

HAAGERUP APPROXIMATION PROPERTY FOR QUANTUM REFLECTION GROUPS

FRANÇOIS LEMEUX

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove that the duals of the quantum reflection groups H_N^{s+} have the Haagerup property for all $N \geq 4$ and $s \in [1, \infty)$. We use the canonical arrow $\pi : C(H_N^{s+}) \rightarrow C(S_N^+)$ onto the quantum permutation groups, and we describe how the characters of $C(H_N^{s+})$ behave with respect to this morphism π thanks to the description of the fusion rules binding irreducible corepresentations of $C(H_N^{s+})$ ([4]). This allows us to construct states on the central C^* -algebra $C(H_N^{s+})_0$ generated by the characters of $C(H_N^{s+})$ and to use a fundamental theorem proved by M.Brannan giving a method to construct nets of trace-preserving, normal, unital and completely positive maps on the von Neumann algebra of a compact quantum group \mathbb{G} of Kac type ([6]).

INTRODUCTION

A (classical) discrete group Γ has the Haagerup property if (and only if) there is a net (φ_i) of normalized positive definite functions in $C_0(\Gamma)$ converging pointwise to the constant function 1. There are lots of examples of discrete groups with the Haagerup property: all amenable groups have this property. The free groups F_N are examples of discrete groups with Haagerup property (see [13]) but which are not amenable. Thus, one says that the Haagerup property is a weak form of amenability. This property is also known as a “strong negation” of Kazhdan’s property (T) : the only (classical) discrete groups with both properties are finite. Another weak form of amenability is the weak amenability, see below for examples in the quantum setting. One can find more examples and a more complete approach to the problems and questions related to the Haagerup property, also called “a- T -amenability”, in [9].

The Haagerup property has many interests in various fields of mathematics such as geometry of groups or functional analysis. We can mention e.g. groups with wall space structures (see [10] and [8]) as illustrations of the interest in the Haagerup property with respect to the theory of geometry of groups. In functional analysis, the Haagerup property appears e.g. in questions related to the Baum-Connes conjecture (see [14]) or in Popa’s deformation/rigidity techniques (see [16]).

In [6], a natural definition of the Haagerup property for compact quantum groups \mathbb{G} of Kac type is proposed: \mathbb{G} has the Haagerup approximation property if and only if its associated (finite) von Neumann algebra $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ has the Haagerup property (see also below, Definition 1.1). We use this definition with the slight modification: the dual $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ of \mathbb{G} has the Haagerup property if $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ has the Haagerup property, so that this definition is closer to the classical case where $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is a classical discrete

group. The author of [12] proposes another definition for the Haagerup property of discrete quantum groups: $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ has the Haagerup property if there exists a net (a_i) in $c_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ which converges to 1 pointwise and such that the associated multipliers m_{a_i} are unital and completely positive. These approaches are equivalent in the unimodular case.

In [6] and [7], the author shows that the duals of the compact quantum groups O_N^+ , U_N^+ and S_N^+ , introduced by Wang (see [19] and [20]) have the Haagerup property. In fact, in [7], it is proved that any trace-preserving quantum automorphism group of a finite dimensional C^* -algebra has the Haagerup property. In [12], using some block decompositions and Brannan's proof of the fact that $\widehat{O_N^+}$ has the Haagerup property (precisely that some completely positive multipliers can be found), the author proves that $\widehat{O_N^+}$ is weakly amenable (in fact, it is also proved in [12] that the $\widehat{U_N^+} \subset \mathbb{Z} * \widehat{O_N^+}$ is weakly amenable, and an argument of monoidal equivalence allows to prove, in particular, that $\widehat{S_N^+}$ is weakly amenable too). In [11], a definition of property (T) for discrete quantum groups and some classical properties for discrete groups are generalized, for instance: discrete quantum groups with property (T) are finitely generated and unimodular.

The aim of this paper is to prove that the duals of quantum reflection groups H_N^{s+} , introduced in [1], have the Haagerup property. It is a natural generalization of the case $s = 1$ treated in [7] (since $H_N^{1+} = S_N^+$). However, this generalization is not immediate: as a matter of fact, the sub C^* -algebra generated by the characters is not commutative so that the strategy used in [6] and [7] does not work anymore. However, a fundamental tool of the proof of the main result of our paper is [6, Theorem 3.7].

What motivates our paper is also the fact that quantum reflection groups are free wreath products between \mathbb{Z}_s and S_N^+ (see [5] and Theorem 1.17 below) and the result proved in our paper naturally leads to the following question: is it true that if Γ is a discrete group which has the Haagerup property then $\widehat{\Gamma} \wr_w S_N^+$ has the Haagerup property? One can notice the similarity with the result in [10] concerning (classical) wreath products of discrete groups: If Γ, Γ' are countable discrete groups with the Haagerup property then $\Gamma \wr \Gamma'$ also has the Haagerup property. This similarity is however formal: in our paper we are considering (free) wreath products of groups whose *duals* have the Haagerup property.

Our proof of the fact $\widehat{H_N^{s+}}$ have the Haagerup property relies on the knowledge of the fusion rules of the associated compact quantum group H_N^{s+} , determined in [4]. Indeed, there is no general result about fusion rules for free wreath products of compact quantum groups yet.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In the section 1, we recall the definition of the Haagerup property for compact quantum groups of Kac type and we give the result of Brannan concerning the construction of normal, unital, completely positive and trace-preserving maps on $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ (see Theorem 1.2). We also give a positive answer to a question asked in [22], in the discrete and Kac setting case, concerning symmetric tensors with respect to the coproduct. Then we collect some results on Tchebyshev polynomials: some are already mentioned and used in [6], but we give suitably adapted statements and proofs for our purpose. Thereafter, we recall the definition of quantum reflection groups H_N^{s+} , and we describe their irreducible corepresentations and the fusion rules binding them. We also recall that

at $s = 1$, we get the quantum permutation groups S_N^+ . In section 2, we identify the images of the irreducible characters of $C(H_N^{s+})$ by the canonical morphism onto $C(S_N^+)$. In the section 3, we prove that the duals of the quantum reflection groups H_N^{s+} have the Haagerup approximation property for all $N \geq 4$.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let us first fix some notations. One can refer to [6], [18], [15] and [24] for more details. In this paper, $\mathbb{G} = (C(\mathbb{G}), \Delta)$ will denote a compact quantum group, where $C(\mathbb{G})$ is a full Woronowicz C^* -algebra. Furthermore, every compact quantum group \mathbb{G} considered in this paper is of Kac type (or equivalently, its dual $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is unimodular) that is: the unique Haar state h on $C(\mathbb{G})$, is tracial. (We recall that $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ is defined by $L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) = C_r(\mathbb{G})'' = \pi_h(C(\mathbb{G}))''$, where $(L^2(\mathbb{G}), \pi_h)$ is the GNS construction associated to h).

