EQUIVALENT NOTIONS OF NORMAL QUANTUM SUBGROUPS, COMPACT QUANTUM GROUPS WITH PROPERTIES F AND FD, AND OTHER APPLICATIONS

SHUZHOU WANG

ABSTRACT. The notion of normal quantum subgroup introduced in algebraic context by Parshall and Wang when applied to compact quantum groups is shown to be equivalent to the notion of normal quantum subgroup introduced by the author. As applications, a quantum analog of the third fundamental isomorphism theorem for groups is obtained, which is used along with the equivalence theorem to obtain results on structure of quantum groups with property F and quantum groups with property FD. Other results on normal quantum subgroups for tensor products, free products and crossed products are also proved.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of normal quantum subgroup is an important and subtle concept in the theory of quantum groups. In purely algebraic context of Hopf algebras, B. Parshall and J. Wang [17] defined a notion of normal quantum subgroup using left and right adjoint coactions of the Hopf algebra on itself, which was further studied by other authors such as Schneider [20], Takeuchi [24], and Andruskiewitsch and Devoto [1]. Parshall and Wang noted that left normal quantum groups may not be right normal in general, and given a normal quantum subgroup in their sense, it is not known whether there exists an associated exact sequence, and if an exact sequence exists, it may not be unique. These difficulties are peculiar phenomena of general Hopf algebras in purely algebraic context distinguishing Hopf algebras from groups. Other complications related to the notion of normal quantum groups in purely algebraic context are included in [20]. In C^* -algebraic context, the author introduced [28] a notion of normal quantum subgroup of compact quantum

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 16T05, 46L87, 58B32; Secondary 46L55, 46L89, 81R50, 81R60.

Key words and phrases. Compact Quantum Groups, Woronowicz C^* -algebras, Hopf Algebras, Noncommutative Geometry.

groups using analytical properties of representation theory of compact quantum groups. It was not known whether these two notions of normality are equivalent when they are applied to the canonical dense Hopf *-algebras of quantum representative functions of compact quantum groups. In [33], the author's notion of normal quantum groups was used in an essential way to define the notion of simple compact quantum groups. It was also announced in [33] without proof that the above two notions of normality are equivalent for compact quantum groups (see remark (b) after Lemma 4.4 in [33]). As consequences, left normal and right normal defined in algebraic context by Parshall and Wang are also equivalent for compact quantum groups, and their normal quantum subgroups always give rise to a unique exact sequence. That is, the complications mentioned above in purely algebraic setting do not present themselves in the world of compact quantum groups. Such properties might be useful for formulating an appropriate notion of quantum groups in algebraic setting, which is still an open problem.

Other facts announced in [33] without proofs include general results on structure of compact quantum groups with property F (resp. property FD), where, roughly speaking, a compact quantum group G is said to have property F if its quantum function algebra A_G has the same property with respect to quotients by normal quantum subgroups as the function algebra of a compact group, and it is said to have property FD if its quantum function algebra has the same property with respect to quotients by normal quantum subgroups as the quantum function algebra of the dual of a discrete group. See Definition 4.2 below for precise definitions of these concepts and notation used above. Compact quantum groups with property F include all quantum groups obtained from compact Lie groups by deformation method, such as compact real form of the Drinfeld-Jimbo quantum groups and Rieffel's deformation, as well as most of the universal quantum groups $A_u(Q)$ (also called the free unitary quantum groups), cf. [33].

The purposes of this paper are to give complete proof of the equivalence of the two notions of normality mentioned above and give the following applications of this Equivalence Theorem on the structure of compact quantum groups.

(1) We establish a complete quantum analog of the Third Fundamental Isomorphism Theorem. This is the only one among the three fundamental isomorphism theorems that has a complete quantum analog without added conditions or restrictions. On the contrary, a surjection of compact quantum groups (i.e. inclusion of Woronowicz C^* -algebras) does not always give rise to a quantum analog of the First Fundamental Isomorphism Theorem, except in the special case where an exact sequence can be constructed, cf. [17, 20, 24, 1] for this and other subtleties. Taking the example of the group C^* -algebra $A_G := C^*(F_2)$ of the free group F_2 on two generators, a Woronowicz C^* -subalgebra of A_G does not give rise to an exact sequence unless it is the group C^* -algebra of a normal subgroup of F_2 . In addition, it is not clear at the moment how a quantum analog of the second fundamental isomorphism theorem can be formulated.

(2) Using the Equivalence Theorem and the quantum analog of the Third Fundamental Isomorphism Theorem, we show that quotient quantum groups of a compact quantum group with property F also have property F, and quantum subgroups of a compact quantum group with property FD also have property FD. We show that quotient quantum groups of a compact quantum group with property FD also have property FD provided G has the pullback property. The pullback property is the quantum group version of the group situation in which every subgroup of G/N is of the form H/Nfor some subgroup H of G containing N. We give an example to show not all compact quantum groups have the pullback property.

(3) We prove results on normal quantum subgroups for tensor products, free products and crossed products. Note that the free product construction has no place in the classical world of compact groups. It is a total quantum phenomenon.

An outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we recall the algebraic notion of normal quantum subgroups in [17] and the analytical notion of normal quantum subgroups in [28] respectively. In Section 3, the equivalence of these two notions of normality is proved. In Section 4, as applications of the Equivalence Theorem, we prove the quantum analog of the Third Fundamental Isomorphism Theorem, and results on structure of compact quantum groups with property F and property FD. In Section 5, as further applications, properties of normal quantum subgroups for free products, tensor products and crossed products are given.

We note that most results in this paper, such as Theorem 2.7 and those in Sections 4 and 5, are also valid for cosemisimple Hopf algebras when they are appropriately re-formulated. For instance, one simply replaces the statement in (1) of Theorem 2.7 with the equality in (3)' of Proposition 3.2 for such a reformulation. The existence of the Haar integral/measure shared

by both compact quantum groups and cosemisimple Hopf algebras is a key element in the proofs of these results.

Besides the general abstract theory on compact quantum groups developed by Woronowicz and general constructions of particular classes of compact quantum groups, there seem to be few general results on the *structure* of *infinite* compact quantum groups in the literature with the possible exception of [10], a situation contrary to finite quantum groups for which there is much literature on their structure and classification. The results in sections 4 and 5 are a modesty attempt at developing theory on structure of infinite compact quantum groups. It is expected that such results will be useful in the program [33] of classification of simple compact quantum groups and further study of the structure of compact quantum groups.

Convention: We use the notation and terminology in [28]. For a compact quantum group G, A_G denotes the underlying Woronowicz C^* -algebra and \mathcal{A}_G the associated canonical dense Hopf *-algebra of quantum representative functions on G. Sometimes it is convenient to abuse the notation by calling A_G a compact quantum group, referring to G. As was pointed out on p.533 of [30], morphisms between quantum groups are meaningful only for *full* Woronowicz C^* -algebras A_G (i.e. restriction of the norm $|| \cdot ||$ of A_G to the *-algebra \mathcal{A}_G is the maximum of all possible C^* -norm on \mathcal{A}_G), although one can define morphisms between arbitrary Woronowicz C^* -algebras (cf. 2.3 in [28]). Unless otherwise explicitly stated, we assume that *all* Woronowicz C^* -algebras, including Sweedler's summation convention [21, 16], and Δ , ε , S for coproduct, counit and antipode, respectively. Relevant basic information on compact quantum groups and Hopf algebras can also be found in [14].

2. Two Notions of Normal Quantum Subgroups and Their Equivalence

We recall the two notions of normal quantum subgroups defined by the author in [28] analytically and by Parshall and Wang in [17] algebraically.

Definition 2.1. (cf. 2.3 and 2.13 in [28]) A quantum subgroup of a compact quantum group G in the sense of [28] is a pair (N, π) , where A_N is a Woronowicz C^{*}-algebra and $\pi : A_G \longrightarrow A_N$ is a surjection of C^{*}-algebras that satisfies

$$(\pi \otimes \pi) \Delta_G = \Delta_N \pi, \tag{2.1}$$

where Δ_G and Δ_N are the coproducts of A_G and A_N respectively.

It can be shown (cf. 2.9 and 2.11 in [28] or 1.3.9 and 1.3.9 in [27]) that (N,π) is a quantum subgroup of G if and only if the kernel ker (π) (denoted by I) of π is a **Woronowicz** C^* -ideal of A_G in the sense that it is a C^* -ideal of A_G that satisfies

$$\Delta_G(I) \subset \ker(\pi \otimes \pi). \tag{2.2}$$

When there is possible confusion, such as when referring to kernel of the morphism in Lemma 3.3 and when comparing analytically defined quantum subgroup with its algebraically defined counterpart, we use $\hat{\pi} : \mathcal{A}_G \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_N$ to denote the restriction of π that maps the dense algebra \mathcal{A}_G of quantum representative functions on G onto that \mathcal{A}_N on N. The quantum group (N,π) should be more precisely called a closed quantum subgroup, but we will omit the word *closed* since we do not consider non-closed quantum subgroups.

For convenience of readers who are familiar with the language of comodules of Hopf algebras but less so with the notion of a finite dimensional representation of a compact quantum group G, we recall the definition of the latter. For definition of infinite dimensional (unitary) representations, see 2.4 in [28] or Section 3 in [36].

Definition 2.2. (cf. 2.1 in [35]) A representation of dimensional d of a compact quantum group G is an invertible element v of the algebra $M_d(A_G)$ of $d \times d$ matrices with entries in A_G such that

$$\Delta_G(v_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^d v_{ik} \otimes v_{kj}.$$
(2.3)

As shown in Proposition 13 on p30 in [14] and Proposition 3.2 in [35], finite dimensional representations of G and comodules of the Hopf algebra \mathcal{A}_G are in natural one to one correspondence. Note that representation in the sense above is called non-degenerate representation in [35].

