

EXTERIOR DEGREE OF INFINITE GROUPS

RASHID REZAEI AND FRANCESCO G. RUSSO

ABSTRACT. The exterior degree of a finite group has been introduced in [P. Niriomand and R. Rezaei, On the exterior degree of finite groups, Comm. Algebra 39 (2011), 335–343] and the present paper is devoted to study the exterior degree of infinite groups. We find some inequalities of combinatorial nature, which generalize those of the finite case and allow us to get structural restrictions for the whole group.

1. INTRODUCTION

The structure of a finite group may be strongly restricted, once we have information about invariants which are related to the number of elements satisfying a given property. For instance, the property of being commutative has motivated some authors to introduce the so-called *commutativity degree* of a finite group E , defined as the ratio

$$d(E) = \frac{|\{(x, y) \in E \times E \mid [x, y] = 1\}|}{|E|^2} = \frac{1}{|E|^2} \sum_{x \in E} |C_E(x)| = \frac{k(E)}{|E|},$$

where $k(E)$ is the number of the E -conjugacy classes $[x]_E = \{x^g \mid g \in E\}$ that constitute E . There is a wide production on $d(E)$ and its generalizations in the last decades and we recall here [4, 5, 6, 7, 18, 19]. The *exterior degree* of E is a more recent invariant, studied for similar purposes by the second author in [13] and with combinatorial techniques in [9, 14, 16, 17]. The exterior degree of E is defined as

$$d^\wedge(E) = \frac{|\{(x, y) \in E \times E \mid x \wedge y = 1_{E \wedge E}\}|}{|E|^2} = \frac{1}{|E|} \sum_{i=1}^{k(E)} \frac{|C_E^\wedge(x_i)|}{|C_E(x_i)|}, \quad (*)$$

where the last equality is proved in [13, Lemma 2.2], and one of the main results in [13] shows that

$$d^\wedge(E) \leq d(E)$$

so that the commutativity degree and the exterior degree are connected.

Since there is literature for the commutativity degree of infinite groups (see again [5, 6, 7, 18, 19]), it is natural to look for a corresponding treatment of the exterior degree. To the best of our knowledge, this precise point is not available in literature and has motivated us to write the present paper. One of the main difficulties is due to the fact that we need of a meaningful topological structure over infinite groups, which should agree with the discrete topology of the finite case. This forced us to look for projective limits of finite groups, where we have a good theory of measure

Date: November 5, 2021.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20J99; Secondary 20E10, 20P05.

Key words and phrases. Exterior degree, exterior center, centralizers.

and may proceed by analogy with the finite case in a compatible way with respect to the topology which we will consider.

Section 2 is devoted to justify the use of projective limits of finite groups in our context and we will introduce some technical notions which will be helpful in Section 3, where the main results are placed. The terminology and the notations will follow those of [4, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19].

2. PRELIMINARIES

Eick [3] has recently described the low dimensional homology of an *infinite pro- p -group of finite coclass and central exponent $t \geq 1$*

$$C_t = \mathbb{Z}_p^{d_t} \rtimes C_{p^t} = \langle t_1, \dots, t_{d_t} \rangle \rtimes \langle g \rangle = \langle g, t_1, \dots, t_{d_t} \mid g^{p^t} = 1,$$

$$g^{-1}t_1g = t_{d_t}^{-1}, g^{-1}t_i g = t_{i-1}t_{d_t}^{-e_i} \ (1 < i \leq d_t), [t_i, t_j] = 1 \ (1 \leq j < i \leq d_t) \rangle,$$

where p is a prime, $e_i = 1$ if p^{t-1} divides $i-1$, $e_i = 0$ if p^{t-1} does not divide $i-1$, $\mathbb{Z}_p^{d_t}$ is the direct product of $d_t = p^{t-1}(p-1)$ copies of the group $\mathbb{Z}_p$ of p -adic integers, C_{p^t} is a cyclic group of order p^t acting uniserially on $\mathbb{Z}_p^{d_t}$.

The above presentation of C_t can be found in [3, Proof of Theorem 7] (see also [10, §7]). The relevance of C_t is emphasized by [10, Theorem 7.4.12, Corollary 7.4.13], which characterize an arbitrary infinite pro- p -group of finite coclass to be constructed always as C_t .