1.1. Haagerup property for compact quantum groups of Kac type.

Definition 1.1. *The dual $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ of a compact quantum group $\mathbb{G} = (C(\mathbb{G}), \Delta)$ of Kac type has the Haagerup approximation property if the finite von Neumann algebra $(L^\infty(\mathbb{G}), h)$ has the Haagerup approximation property i.e. if there exists a net (ϕ_x) of trace preserving, normal, unital and completely positive maps on $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ such that their unique extensions to $L^2(\mathbb{G})$ are compact operators and (ϕ_x) converges to $id_{L^\infty(\mathbb{G})}$ pointwise in L^2 -norm.*

One essential tool to construct nets of normal, unital, completely positive and trace preserving maps (we will say *NUCP trace preserving maps*) is the next theorem proved in [6]. We will denote by $Irr(\mathbb{G})$ the set indexing the equivalence classes of irreducible corepresentations of a compact quantum group \mathbb{G} and by $Pol(\mathbb{G})$ the linear space spanned by the matrix coefficients of such corepresentations u^α , $\alpha \in Irr(\mathbb{G})$. If $\alpha \in Irr(\mathbb{G})$, let $L_\alpha^2(\mathbb{G}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{G})$ be the subspace spanned by the GNS images of matrix coefficients u_{ij}^α , $i, j \in \{1, \dots, d_\alpha\}$ of the irreducible unitary corepresentation u^α ($d_\alpha = \dim(u_{ij}^\alpha)$) and $p_\alpha : L^2(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow L_\alpha^2(\mathbb{G})$ be the associated orthogonal projection. Then $L^2(\mathbb{G}) = l^2 - \bigoplus_{\alpha \in Irr(\mathbb{G})} L_\alpha^2(\mathbb{G})$. We denote by $C(\mathbb{G})_0 \subset C(\mathbb{G})$ the C^* -algebra generated by the irreducible characters $\chi_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{d_\alpha} u_{ii}^\alpha$ of a compact quantum group \mathbb{G} and $\chi_{\overline{\alpha}}$ the character of the associated conjugate corepresentation $u^{\overline{\alpha}}$.

Theorem 1.2. [6, Theorem 3.7] *Let $\mathbb{G} = (C(\mathbb{G}), \Delta)$ be a compact quantum group of Kac type. Then for any state $\psi \in C(\mathbb{G})_0^*$, the map*

$$T_\psi = \sum_{\alpha \in Irr(\mathbb{G})} \frac{\psi(\chi_{\overline{\alpha}})}{d_\alpha} p_\alpha$$

is a unital contraction on $L^2(\mathbb{G})$ and the restriction of T_ψ to $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ defines a NUCP h -preserving map still denoted T_ψ .

The averaging methods used to prove this theorem allow us to answer, in a restricted setting, a question asked in [22].

Let $\mathbb{G} = (C(\mathbb{G}), \Delta)$ be a compact quantum group. Then consider the C^* -subalgebra $C(\mathbb{G})_{\text{central}} := \{a \in C(\mathbb{G}) : \Delta(a) = \Sigma \circ \Delta(a)\}$ i.e. the C^* -subalgebra of the symmetric tensors in $C(\mathbb{G}) \otimes C(\mathbb{G})$ with respect to Δ (Σ denotes the usual flip map $\Sigma : C(\mathbb{G}) \otimes C(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{G}) \otimes C(\mathbb{G}), a \otimes b \mapsto b \otimes a$). In [22], the author also

defines $Pol(\mathbb{G})_{\text{central}} := \{a \in Pol(\mathbb{G}) : \Delta(a) = \Sigma \circ \Delta(a)\}$. We recall the question asked by Woronowicz (see [22] thereafter Proposition 5.11):

Question 1.3. *Is $Pol(\mathbb{G})_{\text{central}}$ dense in $C(\mathbb{G})_{\text{central}}$ (for the norm of $C(\mathbb{G})$) ?*

Then the answer is yes, at least in the Kac and discrete setting. We simply denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the norm on $C(\mathbb{G})$. It is clear, and proved in [22], that $Pol(\mathbb{G})_{\text{central}} = \text{span}\{\chi_\alpha : \alpha \in Irr(\mathbb{G})\}$ where $\chi_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{d_\alpha} u_{ii}^\alpha$ denotes the character of an irreducible finite dimensional corepresentation (u_{ij}^α) . So the problem reduces to prove that $C(\mathbb{G})_{\text{central}} \subset \overline{\text{span}}^{\|\cdot\|}\{\chi_\alpha : \alpha \in Irr(\mathbb{G})\}$, the other inclusion being clear.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\mathbb{G}_r = (C(\mathbb{G}_r), \Delta_r)$ be a compact quantum group of Kac type with faithful Haar state. Then $\overline{Pol(\mathbb{G}_r)_{\text{central}}}^{\|\cdot\|} = C(\mathbb{G}_r)_{\text{central}}$.*

Proof. We first note that Δ_r preserves the trace in the sense that $(h \otimes h) \circ \Delta_r = h$. As a result the Hilbertian adjoint Δ_r^* , of the L^2 -extension of Δ_r , is well-defined and we have $\|\Delta_r^*(x)\| \leq \|x\|$ for $x \in C(\mathbb{G}_r) \otimes C(\mathbb{G}_r)$ with respect to the operator norms (note that this is particular to the tracial situation). Since Δ_r^* clearly maps the subspace $Pol(\mathbb{G}_r) \otimes Pol(\mathbb{G}_r)$ of $L^2(\mathbb{G}_r) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{G}_r)$ to $Pol(\mathbb{G}_r)$, it also restricts to a contractive map from $C(\mathbb{G}_r) \otimes C(\mathbb{G}_r)$ to $C(\mathbb{G}_r)$, still denoted Δ_r^* . Now we put $E = \Delta_r^* \circ \Sigma \circ \Delta_r : C(\mathbb{G}_r) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{G}_r)$. We have $\|E\| \leq 1$, and for $a \in C(\mathbb{G}_r)_{\text{central}}$, $E(a) = \Delta_r^* \circ \Delta_r(a) = a$ so that $C(\mathbb{G}_r)_{\text{central}} \subset E(C(\mathbb{G}_r))$.

But, on the other hand, for any matrix coefficient of a finite dimensional unitary corepresentations (u_{ij}^α) , we have

$$E(u_{ij}^\alpha) = \Delta_r^* \circ \Sigma \circ \Delta_r(u_{ij}^\alpha) = \Delta_r^* \circ \Sigma \left(\sum_k u_{ik}^\alpha \otimes u_{kj}^\alpha \right) = \Delta_r^* \left(\sum_k u_{kj}^\alpha \otimes u_{ik}^\alpha \right).$$

We compute $\Delta_r^* \left(\sum_k u_{kj}^\alpha \otimes u_{ik}^\alpha \right)$ using the duality pairing induced by the inner product coming from the Haar state h : let $\beta \in Irr(\mathbb{G}_r)$, then for all $1 \leq p, q \leq d_\beta$:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle u_{pq}^\beta, \Delta_r^* \left(\sum_k u_{kj}^\alpha \otimes u_{ik}^\alpha \right) \right\rangle_h &= \sum_{l,k} \left\langle u_{pl}^\beta \otimes u_{lq}^\beta, u_{kj}^\alpha \otimes u_{ik}^\alpha \right\rangle_h = \frac{\delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{ij} \delta_{pq}}{d_\alpha^2} \\ &= \left\langle u_{pq}^\beta, \frac{\delta_{ij}}{d_\alpha} \chi_\alpha \right\rangle_h. \end{aligned}$$

