

# Characteristic classes of flags of foliations and Lie algebra cohomology

Anton Khoroshkin \*

## Abstract

We prove the conjecture by Feigin, Fuchs and Gelfand describing the Lie algebra cohomology of formal vector fields on an  $n$ -dimensional space with coefficients in symmetric powers of the coadjoint representation. We also compute the cohomology of the Lie algebra of formal vector fields that preserve a given flag at the origin. The latter encodes characteristic classes of flags of foliations and was used in the formulation of the local Riemann-Roch Theorem by Feigin and Tsygan.

Feigin, Fuchs and Gelfand described the first symmetric power and to do this they had to make use of a fearsomely complicated computation in invariant theory. By the application of degeneration theorems of appropriate Hochschild-Serre spectral sequences we avoid the need to use the methods of FFG, and moreover we are able to describe all the symmetric powers at once.

## Contents

<b>0</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
0.1	Main results . . . . .	2
0.2	Motivations: formal geometry . . . . .	4
0.3	Outline of the paper . . . . .	5
0.4	Acknowledgments . . . . .	6
<b>1</b>	<b>Notations and recollections</b>	<b>6</b>
1.1	Lie algebras of formal vector fields and their subalgebras . . . . .	6
1.1.1	Extension by $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued functions . . . . .	6
1.1.2	Vector fields preserving foliation structures . . . . .	6
1.1.3	Vector fields that are linear in the normal direction to the leaves of a foliation . . . . .	7
1.2	Lie algebra cohomology . . . . .	7
1.3	Weyl superalgebra . . . . .	8
1.3.1	Relative case . . . . .	9
<b>2</b>	<b>Relative chains and truncated Weyl superalgebras</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1	known results . . . . .	9
2.2	Main theorems . . . . .	10
2.3	Absolute case . . . . .	12
2.4	Characteristic classes of flags of foliations . . . . .	13

---

\*The research is partially supported by RFBR grants 13-02-00478, 13-01-12401, by "The National Research University–Higher School of Economics" Academic Fund Program in 2013-2014, research grant 14-01-0124, by Dynasty foundation and Simons-IUM fellowship.

<b>3</b>	<b>The core of the proof</b>	<b>15</b>
3.1	Parabolic subalgebra . . . . .	15
3.2	Degeneration of Hochschild-Serre spectral sequences . . . . .	17
3.3	Final conclusions . . . . .	19
<b>4</b>	<b>Particular computations</b>	<b>20</b>
4.1	dimension series for absolute cohomology of $W(1, \dots, 1)$ . . . . .	20
4.2	Formulas for cocycles in the case of a line ( $n = 1$ ) . . . . .	22
<b>A</b>	<b>Chains on the Lie algebra <math>W_n</math> and <math>\mathfrak{gl}</math>-invariants</b>	<b>22</b>
A.1	$\mathfrak{gl}$ -decompositions . . . . .	23
A.2	Graphs representing chains on $W_m$ . . . . .	23
A.2.1	Chains on $WL(m ; \mathfrak{g})$ . . . . .	24
A.3	formulas for cocycles . . . . .	25
<b>B</b>	<b>Relative cohomology of parabolic Lie subalgebra</b>	<b>26</b>
B.1	Notation . . . . .	26
B.2	Applications of BGG resolution . . . . .	27
B.2.1	Particular case of matrices with two blocks . . . . .	29

## 0 Introduction

### 0.1 Main results

The main result of this paper is a computation of the homology of the Lie algebra  $W_n$  of formal vector fields on an  $n$ -dimensional space.

$$W_n := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \mid f_i \in \mathbb{k}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] \right\}$$

In the early 70's B. Feigin, D. Fuchs and I. Gelfand stated a conjectural description of its cohomology with coefficients in symmetric powers of the coadjoint representation. They described applications of this conjecture to formal geometry, Gelfand-Fuchs cohomology and the theory of foliations. This problem was formulated at the famous Gelfand seminar at Moscow State University. In [18] the same authors confirmed their conjecture for the particular case of the first symmetric power of the coadjoint representation. Later on, in 1989, this cohomological conjecture was offered as a proposition<sup>1</sup> by B. Feigin and B. Tsygan in [10] and was used in the proof of the local Riemann-Roch theorem. In 2003, V. Dotsenko ([7]) directly computed the cohomology for the linear dual problem in the case  $n = 1$ . Namely, he computed the homology of the Lie algebra of polynomial vector fields on the line with coefficients in symmetric powers of the adjoint representation.

In this article a uniform method will be presented, which does not involve lengthy computations with invariants and by means of which the cohomologies may be described for all cases of positive  $n$  and all symmetric powers at once. The main results can be summarised in the following two statements, proved in Sections 2.3 and 2.2 respectively.

**Theorem** (Theorem 2.3.20, Corollary 2.2.15). *For all  $k \geq 1$  the cohomology of the Lie algebra  $W_n$  relative to the subalgebra  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$  of linear vector fields with coefficients in the  $k$ -th symmetric power of the*

---

<sup>1</sup>without a proof

coadjoint representation vanishes everywhere except for degree  $2n$  and the  $2n$ th cohomology coincides with the space of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ -invariants in the  $(n+k)$ -th symmetric power of adjoint representation  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ :

$$H^{2n}(W_n, \mathfrak{gl}_n; S^k W_n^*) = [S^{n+k} \mathfrak{gl}_n]^{\mathfrak{gl}_n}. \quad (0.1.1)$$

The absolute cohomology has the following description:

$$H^i(W_n; S^k W_n^*) = \begin{cases} [S^{n+k} \mathfrak{gl}_n]^{\mathfrak{gl}_n} \otimes [\Lambda^{i-2n}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)]^{\mathfrak{gl}_n}, & \text{if } 2n \leq i \leq n^2 + 2n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Recall that the algebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ -invariants in the symmetric algebra  $S^\bullet(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$  is isomorphic to the symmetric algebra with  $n$  generators of degrees  $1, 2, \dots, n$ . Also, the algebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ -invariants in the exterior algebra  $\Lambda^\bullet(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$  is isomorphic to the exterior algebra with  $n$  odd generators of degrees  $1, 3, 5, \dots, 2n-1$ . This is explained for example in [31, 12]. In particular, we can identify the  $2n$ th cohomology  $H^{2n}(W_n; S^k W_n^*)$  of order  $2n$  with the subspace of polynomials of degree  $n+k$  in the polynomial algebra  $\mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  subject to the condition that the generator  $x_i$  has degree  $i$ . Formulas for the generating cocycles of (0.1.1) are given in Section §A.3.

At the same time we prove another homological conjecture initially formulated in formal geometry and in foliation theory (see e.g. [9]): we compute the cohomology of the Lie algebra of formal vector fields that preserve a given flag of foliations. More precisely, let us fix a collection of natural numbers  $\bar{n} = (n_0, \dots, n_k)$  with  $|\bar{n}| = n_0 + \dots + n_k$ , and fix a sequence of trivial embedded foliations  $\{\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots, \mathcal{F}_k\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{|\bar{n}|}$  such that the foliation  $\mathcal{F}_{i+1}$  has codimension  $n_i$  in  $\mathcal{F}_i$  ( $n_0$  is the codimension of  $\mathcal{F}_1$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{|\bar{n}|}$ ). The Lie algebra  $W(n_0, \dots, n_k)$  consists of formal vector fields that infinitesimally preserve all foliations near the origin (see section 1.1 for details). That is

$$W(n_0, \dots, n_k) := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{|\bar{n}|} f_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left| \begin{array}{l} f_1, \dots, f_{n_0} \in \mathbb{R}[[x_1, \dots, x_{|\bar{n}|}]], \\ f_{n_0+1}, \dots, f_{n_0+n_1} \in \mathbb{R}[[x_{n_0+1}, \dots, x_{|\bar{n}|}]], \\ f_{n_0+n_1+1}, \dots, f_{n_0+n_1+n_2} \in \mathbb{R}[[x_{n_0+n_1+1}, \dots, x_{|\bar{n}|}]], \\ \dots \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

Consider the maximal reductive subalgebra in the space of linear vector fields that preserve the flag mentioned above. This subalgebra is isomorphic to the direct sum of matrix algebras  $\mathfrak{gl}_{n_0} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{n_k}$ . Let  $I_{n_0, \dots, n_k}$  be the ideal in the symmetric algebra  $S^\bullet(\mathfrak{gl}_{n_0} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{n_k})$  generated by the sum  $\bigoplus_{r=0}^k S^{n_0+\dots+n_r+1}(\mathfrak{gl}_{n_0} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{n_r})$ . We state the description of the relative cohomology in terms of the quotient algebra:

**Theorem** (Cor.2.2.19 below). *The cohomology of the Lie algebra  $W(n_0, \dots, n_k)$  relative to subalgebra  $\mathfrak{gl}_{n_0} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{n_k}$  with trivial coefficients are different from zero only in even degrees and coincides with the algebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}_{n_0} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{n_k}$  invariants in the factor-algebra of the symmetric algebra  $S^\bullet(\mathfrak{gl}_{n_0} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{n_k})$  by the ideal  $I_{n_0, \dots, n_k}$ . In particular, for all  $i$  we have:*

$$H^i(W(n_0, \dots, n_k), \mathfrak{gl}_{n_0} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{n_k}; \mathbb{K}) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i = 2k + 1, \\ \left[ \frac{S^k(\mathfrak{gl}_{n_0} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{n_k})}{I_{n_0, \dots, n_k}} \right]^{\mathfrak{gl}_{n_0} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{n_k}}, & \text{if } i = 2k. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, the algebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}$  invariants has canonical description as a ring of symmetric functions:

$$[S^\bullet(\mathfrak{gl}_{n_0} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{n_k})]^{\mathfrak{gl}_{n_0} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{n_k}} = \mathbb{K}[\Psi_{01}, \dots, \Psi_{0n_0}; \dots; \Psi_{k1}, \dots, \Psi_{kn_k}].$$

Namely, the aforementioned ring is the free commutative algebra generated by the set of generators  $\{\Psi_{ij}\}$ , index  $i$  ranges from 0 to  $k$  and  $j$  ranges from 1 to  $n_i$ . The degree of the generator  $\Psi_{ij}$  coincides with

the second index  $j$ . The intersection of the ideal  $I_{n_0, \dots, n_k}$  with the subalgebra of invariants is generated by monomials  $(\Psi_{01}^{\alpha_{01}} \dots \Psi_{0n_0}^{\alpha_{0n_0}}) \dots (\Psi_{r1}^{\alpha_{r1}} \dots \Psi_{rn_r}^{\alpha_{rn_r}})$  such that  $(\sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \alpha_{ij}) > n_0 + \dots + n_r$ , where the index  $r$  ranges from 0 to  $k$  as above.

The answer for the absolute cohomology of the Lie algebra  $W(n_0, \dots, n_k)$  may be complicated for particular choice of integer numbers  $(n_0, \dots, n_k)$ , however there exists a straitforward procedure on how one should compute this absolute cohomology. We refer these discussions to Section 2.3 and in particular to Theorem 2.3.21. The particular case  $\bar{n} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$  where all dimensions are computed in terms of Catalan numbers is considered in Section 4.1.

## 0.2 Motivations: formal geometry

In the early 1970s I. M. Gelfand presented the description of characteristic classes of a manifold of dimension  $n$  using the Lie algebra cohomology of the infinite-dimensional Lie algebra of formal vector fields  $W_n$ . (See e.g. [16] written after presentations at the Gelfands famous seminar, and an overview after ICM in Nice [15]; more details can be found in [12]ch.3,[16],[1],[3].)

The core construction is called formal geometry or Gelfand-Fuchs cohomology and looks as follows.

To any complex smooth manifold  $M$  of dimension  $n$  we assign the infinite-dimensional manifold  $M^{coor}$  of formal coordinates on  $M$ . Formally, a point of  $M^{coor}$  is a pair: a point  $p \in M$  together with a system of formal coordinates in a neighbourhood of  $p$ . In other words, the manifold  $M^{coor}$  is the space of  $\infty$ -jets of submersions  $M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  with 0 as target.

The tangent space at each point of  $M^{coor}$  is isomorphic to the Lie algebra of formal vector fields  $W_n$ . The union of these isomorphisms defines a map from the Chevalley–Eilenberg complex of the Lie algebra  $W_n$  to the De Rham complex of  $M^{coor}$ . However, there is a canonical fibration  $M^{coor} \rightarrow M$  whose fibers are homotopy equivalent to the Lie group  $\mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  and consequently to its compact subgroup  $U(n)$  of unitary matrices. Thus, we get the following morphism of relative complexes:

$$ch : C^\bullet(W_n, \mathfrak{gl}_n; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \Omega_{DR}^\bullet\left(\frac{M^{coor}}{U(n)}\right).$$

and the corresponding characteristic map of cohomology rings:

$$ch : H^\bullet(W_n, \mathfrak{gl}_n; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_{DR}^\bullet\left(\frac{M^{coor}}{U(n)}\right) = H_{DR}^\bullet(M).$$

This map describes characteristic classes of the tangent bundle using the Lie algebra cohomology of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras. The same construction works for real manifolds. The main difference is that one has to replace  $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  by  $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$  and the compact subgroup  $U(n) \subset GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  by a compact Lie group  $O(n) \subset GL_n(\mathbb{R})$  of orthogonal matrices and get a characteristic map:

$$ch : H^\bullet(W_n, \mathfrak{o}_n; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_{DR}^\bullet\left(\frac{M^{coor}}{O(n)}\right) = H_{DR}^\bullet(M).$$

The procedure suggested above depends on the local data. One can follow the same approach while working with manifolds having additional geometric data. For example, one considers the manifold  $M_{\mathcal{F}}^{coor}$  of system of  $n$  formal coordinates in the directions transversal to the leaves of a given foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  of a manifold  $M$  of codimension  $n$ . The tangent space to the infinite-dimensional manifold  $M_{\mathcal{F}}^{coor}$  will be isomorphic to the Lie algebra  $W_n$ . This provides a description of the characteristic classes of foliations generalizing the Godbillon-Vey characteristic class. A similar construction may be given if one is interested in the characteristic classes of  $G$ -bundles over  $M$  for a compact group  $G$  (see e.g. [21]). The cohomological results we obtain below are applied for the following constructions of the same kind:

1. To each foliation with prescribed codimension  $d$  of an  $n$ -dimensional manifold we assign an infinite-dimensional manifold of formal coordinates on the underlying manifold such that the first  $d$  coordinates should be coordinates on a leaf.

In particular, the above situation is interesting even in the case of a fibration  $f : S \mapsto M$  where the fiber over each point  $p \in M$  is a compact manifold of some given dimension. (See e.g.[10] for details and [8, 13, 14] for applications to the local Riemann-Roch theorem).

2. Consider a flag of foliations. The assigned infinite-dimensional manifold will consist of formal coordinates preserving leaves. That is, the first  $n_1$  coordinates will form coordinates transversal to leaves of the foliation with smallest codimension, first  $n_1 + n_2$  coordinates will form coordinates transversal to leaves of the second foliation and so on. (See [9] for detailed construction.)

The corresponding infinite-dimensional Lie algebra in the first example is known to coincide with  $W(d, n - d)$ . In the second example one has to compute the cohomology of the Lie algebra  $W(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ , where  $(n_1, \dots, n_k)$  is the collection of codimensions. Thus, the cohomological computations we made lead to a description of characteristic classes of flags of foliations.

Let us mention a particular application to the theory of foliations. Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete subgroup of the Lie group  $SL_{n+1}(\mathbb{R})$ . Let  $\mathbf{P}$  be a subgroup of  $SL_{n+1}(\mathbb{R})$  that fixes a given line in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Then  $\mathbf{P}$  acts on  $SL_{n+1}(\mathbb{R})/\Gamma$  by left translations and the orbits of this action define a foliation  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{P}}$  of codimension  $n$ . The following Corollary is proved in Section 2.4:

**Corollary** (Proposition 2.4.27). *The foliation  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{P}}$  on  $SL_{n+1}(\mathbb{R})/\Gamma$  can not be a subfoliation. That is, there is a cohomological obstruction to the existence of a flag of foliations  $\mathcal{F}_1 \supset \mathcal{F}_2$  on  $SL_{n+1}(\mathbb{R})/\Gamma$  such that the foliation  $\mathcal{F}_2$  is concordant to  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{P}}$ .*

### 0.3 Outline of the paper

The paper is organized as follows:

Section 1 contains some standard definitions (Subsection 1.2), a description of all infinite-dimensional Lie algebras whose cohomology we compute (Subsection 1.1) and a description of the acyclic Weyl superalgebra (Subsection 1.3).

Section 2 contains statements of all the main results of this paper. We start from Subsection 2.1 where we recall a classical result by Gelfand and Fuchs and its generalization due to the author. In Subsection 2.2 we formulate the main Theorems 2.2.13 and 2.2.17 on relative cohomology of Lie algebras  $WL(m|n)$  and  $W(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ . In Subsection 2.3 we describe absolute cohomologies in terms of relative ones. A particular cohomological obstruction to the existence of a flag of foliations is given in Subsection 2.4.