Before to proceed, it is good to recall some basic notions on pro- p -groups from [10]. On a compact (Hausdorff) group G it is possible to introduce the filter basis

$$\mathcal{P}(G) = \{N = \overline{N} \triangleleft G \mid G/N \text{ is a finite } p\text{-group}\}$$

and G is said to be a pro- p -group if

$$G = \lim_{N \in \mathcal{P}(G)} G/N,$$

that is, if G is a projective limit of finite p -groups (see [10, Definitions 7.1.12, 7.2.1, 7.2.3, 7.2.4]). Here the open subgroups of G are exactly those closed subgroups of p -power index [10, Lemma 7.2.2]. Of course, the topology of G is the unique topology induced by $\mathcal{P}(G)$. The notion of coclass of a pro- p -group can be found in [10, Definition 7.4.1] and is originally due to Leedham-Green and Newman: a finite p -group of order p^n and nilpotency class c has *coclass* r if $n - c = r$; a pro- p -group G has coclass r if there exists some $u \geq 2$ such that $G/\gamma_i(G)$ has coclass r for all $i \geq u$, where $\gamma_i(G)$ denotes the i -th term of the lower central series of G .

From [10], the *rank* of a pro- p -group G is defined by

$$\text{rk}(G) = \sup_{H = \overline{H} \leq G} d(H),$$

where $d(H)$ denotes the minimal number of elements which are necessary to generate topologically H . If G is a torsion-free pro- p -group, then $l = \text{rk}(G) = \text{tf}(G)$ is called *torsion-free rank*. Now we know from [3, p.148] (see also [10, §9]) that the second homology group $H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ (with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_p$) of an infinite pro- p -group G of finite coclass is an abelian pro- p -group of the form $H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p) = T(G) \times F(G)$, where $T(G)$ is a finite p -group and $F(G) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^l$. As usual in these situations, $H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is called *Schur multiplier* of G and the following bound was proved few years ago.

Theorem 2.1 (See [3], Theorem A). *An infinite pro- p -group G of finite coclass and central exponent t has $\text{tf}(H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)) = \frac{1}{2}d_t$ for all $p > 2$ and $t > 1$. In particular, this is true for $G = C_t$.*

The case $p = 2$ of Theorem 2.1 needs to be treated separately (see [3, p.148 and §7]). Some of our main results deal with generalizations of Theorem 2.1 and [11, Theorems 3.2, 3.3].

From [11], the *complete nonabelian tensor square* $G\widehat{\otimes}G$ of a pro- p -group G , which generalizes the *nonabelian tensor square* in [2], is the group topologically generated by the symbols $x\widehat{\otimes}y$, subject to the relations $xy\widehat{\otimes}z = (x^y\widehat{\otimes}z^y)(y\widehat{\otimes}z)$ and $y\widehat{\otimes}tz = (y\widehat{\otimes}z)(y^z\widehat{\otimes}t^z)$ for all $x, y, z, t \in G$, where $x^y = y^{-1}xy$ and so on for z^y, y^z, t^z . It is straightforward to check that $G\widehat{\otimes}G$ is a pro- p -group. The subgroup $\widehat{\nabla}(G) = \langle x \otimes x \mid x \in G \rangle$ is a closed central subgroup of $G\widehat{\otimes}G$ and the quotient group

$$G\widehat{\otimes}G/\widehat{\nabla}(G) = G\widehat{\wedge}G$$

is called *complete nonabelian exterior square* of the pro- p -group G . In analogy with the homological methods which appear in [2, 12], the maps

$$\widehat{\kappa} : x\widehat{\otimes}y \in G\widehat{\otimes}G \mapsto [x, y] \in G' \text{ and } \widehat{\kappa}' : x\widehat{\wedge}y \in G\widehat{\wedge}G \mapsto [x, y] \in G',$$

are epimorphisms of pro- p -groups such that $\ker \widehat{\kappa} \simeq \widehat{\nabla}(G)$ and $\ker \widehat{\kappa}' \simeq H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. We note that $H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is a closed central subgroup of $G\widehat{\wedge}G$. Also

$$\widehat{\varepsilon} : x\widehat{\otimes}y \in G\widehat{\otimes}G \mapsto x\widehat{\wedge}y \in G\widehat{\wedge}G \text{ and } \widehat{\varphi} : x\widehat{\otimes}x \in \widehat{\nabla}(G) \mapsto x\widehat{\wedge}x \in H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

are epimorphisms of pro- p -groups. More details on $\widehat{\kappa}$, $\widehat{\kappa}'$, $\widehat{\varepsilon}$ and $\widehat{\varphi}$ can be found in [11, §2]. In virtue of all we have said, the famous diagram in [2] becomes the following, whose rows are central extensions of pro- p -groups.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\nabla}(G) & \longrightarrow & G\widehat{\otimes}G & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\kappa}} & G' \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \widehat{\varphi} \downarrow & & \widehat{\varepsilon} \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p) & \longrightarrow & G\widehat{\wedge}G & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\kappa}'} & G' \longrightarrow 1 \end{array} \quad (**)$$

We have information on the topology of $G\widehat{\wedge}G$ by the next result.