Then summarizing, we have $E(u_{ij}^\alpha) = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{d_\alpha} \chi_\alpha \in Pol(\mathbb{G}_r)_{\text{central}}$, $\|E\| = 1$ and $E|_{Pol(\mathbb{G}_r)_{\text{central}}} = id$. Thus we obtain a conditional expectation $E : C(\mathbb{G}_r) \rightarrow \overline{Pol(\mathbb{G}_r)_{\text{central}}}^{\|\cdot\|} = \overline{\text{span}}^{\|\cdot\|}\{\chi_\alpha : \alpha \in Irr(\mathbb{G}_r)\}$. But we have $C(\mathbb{G}_r)_{\text{central}} \subset E(C(\mathbb{G}_r))$ and the result follows. \square

Notation 1.5. *We will denote by $Pol(\mathbb{G})_0$ and $C(\mathbb{G})_0$ the central $*$ -algebras and C^* -algebras generated by the irreducible characters of a compact quantum group \mathbb{G} .*

1.2. Tchebyshev polynomials.

Definition 1.6. We define a family of polynomials $(A_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ as follows: $A_0 = 1, A_1 = X$ and for all $t \geq 1$

$$(1.1) \quad A_1 A_t = A_{t+1} + A_{t-1}.$$

We call them the dilated Tchebyshev polynomials of second kind.

We will use the following results on Tchebyshev polynomials A_t . The second one is based upon a result proved in [6, Proposition 4.4], but suitably adapted to our purpose.

Proposition 1.7. for all $t, s \geq 1$ we have: $A_t A_s = A_{t+s} + A_{t-1} A_{s-1}$

Proof. This result is easily proved by induction on $t \geq 1$. \square

Proposition 1.8. Let $N \geq 2$. For all $x \in (2, N)$, there exists a constant $c \in (0, 1)$ such that for all integers $t \geq 1$ we have

$$0 < \frac{A_t(x)}{A_t(N)} \leq \left(\frac{x}{N}\right)^{ct}.$$

Proof. First, we follow the proof of [6, Proposition 4.4] and introduce the function $q(x) = \frac{x + \sqrt{x^2 - 4}}{2}$, for $x > 2$. Then an induction and the recursion formula (1.1) for the polynomials A_t show that for all $t \geq 0$, we have

$$A_t(x) = \frac{q(x)^{t+1} - q(x)^{-t-1}}{q(x) - q(x)^{-1}}$$

Then using the same tricks as in [6], we get that for all fixed $x \in (2, N)$ and all $t \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A_t(x)}{A_t(N)} &= \frac{q(x)^{t+1} - q(x)^{-t-1}}{q(N)^{t+1} - q(N)^{-t-1}} \frac{q(N) - q(N)^{-1}}{q(x) - q(x)^{-1}} \\ &= \left(\frac{x}{N}\right)^t \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4}{x^2}}}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4}{N^2}}}\right)^t \frac{1 - q(x)^{-2t-2}}{1 - q(N)^{-2N-2}} \frac{1 - q(N)^{-2}}{1 - q(x)^{-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now notice that the factor $\frac{1 - q(x)^{-2t-2}}{1 - q(N)^{-2N-2}}$ is less than 1 because q is increasing. Furthermore, we have

$$\left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4}{x^2}}}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4}{N^2}}}\right)^t \frac{1 - q(N)^{-2}}{1 - q(x)^{-2}} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

since the last factor does not depend on t and $\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4}{x^2}}}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4}{N^2}}} < 1$. Hence, there exists t_0 such that

$\frac{A_t(x)}{A_t(N)} \leq \left(\frac{x}{N}\right)^t$ for all $t \geq t_0$. It remains to show that there exists $c \in (0, 1)$ such that $\frac{A_t(x)}{A_t(N)} \leq \left(\frac{x}{N}\right)^{ct_0}$ for all $t = 1, \dots, t_0 - 1$, since for all $0 < t < t_0$, $\left(\frac{x}{N}\right)^{ct_0} \leq \left(\frac{x}{N}\right)^{ct}$. To prove that such a c exists, we notice

that $\max \left\{ \frac{A_t(x)}{A_t(N)} : t = 1, \dots, t_0 - 1 \right\} := D < 1$ since the Tchebyshev polynomials are increasing on $(2, +\infty)$. Hence, it is clear that we can find $c > 0$ such that $\left(\frac{x}{N}\right)^{ct_0} \geq D$. \square

Remark 1.9. (1) In [6, Proposition 6.4], the exponent is better (there is no constant c) but there is a constant multiplying $\left(\frac{x}{N}\right)^t$. Our version allows an easy proof of Proposition 3.3 below.

(2) The previous proposition gives information on the behavior of the dilated Tchebyshev polynomials on $(2, +\infty)$: the quotient $\frac{A_t(x)}{A_t(N)}$ has an exponential decay with respect to $t \geq 1$. We will also need some informations on this quotient when $x \in (0, 2)$ and $N = 2$. That is the aim of the next paragraph.

The polynomials A_t are linked to the Tchebyshev polynomials of second kind U_t by the following formula: $\forall t \in \mathbb{N}, x \in [0, 1], A_t(2x) = U_t(x)$. Indeed, we recall (see [17] for more details) that the Tchebyshev polynomials of second kind U_t are defined for all $x \in [-1, 1]$ by

$$(1.2) \quad U_t(x) = \frac{\sin((t+1)\arccos(x))}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} = \frac{\sin((t+1)\theta)}{\sin(\theta)}, \quad \text{with } x = \cos(\theta).$$

In particular, $U_0 = 1$, $U_1(x) = 2x$ and for all $t \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $U_t(1) = t + 1$. Then one can check that for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in [0, 1]$: $2xU_t(x) = U_{t+1}(x) + U_{t-1}(x)$.

Proposition 1.10. Let $x \in (0, 2)$. Then for any integer $t \geq 1$

$$\left| \frac{A_t(x)}{A_t(2)} \right| = \frac{1}{t+1} \left| \frac{\sin((t+1)\theta)}{\sin(\theta)} \right|, \quad \text{with } x = \cos(\theta).$$

In particular, there exists a positive constant $D < 1$ such that $\forall t \geq 1$, $\left| \frac{A_t(x)}{A_t(2)} \right| \leq D$ and $\frac{A_t(x)}{A_t(2)} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. First, by what we recalled above, we can write $\frac{A_t(x)}{A_t(2)} = \frac{U_t(\frac{x}{2})}{U_t(1)} = \frac{U_t(\frac{x}{2})}{t+1}$. Thus, if $x = 2\cos(\theta)$, we have by the relation (1.2) above

$$\left| \frac{A_t(x)}{A_t(2)} \right| = \frac{1}{t+1} \left| \frac{\sin((t+1)\theta)}{\sin(\theta)} \right| \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

On the other hand, on $[0, 1]$, the polynomials $U_t, t \geq 1$ have $t + 1$ as a maximum, only attained in 1. Then, it is clear that for all $t \geq 1$ and $x \in (0, 2)$:

$$0 < \frac{A_t(x)}{A_t(2)} = \frac{U_t(\frac{x}{2})}{t+1} < 1.$$

So the existence of the announced constant D is clear. \square

1.3. Quantum reflection groups. In this subsection, we recall the definition of the quantum reflection groups H_N^{s+} and the particular case of the quantum permutation groups S_N^+ . We also recall that $C(H_N^{s+})$ is the free wreath product of two quantum permutation algebras. In the end of this subsection, we recall the description of the irreducible corepresentations of $C(H_N^{s+})$ together with the fusion rules binding them.