The algebra $M_d(A_G)$ is also written as $M_d(\mathbb{C}) \otimes A$, where $M_d(\mathbb{C})$ is the algebra of $d \times d$ matrices with entries in complex numbers \mathbb{C} . For this reason, for a linear map τ from A_G to another vector space, the matrix $(\tau(v_{ij}))_{i,j=1}^d$ is often written in three different ways interchangeably with slight abuse of notation:

$$(\tau(v_{ij}))_{i,j=1}^d = (id \otimes \tau)(v) = \tau(v).$$

Definition 2.3. (cf. 2.13 in [28]) A quantum subgroup (N, π) of G is called normal if for every irreducible representation u^{λ} of G, the multiplicity of the trivial representation of N in $\pi(u^{\lambda})$ is equal to either zero or the dimension of u^{λ} .

Let h_N be the Haar measure (also called Haar state or Haar integral) on N. Then it is clear that N is normal if and only if for every irreducible representation u^{λ} of G,

either
$$h_N \pi(u^\lambda) = I_{d_\lambda}$$
, or $h_N \pi(u^\lambda) = 0$,

where d_{λ} is the dimension of u^{λ} , and $I_{d_{\lambda}}$ is the $d_{\lambda} \times d_{\lambda}$ identity matrix.

We recall the definition of normal quantum subgroup in Parshall and Wang [17] adapted to Hopf *-algebras of the form \mathcal{A}_G where G is a compact quantum group, though their definition applies to more general Hopf algebras.

Definition 2.4. (cf. 1.4 in [17]) An algebraic quantum subgroup of a compact group G is a pair (N, η) where N is a compact quantum N and $\eta : \mathcal{A}_G \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_N$ is a surjection of *-algebras that satisfies

$$(\eta \otimes \eta) \Delta_G = \Delta_N \eta, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\varepsilon_G = \varepsilon_N \eta, \ \eta S_G = S_N \eta,$$
 (2.5)

where η_G and η_N (resp. S_G and S_N) are the counits (resp. antipodes) of \mathcal{A}_G and \mathcal{A}_N respectively.

It is clear that (N, η) is an **algebraic quantum subgroup** of G if and only if the kernel ker (η) (denoted by \mathcal{I}) of η is a Hopf *-ideal of \mathcal{A}_G in the sense that it is a *-ideal of \mathcal{A}_G that satisfies (cf. 1.4 in [17])

$$\Delta_G(\mathcal{I}) \subset \mathcal{A}_G \otimes \mathcal{I} + \mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{A}_G, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\varepsilon_G(\mathcal{I}) = 0, \ S_G(\mathcal{I}) \subset \mathcal{I}.$$
 (2.7)

In [17], the morphism η is not required to preserve the *-algebra structure and the ideal \mathcal{I} is not required to be a *-ideal. Since we restrict attention to compact quantum groups, we need to require both.

Using Woronowicz's Peter-Weyl theory for compact quantum groups, one can easily show (cf. 2.10 of [28] and details in 1.2.16 of [27]) that if (N, π) is a quantum subgroup of G in the sense of Definition 2.1, then $(N, \hat{\pi})$ is an algebraic quantum subgroup of G. In particular, the counits and antipodes of the associated dense Hopf subalgebras are *automatically* preserved, i.e. conditions in (2.5) (resp. (2.7)) *automatically* follow from (2.4) (resp. (2.6)),

which are postulated in 1.4 of Parshall and Wang [17]. However it must be cautioned that if (N, η) is an algebraic quantum subgroup of G in the original sense of [17] without η preserving the *-structures of \mathcal{A}_G and \mathcal{A}_N and both G and N are compact, there is *no* morphism of compact quantum groups $\pi: \mathcal{A}_G \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_N$ with $\hat{\pi} = \eta$.

The precise correspondence between analytical quantum subgroups in Definition 2.1 and algebraic quantum subgroups of G in Definition 2.4 is given by the following theorem (see 4.3.(2) in [33]), which essentially says that the two notions are equivalent. It is the first step that reduces the C^* -setting to algebraic setting for the proof of the equivalence theorem on normality:

Theorem 2.5. The map $f(\mathcal{I}) = \overline{\mathcal{I}}$ is a bijection from the set of Hopf *-ideals $\{\mathcal{I}\}$ of \mathcal{A}_G onto the set of Woronowicz C^* -ideals $\{I\}$ of \mathcal{A}_G with full quotient Woronowicz C^* -algebra \mathcal{A}_G/I . The inverse g of f is given by $g(I) = I \cap \mathcal{A}_G$.

Remarks: A detailed proof of the above theorem is given in [33]. We note that its proof is a nice interplay between the algebraic and analytical properties of compact quantum groups. We also note that many concrete constructions in the analytical C^* -algebraic context also have purely algebraic formulation, such as the quantum permutation groups in [31] and their algebraic counter part in Bichon [3, 4]. The theory of compact quantum groups is a rich ground where algebraic aspects and analytical aspects pleasantly interplay with each other.

Thanks to Theorem 2.5, we can now focus on the algebraic object \mathcal{A}_G . Let $a \in \mathcal{A}_G$. The left and right adjoint coactions are defined respectively by

$$ad_l(a) := \sum a_{(2)} \otimes a_{(1)} S(a_{(3)}), \quad ad_r(a) := \sum a_{(2)} \otimes S(a_{(1)}) a_{(3)}$$

where S is the antipode of the Hopf algebra \mathcal{A}_G and Sweedler's notation [21] is used:

$$(\Delta \otimes id)\Delta(a) = (id \otimes \Delta)\Delta(a) = \sum a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)} \otimes a_{(3)}.$$

Definition 2.6. (cf. Definition 1.5 in [17]) An algebraic quantum subgroup (N,η) of G is called **a-normal** if ker (η) is a normal Hopf ideal of \mathcal{A}_G in the sense that the following two conditions are satisfied,

$$ad_l(a) \in \ker(\eta) \otimes \mathcal{A}_G$$
, and $ad_r(a) \in \ker(\eta) \otimes \mathcal{A}_G$

for all $a \in \ker(\eta)$.

To compare with our Definition 2.3, for the time being we use the term **a-normal** instead of normal for the situation considered by Parshall and Wang [17]. Following their paper we call (N, η) left **a-normal** (resp. right **a-normal**) if the first (resp. second) condition in Definition 2.6 above is satisfied. In Schneider [20], a morphism such as η used in Definition 2.6 above is also called a conormal morphism. In 1.1.7 of Andruskiewitsch and Devoto [1], \mathcal{A}_N is said be a right quotient \mathcal{A}_G comodule if the second condition above is satisfied, because the comodule structure ad_r can then be induced to the quotient $\mathcal{A}_G/\ker(\eta)$, which is \mathcal{A}_N .

Our *first goal* in this paper is to prove the following Equivalence Theorem.

Theorem 2.7. Let (N, π) be a quantum subgroup of a compact quantum group G. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) (N, π) is normal.
- (2) $(N, \hat{\pi})$ is a-normal.
- (3) $(N, \hat{\pi})$ is left a-normal.
- (4) $(N, \hat{\pi})$ is right a-normal.

The proof is given in the next section.

3. Proof of Theorem 2.7

For convenience of the reader, we recall the notations to be used below. Define

$$A_{G/N} = \{ a \in A_G | (id \otimes \pi) \Delta(a) = a \otimes 1_N \},$$
$$A_{N \setminus G} = \{ a \in A_G | (\pi \otimes id) \Delta(a) = 1_N \otimes a \},$$

where Δ is the coproduct on A_G , *id* is the identity map on A_G , and 1_N is the unit of the algebra A_N , which will simply be denoted by 1 when the context is clear. Similarly, we define

$$\mathcal{A}_{G/N} = \mathcal{A}_G \cap A_{G/N}, \text{ and } \mathcal{A}_{N\setminus G} = \mathcal{A}_G \cap A_{N\setminus G}.$$

Note that G/N and $N \setminus G$ should be denoted more precisely by $G/(N, \pi)$ and $(N, \pi) \setminus G$ respectively if there is a possible confusion. Let h_N be the Haar measure on N. Let

$$E_{G/N} = (id \otimes h_N \pi) \Delta, \qquad E_{N \setminus G} = (h_N \pi \otimes id) \Delta$$

Then $E_{G/N}$ and $E_{N\setminus G}$ are projections of norm one (completely positive and completely bounded conditional expectations) from A_G onto $A_{N\setminus G}$ and

 $A_{G/N}$ respectively (cf. [18], as well as Proposition 2.3 and Section 6 of [32]), and

$$\mathcal{A}_{G/N} = E_{G/N}(\mathcal{A}_G), \text{ and } \mathcal{A}_{N\setminus G} = E_{N\setminus G}(\mathcal{A}_G).$$

The proposition below follows immediately from the above considerations.

Proposition 3.1. The *-subalgebras $\mathcal{A}_{N\setminus G}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{G/N}$ are dense in $A_{N\setminus G}$ and $A_{G/N}$ respectively under the norm of A_G .

From Proposition 3.1, we have following slight reformulation of Proposition 2.1 in [33]:

Proposition 3.2. Let N be a quantum subgroup of a compact quantum group G. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) $A_{N\setminus G}$ is a Woronowicz C^* -subalgebra of A_G .
- (1)' $\mathcal{A}_{N\setminus G}$ is a Hopf *-subalgebra of \mathcal{A}_G .
- (2) $A_{G/N}$ is a Woronowicz C^* -subalgebra of A_G .
- (2)' $\mathcal{A}_{G/N}$ is a Hopf *-subalgebra of \mathcal{A}_G .
- (3) $A_{G/N} = A_{N \setminus G}$.
- $(3)' \mathcal{A}_{G/N} = \mathcal{A}_{N \setminus G}.$
- (4) N is normal.