Theorem 2.2 (See [11], Theorem 2.1). *If $G = \lim_{N \in \mathcal{P}(G)} G/N$ is a pro- p -group, then $G\widehat{\otimes}G = \lim_{(N, M) \in \mathcal{P}(G) \times \mathcal{P}(G)} G/N \otimes G/M$ is a pro- p -group. The same is true for $G\widehat{\wedge}G$.*

Roughly speaking, Theorem 2.2 shows a passage under projective limit for the operator $\widehat{\wedge}$ and ensures a topological structure on $G\widehat{\wedge}G$. This allows us to generalize the *exterior centralizer* $C_E^\wedge(x)$ of an element x of a finite group E and the *exterior center* $Z^\wedge(E)$, recently studied in [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17]. The interest for $C_E^\wedge(x)$ and $Z^\wedge(E)$ is due to the fact that they provide criteria to decide if we have a *capable group* or not (see [1, 12, 13]), that is, if our group is isomorphic or not to the inner automorphism of another group.

Some basic properties, studied in [2, 12] for finite groups, may be adapted to the infinite case in the sense of the next result.

Lemma 2.3. *Let G be a pro- p -group and $x, y, z, t \in G$. Then*

$$(x^{-1}\widehat{\otimes}y)^x = (x\widehat{\otimes}y)^{-1} = (x\widehat{\otimes}y^{-1})^y; \quad (z\widehat{\otimes}t)^{xy} (g\widehat{\otimes}y) = (g\widehat{\otimes}y) (z\widehat{\otimes}t)^{yx};$$

$$\begin{aligned} z\hat{\otimes}(y^x y^{-1}) &= (x\hat{\otimes}y)^z (x\hat{\otimes}y)^{-1}; & (x(x^{-1})^y)\hat{\otimes}t &= (x\hat{\otimes}y) ((x\hat{\otimes}y)^{-1})^t; \\ (z\hat{\otimes}t)^{(x\hat{\otimes}y)} &= (z\hat{\otimes}t)^{[x,y]}; & [x\hat{\otimes}y, z\hat{\otimes}t] &= (x(x^{-1})^y)(t^z t^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

The same rules are true if we replace $\hat{\wedge}$ with $\hat{\otimes}$.

Proof. It is enough to repeat the computations in [2, Propositions 1,2,3], replacing the role of $\otimes$ with that of $\hat{\otimes}$ (resp., $\wedge$ with $\hat{\wedge}$). $\square$

The *complete exterior centralizer* of a pro- p -group G is the set

$$\widehat{C}_G(x) = \{a \in G \mid a\hat{\wedge}x = 1_{G\hat{\wedge}G}\}$$

which turns out to be a subgroup of G , because if $a, b \in \widehat{C}_G(x)$, then the rules in Lemma 2.3 allow us to conclude that

$$ab^{-1}\hat{\wedge}x = (b^{-1}\hat{\wedge}x)^a (a\hat{\wedge}x) = ((b\hat{\wedge}x)^{-1})^{ab^{-1}} (a\hat{\wedge}x) = 1_{G\hat{\wedge}G}$$

so $ab^{-1} \in \widehat{C}_G(x)$. The *complete exterior center* of G is the set

$$\widehat{Z}(G) = \{g \in G \mid 1_{G\hat{\wedge}G} = g\hat{\wedge}y \in G\hat{\wedge}G, \forall y \in G\}$$

and a similar argument shows that it is a subgroup of $G\hat{\wedge}G$. Analogously, $\widehat{C}_G(x)$ is a subgroup of $C_G(x)$ and $\widehat{Z}(G)$ is a subgroup of $Z(G)$.

Of course, if G is a finite group, $\hat{\wedge}$ is exactly the nonabelian exterior square $\wedge$ in [2] and $\hat{\otimes}$ is the nonabelian tensor square in $\otimes$. Then $\widehat{C}_G(x) = C_G^\wedge(x)$ and $\widehat{Z}(G) = Z^\wedge(G)$ so we have a significant approach in order to generalize most of the results in [2, 3, 12].

Lemma 2.4. *Let G be a pro- p -group, A and B two closed subgroups of G such that $A \cap B = \{1\}$, N a closed normal subgroup of G and $x \in G$. Then*

- (i) $\widehat{C}_G(x)$ is a closed normal subgroup of $C_G(x)$;
- (ii) $\widehat{Z}(G) = \bigcap_{g \in G} \widehat{C}_G(g)$ is a closed subgroup of $Z(G)$;
- (iii) $1 \longrightarrow \widehat{C}_G(x) \cap N \longrightarrow \widehat{C}_G(x) \longrightarrow \widehat{C}_{G/N}(xN)$ is a short exact sequence of pro- p -groups;
- (iv) $1 \longrightarrow \widehat{Z}(G) \cap N \longrightarrow \widehat{Z}(G) \longrightarrow \widehat{Z}(G/N)$ is a short exact sequence of pro- p -groups;
- (v) $\widehat{C}_{A \times B}(ab) = \widehat{C}_A(a) \times \widehat{C}_B(b)$ for all $a \in A$ and $b \in B$;
- (vi) $\widehat{Z}(A \times B) = \widehat{Z}(A) \times \widehat{Z}(B)$.