Definition 1.11. [1, Definition 11.3] *Let $N \geq 2, s \geq 1$ be integers. The quantum reflection group H_N^{s+} is the pair $(C(H_N^{s+}), \Delta)$ composed of the universal C^* -algebra generated by N^2 normal elements U_{ij} satisfying the following relations*

- (1) $U = (U_{ij})$ is unitary,
- (2) ${}^tU = (U_{ji})$ is unitary,
- (3) $p_{ij} = U_{ij}U_{ij}^*$ is a projection,
- (4) $U_{ij}^s = p_{ij}$

together with the coproduct $\Delta : C(H_N^{s+}) \rightarrow C(H_N^{s+}) \otimes C(H_N^{s+})$ given by

$$\Delta(U_{ij}) = \sum_k U_{ik} \otimes U_{kj}.$$

Remark 1.12.

- (1) For $s = 1$ we get the quantum permutation group S_N^+ . The definition of S_N^+ thus may be summed up as follows (see also [20]): S_N^+ is the pair $(C(S_N^+), \Delta)$ where
 - (a) $C(S_N^+)$ is the universal C^* -algebra generated by N^2 elements v_{ij} such that the matrix $v = (v_{ij})$ is unitary and $v_{ij} = v_{ij}^* = v_{ij}^2$ (i.e. v is a magic unitary).
 - (b) The coproduct is given by the usual relations making of v a corepresentation (the fundamental one) of $C(S_N^+)$.
- (2) For $s = 2$, we find the hyperoctahedral quantum group, i.e. the easy quantum group H_N^+ studied e.g. in [21].
- (3) There is a morphism $C(H_N^{s+}) \rightarrow C(S_N^+)$ of compact quantum groups: one only has to check that the generators v_{ij} of $C(S_N^+)$, satisfy the relations described in Definition 1.11, which is clear.

Notation 1.13. We will denote by $\pi : C(H_N^{s+}) \rightarrow C(S_N^+)$ the canonical arrow mentioned in the remark above.

Here are the results concerning the irreducible corepresentations of $C(S_N^+)$:

Theorem 1.14. [3, Theorem 4.1] *There is a maximal family $(v^{(t)})_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ of pairwise inequivalent irreducible finite dimensional unitary representations of S_N^+ such that:*

- (1) $v^{(0)} = 1$ and v is equivalent to $1 \oplus v^{(1)}$.
- (2) The conjugate of any $v^{(t)}$ is equivalent to itself that is $\overline{v^{(t)}} \simeq v^{(t)}$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (3) The fusion rules are the same as for $SO(3)$:

$$v^{(s)} \otimes v^{(t)} \simeq \bigoplus_{k=0}^{2 \min(s,t)} v^{(s+t-k)}$$

We denote by $\chi_k = \sum_{i=1}^{d_k} v_{ii}^{(k)}$ the character associated to $v^{(k)}$.

We will need the following proposition, proved in [7]:

Proposition 1.15. *Let χ be the character associated to the fundamental corepresentation v of $C(S_N^+)$. Then, $\chi^* = \chi$ and there is a $*$ -isomorphism $C^*(\chi) = C(S_N^+)_0 = C^*(\chi_t : t \in \mathbb{N}) \simeq C([0, N])$ identifying χ_t to the polynomial defined Π_t by $\Pi_0 = 1, \Pi_1 = X - 1$ and $\forall t \geq 1, \Pi_1 \Pi_t = \Pi_{t+1} + \Pi_t + \Pi_{t-1}$.*

Remark 1.16. (1) *The recursion formula defining the polynomials Π_t is the one satisfied by the irreducible characters χ_t .*
 (2) *The polynomials A_t and Π_t are linked by the formula: $\Pi_t(x) = A_{2t}(\sqrt{x})$.*

Before describing the fusion rules of $C(H_N^{s+})$, we recall that these compact quantum groups are free wreath products:

Theorem 1.17. [4, Theorem 3.4] *Let $N \geq 2$, then we have the following isomorphisms of compact quantum groups:*

- $C(H_N^{s+}) \simeq C(\mathbb{Z}_s) *_w C(S_N^+) = C^*(\mathbb{Z}_s^{*N}) * C(S_N^+) / \langle [z_i, v_{ij}] = 0 \rangle$ where z_i is the generator of the i -th copy \mathbb{Z}_s in the free product \mathbb{Z}_s^{*N} .
- In particular $C(H_2^{s+}) \simeq C(\mathbb{Z}_s) *_w C(Z_2)$, $C(H_3^{s+}) \simeq C(\mathbb{Z}_s) *_w C(S_3)$.

Let us now give the description of the irreducible corepresentations of $C(H_N^{s+})$.

Theorem 1.18. [4, Theorem 4.3, Corollary 6.4] *$C(H_N^{s+})$ has a unique family of N -dimensional corepresentations (called basic corepresentations) $\{U_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) $U_k = (U_{ij}^k)$ for any $k > 0$. (4) U_1, \dots, U_{s-1} are irreducible.
- (2) $U_k = U_{k+s}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. (5) $U_0 = 1 \oplus \rho_0$, ρ_0 irreducible.
- (3) $\overline{U}_k = U_{-k}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. (6) $\rho_0, U_1, \dots, U_{s-1}$ are inequivalent corepresentations.

Notation 1.19. *We will denote the basic irreducible corepresentations of $C(H_N^{s+})$ by $\rho_t, t \in \{0, \dots, s-1\}$, with $\rho_t = U_t \forall t \in \{1, \dots, s-1\}$ and $\rho_0 = U_0 \ominus 1$ (where $U_0 = (U_{ij} U_{ij}^*)$).*

The proof of the first three assertions follows from the definitions of corepresentations of compact quantum groups and of the definition of $C(H_N^{s+})$. The proof of the last three assertions is based upon Woronowicz's Tannaka-Krein duality (see [23]) and methods inspired by [2], [3] and [1]. Now, we can give the description of the fusion rules:

Theorem 1.20. [4, Theorem 8.2] *Let M be the monoid $M = \langle a, z : z^s = 1 \rangle$ with involution $a^* = a$, $z^* = z^{-1}$, and the fusion rules obtained by recursion from the formulae*

$$(1.3) \quad vaz^i \otimes z^j aw = vaz^{i+j} aw \oplus \delta_{i+j,0} (v \otimes w)$$

Then the irreducible corepresentations r_α of $C(H_N^{s+})$ can be indexed by the elements α of the submonoid S generated by the elements $az^i a, i = 0, \dots, s-1$, with involution and fusion rules above.

Remark 1.21.

