

CORONAE OF RELATIVELY HYPERBOLIC GROUPS AND COARSE COHOMOLOGIES

TOMOHIRO FUKAYA, SHIN-ICHI OGUNI

ABSTRACT. We construct a corona of a relatively hyperbolic group by blowing-up all parabolic points of its Bowditch boundary. We relate the K -homology of the corona with the K -theory of the Roe algebra, via the coarse assembly map. We also establish a dual theory, that is, we relate the K -theory of the corona with the K -theory of the reduced stable Higson corona via the coarse co-assembly map. For that purpose, we formulate generalized coarse cohomology theories. As an application, we give an explicit computation of the K -theory of the Roe-algebra and that of the reduced stable Higson corona of the fundamental groups of closed 3-dimensional manifolds and of pinched negatively curved complete Riemannian manifolds with finite volume.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **The coarse assembly map and its dual.** The coarse category is a category whose objects are proper metric spaces and whose morphisms are close classes of coarse maps. Let X be a proper metric space. There are two covariant functors $X \mapsto KX_*(X)$ and $X \mapsto K_*(C^*(X))$ from the coarse category to the category of \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Abelian groups. Here the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Abelian group $KX_*(X)$ is called the *coarse K -homology* of X , and the C^* -algebra $C^*(X)$ is called the *Roe algebra* of X . Roe [26] constructed the following *coarse assembly map*

$$\mu_*: KX_*(X) \rightarrow K_*(C^*(X)),$$

which is a natural transformation from the coarse K -homology to the K -theory of the Roe algebra. For detail, see also [17], [30] and [18].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 58J22; Secondary 20F67, 20F65.

Key words and phrases. coarse cohomology, coarse assembly map, coarse co-assembly map, relatively hyperbolic group, corona

T.Fukaya and S.Oguni were supported by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) (23740049) and (24740045), respectively, from Japan Society of Promotion of Science

On the other hand, there are two contravariant functors $X \mapsto KX^*(X)$ and $X \mapsto K_*(\mathfrak{c}^r(X))$. Here the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Abelian group $KX^*(X)$ is called the *coarse K -theory* of X and the C^* -algebra $\mathfrak{c}^r(X)$ is called the *reduced stable Higson corona* of X . Emerson and Meyer [6] constructed a dual of the coarse assembly map, which is called the *coarse co-assembly map*,

$$\mu^*: K_{*+1}(\mathfrak{c}^r(X)) \rightarrow KX^*(X).$$

In fact, μ^* is a natural transformation from the K -theory of the reduced stable Higson corona to the coarse K -theory with the grading shifted by one. Those assembly maps are closely related to the analytic Novikov conjecture. See [18, Section 12.6] and [7] for details.

In this paper, we study the case of relatively hyperbolic groups with word metrics.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let G be a finitely generated group which is hyperbolic relative to a finite family of infinite subgroups $\mathbb{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_k\}$. Suppose that each subgroup P_i admits a finite P_i -simplicial complex which is a universal space for proper actions. Then*

- (a) *if for all $i = 1, \dots, k$, the coarse assembly maps $\mu_*: KX_*(P_i) \rightarrow K_*(C^*(P_i))$ are isomorphisms, then so is the coarse assembly map $\mu_*: KX_*(G) \rightarrow K_*(C^*(G))$,*
- (b) *if for all $i = 1, \dots, k$, the coarse co-assembly maps $\mu^*: K_{*+1}(\mathfrak{c}^r(P_i)) \rightarrow KX^*(P_i)$ are isomorphisms, then so is the coarse co-assembly map $\mu^*: K_{*+1}(\mathfrak{c}^r(G)) \rightarrow KX^*(G)$.*

The authors proved the statement (a) in [9]. In this paper, we prove the statement (b).

1.2. Coarse compactification. Let X be a non-compact proper metric space. The *Higson compactification* hX of X is the maximal ideal space of the C^* -algebra of \mathbb{C} -valued, continuous, bounded functions on X of vanishing variation. (See Definition 2.13.) The *Higson corona* of X is $\nu X = hX \setminus X$. A corona of X is a pair (W, ζ) of a compact metrizable space W and a continuous map $\zeta: \nu X \rightarrow W$. When ζ is surjective, we obtain a compactification $X \cup W$. (See Section 2.2.)