Proof. (i). If $g \in \widehat{C}_G(x)$ and $y \in \widehat{C}_G(x)$, then $g^y \hat{\wedge} x = (g \hat{\wedge} x)^y = 1_{G\hat{\wedge}G}$ thus $\widehat{C}_G(x)$ is normal in $C_G(x)$. The fact that $\widehat{C}_G(x)$ is the stabilizer of a point, under the action of the operator $\hat{\wedge}$, ensures that it is closed.

(ii). The equality of $\widehat{Z}(G)$ with the intersection of the complete exterior centralizers is obvious. It is obvious also that $\widehat{Z}(G)$ is contained in $Z(G)$. Finally, the intersection of closed is closed, then $\widehat{Z}(G)$ is closed in $Z(G)$.

(iii). Consider the natural epimorphism of pro- p -groups

$$\hat{\pi} : g \wedge h \in G\hat{\wedge}G \mapsto gN\hat{\wedge}hN \in G/N\hat{\wedge}G/N.$$

If $y \in \widehat{C}_G(x)$, then $\hat{\pi}(y) = yN \in \widehat{C}_{G/N}(xN)$. On the other hand, if $\hat{\pi}(y) = 1$ for $y \in \widehat{C}_G(x)$, then $y \in N \cap \widehat{C}_G(x)$. The result follows.

(iv). It follows from (ii) and (iii).

- (v). We may overlap [12, Proof of Proposition 2.6], mutatis mutandis.
 (vi). It follows from (ii) and (v). $\square$

Now, we may give a structural result which is related to $H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Proposition 2.5. *Let G be a pro- p -group. $C_G(x)/\widehat{C}_G(x)$ is isomorphic as pro- p -group with a subgroup of $H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$.*

Proof. The map

$$\widehat{\psi} : y \in C_G(x) \mapsto \widehat{\psi}(y) = x \widehat{\wedge} y \in H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

is a homomorphism of pro- p -groups. Note that $H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is a factor group of $\widehat{\nabla}(G)$, which is a closed central subgroup of $G \widehat{\otimes} G$. Furthermore, the elements of $\widehat{\nabla}(G)$ are fixed under the action of G . This allows us to conclude that the elements of $H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ are fixed by the action of G . On the other hand, it is clear that $\ker \widehat{\psi} = \widehat{C}_G(x)$. Then the result follows. $\square$

An interesting consequence is listed below.

Corollary 2.6. *If a pro- p -group G has an element x such that $C_G(x) \neq \widehat{C}_G(x)$, then $H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is nontrivial.*

3. MAIN THEOREMS

The present section illustrates our main results. Firstly, we show an upper bound for $H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, when G is a pro- p -group. This is in harmony with the results in [3, 8, 11].

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a pro- p -group, $\text{rk}(G/\widehat{Z}(G)) = n$, $\text{rk}(H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)) = m$ and $\text{tf}(H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)) = l$. Then $H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is an abelian pro- p -group. Furthermore,*

- (i) *if $H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is finite, then $|Z(G)/\widehat{Z}(G)|$ divides $|H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)|^n$;*
- (ii) *if $H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is infinite, then $\text{rk}(Z(G)/\widehat{Z}(G)) \leq m^n$. In particular, if $H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is torsion-free, then $\text{tf}(Z(G)/\widehat{Z}(G)) \leq l^n$.*

Proof. From (**), $H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is a closed central subgroup of $G \widehat{\wedge} G$ and so it is a closed abelian subgroup of $G \widehat{\wedge} G$. From Theorem 2.2, $G \widehat{\wedge} G$ is a pro- p -group and each closed subgroup of a pro- p -group is again a pro- p -group [10, Lemma 7.2.9 (i)]. Then $H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is an abelian pro- p -group.