- (1) S is composed of elements $a^{L_1} z^{J_1} \dots z^{J_{K-1}} a^{L_K}$ with
 - $J_i, L_i > 0$ integers.
 - L_1, L_K odd integers and all the L_i 's, $i \in \{2, \dots, K-1\}$ even integers.
 - Except if $K = 1$, then L_K is an even integer.

- (2) With this description, we can identify the basic corepresentations introduced above: the corepresentation r_{a^2} is the corepresentation $\rho_0 = (U_{ij}U_{ij}^*) \ominus 1$ and for $t \neq 0$, $r_{az^t a}$ is the corepresentation $\rho_t = (U_{ij}^t)$.
- (3) In Proposition 2.1, we will use the suggestive notation

$$vaz^{i+j}aw = (vaz^i \otimes z^j aw) \ominus \delta_{i+j,0}(v \otimes w),$$

which simply means that we have the relation (1.3) in the monoid S .

- (4) If $\alpha = a^{L_1} z^{J_1} \dots z^{J_{K-1}} a^{L_K} \in S$, then the conjugate corepresentation of r_α is indexed by $\bar{\alpha} = a^{L_K} z^{-J_{K-1}} \dots z^{-J_1} a^{L_1}$

We end this subsection by the following proposition which summarizes the results above:

Proposition 1.22. *The canonical morphism $\pi : C(H_N^{s+}) \rightarrow C(S_N^+)$ maps all the corepresentations $U_t, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ onto the fundamental corepresentation v of $C(S_N^+)$; in other words, it maps all $\rho_t = r_{az^t a}, t \neq 0$ onto v and $\rho_0 = r_{a^2}$ onto $v^{(1)}$.*

2. CHARACTERS OF QUANTUM REFLECTION GROUPS AND QUANTUM PERMUTATION GROUPS

As announced in the introduction, we find the images of the irreducible characters of $C(H_N^{s+})$ under the canonical morphism $\pi : C(H_N^{s+}) \rightarrow C(S_N^+)$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let χ_α be the character of an irreducible corepresentation r_α of $C(H_N^{s+})$. Write $\alpha = a^{l_1} z^{j_1} \dots z^{j_{k-1}} a^{l_k}$. Then, identifying $C(S_N^+)_0$ with $C([0, N])$, the image of χ_α , say P_α , satisfies:*

$$P_\alpha(X^2) = \pi(\chi_\alpha)(X^2) = \prod_{i=1}^k A_{l_i}(X).$$

Proof. We shall prove this proposition by induction on the even integer $\sum_{i=1}^k l_i$ using the description of the fusion rules given by Theorem 1.20, the recursion formula satisfied by the Tchebyshev polynomials, Proposition 1.7 and Proposition 1.22.

Let $\text{HR}(\lambda)$ be the following statement: $\pi(\chi_\alpha)(X^2) = \prod_{i=1}^k A_{l_i}(X)$ for any $\alpha = a^{l_1} z^{j_1} \dots z^{j_{k-1}} a^{l_k}$ such that $2 \leq \sum_i l_i \leq \lambda$.

Let us begin by studying simple examples (and initializing the induction).

Consider the element aza . Then, the irreducible corepresentation r_{aza} (written ρ_1 in Notation 1.19) is sent by π onto $v = 1 \oplus v^{(1)}$ by Proposition 1.22. Thus, in term of characters, we obtain by Proposition 1.15

$$\pi(\chi_{aza})(X) = 1 + (X - 1) = X = A_1(X)$$

i.e.

$$P_{aza}(X^2) = X^2 = A_1(X)A_1(X).$$

Actually, this holds for all elements $\alpha = az^j a$, $j \in \{1, \dots, s-1\}$ (since every irreducible corepresentation $r_{az^j a}$ is sent by π onto $1 \oplus v^{(1)}$, as is r_{aza}).

Consider the element a^2 . Then, the irreducible corepresentation r_{a^2} (written ρ_0 in Notation 1.19) is sent by π onto $v^{(1)}$. Thus $\pi(\chi_{a^2})(X) = X - 1$. i.e.

$$P_{a^2}(X^2) = X^2 - 1 = A_2(X).$$

To prove HR(2) one has to show that $\pi(\chi_{a^2})(X^2) = A_2(X)$ and $\pi(\chi_{az^j a})(X^2) = A_1 A_1(X)$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, s-1\}$, what we have just done above.

Now assume HR(λ) holds: $\pi(\chi_\beta)(X^2) = \prod_{i=1}^k A_{l_i}(X)$ for any $\beta = a^{l_1} z^{j_1} \dots z^{j_{k-1}} a^{l_k}$ such that $2 \leq \sum_i l_i \leq \lambda$. We now show HR($\lambda+2$).

Let $\alpha = a^{L_1} z^{J_1} \dots a^{L_K}$, with $\sum_i L_i = \lambda + 2$. In order to use HR(λ), we must “break” α using the fusion rules as in the examples above. Then, essentially, one has to distinguish the cases $L_K = 1, L_K = 3$ and $L_K \geq 5$ (in the case $L_K \geq 5$ we can “break α at a^{L_K} ” but in the other cases we must use $a^{L_{K-1}}$ or $a^{L_{K-2}}$ if they exist, that is if there are enough factors a^{L_i}). So first, we deal with two special cases below, in order to have “enough” factors a^L in α in the sequel. We use the fusion rules described in Theorem 1.20 (and the notations described after, see Remark 1.21).

- If $K = 1$ i.e. $L_K = \lambda + 2$, $J_i = 0 \forall i$, write:

$$\alpha = a^{\lambda+2} = (a^\lambda \otimes a^2) \ominus (a^{\lambda-1} \otimes a) = (a^\lambda \otimes a^2) \ominus a^\lambda \ominus a^{\lambda-2}.$$

Then using the hypothesis of induction and Proposition 1.7, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\chi_\alpha)(X^2) &= A_\lambda A_2(X) - A_\lambda(X) - A_{\lambda-2}(X) \\ &= A_\lambda A_2(X) - (A_\lambda(X) + A_{\lambda-2}(X)) \\ &= A_\lambda A_2(X) - A_{\lambda-1} A_1(X) \\ &= A_{\lambda+2}(X). \end{aligned}$$

(Notice that if $\lambda = 2$ one has $\lambda - 2 = 0$ and $a^4 = (a^2 \otimes a^2) \ominus (a \otimes a) = (a^2 \otimes a^2) \ominus a^2 \ominus 1$ so that the result we want to prove then is still true.)

