

**DETERMINATION OF THE GENUS OF SURFACES FROM  
THE SPECTRUM OF SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS  
ATTACHED TO HEIGHT FUNCTIONS**

BRICE CAMUS

ABSTRACT. Using results on inverse spectral problems, in particular the so-called new wave invariants attached to a classical equilibrium, we show that it is possible to determine the Morse index of height functions. For compact Riemannian surfaces  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  this imply that we can retrieve the topology (via the genus).

Our results are independent from the choice of a metric on  $M$  and can be obtained from the choice of a 'generic' height-function. For surfaces of genus zero, diffeomorphic to a 2-sphere, the method allows to detect the convexity, or the local convexity of the surface.

keywords : Micro-local analysis; Schrödinger operators; Inverse spectral problems.

1. INTRODUCTION.

1.1. **Basic definitions and setting.** We are here interested in applications of the inverse spectral problem for certain special differential operators in the semi-classical regime. Let  $X$  be compact (boundaryless) Riemannian manifold equipped with a strictly positive density and  $\Delta_X$  the (positive) induced Laplace-Beltrami operator. In particular, we consider the so called  $h$ -quantized Schrödinger operator:

$$P_h = h^2 \Delta_X + V, \text{ on } L^2(X),$$

also called semi-classical Schrödinger operator, where the potential  $V$  is measurable and bounded from below on  $X$ .

**Remark 1.** With some mild conditions on  $V$ , we could also assume that  $X$  is non-compact. But to simplify we stay in the compact situation.

By a standard result, see [3], when  $V$  is bounded from below  $P_h$  has a self-adjoint realization on a dense subset of  $L^2(X)$ . To this quantum operator  $P_h$  we can associate a classical counterpart with the Hamiltonian, or total energy, function on the phase space:

$$p(x, \xi) = \|\xi\|_x^2 + V(x) \text{ on } T^*X.$$

Here the notation:

$$\|\xi\|_x^2 = \sum_{i,j} g_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j, \quad g_{ij} = G^{-1},$$

designs the norm (or scalar product at  $x$ ) induced by the Riemannian metric  $G = g^{ij}(x)$  at  $x$ . We note  $\Phi_t$  the Hamiltonian flow of  $H_p = \partial_\xi p \cdot \partial_x - \partial_x p \cdot \partial_\xi$ .

Here we are mainly interested in an asymptotic relation between the semi-classical eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_j(h) : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $P_h$ :

$$P_h \phi_j(x, h) = \lambda_j(h) \phi_j(x, h), \phi_j \in L^2(X), \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0^+,$$

and the set of fixed point  $\mathcal{P}$  (see below) for the map  $\Phi_t$  (viewed as a map on  $\mathbb{R}_t \times T^*X$ ). We refer to the introduction of [7] for a general presentation of this kind of relation between quantum and classical mechanics.

In the last section of this article we will work with compact orientable surfaces  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and Schrödinger operators  $h^2 \Delta_M + z$  attached to height-functions  $z$  on  $M$ .

### Spectral statistics.

Consider an interval  $I = [E_1, E_2]$  with  $E_1 < E_2$  and  $I(\varepsilon) = [E_1 - \varepsilon, E_2 + \varepsilon]$ . For each  $\varepsilon > 0$  the pullback  $p^{-1}(I(\varepsilon))$  is a compact subset of  $T^*X$  and by a standard argument, see [3], it follows that the spectrum  $\sigma(P_h) \cap I(\varepsilon)$  is discrete and consists for each  $h$  in a sequence:

$$\lambda_1(h) \leq \lambda_2(h) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_j(h),$$

of eigenvalues of finite multiplicities, if  $\varepsilon$  and  $h$  are positive. In general no formula is known to compute the eigenvalues  $\lambda_j(h)$  and to get pertinent information about the spectrum (and the classical dynamics) it is interesting to study the following spectral distributions:

$$(1) \quad \Upsilon(E, h, \varphi) = \sum_{\lambda_j(h) \in I(\varepsilon)} \varphi\left(\frac{\lambda_j(h) - E}{h}\right),$$

where  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  is a test function, conveniently chosen, see below.

