

# The rate of escape of the most visited site of Brownian motion

Richard F. Bass

February 1, 2023

## Abstract

*Abstract:* Let  $\{L_t^z\}$  be the jointly continuous local times of a one-dimensional Brownian motion and let  $L_t^* = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} L_t^z$ . Let  $V_t$  be any point  $z$  such that  $L_t^z = L_t^*$ , a most visited site of Brownian motion. We prove that if  $\gamma > 1$ , then

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|V_t|}{\sqrt{t}/(\log t)^\gamma} = \infty, \quad \text{a.s.},$$

with an analogous result for simple random walk. This proves a conjecture of Lifshits and Shi.

*Subject Classification:* Primary 60J55; Secondary 60J65, 60G50

## 1 Introduction

Let  $S_n$  be a simple random walk, let  $N_n^k = \sum_{j=0}^n 1_{(S_j=k)}$  be the number of visits by the random walk to the point  $k$  by time  $n$ , and let  $N_n^* = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} N_n^k$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}_n = \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : N_n^k = N_n^*\}$ , the set of values  $k$  where  $N_n^k$  takes its maximum, and let  $U_n$  be any element of  $\mathcal{U}_n$ . We call  $\mathcal{U}_n$  the set of most visited sites of the random walk at time  $n$ . This concept was introduced in [4], and was simultaneously and independently defined by [13], who called  $U_n$  a favorite point of the random walk. In [4] it was proved that  $U_n$  is transient, and in fact

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|U_n|}{\sqrt{n}/(\log n)^\gamma} = \infty \tag{1.1}$$

if  $\gamma > 11$  and

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|U_n|}{\sqrt{n}/(\log n)^\gamma} = 0 \quad (1.2)$$

if  $\gamma < 1$ . It has been of considerable interest since that time to prove that there exists  $\gamma_0$  such that (1.1) holds if  $\gamma > \gamma_0$  and (1.2) holds if  $\gamma < \gamma_0$  and to find the value of  $\gamma_0$ .

One can state the analogous problem for Brownian motion, and [4] used Brownian motion techniques and an invariance principle for local times to derive the results for random walk from those of Brownian motion. Let  $\{L_t^z\}$  be the jointly continuous local times of a Brownian motion and let  $\mathcal{V}_t(\omega)$  be the set of values of  $z$  where the function  $z \rightarrow L_t^z(\omega)$  takes its maximum. We call  $\mathcal{V}_t$  the set of most visited points or the set of favorite points of Brownian motion at time  $t$ . In [4] it was proved that if  $V_t$  is any element of  $\mathcal{V}_t$ , then

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|V_t|}{\sqrt{t}/(\log t)^\gamma} = \infty \quad (1.3)$$

if  $\gamma > 11$  and

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|V_t|}{\sqrt{t}/(\log t)^\gamma} = 0 \quad (1.4)$$

if  $\gamma < 1$ .

The bounds in (1.2) and (1.4) have been improved somewhat. Lifshits and Shi [20] proved that the  $\liminf$  is 0 when  $\gamma = 1$  as well as when  $\gamma < 1$ .

In [3] the most visited sites of symmetric stable processes of order  $\alpha$  for  $\alpha > 1$  were studied. As a by-product of the results there, the value of  $\gamma$  in (1.3) was improved from 11 to 9.

In Lifshits and Shi [20] it was asserted that the value of  $\gamma$  in (1.1) and (1.3) could be any value larger than 1, or equivalently, that  $\gamma_0$  exists and is equal to 1. However, as Prof. Shi kindly informed us, there is a subtle but serious error in the proof; see Remark 2.5 for details.

Marcus and Rosen [22] subsequently showed that  $\gamma$  in (1.3) could be any value larger than 3.

In this paper we prove that the assertion of Lifshits and Shi is correct, that (1.1) and (1.3) hold whenever  $\gamma > 1$ . See Theorems 2.1 and 2.2. Our method relies mainly on the Ray-Knight theorems and a moving boundary estimate due to Novikov [23].

A few words about when  $\mathcal{U}_n$  and  $\mathcal{V}_t$  consist of more than one point are in order. Eisenbaum [10] and Leuridan [18] have shown that at any time  $t$  there are at most two values where  $L_t^z$  takes its maximum. Toth [27] has shown that for  $n$  sufficiently large, depending on  $\omega$ , there are at most 3 values of  $k$  which are most visited sites for  $S_n$ , and more recently Ding and Shen [9] have shown that almost surely  $\mathcal{U}_n$  consists of 3 distinct points infinitely often. It turns out that the values of the  $\liminf$  in (1.1)-(1.4) do not depend on which value of the most visited site is chosen.

There are many results on the most visited sites of Brownian motion and of various other processes. See [5], [8], [11], [12], [14], [16], [19], [21], [24], and [26] for some of these.