- If $K = 2, J := J_1 \neq 0$, write $\alpha = a^{L_1} z^J a^{L_2}$. We have $L_1 + L_2 = \lambda + 2 \geq 4$ and L_1, L_2 are odd hence L_1 or $L_2 \geq 3$, say $L_1 \geq 3$. Write

$$a^{L_1} z^J a^{L_2} = (a^2 \otimes a^{L_1-2} z^J a^{L_2}) \ominus (a \otimes a^{L_1-3} z^J a^{L_2}).$$

If $L_1 = 3$ then the tensor product $a \otimes a^{L_1-3} z^J a^{L_2}$ is equal to $az^J a^{L_2}$ hence $\alpha = a^3 z^J a^{L_2}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\chi_\alpha)(X^2) &= A_2 A_1 A_{L_2}(X) - A_1 A_{L_2}(X) \\ &= A_3(X) A_{L_2}(X). \end{aligned}$$

If $L_1 > 3$ (i.e. $L_1 \geq 5$), then the tensor product $a \otimes a^{L_1-3} z^J a^{L_2}$ is equal to $a^{L_1-2} z^J a^{L_2} \oplus a^{L_1-4} z^J a^{L_2}$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\chi_\alpha)(X^2) &= A_2 A_{L_1-2} A_{L_2}(X) - A_{L_1-2} A_{L_2}(X) - A_{L_1-4} A_{L_2}(X) \\ &= A_{L_1}(X) A_{L_2}(X). \end{aligned}$$

- From now on, we suppose that there are more than three factors a^{L_i} in α i.e. $K \geq 3$. We will have to distinguish three cases: $L_K = 1, L_K = 3$ and $L_K \geq 5$.

If $5 \leq L_K < \sum_i L_i$, write $L_K = m_K + 2$. Then we have $m_K \geq 3$, so

$$\begin{aligned} a^{L_1} z^{J_1} \dots a^{L_K} &= a^{L_1} z^{J_1} \dots a^{m_K+2} \\ &= (a^{L_1} z^{J_1} \dots a^{m_K} \otimes a^2) \ominus (a^{L_1} z^{J_1} \dots a^{m_K-1} \otimes a) \\ &= (a^{L_1} z^{J_1} \dots a^{m_K} \otimes a^2) \ominus a^{L_1} z^{J_1} \dots a^{m_K} \ominus a^{L_1} z^{J_1} \dots a^{m_K-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(\chi_\alpha)(X^2) &= A_{L_1} \dots A_{L_{K-1}} A_{m_k} A_2(X) - A_{L_1} \dots A_{m_k}(X) - A_{L_1} \dots A_{m_{K-2}}(X) \\ &= A_{L_1} \dots A_{L_{K-1}} A_{L_K}(X).\end{aligned}$$

If $m_K = 1$, i.e. $L_K = 3$, we proceed in the same way using

$$a^{L_1} z^{J_1} \dots z^{J_{K-1}} a^3 = (a^{L_1} z^{J_1} \dots a \otimes a^2) \ominus a^{L_1} z^{J_1} \dots z^{J_{K-1}} a.$$

To conclude the induction, one has to deal with the case $L_K = 1$. We have to distinguish the following cases:

If $L_{K-1} \geq 4$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}a^{L_1} z^{J_1} \dots a^{L_{K-1}} z^{J_{K-1}} a &= (a^{L_1} z^{J_1} \dots a^{L_{K-1}-1} \otimes a z^{J_{K-1}} a) \ominus (a^{L_1} z^{J_1} \dots a^{L_{K-1}-2} \otimes z^{J_{K-1}} a) \\ &= (a^{L_1} z^{J_1} \dots a^{L_{K-1}-1} \otimes a z^{J_{K-1}} a) \ominus a^{L_1} z^{J_1} \dots a^{L_{K-1}-2} z^{J_{K-1}} a.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(\chi_\alpha)(X^2) &= A_{L_1} \dots A_{L_{K-1}-1} A_1 A_1(X) - A_{L_1} \dots A_{L_{K-1}-2} A_1(X) \\ &= A_{L_1} \dots A_{L_{K-1}} A_1(X).\end{aligned}$$

If $L_{K-1} = 2$ and $J_{K-1} + J_{K-2} = 0 \pmod s$, we can proceed in the same way using

$$\begin{aligned}a^{L_1} z^{J_1} \dots a^{L_{K-2}} z^{J_{K-2}} a^2 z^{J_{K-1}} a &= (a^{L_1} z^{J_1} \dots a^{L_{K-2}} z^{J_{K-2}} a \otimes a z^{J_{K-1}} a) \ominus a^{L_1} z^{J_1} \dots a^{L_{K-2}+1} \ominus a^{L_1} z^{J_1} \dots a^{L_{K-2}-1}.\end{aligned}$$

The last case to deal with is $L_{K-1} = 2$ and $J_{K-1} + J_{K-2} \neq 0 \pmod s$, and again we can conclude thanks to

$$a^{L_1} z^{J_1} \dots z^{J_{K-2}} a^2 z^{J_{K-1}} a = (a^{L_1} \dots a^{L_{K-2}} z^{J_{K-2}} a \otimes a z^{J_{K-1}} a) \ominus a^{L_1} z^{J_1} \dots a^{L_{K-2}} z^{J_{K-2}+J_{K-1}} a.$$

□

As a corollary, we can get the result also proved in [4] (see Theorem 9.3):

Corollary 2.2. *Let r_α be an irreducible corepresentation of $C(H_N^{s+})$ with $\alpha = a^{l_1} z^{j_1} \dots a^{l_k}$. Then*

$$\dim(r_\alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^k A_{l_i}(\sqrt{N}).$$

Proof. We have $\dim(r_\alpha) = \epsilon_{C(H_N^{s+})}(\chi_\alpha) = \epsilon_{C(S_N^+)} \circ \pi(\chi_\alpha)$ since π is a morphism of Hopf algebras. But the counit on $C(S_N^+)_0$ is given by the evaluation in N . Indeed, an immediate corollary of Theorem 1.14 and Proposition 1.15, is $\epsilon(\Pi_t) = \Pi_t(N)$ for all polynomials Π_t , which form a basis of $\mathbb{R}[X]$. Now by the previous proposition $\pi(\chi_\alpha)(x) = \prod_{i=1}^k A_{l_i}(\sqrt{x})$, then $\epsilon_{C(S_N^+)} \circ \pi(\chi_\alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^k A_{l_i}(\sqrt{N})$. □

3. HAAGERUP PROPERTY FOR QUANTUM REFLECTION GROUPS

In this section we show that duals of the quantum reflection groups $C(H_N^{s+}) = C(\mathbb{Z}_s) *_w C(S_N^+)$, $s \geq 1$ have the Haagerup property for $N \geq 4$.

