

ON THE RELATIVE COHEN-MACAULAY MODULES

MAJID RAHRO ZARGAR

ABSTRACT. Let R be a commutative Noetherian local ring and let \mathfrak{a} be a proper ideal of R . In this paper, as a main result, it is shown that if M is a Gorenstein R -module with $c = \text{ht}_M \mathfrak{a}$, then $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M) = 0$ for all $i \neq c$ is completely encoded in homological properties of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(M)$, in particular in its Bass numbers. Notice that, this result provides a generalization of a result of Hellus and Schenzel which has been proved before, as a main result, in the case where $M = R$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, R is a commutative Noetherian ring, \mathfrak{a} is a proper ideal of R and M is an R -module. For a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R , the residue class field $R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is denoted by $k(\mathfrak{p})$. For each non-negative integer i , let $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$ denotes the i -th local cohomology module of M with respect to \mathfrak{a} ; see [1] for its definition and basic results. A Gorenstein module over a local ring R is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay module of finite injective dimension. This concept was introduced by Sharp in [7] and studied extensively by him and other authors. In the present paper, we will use the concept of relative Cohen-Macaulay modules which is a generalization of the concept of Cohen-Macaulay modules. This kind of modules has been studied in [3] under the title of cohomologically complete intersections and continued in [5]. In [3] Hellus and Schenzel, as a main result, showed that if (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) is a Gorenstein local ring and \mathfrak{a} is an ideal of R with $\text{ht}_R \mathfrak{a} = c$ and $\dim R/\mathfrak{a} = d$ such that R is relative Cohen-Macaulay in $V(\mathfrak{a}) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$, then the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(R) = 0$ for all $i \neq c$, i.e R is relative Cohen-Macaulay with respect to \mathfrak{a} .
- (ii) $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(R)) \cong E_R(k)$ and $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(R)) = 0$ for all $i \neq d$.
- (iii) $\text{Ext}_R^d(k, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(R)) \cong k$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(k, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(R)) = 0$ for all $i \neq d$.
- (iv) $\mu^i(\mathfrak{m}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(R)) = \delta_{di}$.

Moreover, if \mathfrak{a} satisfies the above conditions, it follows that $\hat{R}^{\mathfrak{a}} \cong \text{Hom}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(R), H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(R))$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(R), H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(R)) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$, where $\hat{R}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ denotes the \mathfrak{a} -adic completion of R .

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 13D45; Secondary: 13C14.

Key words and phrases. Local cohomology, Gorenstein module, relative Cohen-Macaulay.

This research was in part supported by a grant from IPM (No. 92130024).

As a main result, in Theorem 2.9, we generalize the above result for a Gorenstein R -module M . Indeed, it is shown that if M is a Gorenstein R -module with $c = \text{ht}_M \mathfrak{a}$ and $d = \dim M/\mathfrak{a}M$ such that M is relative Cohen-Macaulay in $\text{Supp}_R(M/\mathfrak{a}M) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$, then the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M) = 0$ for all $i \neq c$, i.e M is relative Cohen-Macaulay with respect to \mathfrak{a} .
- (ii) $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(M)) \cong E_R(k)^{r(M)}$ and $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(M)) = 0$ for all $i \neq d$.
- (iii) $\text{Ext}_R^d(k, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(M)) \cong k^{r(M)}$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(k, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(M)) = 0$ for all $i \neq d$.
- (iv) $\mu^i(\mathfrak{m}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(M)) = r(M)\delta_{di}$.

Moreover, if \mathfrak{a} satisfies the above conditions, it follows that $\text{Hom}_R(M, M) \otimes_R \hat{R}^{\mathfrak{a}} \cong \text{Hom}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(M), H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(M))$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(M), H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(M)) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$, where $r(M)$ denotes the type of M .

2. MAIN RESULTS

Definition 2.1. We say that a finitely generated R -module M is *relative Cohen Macaulay with respect to \mathfrak{a}* if there is precisely one non-vanishing local cohomology module of M with respect to \mathfrak{a} . Clearly this is the case if and only if $\text{grade}(\mathfrak{a}, M) = \text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M)$, where $\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M)$ denotes the cohomological dimension of M with respect to \mathfrak{a} , which is the largest integer i for which $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M) \neq 0$. Observe that the notion of relative Cohen-Macaulay module is connected with the notion of cohomologically complete intersection ideal which has been studied in [3].