In Section 2 we state our main theorems precisely and give some preliminaries. Section 3 contains some estimates on local times and squared Bessel processes of dimension 0. These are used in Section 4 to establish a lower bound on the supremum of local time at certain random times, and in Section 5 we move from random times to fixed times to obtain our result for Brownian motion. Finally in Section 6 we prove the result for random walks.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $W_t$  be a one-dimensional Brownian motion and let  $\{L_t^z\}$  be a jointly continuous version of its local times. Let

$$L_t^* = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} L_t^z.$$

We define the collection of most visited sites of  $W$  by

$$\mathcal{V}_t = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : L_t^x = L_t^*\}.$$

Let  $V_t^s = \inf\{|x| : x \in \mathcal{V}_t\}$  and  $V_t^\ell = \sup\{|x| : x \in \mathcal{V}_t\}$ .

Our main theorem can be stated as follows.

**Theorem 2.1.** (1) *If  $\gamma > 1$ , then*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{V_t^s}{\sqrt{t}/(\log t)^\gamma} = \infty, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

(2) If  $\gamma \leq 1$ ,

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{V_t^\ell}{\sqrt{t}/(\log t)^\gamma} = 0, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

We have the corresponding theorem for a simple random walk  $S_n$ . Let

$$N_n^k = \sum_{j=0}^n 1_{(S_j=k)},$$

the number of times  $S_j$  is equal to  $k$  up to time  $n$ . Let  $N_n^* = \max_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} N_n^k$  and let

$$\mathcal{U}_t = \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : N_n^k = N_n^*\}.$$

Let  $U_t^s = \inf\{|x| : x \in \mathcal{N}_t\}$  and  $U_t^\ell = \sup\{|x| : x \in \mathcal{N}_t\}$ .

Our second theorem is the following.

**Theorem 2.2.** (1) If  $\gamma > 1$ , then

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U_n^s}{\sqrt{n}/(\log n)^\gamma} = \infty, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

(2) If  $\gamma \leq 1$ ,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U_n^\ell}{\sqrt{n}/(\log n)^\gamma} = 0, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

A process  $X_t$  is called the square of a Bessel process of dimension 0 started at  $x \geq 0$ , denoted  $BES(0)^2$ , if it is the unique solution to the stochastic differential equation

$$X_t = x + 2\sqrt{X_t}dW_t,$$

where  $X_t \geq 0$  a.s. for each  $t$  and  $W$  is a one-dimensional Brownian motion with filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ . When  $X_t$  hits 0, which it does almost surely, it then stays there forever.  $X$  has a scaling property: for  $r > 0$  and  $X$  is started at  $x$ , the process  $\frac{1}{r}X_t$  has the same law as the process  $X_{t/r}$  started at  $x/r$ . If  $Y_t$  is the nonnegative square root of  $X_t$  and  $x > 0$ , then  $Y$  is the unique solution to the stochastic differential equation

$$Y_t = \sqrt{x} + W_t - \frac{1}{2Y_t} dt.$$

See [25] for details.

For any process  $\xi_t$  let

$$\tau_a = \tau_a^\xi = \inf\{t > 0 : \xi_t = a\}, \quad (2.1)$$

the hitting time of  $a$  by the process  $\xi_t$ .

Let

$$T_r = T(r) = \inf\{t > 0 : L_t^0 \geq r\}, \quad (2.2)$$

the inverse local time at 0.

The main preliminary result we need is the following version of a special case of the Ray-Knight theorems. See [17], [22], and [25].

**Theorem 2.3.** *Suppose  $r > 0$ . The processes  $\{L_{T_r}^z, z \geq 0\}$  and  $\{L_{T_r}^{-z}, z \geq 0\}$  are each  $BES(0)^2$  processes with time parameter  $z$  started at  $r$  and are independent of each other.*

We also need

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $0 < r < s$ . The processes  $\{L_{T_s}^z - L_{T_r}^z, z \geq 0\}$  and  $\{L_{T_s}^{-z} - L_{T_r}^{-z}, z \geq 0\}$  are each  $BES(0)^2$  processes started at  $s - r$ , are independent of each other, and are independent of the processes  $\{L_{T_r}^z, z \geq 0\}$  and  $\{L_{T_r}^{-z}, z \geq 0\}$ .*

*Proof.* Since the local time at 0 of a Brownian motion increases only when the Brownian motion is at 0, then  $W_{T_r} = 0$  for all  $r > 0$ . Proposition 2.4 follows easily from this, the strong Markov property applied at time  $T_r$ , and Theorem 2.3.  $\square$

We use the letter  $c$  with or without subscripts to denote finite positive constants whose exact value is unimportant and whose value may change from line to line.

**Remark 2.5.** The error in [20] is that inequality (2.12) of that paper need not hold. Let  $a > 0$ . Note that  $\sup_{y > a\sqrt{t}} L_t^y$  can be decreasing in  $t$  at some times because the supremum is over decreasing sets. This can happen even when  $W_t > a\sqrt{t}$ . Similarly,  $\sup_{x < a\sqrt{t}} L_t^x$  can be increasing in  $t$  at some times even when  $W_t > a\sqrt{t}$  because the supremum is over increasing sets.