Section 3 contains a proof of the main Theorem 2.2.13. We state several homological results on the relative homology of a parabolic subalgebra in Subsection 3.1, however, the proofs of these results are postponed to Appendix B. In Subsection 3.2 we use these results in order to describe the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequences associated with embeddings of a parabolic subalgebra into appropriate infinite-dimensional Lie subalgebras of the Lie algebra  $W_n$  of formal vector fields. In Subsection 3.3 we show how degenerations of the aforementioned Hochschild-Serre spectral sequences imply the main Theorem 2.2.13.

We illustrate the combinatorics of our main result in two particular cases in Section 4. Namely, we give a description of the representing cocycles in the absolute case  $W(1, \dots, 1)$  in Subsection 4.1. and for the Lie algebra cohomology  $H^*(W_1; S^m W_1^*)$  in Subsection 4.2. Description of representing cocycles for arbitrary  $n$  requires more definitions, and, therefore, this is presented in Appendix A.3.

Appendix A deals with a careful description of the relative chains and cocycles for the infinite-dimensional Lie algebras under consideration.

Appendix B contains general results on cohomology of parabolic Lie subalgebras based on the existence of BGG resolution. The particular case of upper block-triangular matrices leads to Lemmas 3.1.29 and 3.1.31 which was used in the proof of the main Theorem 2.2.13.

## 0.4 Acknowledgments

I am grateful to V. Dotsenko, B. Shoikhet, D. B. Fuchs for useful discussions. Special thanks are addressed to Boris Shoikhet for his explanations of the importance of this problem and to my advisor Boris Feigin for many useful and stimulating discussions. My conversations with him about this problem took place over several years.

# 1 Notations and recollections

## 1.1 Lie algebras of formal vector fields and their subalgebras

The main infinite-dimensional Lie algebra in this article is the Lie algebra of formal vector fields on the  $n$ -dimensional plane. We use the standard notation  $W_n$  for this Lie algebra following textbook [12].

Let us fix coordinates  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  on the  $n$ -dimensional plane  $\mathbb{k}^n$ . The ring of  $\infty$ -jets at the origin  $0 \in \mathbb{k}^n$  coincides with the ring of formal power series  $\mathbb{k}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  in  $n$  variables. We will also use shorter notation  $\mathcal{O}_n$  for the same ring. The infinite-dimensional Lie algebra of derivations of this ring is called the Lie algebra of formal vector fields on  $\mathbb{k}^n$  and is denoted by  $W_n$ . Any vector field  $\nu \in W_n$  admits a presentation in coordinates  $\nu = \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ , where  $\nu_i \in \mathcal{O}_n$ . The subspace of vector fields with linear coefficients  $\left\{ \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right\}$  forms a Lie subalgebra isomorphic to the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}_n = \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k})$  of  $n \times n$ -matrices.

### 1.1.1 Extension by $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued functions

To any Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  with commutator  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}$  we can assign a new infinite-dimensional Lie algebra  $W_n \times \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{O}_n$  (denoted later by  $W(n; \mathfrak{g})$ ). This algebra is a semi-direct product of the Lie algebra of formal vector fields and the Lie algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued formal power series on  $\mathbb{k}^n$ . In particular, the commutator in this algebra looks as follows:

$$[\eta_1 + g_1 \otimes p_1, \eta_2 + g_2 \otimes p_2] = [\eta_1, \eta_2] + [g_1, g_2]_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes p_1 p_2 + g_2 \otimes \eta_1(p_2) - g_1 \otimes \eta_2(p_1), \quad (1.1.2)$$

where  $\eta_i \in W_n$ ,  $g_i \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $p_i \in \mathcal{O}_n$  with  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . This algebra was used in [21] in order to define the characteristic classes of  $G$ -bundles (whenever  $G$  is a compact group) using the constructions of formal geometry.

### 1.1.2 Vector fields preserving foliation structures

Let us substitute in the example from the previous Section 1.1.1 instead of  $\mathfrak{g}$  the infinite-dimensional Lie algebra  $W_m$  which does not admit a Lie group at all. However, the geometrical meaning of the Lie algebra  $W_n \times W_m \otimes \mathcal{O}_n$  is clear. Indeed, the Lie algebra  $W_n \times W_m \otimes \mathcal{O}_n$  is a subalgebra of the Lie algebra  $W_{n+m}$  consisting of formal vector fields on  $\mathbb{k}^{n+m}$  that preserve the trivial foliation  $\mathbb{k}^n \times \mathbb{k}^m$ , where for any  $p \in \mathbb{k}^m$  the product  $\mathbb{k}^n \times \{p\}$  is considered to be a leaf of this foliation. In other words, a vector field  $\nu = \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} \nu_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$  belongs to  $W_n \times W_m \otimes \mathcal{O}_n$  whenever for all  $i$  from 1 to  $n$  the formal power series  $\nu_i$  does not depend on  $x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+m}$ .

Let us also recall the generalization of this Lie algebra to the case of a flag of foliations. Namely, let us fix a collection of integers  $\bar{n} = (n_0, n_1, \dots, n_k)$  and consider a collection of trivial embedded foliations  $\{\mathcal{F}_1 \supset \dots \supset \mathcal{F}_k\}$  with prescribed codimensions. Namely,  $\mathcal{F}_i := \mathbb{k}^{n_0 + \dots + n_{i-1}} \times \mathbb{k}^{n_i + \dots + n_k}$  and each leaf of  $\mathcal{F}_i$  is equal to  $p \times \mathbb{k}^{n_i + \dots + n_k}$  for some point  $p \in \mathbb{k}^{n_0 + \dots + n_{i-1}}$ . The Lie algebra  $W(n_0, n_1, \dots, n_k)$  is a Lie subalgebra of  $W_{n_0 + n_1 + \dots + n_k}$  consisting of those vector fields that preserve the aforementioned flag of foliations. In other words, a vector field  $\nu = \sum_{i=1}^{n_0 + \dots + n_k} \nu_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$  belongs to  $W(n_0, n_1, \dots, n_k)$  if and only if for all  $i$  the formal power series  $\nu_i$  depends only on variables  $x_1, \dots, x_{n_0 + \dots + n_r}$  where  $r$  is the integer defined

by the inequality  $n_0 + \dots + n_{r-1} < i < n_0 + \dots + n_r$ . The Lie algebra  $W(n_0, n_1, \dots, n_k)$  contains a direct sum of matrix subalgebras  $\mathfrak{gl}_{n_r}$  where  $r$  ranges from 0 to  $k$ . Each  $\mathfrak{gl}_{n_r}$  is generated by the fields  $x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$  where  $i, j$  satisfy the inequality  $n_0 + \dots + n_{r-1} < i, j < n_0 + \dots + n_r$ .

### 1.1.3 Vector fields that are linear in the normal direction to the leaves of a foliation

We also want to give special notations for another class of subalgebras of vector fields. These Lie algebras are very useful for our homological computations:

Define  $WL(n|m)$  to be the subalgebra of  $W(n, m)$  consisting of those fields  $\nu = \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} \nu_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$  for which the power series  $\nu_i$  is a polynomial of degree no more than 1 for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . That is the intersection of  $WL(n|m)$  with  $W_n$  is a subspace of  $W_n$  spanned by linear and constant vector fields. Similarly, one can define the Lie algebra  $WL(n_0, \dots, n_k | n_{k+1}, \dots, n_{k+l})$  by the same property. We say that a vector field  $\nu = \sum_{i=1}^{n_0+\dots+n_k} \nu_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$  from  $W(n_0, \dots, n_{k+l})$  belongs to  $WL(n_0, \dots, n_k | n_{k+1}, \dots, n_{k+l})$  if all  $\nu_i$  are linear or constant for all integer  $i$  from the interval  $[1, n_0 + \dots + n_k]$ .

Analogously to the extension in Subsection 1.1.1, we consider the extension of the Lie algebra of flag-preserving vector fields by the Lie algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued functions. Let  $W(n_1, \dots, n_k; \mathfrak{g})$  be the semi-direct product  $W(n_1, \dots, n_k) \ltimes \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{n_1+\dots+n_k}$  with commutator defined analogously to (1.1.2), while the Lie algebra  $WL(n_1, \dots, n_k | n_{k+1}, \dots, n_{k+l}; \mathfrak{g})$  is its subalgebra whose intersection with  $W_{n_1+\dots+n_k}$  consists of linear or constant vector fields.

## 1.2 Lie algebra cohomology

The cohomology of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  with coefficients in a module  $M$  is the derived homomorphism from a trivial module  $\mathbb{k}$  to  $M$ :

$$H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; M) := Ext_{U(\mathfrak{g})}^\bullet(\mathbb{k}, M).$$

However, the Koszul resolution  $\Lambda^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$  produces a small complex that counts the derived homomorphisms. This complex is called the Chevalley–Eilenberg complex  $C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; M)$ . The CE complex is isomorphic to the tensor product  $\Lambda^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}[1])^* \otimes M$  of a free graded commutative algebra generated by the dual vector space  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  shifted by 1 and the module  $M$ . The differential is extended by the Leibniz rule from the maps  $\mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}^*$  and  $M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes M$ , dual to the commutator map  $[\cdot, \cdot] : \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  and the map  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes M \rightarrow M$  which defines the action. For the trivial module  $M = \mathbb{k}$  the Chevalley–Eilenberg complex is a dg-algebra. A review of the definition of homology of Lie algebras in terms of derived functors may be found in textbooks on homological algebra (see e.g. [30] ch.7). We also refer the reader to the monograph [12] for detailed definitions via Chevalley–Eilenberg complex. The same monograph also contains a description of the most frequently used methods of computation and the particular computation for infinite-dimensional Lie algebras.

In this article we are mostly interested in the Lie algebra cohomology of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras. There are several ways to avoid problems related to the finiteness conditions. One way is to consider a grading on a Lie algebra such that the graded components are finite-dimensional. Then all considered modules should be graded and the duals should also be graded duals. Another possibility is to consider the topology on a Lie algebra. The Chevalley–Eilenberg complex  $C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; L)$  of a topological Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  consists of continuous skew-symmetric maps from several copies of  $\mathfrak{g}$  to a topological module  $L$ .

The main computational method we use below is the Hochschild–Serre spectral sequences. Let us briefly recall the origin of this spectral sequence. Consider an embedding of Lie algebras  $i : \mathfrak{h} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  and a decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$  as  $\mathfrak{h}$ -modules. Then there exists a canonical filtration on the Chevalley–Eilenberg complex of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  associated with this embedding  $i$ :

$$C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; L) = Hom(\Lambda^\bullet \mathfrak{g}; L) \supset Hom(\Lambda^\bullet \mathfrak{g} \otimes \Lambda^1 \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}; L) \supset \dots \supset Hom(\Lambda^\bullet \mathfrak{g} \otimes \Lambda^k \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}; L) \supset \dots \quad (1.2.3)$$

The spectral sequence associated with this filtration is called the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence and has the following first term  $E_1^{p,q} = H^q(\mathfrak{h}; \text{Hom}(\Lambda^p(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}); L))$ .

We do all homological computations over an arbitrary field  $\mathbb{k}$  of zero characteristic and these computations remain true over the field of rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$ . However, all reasonable applications deal with the case of complex or real coefficients while working with formal geometry for complex or real smooth manifolds respectively.

### 1.3 Weyl superalgebra

In this section we recall a standard construction from homological algebra. The Weyl superalgebra described below is acyclic, but it has a standard filtration such that the corresponding spectral sequence coincides in some cases with the one coming from the universal bundle over the classifying space. It is possible to define characteristic classes of fibrations using a filtered map from a Weyl superalgebra. The details of this construction may be found, for example, in [12, 21].

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra. Consider a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie superalgebra that is a semi-direct product of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  (placed in degree 0) and its adjoint representation (placed in degree  $-1$ ). Define a differential on this semi-direct product which maps identically one copy of  $\mathfrak{g}[1]$  to another copy of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We denote this algebra by  $\omega(\mathfrak{g})$ .

$$\omega(\mathfrak{g}) := \begin{array}{cccccccc} \cdots & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & \mathfrak{g} & \xrightarrow{Id} & \mathfrak{g} & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & \cdots \\ & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & & \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \text{the complex itself} \\ \text{homological degrees} \end{array} \quad (1.3.4)$$

The Chevalley-Eilenberg complex  $C^\bullet(\omega(\mathfrak{g}))$  of this Lie super-algebra is called *the Weyl (super)algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$*  and is denoted by  $\mathcal{W}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$ . The Weyl algebra  $\mathcal{W}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$  is acyclic since the complex (1.3.4) has vanishing cohomology. However, this complex  $\mathcal{W}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$  is a filtered complex and the corresponding spectral sequence is of particular interest. Indeed, consider the Hochschild-Serre filtration associated with the embedding of the original Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  into  $\omega(\mathfrak{g})$ . We call this filtration *standard* and denote it by  $F^\bullet \mathcal{W}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$ . In particular, we have the following isomorphisms for the associated graded terms:

$$F^{2k} \mathcal{W}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}) / F^{2k+1} \mathcal{W}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}) = C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; S^k \mathfrak{g}^*)[-2k] \quad \text{and} \quad F^{2k-1} \mathcal{W}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}) / F^{2k} \mathcal{W}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$$

That is for even numbers the associated graded complex coincides with the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex of the original Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  with coefficients in the symmetric power of the coadjoint representation. The associated graded complexes for odd numbers of the filtration are empty.

*Remark 1.3.5.* Suppose that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a semi-simple Lie algebra associated with a compact Lie group  $G$ . The spectral sequence associated with the standard filtration on the Weyl algebra  $\mathcal{W}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$  is a standard example of transgression and coincides with the Leray-Serre spectral sequence associated with the universal fibration:  $EG \xrightarrow{G} BG$ . Here  $EG$  is a contractible space endowed with the free action of the Lie group  $G$  and the quotient space  $BG$  is called the classifying space. In particular, the cohomology of the classifying space  $BG$  differs from zero only in even degrees and the even cohomology  $H^{2n}(BG)$  coincides with the space of invariants  $[S^n \mathfrak{g}^*]^\mathfrak{g}$  of the  $n$ th symmetric power of the coadjoint representation.

Similarly to the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex the Weyl algebra defines a contravariant functor from the category of Lie algebras to the category of filtered dg-algebras. In particular, any morphism  $\varphi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  of Lie algebras defines a morphism of corresponding Weyl superalgebras  $\mathcal{W}(\varphi) : \mathcal{W}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\bullet(\mathfrak{h})$  compatible with standard filtrations. Moreover, whenever  $\varphi$  is an embedding and there exists an inverse map of  $\mathfrak{h}$ -modules  $\psi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$  (that gives an isomorphism of  $\mathfrak{h}$ -modules  $\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ ), there exists a canonical map of filtered complexes

$$\psi^* : \mathcal{W}^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}) \rightarrow C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathbb{k}). \quad (1.3.6)$$

Where the filtration on the Weyl superalgebra is standard and the filtration on the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex  $C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathbb{k})$  is the Hochschild-Serre filtration (1.2.3) associated with the embedding  $\mathfrak{h} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ .



### 1.3.1 Relative case

Suppose  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . There is a notion of relative Lie algebra cohomology of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  relative to  $\mathfrak{h}$  and with coefficients in a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $M$ . This cohomology theory can be described as a certain derived functor from the category of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules with mild assumptions on the  $\mathfrak{h}$ -action. For example if  $\mathfrak{h}$  is semi-simple one has to consider derived homomorphisms in the category of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules with  $\mathfrak{h}$  acting locally finite. We refer for details on abstract definition to e.g. [30]. Nevertheless, we define the relative Chevalley-Eilenberg complex  $C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}; M)$  which computes the relative cohomology. This complex consists of those chains  $c \in C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; M) = \text{Hom}(\Lambda^\bullet \mathfrak{g}, M)$  such that  $c(h, \dots) = 0 = d_{CE}(c)(h, \dots)$  whenever  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ . In particular, if  $h$  is semisimple then  $C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}; M)$  is isomorphic to the subcomplex of  $\mathfrak{h}$ -invariants  $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\Lambda^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}), M)$ .