Because of Theorem 2.5, Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2, we may (and will) work exclusively with the dense Hopf *-algebras of Woronowicz C^* -algebras from now on unless otherwise specified. As remarked after the proof of Proposition 2.1 in [33], the counit of $\mathcal{A}_{G/N}$ is equal to the restriction morphism $\pi|_{\mathcal{A}_{G/N}}$.

As usual, if \mathcal{H} is a Hopf algebra, \mathcal{H}^+ denotes the augmentation ideal (i.e. \mathcal{H}^+ is kernel of the counit ε of \mathcal{H}). Assume N is a normal quantum subgroup of a compact quantum group G. Then we have a Hopf *-algebra $\mathcal{A}_{G/N}$ and its augmentation ideal $\mathcal{A}^+_{G/N}$.

Lemma 3.3 below is a key ingredient in the proof of Theorem 2.7, and it plays an important role in [33] and Theorem 4.3 below. In the case of an ordinary compact group G, its geometric meaning is the trivial fact that a normal subgroup N of G is the inverse image of the identity element in G/N under the quotient map. However, in the case of quantum groups using the Hopf algebra language, it is non-trivial to prove, especially because of related complications concerning the notion of normality for arbitrary Hopf algebras such as Example 1.2 in Schneider [20]. Lemma 3.3 is a consequence of Takeuchi's Theorem 2 in [23], as pointed out to us by the referee, because short exact sequences of comodules over cosemisimple Hopf algebras are

always split (cf. Theorem 3.1.5 of [11]), from which one immediately sees using for instance 1.2.11(b) of [1] that \mathcal{A}_G is faithfully coflat over \mathcal{A}_N when N is normal quantum subgroup of a compact quantum group G, thus the condition of Theorem 2 in [23] is fulfilled. We note that cosemisimple Hopf algebras in general are not faithfully coflat over its quotient Hopf algebras if the latter is not cosemisimple, as Chirvasitu shows by an example in [6]. Our quotient Hopf algebra \mathcal{A}_N is, however, cosemisimple, and the pathology in Chirvasitu's example does not occur.

Without using of faithfully coflatness and the above references, an outline of another proof of Lemma 3.3 is sketched in Lemma 4.4 in [33]. Because of its usefulness and for the convenience of the reader, we include here a detailed and self-contained proof following the lines in [33] (cf. 16.0.2 in Sweedler [21] and (4.21) in Childs [9] for finite dimensional case).

Lemma 3.3. (Reconstruction of N from identity in G/N)

Let (N, π) be a normal quantum subgroup of a compact quantum group G. Let $\hat{\pi} = \pi|_{\mathcal{A}_G}$ be the associated morphism from \mathcal{A}_G to \mathcal{A}_N . Then,

$$\ker(\hat{\pi}) = \mathcal{A}_{G/N}^+ \mathcal{A}_G = \mathcal{A}_G \mathcal{A}_{G/N}^+ = \mathcal{A}_G \mathcal{A}_{G/N}^+ \mathcal{A}_G.$$

Proof. It suffices to prove $\ker(\hat{\pi}) = \mathcal{A}_{G/N}^+ \mathcal{A}_G$, as we will have equality $\ker(\hat{\pi}) = \mathcal{A}_G \mathcal{A}_{G/N}^+$ by the same method, and these will imply that

$$\mathcal{A}_G \mathcal{A}_{G/N}^+ \mathcal{A}_G = \mathcal{A}_{G/N}^+ \mathcal{A}_G \mathcal{A}_G = \mathcal{A}_{G/N}^+ \mathcal{A}_G = \ker(\hat{\pi}) = \mathcal{A}_G \mathcal{A}_{G/N}^+.$$

Consider the right \mathcal{A}_N -comodule structures α and β on \mathcal{A}_G and \mathcal{A}_N defined respectively by

$$\alpha = (id \otimes \hat{\pi})\Delta_G : \mathcal{A}_G \to \mathcal{A}_G \otimes \mathcal{A}_N,$$
$$\beta = \Delta_N : \mathcal{A}_N \to \mathcal{A}_N \otimes \mathcal{A}_N,$$

where Δ_G and Δ_N are respectively the coproducts of the Hopf algebras \mathcal{A}_G and \mathcal{A}_N . Since $\hat{\pi}$ is compatible with the coproducts, one verifies that $(\hat{\pi} \otimes id)\alpha = \beta\hat{\pi}$. That is, the surjection $\hat{\pi}$ is a morphism of \mathcal{A}_N -comodules from \mathcal{A}_G to \mathcal{A}_N . The Hopf algebra \mathcal{A}_N is cosemisimple by the fundamental work of Woronowicz [35] (see remarks in 2.2 of [28] which assures work in [35] is valid for all compact quantum groups without separability assumption on the underlying C^* -algebra \mathcal{A}_G because of Van Daele's theorem [25] on the Haar measure based on [35, 36]). Therefore it follows from Theorem 3.1.5 of [11] that every \mathcal{A}_N -comodule is projective. Hence $\hat{\pi}$ has a comodule splitting $s : \mathcal{A}_N \to \mathcal{A}_G$ with $\hat{\pi}s = id_{\mathcal{A}_N}$.

Let $x \in \mathcal{A}_{G/N}^+$. It is straightforward to verify that $\pi|_{\mathcal{A}_{G/N}}$ is the counit of $\mathcal{A}_{G/N}$ (cf. remark (a) following Definition 2.2 in [33]). Hence $\hat{\pi}(x) = 0$, and therefore $\mathcal{A}_{G/N}^+ \mathcal{A}_G \subset \ker(\hat{\pi})$. It remains to show that $\ker(\hat{\pi}) \subset \mathcal{A}_{G/N}^+ \mathcal{A}_G$.

Define a linear map ϕ on \mathcal{A}_G by $\phi = (s\hat{\pi}) * S = m(s\hat{\pi} \otimes S)\Delta_G$, where m and S are respectively the multiplication map and antipodal map of \mathcal{A}_G . We show that $\phi(\mathcal{A}_G) \subset \mathcal{A}_{G/N}$. To see this, let $a \in \mathcal{A}_G$. Using the fact that s is a comodule morphism, i.e. $\alpha s = (s \otimes id)\beta$ or $(id \otimes \hat{\pi})\Delta_G s = (s \otimes id)\Delta_N$, along with properties of Hopf algebras morphisms, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (id \otimes \pi) \Delta_G(\phi(a)) &= (id \otimes \pi) \Delta_G(\sum s\pi(a_{(1)})S(a_{(2)})) \\ &= (id \otimes \pi)(\sum \Delta_G(s\pi(a_{(1)}))(S(a_{(3)}) \otimes S(a_{(2)}))) \\ &= \sum (s \otimes id)(\Delta_N(\pi(a_{(1)})))(S(a_{(3)}) \otimes \pi S(a_{(2)})) \\ &= \sum [s\pi(a_{(1)}) \otimes \pi(a_{(2)})][S(a_{(4)}) \otimes \pi S(a_{(3)})] \\ &= \sum s\pi(a_{(1)})S(a_{(3)}) \otimes \varepsilon(a_{(2)}) \\ &= \sum s\pi(a_{(1)})S(\varepsilon(a_{(2)})a_{(3)}) \otimes 1 = \phi(a) \otimes 1, \end{aligned}$$

which means that $\phi(\mathcal{A}_G) \subset \mathcal{A}_{G/N}$, where ε is the co-unit on \mathcal{A}_G .

Next we observe that

$$s\hat{\pi} = (s\hat{\pi}) * \varepsilon = (s\hat{\pi}) * (S * id) = ((s\hat{\pi}) * S) * id = \phi * id,$$

and

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon\phi(a) &= \varepsilon(\sum s\pi(a_{(1)})S(a_{(2)})) = \sum \varepsilon(s\pi(a_{(1)}))\varepsilon(S(a_{(2)})) \\ &= \sum \varepsilon[s\pi(a_{(1)}\varepsilon(a_{(2)}))] = \varepsilon(s\pi(a)) = (\varepsilon_N\pi)(s\pi(a)) \\ &= \varepsilon_N(\pi(a)) = \varepsilon(a), \end{split}$$

where $\hat{\pi}s = id_{\mathcal{A}_N}$ is used. The above means $\varepsilon \phi = \varepsilon$. From these we obtain

$$id - s\hat{\pi} = \varepsilon * id - \phi * id = (\varepsilon - \phi) * id$$
$$= (\varepsilon \phi - \phi) * id = [(\varepsilon - id)\phi] * id.$$

Furthermore $(id - s\hat{\pi})(a) = a$ if $a \in \ker(\hat{\pi})$, we have $\ker(\hat{\pi}) \subset \operatorname{Im}(id - s\hat{\pi})$. Therefore to show $\ker(\hat{\pi}) \subset \mathcal{A}^+_{G/N}\mathcal{A}_G$, it suffices to show that $\operatorname{Im}(id - s\hat{\pi}) \subset \mathcal{A}^+_{G/N}\mathcal{A}_G$. Since $(\varepsilon - id)\phi(\mathcal{A}_G) \subset \mathcal{A}^+_{G/N}$ (because $\phi(\mathcal{A}_G) \subset \mathcal{A}_{G/N}$), the later follows from the identity

$$id - s\hat{\pi} = [(\varepsilon - id)\phi] * id = m((\varepsilon - id)\phi \otimes id)\Delta_G.$$

This proves Lemma 3.3.