Remark 2.2. Let M be a relative Cohen-Macaulay module with respect to \mathfrak{a} and let $\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M) = n$. Then, in view of [1, Theorems 6.1.4, 4.2.1, 4.3.2], it is easy to see that $\text{Supp} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M) = \text{Supp}(M/\mathfrak{a}M)$ and $\text{ht}_M \mathfrak{a} = \text{grade}(\mathfrak{a}, M)$, where $\text{ht}_M \mathfrak{a} = \inf \{ \dim_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M/\mathfrak{a}M) \}$.

Definition 2.3. (See [7, Theorem 3.11].) A non-zero finitely generated module M over a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) is said to be a Gorenstein R -module if the following equalities hold true

$$\text{depth } M = \dim M = \text{id}_R M = \dim R.$$

Definition 2.4. For any prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R , the i -th Bass number of M with respect to \mathfrak{p} is defined by $\mu^i(\mathfrak{p}, M) := \text{vdim}_{k(\mathfrak{p})} \text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(k(\mathfrak{p}), M_{\mathfrak{p}})$. If (R, \mathfrak{m}) is local and M is finitely generated of depth t , then the number $\mu^t(\mathfrak{m}, M)$ is called type of M and is denoted by $r(M)$.

Let M be a non-zero finitely generated module over a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) and let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of R . Let $E_R(M)$ be a minimal injective resolution for M . It is a well-known fact that $E_R(M)^i = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)} \mu^i(\mathfrak{p}, M) E_R(R/\mathfrak{p})$, where $E_R(R/\mathfrak{p})$ denotes the injective hull of R/\mathfrak{p} . Now, let $c = \text{grade}(\mathfrak{a}, M)$. Then, $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(E_R(M)^i) = 0$ for all

$i < c$. Therefore $H_a^c(M) = \ker(\Gamma_a(E_R(M))^c \rightarrow \Gamma_a(E_R(M))^{c+1})$. This observation provides an embedding $0 \rightarrow H_a^c(M)[-c] \rightarrow \Gamma_a(E_R(M))$ of complexes of R -modules where $H_a^c(M)[-c]$ is considered as a complex concentrated in homological degree zero.

Definition 2.5. (See [4, Definition 4.1].) The cokernel of the embedding

$$0 \rightarrow H_a^c(M)[-c] \rightarrow \Gamma_a(E_R(M))$$

is denoted by $C_M(\mathfrak{a})$ and is called the truncation complex. Therefore, there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_a^c(M)[-c] \rightarrow \Gamma_a(E_R(M)) \rightarrow C_M(\mathfrak{a}) \rightarrow 0$$

of complexes of R -modules. We observe that $H^i(C_M(\mathfrak{a})) \cong H_a^i(M)$ for all $i > c$ while $H^i(C_M(\mathfrak{a})) = 0$ for all $i \leq c$.

The following proposition is of assistance in the proof of the main result.

Proposition 2.6. *Assume that M is a Gorenstein module over a local ring R . Then, with the previous notation the following statements are true.*

(i) There is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^0(C_M(\mathfrak{a}), M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, M) \otimes_R \hat{R}^{\mathfrak{a}} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^c(H_a^c(M), M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(C_M(\mathfrak{a}), M) \rightarrow 0.$$

(ii) There are isomorphisms $\text{Ext}_R^{i+c}(H_a^c(M), M) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(C_M(\mathfrak{a}), M)$ for all $i > 0$.

(iii) Suppose that M is relative Cohen-Macaulay with respect to \mathfrak{a} . Then $\text{Ext}_R^c(H_a^c(M), M) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, M) \otimes_R \hat{R}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $\text{Ext}_R^{i+c}(H_a^c(M), M) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$.

Proof. We first notice that, since M is Gorenstein, R is Cohen-Macaulay and \hat{M} is a Gorenstein \hat{R} -module. Now, let $\omega_{\hat{R}}$ be a canonical module of the ring \hat{R} . Then, in view of [2, Exercise 3.3.28], \hat{M} is isomorphic to a direct sum of finitely many copies of $\omega_{\hat{R}}$. Hence, one can use [2, Theorem 3.3.10(c)], [2, Theorem 3.3.4(d)] and the fact that $\text{Ext}_{\hat{R}}^i(\hat{M}, \hat{M}) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(M, M) \otimes_R \hat{R}$ for all i , to see that $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, M) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ and that $\text{Hom}_R(M, M)$ is a flat R -module.