We still denote by π the canonical surjection $\pi : C(H_N^{s+}) \rightarrow C(S_N^+)$ and by $\psi_x = ev_x$ the states on $C(S_N^+)_0 \simeq C([0, N])$ used to show that $C(S_N^+)$ have the Haagerup property (see [7]). Essentially, we are going to use both morphisms π, ψ_x in this way: we can define states ϕ_x composing these maps, $\psi_x \circ \pi$, where π sends characters of $C(H_N^{s+})$ on characters of $C(S_N^+)$. Thus, we obtain states on the central algebra $C(H_N^{s+})_0$ and, after checking that these states have some decreasing properties, we can use the Theorem 1.2 and conclude.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\psi_x, x \in [0, N]$ be the states given by the evaluation in x on the central C^* -algebra $C(S_N^+)_0$. Then for all $x \in [0, N]$, $\phi_x = \psi_x \circ \pi$ is a state on $C(H_N^{s+})_0$.*

Proof. One just has to note that π is Hopf $*$ -homomorphism and hence sends $C(H_N^{s+})_0$ to $C(S_N^+)_0$. Then $\psi_x \circ \pi$ is indeed a functional on $C(H_N^{s+})_0$. The rest is clear. \square

Notation 3.2. *We introduce a proper function on the monoid S (see Theorem 1.20). Let L be defined by $L(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{k_\alpha} l_i$ for $\alpha = a^{l_1} z^{j_1} \dots a^{l_{k_\alpha}}$. Notice that for all $R > 0$ the set $B_R = \left\{ \alpha = a^{l_1} z^{j_1} \dots a^{l_{k_\alpha}} : L(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{k_\alpha} l_i \leq R \right\} \subset S$ is finite. Thus we get that a net $(f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in S}$ belongs to $c_0(S) \iff \forall \epsilon > 0 \exists R > 0 : \forall \alpha \in S, (L(\alpha) > R \Rightarrow |f_\alpha| < \epsilon)$. We say that a net $(f_\alpha)_\alpha$ converges to 0 as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ if $(f_\alpha)_\alpha \in c_0(S)$.*

Proposition 3.3. *Let $N \geq 5$ and let χ_α be an irreducible character of $C(H_N^{s+})$ associated to the irreducible corepresentation r_α with $\alpha = a^{l_1} z^{j_1} a^{l_2} \dots a^{l_{k_\alpha}}$. Then for all $x \in [0, N]$*

$$C_\alpha(x) := \frac{\phi_x(\chi_\alpha)}{\dim(r_\alpha)} = \frac{\psi_x \circ \pi(\chi_\alpha)(X)}{\dim(r_\alpha)} = \prod_{i=1}^{k_\alpha} \frac{A_{l_i}(\sqrt{x})}{A_{l_i}(\sqrt{N})}.$$

Moreover $C_\alpha(x)$ converges to 0 as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in [4, N]$.

Proof. Let $\alpha = a^{l_1} z^{j_1} \dots a^{l_{k_\alpha}}$. We obtain the first assertion using Proposition 2.1 and Corollary 2.2:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\chi_\alpha)(X) &= A_{l_1} \dots A_{l_{k_\alpha}}(\sqrt{X}), \\ d_\alpha := \dim(r_\alpha) &= \prod_{i=1}^{k_\alpha} A_{l_i}(\sqrt{N}). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 1.8, for any fixed $x \in (4, N)$, there exists a constant $0 < c < 1$ such that $\frac{A_l(\sqrt{x})}{A_l(\sqrt{N})} \leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{N}} \right)^{cl}$ for all $l \geq 1$. Then

$$C_\alpha(x) = \frac{\phi_x(\chi_\alpha)}{\dim(r_\alpha)} = \prod_{i=1}^{k_\alpha} \frac{A_{l_i}(\sqrt{x})}{A_{l_i}(\sqrt{N})} \leq \left(\frac{x}{N} \right)^{\frac{c}{2} \sum_i l_i} = \left(\frac{x}{N} \right)^{\frac{c}{2} L(\alpha)} \xrightarrow{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

\square

Proposition 3.4. (Case $N = 4$) Let χ_α be an irreducible character of $C(H_4^{s+})$ associated to the irreducible corepresentation r_α with $\alpha = a^{l_1} z^{j_1} a^{l_2} \dots a^{l_{k_\alpha}}$. Then for all $x \in [0, 4]$

$$C_\alpha(x) := \frac{\phi_x(\chi_\alpha)}{\dim(r_\alpha)} = \frac{\psi_x \circ \pi(\chi_\alpha)(X)}{\dim(r_\alpha)} = \prod_{i=1}^{k_\alpha} \frac{A_{l_i}(\sqrt{x})}{A_{l_i}(2)}.$$

Moreover $C_\alpha(x)$ converges to 0 as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in (0, 4)$.

Proof. The proof of the first assertion is similar to the one of the previous proposition. For the second assertion, we use Proposition 1.10. We recall that we proved in that proposition that there exists a constant $D < 1$ such that for all $x \in (0, 4)$ and all $l \geq 1$

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{A_l(\sqrt{x})}{A_l(2)} \leq D.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $x \in (0, 4)$. We want to prove that,

$$(3.2) \quad \prod_{i=1}^{k_\alpha} \frac{A_{l_i}(\sqrt{x})}{A_{l_i}(2)} < \epsilon \text{ for } \alpha \text{ large enough.}$$

By (3.1), there exists a $K > 0$ such that $\prod_{i=1}^{k_\alpha} \frac{A_{l_i}(\sqrt{x})}{A_{l_i}(2)} < \epsilon$ for all $\alpha \in S$ with $k_\alpha \geq K$. But by Proposition 1.10 there is also an $L > 0$ such that $\frac{A_l(\sqrt{x})}{A_l(2)} < \epsilon$ for all $l \geq L$, since this quotient converges to 0.

Now let $\alpha = a^{l_1} z^{j_1} \dots a^{l_{k_\alpha}} \in S$, with $L(\alpha) \geq LK$. Then either $k_\alpha \geq K$, or there exists $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, k_\alpha\}$ such that $l_{i_0} \geq L$. In both case we can get (3.2) since

$$\prod_{i=1}^{k_\alpha} \frac{A_{l_i}(\sqrt{x})}{A_{l_i}(2)} \leq \frac{A_{l_{i_0}}(\sqrt{x})}{A_{l_{i_0}}(2)},$$

each factor of the product being less than one. \square

Then we can prove the theorem:

Theorem 3.5. The dual of H_N^{s+} has the Haagerup property for all $N \geq 4$.

Proof. We follow the proof in [6] for O_N^+ . We prove that the dual of H_N^{s+} has the Haagerup approximation property for all $N \geq 4$ using both previous propositions. Consider the net $(T_{\phi_x})_{x \in I_N}$ with $I_N = (4, N)$ if $N \geq 5$, $I_N = (0, 4)$ if $N = 4$ and

$$T_{\phi_x} = \sum_{\alpha \in \text{Irr}(H_N^{s+})} \frac{\phi_x(\chi_\alpha)}{d_\alpha} p_\alpha$$

The ϕ_x are states on $C(H_N^{s+})_0$ so, by Theorem 1.2, the T_{ϕ_x} are a unital contractions of $L^2(H_N^{s+})$, and their restrictions to $L^\infty(H_N^{s+})$ are NUCP h -preserving maps. Moreover, Proposition 3.4 in the case $N = 4$ and Proposition 3.3 in the cases $N \geq 5$, together with the fact that the p_α are finite rank operators, show that for each $x \in I_N$, the operator T_{ϕ_x} is compact. To conclude one has to show that for all $x \in I_N$,

$$(3.3) \quad \|T_{\phi_x} a - a\|_{L_2} \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow N} 0$$