Remarks. Let Φ and Ψ be the notations of Schneider [20],

$$\Phi(\mathcal{A}_{G/N}) := \mathcal{A}_G \mathcal{A}_{G/N}^+, \text{ and } \Psi(\ker(\hat{\pi})) := \mathcal{A}_{G/N}.$$

Lemma 3.3 above can be restated as saying that for a compact quantum group G, the map Φ is the left inverse of Ψ , i.e., Φ is a surjection from the set of normal Hopf subalgebras of \mathcal{A}_G onto the set of its normal Hopf ideals. In addition, Chirvasitu recently showed in [6] that the Hopf algebra \mathcal{A}_G is faithfully flat over its Hopf subalgebras, as the author had conjectured in an earlier version of this paper and in [33] (cf. first part of Conjecture 1 on p3329 there). Chirvasitu's result can be used along with those of Schneider [20] to conclude that the map Φ is also the right inverse of Ψ , i.e., Φ is also an injection, complementing Lemma 3.3. Therefore, the maps Φ and Ψ are inverses to each other. The first result of this kind is due to Takeuchi [22] for *commutative* Hopf algebras, using which he gave a purely Hopf algebraic proof of the fundamental theorem of affine algebraic group schemes [12].

In the language of Andruskiewitsch et al [1], Lemma 3.3 implies that the sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow G/N \longrightarrow 1,$$

or the sequence

$$\mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{G/N} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_G \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_N \longrightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

is exact, where the one dimensional Hopf algebra \mathbb{C} is the "zero object" in the category of Hopf algebras. Note that in the purely algebraic situation of Parshall and Wang [17], for a given normal quantum subgroup in their sense (i.e. a-normal as defined in our paper here), the existence of an exact sequence is not known and the uniqueness does not hold in general (cf. 1.6 and 6.3 loc. cit.). Lemma 3.3 above shows that such complications do not present themselves in the world of compact quantum groups: when we have a normal quantum group, we always have a unique exact sequence. This property might help to formulate an appropriate notion of quantum groups in algebraic setting.

Note also that the notion of exact sequence of quantum groups in [20] is equivalent to the notion of strictly exact sequence in [1] under faithful (co)flat conditions, which are fulfilled for cosemisimple Hopf algebras and therefore for compact quantum groups thanks to the theorem of Chirvasitu [6] on faithfully flatness and the remarks before Lemma 3.3 on faithfully coflatness. In general, an arbitrary Hopf algebra need not be faithfully flat

over its Hopf subalgebras, according to counter examples of Schauenburg [19] constructed in response to Question 3.5.4 of Montgomery [16].

We now prove the first main result of this paper.

Proof of Theorem 2.7 (cf. Schneider [20]).

 $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ and therefore $(1) \Rightarrow (3)$ and $(1) \Rightarrow (4)$: Let (N, π) be normal, we show that $(N, \hat{\pi})$ is a-normal.

Let $a \in \ker(\hat{\pi})$. We show that $ad_l(a) \in \ker(\hat{\pi}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_G$, where

$$ad_l(a) = \sum a_{(2)} \otimes a_{(1)}S(a_{(3)}).$$

By Lemma 3.3

$$\ker(\hat{\pi}) = \mathcal{A}_{G/N}^+ \mathcal{A}_G = \mathcal{A}_G \mathcal{A}_{G/N}^+ = \mathcal{A}_G \mathcal{A}_{G/N}^+ \mathcal{A}_G$$

We assume without loss of generality a = bc for $b \in \mathcal{A}_G$ and $c \in \mathcal{A}_{G/N}^+$. Then modulo ker $(\hat{\pi}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_G$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} ad_l(a) &= \sum a_{(2)} \otimes a_{(1)} S(a_{(3)}) = \sum b_{(2)} c_{(2)} \otimes b_{(1)} c_{(1)} S(c_{(3)}) S(b_{(3)}) \\ &= \sum b_{(2)} \varepsilon(c_{(2)}) \otimes b_{(1)} c_{(1)} S(c_{(3)}) S(b_{(3)}) \\ &= \sum b_{(2)} \otimes b_{(1)} \sum (c_{(1)} S(c_{(2)})) S(b_{(3)}) \\ &= \sum b_{(2)} \otimes b_{(1)} \varepsilon(c) S(b_{(3)}) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $ad_l(a) \in \ker(\hat{\pi}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_G$, where the property

$$(\varepsilon - id)(\mathcal{A}_{G/N}) \subset \mathcal{A}_{G/N}^+ \subset \ker(\hat{\pi})$$

is used, as well as the counital and antipodal properties.

Similarly, $ad_r(a) \in \ker(\hat{\pi}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_G$ by assuming a = cb for $b \in \mathcal{A}_G$ and $c \in \mathcal{A}^+_{G/N}$, where

$$ad_r(a) = \sum a_{(2)} \otimes S(a_{(1)})a_{(3)}$$

Hence $ad_l(\ker(\hat{\pi})) \subset \ker(\hat{\pi}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_G$ and $ad_r(\ker(\hat{\pi})) \in \ker(\hat{\pi}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_G$, and N is a-normal.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$: Assume $(N, \hat{\pi})$ is left a-normal. We prove the equality $\mathcal{A}_{G/N} = \mathcal{A}_{N\setminus G}$, hence by equivalence of (3)' and (4) in Proposition 3.2, N is normal. Another proof of this is in 1.1.7 of [1], so readers familiar with it may skip the proof below. Note that our proof of this *equality* is for general Hopf algebras with bijective antipode not necessarily associated with compact quantum groups, just as 1.1.7 of [1].

Let $a \in \mathcal{A}_{N \setminus G}$, i.e.,

$$\sum a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)} - 1 \otimes a \in \ker(\hat{\pi}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_G$$

Applying $(ad_l \otimes id)$ to this and using the condition in the definition of left a-normal, we obtain

$$\sum a_{(2)} \otimes a_{(1)} S(a_{(3)}) \otimes a_{(4)} - 1 \otimes 1 \otimes a \in \ker(\hat{\pi}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_G \otimes \mathcal{A}_G.$$

Multiplying the second and the third factors, we obtain

$$\sum a_{(2)} \otimes a_{(1)} S(a_{(3)}) a_{(4)} - 1 \otimes a \in \ker(\hat{\pi}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_G$$

By the antipodal and counital properties,

$$\sum a_{(2)} \otimes a_{(1)} S(a_{(3)}) a_{(4)} = \sum a_{(2)} \otimes a_{(1)} \varepsilon(a_{(3)}) = \sum a_{(2)} \otimes a_{(1)}.$$

Hence

$$\sum a_{(2)} \otimes a_{(1)} - 1 \otimes a \in \ker(\hat{\pi}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_G, \text{ and therefore}$$
$$\sum a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)} - a \otimes 1 \in \mathcal{A}_G \otimes \ker(\hat{\pi}), \text{ i.e., } a \in \mathcal{A}_{G/N}.$$

That is, we have an inclusion $\mathcal{A}_{N\setminus G} \subset \mathcal{A}_{G/N}$. Using definition of $N\setminus G$ and G/N and properties of the antipode S we immediately have that $S(\mathcal{A}_{N\setminus G}) = \mathcal{A}_{G/N}$ and $S(\mathcal{A}_{G/N}) = \mathcal{A}_{N\setminus G}$. Using this and applying S to the above inclusion we obtain $\mathcal{A}_{G/N} = S(\mathcal{A}_{N\setminus G}) \subset S(\mathcal{A}_{G/N}) = \mathcal{A}_{N\setminus G}$, i.e., we also have $\mathcal{A}_{G/N} \subset \mathcal{A}_{N\setminus G}$. Hence $\mathcal{A}_{G/N} = \mathcal{A}_{N\setminus G}$.

- (4) \Rightarrow (1): The proof is similar to (3) \Rightarrow (1) above.
- (2) \Rightarrow (1): This follows from either (4) \Rightarrow (1) or (3) \Rightarrow (1).

Remarks. Although for general Hopf algebra not necessarily associated with compact quantum groups, $\mathcal{A}_{G/N} = \mathcal{A}_{N\setminus G}$ follows from *either* $(N, \hat{\pi})$ being left a-normal or right a-normal, if the assertion in Lemma 3.3 is not valid for such Hopf algebra, which is a key ingredient in the proof of the implication $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ above, we probably cannot expect left a-normal or right a-normal to follow from $\mathcal{A}_{G/N} = \mathcal{A}_{N\setminus G}$. We note that no example seems to be known of an algebraic quantum group that is left a-normal but not right a-normal, or vice versa. We suspect such an example may come from a Hopf algebra not faithfully coflat over its quotient Hopf algebra. We are not aware if an example of the latter has been produced in Hopf algebra literature, which should exist in view of counter examples on faithfully flatness (cf. [19]).

The Equivalence Theorem 2.7 enables results on normality in Hopf algebra literature be applicable to normal quantum subgroups of compact quantum groups in our sense, such as those in [17, 20, 24, 1], noting that conormal in [20] and a-normal are the same concept.

4. Third Fundamental Isomorphism Theorem for Quantum Groups and Properties F and FD

In this section, we give several applications of Theorem 2.7. Because of this theorem and other results in Sections 2 and 3, we mostly focus on the dense Hopf *-subalgebras associated to compact quantum groups in this section. For ease of notation, we now use undecorated π for Hopf algebra morphism $\mathcal{A}_G \to \mathcal{A}_N$, omitting the hat in $\hat{\pi}$ whenever no confusion arises.