We can apply the same procedure for the Weyl superalgebra. Indeed, starting from a Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  we define *the relative Weyl superalgebra*  $\mathcal{W}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  to be the relative Chevalley-Eilenberg complex of the Lie superalgebra  $\omega(\mathfrak{g})$  relatively to the Lie subalgebra  $(0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{h})$ . In particular, the components of the associated graded complex with respect to the standard filtration looks as follows:

$$F^{2k}\mathcal{W}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})/F^{2k+1}\mathcal{W}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) = C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}; S^k \mathfrak{g}^*)[-2k] \quad \text{and} \quad F^{2k-1}\mathcal{W}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})/F^{2k}\mathcal{W}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) = 0 \quad (1.3.7)$$

Note that the relative Weyl dg-algebra is no longer acyclic. However, if  $\mathfrak{h}$  is semi-simple and admits a compact group  $H$  then the cohomology of the relative Weyl algebra  $\mathcal{W}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  is equal to the cohomology of the classifying space  $BH \simeq EH/H \simeq \{point\}/H$ . That is the total cohomology  $H^\bullet(\mathcal{W}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}))$  vanishes in odd degrees and is isomorphic to the ring of invariants  $[S^\bullet \mathfrak{h}]^{\mathfrak{h}}$  in even degrees.

## 2 Relative chains and truncated Weyl superalgebras

In this section we begin by recalling the classical results from [17] concerning the description of the relative cohomology ring of the Lie algebra  $W_n$  modulo the subalgebra of linear vector fields  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$  and similar results obtained by the author in [21] on the cohomology ring of the Lie algebra  $W_n \ltimes \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{O}_n$ . Then we consider a particular case of the Lie algebras  $W(m, n)$  and state similar results for the Lie algebra  $WL(m|n)$ . Finally we state the main general results which we prove in the next Section 3. All results in this section either consist of careful descriptions of relative chains based on hunting of  $\mathfrak{gl}$ -invariants or are implications of theorems from the following section. In either case we will give direct links to Appendix A or to Section 3.

### 2.1 known results

Recall one of the first cohomological computations in formal geometry due to Gelfand and Fuchs:

**Theorem 2.1.8.** ([17]) *The space of relative cochains of formal vector fields  $W_n$  with constant coefficients is isomorphic to the truncated cohomology of the classifying space  $BU_n$ :*

$$\frac{H^\bullet(BU_n)}{H^{>2n}(BU_n)} = \frac{[S^\bullet(\mathfrak{gl}_n)]^{\mathfrak{gl}_n}}{[S^{>n}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)]^{\mathfrak{gl}_n}} = \frac{\mathcal{W}^\bullet(\mathfrak{gl}_n, \mathfrak{gl}_n)}{F^{2n+1}\mathcal{W}^\bullet(\mathfrak{gl}_n, \mathfrak{gl}_n)} \xrightarrow{\simeq} C^\bullet(W_n, \mathfrak{gl}_n; \mathbb{k})$$

*In particular, there are no relative cochains of odd degree.*

This computation is based on the description of relative chains as  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ -invariants. We refer to the original paper [17] and to Appendix A.2 for details. We draw the arrow from the truncated Weyl superalgebra following the existence of map (1.3.6). A similar computation with  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ -invariants was done by the author in [21] and leads to the following result:

**Theorem 2.1.9.** ([21]) *The ring of relative chains of the Lie algebra of formal vector fields extended by  $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued functions coincides with the quotient of the relative Weyl superalgebra  $\mathcal{W}^\bullet(\mathfrak{gl}_n \oplus \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{gl}_n)$  modulo the  $2n + 1$  part of the standard filtration:*

$$\mathcal{W}^\bullet(\mathfrak{gl}_n \oplus \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{gl}_n) / F^{2n+1} \mathcal{W}^\bullet(\mathfrak{gl}_n \oplus \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{gl}_n) \xrightarrow{\cong} C^\bullet(W_n \ltimes \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{O}_n, \mathfrak{gl}_n; \mathbb{k}).$$

We will go further and state the analogous result for the Lie subalgebra of constant and linear vector fields extended by  $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued functions. In Section 1.1.3 these algebras are denoted by  $WL(m|0; \mathfrak{g})$  or  $WL(m|; \mathfrak{g})$  for simplicity.

**Theorem 2.1.10.** *The relative chain complex of the Lie algebra  $WL(m|0; \mathfrak{g})$  is isomorphic to the truncated Weyl superalgebra of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ :*

$$\mathcal{W}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}) / F^{2m+1} \mathcal{W}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\cong} C^\bullet(WL(m|0; \mathfrak{g}), \mathfrak{gl}_m; \mathbb{k}). \quad (2.1.11)$$

In particular, we can substitute for  $\mathfrak{g}$  the Lie algebra of vector fields on  $\mathbb{k}^n$  and get the following:

$$\mathcal{W}^\bullet(W_n, \mathfrak{gl}_n) / F^{2n+1} \mathcal{W}^\bullet(W_n, \mathfrak{gl}_n) \xrightarrow{\cong} C^\bullet(WL(m|n), \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \mathbb{k}). \quad (2.1.12)$$

This observation becomes crucial for further implications. Namely, the computation of the cohomologies of the Lie algebras  $WL(m|n)$  for different  $m$  will be enough in order to get the description of the spectral sequence associated with the standard filtration on the relative Weyl algebra  $\mathcal{W}^\bullet(W_n, \mathfrak{gl}_n)$ .

## 2.2 Main theorems

Denote by  $\pi$  the natural surjection  $WL(m|n) \twoheadrightarrow W_n \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_n$  of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ -modules.

**Theorem 2.2.13.** *The morphism of Weyl superalgebras associated with the projection  $\pi$  leads to the following quasi-isomorphism of dg-algebras:*

$$\begin{aligned} H^\bullet(BU_n; \mathbb{k}) / H^{\geq 2(n+m)+1}(BU_n; \mathbb{k}) &= \mathcal{W}^\bullet(\mathfrak{gl}_n, \mathfrak{gl}_n) / F^{2(n+m)+1} \mathcal{W}^\bullet(\mathfrak{gl}_n, \mathfrak{gl}_n) \xrightarrow{\text{quasi}} \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{quasi}} C^\bullet(WL(m|n), \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \mathbb{k}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2.14)$$

*In other words, the relative cohomology of the Lie algebra  $WL(m|n)$  is isomorphic to the truncated ring of polynomials  $\mathbb{k}^{\leq 2(m+n)}[\Psi_2, \dots, \Psi_{2n}]$  of degrees less than or equal to  $2m + 2n$ , and generated by the  $n$  even variables  $\Psi_2, \dots, \Psi_{2n}$  with  $\deg \Psi_{2i} = 2i$ .*

*Proof.* The proof of this theorem is postponed to Section 3 In this section we will only explain why this result implies all the others.  $\square$

Together with Equation (2.1.12) we get the main corollary of this paper:

**Corollary 2.2.15.** *For any  $m \geq 1$ , the relative cohomology of the Lie algebra  $W_n$  with coefficients in the  $m$ -th symmetric power of the coadjoint representation, is always different from zero only in the degree  $2n$ , and this is the same as the space of invariants  $[S^{m+n}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)]^{\mathfrak{gl}_n}$ :*

$$H^{2n}(W_n, \mathfrak{gl}_n; S^m(W_n^*)) = [S^{m+n}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)]^{\mathfrak{gl}_n}, \quad \text{and} \quad H^{i \neq 2n}(W_n, \mathfrak{gl}_n; S^m(W_n^*)) = 0$$

*Proof.* The isomorphism (2.1.12) between the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex of the Lie algebra  $WL(m|n)$  and the truncated Weyl superalgebra for  $W_n$  implies the following short exact sequence of complexes that relates two consecutive values of  $m$ :

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{F^{2m-1} \mathcal{W}^\bullet(W_n, \mathfrak{gl}_n)}{F^{2m+1} \mathcal{W}^\bullet(W_n, \mathfrak{gl}_n)} \rightarrow C^\bullet(WL(m|n), \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{p_m} C^\bullet(WL(m-1|n), \mathfrak{gl}_{m-1} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \mathbb{k}) \rightarrow 0$$

where the surjective map has been denoted  $p_m$ . As was mentioned in (1.3.7) the standard filtration on the Weyl algebra has  $F^{2m} = F^{2m-1}$ , and the associated graded  $F^{2m}/F^{2m+1}$  is isomorphic to the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex with coefficients in the  $m$ -th symmetric power of the coadjoint representation. Theorem 2.2.13 implies that the relative cohomology of the Lie algebra  $WL(m|n)$  is the truncation of the polynomial ring  $H^\bullet(BU_n) = \mathbb{k}[\Psi_2, \dots, \Psi_{2n}]$ . Namely we cut off the polynomials of degree  $2(m+n+1)$ . Therefore, the map  $p_m$  is surjective on cohomology rings and is also the same as cutting off the polynomials of degree  $2(m+n)$ . Hence, the map  $p_m$  should be surjective on cohomology rings and we get a short exact sequence of cohomologies:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^\bullet(W_n, \mathfrak{gl}_n; S^m W_n^*)[2m] & \hookrightarrow & H^\bullet(WL(m|n), \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{p_m} H^\bullet(WL(m-1|n), \mathfrak{gl}_{m-1} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \mathbb{k}) \\ & & \downarrow \simeq \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \simeq \\ & & \mathbb{k}^{\bullet \leq 2(m+n)}[\Psi_2, \dots, \Psi_{2n}] \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}^{\bullet \leq 2(m-1+n)}[\Psi_2, \dots, \Psi_{2n}] \end{array}$$

Thus, we conclude that the shifted cohomology of the cochain complex  $C^\bullet(W_n, \mathfrak{gl}_n; S^m W_n^*)[2m]$  is different from zero only at degree  $2(m+n)$ , where it coincides with the polynomials of degree  $2(m+n)$ . Making the opposite homological shift we obtain

$$H^i(W_n, \mathfrak{gl}_n; S^m W_n^*) = \begin{cases} H^{2(m+n)}(BU_n; \mathbb{k}) = [S^{m+n}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)]^{\mathfrak{gl}_n} = \\ \{\text{polynomials of degree } 2(m+n) \text{ in } \mathbb{k}[\Psi_2, \dots, \Psi_{2n}]\}, & \text{if } i = 2(m+n), \\ 0, & \text{if } i \neq 2(m+n). \end{cases} \quad (2.2.16)$$

□

We also state here the generalization of Theorem 2.2.13 to the Lie algebra related to a flag of foliations with given codimensions.

**Theorem 2.2.17.** *For any collection of integers  $(m; n_1, \dots, n_k)$ , for an arbitrary Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and a  $(\mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g})$ -equivariant projection  $\pi : WL(m|n_1, \dots, n_k; \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{n_k} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ ,\* the corresponding map from the Weyl superalgebra factors through the quotient by ideal  $I_{n_1, \dots, n_k}(\mathfrak{g})$ , which is generated by symmetric powers  $S^{(m+1+\sum_{i=1}^j n_i)}(\mathfrak{gl}_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{n_j})$  with  $j$  ranging from 1 to  $k$  and the symmetric power  $S^{(m+1+\sum_{i=1}^k n_i)}(\mathfrak{gl}_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{n_k} \oplus \mathfrak{g})$ . The quotient map is a quasi-isomorphism:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{W^\bullet(\mathfrak{gl}_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{n_k} \oplus \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{gl}_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{n_k})}{I_{n_1, \dots, n_k}(\mathfrak{g})} & \xrightarrow{\text{quis}} & W^\bullet(W(n_1, \dots, n_k; \mathfrak{g}), \mathfrak{gl}_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{n_k})/F^{2m+1} \\ & \searrow \text{quis} & \downarrow \text{quis} \\ \frac{H^\bullet(BU_{n_1} \times \dots \times BU_{n_k}) \otimes W^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})}{I_{n_1, \dots, n_k}(\mathfrak{g})} & & C^\bullet(WL(m|n_1, \dots, n_k; \mathfrak{g}), \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{n_k}; \mathbb{k}). \end{array} \quad (2.2.18)$$

Moreover, quasi-iso (2.2.18) is compatible with filtrations: the standard filtration on the Weyl algebra and the Hochschild-Serre filtration on the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex. Consequently, the same result remains valid in the non-relative case (see Theorem 2.3.21).

*Proof.* The proof repeats that of Theorem 2.2.13. However, one may prove this theorem by induction on the number  $k$  of foliations in the flag. In this case, the key ingredient will be the computation (2.2.16) and the subsequent degeneration of Hochschild-Serre spectral sequences based on the following embeddings of Lie algebras:

$$WL(m|n_1, \dots, n_k; \mathfrak{g}) \supset WL(m|n_1, \dots, n_k) \supset \dots \supset WL(m|n_1).$$

We omit a description of these spectral sequences which is absolutely straitforward. □

In particular, Theorem 2.2.17 describes the cohomology ring of the Lie algebra of vector fields preserving a given flag of foliations.

**Corollary 2.2.19.** *There exists an isomorphism between the truncated ring of characteristic classes and the relative cohomology ring of the Lie algebra of vector fields preserving a given flag of foliations:*

$$\frac{H^\bullet(BU_{n_1} \times \dots \times BU_{n_k})}{I_{n_1, \dots, n_k}} \xrightarrow{\text{quis}} H^\bullet(W(n_1, \dots, n_k), \mathfrak{gl}_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{n_k}; \mathbb{k}),$$

where the ideal  $I_{n_1, \dots, n_k}$  is generated by the union of subspaces  $H^{>2(n_1 + \dots + n_r)}(BU_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus BU_{n_r})$  for  $r = 0, \dots, k$ .

In particular, we have the following equivalences of vector spaces indexed by the homological degree  $i$

$$H^i(W(n_1, \dots, n_k), \mathfrak{gl}_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{n_k}; \mathbb{k}) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \\ \left[ \frac{S^l(\mathfrak{gl}_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{n_k})}{\left( \prod_{j=1}^k S^{n_1 + \dots + n_j + 1}(\mathfrak{gl}_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{n_j}) \right)} \right]^{\mathfrak{gl}_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{n_k}}, & \text{if } i = 2l. \end{cases}$$

The union of these identities gives a graded isomorphism of corresponding rings.

*Proof.* Substitute  $m = 0$  and  $\mathfrak{g} = 0$  in Theorem 2.2.17. □

### 2.3 Absolute case

So far we have been discussing only relative cohomologies because we think that this is the core of the construction. Below we explain what should be done in order to compute the absolute cohomology. The general prescription looks as follows: in all statements from Section 2.2 one has to replace the relative Weyl algebra for matrix Lie algebras by the absolute ones. For example, all the maps in Diagram (2.2.18) maintain the property of being quasi-isomorphisms if one replaces the relative complexes by absolute ones.

Here are some corollaries which we find important for applications in the geometry of foliations:

**Theorem 2.3.20.** *The absolute cohomology of the Lie algebra of formal vector fields  $W_n$  with coefficients in the  $m$ th symmetric power ( $m \geq 1$ ) of the coadjoint representation is the tensor product of the relative cohomology and the cohomology  $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{gl}_n; \mathbb{k})$  of the matrix Lie algebra:*

$$H^i(W_n; S^m W_n^*) = \begin{cases} [S^{m+m} \mathfrak{gl}_n]^{\mathfrak{gl}_n} \otimes [\Lambda^{i-2n}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)]^{\mathfrak{gl}_n}, & \text{if } 2n \leq i \leq n^2 + 2n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Consider the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence associated with the canonical embedding  $\mathfrak{gl}_n \hookrightarrow W_n$ . The first term of this sequence is  $E_1^{pq} = H^q(\mathfrak{gl}_n; \mathbb{k}) \otimes H^p(W_n, \mathfrak{gl}_n; S^k W_n^*)$ . Computation 2.2.16 implies that for  $p \neq 2n$  the corresponding space  $E_1^{pq}$  is zero and, therefore, this spectral sequence degenerates in the first term. □

**Theorem 2.3.21.** *The absolute cohomology of the Lie algebra of vector fields preserving a given flag of foliations may be computed via the cohomology of the truncated Weyl algebra. We have a quasi-isomorphism:*

$$\frac{W^\bullet(\mathfrak{gl}_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{n_k})}{I_{n_1, \dots, n_k}} \xrightarrow{\text{quis}} C^\bullet(W(n_1, \dots, n_k); \mathbb{k})$$

where the ideal  $I_{n_1, \dots, n_k}$  is generated by symmetric powers  $S^{(1 + \sum_{i=1}^j n_i)}(\mathfrak{gl}_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{n_j})$  with  $j$  ranging from 1 to  $k$ .

*Proof.* The implication from the relative case (Corollary 2.2.19) is standard. The method used in [17, 21] for the same implications related with the cohomology of Lie algebras  $W_n$  and  $W(n; \mathfrak{g})$  respectively is also applied in our situation.  $\square$

In Section 4.1 we will show a particular computation of the generating series of the cohomology of the truncated Weyl algebra for the case  $n_i = 1$  for all  $i$ . From the geometrical point of view this cohomology corresponds to characteristic classes of a full flag of foliations.

## 2.4 Characteristic classes of flags of foliations

In this subsection we first recall the standard construction of characteristic classes of foliations and of flags of foliations and then show how does our cohomological computation predicts a cohomological obstruction to the existence of a flag of foliations.