Now we proceed to prove (i) and (ii). Assume that $G/\widehat{Z}(G) = \langle \bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n \rangle$ for some elements $\bar{x}_1 = x_1 \widehat{Z}(G), \dots, \bar{x}_n = x_n \widehat{Z}(G)$ of $G/\widehat{Z}(G)$. Define

$$\widehat{\xi} : x \in Z(G) \mapsto (x \widehat{\wedge} x_1, \dots, x \widehat{\wedge} x_n) \in H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)^n.$$

$\widehat{\xi}$ is a homomorphism of pro- p -groups, because for all $x, y \in Z(G)$ we have

$$xy \widehat{\wedge} x_i = (x \widehat{\wedge} x_i) (y \widehat{\wedge} x_i)^x = (x \widehat{\wedge} x_i) (y \widehat{\wedge} x_i)$$

for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

We claim that $\ker \widehat{\xi} = \widehat{Z}(G)$. It is easy to check that $\widehat{Z}(G) \leq \ker \widehat{\xi}$. On the other hand, if $x \in \ker \widehat{\xi}$, then $x \widehat{\wedge} x_i = 1_{G \widehat{\wedge} G}$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. It is enough to show that $x \widehat{\wedge} y = 1_{G \widehat{\wedge} G}$ for every $y \in G$ in order to finish our proof. If $y \in G \setminus \widehat{Z}(G)$, then we may always write $y = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$, where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are integers. Thus,

$$x \widehat{\wedge} y = x \widehat{\wedge} (x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}) = (x \widehat{\wedge} x_1^{\alpha_1}) \dots (x \widehat{\wedge} x_n^{\alpha_n})$$

$$= (x \hat{\wedge} x_1)^{\alpha_1} \dots (x \hat{\wedge} x_n)^{\alpha_n} = 1_{G \hat{\wedge} G}.$$

We have then proved that $\hat{\xi}$ is a monomorphism of pro- p -groups. Then $\hat{\xi}$ allows us to embed $Z(G)/\hat{Z}(G)$ in $H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)^n$. In case (i) this means that, if $H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is finite, then $H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)^n$ is finite and consequently $Z(G)/\hat{Z}(G)$ is finite, subject to the corresponding arithmetic condition in (i). In case (ii) $H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is infinite abelian of finite (topological) rank. Consequently, each closed subgroup of $H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ has the same property. We conclude that (ii) is true. $\square$

Remark 3.2. It is well known that a finite group E is capable if and only if $Z^\wedge(E) = 1$. Then the previous result may be useful to find criteria for the size of $\hat{Z}(G)$ in a pro- p -group G . This aspect has been studied in [1, 12] in the finite case.

In order to generalize to the infinite case the exterior degree, we proceed in the following way. Let G be a pro- p -group. On the pro- p -group $G \times G$, we may consider the *crossing pairing*

$$\hat{f} : (x, y) \in G \times G \mapsto x \hat{\wedge} y \in G \hat{\wedge} G,$$

described in [11, §2], which is continuous and bilinear for all $x, y \in G$. This map $\hat{f}$ appears, for instance, in the *universal property* of $G \hat{\wedge} G$. On the other hand, we know that there exists a unique normalized Haar measure μ on a pro- p -group G (the reader may find also a more general situation in [5, 6, 7, 18, 19]) and this is true also for $G \times G$, when we consider the product measure $\mu \times \mu$. Then

$$C = \hat{f}^{-1}(1_{G \hat{\wedge} G}) = \{(x, y) \in G \times G \mid x \hat{\wedge} y = 1_{G \hat{\wedge} G}\} \subseteq G \times G$$

is a closed subgroup of $G \times G$ and so it is meaningful to define the *exterior degree* of a pro- p -group G as

$$\hat{d}(G) = (\mu \times \mu)(C).$$

In case G is finite and μ is the counting measure on G , we find exactly (*).

The next lemmas show that some methods of [4, 7, 13, 18, 19] can be adapted here. The idea is in fact to relate $\hat{d}(G)$ with $d(G)$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let G be a pro- p -group and $x \in G$. Then*

$$\hat{d}(G) = \int_G \mu(\widehat{C_G}(x)) d\mu(x),$$

where

$$\mu(\widehat{C_G}(x)) = \int_{G \times G} \chi_C(x, y) d\mu(y),$$

and χ_C denotes the characteristic map of C .

Proof. Fubini-Tonelli's Theorem implies:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{d}(G) &= (\mu \times \mu)(C) = \int_{G \times G} \chi_C(d\mu \times d\mu) \\ &= \int_G \left(\int_G \chi_C(x, y) d\mu(x) \right) d\mu(y) = \int_G \mu(\widehat{C_G}(x)) d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Lemma 3.4. *Let H be a closed subgroup of a pro- p -group G and $k \geq 1$. Then*

$$\mu(H) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p^k}, & \text{if } |G : H| = p^k \\ 0, & \text{if } |G : H| = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Proof. It is a well-known fact, which can be found for instance in [7]. $\square$

Lemma 3.5. *A pro- p -group G has $0 \leq \widehat{d}(G) \leq 1$. In particular,*

- (i) $\widehat{d}(G) = 0$ if and only if $|G : \widehat{C}_G(x)| = \infty$ for all but finitely many $x \in G$;
- (ii) $\widehat{d}(G) = 1$ if and only if $\widehat{Z}(G) = G$.