The three fundamental isomorphism theorems in the theory of groups are foundational results on structure of groups. One way naturally expect their analogs to be valid in the theory of quantum groups. Unfortunately, quantum analog of the first fundamental isomorphism theorem is not always true for epimorphism of quantum groups (i.e. injection of Hopf algebras) except for the situation where exact sequence can be constructed, cf. [17, 20, 24, 1]. For instance, not every Woronowicz C^* -subalgebra of A_G is of the form $A_{G/N}$ with N a normal quantum subgroup of G. This already fails when A_G is the group C^* -algebra $C^*(F_2)$ of the free group F_2 on two generators, because a Woronowicz C^* -subalgebra of A_G is not of the form $A_{G/N}$ unless it is the group C^* -algebra $C^*(\Gamma)$ of a normal subgroup Γ of F_2 . Finally, it is not clear how a quantum analog of the second fundamental isomorphism theorem can be formulated.

However, on the bright side, as an application of Theorem 2.7, we have the following complete analog of the *Third Fundamental Isomorphism Theorem* for compact quantum groups.

Theorem 4.1. (Third Fundamental Isomorphism Theorem) Let (N, π) be a normal quantum subgroup of G. Let (H, θ) be a quantum subgroup of Gthat contains (N, π) , i.e., there is a morphism π_1 from \mathcal{A}_H to \mathcal{A}_N such that (N, π_1) is a quantum subgroup of H with $\pi = \pi_1 \theta$. Then (N, π_1) is normal in H. If furthermore H is normal in G and letting $\theta' = \theta|_{\mathcal{A}_{G/N}}$, the restriction of θ to $\mathcal{A}_{G/N}$, then $(H/N, \theta')$ is normal in G/N and

$$\mathcal{A}_{(G/N)/(H/N)} = \mathcal{A}_{G/H} = A_{H\setminus G} = A_{(N\setminus H)\setminus (N\setminus G)}.$$

Proof. Let $z \in \mathcal{A}_H$ be such that $\pi_1(z) = 0$. Assume $z = \theta(x)$ for some $x \in \mathcal{A}_G$. Then $\pi(x) = 0$. Since N is a-normal in G by Theorem 2.7, we have

$$\sum \pi(x_{(2)}) \otimes S_G(x_{(1)}) x_{(3)} = 0, \quad \sum \pi(x_{(2)}) \otimes x_{(1)} S_G(x_{(3)}) = 0.$$

Hence

$$\sum \pi_1 \theta(x_{(2)}) \otimes \theta(S_G(x_{(1)})x_{(3)}) = 0, \quad \sum \pi_1 \theta(x_{(2)}) \otimes \theta(x_{(1)}S_G(x_{(3)})) = 0.$$

It follows that

$$\sum \pi_1(z_{(2)}) \otimes S_H(z_{(1)}) z_{(3)} = 0, \quad \sum \pi_1(z_{(2)}) \otimes z_{(1)} S_H(z_{(3)}) = 0.$$

This means that (π_1, N) is a normal and is therefore normal in H by Theorem 2.7.

Let $a \in \mathcal{A}_{G/N}$. Then it is immediate to verify that $\theta(a) \in \mathcal{A}_{H/N}$, and therefore $\theta(\mathcal{A}_{G/N})$ is contained in $\mathcal{A}_{H/N}$. Conversely, let $b \in \mathcal{A}_{H/N}$. Assume $b = \theta(a)$ for some $a \in \mathcal{A}_G$. Put

$$\bar{a} = E_{G/N}(a) = (id \otimes h_N \pi) \Delta_G(a).$$

Then $\bar{a} \in \mathcal{A}_{G/N}$ and

$$b = E_{H/N}(b) = (id \otimes h_N \pi_1) \Delta_H(b)$$

by the remarks before Proposition 3.1 applied to G/N and H/N respectively. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(\bar{a}) &= (\theta \otimes h_N \pi) \Delta_G(a) = (\theta \otimes h_N \pi_1 \theta) \Delta_G(a) \\ &= (id \otimes h_N \pi) \Delta_H(\theta(a)) = (id \otimes h_N \pi_1) \Delta_H(b) \\ &= b. \end{aligned}$$

That is $\theta(\bar{a}) = b$. Therefore $\theta(\mathcal{A}_{G/N}) = \mathcal{A}_{H/N}$.

For ease of notation, let G' = G/N and H' = H/N. The above shows that (H', θ') is a quantum subgroup of G'.

Now assume (H, θ) is normal. If $a \in \mathcal{A}_{G'/H'}$, that is, $(id \otimes \theta')\Delta(a) = a \otimes 1_{H'}$, then it is clear that a is in $\mathcal{A}_{G/H}$ since $\theta' = \theta|_{A_{G/N}}$ and $1_{H'} = 1_{H}$. Conversely, if $a \in \mathcal{A}_{G/H}$, that is $(id \otimes \theta)\Delta(a) = a \otimes 1_{H}$, then $(id \otimes \pi_{1}\theta)\Delta(a) = a \otimes 1_{N}$. This means that $a \in \mathcal{A}_{G'} = \mathcal{A}_{G/N}$. Since the coproduct for the quantum group G' = G/N is a restriction of Δ , we have

$$(id \otimes \theta')\Delta(a) = (id \otimes \theta)\Delta(a) = a \otimes 1_H = a \otimes 1_{H'}.$$

Hence $a \in \mathcal{A}_{G'/H'}$ and $A_{G'/H'} = A_{G/H}$.

The result is completely proved.

Remark: Instead of an isomorphism such as in $(G/N)/(H/N) \cong G/H$ in group theory, we have *exact equalities* of quantum function algebras in Theorem 4.1 above.

The proof of Theorem 4.1 actually yields the following stronger result without assuming (H, θ) to be normal, which should be useful in harmonic analysis on homogeneous spaces.

Theorem 4.1'. Let (N, π) be a normal quantum subgroup of G. Let (H, θ)

16

be a (not necessarily normal) quantum subgroup of G that contains (N, π) , i.e., there is a morphism π_1 such that (N, π_1) is a quantum subgroup of H with $\pi = \pi_1 \theta$. Let $\theta' = \theta|_{\mathcal{A}_{G/N}}$, the restriction of θ to $\mathcal{A}_{G/N}$. Then (N, π_1) is normal in H, $(H/N, \theta')$ is a quantum subgroup of G/N, and

$$A_{(G/N)/(H/N)} = A_{G/H}, \qquad A_{(N\setminus H)\setminus(N\setminus G)} = A_{H\setminus G}.$$

For other applications of Theorem 2.7, we first recall the following properties of compact quantum groups (cf. [33]).

Definition 4.2. A compact quantum group G is said to have **property** F if each Woronowicz C^{*}-subalgebra of A_G is of the form $A_{G/N}$ for some normal quantum subgroup N of G; G is said to have **property** FD if each quantum subgroup of G is normal.

These notions are motivated by the following facts (see Propositions 2.3 and 2.4 in [33]): if G is a compact group, then its function algebra $C(G) = A_G$ has property F; if G is the dual of a discrete group Γ , then its quantum function algebra $C^*(\Gamma)$ has property FD. Therefore compact quantum groups with property F are closest to compact groups, while compact quantum groups with property FD are closest to the compact quantum group dual of discrete groups.

We note that the notions property F and property FD above can be defined almost verbatim for all Hopf algebras – one only needs to replace the words "compact quantum group" (resp. "Woronowicz C^* -subalgebra") with the words "Hopf algebra" (resp. "Hopf subalgebra") in the above definition. Moreover, because of Theorem 2.7 and remarks following Lemma 3.3, in Hopf algebra language, G has property F if each Hopf subalgebra of \mathcal{A}_G is normal in the sense of 3.4.1 in [16]; it has FD if each Hopf *-ideal of \mathcal{A}_G is normal in the sense of 3.4.5 in [16]. It follows from discussions after 3.4.5 in [16] that if G is a finite quantum group, i.e. \mathcal{A}_G is finite dimensional, G has property F if and only if its Pontryagin dual G_d has property FD, where the Pontryagin dual G_d is the finite quantum group with quantum function algebra equal to the dual Hopf algebra \mathcal{A}'_G of \mathcal{A}_G .

In Franz *et al.* [13], in different terminology, compact quantum groups with property FD are called **hamiltonian**, of which quantum groups in the DS family are a special case. An example of noncommutative and noncocommutative quantum group in the DS family (and therefore an example with property FD) is given in section 6 of [13].

In our earlier work [33], it is shown that all the quantum groups obtained by deformation of compact Lie groups, such as the compact real forms of

Drinfeld-Jimbo quantum group and Rieffel's deformation of compact Lie groups, and all universal quantum groups [28, 26, 31] (except $A_u(Q)$) have property F. These are non-trivial and natural examples of compact quantum groups that have property F. Despite this multitude of examples, as discussed in [33, 34], much more is to be explored and it would be important to develop a classification theory for simple quantum groups with property F.

Furthermore, we have following result on the structure of compact quantum group with property F.

Theorem 4.3. Quotient group G/N by a normal quantum subgroup (N, π) has property F if G is a compact quantum group with property F.

Proof. Let (N, π) be a normal quantum subgroup of G and let $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{A}_{G/N}$ be a Hopf subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}_{G/N}$. We show that there is a normal quantum subgroup (K, θ') of G/N such that $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A}_{(G/N)/K}$. The proof below is suggested by the referee, replacing our original longer and direct proof without using Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 3.3.

As $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{A}_{G/N}$ is also a Hopf subalgebra of \mathcal{A}_G , by property F of G, let (H, θ) be a normal quantum subgroup of G such that $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A}_{G/H}$. By Theorem 4.1, it is enough to show that (H, θ) contains (N, π) , i.e. $\ker(\theta) \subset$ $\ker(\pi)$, because then the normal quantum subgroup (K, θ') of G/N we need is simply $(H/N, \theta')$ in Theorem 4.1: $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A}_{G/H} = \mathcal{A}_{(G/N)/(H/N)}$.