This kind of problem leads to a mathematically rigorous version of the so-called Gutzwiller formula (see [13]), a formula intensively used in physics and quantum-chemistry. For example see [14] for various applications in physics and quantum chaos with also many references. The so-called *semi-classical approximation* consists in studying the asymptotic behavior of Eq.(1) as the parameter  $h$  tends to zero. For a rigorous mathematical study of this problem a non-exhaustive list of references is [5, 8, 17, 18].

### Wave and new wave invariants.

To study Eq.(1), a classical approach (see [4, 5] and section 3 of [7]) is to study the asymptotic behavior, as  $h \rightarrow 0^+$ , of the localized trace:

$$\omega(E, h, t) = \text{Tr} \left( \Theta(P_h) e^{-\frac{it}{h}(P_h - E)} \right), \Theta \in C_0^\infty.$$

I will follow now the terminology used in [15]. For  $E$  regular it is known since a while that  $\Omega$  admits an asymptotic expansion of the form:

$$\omega(E, h, t) \sim \sum_{j=-n}^{\infty} a_j(E, t) h^j, \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0^+.$$

**Definition 2.** *The coefficients  $a_j(E, t)$  are some distributions on the line  $\mathbb{R}_t$  and are called **wave invariants** of  $P_h$ .*

When  $E = E_c$  is critical certain new coefficients appear in the asymptotic expansion of  $\omega(E, h, t)$  as  $h$  tends to 0. In particular, one can predict a general expansion in the form:

$$(2) \quad \omega(E_c, h, t) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=-n_0}^{\infty} a_{j,k}(E_c, t) h^{\frac{j}{p}} \log(h)^k, \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0^+,$$

for some  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , see [4] for the singularity near  $t = 0$  and [7] for many examples of new wave invariants. In this work we only use the top-order coefficients of Eq. (2) near a non-degenerate singularity (see Theorem 6 below).

**Definition 3.** *These extra distributional coefficients appearing in Eq.(2) are called **new wave invariants**.*

In general, the top order coefficient w.r.t.  $h$  of the expansion involving the new wave invariants contains many information on the shape of the symbol.

## 2. HYPOTHESES AND SEMI-CLASSICAL RESULTS.

We recall first the general result determining the wave invariants at a regular energy level. Consider  $X$  a closed smooth Riemannian manifold,  $n = \dim(X)$  and  $V \in C^\infty(X)$  a positive potential. Let  $\{\lambda_j(h) : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be the spectrum of the Schrödinger operator  $S_h = h^2 \Delta_X + V$  where  $\Delta_X$  is the Laplacian on  $X$  (here given as a positive operator). This spectrum of  $S_h$  is always discrete, and with finite multiplicities, when  $X$  is compact or if  $V$  is 'confining', i.e.  $V(x) \rightarrow \infty$  when  $d(x, x_0) \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $x_0 \in X$ . The justification is that both conditions insure that the level-sets  $\Sigma_E$  defined below are compact and that the resolvent of  $S_h$  is a compact operator.

Let  $\Phi_t$  be the Hamilton flow of  $H(x, \xi) = \|\xi\|_x^2 + V(x)$  on  $T^*X$  and given  $E > 0$  define the energy surface:

$$\Sigma_E = \{(x, \xi) \in T^*X : H(x, \xi) = E\}.$$

Recall that the flow can be viewed as a map  $\Phi_t : \Sigma_E \rightarrow \Sigma_E$  (conservation of the energy). Also when the surfaces  $\Sigma_E$  are compact the general theory of differential equations insures that the flow is complete (property of the maximal solutions of a Cauchy-problem). To simplify notations we write  $z = (x, \xi) \in T^*X$ . We recall that  $E$  is regular (or non-critical) when  $dH \neq 0$  everywhere on  $\Sigma_E$  and critical otherwise. Below, we use the subscript  $E_c$  to distinguish out critical values of  $H$ . The so-called period manifold of  $\Phi_t$  on  $\Sigma_E$  is:

$$\mathcal{P} = \{(T, z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma_E : \Phi_T(z) = z\}.$$