Let (W, ζ) be a corona of X . Then there are certain transgression maps

- (1) $T_W: KX_*(X) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_{*-1}(W);$
- (2) $T_W: \tilde{K}^{*-1}(W) \rightarrow KX^*(X);$
- (3) $T_W: \tilde{H}^{*-1}(W) \rightarrow HX^*(X).$

Here $\tilde{H}^*(W)$ is the reduced cohomology of W and $HX^*(X)$ is the coarse cohomology of X . (See [26].) In Section 3.2, we give a construction of the map (1) which appeared in [17, Appendix]. The map (2) is constructed in Section 4. The map (3) is constructed in [26, Section 5.3].

There exists a homomorphism $b: K_*(C^*(X)) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_{*-1}(W)$ such that $T_W = b \circ \mu_*$. Therefore if the transgression map (1) is injective, then so is the coarse assembly map for X . It is also known that if (2) or (3) is surjective then the coarse assembly map is rationally injective. For details, see [17, Appendix], [26, (6.32)] and [6, Section 6]. The statement that the transgression map (3) is surjective for some corona W is a version of the Weinberger conjecture. In this paper, we consider transgression maps for relatively hyperbolic groups.

Let G be a finitely generated group and \mathcal{S} be a finite generating set. We suppose that G is hyperbolic relative to a finite family of infinite subgroups $\mathbb{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_k\}$. Groves and Manning [15] defined the augmented space $X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$ with a properly discontinuous action of G by isometries. They showed that $X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$ is hyperbolic in the sense of Gromov. We denote by $\partial X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$ the Gromov boundary of $X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$, which is called the Bowditch boundary of (G, \mathbb{P}) . (See [4, Definition 1.4].) Let (W_i, ζ_i) be a corona of P_i . We blow up all parabolic points of $\partial X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$ by using W_1, \dots, W_k and obtain a corona ∂X_∞ of G . We call ∂X_∞ the blown-up corona of $(G, \mathbb{P}, \{W_1, \dots, W_k\})$. See Section 7 for the details of the construction.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let G be an infinite finitely generated group which is hyperbolic relative to a finite family of infinite subgroups $\mathbb{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_k\}$. Suppose that each subgroup P_i admits a finite P_i -simplicial complex which is a universal space for proper actions. For $i = 1, \dots, k$, let (W_i, ζ_i) be a corona of P_i . Let ∂X_∞ be the blown-up corona of $(G, \mathbb{P}, \{W_1, \dots, W_k\})$.*

- (a) *If $T_{W_i}: KX_*(P_i) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_{*-1}(W_i)$ is an isomorphism for all $i = 1, \dots, k$, then so is $T_{\partial X_\infty}: KX_*(G) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_{*-1}(\partial X_\infty)$.*
- (b) *If $T_{W_i}: \tilde{K}^{*-1}(W_i) \rightarrow KX^*(P_i)$ is an isomorphism for all $i = 1, \dots, k$, then so is $T_{\partial X_\infty}: \tilde{K}^{*-1}(\partial X_\infty) \rightarrow KX^*(G)$.*
- (c) *If $T_{W_i}: \tilde{H}^{*-1}(W_i) \rightarrow HX^*(P_i)$ is an isomorphism for all $i = 1, \dots, k$, then so is $T_{\partial X_\infty}: \tilde{H}^{*-1}(\partial X_\infty) \rightarrow HX^*(G)$.*

COROLLARY 1.3. *Let G be an infinite finitely generated group which is hyperbolic relative to a finite family of infinite subgroups $\mathbb{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_k\}$. We suppose that \mathbb{P} satisfies*

all conditions in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. Then we have $K_*(C^*(G)) \cong \tilde{K}_{*-1}(\partial X_\infty)$ and $\tilde{K}^*(\partial X_\infty) \cong K_*(\mathfrak{c}^r(G))$.