### 3 Some estimates

Define

$$I^+(t, h) = \sup_{0 \leq z \leq h} L_t^z.$$

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $\theta > 0$ . There exists a positive real number  $M$  depending on  $\theta$  such that*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sup_{s \leq t} [I^+(s, \sqrt{t}/(\log t)^\theta) - L_s^0]}{\sqrt{t} \log \log t / (\log t)^{\theta/2}} \leq M, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

*Proof.* Let  $A_n$  be the event

$$A_n = \left\{ \sup_{s \leq 2^{n+1}} [I^+(s, 2^{(n+1)/2}/(\log 2^n)^\theta) - L_s^0] \geq M \frac{2^{n/2} \log \log 2^n}{(\log 2^{n+1})^{\theta/2}} \right\},$$

where  $M$  is a positive real to be chosen in a moment. By scaling, the probability of  $A_n$  is the same as the probability of

$$B_n = \left\{ \sup_{s \leq 1} [I^+(s, 1/(\log 2^n)^\theta) - L_s^0] \geq M \frac{2^{-1/2} \log \log 2^n}{(\log 2^{n+1})^{\theta/2}} \right\}.$$

Lemma 5.2 of [4] says that if  $\delta \leq 1$  and  $t \geq 1$ , then

$$\mathbb{P}(\sup_{s \leq t} \sup_{0 \leq x, y \leq 1, |x-y| \leq \delta} |L_s^y - L_s^x| \geq \lambda) \leq \frac{C_1}{\delta} e^{-\lambda/c_2 \delta^{1/2} t^{1/4}}.$$

Applying this with  $t = 1$ ,  $\delta = 1/(\log 2^n)^\theta$ ,  $x = 0$ , and

$$\lambda = 2^{-1/2} M \log \log 2^n / (\log 2^{n+1})^{\theta/2},$$

and recalling  $\mathbb{P}(A_n) = \mathbb{P}(B_n)$ , we see that  $\mathbb{P}(A_n)$  is summable provided we choose  $M$  large enough. By the Borel-Cantelli lemma,  $\mathbb{P}(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 0$ . If  $2^n \leq t \leq 2^{n+1}$  and  $t$  is large enough (depending on  $\omega$ ), then

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{s \leq t} [I^+(s, \sqrt{t}/(\log t)^\theta) - L_s^0] &\leq \sup_{s \leq 2^{n+1}} [I^+(s, 2^{(n+1)/2}/(\log 2^n)^\theta) - L_s^0] \\ &\leq M \frac{2^{n/2} \log \log 2^n}{(\log 2^{n+1})^{\theta/2}} \\ &\leq M \sqrt{t} \log \log t / (\log t)^{\theta/2}. \end{aligned}$$

The proposition follows. □

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $X_t$  be a  $BES(0)^2$  and let  $\mathbb{P}^x$  denote the law of  $X$  started at  $x$ . Then*

$$\mathbb{P}^1(\tau_0 < \tau_{1+a}) = \frac{a}{1+a}.$$

*Proof.* We know  $\tau_0 < \infty$  a.s. Now  $X$  is a continuous martingale, hence a time change of a Brownian motion, and thus the hitting probabilities are the same as those for a Brownian motion.  $\square$

The next two propositions show that in many respects a  $BES(0)^2$  is similar to a Brownian motion as long as it is not too close to 0.

**Proposition 3.3.** *For  $X$  a  $BES(0)^2$  and  $x > 0$ ,*

$$\mathbb{P}^x(\inf_{s \leq t} X_s < x - \lambda) \leq c_1 e^{-c_2 \lambda^2 / xt}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $X \geq 0$ , there is nothing to prove unless  $\lambda \leq x$ . By a scaling argument, it suffices to suppose  $x = 1$ .

We start by writing

$$\mathbb{P}^1(\tau_{1-\lambda}^X \leq t) \leq \mathbb{P}^1(\tau_2^X \leq t) + \mathbb{P}^1(\tau_{1-\lambda}^X \leq t, \tau_2^X > t). \quad (3.1)$$

To estimate the terms on the right hand side of (3.1) we use Doob's inequality. Recalling that  $dX_t = 2\sqrt{X_t} dW_t$ , we have  $d\langle X \rangle_t = 4X_t dt$ .

Suppose  $a > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^1(\tau_2^X \leq t) &= \mathbb{P}^1(\sup_{s \leq t \wedge \tau_2^X} X_s \geq 2) = \mathbb{P}^1(\sup_{s \leq t \wedge \tau_2^X} a(X_s - 1) \geq a) \\ &\leq e^{-a} \mathbb{E}^1 \exp(a(X_{t \wedge \tau_2^X} - 1)). \end{aligned}$$