### At a non-critical energy level.

When  $E$  is non-critical, we have, see [11] or [5], the following general result concerning the wave invariants at the energy  $E$ :

**Theorem 4 (Semi-classical trace formula at a regular level.).**

Assume that  $E$  is regular and that the restriction of  $\Phi_t$  to  $\Sigma_E$  is a clean flow (see section 2 of [11]). Then there exists a sequence of distributions on the real line,  $\{\gamma_k\}_k$ , such that for every test function  $\varphi$  with Fourier-transform  $\hat{\varphi} \in C_0^\infty$ :

$$\mathrm{Tr} \varphi\left(\frac{S_h - E}{h}\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi\left(\frac{\lambda_j(h) - E}{h}\right) \sim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j(\hat{\varphi}) h^{-n+j} c_j(h).$$

Moreover, the supports of the distributions  $\gamma_j$  are contained in the **sets of periods** of the closed trajectories of  $\Phi_t$  on  $\Sigma_E$ .

For a better description of the coefficients appearing in Theorem 4 we refer to [11, 5] (we do not need their explicit expressions here), see also [9] for a similar high-energy result concerning elliptic operators on a compact manifold. Also, under our hypotheses the trace in Theorem 4 and the functional  $\Upsilon(E, h, \varphi)$  are equal modulo a function of fast decay w.r.t.  $h$ . Such a coefficient, of order  $\mathcal{O}(h^\infty)$ , is negligible in semi-classical asymptotic expansions.

The idea we want to use here is that by a clever choice of  $\mathrm{supp}(\hat{\varphi})$  we can eliminate the wave invariants appearing in Theorem 4:

- If  $\hat{\varphi}$  is flat at the origin the set:

$$\{\{0\} \times \Sigma_E\} \subset \mathcal{P},$$

does not contribute.

- If  $\mathrm{supp}(\hat{\varphi}) \subset [-T_0, T_0]$ , for  $T_0$  small enough then no periodic orbit:

$$\{(T, z) : \Phi_T(z) = z\} \subset \mathcal{P},$$

will contribute to the asymptotic expansion.

**At a critical energy level.** We allow now the presence of critical points for  $H$  and we impose the type of singularity :

( $\mathcal{A}_1$ ) *The potential  $V$  is a Morse function on  $X$ .*

A fortiori, in  $I$  there is finitely many critical values  $E_c^1, \dots, E_c^l$  and in  $p^{-1}(I)$  finitely many fixed points  $z_0^1, \dots, z_0^l$  of the energy function  $p$ .

**Remark 5.** The number of critical points  $z_0^j = (x_0, 0)$  is equal to the number of critical energy levels. Otherwise  $V$  would not be a Morse function on  $X$ .

Next, we impose two conditions on our test function  $\varphi$ :

( $\mathcal{A}_2$ )  $\hat{\varphi}$  is flat at 0, i.e.  $\hat{\varphi}^{(j)}(0) = 0, \forall j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

( $\mathcal{A}_3$ ) For some sufficiently small  $T$  we have  $\mathrm{supp}(\hat{\varphi}) \subset [-T, T]$ .

A fundamental property is that the singularity of  $\Upsilon(s, h, \varphi)$  as  $s \rightarrow E_c$  describes partially the singularity of  $V$ . In fact with conditions ( $\mathcal{A}_2$ ) and ( $\mathcal{A}_3$ ) we will only see the new wave invariants attached to the critical point  $z_0^j$  in  $\Sigma_{E_j}$ . We have:

**Theorem 6 (New wave invariants at a critical level).**

Under the conditions  $(\mathcal{A}_1)$ ,  $(\mathcal{A}_2)$  and  $(\mathcal{A}_3)$  we have:

$$\mathrm{Tr} \varphi\left(\frac{S_h - E_c^j}{h}\right) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h^j c_j(\hat{\varphi}).$$

The leading coefficient is of the form:

$$c_0(\varphi) = \frac{e^{i\pi m_0/2}}{(2\pi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\hat{\varphi}(t)}{|\det(d\Phi_t(z_0^j) - \mathrm{Id})|^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We refer to [11, 7, 6, 16] for a proof. Observe that  $(\mathcal{A}_3)$  implicitly insures that  $\det(d\Phi_t(z_0^j) - \mathrm{Id}) \neq 0$ . Because of our implicit choice for  $\varphi$ , not all the new wave invariants are present in this formula. The other new wave invariants are studied:

- In [4], near  $t = 0$ .
- In [6, 16], near a period of  $d\Phi_t(z_0^j)$ .