As an application, we give an explicit computation of the K -theory of the Roe-algebra and that of the reduced stable Higson corona of the fundamental groups of closed 3-dimensional manifolds and of pinched negatively curved complete Riemannian manifolds with finite volume. See Section 9.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we review the coarse structure and introduce a pull-back coarse structure which plays an essential role in the construction of coronae in Section 7. We also review coronae for proper coarse spaces. In Section 3, we formulate generalized coarse cohomology theories. In Section 4.1, we show that the coarse K -theory [6] satisfies axioms introduced in the previous section. In Section 4.2, we review the construction of the coarse co-assembly map. In Section 5, we show that the coarse co-assembly maps are isomorphisms in the case of proper geodesic spaces which are hyperbolic in the sense of Gromov. In Section 6, we review a definition of relatively hyperbolic groups due to Groves and Manning [15] and give a proof of Theorem 1.1 (b). In Section 7, we construct a corona of a relatively hyperbolic group using a pull-back coarse structure. In Section 8, we give a proof of Theorem 1.2. In Section 9, we give an explicit computation for the fundamental groups of closed 3-dimensional manifolds and of pinched negatively curved complete Riemannian manifolds with finite volume. In Appendix A, we give a proof of the Milnor exact sequence for σ - C^* -algebras, which we often use in the present paper.

2. COARSE COMPACTIFICATION

2.1. Coarse structure. Here we review the coarse structure from [27] and introduce the pullback coarse structure.

Let X be a set. For $E \subset X \times X$, put $E^{-1} := \{(y, x) : (x, y) \in E\}$ and call it the inverse of E . For $E', E'' \subset X \times X$, put $E' \circ E'' := \{(x', x'') : \exists x \in X, (x', x) \in E', (x, x'') \in E''\}$ and call it the product of E' and E'' .

DEFINITION 2.1. A coarse structure on a set X is a collection \mathcal{E} of subsets of $X \times X$, called *controlled sets* for the coarse structure, which contains the diagonal and is closed under the formation of subsets, inverses, products, and finite union. A set equipped with a coarse structure is called a coarse space.

EXAMPLE 2.2. Let X be a metric space. The bounded coarse structure on X is a collection of all subsets $E \subset X \times X$ such that $\sup\{d(x, x') : (x, x') \in E\} < \infty$.

EXAMPLE 2.3. Let G be a countable group. There always exists a proper left invariant metric d on G . The bounded coarse structure on G associated to d does not depend on the choice of such a metric d . See [27, Proposition 1.15, Example 2.13]. In this paper, we always assume that countable groups are equipped with this canonical coarse structures.

DEFINITION 2.4. Let X be a coarse space and let B be a subset of X . We say that B is *bounded* if $B \times B$ is controlled.

DEFINITION 2.5. Let X be a coarse space and S be a set. Two maps $f, g: S \rightarrow X$ are *close* if the set $\{(f(s), g(s)) : s \in S\} \subset X \times X$ is controlled.

DEFINITION 2.6. Let X and Y be coarse spaces, and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a map.

- (a) The map f is *proper* if the inverse image, under f , of each bounded subset of Y , is also bounded.
- (b) The map f is *bornologous* if for each controlled subset $E \subset X \times X$, the set $f(E)$ is a controlled subset of $Y \times Y$. Here we abbreviate $(f \times f)(E)$ to $f(E)$.
- (c) The map f is *coarse* if it is proper and bornologous.

The spaces X and Y are *coarsely equivalent* if there exist coarse maps $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow X$ such that $g \circ f$ and $f \circ g$ are close to the identity maps on X and on Y , respectively. Such a map f is called a coarse equivalence.

DEFINITION 2.7. Let X be a locally compact second countable Hausdorff space. We say that a coarse structure on X is *proper* if

- (a) there is a controlled neighborhood of the diagonal,
- (b) every bounded subset of X is relatively compact, and
- (c) X is coarsely connected, that is, for any pair of points $(x, x') \in X \times X$, the set $\{(x, x')\}$ is controlled.