To bound the expectation,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}^1 \exp(a(X_{t \wedge \tau_2^X} - 1)) \\ &= \mathbb{E}^1 \left[ \exp(a(X_{t \wedge \tau_2^X} - 1) - \frac{1}{2} a^2 \langle X \rangle_{t \wedge \tau_2^X}) \exp(\frac{1}{2} a^2 \langle X \rangle_{t \wedge \tau_2^X}) \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}^1 \exp(a(X_{t \wedge \tau_2^X} - 1) - \frac{1}{2} a^2 \langle X \rangle_{t \wedge \tau_2^X}) e^{4a^2 t}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $a = 1/8t$  yields

$$\mathbb{P}^1(\tau_2^X \leq t) \leq e^{-1/16t}.$$

The second term of (3.1) is slightly more complicated, but quite similar. Let  $\tilde{X}_t$  be  $X_t$  stopped at time  $\tau_2^X$  and use (2.1) to define  $\tau_{1-\lambda}^{\tilde{X}}$ . Suppose  $a > 0$  and write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^1(\tau_{1-\lambda}^X \leq t, \tau_2^X > t) &\leq \mathbb{P}^1\left(\inf_{s \leq t \wedge \tau_{1-\lambda}^{\tilde{X}}} (\tilde{X}_s - 1) \leq -\lambda\right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}^1\left(\sup_{s \leq t \wedge \tau_{1-\lambda}^{\tilde{X}}} (-a(\tilde{X}_s - 1)) \geq a\lambda\right) \\ &\leq e^{-a\lambda} \mathbb{E}^1 \exp(a(-(\tilde{X}_{t \wedge \tau_{1-\lambda}^{\tilde{X}}} - 1))) \end{aligned}$$

and the expectation on the last line is equal to

$$\mathbb{E}^1 \left[ \exp(-a(\tilde{X}_{t \wedge \tau_{1-\lambda}^{\tilde{X}}} - 1) - \frac{1}{2}a^2 \langle \tilde{X} \rangle_{t \wedge \tau_{1-\lambda}^{\tilde{X}}}) \exp(\frac{1}{2}a^2 \langle \tilde{X} \rangle_{t \wedge \tau_{1-\lambda}^{\tilde{X}}}) \right],$$

which is bounded by  $e^{4a^2t}$ . Setting  $a = \lambda/8t$  we see the second term on the right of (3.1) is bounded by  $e^{-\lambda^2/16t}$ .

Combining the two estimates for the terms on the right hand side of (3.1) and recalling that we are supposing  $\lambda \leq 1$  yields the proposition.  $\square$

Another approach to the preceding proposition is to use the results of [6].

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $R > 0$ , let  $X_t$  be a  $BES(0)^2$ , and let  $g$  be a non-negative absolutely continuous function on  $[0, R]$  with  $g(0) > 0$ . Let  $p > 1$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^1(X_t \leq 1+g(t), 0 \leq t \leq R) & \tag{3.2} \\ & \leq c_1 e^{c_2(p)R} \left(\frac{g(0)}{\sqrt{R}}\right)^{1/p^2} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2(p-1)p} \int_0^R g'(s)^2 ds\right) + c_3 e^{-c_4/R}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By Novikov [23], Theorem 6,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^0(W_t \leq g(t), 0 \leq t \leq R) & \tag{3.3} \\ & \leq c_1 \left(\Phi_0\left(\frac{g(0)}{\sqrt{R}}\right)\right)^{1/p} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2(p-1)} \int_0^R g'(s)^2 ds\right), \end{aligned}$$



where  $W$  is a Brownian motion,  $\Phi_0(x) = 2\Phi(x) - 1$ , and  $\Phi(x)$  is the distribution function of a standard normal random variable. Note  $\Phi_0(x) \leq cx$  for  $x \geq 0$ .

Let  $Z$  be the unique solution to

$$dZ_t = dW_t - a(Z_t) dt,$$

where  $a(x) = 1/2x$  for  $x \geq 1/2$  and  $a(x) = 1$  for  $x < 1/2$ . Let  $Y_t = X_t^{1/2}$ .

We start by writing

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^1(X_t \leq 1 + g(t), 0 \leq t \leq R) & \tag{3.4} \\ & \leq \mathbb{P}^1(X_t \leq 1 + g(t), 0 \leq t \leq R, \tau_{1/4}^X > R) + \mathbb{P}^1(\tau_{1/4}^X \leq R). \end{aligned}$$

The second term on the right is bounded by  $c_1 e^{-c_2/R}$  by Proposition 3.3. The first term on the right is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^1(Y_t \leq (1 + g(t))^{1/2}, 0 \leq t \leq R, \tau_{1/2}^Y > R) & \\ & \leq \mathbb{P}^1(Y_t \leq 1 + \frac{1}{2}g(t), 0 \leq t \leq R, \tau_{1/2}^Y > R) \\ & = \mathbb{P}^1(Z_t \leq 1 + \frac{1}{2}g(t), 0 \leq t \leq R, \tau_{1/2}^Z > R) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P}^1(B), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$B = \{Z_t \leq 1 + \frac{1}{2}g(t), 0 \leq t \leq R\}$$

and  $\tau_{1/2}^Z$  is defined by (2.1); we use the fact that  $Z_t = Y_t$  for  $t < \tau_{1/2}^Y$ .