The explicit determination of all wave invariants, near a critical point of arbitrary signature, is a somehow complicated analytic problem involving oscillatory integrals with degenerate phases. For an operator which is not a Schrödinger operator some new terms can generally appear at a period of  $d\Phi_t(z_0)$  (see [6]).

**New wave invariants.** In our setting, the top-order coefficient, given by the Duistermaat-Guillemin-Urbe density, is indeed a smooth function as long as we stay away from any period of the linearized flow at the point  $z_0^j$ . When  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$  an explicit computation, done in [16] in a suitable system of linear coordinates, shows that:

$$(3) \quad d\nu_t(z_0) = \frac{1}{\left| \prod_{j=1}^r \sinh(\alpha_j(z_0)t) \prod_{j=r+1}^n \sin(\alpha_j(z_0)t) \right|}.$$

We must simply retain that the density  $d\nu_t(z_0)$  determines:

- The signature  $(n - r, r)$  of the Hessian of  $V$  at  $z_0$ .
- Eigenvalues  $\alpha_j(z_0)$ .

The last affirmation follows via Taylor-series and evaluation at several times.

**Remark 7.** In general, if the metric and the height function are unknown, the spectral expectation determines only the numbers  $\alpha_j$  and not the respective eigenvalues of  $G(x_0)$  and  $d^2V(x_0)$ . A similar indetermination is already valid for linear combinations of harmonic oscillators on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

It follows that, when the potential is Morse-function on  $X$ , we can retrieve the morse index of  $X$  by several successive applications of Theorem 6: we have only to cross finitely many critical energy levels and to collect the index at each energy.

## 3. APPLICATION TO SURFACES.

There is a nice application to compact smooth surfaces  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  equipped with a Riemannian metric (not necessarily the metric of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  restricted to  $M$ ). We assume that  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  is smooth, boundaryless, orientable and that  $M$  carries a smooth Riemannian metric  $G$ , fixed once for all. We take  $\Delta_M$  as the Laplace-Beltrami operator attached to this metric (following the convention of geometers we may assume that  $\Delta_M$  is positive). Let us chose as potential  $V$  a height function. We can assume  $V$  to be positive, this can always be achieved via a translation,  $M$  being compact. If we embed  $M$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , via some coordinates  $(x, y, z)$ , we can chose  $V$  as the projection on the  $z$  axis. It is a standard result of topology, see chapter 6 of [2], that for almost embedding  $V$  will be a Morse function.

Then, for any choice of a smooth Riemannian metric on  $M$ , we have:

**Proposition 8.** *Under the previous conditions on  $V$ , the semi-classical spectrum of  $P_h = h^2 \Delta_M + V(x)$ , defined as an unbounded operator on  $L^2(M)$ , determines the topology of  $M$ .*

**Remark 9.** Observe that the knowledge of the metric is not required. We only need a kinetic energy operator which is micro-locally elliptic and with a principal symbol nowhere degenerated (see below). The knowledge of  $V$  is also not required. We only need to recover the number of critical points of  $V$  and their signature to conclude.

**Proof of Proposition 8.** We will use a variational argument w.r.t. the energy  $E$ . Since  $M$  is compact our potential has a maximum  $E_{\max}$  and it will be sufficient to perform spectral estimates below  $E_{\max}$ . Let  $\lambda_j(h)$  be the spectrum of  $P_h$ , each eigenvalue being repeated according to it's multiplicity.

