# AN ARZELÀ-ASCOLI THEOREM FOR THE HAUSDORFF MEASURE OF NONCOMPACTNESS

BEN BERCKMOES

ABSTRACT. We generalize the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem in the space of continuous maps on a compact interval with values in Euclidean N-space by providing a quantitative link between the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness in this space and a natural measure of non-uniform equicontinuity. The proof hinges upon a classical result of Jung's on the Chebyshev radius.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULT

Fix  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and let  $\mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{C}([a, b], \mathbb{R}^N)$  be the space of continuous  $\mathbb{R}^N$ -valued maps on the compact interval [a, b]. Let  $|\cdot|$  stand for the Euclidean norm on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and recall that a set  $\mathfrak{F} \subset \mathfrak{C}$  is said to be

- (1) uniformly bounded iff there exists a universal constant M > 0 such that  $|f(x)| \le M$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $x \in [a, b]$ ,
- (2) uniformly relatively compact iff each sequence in  $\mathcal{F}$  contains a subsequence converging uniformly to a map in  $\mathcal{C}$ ,
- (3) uniformly equicontinuous iff for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$ such that  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $x, y \in [a, b]$  with  $|x - y| < \delta$ .

Denote the collection of uniformly bounded sets in  $\mathcal{C}$  as  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{C}}$ . In this setting the following theorem is a classic ([L93]).

**Theorem 1.1.** (Arzelà-Ascoli) For  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{C}}$  the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\mathcal{F}$  is uniformly relatively compact.
- (2)  $\mathfrak{F}$  is uniformly equicontinuous.

Recall that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a Banach space under the supremum norm

$$||f||_{\infty} = \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x)|$$

and that for a set  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{C}}$  the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness ([BG80],[WW96]) is given by

$$\mu_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathcal{F}) = \inf_{\mathcal{F}_0} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \inf_{g \in \mathcal{F}} \|f - g\|_{\infty},$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 46B50.

Key words and phrases. Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, Hausdorff measure of noncompactness, measure of non-uniform equicontinuity, Chebyshev radius.

The author is PhD fellow at the Fund for Scientific Research of Flanders (FWO).

#### BEN BERCKMOES

the first infimum running through all finite sets  $\mathcal{F}_0$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ . It is well known that  $\mathcal{F}$  is uniformly relatively compact if and only if  $\mu_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ .

For a set  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{C}}$  we define the *measure of non-uniform equicontinuity* as

$$\mu_{\mathrm{uec}}(\mathfrak{F}) = \inf_{\delta > 0} \sup_{f \in \mathfrak{F}} \sup_{|x-y| < \delta} \left| f(x) - f(y) \right|,$$

the second supremum running through all  $x, y \in [a, b]$  with  $|x - y| < \delta$ . It is clear that  $\mathcal{F}$  is uniformly equicontinuous if and only if  $\mu_{\text{uec}}(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ . In [BG80] it was shown that  $\mu_{\text{uec}}$  is a measure of noncompactness on the space  $\mathcal{C}$  (Theorem 11.2).

Theorem 1.2, our main result, generalizes Theorem 1.1 by linking  $\mu_{\rm H}$  and  $\mu_{\rm uec}$  quantitatively. The proof is deferred to section 3.

**Theorem 1.2.** (Arzelà-Ascoli for the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness) For  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{B}_{c}$  we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\mu_{\rm uec}(\mathfrak{F}) \le \mu_{\rm H}(\mathfrak{F}) \le \left(\frac{N}{2N+2}\right)^{1/2} \mu_{\rm uec}(\mathfrak{F})$$

In particular, if N = 1, then

$$\mu_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{1}{2}\mu_{\mathrm{uec}}(\mathcal{F}).$$

2. A preliminary result of Jung's

For a bounded set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ , the *diameter* is given by

$$\operatorname{diam}(A) = \sup_{x,y \in A} |x - y|$$

and the Chebyshev radius by

$$r(A) = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} \sup_{y \in A} |x - y|.$$

It is well known that for each bounded set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  there exists a unique  $x_A \in \mathbb{R}^N$  such that

$$\sup_{y \in A} |x_A - y| = r(A).$$

The point  $x_A$  is called the *Chebyshev center of A*. A good exposition of the previous notions in a general normed vector space can be found in [H72], section 33.