Let

$$M_t = \exp \left( \int_0^t a(Z_s) dW_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t a(Z_s)^2 ds \right).$$

Let  $\mathbb{Q}$  be defined by  $d\mathbb{Q}/d\mathbb{P}^1 = M_t$  on  $\mathcal{F}_t$ . By the Girsanov theorem,  $Z_t = W_t - \int_0^t a(Z_s) ds$  is a Brownian motion under  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

By Hölder's inequality,

$$\mathbb{P}^1(B) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[M_R^{-1}; B] \leq (\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} M_R^{-r})^{1/r} (\mathbb{Q}(B))^{1/p},$$

where  $r = p/(p-1)$ . We bound the second factor by (3.3).

It remains to bound

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[M_R^{-r}] &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}^1[M_R^{1-r}] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}^1\left[\exp\left((1-r)\int_0^R a(Z_s) dW_s - \frac{1-r}{2}\int_0^R a(Z_s)^2 ds\right)\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}^1\left[\exp\left((1-r)\int_0^R a(Z_s) dW_s - \frac{(1-r)^2}{2}\int_0^R a(Z_s)^2 ds\right)\right. \\
&\quad \left.\times \exp\left(\frac{(1-r)^2 - (1-r)}{2}\int_0^R a(Z_s)^2 ds\right)\right] \\
&\leq \exp\left(\frac{r^2 - r}{2}R\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining our estimates yields the proposition.  $\square$

## 4 Growth of local times

Suppose  $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  and  $0 < \delta \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Choose  $p > 1$  close to 1 so that  $1/p^2 \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ . Choose  $\beta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  small so that  $\beta^2/4p(p-1) < \varepsilon/2$ . Let

$$U_t = L_{T_1}^t - 1. \quad (4.1)$$

Recall that here  $t$  is actually the space variable for local time. Set

$$g(t) = \begin{cases} 4\delta, & t \leq 16\delta^2/\beta^2; \\ \beta\sqrt{t}, & t > 16\delta^2/\beta^2. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$A = \{\exists t \in [0, \delta^\varepsilon] : U_t \geq g(t)\}. \quad (4.2)$$

**Proposition 4.1.**

$$\mathbb{P}(A^c) \leq c_1\delta^{1-2\varepsilon}.$$

*Proof.* We estimate the right hand side of (3.2) with  $R = \delta^\varepsilon$  and  $g(0) = 4\delta$ . Observe that  $g'(t)$  is zero unless  $t > 16\delta^2/\beta^2$ , in which case  $g'(t) = \beta/2\sqrt{t}$ .

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2p(p-1)} \int_0^{\delta^\varepsilon} g'(t)^2 dt &\leq \frac{\beta^2}{8p(p-1)} \int_{16\delta^2/\beta^2}^1 \frac{1}{t} dt \\ &= \frac{\beta^2}{4p(p-1)} \log(1/\delta) + c(p, \beta), \end{aligned}$$

where  $c(p, \beta)$  depends on  $p$  and  $\beta$ , but not  $\delta$ .

Therefore

$$\mathbb{P}(A^c) \leq c_1(\delta^{1-\varepsilon/2})^{1/p^2} (1/\delta)^{\beta^2/4p(p-1)} + c_2 e^{-c_3 \delta^{-\varepsilon}} \leq c_4 \delta^{1-2\varepsilon}.$$

□

For  $s \in [0, 1]$  let

$$X_t^s = L_{T(1+s)}^t - L_{T(1)}^t - s. \quad (4.3)$$

Let

$$B_s = \{\exists t \in [0, \delta^\varepsilon] : X_t^s \leq -\frac{1}{4}g(t)\}. \quad (4.4)$$

For  $U$ , an estimate involving a power of  $\delta$  close to 1 is the best we can expect. However the exponential estimate we obtain in the next proposition allows us to take the supremum over a large number of values of  $s$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** *For  $s \in [0, \delta^\varepsilon]$*

$$\mathbb{P}(B_s) \leq c_1 \log(1/\delta) e^{-c_2/\delta^\varepsilon}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $I_0 = [0, 16\delta^2/\beta^2]$ . Let  $M$  be the smallest positive integer such that  $2^M(16\delta^2/\beta^2)$  is larger than  $\delta^\varepsilon$ . For  $1 \leq m \leq M$  let

$$I_m = [2^{m-1}(16\delta^2/\beta^2), 2^m(16\delta^2/\beta^2)].$$

For  $0 \leq m \leq M$  let

$$C_m = \{\exists t \in I_m : X_t^s \leq -\frac{1}{4}g(t)\}.$$

By Proposition 3.3, for  $1 \leq m \leq M$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(C_m) \leq c_1 \exp\left(-c_2 \frac{2^{m-1}\delta^2}{s2^m\delta^2}\right).$$

Because  $s \leq \delta^\varepsilon$ , this is bounded by  $c_1 e^{-c_2 \delta^{-\varepsilon}}$ . Similarly

$$\mathbb{P}(C_0) \leq c_1 \exp\left(-c_2 \frac{\delta^2}{s \delta^2}\right) \leq c_3 e^{-c_4 \delta^{-\varepsilon}}.$$

Since  $M \leq c \log(\delta^{\varepsilon-2})$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(\cup_{m=0}^M C_m) \leq c_1 \log(1/\delta) e^{-c_2 \delta^{-\varepsilon}}.$$