Since our potential is a Morse function, by Sard's theorem, we obtain that the energy function  $p(x, \xi)$  has only finitely many critical values  $E_c^j$ ,  $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ , attached to single critical points. When  $\text{supp}(\hat{\varphi})$  is small enough and does not contains the origin we have:

$$\gamma(E, h, \varphi) \sim \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(h^\infty), & \text{for } E \text{ non-critical,} \\ c_0^j(\hat{\varphi}) + \mathcal{O}(h), & \text{for } E = E_c^j \text{ critical.} \end{cases}$$

Hence, the semi-classical spectrum determines each critical value  $E_c^j$  of  $V$ .

Now for  $j$  fixed we can use a simple micro-local argumentation. The only critical point on  $\Sigma_{E_c^j}$  is of the form  $z_0^j = (x_0^j, 0)$  with  $V(x_0^j) = E_c^j$ . We pick a function in  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(T^*M)$  such that  $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$  everywhere and  $\psi = 1$  in a neighborhood of  $z_0^j$ . Always with our conditions  $(\mathcal{A}_2)$  and  $(\mathcal{A}_3)$  on  $\text{supp}(\hat{\varphi})$ , we have:

$$\Upsilon(E, h, \varphi) = \text{Tr} \left( \psi^w(x, hD_x) \varphi \left( \frac{P_h - E_c^j}{h} \right) \right) + \mathcal{O}(h^\infty).$$

The important fact here is that on  $\text{supp}(1 - \psi)$  there is no critical point of  $p$ . Hence with condition  $(\mathcal{A}_2)$  and  $(\mathcal{A}_3)$  we have:

$$\text{Tr} \left( (1 - \psi^w(x, hD_x)) \varphi \left( \frac{P_h - E_c^j}{h} \right) \right) = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty),$$

which easily follows from a non-stationary phase argument. On  $\text{supp}(\psi)$ , which can be chosen arbitrary small up to an error of order  $\mathcal{O}(h^\infty)$ , we can use local coordinates around  $x_0^j$  and the Laplace operator has the form:

$$\begin{aligned} & -h^2 \sum_{i,j} \sqrt{g} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} g_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + V \\ &= -h^2 \sum_{i,j} g_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + V + h^2 \sum_{i,j} \sqrt{g} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} g_{ij} \right)(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we write the metric  $G = g^{ij}$ ,  $G^{-1} = g_{ij}$  and  $g = \det G$ . Hence, in the sense of the  $h$ -calculus, we have  $p_h := p_0 + hp_1$  with a principal symbol:

$$p_0(x, \xi) = \sum_{i,j} g_{ij}(x) \xi_j \xi_i + V(x),$$

and a sub-principal symbol:

$$p_1(x, \xi) = \sqrt{g}(x) \sum_{i,j} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} g_{ij} \right) \Big|_x \xi_j.$$

Observe that  $p_1 = 0$  at every point where  $\xi = 0$  and the sub-principal symbol  $p_1$  will play no essential rôle for the estimates below. You can also use the convention that  $h^2 \Delta$  is the quantization of  $\|\xi\|^2$ . This makes no difference for the spectral estimates below.

Next, since  $V$  is independent of the choice of the metric on  $M$  we can freely assume <sup>1</sup> that near the origin:

$$g_{ij}(x) = \text{Id} + \mathcal{O}(\|x\|).$$

In this system of local coordinates we obtain that:

$$\text{Tr} \left( \psi^w(x, hD_x) \varphi \left( \frac{P_h - E_c^j}{h} \right) \right) \sim C_0^j(\hat{\varphi}) + \mathcal{O}(h),$$

where:

$$C_0^j(\hat{\varphi}) = C \int_{\mathbb{R}} \nu_t(z_0^j) \hat{\varphi}(t) dt, \quad C \in \mathbb{C}^*.$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Of course this choice is not isometric, in reality here should be the positive eigenvalues of  $G$  at  $x_0^j$ . But this choice is clearly sufficient to attain our objective. Observe that one could also locally trivialize the metric. This method is a well known trick to derive Morse inequalities, e.g. for Witten-Laplacians.

In our setting we can apply standard results on the linearized flow, see [1], to compute the density  $\nu_t(z_0^j)$ . This density, of the form given by Eq.(3), determines the number of positive and negative eigenvalues of  $V$  at  $x_0^j$ .