Proof. Since μ is monotone, positive-defined and normalized, $0 = (\mu \times \mu)(\{(1, 1)\}) \leq \widehat{d}(G) = (\mu \times \mu)(C) \leq (\mu \times \mu)(G) = 1$. Now (i) follows easily from Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4. (ii) is clear from the definition of $\widehat{d}(G) = 1$. $\square$

A fundamental difference with the finite case is the following.

Remark 3.6. $\mathbb{Z}_p$ is an infinite abelian pro- p -group topologically generated by 1 element (but not generated by 1 element in the abstract sense) such that $\widehat{Z}(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathbb{Z}_p$, $\widehat{d}(\mathbb{Z}_p) = 1$ and $H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is trivial.

We may show the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.7. *A pro- p -group G satisfies the following inequality*

$$\widehat{d}(G) \leq d(G) - \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right) \left(\mu(Z(G)) - \mu(\widehat{Z}(G)) \right).$$

Furthermore, if $H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is finite, then

$$\widehat{d}(G) \geq \mu(\widehat{Z}(G)) + \frac{1}{|H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)|} \left(d(G) - \mu(\widehat{Z}(G)) \right).$$

Proof. We begin to prove the upper bound. Let $x \notin \widehat{Z}(G)$. Using Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{d}(G) &= \int_G \mu(\widehat{C}_G(x)) d\mu(x) \\ &= \mu(\widehat{Z}(G)) + \int_{Z(G) - \widehat{Z}(G)} \mu(\widehat{C}_G(x)) d\mu(x) + \int_{G - Z(G)} \mu(\widehat{C}_G(x)) d\mu(x) \end{aligned}$$

but the monotonicity of μ implies $\mu(\widehat{C}_G(x)) \leq \mu(C_G(x))$ and Lemma 3.4 implies $\mu(\widehat{C}_G(x)) = |G : \widehat{C}_G(x)|^{-1} \leq \frac{1}{p}$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \mu(\widehat{Z}(G)) + \frac{1}{p} \left(\mu(Z(G)) - \mu(\widehat{Z}(G)) \right) + \int_{G - Z(G)} \mu(C_G(x)) d\mu(x) \\ &= \mu(\widehat{Z}(G)) + \frac{1}{p} \left(\mu(Z(G)) - \mu(\widehat{Z}(G)) \right) + d(G) - \mu(Z(G)) \\ &= d(G) - \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right) \left(\mu(Z(G)) - \mu(\widehat{Z}(G)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

For the lower bound, Lemmas 3.3, 3.4 and Proposition 2.5 imply

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{d}(G) &= \int_G \mu(\widehat{C}_G(x)) d\mu(x) = \mu(\widehat{Z}(G)) + \int_{G - \widehat{Z}(G)} \frac{\mu(C_G(x))}{|C_G(x) : \widehat{C}_G(x)|} d\mu(x) \\ &\geq \mu(\widehat{Z}(G)) + \frac{1}{|H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)|} \int_{G - \widehat{Z}(G)} \mu(C_G(x)) d\mu(x) \\ &= \mu(\widehat{Z}(G)) + \frac{1}{|H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)|} \left(\int_G \mu(C_G(x)) d\mu(x) - \mu(\widehat{Z}(G)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \mu(\widehat{Z}(G)) + \frac{1}{|H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)|} \left(d(G) - \mu(\widehat{Z}(G)) \right).$$

□

Theorem 3.7 allows us to conclude another interesting inequality.

Corollary 3.8. *A pro- p -group G satisfies always the inequality $\widehat{d}(G) \leq d(G)$. In particular, $\widehat{d}(G) = d(G)$ implies $\widehat{Z}(G) = Z(G)$.*

For instance, $\widehat{d}(G) = d(G)$, whenever $H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is trivial. This happens for the infinite pro-2-group (with $r \geq 1$ arbitrary)

$$D = \langle a, t \mid a^{2^r} = 1, a^{-1}ta = t^{-1} \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_2 \rtimes C_{2^r},$$

described also in [3, §1]. Its exterior degree is computed below.

Example 3.9. It is clear that $Z(D) = \widehat{Z}(D) = 1$. For $i = 0$, $\mu(\widehat{C}_D(t^i)) = 1$; for all $i \neq 0$, $\mu(\widehat{C}_D(t^i)) = 1/2^r$; for all i and $1 \leq j \leq 2^r - 1$, $\mu(\widehat{C}_D(a^j t^i)) = 0$. By Lemma 3.3 we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{d}(D) &= \mu(\widehat{Z}(D)) + \int_{\langle t \rangle - \widehat{Z}(D)} \mu(\widehat{C}_D(x)) d\mu(x) + \int_{D - \langle t \rangle} \mu(\widehat{C}_D(x)) d\mu(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^r} \mu(\langle t \rangle - \{1\}) = \frac{1}{2^r} \mu(\langle t \rangle) = \frac{1}{4^r}. \end{aligned}$$

The importance of the condition $\widehat{d}(G) = d(G) = d^\wedge(G)$ is illustrated in [13] in finite case. The following result is an application of Theorem 3.7 and, at the same time, is a generalization of the corresponding results of [13] to the infinite case.