As was mentioned in the introduction the concept of formal geometry assigns to a foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  of codimension  $n$  on a smooth manifold  $X$  a characteristic map

$$ch : H^\bullet(W_n, \mathfrak{o}(n); \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow H_{DR}^\bullet(X)$$

where  $\mathfrak{o}(n)$  is the Lie algebra of the group of orthogonal matrices. If the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  is framed, i.e. the trivialization of the normal bundle is fixed, then the corresponding characteristic classes come from the characteristic map

$$ch : H^\bullet(W_n; \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow H_{DR}^\bullet(X)$$

with the source space being isomorphic to the absolute cohomology of the Lie algebra  $W_n$ . For a foliation of codimension 1, the relative and absolute characteristic maps coincide and lead to a definition of the Godbillon-Vey class ([20],[3]). Therefore, we call the representatives of the absolute cohomology by generalized Godbillon-Vey classes.

The same construction assigns to a flag of foliations of codimensions  $(n_1, \dots, n_k)$  The codimension is considered in the nested sense. That is the foliation  $\mathcal{F}_i$  is a subfoliation of  $\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$  and has codimension  $n_1 + \dots + n_i$  on a smooth manifold  $X$  a characteristic map

$$ch : H^\bullet(W(n_1, \dots, n_k), \mathfrak{o}(n_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{o}(n_k); \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow H^\bullet(X)$$

and whenever all foliations are framed we get the characteristic map from the absolute Lie algebra cohomology:

$$ch : H^\bullet(W(n_1, \dots, n_k); \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow H^\bullet(X)$$

The construction of characteristic maps becomes more explicit whenever a foliation is determined by a system of determining forms:

**Definition 2.4.22.** The system of smooth 1-forms  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$  on a manifold  $X$  determines a framed foliation of codimension  $n$  iff the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) The covectors  $\omega_1(p), \dots, \omega_n(p)$  are linearly independent at each point  $p \in X$ . Equivalently, the  $n$ -form  $\omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_n$  is never zero on  $X$ .
- (ii) For all  $i$  there exists a collection of 1-forms  $\eta_{ij}$  such that  $d\omega_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_j \wedge \eta_{ij}$ , where  $d$  is the De Rham differential. Equivalently, the product  $\omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_n \wedge d\omega_i$  is zero for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

If the system  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$  is a system of determining forms of a foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  on a manifold  $X$ , then the restriction of  $\omega_i$  on any component of an intersection of a leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$  and any open contractible set on  $X$  is identically zero for all  $i$ . Note, that the system of determining forms  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$  defines a flag of foliations  $\{\mathcal{F}_1 \supset \dots \supset \mathcal{F}_k\}$  of nested codimensions  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^k n_i = n$  iff for all  $r = 1, \dots, k$

the collection  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n_1+\dots+n_r}$  is a system of determining forms of the foliation  $\mathcal{F}_r$ . This is equivalent to the following condition:

$$\forall i \leq \sum_{j=1}^r n_j \text{ there exists } \eta_{ij} \in \Omega_{DR}^1(X) \text{ such that } d\omega_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n_1+\dots+n_r} \omega_j \wedge \eta_{ij}.$$

The matrix units  $e_{ij}$  with  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$  form a basis of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ . We define a linear map

$$ch_\omega : \mathfrak{gl}_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{n_k} \rightarrow \Omega_{DR}^1(X)$$

by mapping the matrix unit  $e_{ij}$  from the  $r$ th factor  $\mathfrak{gl}_{n_r}$  to the 1-form  $\eta_{n_1+\dots+n_{r-1}+i, n_1+\dots+n_{r-1}+j}$ . Recall that the Weyl superalgebra  $\mathcal{W}^\bullet(\mathfrak{gl}_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{n_k})$  is a free acyclic skew-commutative algebra, therefore, any linear map of the generators  $e_{ij}$  is extended in a unique way to a map of dg-algebras:

$$ch_\omega : \mathcal{W}^\bullet(\mathfrak{gl}_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{n_k}) \longrightarrow \Omega_{DR}^\bullet(X)$$

**Statement 2.4.23.** *The map  $ch_\omega$  assigned to a system of determining forms of a flag of foliations on a manifold factors through the truncated Weyl superalgebra and determines characteristic classes of this flag of foliations:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{W}^\bullet(\mathfrak{gl}_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{n_k}) & \longrightarrow & \frac{\mathcal{W}^\bullet(\mathfrak{gl}_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{n_k})}{I_{n_1, \dots, n_k}} \\ & & \begin{array}{c} \text{quis} \downarrow \\ C^\bullet(W(n_1, \dots, n_k); \mathbb{R}) \end{array} \\ & & \xrightarrow{ch} \Omega_{DR}^\bullet(X) \end{array}$$

where the ideal  $I_{n_1, \dots, n_k}$  and the vertical quasi-iso are the same as were defined in Theorem 2.3.21.

*Remark 2.4.24.* The construction of characteristic classes via a system of determining forms does not require any knowledge of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras and their cohomology. (See e.g. [6] ch.6.) However, the proofs and the exposition become clear when working with the Gelfand-Fuchs cohomology. See e.g. [20] for the comparison of these two languages.

Let us fix two integers  $m$  and  $n$ . The commutative diagram of embeddings of Lie algebras:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathfrak{gl}_m & \hookrightarrow & \mathfrak{gl}_n \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_m & \hookrightarrow & \mathfrak{gl}_{m+n} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ W_m & \hookrightarrow & W(m, n) & \hookrightarrow & W_{m+n} \end{array}$$

defines the following commutative diagram of dg-algebras:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{W}^\bullet(\mathfrak{gl}_m)/I_m & \longleftarrow & \frac{\mathcal{W}^\bullet(\mathfrak{gl}_n \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_m)}{I_{m, n}} & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{W}^\bullet(\mathfrak{gl}_{m+n})/I_{m+n} \\ \downarrow \text{quis} & & \downarrow \text{quis} & & \downarrow \text{quis} \\ C^\bullet(W_m; \mathbb{k}) & \longleftarrow & C^\bullet(W(m, n); \mathbb{k}) & \longleftarrow & C^\bullet(W(m+n); \mathbb{k}) \end{array}$$

This construction is universal and is compatible with characteristic maps of foliations. Indeed, for a flag consisting of just two foliations  $\mathcal{F}' \supset \mathcal{F}$  on a manifold  $X$  of codimensions  $m$  and  $m+n$  respectively, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^\bullet(W_m; \mathbb{R}) & \longleftarrow & H^\bullet(W(m, n); \mathbb{R}) \longleftarrow H^\bullet(W(m+n); \mathbb{R}) \\ & \searrow \text{ch}(\mathcal{F}') & \downarrow \text{ch}(\mathcal{F}' \supset \mathcal{F}) \swarrow \text{ch}(\mathcal{F}) \\ & & H^\bullet(X) \end{array} \quad (2.4.25)$$

**Corollary 2.4.26.** *A foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  on a manifold  $X$  of codimension  $n$  may not be included into a flag of foliations  $\mathcal{F}' \supset \mathcal{F}$  if it has a nonzero characteristic class of degree greater than  $n^2 + 2$ .*

*Proof.* Let us count the top possible degrees of elements in the truncated Weyl superalgebras. Indeed, elements of maximal degree in  $\frac{W^*(\mathfrak{gl}_n)}{I_n}$  belong to the subspace  $\Lambda^{top}(\mathfrak{gl}_n^*) \otimes S^n(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ . Therefore,  $H^i(W_n; \mathbb{k}) = 0$  for  $i > n^2 + 2n$ . Also by using degree arguments we know that  $H^i(W(n-d, d); \mathbb{k}) = 0$  for  $i > (n-d)^2 + d^2 + 2n$ . The number  $(n-d)^2 + d^2 + 2n$  for  $d = 1, \dots, n-1$  reaches its maximum when  $d = 1$  or  $d = n-1$  where it takes the value  $n^2 + 2$ . Consequently,

$$\forall i > n^2 + 2 \text{ and } \forall d < n \quad H^i(W(n-d, d); \mathbb{k}) = 0.$$

Therefore, existence of a nonzero element in the image of  $ch(\mathcal{F})$  of homological degree greater than  $n^2 + 2$  is in contradiction with the commutative diagram (2.4.25)  $\square$

Let us show that the example of a foliation mentioned in the introduction satisfies the conditions of Corollary 2.4.26. Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete subgroup of  $SL_{n+1}(\mathbb{R})$  such that the quotient space  $SL_{n+1}(\mathbb{R})/\Gamma$  is compact. Let  $\mathbf{P}$  be a subgroup of  $SL_{n+1}(\mathbb{R})$  that fixes a given line in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Any orbit of the left action of  $\mathbf{P}$  on the quotient space  $SL_{n+1}(\mathbb{R})/\Gamma$  defines a leaf of a foliation of codimension  $n$  in  $SL_{n+1}(\mathbb{R})/\Gamma$ . Denote this foliation by  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{P}}$ .

**Statement 2.4.27.** *The foliation  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{P}}$  on the compact space  $SL_{n+1}(\mathbb{R})/\Gamma$  has a nontrivial characteristic class of the top degree  $n^2 + 2n$  and, therefore, for  $n \geq 2$  may not be a subfoliation.*

*Proof.* The main feature of examples of foliations coming from the action of a Lie subgroup  $H$  on a compact quotient of a Lie group  $G$  by a discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  is that most of the computations inherit the structures of the computations for the corresponding Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{p} = Lie(\mathbf{P}) \subset \mathfrak{g} = Lie(G)$ . Standard arguments shows that there exists a collection of 1-forms  $\omega_{i,j} \in \Omega^1(SL_{n+1}(\mathbb{R})/\Gamma)$  where  $i, j$  range from 1 to  $n+1$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \omega_{i,i} = 0$  and  $d\omega_{i,j} = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \omega_{ik}\omega_{kj}$ . The foliation  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{P}}$  is defined by the system of determining forms  $\omega_{1,n+1}, \dots, \omega_{n,n+1}$ . The direct check shows that there exists a class in  $H^{n^2+2n}(W_n; \mathbb{R})$  whose image under the characteristic map is equal to the product  $\wedge_{(i,j) \neq (n+1, n+1)} \omega_{i,j} \in \Omega^{(n+1)^2-1}(SL_{n+1}(\mathbb{R})/\Gamma)$ . The latter product is a volume form on the space  $SL_{n+1}(\mathbb{R})/\Gamma$  and, therefore, represents a nontrivial cohomological class.  $\square$

### 3 The core of the proof

Let us begin by stating several technical results and then showing how they imply Theorem 2.2.13. The idea is to compute the relative cohomology of the Lie algebra  $W_{m+n}$  of all vector fields and then show that the inclusion  $WL(m|n) \hookrightarrow W_{m+n}$  produces the surjective map of relative cohomology rings in the opposite direction. The surjectivity follows from the degenerations of the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequences associated with embeddings of a parabolic subalgebra. Let us start by looking at some general results on cohomologies of parabolic subalgebras.

#### 3.1 Parabolic subalgebra

Consider the Lie algebra of matrices  $\mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}_{mn}$  be it's parabolic subalgebra, with maximal reductive subalgebra isomorphic to the direct sum  $\mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{p}_{mn}$  is embedded into the Lie algebra  $WL(m|n)$ , and, moreover,  $\mathfrak{p}_{mn}$  is isomorphic to the intersection  $WL(m|n) \cap \mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}$  inside  $W_{m+n}$ . In this subsection we shall present several general homological results on relative homology of the parabolic subalgebra  $\mathfrak{p}_{mn} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}$ , with all proofs postponed to Appendix B.

Denote the vector space  $\mathbb{k}^m$  by  $V$  and the vector space  $\mathbb{k}^n$  by  $U$ , such that  $\mathfrak{gl}_m = \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ ,  $\mathfrak{gl}_n = \mathfrak{gl}(U)$ ,  $\mathfrak{gl}_{m+n} = \mathfrak{gl}(V \oplus U)$  and the parabolic subalgebra  $\mathfrak{p}_{mn}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{gl}(V) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(U) \oplus V^* \otimes U$ . Recall, that the set of irreducible  $\mathfrak{gl}_n = \mathfrak{gl}(U)$ -modules are enumerated by dominant highest weights  $\lambda = \{\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n\}$  with all  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Moreover, if  $\lambda_n$  and, consequently, all  $\lambda_i$  are nonnegative integers then the collection  $\lambda$  is called a Young diagram and the corresponding irreducible  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$  module is called polynomial (or holomorphic). Moreover, this module may be defined by using Schur-Weyl duality: Let  $|\lambda| = \sum \lambda_i$  be the number of boxes in the Young diagram  $\lambda$ . Let  $\Sigma_\lambda$  be an irreducible representation of the symmetric group  $S_{|\lambda|}$  assigned to the same diagram  $\lambda$ . Then the irreducible polynomial  $\mathfrak{gl}(U)$ -module with the highest weight  $\lambda$  is isomorphic to the image of the Schur functor

$$S^\lambda(U) := U^{\otimes |\lambda|} \otimes_{S_{|\lambda|}} \Sigma_\lambda \quad (3.1.28)$$

(We refer to [11] for details on Schur-Weyl duality and to [28] ch1.8 for the detailed definition of a Schur functor). Schur functors are defined for Young diagrams of arbitrary length. However, if the length  $l(\lambda) > \dim(U)$  then  $S^\lambda(U)$  is zero, otherwise it is a nontrivial irreducible  $\mathfrak{gl}(U)$ -module. The symmetric power  $S^i U$  is also an example of a Schur functor assigned to the Young diagram  $\{i\}$  of length 1. The trivial module  $\mathbb{k}$  is isomorphic to  $S^0 U$ .

We also consider  $S^\lambda U$  to be an irreducible  $\mathfrak{p}_{mn}$ -module where the action of  $\mathfrak{gl}_m$  is trivial.

**Lemma 3.1.29.** *The higher relative cohomology of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{p}_{mn}$  with coefficients in the  $\mathfrak{p}_{mn}$ -module  $\text{Hom}(S^\lambda U; L)$  vanishes for all Young diagrams  $\lambda$  of length less than or equal to  $n = \dim(U)$  and for all finite-dimensional  $\mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}$ -modules  $L$ . The zero cohomology is isomorphic to the space of  $\mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}$ -invariant maps between  $S^\lambda(U \oplus V)$  and  $L$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} H^{>0}(\mathfrak{p}_{mn}, \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \text{Hom}(S^\lambda(U); L)) &= 0, \\ H^0(\mathfrak{p}_{mn}, \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \text{Hom}(S^\lambda(U); L)) &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}}(S^\lambda(V \oplus U); L). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if  $\lambda = 0$  we have the following degeneration property for all  $\mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}$ -modules  $L$ :

$$H^{>0}(\mathfrak{p}_{mn}, \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; L) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad H^0(\mathfrak{p}_{mn}, \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; L) = [L]^{\mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}} \quad (3.1.30)$$

*Proof.* The proof of this Lemma is postponed to Appendix B where items 1 and 3 of Theorem B.2.51 cover the generalization of this statement for an arbitrary parabolic subalgebra. Corollary B.2.55 explains what one gets in the case we are interested in.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.1.31.** *The relative Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence associated with an embedding  $\mathfrak{p}_{mn} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}$  with coefficients in any finite-dimensional  $\mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}$ -module  $L$  degenerates in the first term. More precisely, we have*

$$H^p(\mathfrak{p}_{mn}^-, \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \Lambda^q(\mathfrak{n}_{mn}^+)^* \otimes L) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } p \neq q, \\ H^{2p}(\mathbb{C}Gr(m, m+n)) \otimes [L]^{\mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}} = \\ \quad = H^{2p}(\mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}, \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; L), & \text{if } p = q. \end{cases}$$

Where  $\mathbb{C}Gr(m, m+n) = U_{m+n}/(U_m \times U_n)$  is the Grassmanian of  $m$ -dimensional complex subspaces in  $\mathbb{C}^{m+n}$

*Proof.* This lemma is also proved in Appendix B using the parabolic BGG resolution. Part 2 of Theorem B.2.51 is a generalization of this lemma to the case of an arbitrary parabolic subalgebra of a reductive Lie algebra.

The cohomology of the complex Grassmanian  $\mathbb{C}Gr(m, m+n)$  is known to coincide with the cohomology ring  $H^q(\mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}, \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \mathbb{k})$  (see e.g. [12]). These cohomologies are known to be even, and numbered by  $m$ - $n$ -shuffle permutations (see Section B.2.1 for details).  $\square$



### 3.2 Degeneration of Hochschild-Serre spectral sequences

In this section we prove the degenerations of Hochschild-Serre spectral sequences corresponding to the embedding  $\mathfrak{p}_{mn} \hookrightarrow W_{m+n}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_{mn} \hookrightarrow WL(m|n)$  in the first term and prove the surjectivity map between these spectral sequences by applying Lemmas from Section 3.1. We use the same notation and description of the decomposition of Lie algebras as in Appendix A and refer the reader there for the detailed description of chains. Only a sketched version is given in this section.