In general position, define the Morse index of a critical point  $x_0$  as the dimension of the negative eigenspace in  $x_0$ . If  $z$  is Morse function we denote by  $N_j(z)$  the number of critical points of  $z$  with index  $j$ . Since for a surface we have only  $j = 0, 1, 2$  we can retrieve the Euler characteristic:

$$N_0(z) - N_1(z) + N_2(z) = \chi(M) = 2(1 - g(M)),$$

where  $g(M)$  is the genus of  $M$ . ■

**Remark 10.** Of course this approach is still valid in dimension  $n > 2$  but then the genus is no more a sufficient topological invariant. For a nice overview on Morse theory and indexes see [2] or [10] for surfaces. Observe that, for an unknown metric and an unknown height function, we can still retrieve the Morse index of  $V$  but not the Hessian of  $V$ . This is because in formula 3 we can only retrieve the ratios  $\alpha_j(z_0)$  of eigenvalues of  $G(x_0^j)$  and  $d^2V(x_0^j)$  in a given system of coordinates.

The notion of Morse-Smale function (see, e.g., [2] p.158) is here central. Morse-Smale functions are moreover dense in every  $C^r$ -spaces ( $r \geq 1$ ) (Kupka-Smale-Theorem, p.159 and remark 6.7 p.160 in [2]). To a Smale-Morse function is attached the Morse-Smale-Floer complex and this complex is isomorphic to the complex giving the singular homology (Theorem on Morse homology, Theorem 7.4 of [2]). For a surface it is known that the topology is given by the genus or the Euler characteristic and this one is also given by the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of the complex of homology. That a certain Morse-function determines the topology of a surface is also contained in the book [10] page 70.


### About the choice of a height function.

For certain simple surfaces, e.g., convex surfaces diffeomorphic to a 2-sphere, there is no bad embedding since the height-function  $z$  always shows up a strict minimum and a strict maximum. Such a height function is also a *perfect Morse function*, i.e. a Morse function with exactly 2 critical points attached respectively to a strict minimum and a strict maximum. For a surface of genus 0 we can still have several critical points at the same critical value. This is not generic and unstable under a small perturbation of  $z$ .

For surfaces of higher genus some 'bad' embeddings are possible if the height function is chosen transverse to a level set (non-generic choice).

The first embedding (here  $z$  is the axe of symmetry) is not favorable: the set of critical point consists of 2 circles. These circles are manifolds of critical points attached respectively to a maxima and a minima of  $V$  of energies  $E_{\min} < E_{\max}$ . In that situation, a compact manifold of critical points of dimension 1, we can here anyhow apply the results of [4] or [16]. If we still assume that conditions  $(\mathcal{A}_2)$  and  $(\mathcal{A}_3)$  are satisfied, each of these circles



FIGURE 1. Embedding of a torus in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

contributes as a 1-dimensional submanifold in the 4-dimensional phase space:

$$\Upsilon(E_{\min}, \varphi, h) \sim C_1 \int_{\theta \in \mathbb{S}^1} \int_{t \in \text{supp}(\hat{\varphi})} \frac{h^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{\varphi}(t)}{|\sin(\alpha(\theta)t)| \sqrt{|t|}} dt d\theta + \mathcal{O}(h^{\frac{1}{2}}), \quad C_1 \in \mathbb{C}^*$$

for the circle of minima and:

$$\Upsilon(E_{\max}, \varphi, h) \sim C_2 \int_{\theta \in \mathbb{S}^1} \int_{t \in \text{supp}(\hat{\varphi})} \frac{h^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{\varphi}(t)}{|\sinh(\alpha(\theta)t)| \sqrt{|t|}} dt d\theta + \mathcal{O}(h^{\frac{1}{2}}), \quad C_2 \in \mathbb{C}^*$$

for the circle of maxima. Observe that the order w.r.t.  $h$  is now  $-1/2$ .

**Remark 11.** Both formulae for  $\Upsilon(E_{\max}, \cdot)$  and  $\Upsilon(E_{\min}, \cdot)$  easily follow from an application of a stationary phase method with a compact manifold of critical point and a non-degenerate transverse Hessian.