Corollary 3.10. *Assume that G is a pro- p -group.*

- (i) *If G is abelian of $\text{rk}(G) > 1$, then $\widehat{d}(G) \leq \frac{p^2+p-1}{p^3}$ and the equality holds if and only if $G/\widehat{Z}(G) \simeq C_p \times C_p$.*
- (ii) *If G is nonabelian and $\widehat{Z}(G) \neq Z(G)$, then $\widehat{d}(G) \leq \frac{p^3+p-1}{p^4}$.*

Proof. (i). Abelian pro- p -groups of $\text{rk}(G) = 1$ are procyclic pro- p -groups and they are either isomorphic to C_{p^k} (for some $k \geq 1$) or to $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Both of them have $\widehat{Z}(G) = Z(G) = G$ by Lemma 3.5. Let $\widehat{Z}(G) \neq Z(G) = G$ and $d(G) = 1$. For all $x \notin \widehat{Z}(G)$, arguing as in Theorem 3.7, we find that $|G : \widehat{C}_G(x)| \geq p$, $|\widehat{C}_G(x) : \widehat{Z}(G)| \geq p$ and $\mu(\widehat{Z}(G)) \leq 1/p^2$. From Theorem 3.7, we have

$$\widehat{d}(G) \leq 1 - \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2} \right) = \frac{p^2+p-1}{p^3}.$$

Now assume $G/\widehat{Z}(G) \simeq C_p \times C_p$. From Lemma 3.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{d}(G) &= \int_G \mu(\widehat{C}_G(x)) d\mu(x) = \mu(\widehat{Z}(G)) + \int_{G - \widehat{Z}(G)} \mu(\widehat{C}_G(x)) d\mu(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} + \mu(\widehat{Z}(G)) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) = \frac{p^2+p-1}{p^3}. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely,

$$\frac{p^2+p-1}{p^3} = \widehat{d}(G) = \int_G \mu(\widehat{C}_G(x)) d\mu(x) \leq \frac{1}{p} + \mu(\widehat{Z}(G)) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)$$

implies $\mu(\widehat{Z}(G)) \geq 1/p^2$ and then $\mu(\widehat{Z}(G)) = 1/p^2$. We conclude necessarily that $G/\widehat{Z}(G) \cong C_p \times C_p$.

(ii). Assume that G is nonabelian and $\widehat{Z}(G) \neq Z(G)$. Then we may argue as (i) above, getting $d(G) \leq (p^2 + p - 1)/p^3$, $\mu(G) \leq 1/p^2$ and $\mu(\widehat{Z}(G)) \leq 1/p^3$. Again an application of Theorem 3.7 allows us to conclude the proof. $\square$

We end with two theorems which describe the exterior degree for quotients and direct products. The first case is the following.

Theorem 3.11. *Let N be a closed normal subgroup of a pro- p -group G . Then*

$$\widehat{d}(G) \leq \widehat{d}(G/N)$$

and the equality holds if $N \leq \widehat{Z}(G)$.

Proof. Assume that λ , μ and ν are corresponding Haar measure of N , G and G/N respectively. The Extended Weil's Formula (see for instance [19, Equation (*), p. 126]), implies

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{d}(G) &= \int_G \mu(\widehat{C}_G(x)) d\mu(x) \leq \int_G \mu(\widehat{C}_G(x)N) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_{\frac{G}{N}} \int_N \mu(\widehat{C}_G(xn)N) d\lambda(n) d\nu(xN). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, one can see without difficulties that

$$\mu(\widehat{C}_G(xn)N) = \nu(\widehat{C}_G(xn)N/N) \leq \nu(\widehat{C}_{G/N}(xN))$$

therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{d}(G) &\leq \int_{\frac{G}{N}} \int_N \nu(\widehat{C}_{G/N}(xN)) d\lambda(n) d\nu(xN) \\ &= \int_{\frac{G}{N}} \nu(\widehat{C}_{G/N}(xN)) \left(\int_N d\lambda(n) \right) d\nu(xN) = \int_{\frac{G}{N}} \nu(\widehat{C}_{G/N}(xN)) d\nu(xN) \\ &= \widehat{d}(G/N). \end{aligned}$$

Now assume that N is contained in $\widehat{Z}(G)$, then the canonical map $G \widehat{\wedge} G \rightarrow G/N \widehat{\wedge} G/N$ will be an isomorphism. Therefore $\widehat{C}_G(xn)N/N = \widehat{C}_{G/N}(xN)$ for all $x \in G$ and all inequalities should be changed into equalities and so $\widehat{d}(G) = \widehat{d}(G/N)$. $\square$

The second case describes a theorem of splitting of probabilities.