As before we denote  $V = \mathbb{k}^m$ ,  $U = \mathbb{k}^n$  and  $V \oplus U = \mathbb{k}^{m+n}$ . We have the following decompositions as  $\mathfrak{gl}_n \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_m = \mathfrak{gl}(V) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(U)$ -modules:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{p}_{mn} &\simeq \mathfrak{n}_{mn}^- \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n \simeq V^* \otimes U \oplus V \otimes V^* \oplus U \otimes U^*, \\ \mathcal{O}_m &\simeq \widehat{\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} S^i V^*}, \\ W_{m+n} &\simeq \widehat{\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} S^i (V \oplus U)^*} \otimes (V \oplus U), \\ WL(m|n) &\simeq (V \oplus V^* \otimes V) \oplus \widehat{\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} S^i (V \oplus U)^*} \otimes U, \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.32}$$

where  $\mathfrak{p}_{mn} := WL(m|n) \cap \mathfrak{gl}_{m+n} \hookrightarrow W_{m+n}$  is a parabolic subalgebra of matrices with two diagonal blocks and lower diagonal matrices;  $\mathfrak{n}_{mn}^-$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{n}_{mn}^+$ ) are lower (resp. upper) block-triangular nilpotent matrices.

The embedding  $\mathfrak{p}_{mn} \hookrightarrow WL(m|n)$  produces the Hochschild-Serre filtration on the relative chain complex  $C^\bullet(WL(m|n), \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \mathbb{k})$  and we denote by  $E_r^{p,q}(WL(m|n))$  the corresponding spectral sequence. Similarly, we denote by  $E_r^{p,q}(W_{m+n})$  the relative Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence associated with the embedding  $\mathfrak{p}_{mn} \hookrightarrow W_{m+n}$ . Since the Lie algebra  $WL(m|n)$  is embedded into  $W_{m+n}$  we obtain a map of the aforementioned spectral sequences in the opposite direction:

$$\pi_{m,n}^r : E_r^{p,q}(W_{m+n}) \longrightarrow E_r^{p,q}(WL(m|n))$$

Below we prove that these spectral sequences are degenerate in the first terms and that the map  $\pi_{m,n}^1$  is surjective.

**Lemma 3.2.33.** *The relative Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence  $E_r^{p,q}(W_{m+n})$ , with the embedding  $\mathfrak{p}_{mn} \hookrightarrow W_{m+n}$  degenerates in the first term and*

$$E_1^{p,q}(W_{m+n}) = H^{2q}(\mathbb{C}Gr(m, m+n)) \otimes H^{p-q}(W_{m+n}, \mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}; \mathbb{k}). \tag{3.2.34}$$

*Proof.* Lemma 3.1.31 implies the following description of the first term:

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^{pq} &= H^q \left( \mathfrak{p}_{mn}, \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \Lambda^p \left( \frac{W_{m+n}}{\mathfrak{p}_{mn}} \right)^* \right) = H^q \left( \mathfrak{p}_{mn}, \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \Lambda^p \left( \mathfrak{n}_{mn}^+ \oplus \frac{W_{m+n}}{\mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}} \right)^* \right) = \\ &= H^q \left( \mathfrak{p}_{mn}, \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \Lambda^q(\mathfrak{n}_{mn}^+)^* \right) \otimes \left[ \Lambda^{p-q} \left( \frac{W_{m+n}}{\mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}} \right)^* \right]^{\mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}} = \\ &= H^{2q}(\mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}, \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \mathbb{k}) \otimes C^{p-q}(W_{m+n}, \mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}; \mathbb{k}). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.1.8 implies that the space of relative cochains  $C^{p-q}(W_{m+n}, \mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}; \mathbb{k})$  vanishes for odd  $p - q$ . Therefore,  $E_1^{pq}$  vanishes when  $p + q$  is odd and the spectral sequence degenerates in the first term.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.35.** *The relative Hochschild-Serre spectral sequences associated with the embedding  $\mathfrak{p}_{mn} \hookrightarrow WL(m|n)$  degenerates in the first term:*

$$E_1^{p,>0}(WL(m|n)) = 0 \text{ and } E_1^{p,0}(WL(m|n)) = H^p(WL(m|n), \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \mathbb{k}).$$

Moreover, the morphism  $\pi_{mn}^r : E_r^{p,q}(W_{m+n}, \mathbf{p}_{mn}) \rightarrow E_r^{p,q}(WL(m|n), \mathbf{p}_{mn})$  of the relative Hochschild-Serre spectral sequences coming from the inclusion of Lie algebras  $\pi_{mn} : WL(m|n) \hookrightarrow W_{m+n}$  is surjective. In particular,

$$H^\bullet(W_{m+n}, \mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}; \mathbb{k}) = E_1^{\bullet,0}(W_{m+n}) \rightarrow E_1^{\bullet,0}(WL(m|n)) = H^\bullet(WL(m|n), \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \mathbb{k}).$$

*Proof.* The decompositions (3.2.32) into the direct sum of finite-dimensional  $\mathfrak{gl}(U) \times \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ -modules predicts that the  $\mathbf{p}_{mn}$ -module  $\frac{WL(m|n)}{\mathbf{p}_{mn}}$  is a direct sum of modules of the form  $L_i \otimes U$  with each  $L_i$  being an irreducible finite-dimensional  $\mathfrak{gl}(U \oplus V)$ -module. Therefore, the dual space  $(\frac{WL(m|n)}{\mathbf{p}_{mn}})^*$  satisfies the condition from Lemma 3.1.29. Let us show that the exterior algebra  $\Lambda^\bullet \left( \frac{WL(m|n)}{\mathbf{p}_{mn}} \right)^*$  also decomposes into the direct sum of modules  $Hom(S^\lambda U, L_i)$  so that we can apply Lemma 3.1.29. This follows from a general argument in the spirit of Howe duality [23]:

Indeed, let  $A$  and  $B$  be a pair of vector spaces. Then the exterior algebra  $\Lambda^\bullet(A \otimes B)$  has a multiplicity free decomposition  $\bigoplus_\lambda S^\lambda(A) \otimes S^\lambda(B)$  as  $\mathfrak{gl}(A) \times \mathfrak{gl}(B)$ -module, where the sum is taken over all possible Young diagrams;  $S^\lambda(\cdot)$  denotes the Schur functor associated with the Young diagram  $\lambda$  (after (3.1.28)). The Young diagram transposed to  $\lambda$  is denoted by  $\lambda^t$ .

Consequently, we have the following decomposition:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^\bullet \left( \frac{WL(m|n)}{\mathbf{p}_{mn}} \right)^* &= \Lambda^\bullet \left( (V \oplus U)^* \bigoplus_{i \geq 2} S^i(V \oplus U) \otimes U^* \right) = \\ &= \Lambda^\bullet((V \oplus U)^*) \otimes \Lambda^\bullet \left( \bigoplus_{i \geq 2} S^i(V \oplus U) \otimes U^* \right) = \\ &= \Lambda^\bullet((V \oplus U)^*) \otimes \left( \bigoplus_\lambda S^{\lambda^t} \left( \bigoplus_{i \geq 2} S^i(V \oplus U) \right) \otimes S^\lambda U^* \right) = \\ &= \bigoplus_\lambda \underbrace{\Lambda^\bullet((V \oplus U)^*) \otimes S^{\lambda^t} \left( \bigoplus_{i \geq 2} S^i(V \oplus U) \right)}_{\mathfrak{gl}(U \oplus V)\text{-module}} \otimes \underbrace{S^\lambda U^*}_{\mathfrak{gl}(U)\text{-module}} \end{aligned}$$

Now we are able to apply Lemma 3.1.29 and to compute the first term of the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence. The vanishing of the higher cohomology in Lemma 3.1.29 implies that for  $q > 0$  we have

$$E_1^{pq}(WL(m|n)) = H^q \left( \mathbf{p}_{mn}, \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \Lambda^p \left( \frac{WL(m|n)}{\mathbf{p}_{mn}} \right)^* \right) = 0.$$

For  $q = 0$  we have the following collection of identities and inclusion:

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^{\bullet,0}(WL(m|n)) &:= H^0 \left( \mathbf{p}_{mn}, \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \Lambda^\bullet \left( \frac{WL(m|n)}{\mathbf{p}_{mn}} \right)^* \right) = \\ &= H^0 \left( \mathbf{p}_{mn}, \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \left( \bigoplus_\lambda \left( \Lambda^\bullet((V \oplus U)^*) \otimes S^{\lambda^t} \left( \bigoplus_{i \geq 2} S^i(V \oplus U) \right) \right) \otimes S^\lambda U^* \right) \right) \subset \\ &\subset \left[ \Lambda^\bullet((V \oplus U)^*) \otimes \left( \bigoplus_\lambda S^{\lambda^t} \left( \bigoplus_{i \geq 2} S^i(V \oplus U) \right) \otimes S^\lambda(V \oplus U)^* \right) \right]^{\mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}} = \\ &= \left[ \Lambda^\bullet((V \oplus U)^*) \otimes \Lambda^\bullet \left( \bigoplus_{i \geq 2} S^i(V \oplus U) \otimes (V \oplus U)^* \right) \right]^{\mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}} = \\ &= \left[ \Lambda^\bullet \left( \frac{W_{m+n}}{\mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}} \right)^* \right]^{\mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}} \simeq H^\bullet(W_{n+m}, \mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}; \mathbb{k}). \quad (3.2.36) \end{aligned}$$

The inclusion also follows from Lemma 3.1.29. Note, that this is indeed an inclusion and not an isomorphism because if the length of the diagram  $\lambda$  is greater than  $n$  then  $S^\lambda U = 0$ , however,  $S^\lambda(U \oplus V)$  may be different from zero. The middle identity in (3.2.36) follows from the Howe decomposition for the exterior algebra of  $\frac{W_{m+n}}{\mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}}$ . Once again, we identify relative cochains on  $W_{m+n}$  and cohomology using Theorem 2.1.8.

Finally, we get that  $E_1^{p,q}(WL(m|n))$  vanishes for  $q > 0$  and there is a surjection from the zero row of the first term of the spectral sequence  $E_1^{\bullet,0}(W_{m+n}) = H^\bullet(W_{m+n}, \mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}; \mathbb{k})$  to the zero row of the spectral sequence  $E_1^{\bullet,0}(WL(m|n)) = H^\bullet(WL(m|n), \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \mathbb{k})$ .  $\square$

### 3.3 Final conclusions

Degenerations of the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequences associated with embeddings  $\mathfrak{p}_{mn} \hookrightarrow WL(m|n)$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_{mn} \hookrightarrow W_{m+n}$  implies the following corollary:

**Corollary 3.3.37.** *There exists a commutative diagram of surjections:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^\bullet(W_{m+n}, \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \mathbb{k}) & \xrightarrow{\kappa_{mn}} & H^\bullet(W_{m+n}, \mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}; \mathbb{k}) = \\ & \searrow \pi_{mn}^* & = H^{\bullet \leq 2(m+n)}(BU_{m+n}) \\ & & \swarrow \tilde{\pi}_{mn}^* \\ & H^\bullet(WL(m|n), \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \mathbb{k}) & \end{array}$$

the morphism  $\pi_{mn}^*$  is the one associated with the natural embedding  $\pi_{mn} : WL(m|n) \hookrightarrow W_{m+n}$ . The morphism  $\kappa_{mn}$  is the augmentation of the cohomology of Grassmanian  $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}, \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \mathbb{k})$ .

Moreover the surjections  $\tilde{\pi}_{mn}^*$  are compatible for different  $m$  and  $n$ . That is, for any pair of tuples  $m \leq m'$  and  $n \leq n'$  there exists a commutative diagram of surjections:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^\bullet(W_{m'+n'}, \mathfrak{gl}_{m'} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{n'}; \mathbb{k}) & \xrightarrow{\kappa_{m'n'}} & H^{\bullet \leq 2(m'+n')}(BU_{m'+n'}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}_{m'n'}^*} & H^\bullet(WL(m'|n'), \mathfrak{gl}_{m'} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{n'}; \mathbb{k}) & (3.3.38) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow p_{m' \rightarrow m} \\ H^\bullet(W_{m+n}, \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \mathbb{k}) & \xrightarrow{\kappa_{mn}} & H^{\bullet \leq 2(m+n)}(BU_{m+n}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}_{mn}^*} & H^\bullet(WL(m|n), \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \mathbb{k}) \end{array}$$

*Proof.* The morphism  $\pi_{mn}^*$  is surjective because the morphism of the first terms of Hochschild-Serre spectral sequences  $E_1^{p,q}(W_{m+n}) \xrightarrow{\pi_{mn}^*} E_1^{p,q}(WL(m|n))$  is surjective. The projection  $\kappa_{mn}$  is the projection on the zero line  $E_1^{\bullet,0}(W_{m+n})$  and the map  $\pi_{mn}^*$  is the map of zero lines of the first terms of spectral sequences.

All horizontal arrows in Diagram (3.3.38) are surjective by the aforementioned results. The middle vertical arrow is surjective because the map  $H^\bullet(BU_{N'}) \rightarrow H^\bullet(BU_N)$  is surjective whenever  $N' > N$ . The commutativity of the Diagram implies that the map  $p_{m' \rightarrow m}$  is also surjective.  $\square$

Finally, we can show how Corollary 3.3.37 imply the main result on the cohomology of the Lie algebra  $WL(m|n)$ :

*Proof of Theorem 2.2.13.* Theorem 2.1.10 explains that the chain complex  $C^\bullet(WL(m|n), \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \mathbb{k})$  is quasi-isomorphic to the truncated Weyl superalgebra  $\mathcal{W}^\bullet(W_n, \mathfrak{gl}_n)/F^{2m+1}\mathcal{W}^\bullet(W_n, \mathfrak{gl}_n)$ . Let us fix the integer parameter  $n$  and vary the parameter  $m$ . Corollary 3.3.37 implies that for different  $m' \geq m$  the morphism  $p_{m' \rightarrow m}$  is surjective and, therefore, all the components of the homology  $H^\bullet(WL(m|n), \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \mathbb{k})$  are bounded from above by those of the homology of the inverse limit of truncated Weyl algebras. The inverse limit of truncated Weyl algebras when  $m$  goes to infinity is the nontruncated Weyl algebra

$W^\bullet(W_n, \mathfrak{gl}_n)$  whose homology coincides with the ring of characteristic classes  $H^\bullet(BU_n)$ . Hence, the cohomology ring  $H^\bullet(WL(m|n), \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \mathbb{k})$  is a quotient of the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{k}[\Psi_2, \dots, \Psi_{2n}]$ . The same compatibility conditions for all possible  $m' \geq m$  implies that the map  $\tilde{\pi}_{mn}^* : H^{\bullet \leq 2(m+n)}(BU_{m+n}) \rightarrow H^\bullet(WL(m|n), \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \mathbb{k})$  factors through the map  $H^{\bullet \leq 2(m+n)}(BU_{m+n}) \rightarrow H^{\bullet \leq 2(m+n)}(BU_n)$  which sends the additional generators  $\Psi_{2(n+1)}, \dots, \Psi_{2(m+n)}$  to zero. Finally, we end up with the isomorphism  $H^\bullet(WL(m|n), \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \mathbb{k})$  and the truncated polynomial ring  $\mathbb{k}^{\bullet \leq 2(n+m)}[\Psi_2, \dots, \Psi_{2n}]$ .  $\square$

The proof of Theorem 2.2.17 follows from the same degeneration properties of the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequences corresponding to the parabolic subalgebra  $\mathfrak{p} := WL(m|n_1, \dots, n_k; \mathfrak{g}) \cap \mathfrak{gl}_{m+n_1+\dots+n_k}$ .

## 4 Particular computations

### 4.1 dimension series for absolute cohomology of $W(1, \dots, 1)$ .

Relative cohomological classes of the Lie algebra  $W(n_1, \dots, n_k)$  (relative to the product of orthogonal groups  $O(n_1) \times \dots \times O(n_k)$ ) are in one-to-one correspondance with the continuous characteristic classes of flags of foliations. The absolute cohomology of the Lie algebra  $W(n_1, \dots, n_k)$  corresponds to the case of framed foliations. We omit the detailed construction based on the concept of formal geometry and refer the reader to [9]. In this section we will show an example of an application of Theorem 2.3.21 in order to describe these cohomological classes. Corollary 4.1.43 below describes the absolute cohomology classes of the Lie algebra  $W(1, \dots, 1)$ .

*Remark 4.1.39.* The orthogonal group  $O(1)$  is trivial and therefore the normal bundle of the foliation of codimension 1 is trivial. Similarly, the relative normal bundles of  $\mathcal{F}_{i+1} \subset \mathcal{F}_i$  inside a flag of foliations  $\{\mathcal{F}_1 \supset \mathcal{F}_2 \supset \dots \supset \mathcal{F}_k\}$  should be trivial whenever the corresponding codimension is equal to 1. Hence, for the case of full flags (that is, when all codimensions are equal to 1) the orthogonal group is trivial and the space of characteristic classes are the same for framed and nonframed foliations.