Observing that  $B_s \subset \cup_{m=0}^M C_m$  completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.3.** *There exists  $c$  such that*

$$\mathbb{P}(\exists u \in [1, 1 + \delta^\varepsilon] : (L_{T_u}^* - u) \leq \delta) \leq c \delta^{2-4\varepsilon}.$$

*$c$  depends on  $\varepsilon$  but not  $\delta$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $J = \lceil \delta^{\varepsilon-1} \rceil + 1$  and let  $0 = s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_J = \delta^\varepsilon$  be points of the interval  $[0, \delta^\varepsilon]$  such that  $s_{j+1} - s_j \leq \delta$  for all  $j$ . Let

$$D_j = \{\sup_{t \geq 0} (U_t + X_t^{s_j}) \leq 2\delta\}.$$

We know  $\mathbb{P}(D_0) \leq 2\delta$  by Proposition 3.2.

Suppose  $1 \leq j \leq J$ . If  $\omega \in A \cap B_{s_j}^c$ , then there exists  $t \in [0, \delta^\varepsilon]$  such that  $U_t(\omega) \geq g(t)$  but  $X_t^{s_j}(\omega) \geq -\frac{1}{4}g(t)$ . But then

$$U_t(\omega) + X_t^{s_j}(\omega) \geq g(t) - \frac{1}{4}g(t) \geq 3\delta,$$

which implies  $\omega \notin D_j$ . Therefore  $D_j \subset A^c \cup B_{s_j}$ . It follows that

$$\cup_{j=1}^J D_j \subset A^c \cup (\cup_{j=1}^J B_{s_j}).$$

Using Propositions 4.1 and 4.2 and the fact that  $J \leq c \delta^{\varepsilon-1}$ , we then have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\exists j \leq J : \sup_{t \geq 0} (U_t + X_t^{s_j}) \leq 2\delta) &\leq 2\delta + c_1 \delta^{1-2\varepsilon} + c_2 \delta^{\varepsilon-1} \log(1/\delta) e^{-c_3 \delta^{-\varepsilon}} \\ &\leq c_4 \delta^{1-2\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\sup_{x \geq 0} L_{T(1+s_j)}^x - (1 + s_j) \leq 2\delta$ , then  $\sup_{t \geq 0} (U_t + X_t^{s_j}) \leq 2\delta$ , and so

$$\mathbb{P}(\exists j \leq J : \sup_{x \geq 0} L_{T(1+s_j)}^x - (1 + s_j) \leq 2\delta) \leq c_4 \delta^{1-2\varepsilon}. \quad (4.5)$$

Let  $L_t^+ = \sup_{x>0} L_t^x$  and  $L_t^- = \sup_{x<0} L_t^x$ . If  $L_{T(1+s_j)}^* - (1 + s_j) \leq 2\delta$ , then

$$L_{T(1+s_j)}^+ - (1 + s_j) \leq 2\delta \quad \text{and} \quad L_{T(1+s_j)}^- - (1 + s_j) \leq 2\delta.$$

By independence, symmetry, and (4.5),

$$\mathbb{P}(E) \leq (c_1 \delta^{1-2\varepsilon})^2 = c_2 \delta^{2-4\varepsilon},$$

where

$$E = \{\exists j \leq J : L_{T(1+s_j)}^* - (1 + s_j) \leq 2\delta\}.$$

If  $u \leq \delta^\varepsilon$  and  $u \in [s_j, s_{j+1}]$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} L_{T(1+u)}^* - (1 + u) &\geq L_{T(1+s_j)}^* - (1 + s_j) + (s_j - u) \\ &\geq L_{T(1+s_j)}^* - (1 + s_j) - \delta. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that on the event  $E^c$

$$L_{T(1+u)}^* - (1 + u) > 2\delta - \delta = \delta.$$

Therefore

$$\mathbb{P}(\exists u \in [0, \delta^\varepsilon] : L_{T(1+u)}^* - (1 + u) \leq \delta) \leq c\delta^{2-4\varepsilon}.$$

□

**Theorem 4.4.** *If  $\gamma > 1/2$ , then*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L_{T_t}^* - t}{t/(\log t)^\gamma} = \infty, \quad a.s.$$

*Proof.* Let  $r_K = 2^K$ ,  $a > 0$ , and

$$\delta_K = \frac{a}{(\log r_K)^\gamma}.$$

Divide  $[r_K, r_{K+1}]$  into  $[\delta_K^{-\varepsilon}] + 1$  equal subintervals. Each subinterval will have length less than or equal to  $\delta_K^\varepsilon r_K$ . Let

$$F_K = \{\exists t \in [r_K, r_{K+1}] : (L_{T_t}^* - t) \leq \delta_K r_K\}.$$

Then by scaling, Proposition 4.3, and our bound on the number of subintervals,

$$\mathbb{P}(F_K) \leq c_1 \delta_K^{-\varepsilon} \delta_K^{2-4\varepsilon} = c_1 \delta_K^{2-5\varepsilon}.$$