Theorem 2.1 provides a relation between the diameter and the Chebyshev radius of a bounded set in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . A beautiful proof can be found in [BW41]. For extensions of the theorem we refer to [A85], [AFS00], [R02] and [NN06].

**Theorem 2.1.** (Jung) For a bounded set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{diam}(A) \le r(A) \le \left(\frac{N}{2N+2}\right)^{1/2}\operatorname{diam}(A).$$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

We first need two simple lemmas on linear interpolation.

For  $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$  we denote the closed ball with center  $c_0$  and radius r as  $B^*(c_0, r)$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** Consider  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$  and assume that  $B^*(c_1, r) \cap B^*(c_2, r) \neq \emptyset$ . Let L be the  $\mathbb{R}^N$ -valued map on the compact interval  $[\alpha, \beta]$  defined by

$$L(x) = \frac{\beta - x}{\beta - \alpha}c_1 + \frac{x - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha}c_2.$$

Then, for all  $x \in [\alpha, \beta]$  and  $y \in B^*(c_1, r) \cap B^*(c_2, r)$ ,  $|L(x) - y| \leq r$ .

*Proof.* The calculation

$$|L(x) - y| = \left| \frac{\beta - x}{\beta - \alpha} (c_1 - y) + \frac{x - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha} (c_2 - y) \right|$$
  
$$\leq \frac{\beta - x}{\beta - \alpha} |c_1 - y| + \frac{x - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha} |c_2 - y|$$
  
$$\leq \frac{\beta - x}{\beta - \alpha} r + \frac{x - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha} r$$
  
$$= r$$

proves the lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.** Consider  $c_1, c_2, y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  and suppose that  $|c_1 - y_1| \leq \epsilon$  and  $|c_2 - y_2| \leq \epsilon$ . Let L and M be the  $\mathbb{R}^N$ -valued maps on the compact interval  $[\alpha, \beta]$  defined by

$$L(x) = \frac{\beta - x}{\beta - \alpha}c_1 + \frac{x - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha}c_2$$

and

$$M(x) = \frac{\beta - x}{\beta - \alpha} y_1 + \frac{x - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha} y_2.$$

Then

$$||L - M||_{\infty} \le \epsilon.$$

1 0

*Proof.* The calculation

$$|L(x) - M(x)| = \left| \frac{\beta - x}{\beta - \alpha} (c_1 - y_1) + \frac{x - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha} (c_2 - y_2) \right|$$
  
$$\leq \frac{\beta - x}{\beta - \alpha} |c_1 - y_1| + \frac{x - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha} |c_2 - y_2|$$
  
$$\leq \frac{\beta - x}{\beta - \alpha} \epsilon + \frac{x - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha} \epsilon$$
  
$$= \epsilon$$

proves the lemma.

*Proof.* (of Theorem 1.2) Let  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{C}}$ .

We first show that

$$\mu_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathfrak{F}) \leq \left(\frac{N}{2N+2}\right)^{1/2} \mu_{\mathrm{uec}}(\mathfrak{F}).$$

Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then,  $\mathcal{F}$  being uniformly bounded, we can take a constant M > 0 such that

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{F}, \forall x \in [a, b] : |f(x)| \le M.$$
(1)

Pick a finite set  $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  for which

$$\forall z \in B^{\star}(0, 3M), \exists y \in Y : |y - z| \le \epsilon.$$
(2)

Now let  $0 < \alpha \leq 2M$  be so that  $\mu_{\text{uec}}(\mathcal{F}) < \alpha$ , i.e. there exists  $\delta > 0$  for which

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{F}, \forall x, y \in [a, b] : |x - y| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(y)| \le \alpha.$$
(3)

Then choose points

$$a = x_0 < x_1 < \ldots < x_{2n} < x_{2n+1} = b_1$$

put

$$I_0 = [0, x_2[, I_k = ]x_{2k-1}, x_{2k+2}[ \text{ if } k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}, I_n = ]x_{2n-1}, x_{2n+1}]$$

and assume that we have made this choice such that

$$\forall k \in \{0, \dots, n\} : \operatorname{diam}(I_k) < \delta.$$
(4)