Let  $\zeta_i$  (respectively  $\xi_i$ ) be the  $i$ th odd (respectively even) generator of the Weyl superalgebra  $W^\bullet(\underbrace{\mathfrak{gl}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_1}_{k \text{ copies}})$ . That is  $\zeta_i$  (resp.  $\xi_i$ ) is a basis of  $\Lambda^1 \mathfrak{gl}_1^*$  (resp.  $S^1 \mathfrak{gl}_1^*$ ) associated with the  $i$ th copy of  $\mathfrak{gl}_1$ . Let  $I := I_{1, \dots, 1}$  be the ideal of Weyl superalgebra as defined in Corollary 2.2.19. That is,  $I$  is generated by subspaces  $S^{j+1} \left( \underbrace{\mathfrak{gl}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_1}_{j \text{ copies}} \right)$  with  $j$  ranges from 1 to  $k$ .

**Theorem 4.1.40.** *The set of monomials*

$$\left\{ \zeta_{\alpha_1} \dots \zeta_{\alpha_s} \xi_1^{i_1} \xi_2^{i_2} \dots \xi_{\alpha_s}^{i_{\alpha_s}} : 1 \leq \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_s \leq N \text{ and } \begin{matrix} \forall k \leq \alpha_s & i_1 + \dots + i_k \leq k, \\ i_1 + \dots + i_{\alpha_s} = \alpha_s \end{matrix} \right\} \quad (4.1.41)$$

form a basis of cohomological classes of the truncated Weyl superalgebra  $\frac{W^\bullet(\mathfrak{gl}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_1)}{I_{1, \dots, 1}}$ . The Poincare generating series of the homology of the truncated Weyl superalgebra is as follows:

$$\sum_{q \geq 0} q^k \dim H^k(W^\bullet(\mathfrak{gl}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_1)/I_{1, \dots, 1}) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^N q^{2n+1} (1+q)^{n-1} C(n), \quad (4.1.42)$$

where  $C(n) = \frac{1}{(n+1)} \binom{2n}{n}$  is the  $n$ th Catalan number.

*Proof.* Consider the second term of the spectral sequence associated with the standard filtration on the truncated Weyl superalgebra:

$$E_2 = \mathbb{k}[\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_N; \xi_1, \dots, \xi_N] / (I_{1, \dots, 1}), \quad d_2 = \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_i}.$$

All higher differentials in this spectral sequence vanish. Recall that the ideal  $I$  is generated by the following collection of monomials:

$$\xi_1^{i_1} \cdot \dots \cdot \xi_k^{i_k} \text{ with } i_1 + \dots + i_k > k$$

Let us prove that monomials yielding the restriction (4.1.41) in the statement of Theorem form a basis of the cohomology of the complex given by the second term  $(E_2, d_2)$ . The differential  $d_2$  is a direct sum of  $N$  commuting differentials  $\xi_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_i}$ . Let us compute the cohomology of  $E_2$  with respect to the differential  $\xi_N \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_N}$ . In other words, we consider the spectral sequence associated with the bicomplex where differential  $d_2$  is the sum of two commuting differentials  $\xi_N \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_N}$  and  $(\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \xi_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_i})$ . The differential  $\xi_N \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_N}$  maps monomials to monomials. Therefore, representing cocycles may be also chosen to be monomials. Consider a monomial  $f = \zeta_1^{\epsilon_1} \dots \zeta_N^{\epsilon_N} \xi_1^{i_1} \dots \xi_N^{i_N}$ . In order to be nonzero in the fraction of Weyl algebra by monomial ideal  $I_{1, \dots, 1}$  we have the following restrictions:

$$\forall i = 1 \dots N \quad \epsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}, \quad \text{and } \forall k = 1 \dots N \text{ we have } i_1 + \dots + i_k \leq k.$$

There are two possibility for the monomial  $f$  to represent a nonzero cohomological class with respect to the differential  $\xi_N \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_N}$ . Either  $\epsilon_N = i_N = 0$  or  $\epsilon_N = 1, i_N \geq 1$  and  $i_1 + \dots + i_N = N$ . The first case implies that we can forget about variables  $\xi_N$  and  $\zeta_N$  and deal with the problem of chasing cocycles for the Lie algebra  $W \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{N-1 \text{ copies}}$  and use the induction arguments afterwards. In the second case the condition  $i_N \geq 1$

and  $i_1 + \dots + i_N = N$  implies that  $\xi_i(f)$  belongs to the ideal  $I$  for all  $i$  and, consequently,  $\xi_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_i}(f) = 0$  what means that monomial  $f$  represents a nonzero cocycle in the full truncated Weyl algebra.

In order to count the Poincare series it remains to count the generating series of the set (4.1.41) of monomials representing the linear independent homological classes. Recall that the number of monomials  $\{\xi_1^{i_1} \dots, \xi_n^{i_n}\}$  of degree  $n$  subject to the condition  $i_1 + \dots + i_k \leq k$  for all  $k \leq n$  is equal to the  $n$ 'th Catalan number  $C(n) = \frac{1}{(n+1)} \binom{2n}{n}$ . This description of Catalan numbers may be found in [29]. Therefore, the generating series of monomials of the form  $\zeta_1^{\epsilon_1} \dots \zeta_n^{\epsilon_n} \xi_1^{i_1} \dots \xi_n^{i_n}$ , that belong to the set (4.1.41), is equal to  $(1+q)^{n-1} q^1 q^{2n} C(n)$  where the factor  $(1+q)^{n-1}$  means that  $\epsilon_i$  for  $i < n$  may be either 0 or 1, factor  $q^1$  comes from the degree of  $\zeta_n$  and the factor  $q^{2n}$  represents the degree of the monomial  $\xi_1^{i_1} \dots \xi_n^{i_n}$  which is  $2(i_1 + \dots + i_n) = 2n$ . The final set of monomials is the union of the aforementioned sets with  $n$  ranging from 1 to  $N$ .  $\square$

Consider a flag of foliations  $\mathcal{F}_1 \supset \mathcal{F}_2 \supset \dots \supset \mathcal{F}_N$ , for which the codimensions  $\mathcal{F}_{i+1}$  in  $\mathcal{F}_i$  are equal to 1 for all  $i$ . Let  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_N$  be the system of determining forms that defines this flag of foliations. That is we have  $\forall k = 1, \dots, N \quad d\omega_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \omega_i \wedge \nu_{ik}$  and the tangent spaces to the leaves of the foliation  $\mathcal{F}_k$  are annihilated by the forms  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k$ . The space of characteristic classes of a flag of foliations are described by Theorem 4.1.40 in the following

**Corollary 4.1.43.** *The Poincare series of the cohomology of the Lie algebra  $W(1, \dots, 1)$  with trivial coefficients is given by (4.1.42). Each monomial  $\zeta_i$  and  $\xi_i$  from (4.1.41) defines a characteristic class of a flag of foliations with subsequent codimensions 1 which is defined by a collection of 1-forms  $\omega_k$  with  $d\omega_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \omega_i \wedge \nu_{ik}$  subject to the substitution  $\zeta_i := \nu_{ii}$  and  $\xi_i := d\nu_{ii}$ . In particular, the corresponding cohomological classes do not depend on the choice of the determining forms  $\omega_i$ .*

*Proof.* We use Theorem 2.3.21 in order to identify the cohomology ring of the Lie algebra  $W(1, \dots, 1)$  and the truncated Weyl superalgebra. The generalization of the Godbillon-Vey class is also straightforward. We refer to [12] for a detailed description of the Godbillon-Vey class using the Lie algebra homology of formal vector fields on the line.  $\square$

## 4.2 Formulas for cocycles in the case of a line ( $n = 1$ )

For the case of vector fields on a line there are some simplifications of the direct description of cochains representing cocycles for the Lie algebra cohomology  $H^\bullet(W_n; S^m W_n^*)$ . These simplifications are based on a direct description of the space of chains which is simpler for the case of a line. Indeed, let us identify the space of  $q$ -linear functionals on the Lie algebra  $W_1$  with the ring of polynomials  $\mathbb{k}[y_1, \dots, y_q]$  using the following identification of basis in these two spaces. With a monomial  $f := y_1^{r_1} \dots y_q^{r_q}$  we associate a  $q$ -linear functional  $D_f : W_1^{\otimes q} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$  in the following way:

$$D_f : \left( \sum_{r \geq 0} a_{1r} x^r \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \dots, \sum_{r \geq 0} a_{qr} x^r \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \mapsto r_1! \dots r_q! a_{1r_1} \dots a_{qr_q}. \quad (4.2.44)$$

Then the space of chains  $C^p(W_1; S^m W_1^*) = \text{Hom}(\Lambda^p W_1 \otimes S^m W_1; \mathbb{k})$  is identified with the subspace of polynomials in  $p + m$  variables  $\mathbb{k}[y_1, \dots, y_p; z_1, \dots, z_m]$ , which are skew-symmetric with respect to permutations of the first  $p$  variables and symmetric with respect to permutations of the last  $m$  variables. The differential  $d : C^p(W_1; S^m W_1^*) \rightarrow C^{p+1}(W_1; S^m W_1^*)$  is described by the following formula (see e.g. the description given in [12][§2.3] for  $m = 0$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} dP(y_1, \dots, y_{p+1}; z_1, \dots, z_m) = & \\ & \sum_{1 \leq s < t \leq p+1} (-1)^{s+t-1} (y_s - y_t) P(y_s + y_t, y_1, \dots, \hat{y}_s, \dots, \hat{y}_t, \dots, y_{p+1}; z_1, \dots, z_m) + \\ & + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq s \leq p+1 \\ 1 \leq t \leq m}} (-1)^s (y_s - z_t) P(y_1, \dots, \hat{y}_s, \dots, y_{p+1}; y_s + z_t, z_1, \dots, \hat{z}_t, \dots, z_m). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 4.2.45.** *The cochains*

$$\begin{aligned} a_{2m} &:= (y_1^2 - y_2^2) z_1 \dots z_m \in C^2(W_1; S^m W_1^*), \\ a_{3m} &:= (y_1 - y_2)(y_2 - y_3)(y_3 - y_1) z_1 \dots z_m \in C^3(W_1; S^m W_1^*) \end{aligned}$$

represent a basis in the cohomology  $H^\bullet(W_1; S^m W_1^*)$ .

*Proof.* A direct check shows that  $d(a_{2m}) = d(a_{3m}) = 0$  which means that they are cocycles. Moreover,  $a_{2m}$  belongs to the relative chain complex  $C^2(W_1, \mathfrak{gl}_1; S^m W_1^*)$  and is equal to the cocycle  $\xi_{1^{m+1}, m}$  which is defined in Proposition A.3.48

Alternatively, one can easily verify that the natural pairing between the cocycle  $a_{2m}$  and the cycle in  $H_2(W_1, \mathfrak{gl}_1; S^m W_1)$  given in [7] is different from zero for all  $m$ . This will imply that the corresponding cohomological class is different from zero. Theorem 2.3.20 implies that there is only one nontrivial cohomological class in this dimension.  $\square$

## A Chains on the Lie algebra $W_n$ and $\mathfrak{gl}$ -invariants

This section contains detailed explanations of known results and their generalizations mentioned in Section 2.1. We will describe the action of matrix Lie subalgebras on the Lie algebras  $W_n$ ,  $W(m; \mathfrak{g})$ ,  $WL(m; \mathfrak{g})$ ,  $WL(m|n)$  and use this description in order to identify the space of  $\mathfrak{gl}$ -invariant chains with the truncated Weyl algebras. This description of the relative chain complexes has been written in detail in [17], [12] for the Lie algebra  $W_n$ , and [21] deals with the Lie algebra  $W(m; \mathfrak{g})$ .

## A.1 $\mathfrak{gl}$ -decompositions

Let  $V$  be a vector space of dimension  $m$ . Let  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  be a basis of linear coordinates on this space. Then as a  $\mathfrak{gl}_m = \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  module the linear span of constant derivatives  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$  is isomorphic to  $V$  and the space of polynomial functions of degree  $k$  spanned by monomials  $x_1^{i_1} \dots x_m^{i_m}$  with  $i_1 + \dots + i_m = k$  is isomorphic to the  $k$ -th symmetric power of  $V^*$ . Thus the space of functions  $\mathcal{O}_m = \mathcal{O}(V)$  on  $V$  is isomorphic as a  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ -module to the completion of the direct sum  $\widehat{\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} S^k V^*}$ . Consequently, we have the following decompositions as  $\mathfrak{gl}_m$ -modules:

$$\begin{aligned} W_m &\simeq \widehat{\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} S^k V^*} \otimes V, & \mathfrak{gl}_m &\simeq V^* \otimes V \\ W(m; \mathfrak{g}) &= W_m \times \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{O}_m \simeq_{\mathfrak{gl}_m} \left( \widehat{\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} S^k V^*} \otimes V \right) \oplus \widehat{\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} S^k V^*} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \\ WL(m|; \mathfrak{g}) &\simeq_{\mathfrak{gl}_m} \left( \widehat{\bigoplus_{k=0,1} S^k V^*} \otimes V \right) \oplus \widehat{\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} S^k V^*} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \simeq_{\mathfrak{gl}_m} (V^* \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(V)) \oplus \widehat{\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} S^k V^*} \otimes \mathfrak{g}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us now describe the space of relative chains in the aforementioned Lie algebras. First, we recall, that these infinite-dimensional Lie algebras are topological. The underlying topology comes from the topology on formal power series. The linear continuous functions on the vector space of formal power series is the polynomial ring on the dual space. In particular, we have the following identity:

$$\left( \widehat{\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} S^k V} \right)^* \simeq \left( \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} S^k V^* \right)$$

We use the usual dual sign “ $*$ ” having in mind that one should take the linear continuous dual.

## A.2 Graphs representing chains on $W_m$

We have the following description of the relative chain complexes:

$$\begin{aligned} C^\bullet(W_m, \mathfrak{gl}_m; \mathbb{k}) &= \left[ \Lambda^\bullet \left( \frac{W_m}{\mathfrak{gl}_m} \right)^* \right]^{\mathfrak{gl}_m} = \left[ \left( \widehat{\bigoplus_{k=0,2,3,\dots} S^k V^*} \otimes V \right) \right]^{\mathfrak{gl}(V)} \simeq \\ &\simeq \left[ \Lambda^\bullet \left( \bigoplus_{k=0,2,3,\dots} S^k V \otimes V^* \right) \right]^{\mathfrak{gl}(V)} \simeq \bigoplus_{\{p_0, p_2, p_3, \dots\}} \left[ \bigotimes_{k=0,2,3,\dots} \Lambda^{p_k} (S^k V \otimes V^*) \right]^{\mathfrak{gl}(V)}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2.46})$$

The index  $k = 1$  is omitted in the right-hand side of Isomorphism A.2.46 because we factorize  $W_n$  by the subspace  $\mathfrak{gl}(V) \simeq V^* \otimes V$ . The main observation due to Gelfand and Fuchs in [17] is the description of this ring of  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ -invariants. They observed that all nonzero  $\mathfrak{gl}$ -invariants come from the subring

$$\left[ \bigoplus_{p_0} \Lambda^{p_0} V^* \otimes \Lambda^{p_0} (S^2 V \otimes V^*) \right]^{\mathfrak{gl}(V)}.$$

Let us explain their result using the language of graphs as one always does when working with  $\mathfrak{gl}$ -invariants. (see e.g. [22] for the definition of the graph-complex in the similar problem of describing the cohomology of the Lie algebra of Hamiltonian vector fields. Consider an oriented graph  $\Gamma$  yielding the following conditions:

- (i) Each vertex has exactly one outgoing edge;
- (ii) For each vertex  $v$  the number  $in(v)$  of incoming edges is never equal to 1. That is, we allow  $0, 2, 3, \dots$  for possible numbers of incoming edges  $in(v)$ .

Assign to  $\Gamma$  the relative cochain  $c_\Gamma$  on the Lie algebra  $W_n$  using the right-hand side of the isomorphism in (A.2.46). Suppose that  $\Gamma$  has  $p_0$  vertices with no incoming edges,  $p_2$  vertices with 2 incoming

edges and so on. Then  $\Gamma$  defines a  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ -invariant in the space  $\bigotimes_{k=0,2,3,\dots} \Lambda^{p_k}(S^k V \otimes V^*)$  where each edge in  $\Gamma$  defines a  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ -invariant pairing between appropriate factors  $V^*$  and  $V$ . To be strict one has to do the following: first, fix an order on the set of vertices:  $v_1, \dots, v_{\sum p_i}$ ; second, attach to each vertex with  $k$  incoming edges a factor  $S^k V \otimes V^*$ ; third, each edge  $v_i \rightarrow v_j$  defines a  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ -invariant pairing between  $V^*$  and  $V$  coming from the contravariant component of  $S^{in(v_i)} V \otimes V^*$  and one of the covariant arguments of  $S^{in(v_j)} V \otimes V^*$ ; fourth, make a skewsymmetrization with respect to all vertices of the same valency. (See e.g. [12] for the detailed description.) The latter skewsymmetrisation procedure produces the following two necessary conditions on  $\Gamma$  in order to have a nonzero cochain  $c_\Gamma$ :

(1) If two vertices both have a zero number of incoming edges, then their outgoing arrows lead to different vertices.