(i): Let E^\bullet be a minimal injective resolution for M . Then, since E^\bullet is a bounded complex of injective R -modules, by applying the functor $\text{Hom}_R(-, E^\bullet)$ on the exact sequence of R -complexes in 2.5 we obtain the following short exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C_M(\mathfrak{a}), E^\bullet) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\Gamma_a(E^\bullet), E^\bullet) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(H_a^c(M), E^\bullet)[c] \rightarrow 0.$$

Now, let $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_n$ be a generating set of the ideal \mathfrak{a} , and let $\check{C}_{\underline{x}}(R)$ be the Čech complex of R with respect to \underline{x} . Then, in view of [6, Theorem 1.1], there exists an isomorphism $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) \simeq \check{C}_{\underline{x}}(R) \otimes_R^{\mathbf{L}} M$ in the derived category. Now, since $\mathrm{Ext}_R^i(M, M) = 0$ for all $i > 0$, we see that $\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, M) \simeq \mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, M)$. Thus, we get the following isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M), M) &\simeq \mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_R((\check{C}_{\underline{x}}(R) \otimes_R^{\mathbf{L}} M), M) \\ &\simeq \mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_R(\check{C}_{\underline{x}}(R), \mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, M)) \\ &\simeq \mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_R(\check{C}_{\underline{x}}(R), \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, M)), \end{aligned}$$

in the derived category. Now, since $\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, M)$ is flat, one can use [6, Theorem 1.1] and the above isomorphisms to deduce that $H^i(\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M), M)) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$ and that $H^0(\mathrm{Hom}_R(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(E^\cdot), E^\cdot)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, M) \otimes_R \hat{R}^{\mathfrak{a}}$. On the other hand, since $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}((E^\cdot)^i) = 0$ for all $i < c = \mathrm{grade}(\mathfrak{a}, M)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_R(N, X) = \mathrm{Hom}_R(N, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(X))$ for any \mathfrak{a} -torsion R -module N and for all R -modules X , one can deduce that $\mathrm{Ext}_R^i(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(M), M) = 0$ for all $i < c$. Therefore, with the aid of the above considerations, the induced long exact cohomology sequence of the above exact sequence of complexes provides the statements (i) and (ii) of the claim.

(iii): Assume that M is relative Cohen-Macaulay with respect to \mathfrak{a} . Then, one can easily check that the complex $C_M^\cdot(\mathfrak{a})$ is exact; and so the complex $\mathrm{Hom}_R(C_M^\cdot(\mathfrak{a}), E^\cdot)$ is also exact. Therefore, $\mathrm{Ext}_R^i(C_M^\cdot(\mathfrak{a}), M) = H^i(\mathrm{Hom}_R(C_M^\cdot(\mathfrak{a}), E^\cdot)) = 0$ for all i . Hence, the assertion follows from (i) and (ii). \square

The following lemma and definition are needed in the proof of the next result.

Lemma 2.7. (See [3, Proposition 4.1].) *Let n be a non-negative integer and let M be an arbitrarily R -module over a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) . Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M) = 0$ for all $i < n$.
- (ii) $\mathrm{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{m}, M) = 0$ for all $i < n$.

Definition 2.8. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring and let \mathfrak{a} be a proper ideal of R . Then, we say that a non-zero R -module M is relative Cohen-Macaulay in $\mathrm{Supp}_R(M/\mathfrak{a}M) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$, whenever $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is relative Cohen-Macaulay with respect to $\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\mathrm{cd}(\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = c$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Supp}_R(M/\mathfrak{a}M) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$, where $c = \mathrm{ht}_M \mathfrak{a}$.

The following theorem extends the main result [3, Theorem 0.1].

Theorem 2.9. *Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) and M a Gorenstein R -module. Suppose that M is relative Cohen-Macaulay in $\mathrm{Supp}_R(M/\mathfrak{a}M) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$. Set $c := \mathrm{ht}_M \mathfrak{a}$ and $d := \dim M/\mathfrak{a}M$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M) = 0$ for all $i \neq c$, i.e M is relative Cohen-Macaulay with respect to \mathfrak{a} .

- (ii) $H_m^d(H_a^c(M)) \cong E_R(k)^{r(M)}$ and $H_m^i(H_a^c(M)) = 0$ for all $i \neq d$.
- (iii) $\text{Ext}_R^d(k, H_a^c(M)) \cong k^{r(M)}$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(k, H_a^c(M)) = 0$ for all $i \neq d$.
- (iv) $\mu^i(\mathfrak{m}, H_a^c(M)) = r(M)\delta_{di}$.