Furthermore, for each  $(y_0, \ldots, y_{2n+1}) \in Y^{2n+2}$ , let  $L_{(y_0, \ldots, y_{2n+1})}$  be the  $\mathbb{R}^N$ -valued map on [a, b] defined by

$$L_{(y_0,\dots,y_{2n+1})}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x_1-x}{x_1-x_0}y_0 + \frac{x-x_0}{x_1-x_0}y_1 & \text{if} & x \in [x_0, x_1] \\ \vdots \\ \frac{x_{k+1}-x}{x_{k+1}-x_k}y_k + \frac{x-x_k}{x_{k+1}-x_k}y_{k+1} & \text{if} & x \in [x_k, x_{k+1}] \\ \vdots \\ \frac{x_{2n+1}-x}{x_{2n+1}-x_{2n}}y_{2n} + \frac{x-x_{2n}}{x_{2n+1}-x_{2n}}y_{2n+1} & \text{if} & x \in [x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}] \end{cases}$$

and put

$$\mathfrak{F}_0 = \left\{ L_{(y_0, \dots, y_{2n+1})} \mid (y_0, \dots, y_{2n+1}) \in Y^{2n+2} \right\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{F}_0$  is a finite subset of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Now fix  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and let  $c_{f,k}$  stand for the Chebyshev center of  $f(I_k)$  for each  $k \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$ . It follows from (3) and (4) that diam $f(I_k) \leq \alpha$  and thus, by Theorem 2.1,

$$\forall k \in \{0, \dots, n\} : \sup_{x \in I_k} |c_{f,k} - f(x)| \le \left(\frac{N}{2N+2}\right)^{1/2} \alpha.$$
 (5)

4

Let  $\widetilde{f}$  be the  $\mathbb{R}^N\text{-valued}$  map on [a,b] defined by

$$\begin{array}{cccc} c_{f,0} & \text{if} & x \in [x_0, x_1] \\ \frac{x_2 - x}{x_2 - x_1} c_{f,0} + \frac{x - x_1}{x_2 - x_1} c_{f,1} & \text{if} & x \in [x_1, x_2] \\ c_{f,1} & \text{if} & x \in [x_2, x_3[ \\ \frac{x_4 - x}{x_4 - x_3} c_{f,1} + \frac{x - x_3}{x_4 - x_3} c_{f,2} & \text{if} & x \in [x_3, x_4] \end{array}$$

$$\widetilde{f}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x_{2k} - x}{x_{2k} - x_{2k-1}} c_{f,k-1} + \frac{x - x_{2k-1}}{x_{2k} - x_{2k-1}} c_{f,k} & \text{if } x \in [x_{2k-1}, x_{2k}] \\ c_{f,k} & \text{if } x \in [x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}] \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{x_{2k+2}-x}{x_{2k+2}-x_{2k+1}}c_{f,k} + \frac{x-x_{2k+1}}{x_{2k+2}-x_{2k+1}}c_{f,k+1} \quad \text{if} \quad x \in [x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}]$$
  
$$\vdots$$

$$\frac{x_{2n-2}-x}{x_{2n-2}-x_{2n-3}}c_{f,n-2} + \frac{x-x_{2n-3}}{x_{2n-2}-x_{2n-3}}c_{f,n-1} \quad \text{if} \quad x \in [x_{2n-3}, x_{2n-2}] \\
c_{f,n-1} \quad \text{if} \quad x \in [x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}] \\
\frac{x_{2n}-x}{x_{2n}-x_{2n-1}}c_{f,n-1} + \frac{x-x_{2n-1}}{x_{2n}-x_{2n-1}}c_{f,n} \quad \text{if} \quad x \in [x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}] \\
c_{f,n} \quad \text{if} \quad x \in [x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}]$$

Then (5) and Lemma 3.1 learn that

$$\|\widetilde{f} - f\|_{\infty} \le \left(\frac{N}{2N+2}\right)^{1/2} \alpha.$$
(6)

Also, it easily follows from (1) and (5) that  $\|\tilde{f}\|_{\infty} \leq 3M$  and thus (2) allows us to choose  $(y_0, \ldots, y_{2n+1}) \in Y^{2n+2}$  such that

$$\forall k \in \{0, \dots, 2n+1\} : \left| y_k - \widetilde{f}(x_k) \right| \le \epsilon.$$
(7)