Theorem 3.12. *Let G be a pro- p -group and H be a pro- q -group for two different primes p and q . Then*

$$\widehat{d}(G \times H) = \widehat{d}(G) \widehat{d}(H).$$

Proof. Assume that μ and ν are the Haar measure on G and H respectively. Then $\lambda = \mu \times \nu$ will be the Haar measure on $G \times H$. Forevermore, for all $(x, y) \in G \times H$, we have $\widehat{C}_{G \times H}((x, y)) = \widehat{C}_G(x) \times \widehat{C}_H(y)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{d}(G \times H) &= \int_{G \times H} \lambda(\widehat{C}_{G \times H}((x, y))) d\lambda((x, y)) \\ &= \int_G \int_H \mu(\widehat{C}_G(x)) \nu(\widehat{C}_H(y)) d\mu(x) d\nu(y) \end{aligned}$$

$$\int_G \mu(\widehat{C_G}(x)) d\mu(x) \int_H \nu(\widehat{C_H}(y)) d\nu(y) = \widehat{d}(G) \widehat{d}(H).$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] F.R. Beyl, U. Felgner and P. Schmid, On groups occurring as center factor groups, *J. Algebra* **61** (1979), 161–177.
- [2] R. Brown, D.L. Johnson and E.F. Robertson, Some computations of non-abelian tensor products of groups, *J. Algebra* **111** (1987), 177–202.
- [3] B. Eick, Schur multipliers of infinite pro- p -groups with finite coclass, *Israel J. Math.* **166** (2008), 147–156.
- [4] A. Erfanian, P. Lescot and R. Rezaei, On the relative commutativity degree of a subgroup of a finite group, *Comm. Algebra* **35** (2007), 4183–4197.
- [5] A. Erfanian and F.G. Russo, Probability of mutually commuting n -tuples in some classes of compact groups, *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.* **24** (2008), 27–37.
- [6] A. Erfanian and F.G. Russo, *Miscellaneous Topics in Group Theory: Mashhad–Naples, 2005–2008*, Aracne, 2009, Rome.
- [7] A. Erfanian and R. Rezaei, On the commutativity degree of compact groups, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **93** (2009), 345–356.
- [8] A. Erfanian, F.G. Russo and N.H. Sarmin, Some considerations on the nonabelian tensor square of crystallographic groups, *Asian–Eur. J. Math.* **4** (2011), 271–282.
- [9] A. Erfanian, P. Niroomand and R. Rezaei, *On the multiple exterior degree of finite groups*, eprint, Cornell University Library, arXiv:1108.1303, 2011.
- [10] C.R. Leedham–Green and S. McKay, *The Structure of Groups of Prime Power Order*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002.
- [11] P. Moravec, On the Schur multipliers of finite p -groups of given coclass, *Israel J. Math.* **185** (2011), 189–205.
- [12] P. Niroomand and F.G. Russo, A note on the exterior centralizer, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **93** (2009), 505–512.
- [13] P. Niroomand and R. Rezaei, On the exterior degree of finite groups, *Comm. Algebra* **39** (2011), 335–343.
- [14] P. Niroomand and R. Rezaei, *The exterior degree of a pair of finite groups*, eprint, Cornell University Library, arxiv: 1101.4312, 2011.
- [15] P. Niroomand and M. Parvizi, On the structure of groups whose exterior or tensor square is a p -group, *J. Algebra* **352** (2012), 347–353.
- [16] P. Niroomand, R. Rezaei and F.G. Russo, Commuting powers and exterior degree of finite groups, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **49** (2012), 855–865.
- [17] P. Niroomand, Some results on the exterior degree of extra-special group, *Ars Combinatoria* (2012), to appear.
- [18] R. Rezaei and F.G. Russo, Bounds for the relative n -th nilpotency degree in compact groups, *Asian–Eur. J. Math.* **4** (2011), 495–506.
- [19] R. Rezaei and F.G. Russo, n -th relative nilpotency degree and relative n -isoclinism classes, *Carpathian J. Math.* **27** (2011), 123–130.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MALAYER UNIVERSITY, MALAYER, IRAN
E-mail address: `ras_rezaei@yahoo.com`

DIEETCAM, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PALERMO, VIALE DELLE SCIENZE, EDIFICIO 8,
 90128, PALERMO, ITALY
E-mail address: `francescog.russo@yahoo.com`