Moreover, if one of the above statements holds, then $\text{Ext}_R^i(H_a^c(M), H_a^c(M)) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$ and $\text{Hom}_R(H_a^c(M), H_a^c(M)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, M) \otimes_R \hat{R}^a$, where \hat{R}^a denotes the \mathfrak{a} -adic completion of R .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): First, we can use [5, Proposition 2.8] and the assumption to see that $H_m^i(H_a^c(M)) \cong H_m^{i+c}(M)$ for all $i \geq 0$. Now, since M is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension n , $H_m^d(H_a^c(M)) \cong H_m^n(M)$ and $H_m^i(H_a^c(M)) = 0$ for all $i \neq d$. On the other hand, in view of [5, Theorem 2.5] and the assumption, $H_m^n(M)$ is an injective R -module. Therefore, one can use [5, Corollary 2.2] to achieve the isomorphism $H_m^n(M) \cong E_R(k)^{r(M)}$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): It follows from Lemma 2.7 that $\text{Ext}_R^i(k, H_a^c(M)) = 0$ for all $i < d$. On the other hand, since $H_m^d(H_a^c(M))$ is injective, one can use [5, Proposition 2.1] to see that $\text{Ext}_R^i(k, H_a^c(M)) = 0$ for all $d < i$ and that $\text{Ext}_R^d(k, H_a^c(M)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(k, H_m^d(H_a^c(M))) \cong k^{r(M)}$, and hence the assertion is done. The implications (iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv) is clear.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii): First, in view of Lemma 2.7, $H_m^i(H_a^c(M)) = 0$ for all $i < d$. Now, since $\dim_R H_a^c(M) \leq \dim_R M/\mathfrak{a}M = d$, one can use the vanishing theorem to see that $H_m^i(H_a^c(M)) = 0$ for all $d < i$. Therefore, [5, Proposition 2.1] implies that $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, H_m^d(H_a^c(M))) \cong k^{r(M)}$, and so it is an Artinian R -module. Hence, by [1, Theorem 7.1.2], $H_m^d(H_a^c(M))$ is Artinian. Thus, one can use [5, Corollary 2.2] to see that $\mu^1(\mathfrak{m}, H_m^d(H_a^c(M))) = \mu^{d+1}(\mathfrak{m}, H_a^c(M)) = 0$ and $\mu^0(\mathfrak{m}, H_m^d(H_a^c(M))) = r(M)$. Hence $H_m^d(H_a^c(M))$ is an injective R -module, and so we obtain the isomorphism $H_m^d(H_a^c(M)) \cong E_R(k)^{r(M)}$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Since M is relative Cohen-Macaulay in $\text{Supp}_R(M/\mathfrak{a}M) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$, one can use [5, Proposition 2.8] to deduce that $H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(H_{\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^c(M_{\mathfrak{p}})) \cong H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i+c}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ for all prime ideals \mathfrak{p} in $\text{Supp}_R(M/\mathfrak{a}M) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ and for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand, since M is Gorenstein, one can use [5, Theorem 2.5] and [5, Corollary 2.2] to see that $H_m^n(M) \cong E_R(k)^{r(M)}$. Therefore, the assertion follows from [4, Theorem 4.4]. Next, one can use Proposition 2.6(iii) and [5, Proposition 2.1] to establish the final assertion. \square

Next, we provide an example to show that even if, for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}_R(M/\mathfrak{a}M) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$, $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is relative Cohen-Macaulay with respect to $\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$, but with different cohomological dimensions, then Theorem 2.9 is no longer true.

Example 2.10. Let k be a field and $R = k[[x, y, z]]$. Set $\mathfrak{a} := (xy, xz)$. Then, we have $\text{grade}(\mathfrak{a}, R) = \text{ht } \mathfrak{a} = 1$. Also, one can use Mayer-Vietoris sequence to see that

$\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, R) = 2$ and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^1(R) \cong H_{(x)}^1(R)$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that, for all prime ideals \mathfrak{p} in $V(\mathfrak{a}) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$, $\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{p}} = (x)R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ or $\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{p}} = (y, z)R_{\mathfrak{p}}$; and hence $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is relative Cohen-Macaulay with respect to $\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all prime ideals \mathfrak{p} in $V(\mathfrak{a}) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ with $\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{p}}, R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \in \{1, 2\}$. Next, since $H_{(x)}^i(R) = 0$ for all $i \neq 1$, one can see that $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(H_{(x)}^1(R)) \cong H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i+1}(R)$ for all i . Hence, by using the fact that R is Gorenstein, we have $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^1(R)) \cong E_R(k)$ and $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^1(R)) = 0$ for all $i \neq d$, where $d = \dim R/\mathfrak{a}$.