DEFINITION 2.8. Let X be a set and let Y be a coarse space. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a map. The *pullback coarse structure* on X is a collection of subsets $E \subset X \times X$ such that $f(E)$ is a controlled subset of $Y \times Y$.

PROPOSITION 2.9. *Let Y be a coarse space. Let X be a set and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a map. We equip X with the pullback coarse structure. Then f is a coarse map. If there*

exists a map $g: Y \rightarrow X$ such that the composite $f \circ g$ is close to the identity, then X and Y are coarsely equivalent. If Y is coarsely connected, then so is X .

PROOF. Let \mathcal{E}_Y be a coarse structure of Y . The pullback coarse structure \mathcal{E}_X is the set $\mathcal{E}_X = \{E \subset X \times X : f(E) \in \mathcal{E}_Y\}$. Then it is trivial that f is a coarse map. Suppose that there exists a map $g: Y \rightarrow X$ such that $f \circ g$ is close to the identity. Then a subset $F = \{(y, f \circ g(y)) : y \in Y\}$ belongs to \mathcal{E}_Y . Let $E \in \mathcal{E}_Y$ be a controlled set. Since $f(g(E)) \subset F^{-1} \circ E \circ F \in \mathcal{E}_Y$, we have $g(E) \in \mathcal{E}_X$. Let $B \subset X$ be a bounded set. Then $g^{-1}(B) \times g^{-1}(B) \subset F \circ f(B \times B) \circ F^{-1} \in \mathcal{E}_Y$, so $g^{-1}(B)$ is bounded. Thus g is a coarse map. Since $f(\{(x, g \circ f(x)) : x \in X\}) \subset F$, we have $g \circ f$ is close to the identity. If Y is coarsely connected, then for any pair of points $(x, x') \in X \times X$, the set $\{(f(x), f(x'))\} \subset Y \times Y$ is controlled, thus so is $\{(x, x')\}$. Therefore X is coarsely connected. \square

DEFINITION 2.10. Let X be a topological space and Y be a metric space. A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is *pseudocontinuous* if there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for any $x \in X$, the inverse image $f^{-1}(B(f(x); \epsilon))$ of the closed ball of radius ϵ centered at $f(x)$ is a neighborhood of x .

PROPOSITION 2.11. *Let Y be a proper metric space with the bounded coarse structure. Let X be a locally compact second countable Hausdorff space. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a pseudocontinuous map. We equip X with the pullback coarse structure. If for any compact set $K \subset Y$ the inverse image $f^{-1}(K) \subset X$ is relatively compact, then X is a proper coarse space.*

PROOF. Fix $\epsilon > 0$ satisfying the condition in Definition 2.10. Set $\Delta_\epsilon = \{(x, y) : d(x, y) \leq \epsilon\} \subset Y \times Y$. Then the pullback $f^{-1}(\Delta_\epsilon)$ is a controlled neighborhood of the diagonal. Let $B \subset X$ be a bounded subset, then $f(B) \times f(B)$ is controlled. Thus $f(B)$ is relatively compact, and so is $f^{-1}(f(B))$. Therefore B is relatively compact. Since Y is coarsely connected, so is X . \square

The following is a typical example of the pullback coarse structure.

PROPOSITION 2.12. *Let X be a proper metric space. Let \mathcal{U} be a locally finite cover of X such that any element of \mathcal{U} has uniformly bounded diameter. Then (a geometric realization of) the nerve complex $|\mathcal{U}|$ has a canonical coarse structure which is proper and coarsely equivalent to X .*