By Lemma 3.3, (noting that we have omitted the hats to simplify notation) we have

$$\ker(\theta) = \mathcal{A}_G \mathcal{A}_{G/H}^+ \mathcal{A}_G, \quad \ker(\pi) = \mathcal{A}_G \mathcal{A}_{G/N}^+ \mathcal{A}_G.$$

Since $\mathcal{A}_{G/H} \subset \mathcal{A}_{G/N}$, we have $\ker(\theta) \subset \ker(\pi)$.

Remarks.

(1) By considering formal dual to Theorem 4.8.(2) below, a quantum subgroup (H, θ) of a compact quantum group G with property F probably does not always have property F, though it does if it is assumed that inverse images of Hopf subalgebras of \mathcal{A}_H under θ are Hopf subalgebras of \mathcal{A}_G . This assumption turns out to be trivial in the sense that (H, θ) is either the identity group or the full group G, as kindly pointed out to us by the referee. Relevant parts of Theorem 3.7 in [33] and Theorem 5.6 in [34] on the same result need to be modified in the form stated in Theorem 4.3 above.

(2) We note that though there are more Woronowicz C^* -ideals in A_G than Hopf *-ideals in \mathcal{A}_G in the correspondence of Theorem 2.5 (see Remark

(a) after Lemma 4.3 in [33]), Woronowicz C^* -subalgebras of A_G and Hopf subalgebras¹ of \mathcal{A}_G are in bijective correspondence: every Woronowicz C^* subalgebra \mathcal{B} of A_G uniquely corresponds to its canonical dense Hopf *subalgebra \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{B} . In connection with this, it would be of interest to answer the following question, which seems to have an affirmative answer according to our preliminary investigation for several special cases.

Question 4.4. Is a Woronowicz C^* -subalgebras of a full Woronowicz C^* algebra necessarily full?

A related question is the following one on the relation between a Woronowicz C^* -ideal of a Woronowicz C^* -subalgebra and the ideal it generates in the original Woronowicz C^* -algebra. We formulate two equivalent versions:

Question 4.5. Assume A is a Woronowicz C^* -algebra and A_0 a Woronowicz C^* -subalgebra with Hopf *-subalgebras \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}_0 respectively.

(a) Let I_0 be a Woronowicz C^* -ideal of A_0 . Let $I := AI_0A$ be the Woronowicz C^* -ideal of A generated by I_0 . Is the identity $I_0 = I \cap A_0$ always true?

(b) Let \mathcal{I}_0 be a Hopf *-ideal of \mathcal{A}_0 . Let $\mathcal{I} := \mathcal{A}\mathcal{I}_0\mathcal{A}$ be the Hopf *-ideal of \mathcal{A} generated by \mathcal{I}_0 . Is the identity $\mathcal{I}_0 = \mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{A}_0$ always true?

Parts (a) and (b) of Question 4.5 are equivalent by Theorem 2.5.

It turns out the answer to the above question is affirmative for some quantum groups but negative for others. Before turning to examples and counter examples kindly provided to us by the referee, we recall the following closely related fact pointed out to us also by the referee.

Proposition 4.6. Let \mathcal{A}_0 be a subalgebra of an algebra \mathcal{A} over the complex numbers. Then the following are equivalent.

- (1) \mathcal{A} is left faithfully flat over \mathcal{A}_0 .
- (2) \mathcal{A} is left flat over \mathcal{A}_0 and for every left ideal \mathcal{I}_0 in \mathcal{A}_0 , $\mathcal{I}_0 = \mathcal{A}\mathcal{I}_0 \cap \mathcal{A}_0$.

See p33 of Bourbaki [5] for a proof. It is clear that a similar result relating right faithfully flatness and right ideal is also valid.

Example. By Chirvasitu's theorem [6], a cosemisimple Hopf algebra is both left and right faithfully flat over its Hopf subalgebras. It follows then from Proposition 4.6 that Question 4.5 has an affirmative answer for compact groups because the relevant algebras are commutative and left ideals are

¹Note that Woronowicz's fundamental work [35, 36] implies that a Hopf subalgebra of \mathcal{A}_G is automatically closed under the *-operation since it is invariant under the antipode.

two sided ideals. In the notation of the question above, here A = C(G), the continuous function algebra on a compact group G, A_0 is the algebra of functions on a quotient group G/N by a normal subgroup, I_0 defines a subgroup H/N of the quotient group, and I defines the pullback H in G of H/N under the quotient map from G to G/N.

In view of the discussions above, the following definition is natural.

Definition 4.7. We say a compact quantum group G has the **pullback property** if the answer for Question 4.5 is affirmative for $A = A_G$, equivalently for $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_G$.

Note the above **pullback property** can be defined for inclusion of rings and (C^*-) algebras not necessarily associated with quantum groups when the words "Hopf *-ideal" or "Woronowicz C^* -ideal" in Question 4.5 are substituted by "ideal". The following example shows that, unlike function algebra over compact groups in the example above, group (C^*-) algebras do not have pullback property in general.

Counter Example. Let $A = \mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}S_3$ be the group $(C^*$ -)algebra of the symmetric group S_3 on three symbols, and $A_0 = \mathcal{A}_0 = \mathbb{C}\Gamma \cong \mathbb{C}\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ the group $(C^*$ -)algebra of the alternating subgroup Γ of S_3 generated by the three cycle (123). We claim that there exists an ideal I_0 in A_0 for which the answer to Question 4.5 is negative.

To see this, using (non-commutative) Fourier transforms, identify A with $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus B$, and A_0 with $\mathbb{C} \oplus B_0$, where $B = M_2(\mathbb{C})$ is the 2 × 2 matrix algebra, corresponding to the (unique) two dimensional irreducible representation of S_3 , and $B_0 = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$, corresponding to the two non-trivial irreducible one dimensional representations of Γ . Since the restriction of the two dimensional irreducible representation of S_3 to Γ is a direct sum of the two non-trivial irreducible one dimensional representations of Γ . Since the restriction of the two non-trivial irreducible one dimensional representations of Γ (see e.g. [15], p150), we see that under the above Fourier transforms, B_0 is included as the subalgebra of diagonal matrices in B (note that B is not a Hopf algebra). The inclusion $B_0 \subset B$ does not have pullback property because B has no nonzero proper ideal and for the nonzero proper ideal $I_0 := \mathbb{C} \oplus 0$ in B_0 , $BI_0B \cap B_0 = B_0 \neq I_0$. Since I_0 is also an ideal in A_0 , we have $AI_0A \cap A_0 = BI_0B \cap B_0 = B_0 \neq I_0$.

We are ready for the following result on property FD.

Theorem 4.8. Let G be a compact quantum group with property FD. Then (1) quantum subgroup (H, θ) of G also has property FD;

(2) quotient group G/N by a normal quantum subgroup (N, π) also has property FD provided G has pullback property.

Proof. (1) Let (H_1, π_1) be a quantum subgroup of H. Then $(H_1, \pi_1\theta)$ is a quantum subgroup of G that is contained in (H, θ) . By property FD, $(H_1, \pi_1\theta)$ is normal in G. By Theorem 4.1, (H_1, π_1) is normal in H. This shows that H has property FD.

(2) Assume G is a compact quantum group with the pullback property in additional to property FD.

Let (N, π) be a normal quantum subgroup of G and let (K, π_0) be a quantum subgroup of G/N. Let \mathcal{I}_0 be the kernel of π_0 in $\mathcal{A}_{G/N}$ and \mathcal{I}_N the kernel of π in \mathcal{A}_G . Identify \mathcal{A}_K with $(\mathcal{A}_{G/N})/\mathcal{I}_0$. Put $\mathcal{I} := \mathcal{AI}_0\mathcal{A}$. Then \mathcal{I} is a Hopf (*-)ideal in \mathcal{A}_G and defines a quantum subgroup (H, θ) of G, where

$$\theta: \mathcal{A}_G \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_G/\mathcal{I}, \quad \mathcal{A}_H := \mathcal{A}_G/\mathcal{I}.$$

By the co-unital property $\varepsilon_{G/N} = \varepsilon_K \pi_0$ and the fact that $\varepsilon_{G/N}$ is the restriction of π to $\mathcal{A}_{G/N}$, we have $\pi = \varepsilon_K \pi_0$ on $\mathcal{A}_{G/N}$. It follows that $\mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathcal{I}_N$ and therefore we have an inclusion $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{I}_N$. This means that (H, θ) is a quantum subgroup of G containing (N, π) and (N, π_1) is normal in H as shown in Theorem 4.1, where π_1 is defined by $\pi_1(\theta(a)) := \pi(a)$, for $a \in \mathcal{A}_G$. On the other hand, every element in \mathcal{A}_K is of the form $\pi_0(a)$ for some $a \in \mathcal{A}_{G/N}$. If $\pi_0(a) = 0$, then $\theta(a) = 0$ because \mathcal{I}_0 is contained in $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{A}\mathcal{I}_0\mathcal{A}$. Hence

$$\rho(\pi_0(a)) := \theta(a)$$

gives a well defined morphism from \mathcal{A}_K to \mathcal{A}_H , where $\pi_0(a) \in \mathcal{A}_K$. It can be checked that ρ is a morphism of Hopf algebras.

We summarize all the morphisms in the following commutative diagram, where horizontal sequences are exact in the sense of [1].

Moreover, the image of ρ is in $\mathcal{A}_{H/N}$ because by property of Hopf algebra morphisms, for $a \in \mathcal{A}_{G/N}$ we have

$$(id_H \otimes \pi_1)\Delta_H(\rho(\pi_0(a))) = (id_H \otimes \pi_1)\Delta_H(\theta(a))$$

= $(\theta \otimes \pi_1\theta)\Delta_G(a) = (\theta \otimes id_N)(id_G \otimes \pi)\Delta_G(a)$
= $(\theta \otimes id_N)(a \otimes 1_N) = \theta(a) \otimes 1_N = \rho(\pi_0(a)) \otimes 1_N,$

which means $\rho(\pi_0(a)) \in \mathcal{A}_{H/N}$.