Next, we single out a certain case of the above theorem for $M = \omega_R$, where ω_R denotes a canonical module of a Cohen-Macaulay ring R .

Corollary 2.11. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local Cohen-Macaulay ring which admits a canonical R -module ω_R and let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of R with $\text{ht}_R \mathfrak{a} = c$ and $\dim R/\mathfrak{a} = d$. Suppose that ω_R is relative Cohen-Macaulay in $V(\mathfrak{a}) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(\omega_R) = 0$ for all $i \neq c$.
- (ii) $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(\omega_R)) \cong E_R(k)$ and $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(\omega_R)) = 0$ for all $i \neq d$.
- (iii) $\text{Ext}_R^d(k, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(\omega_R)) \cong k$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(k, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(\omega_R)) = 0$ for all $i \neq d$.
- (iv) $\mu^i(\mathfrak{m}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(\omega_R)) = \delta_{di}$.

Moreover, if \mathfrak{a} satisfies the above conditions, then $\text{Ext}_R^i(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(\omega_R), H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(\omega_R)) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$ and $\hat{R}^{\mathfrak{a}} \cong \text{Hom}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(\omega_R), H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(\omega_R))$, where $\hat{R}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ denotes the \mathfrak{a} -adic completion of R .

Proof. We first notice that $\text{Supp}(\omega_R/\mathfrak{a}\omega_R) = \text{Supp}(\omega_R) \cap V(\mathfrak{a}) = V(\mathfrak{a})$. Therefore, $\dim(\omega_R/\mathfrak{a}\omega_R) = \dim(R/\mathfrak{a})$ and $\text{ht}_R \mathfrak{a} = \text{ht}_{\omega_R} \mathfrak{a}$. On the other hand, one can use [2, Proposition 3.3.11] to see that ω_R is a Gorenstein R -module of type 1 and that $\text{Hom}_R(\omega_R, \omega_R) \cong R$. Hence, the assertion follows from Theorem 2.9. \square

The following corollary, which is an immediate consequence of Corollary 2.11, has been proved in [3, Theorem 0.1] as a main result.

Corollary 2.12. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local Gorenstein ring and let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of R . Set $\text{ht}_R \mathfrak{a} = c$ and $\dim R/\mathfrak{a} = d$. Suppose that R is relative Cohen-Macaulay in $V(\mathfrak{a}) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(R) = 0$ for all $i \neq c$, i.e R is relative Cohen-Macaulay with respect to \mathfrak{a} .
- (ii) $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(R)) \cong E_R(k)$ and $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(R)) = 0$ for $i \neq d$.
- (iii) $\text{Ext}_R^d(k, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(R)) \cong k$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(k, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(R)) = 0$ for all $i \neq d$.
- (iv) $\mu^i(\mathfrak{m}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(R)) = \delta_{di}$.

Moreover, if one of the above conditions is satisfied, then $\hat{R}^{\mathfrak{a}} \cong \text{Hom}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(R), H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(R))$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(R), H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(R)) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$.

Acknowledgements. I am very grateful to Professor Hossein Zakeri for his kind comments and assistance in the preparation of this paper. Also, the author is grateful to the referee for careful reading and for suggesting several improvements of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. P. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp, *Local cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [2] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [3] M. Hellus and P. Schenzel, On cohomologically complete intersections, *J. Algebra* **320** (10) (2008) 3733-3748.
- [4] M. Hellus and P. Schenzel, Notes on local cohomology and duality, *J. Algebra* **401** (1) (2014) 48-61.
- [5] M. Rahro Zargar and H. Zakeri, On injective and Gorenstein injective dimensions of local cohomology modules, *Algebar. Colloq.*, to appear.
- [6] P. Schenzel, Proregular sequences, local cohomology, and completion, *Math. Scand.* **92** (2) (2003) 161-180.
- [7] R.Y. Sharp, Gorenstein Modules, *Math. Z.* **115** (2) (1970) 117-139.

MAJID RAHRO ZARGAR, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCES (IPM), P.O. BOX: 19395-5746, TEHRAN, IRAN.

E-mail address: `zargar9077@gmail.com`