If  $\gamma > \frac{1}{2}$ , choose  $\varepsilon$  small enough so that  $(2 - 5\varepsilon)\gamma > 1$ . By the Borel-Cantelli lemma,  $\mathbb{P}(F_K \text{ i.o.}) = 0$ . This implies

$$\mathbb{P}\left(L_{T_t}^* - t \leq \frac{at}{(\log t)^\gamma} \text{ i.o.}\right) = 0.$$

Since  $a$  is arbitrary, the theorem follows.  $\square$

## 5 From random times to fixed times

Now we derive our results for fixed times from Theorem 4.4. For values  $r$  where  $T_r$  is approximately  $r^2$ , the argument is straightforward, but for other values of  $r$  a different argument is necessary to avoid an extraneous power of logarithm.

Let

$$I(t, h) = \sup_{|z| \leq h} L_t^z.$$

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $\gamma > 1$ . There exists  $\rho > 0$  such that with probability one,*

$$L_t^* > I(t, \sqrt{t}/(\log t)^\gamma) + \frac{c\sqrt{t}}{(\log t)^\rho}$$

for all  $t$  sufficiently large.

*Proof.* Without loss of generality assume  $\gamma \leq 2$ . Choose  $1/2 < b < \gamma/2$  and then choose  $a < \gamma$  such that  $\gamma/2 - a/2 > b$ . Suppose

$$T_{r-} \leq t \leq T_r,$$

where  $T_{r-} = \lim_{s \rightarrow r-} T_s$ . Then  $L_t^0 = r$ .

*Case 1.*  $t \leq r^2(\log r)^a$ . By [15], for  $t$  sufficiently large (depending on  $\omega$ ),

$$r = L_t^0 \leq c\sqrt{t \log \log t},$$

so  $\log r \leq c \log t$ . By Proposition 3.1 and symmetry, for sufficiently large  $t$  (also depending on  $\omega$ ),

$$\begin{aligned} I(t, \sqrt{t}/(\log t)^\gamma) - L_t^0 &\leq c \frac{\sqrt{t} \log \log t}{(\log t)^{\gamma/2}} \\ &\leq c \frac{r(\log r)^{a/2} \log \log r}{(\log r)^{\gamma/2}} \\ &= c \frac{r \log \log r}{(\log r)^{\gamma/2 - a/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $r$  sufficiently large, for all  $s \in [r/2, r)$ , by Theorem 4.4 we have

$$L_{T_s}^* - s \geq \frac{s}{2(\log s)^b}.$$

Letting  $s$  increase up to  $r$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} L_t^* - r &\geq L_{T_{r-}}^* - r \geq \frac{r}{2(\log r)^b} \\ &\geq I(t, \sqrt{t}/(\log t)^\gamma) - r + c \frac{r}{(\log r)^b} \\ &\geq I(t, \sqrt{t}/(\log t)^\gamma) - r + c \frac{\sqrt{t}}{(\log t)^{b+a/2}} \end{aligned}$$

for  $t$  sufficiently large.

*Case 2.*  $t > r^2(\log r)^a$ . Then

$$L_t^0 = r \leq c_1 \frac{\sqrt{t}}{(\log t)^{a/2}}.$$

By this, Proposition 3.1, and symmetry, there exists  $K > c_1$  such that

$$I(t, \sqrt{t}/(\log t)^\gamma) \leq L_t^0 + K \frac{\sqrt{t} \log \log t}{(\log t)^{\gamma/2}} \leq 2K \frac{\sqrt{t}}{(\log t)^{a/2}}$$

for  $t$  large. By Kesten's law of the iterated logarithm (see [15] and also [7]), there exists  $\kappa > 0$  such that for  $t$  sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned} L_t^* &\geq \kappa \sqrt{t}/(\log \log t)^{1/2} \\ &\geq 3K \frac{\sqrt{t}}{(\log t)^{a/2}} \geq I(t, \sqrt{t}/(\log t)^\gamma) + K \frac{\sqrt{t}}{(\log t)^{a/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

In either case,

$$L_t^* \geq I(t, \sqrt{t}/(\log t)^\gamma) + c \frac{\sqrt{t}}{(\log t)^{b+a/2}}, \quad (5.1)$$

and we may take  $\rho = b + a/2$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* Theorem 2.1(2) is already known; see [20]. For (1), let  $\gamma > 1$ . For large enough  $t$ ,

$$L_t^* > I(t, \sqrt{t}/(\log t)^\gamma),$$

which means that  $L_t^z$  takes its maximum for  $z$  outside the interval

$$[-\sqrt{t}/(\log t)^\gamma, \sqrt{t}/(\log t)^\gamma].$$

Theorem 2.1(1) now follows.  $\square$

## 6 Random walks

*Proof of Theorem 2.2.* (2) follows from [20], so we only consider (1). By the invariance principle of [24] we can find a simple random walk  $S_n$  and a Brownian motion  $W_t$  such that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |L_n^k - N_n^k| = o(n^{1/4+\varepsilon}), \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (6.1)$$