PROOF. Since X is a proper metric space and \mathcal{U} is locally finite, $|\mathcal{U}|$ is locally compact second countable Hausdorff space. For each element $U \in \mathcal{U}$, we choose a point $x(U) \in U$. For each point $p \in |\mathcal{U}|$, we choose $U_p \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $p \in \text{st } U_p$, where $\text{st } U_p$ denotes the star of U_p . Then we define a map $f: |\mathcal{U}| \rightarrow X$ by $f(p) = x(U_p)$. Since \mathcal{U} is locally finite, the pullback $f^{-1}(K)$ of any compact set $K \subset X$ is relatively compact. Since each $U \in \mathcal{U}$ has uniformly bounded diameter, f is pseudocontinuous. Let $g: X \rightarrow |\mathcal{U}|$ be a continuous map induced by a partition of unity. It is easy to see that $f \circ g$ is close to the identity. Thus the assertion follows from Proposition 2.9 and 2.11. \square

2.2. Higson compactification. Here we recall the definitions of the Higson compactification and coarse compactifications. For details, see [27] and [26].

DEFINITION 2.13. Let X be a proper coarse space and let V be a normed space. Let $f: X \rightarrow V$ be a bounded continuous function. We denote by $\mathbf{d}f$ the function

$$\mathbf{d}f(x, y) = f(y) - f(x): X \times X \rightarrow V.$$

We say that f is a Higson function, or, of vanishing variation, if for each controlled set E , the restriction of $\mathbf{d}f$ to E vanishes at infinity, that is, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a bounded subset B such that for any $(x, y) \in E \setminus B \times B$, we have $\|\mathbf{d}f(x, y)\| < \epsilon$.

The bounded continuous \mathbb{C} -valued Higson functions on a proper coarse space X form a unital C^* -subalgebra of bounded continuous functions on X , which we denote $C_h(X)$. By the Gelfand-Naimark theory, $C_h(X)$ is isomorphic to a C^* -algebra of continuous functions on a compact Hausdorff space.

DEFINITION 2.14. The compactification hX of X characterized by the property $C(hX) = C_h(X)$ is called the Higson compactification. Its boundary $hX \setminus X$ is denoted νX , and is called the Higson corona of X .

The assignment $X \mapsto \nu X$ is a functor from the coarse category to the category of compact Hausdorff spaces. For details, see [27, Section 2.3] or [26, Section 5.1].

PROPOSITION 2.15 (Dranishnikov). *Let X and Y be proper metric spaces and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a coarse embedding, that is, a coarse equivalence to the image. Then the induced map $\nu f: \nu X \rightarrow \nu Y$ is an embedding, thus we can regard νX as a subspace of νY .*

PROOF. The proposition follows immediately from [5, Theorem 1.4]. \square

DEFINITION 2.16. Let X be a proper coarse space. A corona of X is a pair (W, ζ) of a compact metrizable space W and a continuous map $\zeta: \nu X \rightarrow W$.

Let X be a proper coarse space. Let (W, ζ) be a corona of X . We consider the disjoint union $X \sqcup W$. We equip $X \sqcup W$ with the final topology with respect to the map $\text{id} \sqcup \zeta: hX \rightarrow X \sqcup W$, which we denote by $\bar{\zeta}$. Let $X \cup_{\zeta} W$ denote the space $X \sqcup W$ with this topology. By the construction, we see that $X \cup_{\zeta} W$ is compact.

Next, we construct a compact Hausdorff space using functional analysis. The continuous map ζ induces a homomorphism $\zeta^*: C(W) \rightarrow C(\nu X)$. Then the image $\zeta^*(C(W))$ is a C^* -subalgebra of $C(\nu X)$. Let

$$\pi: C_h(X) \rightarrow C_h(X)/C_0(X) \cong C(\nu X)$$

be the quotient map. Then the pullback $\pi^{-1}(\zeta^*(C(W)))$ is a C^* -subalgebra of $C_h(X)$. Set $A = \{(f, g) \in \pi^{-1}(\zeta^*(C(W))) \oplus C(W) : \pi(f) = \zeta^*(g)\}$. Then A is a unital commutative C^* -algebra which contain $C_0(X)$ as an ideal. By the Gelfand-Naimark theory, there exists a compact Hausdorff space Z and an embedding $i: X \rightarrow Z$ such that $C(Z) \cong A$. We identify X with $i(X)$.