Here  $\alpha(\theta)$  is the ratio of the eigenvalues of the linearized operator in the transverse direction to  $\Sigma_{E_{\min}} \simeq \Sigma_{E_{\max}} \simeq \mathbb{S}^1$  evaluated at the point  $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^1$ . Observe that  $\alpha(\theta)$  is negative for  $E = E_{\max}$  (hyperbolic flow) and positive for  $E = E_{\min}$  (periodic flow). Observe that, only from the spectral estimates, we can still see:

- Hyperbolic contributions: unstable equilibria at the maximal energy.
- Trigonometric contributions: stable equilibria at the minimal energy.

The previous situation can be generalized for a smooth curve  $\gamma$ , necessarily isomorphic to  $\mathbb{S}^1$ , of critical points with a non-degenerate transverse Hessian at each point of  $\gamma$ .

**Remark 12.** For a generic choice of the metric  $G$  on  $\mathbb{T}^2$  the function  $\theta \mapsto \alpha(\theta)$  is not constant along  $\mathbb{S}^1$ . Unfortunately, the spectrum of the associated Schrödinger operator  $-h^2 \Delta_g + z$  only determines the average of the density along the circles. To get a better description here requires to perform eigenfunction estimates. See, e.g. [4] for this point.

For the second embedding, where  $x$  is the axe of symmetry,  $z$  is a Morse function and we meet successively the critical points:

- a singularity of type  $(0, 2)$  : strict minimum,
- a singularity of type  $(1, 1)$  : first saddle point,
- a singularity of type  $(1, 1)$  : second saddle point,
- a singularity of type  $(2, 0)$  : strict maximum.

This gives:

$$\chi(\mathbb{T}^2) = 1 - 2 + 1 = 0 \Rightarrow g(\mathbb{T}^2) = 1.$$

The situation of the second embedding is generic and stable (e.g., w.r.t. a little deformation of the height function). This allows to retrieve  $\mathbb{T}^2$ , up to a smooth deformation.

**Remark 13.** Results concerning Morse-functions are not specific to height-functions and Proposition 8 can be generalized to  $h^2\Delta_M + V$  where  $V$  is a Morse-function on  $M$ . The interest here is the evident physical interpretation: the choice of  $V(x) = z(x)$ ,  $x \in M$  is equivalent to put a particle, forced to move freely on  $M$  along geodesics, in a constant gravitation field. Also a height-function gives a function independent of the choice of the metric on  $M$ . For example, this is not the case of a potential  $V(x)$  depending (locally) on the geodesic distance  $d(x_0, x)$  on  $M$ . Such a potential depends on  $G$  and can be singular at conjugate points.

#### Convexity and measure.

Assume that  $g(M) = 0$  then if  $M$  is convex every choice of a height-function gives a perfect Morse function. But if  $M$  is not convex certain choice of the potential give locally a number of critical points greater than 2, with the same Morse index.




FIGURE 2. A non-convex surface: locally we see 3 critical points.

Observe that we still get 3 critical points by a small change for  $z$  and that we get an *open condition*. In general to detect the non-convexity of  $M$  does not require global spectral-estimates. Finally, for any gender  $g(M)$  observe that global spectral-estimates provide a lower bound for the euclidian diameter of  $M$  since we can retrieve the maximum and the minimum of the restriction  $z|_M$ . This is just a lower bound as shows figure 1.

From the point of view of statistical mechanics it could be interesting to put a probability measure  $\mu(z)$  on all choice possible for  $z$  and to average the spectral estimates with respect to  $\mu$ . This is here simply equivalent to chose a probability measure on  $\mathbb{S}^2$  since the full problem is invariant under translation. A similar construction is possible for the choice of the metric  $G$ : if  $G$  is in a *bounded* set of metrics  $G_\alpha$ , estimates given by conditions  $(\mathcal{A}_2)$  and  $(\mathcal{A}_3)$  are still globally valid and so are our conclusions. One could average the results with respect to some probability measure  $\mu(\alpha)$ .