If  $\gamma > 1$  and  $K_n = \max_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, |k| \leq \sqrt{n}/(\log n)^\gamma} N_n^k$ , by (6.1), Lemma 5.3 of [4], and Theorem 5.1, there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} N_n^* &\geq L_n^* - cn^{1/4+\varepsilon} \\ &\geq I(n, \sqrt{n}/(\log n)^\gamma) + c_1 \frac{\sqrt{n}}{(\log n)^\rho} - c_2 n^{1/4+\varepsilon} \\ &\geq K_n + c_1 \frac{\sqrt{n}}{(\log n)^\rho} - 2c_2 n^{1/4+\varepsilon} \\ &> K_n \end{aligned}$$

for  $n$  sufficiently large. We conclude the most visited site of  $S_n$  must be larger in absolute value than  $\sqrt{n}/(\log n)^\gamma$  for  $n$  large.  $\square$



## References

- [1] R.F. Bass, Probabilistic Techniques in Analysis, New York, Springer, 1995.
- [2] R.F. Bass, Stochastic Processes, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2011.
- [3] R.F. Bass, N. Eisenbaum, and Z. Shi, The most visited sites of symmetric stable processes. Probab. Theory Related Fields 116 (2000) 391–404.
- [4] R.F. Bass and P.S. Griffin, The most visited site of Brownian motion and simple random walk. Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 70 (1985) 417–436.
- [5] J. Bertoin and L. Marsalle, Point le plus visité par un mouvement brownien avec dérive, Séminaire de Probabilités XXXII, 397–411, Springer, Berlin, 1998.
- [6] T. Byczkowski, J. Małeck, and M. Ryznar, Hitting times of Bessel processes. *Potential Analysis* 38 (2013) 753–768.
- [7] E. Csáki and A. Földes, How small are the increments of the local time of a Wiener process? Ann. Probab. 14, (1986) 533–546.
- [8] E. Csáki, P. Révész, and Z. Shi, Favorite sites, favorite values and jump sizes for random walk and Brownian motion. Bernoulli 6 (2000) 951–975.
- [9] J. Ding and J. Shen. Three favorite sites occurs infinitely often for one-dimensional simple random walk. Ann. Probab. 46 (2018) 2545–2561.
- [10] N. Eisenbaum, Temps locaux, excursions et lieu le plus visité par un mouvement brownien lineaire. Thèse de doctorat, Université de Paris 7, 1989.
- [11] N. Eisenbaum, On the most visited sites by a symmetric stable process. Probab. Theory Related Fields 107 (1997) 527–535.
- [12] N. Eisenbaum and D. Khoshnevisan, On the most visited sites of symmetric Markov processes. Stochastic Process. Appl. 101 (2002) 241–256.

- [13] P. Erdős and P. Révész, On the favourite points of a random walk. *Mathematical Structure-Computational Mathematics-Mathematical Modelling* 2, 152–157. Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, 1984.
- [14] Y. Hu and Z. Shi, The problem of the most visited site in random environment, *Probability Theory Related Fields* 116 (2000) 273–302.
- [15] H. Kesten, An iterated logarithm law for local time. *Duke Math. J.* 32 (1965) 447–456.
- [16] D. Khoshnevisan and T.M. Lewis, The favorite point of a Poisson process, *Stochastic Processes Applic.* 57 (1995) 19–38.
- [17] F.B. Knight, *Essentials of Brownian Motion and Diffusion*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1981.
- [18] C. Leuridan, Problèmes lié aux temps locaux du mouvement brownien: estimations de normes  $H^p$ , théorèmes de Ray-Knight sur le tore, point le plus visité. Thèse de doctorat, Université Joseph Fourier, Grenoble, 1994.
- [19] C. Leuridan, Le point d'un fermé le plus visité par le mouvement brownien. *Ann. Probab.* 25 (1997) 953–996.
- [20] M.A. Lifshits and Z. Shi, The escape rate of favourite sites of simple random walk and Brownian motion. *Ann. Probab.* 32 (2004) 129–152.
- [21] M.B. Marcus, The most visited sites of certain Lévy processes. *J. Theoret. Probab.* 14 (2001) 867–885.
- [22] M.B. Marcus and J. Rosen, *Markov Processes, Gaussian Processes, and Local Times*. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [23] A.A. Novikov, On estimates and the asymptotic behavior of nonexit probabilities of a Wiener process to a moving boundary. *Math USSR Sbornik* 38 (1981) 495–505.
- [24] P. Révész, Local time and invariance. *Analytical Methods in Probability Theory. Lecture Notes in Math.* 861, 128–145. Springer, Berlin, 1981.
- [25] D. Revuz and M. Yor, *Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion*, 3rd ed. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.

- [26] Z. Shi and B. Tóth, Favorite sites of simple random walk. *Period. Math. Hungar.* 41 (2000) 237–249.
- [27] B. Tóth, No more than three favorite sites for simple random walk. *Ann. Probab.* 29 (2001) 484–503.

**Richard F. Bass**  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Connecticut  
Storrs, CT 06269-3009, USA  
`r.bass@uconn.edu`