PROPOSITION 2.17. *These two spaces $X \cup_{\zeta} W$ and Z are homeomorphic. Especially, $X \cup_{\zeta} W$ is a compact metrizable space. If ζ is surjective, X is dense in $X \cup_{\zeta} W$ and thus we call $X \cup_{\zeta} W$ a coarse compactification of X . We abbreviate $X \cup_{\zeta} W$ to $X \cup W$ for simplicity.*

PROOF. Let A be a C^* -algebra defined in the above. The inclusion $C_0(X) \hookrightarrow A$ is given by $f \mapsto (f, 0)$. We also have a surjection $A \rightarrow C(W)$, $(f, g) \mapsto g$. We consider the following diagram with two short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & C_0(X) & \longrightarrow & C(hX) & \longrightarrow & C(\nu X) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C_0(X) & \longrightarrow & C(Z) & \longrightarrow & C(W) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Since $C(W)$ and $C_0(X)$ are separable, so is $C(Z)$. Thus Z is metrizable. The surjection $C(Z) \rightarrow C(W)$ induces an embedding $W \rightarrow Z$, so we identify W with its image in Z . Thus Z can be decomposed as $Z = X \cup W$. Let $\varphi: X \cup_{\zeta} W \rightarrow Z$ be the canonical

bijection. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} hX & & \\ \zeta \downarrow & \searrow & \\ X \cup_{\zeta} W & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & Z. \end{array}$$

Since the map $hX \rightarrow Z$ is continuous, so is φ . Therefore φ is homeomorphism. \square

The following notion is useful in the study of proper metric spaces and their coronae from the view point of the algebraic topology.

DEFINITION 2.18. Let X and Y be proper metric spaces and let (W, ζ) and (Z, ξ) be respectively coronae of X and Y . Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a coarse map and let $\eta: W \rightarrow Z$ be a continuous map. We say that f covers η if there exists a discrete subset $X' \subset X$ such that the inclusion is a coarse equivalence and the restriction $f|_{X'}$ extends to a continuous map $f \cup \eta: X' \cup W \rightarrow Y \cup Z$.

REMARK 2.19. In the above setting, f covers η if and only if the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \nu X & \xrightarrow{\nu f} & \nu Y \\ \zeta \downarrow & & \xi \downarrow \\ W & \xrightarrow{\eta} & Z. \end{array}$$

In the rest of the paper, whenever we consider a corona (W, ζ) of a proper metric space X , we assume that X is non-compact. In particular, neither νX nor W is empty.

3. GENERALIZED COARSE COHOMOLOGY THEORY

3.1. Axiom. The coarse category is a category whose objects are proper metric spaces and whose morphisms are close classes of coarse maps. The coarse cohomology [26], the coarse K -theory [6] and the K -theory of the reduced stable Higson corona [6] can be regarded as cohomology theories on the coarse category. In this section, we introduce a generalized coarse cohomology theory.

The following notion was introduced in [19] to state the Mayer-Vietoris principle for the coarse cohomology and the K -theory of the Roe algebra. Let X be a metric space and $A \subset X$ be a subspace. For $R > 0$, we denote by $\text{Pen}(A; R)$ the R -neighborhood $\{x \in X : d(x, A) \leq R\}$ of A .

DEFINITION 3.1. Let X be a proper metric space, and let A and B be closed subspaces with $X = A \cup B$. We say that $X = A \cup B$ is an ω -excisive decomposition, if for each $R > 0$ there exists some $S > 0$ such that

$$\text{Pen}(A; R) \cap \text{Pen}(B; R) \subset \text{Pen}(A \cap B; S).$$

Higson-Roe [17] introduced a notion of coarse homotopy. After that, they gave an alternative definition of coarse homotopy, which is a variant of Lipschitz homotopy. (For Lipschitz homotopy, see [13, 1.C₃], [30, Definition 4.1] and [16, Definition 11.1].) Our definition is based on [18, Section 11] and [29, Definition 3.9].