for all $a \in L^\infty(H_N^{s+})$ (via $a \in L^\infty(H_N^{s+}) \hookrightarrow L^2(H_N^{s+})$). First let us prove that it is true for any element $a \in \text{Pol}(H_N^{s+})$ i.e. any linear combination of matrix coefficients U_{ij}^α of irreducible corepresentations of $C(H_N^{s+})$ (by linearity, we can do that only for the elements U_{ij}^α). Notice that if $\alpha = a^{l_1} z^{j_1} \dots z^{j_{k_\alpha-1}} a^{l_{k_\alpha}}$ then $\bar{\alpha} = a^{l_{k_\alpha}} z^{-j_{k_\alpha-1}} \dots z^{-j_1} a^{l_1} = a^{l_{k_\alpha}} z^{s-j_{k_\alpha-1}} \dots z^{s-j_1} a^{l_1}$. Thus by Proposition 2.1 $\phi_x(\chi_{\bar{\alpha}}) = \psi_x \circ \pi(\chi_{\bar{\alpha}}) = \psi_x \circ \pi(\chi_\alpha) = \phi_x(\chi_\alpha)$. Hence,

$$\|T_{\phi_x} U_{ij}^\alpha - U_{ij}^\alpha\|_{L^2} = \|U_{ij}^\alpha\|_{L^2} \left(1 - \prod_{i=1}^{k_\alpha} \frac{A_{l_i}(\sqrt{x})}{A_{l_i}(\sqrt{N})}\right),$$

so let $x \rightarrow N$ and the assertion (3.3) holds for all these matrix coefficient. Now by L^2 -density of $\text{Pol}(H_N^{s+})$ and the fact that all T_{ϕ_x} , $x \in I_N$, are unital contractions (and thus are uniformly bounded), we obtain that (3.3) is true for any $a \in L^2(H_N^{s+})$. \square

Remark 3.6. In [5], it is proved that there is a $*$ -Hopf algebras isomorphism between $C(H_2^{s+})$ and $C^*(\mathbb{Z}_s * \mathbb{Z}_s \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ (see Example 2.5 and thereafter in that paper). Furthermore, the Haar state on $C^*(\mathbb{Z}_s) *_w C(S_2^+)$ is given by $h = h_1 \otimes h_2$ where h_2 is the Haar state on $C(S_2^+)$ and h_1 is the free product of the Haar states on $C^*(\mathbb{Z}_s)$. Then, it is clear that H_2^{s+} has the Haagerup property by the stability properties of the Haagerup property on groups (see e.g. [9])

The algebra $C(H_3^{s+})$ is more complicated and does not reduce to a more comprehensive tensor product as for the case $N = 2$. We are unable at the moment to prove that H_3^{s+} has the Haagerup property.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am very grateful to my advisors Uwe Franz and Roland Vergnioux for the time they spent discussing the arguments of this paper. I would also like to thank Pierre Fima for discussions on various topics on quantum groups, Amaury Freslon for discussions on averaging methods and approximation properties on quantum groups and Mikael de la Salle for very useful suggestions and commentaries on some special cases of the theorem proved in this paper.

REFERENCES

1. T. Banica, S. T. Belinschi, M. Capitaine, and B. Collins, *Free Bessel laws*, Canad. J. Math. **63** (2011), no. 1, 3–37. MR 2779129 (2011m:46121)
2. Teodor Banica, *Théorie des représentations du groupe quantique compact libre $O(n)$* , C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **322** (1996), no. 3, 241–244. MR 1378260 (97a:46108)
3. ———, *Symmetries of a generic coaction*, Math. Ann. **314** (1999), no. 4, 763–780. MR 1709109 (2001g:46146)
4. Teodor Banica and Roland Vergnioux, *Fusion rules for quantum reflection groups*, J. Non-commut. Geom. **3** (2009), no. 3, 327–359. MR 2511633 (2010i:46109)
5. Julien Bichon, *Free wreath product by the quantum permutation group*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **7** (2004), no. 4, 343–362. MR 2096666 (2005j:46043)
6. Michael Brannan, *Approximation properties for free orthogonal and free unitary quantum groups*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik **Volume 2012** (2012), 223–251.
7. ———, *Reduced operator algebras of trace-preserving quantum automorphism groups*, preprint arXiv:1202.5020, 2012.
8. Indira Chatterji, Cornelia Druţu, and Frédéric Haglund, *Kazhdan and Haagerup properties from the median viewpoint*, Adv. Math. **225** (2010), no. 2, 882–921. MR 2671183 (2011g:20059)

9. Cherix, Cowling, Jolissaint, Julg, and Valette, *Groups with the Haagerup property*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 197, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2001, Gromov's a-T-menability.
10. Yves Cornuier, Yves Stalder, and Alain Valette, *Proper actions of wreath products and generalizations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364** (2012), no. 6, 3159–3184. MR 2888241
11. Pierre Fima, *Kazhdan's property T for discrete quantum groups*, Internat. J. Math. **21** (2010), no. 1, 47–65. MR 2642986 (2011f:46089)
12. Amaury Freslon, *Examples of weakly amenable discrete quantum groups*, Journal of Functional Analysis (2013).
13. Uffe Haagerup, *An example of a nonnuclear C^* -algebra, which has the metric approximation property*, Invent. Math. **50** (1978/79), no. 3, 279–293. MR 520930 (80j:46094)
14. Nigel Higson and Gennadi Kasparov, *E-theory and KK-theory for groups which act properly and isometrically on Hilbert space*, Invent. Math. **144** (2001), no. 1, 23–74. MR 1821144 (2002k:19005)
15. Johan Kustermans and Stefaan Vaes, *Locally compact quantum groups*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **33** (2000), no. 6, 837–934. MR 1832993 (2002f:46108)
16. Sorin Popa, *On a class of type II_1 factors with Betti numbers invariants*, Ann. of Math. (2) **163** (2006), no. 3, 809–899. MR 2215135 (2006k:46097)
17. Theodore J. Rivlin, *Chebyshev polynomials*, second ed., Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York), John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1990, From approximation theory to algebra and number theory. MR 1060735 (92a:41016)
18. Stefaan Vaes and Roland Vergnioux, *The boundary of universal discrete quantum groups, exactness, and factoriality*, Duke Math. J. **140** (2007), no. 1, 35–84. MR 2355067 (2010a:46166)
19. Shuzhou Wang, *Free products of compact quantum groups*, Comm. Math. Phys. **167** (1995), no. 3, 671–692.
20. ———, *Quantum symmetry groups of finite spaces*, Comm. Math. Phys. **195** (1998), no. 1, 195–211. MR 1637425 (99h:58014)
21. Moritz Weber, *On the classification of easy quantum groups*, Advances in Mathematics **245** (2013), 500–533.
22. S. L. Woronowicz, *Compact matrix pseudogroups*, Comm. Math. Phys. **111** (1987), no. 4, 613–665. MR 901157 (88m:46079)
23. ———, *Tannaka-Kreĭn duality for compact matrix pseudogroups. Twisted $SU(N)$ groups*, Invent. Math. **93** (1988), no. 1, 35–76. MR 943923 (90e:22033)
24. ———, *Compact quantum groups*, Symétries quantiques (Les Houches, 1995), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1998, pp. 845–884. MR 1616348 (99m:46164)

FRANÇOIS LEMEUX, LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE BESANÇON, UFR SCIENCES ET TECHNIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DE FRANCHE-COMTÉ, 16 ROUTE DE GRAY, 25000 BESANÇON, FRANCE
E-mail address: francois.lemeux@univ-fcomte.fr