In addition, if $\theta(a) = 0$ for some a in $\mathcal{A}_{G/N}$, then a is $\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{A}_{G/N}$, which is \mathcal{I}_0 by assumption, and $\pi_0(a) = 0$. Hence ker $(\rho) = 0$ and ρ is an injection. As in the proof of Theorem 4.1, $\theta(\mathcal{A}_{G/N}) = \mathcal{A}_{H/N}$. Therefore, ρ is also a surjection onto $\mathcal{A}_{H/N}$. Hence we have an identity (and isomorphism) $\rho(\mathcal{A}_K) = \mathcal{A}_{H/N}$.

Since H is normal in G by property FD of G, Theorem 4.1 guarantees that K (which is H/N) is normal in G/N and the proof is complete. \Box

Remark. An examination of the proofs of Theorem 2.7 and results in this and the next section shows that they are also valid for cosemisimple Hopf algebras when the statements are appropriately modified.

5. Other Properties of Normal Quantum Subgroups

Tensor products of C^* -algebras is the analog of product of locally compact spaces. Unlike the classical situation of spaces, the algebraic tensor product $A_1 \otimes_{alg} A_2$ of two C^* -algebras A_1 and A_2 may have more than one C^* -norm. It has two canonical C^* -norms that may not agree, the *maximal* one and the *minimal* one. Its C^* -algebraic completions under these two norms are denoted respectively by $A_1 \otimes_{max} A_2$ and $A_1 \otimes_{min} A_2$. The maximal tensor product $A_1 \otimes_{max} A_2$ of two full Woronowicz C^* -algebras $A_1 = A_{G_1}$ and $A_2 = A_{G_2}$ is also full [29]. We use $G_1 \times G_2$ to denote the corresponding compact quantum group.

Proposition 5.1. Let G_1, G_2 be compact quantum groups with normal quantum subgroups (N_1, π_1) and (N_2, π_2) . Then $N := (N_1 \times N_2, \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2)$ is a normal quantum subgroup of $G := G_1 \times G_2$ and $G/N \cong G_1/N_1 \times G_2/N_2$, where $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$ denotes the corresponding tensor product morphism

 $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2 : A_{G_1} \otimes_{max} A_{G_2} \longrightarrow A_{N_1} \otimes_{max} A_{N_2}.$

Proof. According to §2 and §3, and using the formula of the Haar measure on $G_1 \times G_2$ and formula for the coproduct of the tensor product in [29], one obtains immediately

$$\mathcal{A}_{G/N} = E_{G/N}(\mathcal{A}_{G_1} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{G_2}) = \mathcal{A}_{G_1/N_1} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{G_2/N_2}.$$

This is a Hopf subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}_{G_1} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{G_2}$. Hence the proposition follows from the equivalence of (3)' and (4) in Proposition 3.2.

An appropriate reformulation of Proposition 5.1 for minimal tensor product is also valid when one of the C^* -algebras A_{G_1} , A_{G_2} , A_{N_1} and A_{N_2} is

exact. We will not elaborate on this except recalling that a C^* -algebra A is called **exact** if the functor $A \otimes_{min}$? preserves short exact sequences.

For free product [28], the situation is quite different from tensor product. The naive analog of Proposition 5.1 is false, even for the simple case with $\pi_1 = id_1$ and $\pi_2 = \varepsilon_2$, as described in the following proposition.

Proposition 5.2. Let G_1, G_2 be compact quantum groups (not necessarily duals of discrete groups). Let $G = G_1 * G_2$ be the free product compact quantum group underlying $A_{G_1} * A_{G_2}$. Let π be the natural embedding of G_1 into G defined by the surjection

$$\pi: A_{G_1} * A_{G_2} \longrightarrow A_{G_1},$$
$$\pi = id_1 * \varepsilon_2.$$

If G_1 has at least one irreducible representation of dimension greater than one, then (G_1, π) is **not** a normal quantum subgroup of $G_1 \hat{*} G_2$. Otherwise, (G_1, π) is normal in $G_1 \hat{*} G_2$.

The hat in the symbol $\hat{*}$ above signifies the "Fourier transform" of *.

Proof. Let

$$u = \sum_{ij} e^u_{ij} \otimes u_{ij}, \quad v = \sum_{kl} e^v_{kl} \otimes v_{kl}$$

be irreducible representations of G_1 , G_2 respectively. Assume that the dimension of u is greater than one. Let $\bar{u} = \sum_{ij} e_{ij}^u \otimes u_{ij}^*$ denote the conjugate representation of u. Then by [28], the interior tensor product representation

$$u \otimes_{in} v \otimes_{in} \bar{u} = \sum_{ijklrs} e^u_{ij} \otimes e^v_{kl} \otimes e^u_{rs} \otimes u_{ij} v_{kl} u^*_{rs}$$

is irreducible. Let h_1 be the Haar state of G_1 . Then

$$h_1\pi(u\otimes_{in} v\otimes_{in} \bar{u}) = \sum_{ijrs} e^u_{ij} \otimes I_v \otimes e^u_{rs} h_1(u_{ij}u^*_{rs}),$$

where I_v is the identity matrix acting on the Hilbert space of v. Since u is of dimension greater than one, $u \otimes \bar{u}$ properly contains the trivial representation of G_1 (with multiplicity one). Hence

$$h_1(u \otimes_{in} \bar{u}) = \sum_{ijrs} e^u_{ij} \otimes e^u_{rs} h_1(u_{ij}u^*_{rs})$$

is neither the identity matrix, nor the zero matrix on $H_u \otimes H_{\bar{u}}$. (See also Theorem 5.7 of Woronowicz [35].) Therefore $h_1\pi(u \otimes_{in} v \otimes_{in} \bar{u})$ is neither the identity matrix, nor the zero matrix on $H_u \otimes H_v \otimes H_{\bar{u}}$. By Definition 2.3, G_1 is not normal.

If G_1 has no non-trivial irreducible representations of dimension greater than one, then by [35], G_1 is the compact quantum group dual of a discrete group Γ , i.e., $A_{G_1} = C^*(\Gamma)$. By [28], every irreducible representation of Gis of the form

$$w^{\lambda_1} \otimes w^{\lambda_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes w^{\lambda_n}$$

where w^{λ_i} is a non-trivial representation belonging to either the set Γ or the set \hat{G}_2 , w^{λ_i} and $w^{\lambda_{i+1}}$ being in different sets. It is clear that

$$\pi(w^{\lambda_1}\otimes w^{\lambda_2}\otimes\cdots\otimes w^{\lambda_n})$$

is a constant diagonal matrix, with the constant diagonal entry equal to the product of those w^{λ_i} 's that belong to Γ . Use $[w^{\lambda_1} \otimes w^{\lambda_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes w^{\lambda_n}]$ to denote this diagonal entry. Since $h_{G_1}(\gamma) = 0$ if γ is not the neutral element of Γ , one sees that Definition 2.3 is fulfilled. That is, (G_1, π) is normal in $G = G_1 \hat{*} G_2$ and A_{G/G_1} is equal to the closure of the linear span of entries of the matrix $w^{\lambda_1} \otimes w^{\lambda_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes w^{\lambda_n}$ such that $[w^{\lambda_1} \otimes w^{\lambda_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes w^{\lambda_n}]$ is the neutral element of Γ .

The above result suggests that the free product $G = G_1 \hat{*} G_2$ rarely has normal quantum groups. This lead the author to conjecture that free product of simple quantum groups is simple, cf. Problem 4.3 of [34]. Recently, Chirvăsitu [8] gave the following remarkable solution of this conjecture for simple compact quantum groups without center, generalizing his earlier results [7] on simplicity of the quotient quantum group of the universal unitary quantum group by its center:

If G_1 and G_2 are simple compact quantum groups with trivial center, then the free product $G_1 * G_2$ is also simple.

In [8], Chirvăsitu also proved that the quantum reflection group of Banica and Vergnioux [2] is simple. We refer the reader to his paper for details on these and other interesting results.

Next we study normal quantum subgroups associated with crossed products. Recall [29] that a **discrete Woronowicz** C^* -**dynamical system** is a triple (A, Γ, α) , where A is a Woronowicz C^* -algebra, Γ is a discrete group, and α is a homomorphism from Γ to the automorphism group the Woronowicz C^* -algebra A, i.e., automorphisms of the C^* -algebra A that preserves the coproduct on A. For such a dynamical system, it is shown in [29] that the crossed product C^* -algebra $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma$ is also a Woronowicz C^* -algebra, i.e., a compact quantum group, to abuse terminology. The dense Hopf subalgebra of $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma$ is $\mathcal{A} \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma$. Similar to the second situation in Proposition 5.2, we have

Proposition 5.3. Consider a crossed product $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma$ of a compact quantum group A by a discrete group Γ . Then $C^*(\Gamma)$ is a normal quantum subgroup of $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma$ with quotient A via the morphism

$$\pi: A \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma \longrightarrow C^*(\Gamma), \quad \pi(a\gamma) = \varepsilon(a)\gamma,$$

where ε is the counit of A and $a \in A$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$. In the language of [1], we have an exact sequence of Hopf algebras

$$\mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}\Gamma \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

More generally, we have the following result that reduces to the above proposition by taking I = 0 and K the one element group (we use the notation in Theorem 2.5 for correspondence of ideals).