DEFINITION 3.2. Let $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$ be coarse maps between proper metric spaces. We say that they are *coarsely homotopic* if there exists a metric subspace $Z = \{(x, t) : 1 \leq t \leq T_x\}$ of $X \times \mathbb{N}$ and a coarse map $h: Z \rightarrow Y$, such that

- (a) the map $x \mapsto T_x$ is bornologous,
- (b) $h(x, 1) = f(x)$, and
- (c) $h(x, T_x) = g(x)$.

Here \mathbb{N} is a set of positive integers and we equip $X \times \mathbb{N}$ with the l_1 -metric, that is, $d_{X \times \mathbb{N}}((x, n), (y, m)) := d_X(x, y) + |n - m|$ for $(x, n), (y, m) \in X \times \mathbb{N}$, where d_X is the metric on X .

Coarse homotopy is then an equivalence relation on coarse maps.

DEFINITION 3.3. A *generalized coarse cohomology theory* is a contravariant functor $MX^* = \{MX^p\}_{p \in \mathbb{Z}}$ from the coarse category to the category of \mathbb{Z} -graded Abelian groups, such that

- (i) for a proper metric space Y , we have $MX^*(Y \times \mathbb{N}) = 0$, and
- (ii) if $Y = A \cup B$ is an ω -excisive decomposition, there exists a functorial long exact sequence, called a Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence,

$$\cdots \rightarrow MX^p(Y) \rightarrow MX^p(A) \oplus MX^p(B) \rightarrow MX^p(A \cap B) \rightarrow MX^{p+1}(Y) \rightarrow \cdots .$$

The following notion of coarsely flasque spaces is based on [29, Definition 3.6].

LEMMA 3.4. Let MX^* be a generalized coarse cohomology theory. Let Y be a space with a proper metric d . Suppose that Y is coarsely flasque, that is, there exists a coarse map $\phi: Y \rightarrow Y$ such that

- (a) ϕ is close to the identity;

- (b) for any bounded subset $K \subset Y$, there exists $N_K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n \geq N_K$, $\phi^n(Y) \cap K = \emptyset$;
- (c) for all $R > 0$, there exists $S > 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $x, y \in Y$ with $d(x, y) < R$, we have $(d(\phi^n(x), \phi^n(y))) < S$.

Then $MX^*(Y) = 0$.

PROOF. We define a coarse map $\Phi: Y \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow Y$ as $\Phi(x, n) = \phi^n(x)$. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\phi} & Y \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \Phi \\ & Y \times \mathbb{N} & \end{array} .$$

Here $Y \hookrightarrow Y \times \mathbb{N}$ is the inclusion into $Y \times \{1\}$. By axiom (i), the induced map $\phi^*: MX^*(Y) \rightarrow MX^*(Y)$ factors through zero. Since ϕ is close to the identity, $MX^*(Y) = 0$. \square

The following coarse homotopy invariance follows from a standard argument using Mayer-Vietoris axiom (ii) and Lemma 3.4. (See [18, Proposition 12.4.12] and [29, Theorem 4.3.12.]).

PROPOSITION 3.5. *If two coarse maps $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$ are coarsely homotopic, the induced maps f^* and g^* are equal.*

The anti-Čech system is introduced in [26, Section 3] to relate the coarse cohomology to the Čech cohomology. It is also used in [17] to formulate a coarse homology theory.

DEFINITION 3.6. Let X be a metric space. Let $\mathcal{U}(1), \mathcal{U}(2), \dots$ be a sequence of locally finite covers of X . We say that they form an anti-Čech system if there exists a sequence of real numbers $R_n \rightarrow \infty$ such that for all n ,

- (a) each set $U \in \mathcal{U}(n)$ has diameter less than or equal to R_n , and
- (b) the covering $\mathcal{U}(n+1)$ has a Lebesgue number δ_{n+1} greater than or equal to R_n , that is, any set of diameter less than or equal to δ_{n+1} is contained in some element of $\mathcal{U}(n+1)$.