(2) The number of vertices  $v$  with  $in(v) = 0$  is less or equal to the dimension of  $V$ .

Condition (1) comes from the following fact: A permutation of two vertices of  $\Gamma$ , each having no incoming arrows should send  $c_\Gamma$  to  $-c_\Gamma$ , however the permutation of two incoming edges in any vertex should not change the cochain  $c_\Gamma$ . Therefore, whenever the first condition is not satisfied we get  $c_\Gamma = -c_\Gamma$  and hence  $c_\Gamma = 0$ . Condition (2) corresponds to the fact that  $\Lambda^{>dim(V)}(V^*) = 0$ .

The conditions (1) and (2) are already enough to describe all possible graphs representing nontrivial cochains. Let  $\Gamma^c$  be a connected component of the graph  $\Gamma$  satisfying properties (1) and (2). Then the set of vertices  $\{v \in \Gamma^c | in(v) > 2\}$  is empty and the cardinality of subsets of vertices  $\{v \in \Gamma^c | in(v) = 2\}$  and  $\{v \in \Gamma^c | in(v) = 0\}$  are the same. Thus, the number of vertices in the connected component  $\Gamma^c$  is even and there is only one connected graph  $\Gamma_r$  with  $2r$  vertices yielding property (1) which looks like a wheel, see Picture 1 below. Property (2) implies that  $c_\Gamma \neq 0$  only if  $\Gamma = \Gamma_{r_1} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \Gamma_{r_k}$  with  $r_1 + \dots + r_k \leq dim(V)$ . A direct check shows that the cochains  $c_\Gamma$  with  $\Gamma$  being a union of wheels  $\Gamma_{r_i}$  are linearly independent. The map  $\Psi_{2r} \rightarrow c_{\Gamma_r}$  defines an isomorphism from the truncated ring  $H^{\bullet \leq 2n}(BU_n) = \mathbb{k}^{\bullet \leq 2n}[\Psi_2, \dots, \Psi_{2n}]$  to the relative cochain complex  $C^\bullet(W_n, \mathfrak{gl}_n; \mathbb{k})$  and we get the conclusion of Theorem 2.1.8.




Figure 1: Graph-wheel  $\Gamma_6$

### A.2.1 Chains on $WL(m; \mathfrak{g})$ .

The relative chain complex of the Lie algebra  $WL(m; \mathfrak{g})$  has the following description in terms of  $\mathfrak{gl}$ -invariants:

$$\begin{aligned}
 C^\bullet(WL(m; \mathfrak{g}), \mathfrak{gl}_m; \mathbb{k}) &\simeq \left[ \Lambda^\bullet \left( (V^* \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(V)) \oplus \widehat{\bigoplus_{k \geq 0}} S^k V^* \otimes \mathfrak{g} \right)^* \right] = \\
 &= \bigoplus_{\{q_0; p_0, p_1, \dots\}} \left[ \Lambda^{q_0} V^* \otimes \bigotimes_{k \geq 0} \Lambda^{p_k} (S^k V \otimes \mathfrak{g}^*) \right]^{\mathfrak{gl}(V)}
 \end{aligned}$$

One has a description of the invariants in terms of graphs similar to the one considered for the Lie algebra  $W_n$ . The main difference consists of removing vertices with  $in(v) \geq 2$  and adding vertices with no outgoing edges and with arbitrary amount of incoming edges. However, Condition (1) and (2) for these graphs remains the same. The symmetry arguments show that there are nontrivial invariants only in the case  $p_k = 0$  for  $k > 1$  and  $p_1 = q_0 \leq dim(V)$ . So we end up with an isomorphism with the



truncated Weyl algebra

$$C^\bullet(WL(m|; \mathfrak{g}), \mathfrak{gl}_m; \mathbb{k}) \simeq \bigoplus_{p \geq 0, 1 \leq q \leq m} [\Lambda^q V \otimes \Lambda^p(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Lambda^q(V \otimes \mathfrak{g}^*)]^{\mathfrak{gl}(V)} \simeq \simeq \Lambda^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes S^{\bullet \leq \dim(V)}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \simeq \mathcal{W}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})/F^{2m+1}$$

The description of the relative chains of the Lie algebra  $W(m; g)$  is somehow the union of the descriptions of the chains on  $W_m$  and chains on  $WL(m|; \mathfrak{g})$ . We omit the details and refer the reader to the article [21].

### A.3 formulas for cocycles

All the previous sections of Appendix A were collected here in order to be able to give a description of the cocycles representing the cohomology classes of  $H^\bullet(W_n, \mathfrak{gl}_n; S^m W_n^*)$ . The main idea is to describe the images of cocycles coming from the cohomology classes of  $H^\bullet(W_{n+m}, \mathfrak{gl}_{n+m}; \mathbb{k})$ .

In order to distinguish between the present case and the previous one we denote the canonical  $n$ -dimensional vector space  $\mathbb{k}^n$  by  $U$  and the  $m$ -dimensional vector space is denoted by  $V$ . Consider the  $\mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}$ -invariant

$$\xi_r \in \Lambda^r(V^* \oplus U^*) \otimes \Lambda^r(S^2(V \oplus U) \otimes (V^* \oplus U^*))$$

represented by the wheel graph  $\Gamma_r$ . Let  $\varphi(\xi_r)$  be the restriction of this invariant to the subspace

$$\Lambda^r(V^* \oplus U^*) \otimes \Lambda^r(S^2(V \oplus U) \otimes U^*)$$

The latter subspace is decomposed into the following direct sum:

$$\begin{aligned} & \Lambda^r(V^* \oplus U^*) \otimes \Lambda^r(S^2(V \oplus U) \otimes U^*) \simeq \\ & \simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{s_1+s_2=r \\ t_1+t_2+t_3=r}} \Lambda^{s_1} V^* \otimes \Lambda^{s_2} U^* \otimes \Lambda^{t_1}(S^2 V \otimes U^*) \otimes \Lambda^{t_2}(V \otimes U \otimes U^*) \otimes \Lambda^{t_3}(S^2 U \otimes U^*) \quad (\text{A.3.47}) \end{aligned}$$

and the symmetry conditions implies that  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ -invariants are nontrivial only for  $t_1 = 0$ ,  $s_1 = t_1$  and  $s_2 = t_2$  respectively. Moreover, we have the following description of the subspace of  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ -invariants:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\Lambda^s V^* \otimes \Lambda^{r-s} U^* \otimes \Lambda^s(V \otimes U \otimes U^*) \otimes \Lambda^{r-s}(S^2 U \otimes U^*)]^{\mathfrak{gl}(V)} \simeq \\ & \simeq \Lambda^{r-s} U^* \otimes \Lambda^{r-s}(S^2 U \otimes U^*) \otimes [\Lambda^s V^* \otimes \Lambda^s(V \otimes U \otimes U^*)]^{\mathfrak{gl}(V)} \simeq \\ & \simeq \Lambda^{r-s} U^* \otimes \Lambda^{r-s}(S^2 U \otimes U^*) \otimes S^s(U \otimes U^*). \end{aligned}$$

We denote by  $\xi_{r,s}$  the image of the projection  $tr_s$  of  $\varphi(\xi_r)$  onto the aforementioned subspace of  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$  invariants:

$$tr_s : [\Lambda^r(V^* \oplus U^*) \otimes \Lambda^r(S^2(V \oplus U) \otimes U^*)]^{\mathfrak{gl}(V)} \twoheadrightarrow \Lambda^r U^* \otimes \Lambda^r(S^2 U \otimes U^*) \otimes S^s(U \otimes U^*)$$

where the parameter  $s$  may range from 1 to  $r$ . The underlying graphs associated with the invariant  $\xi_{r,s}$  also look like a union of wheels. The main difference with the wheel graphs  $\Gamma_r$  is that now some vertices on the ring of a wheel will have no incoming edges from the outside of the ring. (See Picture 2).




Figure 2: Graph representing  $\xi_{6,1}$

**Proposition A.3.48.** For any partition  $\lambda = \{\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k\}$  of the integer  $(m+n)$  the cochain

$$\xi_{\lambda,n} := \sum_{s_1+\dots+s_k=m} \xi_{\lambda_1,s_1} \cdot \dots \cdot \xi_{\lambda_k,s_k} \in [\Lambda^n U^* \otimes \Lambda^n(S^2 U \otimes U^*) \otimes S^m(U \otimes U^*)]^{\mathfrak{gl}(U)}$$

defines a cocycle in  $C^{2n}(W_n, \mathfrak{gl}_n; S^m W_n^*)$ . Moreover, the cocycles  $\xi_{\lambda,n}$  with  $l(\lambda) \leq n$  are linearly independent and form a set of representatives of all the different cohomological classes.

*Proof.* The proof is a straightforward description of the images of cocycles under surjections:

$$C^\bullet(W_{m+n}, \mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}; \mathbb{k}) = H^\bullet(W_{m+n}, \mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}; \mathbb{k}) \rightarrow H^\bullet(WL(m|n), \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \mathbb{k}) \rightarrow H^\bullet(W_n, \mathfrak{gl}_n; S^k W_n^*). \quad (\text{A.3.49})$$

Because of the first equality in (A.3.49) the linear independence of cochains  $\{\xi_{\lambda,n} | l(\lambda) \leq n\}$  will imply the linear independence of corresponding cohomological classes. There exists a natural way how to assign a chain  $\eta_\Gamma \in C_\bullet(W_n, \mathfrak{gl}_n; S^k W_n^*)$  to a graph  $\Gamma$  (see e.g. [12]). The pairing between  $\xi_{\lambda,n}$  and  $\eta_{\Gamma,\mu}$  with  $l(\lambda), l(\mu) \leq n$  is nondegenerate and thus the cochains  $\xi_{\lambda,n}$  are linearly independent.  $\square$

## B Relative cohomology of parabolic Lie subalgebra

In this section we will explain some vanishing cohomological results for the cohomology of a parabolic subalgebra. All these results are simple applications of the BGG resolution. We formulate all key corollaries in their full generality. That is, we work with a semisimple or reductive Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and negative parabolic subalgebra  $\mathfrak{p}_I$ . However, the applications we need in order to compute the Lie algebra cohomology of a certain class of vector fields is a very specific case:  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}$  and the Levi subalgebra of the parabolic subalgebra  $\mathfrak{p}_I$  is the subset of matrices with two blocks  $\mathfrak{h}_I = \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n$ .

### B.1 Notation

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a semisimple (reductive) Lie algebra with a chosen Cartan decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+$ . Let  $R$  be the associated root system,  $S$  the subset of simple roots and  $W$  the corresponding Weyl group. Each element  $w \in W$  admits a decomposition  $w = s_{\alpha_1} \dots s_{\alpha_r}$  into the product of simple reflections  $s_\alpha$  with  $\alpha \in S$ . The minimum of the number of factors among such decompositions is called the length of  $w$  and is denoted  $l(w)$ . The subalgebra  $\mathfrak{p}$  is called parabolic if it contains the negative Borel subalgebra  $\mathfrak{b}^- = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^-$ . Let us fix a subset  $I$  of simple roots and assign to it the standard parabolic subalgebra  $\mathfrak{p}_I$ . Let  $R_I$  be the subset of roots generated by  $I$ .  $R_I^+$  and  $R_I^-$  denote the subsets of positive and negative roots of  $R_I$ .

Now we are able to specify the notations for some important subalgebras related to a chosen parabolic subalgebra  $\mathfrak{p}_I$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{p}_I &:= \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R_I^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \text{ (Standard parabolic subalgebra),} \\ \mathfrak{h}_I &:= \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R_I} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \text{ (Maximal reductive subalgebra of } \mathfrak{p}_I), \\ \mathfrak{n}_I^+ &:= \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R^+ \setminus R_I^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \\ \mathfrak{n}_I^- &:= \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R^- \setminus R_I^-} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \text{ (Nilradical of } \mathfrak{p}_I). \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively, we have the decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_I^- \oplus \mathfrak{h}_I \oplus \mathfrak{n}_I^+ = \mathfrak{p}_I \oplus \mathfrak{n}_I^+$  which we call the generalized Cartan decomposition.

In general, for any parabolic subalgebra  $\mathfrak{p}$  it is possible to choose a Borel subalgebra and a set of generators  $I$  such that  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_I$ . (See e.g. [11, 24] for details on parabolic Lie subalgebras.) Moreover, it is known that the corresponding description of the BGG category  $\mathcal{O}$  is absolutely parallel to the standard one (see e.g. [25] for details on BGG). In particular, the parabolic BGG resolution was introduced in [27] and may be briefly summarized in the following way:

Let  $P^+ \subset P$  be the set of integral dominant weights for the root system  $R$  and Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Let  $P_I^+ \subset P_I = P$  be the set of integral dominant weights for the root system  $R_I$  and same Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$ . That is the elements of  $P^+$  are in one-to-one correspondence with irreducible finite-dimensional  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules and the elements of  $P_I^+$  correspond to irreducible finite-dimensional  $\mathfrak{h}_I$ -modules. By  $W_I \subset W$  we denote the Weyl group associated with  $R_I$  and by  $W^I$  we denote the right coset  $W_I \backslash W$  consisting of elements of minimal length in  $W$ .

Any weight  $\lambda \in P_I^+$  defines a finite-dimensional irreducible  $\mathfrak{h}_I$ -module  $L_I(\lambda)$  with highest weight  $\lambda$  as well as the parabolic Verma module  $M_I(\lambda) := U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p}_I)} L_I(\lambda)$ .

**Theorem** ([27]). *Let  $\lambda \in P^+$  be a regular dominant weight, and suppose that  $L(\lambda)$  be the corresponding irreducible  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module with highest weight  $\lambda$ . Then there is an exact sequence*

$$\dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\omega \in W^I, l(\omega)=k} M_I(\omega \cdot \lambda) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow M_I(\lambda) \rightarrow L(\lambda) \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{B.1.50})$$

For a nonregular integral dominant weight  $\lambda \in P^+$  a similar resolution exists. The  $k$ -th term is isomorphic to the direct sum of all  $M_I(\omega \cdot \lambda)$  such that  $\omega$  is an element of the minimal length which sends  $\lambda$  to  $\omega \cdot \lambda$ .

## B.2 Applications of BGG resolution

We are interested in the applications of BGG for cohomological computations over parabolic subalgebras. Indeed, we are going to prove the following:

**Theorem B.2.51.** *Let  $\mathfrak{p}_I \subset \mathfrak{g}$  be a parabolic subalgebra in  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\mathfrak{h}_I$  it's Levi subalgebra as above. Then for any finite-dimensional  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $L$  we have the following*

1. *the higher relative cohomology of the parabolic subalgebra  $\mathfrak{p}_I$  with coefficients in  $L$  vanishes and elements of the degree zero cohomology are all  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariants:*

$$H^{>0}(\mathfrak{p}_I, \mathfrak{h}_I; L) = 0 \text{ and } H^0(\mathfrak{p}_I, \mathfrak{h}_I; L) = [L]^{\mathfrak{g}}. \quad (\text{B.2.52})$$

2. *For any pair  $\lambda \in P^+$  and  $\mu \in P_I^+$  of integral weights, the relative extension groups between the irreducible  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $L(\lambda)$  and the irreducible  $\mathfrak{p}_I$ -module  $L_I(\mu)$  vanish if  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are in different linkage classes. Moreover, if there exists a  $\omega \in W^I$  such that  $\omega \cdot \lambda = \mu$  then the cohomology  $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{p}_I, \mathfrak{h}_I; \text{Hom}(L(\lambda), L_I(\mu)))$  is one dimensional and has cohomological degree  $l(\omega)$ :*

$$H^\bullet(\mathfrak{p}_I, \mathfrak{h}_I; \text{Hom}(L(\lambda), L_I(\mu))) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{k}[-l(\omega)], & \text{if } \omega \cdot \lambda = \mu \text{ for } \omega \in W^I, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

3. *The relative Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence associated with the embedding  $\mathfrak{p}_I \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  and with coefficients in  $L$  degenerates in the first term. In other words, we have the following collection of isomorphisms:*

$$H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}_I; L) \simeq H^\bullet(\mathfrak{p}_I, \mathfrak{h}_I; L \otimes \Lambda^\bullet(\mathfrak{n}_I^-)) \simeq H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}_I; \mathbb{k}) \otimes [L]^{\mathfrak{g}} \simeq \left( \bigoplus_{w \in W^I} \mathbb{k}[-2l(w)] \right) \otimes [L]^{\mathfrak{g}} \quad (\text{B.2.53})$$

where  $W^I$  is the right coset  $W_I \backslash W$  represented by elements of the minimal length in the Weyl group  $W$  and by  $\mathbb{k}[-2l(w)]$  we mean the one-dimensional vector space shifted by the homological degree  $2l(w)$ .