Proposition 5.4. Let (A, Γ, α) be a discrete Woronowicz C^* -dynamical system. Let $I \triangleleft A$ be an α -invariant Woronowicz C^* -ideal so that A/I is a normal quantum subgroup of A with quotient quantum group B. Let K be a subgroup of the kernel of α . Let $\tilde{\alpha}$ be the evident action of Γ/K on A/Iobtained from α . Then $A/I \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha}} \Gamma/K$ is a normal quantum subgroup of $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma$ with quotient $B \rtimes_{\alpha} K$. In the language of [1], we have an exact sequence of Hopf algebras

$$\mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B} \rtimes_{\alpha} K \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I} \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha}} \Gamma/K \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Proof. Consider the morphisms

$$\pi: A \longrightarrow A/I \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha}} \Gamma/K, \text{ and } U: \Gamma \longrightarrow A/I \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha}} \Gamma/K,$$

defined by $\pi(a) = \tilde{a}, U_{\gamma} = \tilde{\gamma}$. Here \tilde{a} is the image in A/I of an element $a \in A$ viewed as an element of $A/I \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha}} \Gamma/K$ via inclusion; $\tilde{\gamma}$ is the image in Γ/K of an element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ viewed as an element of $A/I \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha}} \Gamma/K$ via inclusion. One can verify that (π, U) is a covariant representation, i.e.,

$$U_{\gamma}\pi(a)U_{\gamma}^{-1} = \pi(\alpha_{\gamma}(a)).$$

Hence there is a surjection

$$\pi \times U : A \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma \longrightarrow A/I \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha}} \Gamma/K,$$

extending π and U. This morphism preserves the coproducts because its restrictions to A and $C^*(\Gamma)$ do. This shows that $A/I \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha}} \Gamma/K$ is a quantum subgroup of $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma$ (true under only the assumption that A/I is a quantum subgroup of A).

Let $A = A_G$ and assume that $A/I = A_N$ is a normal quantum subgroup of A with quotient $B = A_{G/N}$. Let π_0 be the morphism from A_G to A_N . Let θ denote the surjection $\pi \times U$ found above. Let $h = h_{A/I} \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha}} h_{\Gamma/K}$ be the Haar state on $A/I \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha}} \Gamma/K$ (cf. [29]). Then every irreducible representation of the quantum group $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma$ is of the form $(u_{ij}^{\lambda}\gamma)$, where (u_{ij}^{λ}) is an irreducible representation of dimension d_{λ} of the quantum group A and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ (cf. [29] as well). Let S(N) be the set of λ 's such that $h_N \pi_0(u^{\lambda})$ is $I_{d_{\lambda}}$, as in the proof of $(4) \Rightarrow (3)$ in of Proposition 2.1 in [33]. Then

$$(h\theta(u_{ij}^{\lambda}\gamma)) = (h(\tilde{u}_{ij}^{\lambda})h(\tilde{\gamma})) = \begin{cases} I_{d_{\lambda}} & \text{if } \lambda \in S(N), \ \gamma \in K, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence by Proposition 2.1 in [33] and its proof which asserts in part that

$$\mathcal{A}_{N\setminus G} = \mathcal{A}_{G/N} = \bigoplus \{ \mathbb{C}u_{ij}^{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in S(N), i, j = 1, \cdots, d_{\lambda} \},\$$

we conclude that $A/I \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha}} \Gamma/K$ is a normal quantum subgroup of $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma$ with quotient $B \rtimes_{\alpha} K$.

The last statement on exact sequence of Hopf algebras now follows from Theorem 2.5 and remarks after Lemma 3.3. $\hfill \Box$

Note that A is not a normal quantum subgroup of $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma$ but rather a quotient quantum group, unlike the semi-direct product of groups.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to record here his gratitude and indebtedness to the anonymous referee who carefully read the manuscript and made a number of very helpful suggestions and corrections that lead to a substantial improvement of the paper.

The work reported here was started many years ago and most results were obtained at the same time as those in [33]. It was supported in part by the National Science Foundation grant DMS-0096136. The author had opportunity to revisit this work thanks to Hanfeng Li and Yi-Jun Yao who invited him to Chongqing University and Fudan University respectively during the summer of 2012. The author would also like to thank Hanfeng Li and Dechao Zheng at Chongqing University, and Yi-Jun Yao and Guoliang Yu at Fudan University for their hospitality and for creating a congenial atmosphere. He also wish to record his thanks to Huichi Huang, Pan Ma and Qinggang Ren for making his visit enjoyable. After an early version of this work was completed, Chirvasitu kindly informed the author of his preprint [6], in which he had proved the faithful flatness conjecture stated in the early version of this paper as well as in Conjecture 1 on p.3329 of [33].

References

- Andruskiewitsch, N., Devoto, J.: Extensions of Hopf algebras, Algebra i Analiz, 7:1 (1995), 22–61. translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. 7:1 (1996), 17–52
- [2] Banica, T. and Vergnioux, R.: Fusion rules for quantum reflection groups. J. Noncommut. Geom. 3 (2009), 327-359.
- Bichon, J.: Quantum automorphism groups of finite graphs. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131 (2003), no. 3, 665–673
- [4] Bichon, J.: Algebraic quantum permutation groups. Asian-Eur. J. Math. 1 (2008), no. 1, 1–13.
- [5] Bourbaki, N.: Commutative Algebra, Springer, 1972
- [6] Chirvăsitu, A.: Cosemisimple Hopf algebras are faithfully flat over Hopf subalgebras. arXiv:1110.6701
- [7] Chirvăsitu, A.: Free unitary groups are (almost) simple, J. Math. Phys. 53, 123509 (2012)
- [8] Chirvăsitu, A.: Centers, cocenters and simple quantum groups, arXiv:1212.4763
- Childs, Lindsay N.: Taming Wild Extensions: Hopf Algebras and Local Galois Module Theory, American Mathematical Society, 2000.
- [10] Cirio, L.S., D'Andrea, A., Pinzari, C., Rossi, S.: Connected components of compact matrix quantum groups and finiteness conditions. arXiv:1210.1421
- [11] Dăscălescu, S. and Năstăsescu, C. and Raianu, Ş.: Hopf Algebras, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, Basel 2001.
- [12] Demazure, M. and Gabriel, P.: Groupes Algébriques I, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1970
- [13] Franz, U.; Skalski, A.; Tomatsu, R.: On square roots of the Haar state on compact quantum groups. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 216 (2012), no. 10, 2079-2093.
- [14] Klimyk, A. U. and Schmüdgen, K.: Quantum Groups and Their Representations, Springer-Verlag, 1997.
- [15] Mackey, G. W.: The Theory of Unitary Group Representation, The University of Chicago Press, 1976
- [16] Montgomery, S.: Hopf Algebras and Their Actions on Rings, CBMS Regional Conf. Series, No 82, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1993
- [17] Parshall, B. and Wang, J.: Quantum linear groups, Memoirs AMS 439, 1991.
- [18] Podles, P.: Symmetries of quantum spaces. Subgroups and quotient spaces of quantum SU(2) and SO(3) groups, Commun. Math. Phys. 170 (1995), 1-20.
- [19] Schauenburg, Peter: Faithful flatness over Hopf subalgebras: counterexamples. Interactions between ring theory and representations of algebras (Murcia), 331–344, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 210, Dekker, New York, 2000.
- [20] Schneider, H.-J.: Some remarks on exact sequences of quantum groups. Comm. Algebra 21:9 (1993), 3337–3357.
- [21] Sweedler, M. E.: Hopf Algebras, Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [22] Takeuchi, Mitsuhiro: A correspondence between Hopf ideals and sub-Hopf algebras, Manuscripta Math. 7 (1972), 251-270.
- [23] Takeuchi, Mitsuhiro: Relative Hopf modules-equivalences and freeness criteria, J. Algebra 60 (1979), no. 2, 452-471.

- [24] Takeuchi, Mitsuhiro: Quotient spaces for Hopf algebras. Comm. Algebra 22:7 (1994), 2503-2523.
- [25] Van Daele, A.: The Haar measure on a compact quantum group, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 123 (1995), 3125-3128.
- [26] Van Daele, A. and Wang, S. Z.: Universal quantum groups, International J. Math. 7:2 (1996), 255-264.
- [27] Wang, S. Z.: General Constructions of Compact Quantum Groups, Ph.D. Thesis, University of California at Berkeley, March, 1993.
- [28] Wang, S. Z.: Free products of compact quantum groups, Commun. Math. Phys. 167:3 (1995), 671-692.
- [29] Wang, S. Z.: Tensor products and crossed products of compact quantum groups, Proc. London Math. Soc. 71:3 (1995), 695-720.
- [30] Wang, S. Z.: Krein duality for compact quantum groups, J. Math. Phys. 38:1 (1997), 524-534
- [31] Wang, S. Z.: Quantum symmetry groups of finite spaces, math.OA/9807091, Commun. Math. Phys. 195:1 (1998), 195-211.
- [32] Wang, S. Z.: Ergodic actions of universal quantum groups on operator algebras, math.OA/9807093, Commun. Math. Phys. 203 (1999), 481-498.
- [33] Wang, S. Z.: Simple Compact Quantum Groups I, J. Funct. Anal. 256 (2009), no. 10, 3313-3341.
- [34] Wang, S. Z.: On the problem of classifying simple compact quantum groups, Banach Center Publ. 98 (2012), 433-453
- [35] Woronowicz, S. L.: Compact matrix pseudogroups, Commun. Math. Phys. 111 (1987), 613-665.
- [36] Woronowicz, S. L.: Compact quantum groups, in Quantum Symmetries, Les Houches Summer School-1995, Session LXIV, A. Connes, K. Gawedzki, J. Zinn-Justin, ed., Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, 1998; pp845-884.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA, ATHENS, GA 30602 FAX: 706-542-2573; TEL: 706-542-0884

E-mail address: szwang@math.uga.edu