Combining (7) and Lemma 3.2 reveals that

$$\|L_{(y_0,\dots,y_{2n+1})} - \widetilde{f}\|_{\infty} \le \epsilon.$$
(8)

But then we have found  $L_{(y_0,\ldots,y_{2n+1})}$  in  $\mathcal{F}_0$  for which, by (6) and (8),

$$||L_{(y_0,\dots,y_{2n+1})} - f||_{\infty} \le \left(\frac{N}{2N+2}\right)^{1/2} \alpha + \epsilon$$

which, by the arbitrariness of  $\epsilon$ , entails that  $\mu_{\rm H}(\mathcal{F}) \leq \left(\frac{N}{2N+2}\right)^{1/2} \alpha$  and thus, by the arbitrariness of  $\alpha$ , the inequality

$$\mu_{\rm H}(\mathcal{F}) \le \left(\frac{N}{2N+2}\right)^{1/2} \mu_{\rm uec}(\mathcal{F})$$

is established.

We now prove that

$$\frac{1}{2}\mu_{\mathrm{uec}}\left(\mathfrak{F}\right)\leq\mu_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathfrak{F}\right).$$

Let  $\alpha > 0$  be so that  $\mu_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathcal{F}) < \alpha$ . Then there exists a finite set  $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathfrak{C}$  such that for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  there exists  $g \in \mathcal{F}_0$  for which  $\|g - f\|_{\infty} \leq \alpha$ .

#### BEN BERCKMOES

Take  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}_0$  is uniformly equicontinuous there exists  $\delta > 0$  so that

$$\forall g \in \mathcal{F}_0, \forall x, y \in [a, b] : |x - y| < \delta \Rightarrow |g(x) - g(y)| \le \epsilon.$$
(9)

Now, for  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , choose  $g \in \mathcal{F}_0$  such that

$$\|g - f\|_{\infty} \le \alpha. \tag{10}$$

Then, for  $x, y \in [a, b]$  with  $|x - y| < \delta$ , we have, by (9) and (10),  $|f(x) - f(y)| \le |f(x) - g(x)| + |g(x) - g(y)| + |g(y) - f(y)| \le 2\alpha + \epsilon$  which, by the arbitrariness of  $\epsilon$  reveals that  $\mu_{\text{uec}}(\mathcal{F}) \le 2\alpha$  and thus, by the arbitrariness of  $\alpha$ , the inequality

$$\frac{1}{2}\mu_{\mathrm{uec}}\left(\mathfrak{F}\right) \leq \mu_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathfrak{F}\right)$$

holds.

### References

- [A85] Amir, D. On Jung's constant and related constants in normed linear spaces. Pacific J. Math. 118 (1985), no. 1, 1–15.
- [AFS00] Appell, J.; Franchetti, C.; Semenov, E. M. Estimates for the Jung constant in Banach lattices. Israel J. Math. 116 (2000).
- [BG80] Banaś, J.; Goebel, K. Measures of noncompactness in Banach spaces. Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 60. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1980.
- [BW41] Blumenthal, L. M.; Wahlin, G. E. On the spherical surface of smallest radius enclosing a bounded subset of n-dimensional euclidean space. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 47, (1941).
- [H72] Holmes, R. B. A course on optimization and best approximation. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 257. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972.
- [L93] Lang, S. Real and functional analysis. Third edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 142. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- [NN06] Nguen-Kkhak, V.; Nguen-Van, K. An infinite-dimensional generalization of Jung's theorem. (Russian) Mat. Zametki 80 (2006), no. 2, 231–239; translation in Math. Notes 80 (2006), no. 1-2, 224–232.
- [R02] Rao, T. S. S. R. K. Chebyshev centres and centrable sets. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 130 (2002), no. 9, 2593–2598.
- [WW96] Wiśnicki, A.; Wośko, J. On relative Hausdorff measures of noncompactness and relative Chebyshev radii in Banach spaces. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 124 (1996), no. 8, 2465–2474.

DEPARTEMENT WISKUNDE-INFORMATICA, MIDDELHEIMCAMPUS, MIDDELHEIM-LAAN 1, 2020 ANTWERP, BELGIUM

E-mail address: ben.berckmoes@ua.ac.be

6