*Proof.* Consider the category  $(\mathfrak{p}_I, \mathfrak{h}_I)\text{-mod}$  of  $\mathfrak{p}_I$ -modules that are semi-simple as  $\mathfrak{h}_I$ -modules. In other words each module is a sum (probably infinite) of finite-dimensional  $\mathfrak{h}_I$ -modules. Then the Lie algebra cohomology which we are looking for may be considered as the derived  $\text{Hom}$ -functor in this category. In particular, we can use the BGG resolution  $B_\lambda^\bullet$  of the irreducible  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $L(\lambda)$  as defined in (B.1.50) in order to compute the derived homomorphisms between  $L(\lambda)$  and arbitrary module  $N \in \text{mod}(\mathfrak{p}_I, \mathfrak{h}_I)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}^\bullet(\mathfrak{p}_I, \mathfrak{h}_I; \text{Hom}(L(\lambda), N)) &= \mathbf{H}^\bullet(\mathfrak{p}_I, \mathfrak{h}_I; \text{Hom}(\bigoplus_{\omega \in W^I} M_I(\omega \cdot \lambda)[-l(\omega)], N)) = \\ &= \mathbf{H}^\bullet\left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in W^I} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{h}_I}(L_I(\omega \cdot \lambda), N)[-l(\omega)]\right) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.2.54})$$

In particular, if  $N$  is a trivial  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module then  $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{h}_I}(L_I(\omega \cdot \lambda), \mathbb{k})$  differs from zero only for  $\omega = Id$  and  $\lambda = 0$ . What means that we have checked Identity (B.2.52) for irreducible  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $N$ . The semisimplicity of the category of finite-dimensional  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules implies that Identity B.2.52 is true for all finite-dimensional  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules and item 1 is proved.

If  $N$  is isomorphic to an irreducible  $\mathfrak{h}_I$ -module  $L_I(\mu)$  with highest weight  $\mu$  then there exists at most one  $\omega \in W^I$  such that  $\omega \cdot \lambda = \mu$ . Consequently, the group  $\text{Ext}_{(\mathfrak{p}_I, \mathfrak{h}_I)\text{-mod}}^\bullet(L(\lambda), L_I(\mu))$  is at most one-dimensional and item 2 is proved.

We will prove first the item 3 for the most degenerate case, for which  $\mathfrak{p}_I$  coincides with the Borel subalgebra  $\mathfrak{b}_-$ . Recall the semisimple decomposition  $\mathfrak{n}^- \simeq \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R^-} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  of  $\mathfrak{n}^-$  as  $\mathfrak{h}$ -modules. Each  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ -is one-dimensional and we get the  $\mathfrak{h}$ -decomposition of the exterior algebra:

$$\Lambda^\bullet \mathfrak{n}^- \simeq \bigoplus_{S \subset R^-} \Lambda_{\alpha \in S} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha.$$

In particular,  $\Lambda^{\text{top}} \mathfrak{n}^-$  has weight  $-2\rho$  (the sum of all negative roots). Therefore, the set  $X$  of  $\mathfrak{h}$ -eigen values in  $\Lambda^\bullet \mathfrak{n}^-$  is the intersection of the convex hull of the orbit  $W \cdot 0$  for the dot-action and the root lattice. In particular, for any integral dominant weight  $\lambda \in P^+$ , the intersection of the orbit  $W \cdot \lambda$  and  $X$  is nontrivial only if  $\lambda = 0$ . In order to capture the possible values of  $\omega$  we have to recall another definition of the length. (See e.g. [5].) The length  $l(\omega)$  of an element  $\omega$  of the Weyl group may be defined as the cardinality of the set  $\omega(R^+) \cap R^-$ . In other words  $\omega$  sends exactly  $l(\omega)$  positive roots to negative roots. Denote by  $n_\omega^- := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in (\omega(R^+) \cap R^-)} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  and  $n_\omega^+ := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in (R^+ \cap \omega(R^-))} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  the corresponding dual subspaces in  $n^-$  and  $n^+$  respectively. For any element  $\omega \in W$  we get the isomorphisms:

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathbb{k}_{\omega \cdot 0}, \Lambda^\bullet(\mathfrak{n}^-)) = \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathbb{k}_{\omega \cdot 0}, \Lambda^{l(\omega)}(\mathfrak{n}_\omega^-)) \simeq \mathbb{k},$$

where  $\mathbb{k}_{\omega \cdot 0}$  is the one-dimensional  $\mathfrak{h}$ -module of weight  $\omega \cdot 0$ . The semisimplicity of the category of finite-dimensional  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules implies item 3 for the case of a general  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $L$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_I$  being a Borel subalgebra ( $I$  is empty):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}^\bullet(\mathfrak{b}_-, \mathfrak{h}; \text{Hom}(L, \Lambda^\bullet \mathfrak{n}^-)) &= \mathbf{H}^\bullet(\mathfrak{b}_-, \mathfrak{h}; \text{Hom}(\bigoplus_{\omega \in W} M(\omega \cdot 0)[-l(\omega)], \Lambda^\bullet \mathfrak{n}^-)) \otimes [L]^\mathfrak{g} = \\ &= \mathbf{H}^\bullet\left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in W} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathbb{k}_{\omega \cdot 0}, \Lambda^\bullet \mathfrak{n}^-)[-l(\omega)]\right) \otimes [L]^\mathfrak{g} = \\ &= \mathbf{H}^\bullet\left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in W} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathbb{k}_{\omega \cdot 0}, \Lambda^{l(\omega)} \mathfrak{n}_\omega^-)[-l(\omega)]\right) \otimes [L]^\mathfrak{g} = \left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in W} \mathbb{k}[-2l(\omega)]\right) \otimes [L]^\mathfrak{g}. \end{aligned}$$

The same arguments works in the case of an arbitrary parabolic subalgebra  $\mathfrak{p}_I$ . It is enough to mention that possible  $\mathfrak{h}$ -weights in the exterior algebra  $\Lambda^\bullet \mathfrak{n}_I^-$  are bounded from above by the weights of  $\Lambda^\bullet \mathfrak{n}^-$  and, therefore, the derived hom from any nontrivial  $\mathfrak{g}$ -representation  $L(\lambda)$  to  $\Lambda^\bullet \mathfrak{n}_I^-$  is zero. Moreover, the representatives in the right coset  $W_I \backslash W$  were chosen to have minimal length. In particular, this follows that  $\mathfrak{n}_\omega^-$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{n}_I^-$  iff  $\omega \in W^I$  and, therefore, there is exactly one nontrivial  $\mathfrak{h}_I$ -homomorphism from  $L_I(\omega \cdot 0)$  to  $\Lambda^\bullet \mathfrak{n}_I^-$  which factors through the subspace  $\Lambda^{l(\omega)} n_\omega^-$ .  $\square$

### B.2.1 Particular case of matrices with two blocks

Let us explain what we get for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_I = \mathfrak{p}_{mn}$  which is our main case of interest. In this case  $\mathfrak{h}$  consists of diagonal matrices and has dimension  $m+n$ ;  $\mathfrak{h}_I$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n$ . Integral dominant weights are numbered by non-increasing sequences of integer numbers:

$$P^+ := \{\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{m+n}) : \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}\} \text{ and } P_I^+ := \{\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_m; \lambda_{m+1} \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{m+n}) : \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

The full Weyl group  $W$  is the symmetric group  $S_{m+n}$  and the subgroup  $W_I$  is a product  $S_m \times S_n$  of two symmetric groups. Consequently, the right coset  $W^I := W_I \backslash W = S_m \times S_n \backslash S_{m+n}$  consists of  $m$ - $n$ -shuffle permutations. That is,  $\omega \in S_{m+n}$  belongs to  $W^I$  iff  $\omega(1) < \dots < \omega(m)$  and  $\omega(m+1) < \dots < \omega(m+n)$

The dot-action  $\omega \cdot \lambda$  is the standard action shifted by  $-\rho$ . For  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}$  the half-sum of positive roots  $\rho$  is equal to  $\frac{1}{2}(m+n-1, m+n-3, \dots, 3-m-n, 1-m-n)$ . Consequently, for  $\omega \in S_{m+n}$  the dot-action looks as follows:

$$\omega \cdot \lambda = \omega(\lambda + \rho) - \rho = (\lambda_{\omega(1)} + 1 - \omega(1), \dots, \lambda_{\omega(n)} + n - \omega(n))$$

**Corollary B.2.55.** *Let  $L_I(\mu)$  be an irreducible polynomial  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ -module with highest weight  $\mu = (\mu_1 \geq \dots \geq \mu_n \geq 0)$ . Consider  $L_I(\mu)^* = L_I(-\mu)$  as an irreducible  $\mathfrak{p}_{mn}$ -module, so that and of the nilpotent part  $\mathfrak{n}_I^-$  are trivial. Then for any irreducible  $\mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}$ -module  $L(\lambda)$  with highest weight  $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{m+n})$  relative cohomology  $H^i(\mathfrak{p}_{mn}, \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \text{Hom}(L(\lambda), L_I(-\mu)))$  vanishes except in the case  $\lambda = -\mu$  where they are one dimensional in the homological degree 0:*

$$H^{>0}(\mathfrak{p}_{mn}, \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \text{Hom}(L(\lambda), L_I(-\mu))) = 0 \text{ for all } \lambda, \mu$$

and  $H^0(\mathfrak{p}_{mn}, \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \text{Hom}(L(\lambda), L_I(-\mu))) = \mathbb{k}$  iff  $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_m = 0$  &  $\lambda_{m+i} = -\mu_{n-i}$ .

*Proof.* We apply item 2 of Theorem B.2.51 for the case  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}_I = \mathfrak{p}_{mn}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_I = \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n$ .

The weight  $(0, \dots, 0 \geq -\mu_n \geq \dots \geq -\mu_1)$  is dominant for the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Hence, the dot-action  $\omega \cdot (-\mu)$  for a nontrivial shuffle permutation  $\omega \neq Id$  will no longer be a dominant weight because in each dot-orbit of the Weyl group there is no more than one integral dominant weight. Therefore,  $\omega \cdot \lambda = -\mu$  if and only if  $\omega = Id$  and  $\lambda = -\mu$ .  $\square$

Let us reformulate Corollary B.2.55 in terms of Schur functors as this is needed in Lemma 3.1.29. Indeed, if  $\mu$  is the highest weight of a polynomial  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ -module, that is  $\mu$  is a Young diagram, then  $L_I(\mu) = S^\mu(U)$ . Let  $L(\mu) := S^\mu(V \oplus U)$  be the corresponding irreducible polynomial  $\mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}$ -module with the same highest weight. Thus Corollary B.2.55 implies coincidence of dimensions:

$$\dim H^0(\mathfrak{p}_{mn}, \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \text{Hom}(S^\mu U; L(\lambda))) = \delta_{\lambda, \mu} = \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}}(S^\mu(U \oplus V); L(\lambda)).$$

Since the category of finite-dimensional  $\mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}$ -modules is semi-simple we get an isomorphism for arbitrary  $\mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}$ -module  $L$ :

$$H^0(\mathfrak{p}_{mn}, \mathfrak{gl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n; \text{Hom}(S^\mu U; L)) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{gl}_{m+n}}(S^\mu(U \oplus V); L). \quad (\text{B.2.56})$$

This is the reformulation of Corollary B.2.55 given in Lemma 3.1.29.

## References

- [1] J. Bernstein; B. Rosenfeld; *Homogeneous spaces of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras and the characteristic classes of foliations.* (Russian) Uspehi Mat. Nauk 28 (1973), no. 4(172), 103–138.
- [2] J. N. Bernstein; I. M. Gelfand; S. I. Gelfand. *Structure of representations that are generated by vectors of highest weight.* (Russian) Func. Anal & Appl. 5 (1971), no. 1, 1–9.

- [3] *Bott R. Lectures on characteristic classes and foliations.* Notes by Lawrence Conlon, with two appendices by J.Stasheff. Lectures on algebraic and differential topology // Second Latin American School in Math., Mexico City. 1971.- P. 1–94.
- [4] *Bott R., Segal G. The cohomology of vector fields on a manifold* Topology. 1977. Vol. 16. P. 285–298.
- [5] *N. Bourbaki, Éléments de mathématique: groupes et algèbres de Lie.*
- [6] *A. Candel, L. Conlon, Foliations. II.* Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 60. Am. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003
- [7] *V. Dotsenko Homology of the Lie algebra of vector fields on the line with coefficients in symmetric powers of its adjoint representation.* (Russian) Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 40 (2006), no. 2, 13–19
- [8] *M. Engeli; G. Felder A Riemann-Roch-Hirzebruch formula for traces of differential operators.* Ann. Sci. c. Norm. Supr. (4) 41 (2008), no. 4, 621–653.
- [9] *B. L. Feigin, Characteristic classes of flags of foliations.* Func. Anal & Appl. 9 (1975), no. 4, 49–56
- [10] *B. L. Feigin and B. L. Tsygan, RiemannRoch theorem and Lie algebra cohomology.* In: Proc. of the Winter School on Geometry and Physics (Srni, 9–16 January 1988), Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl., No. 21, 15–52 (1989).
- [11] *W. Fulton, J. Harris; Representation theory.* A first course. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 129. Readings in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [12] *D. B. Fuks. Cohomology of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras.* Contemporary Soviet Mathematics. Consultants Bureau, New York, 1986. 339 pp.
- [13] *B. Feigin, G. Felder, B. Shoikhet, Hochschild cohomology of the Weyl algebra and traces in deformation quantization,* Duke Math. J. 127 (2005), 487–517.
- [14] *B. Feigin, A. Losev, B. Shoikhet, Riemann-Roch-Hirzebruch theorem and Topological Quantum Mechanics,* preprint arXiv:math.QA/0401400.
- [15] *I. M. Gelfand; Proceedings of International Congress of Mathematics 1970 Nice.*
- [16] *I. M. Gelfand; D. A. Kazhdan; D. B. Fuks; Actions of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras.* (Russian) Func. Anal & Appl. 6 (1972), no. 1, 10–15
- [17] *I. M. Gelfand; D. B. Fuks; Cohomology of the Lie algebra of formal vector fields.* Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya, 1970, 4:2, 327–342
- [18] *I. M. Gelfand, B. L. Feigin; D. B. Fuks; Cohomology of the Lie algebra of formal vector fields with coefficients in its dual space and variations of characteristic classes of foliations.* (Russian) Func. Anal & Appl. 8 (1974), no. 2, 13–29.
- [19] *A. Gorodentsev, A. Khoroshkin, A. Rudakov. On syzygies of highest weight orbits.* Moscow Seminar on Mathematical Physics. II, 79–120, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 221, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007
- [20] *A. Haefliger; Homotopy and integrability.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 197
- [21] *A. Khoroshkin Lie algebra of formal vector fields extended by formal  $g$ -valued functions.* (Russian) Zap. Nauchn. Sem. POMI 335 (2006), 205–230; translation in J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.) 143 (2007), no. 1, 2816–2830.

- [22] *M. Kontsevich. Feynman diagrams and low-dimensional topology.* First European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. II (Paris, 1992), 97–121,
- [23] *R. Howe Perspectives on invariant theory.* Israel Mathematical Conference proceedings. – The Schur Lectures (1992).
- [24] *J. Humphreys, Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory.* Second printing, revised. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 9. Springer-Verlag, 1978.
- [25] *J. Humphreys, Representations of semisimple Lie algebras in the BGG category O.* Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 94. Am. Math. Soc., P., RI, 2008.
- [26] *S. Lapin Differential perturbations and  $D_\infty$ -differential modules* Sbornik: Mathematics(2001), 192(11):1639
- [27] *J. Lepowsky, A generalization of the Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand resolution.* J. Algebra 49 (1977), no. 2, 496–511.
- [28] *I. Macdonald, Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials,* Oxford University Press, 1995.
- [29] *R. Stanley Enumerative combinatorics Volume 1. Second edition.* Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 49. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012. 626 pp.
- [30] *C. Weibel. An introduction to homological algebra.* Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 38. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. 450 pp.
- [31] *H. Weyl. The Classical Groups. Their Invariants and Representations.* Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1939. 302 pp.

**Anton Khoroshkin:**

Faculty of Mathematics for Economics, Vavilova 7, National Research University Higher School of Economics, Moscow 101990, Russia  
 and ITEP, Bolshaya Cheremushkinskaya 25, 117259, Moscow, Russia  
*email address:* [akhoroshkin@hse.ru](mailto:akhoroshkin@hse.ru)