1} \right) = p(z) \cos \beta + i \sin \beta \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$e^{i\beta} \left((1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{g(w)}{w} \right)^{\mu} + \lambda g'(w) \left(\frac{g(w)}{w} \right)^{\mu-1} \right) = p(w) \cos \beta + i \sin \beta, \quad (2.4)$$

where $p(z) \prec h(z)$ and $q(w) \prec h(w)$ have the forms

$$p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + \dots, \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$q(z) = 1 + q_1 w + q_2 w^2 + \dots, \quad w \in \mathbb{U}. \quad (2.6)$$

Equating coefficients in (2.3) and (2.4), we get

$$e^{i\beta} (\lambda + \mu) a_2 = p_1 \cos \beta \quad (2.7)$$

$$e^{i\beta} \left[\frac{a_2^2}{2} (\mu - 1) + a_3 \right] (2\lambda + \mu) = p_2 \cos \beta \quad (2.8)$$

$$- e^{i\beta} (\lambda + \mu) a_2 = q_1 \cos \beta \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$e^{i\beta} \left[(\mu + 3) \frac{a_2^2}{2} - a_3 \right] (2\lambda + \mu) = q_2 \cos \beta. \quad (2.10)$$

From (2.7) and (2.9), we get

$$p_1 = -q_1 \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$2e^{i\beta} (\lambda + \mu)^2 a_2^2 = (p_1^2 + q_1^2) \cos^2 \beta. \quad (2.12)$$

Also, from (2.8) and (2.10), we obtain

$$a_2^2 = \frac{e^{-i\beta} (p_2 + q_2) \cos \beta}{(1 + \mu)(2\lambda + \mu)}. \quad (2.13)$$

Since $p, q \in h(\mathbb{U})$, applying Lemma 1.8, we immediately have

$$|p_m| = \left| \frac{p^{(m)}(0)}{m!} \right| \leq |B_1|, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.14)$$

and

$$|q_m| = \left| \frac{q^{(m)}(0)}{m!} \right| \leq |B_1|, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.15)$$

Applying (2.14), (2.15) and Lemma 1.8 for the coefficients p_1, p_2, q_1 and q_2 , we readily get

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2|B_1| \cos \beta}{(1 + \mu)(2\lambda + \mu)}}.$$

This gives the bound on $|a_2|$ as asserted in (2.1).

Next, in order to find the bound on $|a_3|$, by subtracting (2.10) from (2.8), we get

$$2(a_3 - a_2^2)(2\lambda + \mu) = e^{-i\beta}(p_2 - q_2) \cos \beta. \quad (2.16)$$

It follows from (2.13) and (2.16) that

$$a_3 = \frac{e^{-i\beta} \cos \beta (p_2 + q_2)}{(1 + \mu)(2\lambda + \mu)} + \frac{e^{-i\beta} (p_2 - q_2) \cos \beta}{2(2\lambda + \mu)}. \quad (2.17)$$

Applying (2.14), (2.15) and Lemma 1.8 once again for the coefficients p_1, p_2, q_1 and q_2 , we readily get

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{2|B_1| \cos \beta}{(2\lambda + \mu)(1 + \mu)}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

3. COROLLARIES AND CONSEQUENCES

In view of Remark 1.2, if we set

$$h(z) = \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \quad -1 \leq B < A \leq 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}$$

and

$$h(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z}{1 - z}, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{U},$$

in Theorem 2.1, we can readily deduce Corollaries 3.1 and 3.2, respectively, which we merely state here without proof.

Corollary 3.1. *Let $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{NP}_{\Sigma}^{\mu, \lambda}(\beta, \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz})$, then*

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2(A - B) \cos \beta}{(1 + \mu)(2\lambda + \mu)}} \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{2(A - B) \cos \beta}{(2\lambda + \mu)(1 + \mu)}, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\beta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$, $\mu \geq 0$ and $\lambda \geq 1$.

Corollary 3.2. Let $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{NP}_{\Sigma}^{\mu, \lambda}(\beta, \alpha)$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $\mu \geq 0$ and $\lambda \geq 1$, then

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{4(1-\alpha)\cos\beta}{(1+\mu)(2\lambda+\mu)}} \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{4(1-\alpha)\cos\beta}{(2\lambda+\mu)(1+\mu)}, \quad (3.4)$$

where $\beta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$.

Remark 3.3. When $\beta = 0$ the estimates of the coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ of the Corollary 3.2 are improvement of the estimates obtained in [5, Theorem 3.1].

Corollary 3.4. Let $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{NP}_{\Sigma}^{\mu, 1}(\beta, \alpha)$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $\mu \geq 0$, then

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{4(1-\alpha)\cos\beta}{(1+\mu)(2+\mu)}} \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{4(1-\alpha)\cos\beta}{(2+\mu)(1+\mu)}, \quad (3.6)$$

where $\beta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$.