We could also obtain the contributions of a surface  $M$  carrying a flat section in the following sense:

There exist an open subset  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  and a two-dimensional plane  $P \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  such that  $U \cap M = U \cap P$ .

The flat section can be interpreted a 2-dimensional subset of critical points when the height function  $z$  is chosen transversally to this section. The associated result is simply the Lebesgue measure of the flat section. Observe that, as predicted by the general theory of Morse-functions, this situation is not generic and not stable under a small perturbation of  $z$ .

**Final Remark.** At a first look it might seem childish to use semi-classical methods. But the 'high-energy' method (see e.g. [9]) is not working: when the energy  $E$  is larger than the maximum of the potential  $E_{max}$  we have that the kinetic energy is bounded from below by  $\|\xi\|_x^2 \geq E - E_{max} > 0$ . By ellipticity of the Laplacian, it is not possible to produce any new wave invariant in this regime.

**Acknowledgments.** It is a great pleasure to thank George Marinescu for useful discussions (and providing references) concerning the Morse index. This work was partially supported by a Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft Grant (D.F.G., the German research foundation) *Microlocal analysis applied to mathematical physics and geometry*. The D.F.G. is greatly acknowledged for this support.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. Abraham and J.E. Marsden, *Foundations of mechanics*, second edition, Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co., Inc., Advanced Book Program, Reading, Mass.(1978).
- [2] A. Banyaga and D. Hurtubise, *Lectures on Morse Homology*, Kulwer Academic Publishers **29** (2004).
- [3] F.A. Berezin and M.A. Shubin, *The Schrödinger Equation*, Mathematics and Its Applications **66**, Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- [4] R. Brummelhuis, T. Paul and A. Uribe, Spectral estimates around a critical level, *Duke Mathematical Journal* **78** (1995) no. 3, 477-530.
- [5] R. Brummelhuis and A. Uribe, A semi-classical trace formula for Schrödinger operators, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **136** (1991) no. 3, 567-584.
- [6] B. Camus, A semi-classical trace formula at a non-degenerate critical level, *Journal of Functional Analysis* **208** (2004), no. 2, 446-481.

- [7] B. Camus, Inverse spectral problems for Schrödinger and pseudodifferential operators. Preprint.
- [8] Y. Colin de Verdière, Spectrum of the Laplace operator and periodic geodesics: Thirty years after. *Annales de l'institut Fourier* **57** (2007) no. 7, 2429-2463.
- [9] J.J. Duistermaat and V. Guillemin, The spectrum of positive elliptic operators and periodic bicharacteristics, *Inventiones Mathematicae* **29** (1975), 39-79.
- [10] A. Gramain, *Topologie des surfaces*, Presses universitaires de France (1971).
- [11] V. Guillemin and A. Uribe, Circular symmetry and the trace formula, *Inventiones Mathematicae* **96** (1989), 385-423.
- [12] V. Guillemin and A. Uribe, Some inverse spectral results for semi-classical Schrödinger operators.
- [13] M. Gutzwiller, Periodic orbits and classical quantization conditions, *J. Math. Phys.* **12** (1971) 343-358.
- [14] F. Haake, *Quantum signatures of chaos*. With a foreword by H.Haken. Second edition. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2001).
- [15] H. Hezari, Inverse spectral problems for Schrödinger operators, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **288** (2009), 1061-1088.
- [16] D. Khuat-Duy, A semi-classical trace formula for Schrödinger operators in the case of a critical energy level, *Journal of Functional Analysis* **146** (1997) no. 2, 299-351.
- [17] T. Paul and A. Uribe, The semi-classical trace formula and propagation of wave packets, *Journal of Functional Analysis* **132** (1995), no. 1, 192-249.
- [18] J. Sjöstrand and M. Zworski, Quantum monodromy and semi-classical trace formulae. *Journal de mathématiques pures et appliquées* **81** (2002), 1-33.

LUDWIG MAXIMILIANS UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN., MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, THERESIENSTR. 39 D-80803 MÜNCHEN., EMAIL: CAMUS@MATH.LMU.DE