Corollary 3.5. Let $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{NP}_{\Sigma}^{0, 1}(\beta, \alpha)$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, then

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{2(1-\alpha)\cos\beta} \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq 2(1-\alpha)\cos\beta, \quad (3.8)$$

where $\beta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$.

Remark 3.6. Taking $\beta = 0$ in Corollary 3.5, the estimate (3.7) reduces to $|a_2|$ of [10, Corollary 3.3] and (3.8) is improvement of $|a_3|$ obtained in [10, Corollary 3.3].

Corollary 3.7. Let $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{NP}_{\Sigma}^{1, \lambda}(\beta, \alpha)$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $\lambda \geq 1$, then

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\alpha)\cos\beta}{2\lambda+1}} \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)\cos\beta}{2\lambda+1}, \quad (3.10)$$

where $\beta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$.

Remark 3.8. Taking $\beta = 0$ in Corollary 3.7, the inequality (3.10) improves the estimate of $|a_3|$ in [6, Theorem 3.2].

Corollary 3.9. Let $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{NP}_{\Sigma}^{1, 1}(\beta, \alpha)$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, then

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\alpha)\cos\beta}{3}} \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)\cos\beta}{3}, \quad (3.12)$$

where $\beta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$.

Remark 3.10. For $\beta = 0$ the inequality (3.12) improves the estimate $|a_3|$ of [14, Theorem 2].

REFERENCES

- [1] R. M. Ali, S.K.Lee, V.Ravichandran, S.Supramanian, Coefficient estimates for bi-univalent Ma-Minda starlike and convex functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **25** (2012), no. 3, 344–351.
- [2] D.A. Brannan, J.G. Clunie (Eds.), *Aspects of contemporary complex analysis*, Academic Press, London, 1980.
- [3] D. A. Brannan, J. Clunie and W. E. Kirwan, Coefficient estimates for a class of starlike functions, *Canad. J. Math.* **22** (1970), 476–485.
- [4] D. A. Brannan and T. S. Taha, On some classes of bi-univalent functions, *Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math.* **31** (1986), no. 2, 70–77.
- [5] M.Çağlar, H.Orhan and N.Yağmur, Coefficient bounds for new subclasses of bi-univalent functions, *Filomat*, in press.
- [6] B. A. Frasin and M. K. Aouf, New subclasses of bi-univalent functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **24** (2011), no. 9, 1569–1573.
- [7] S.P.Goyal and P.Goswami, Estimate for initial Maclaurin coefficients of bi-univalent functions for a class defined by fractional derivatives, *J. Egyptian Math. Soc.*, **20** (2012), 179–182.
- [8] T. Hayami and S. Owa, Coefficient bounds for bi-univalent functions, *Pan Amer. Math. J.* **22** (4) (2012), 15–26.
- [9] M. Lewin, On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **18** (1967), 63–68.
- [10] X.-F. Li and A.-P. Wang, Two new subclasses of bi-univalent functions, *Internat. Math. Forum* **7** (2012), 1495–1504.
- [11] E. Netanyahu, The minimal distance of the image boundary from the origin and the second coefficient of a univalent function in $|z| < 1$, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **32** (1969), 100–112.
- [12] W. Rogosinski, On the coefficients of subordinate functions, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (2)* **48** (1943), 48–82.
- [13] S. Sivaprasad Kumar, V.Kumar and V. Ravichandran, Estimates for the initial coefficients of bi-univalent functions, Preprint.
- [14] H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Mishra and P. Gochhayat, Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **23** (2010), no. 10, 1188–1192.
- [15] T.S. Taha, *Topics in univalent function theory*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of London, 1981.
- [16] Q.-H. Xu, H.M. Srivastava, Z. Li, A certain subclass of analytic and close-to-convex functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **24** (2011) 396–401.
- [17] Q.-H. Xu, Y.-C. Gui and H. M. Srivastava, Coefficient estimates for a certain subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **25** (2012), no. 6, 990–994.
- [18] Q.-H. Xu, H.-G. Xiao and H. M. Srivastava, A certain general subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions and associated coefficient estimate problems, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **218** (2012), no. 23, 11461–11465.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, ATATURK UNIVERSITY, 25240 ERZURUM, TURKEY

E-mail address: orhanhalit607@gmail.com; horhan@atauni.edu.tr

POST-GRADUATE AND RESEARCH DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GOVERNMENT ARTS COLLEGE FOR MEN, KRISHNAGIRI 635001, TAMILNADU, INDIA,

E-mail address: nmagi_2000@yahoo.co.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, L.N. GOVT COLLEGE, PONNERI, CHENNAI, TAMILNADU, INDIA

E-mail address: balajilsp@yahoo.co.in