These conditions imply that for each n , there exists a map $\varphi_n: \mathcal{U}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(n+1)$ such that $U \subset \varphi_n(U)$ for all $U \in \mathcal{U}(n)$. We call φ_n a coarsening map. We remark that this map is called a refining map in the context of Čech cohomology theory. A coarsening map

φ_n induces a proper simplicial map $|\mathcal{U}(n)| \rightarrow |\mathcal{U}(n+1)|$ of the nerve complexes, which we also denote by the same symbol φ_n and also call a coarsening map. In this paper, we use the same notation for the nerve of an anti-Čech system, and its geometric realization.

Now we recall the definition of a generalized cohomology theory on the category of locally compact and second countable Hausdorff spaces, which we abbreviate to LCSH. (See [18, Section 7.1] for LCSH.) A generalized cohomology theory on LCSH is a contravariant functor $M^* = \{M^p\}$ from LCSH to the category of \mathbb{Z} -graded Abelian groups such that

- (a) M^* is a homotopy functor, and
- (b) if $W \subset X$ is a closed subset, there is a functorial long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow M^p(X \setminus W) \rightarrow M^p(X) \rightarrow M^p(W) \xrightarrow{\partial} M^{p+1}(X \setminus W) \rightarrow \cdots .$$

Examples of such cohomology theories are K -theory $K^*(-)$ and the Alexander-Spanier cohomology with compact supports $H_c^*(-)$. These cohomology theories satisfy the continuity property

- (c) for a projective limit $X = \varprojlim X_n$ of locally compact second countable Hausdorff spaces, we have $M^*(X) \cong \varinjlim M^*(X_n)$.

Let W be a compact second countable Hausdorff space. Then the constant map $\pi_W: W \rightarrow \{*\}$ is proper, where $\{*\}$ is a one point space. The reduced M -cohomology of W , denoted by $\tilde{M}^*(W)$, is defined as the cokernel of π_W^* .

Let X be a proper metric space and let (W, ζ) be a corona of X . Let $\partial: M^p(W) \rightarrow M^{p+1}(X)$ be a boundary homomorphism of the long exact sequence for $W \subset X \cup_\zeta W$. Let $\pi_W: W \rightarrow \{*\}$ be a constant map. Since π_W factors through $X \cup_\zeta W \rightarrow \{*\}$, the image $\pi_W^*(M^p(\{*\}))$ lies on the kernel of ∂ . Thus we have a boundary homomorphism $\partial: \tilde{M}^p(W) \rightarrow M^{p+1}(X)$.

DEFINITION 3.7. Let $M^* = \{M^p\}_{p \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a generalized cohomology theory on locally compact and second countable Hausdorff spaces. We say that a generalized coarse cohomology theory MX^* is a *coarsening* of M^* if MX^* satisfies the following:

- (iii) For a proper metric space X , there exists a *character map* $c: MX^*(X) \rightarrow M^*(X)$, which is an isomorphism if X is uniformly contractible and has bounded geometry. It is compatible with Mayer-Vietoris exact sequences of MX^* and M^* for ω -excisive decompositions.

- (iv) Let $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$ be an anti-Čech system of a proper metric space X . There exists a functorial short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \varprojlim^1 M^{q-1}(|\mathcal{U}_n|) \rightarrow MX^q(X) \xrightarrow{\theta} \varprojlim M^q(|\mathcal{U}_n|) \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover, the composite of θ and a canonical map $\lambda: \varprojlim M^q(|\mathcal{U}_n|) \rightarrow M^q(X)$ is equal to the character map, where λ is given by a partition of unity. We call this a Milnor exact sequence.

- (v) Let (W, ζ) be a corona of X . Then there exists a *transgression* map $T_W: \tilde{M}^{q-1}(W) \rightarrow MX^q(X)$ such that $c \circ T_W = \partial$, here $\partial: \tilde{M}^{q-1}(W) \rightarrow M^q(X)$ is the boundary homomorphism. The transgression map is natural in the following sense. For proper metric spaces X and Y , and for coronae (W, ζ) and (Z, ξ) respectively of X and Y , if a coarse map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ covers a continuous map $\eta: W \rightarrow Z$, then the following is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{M}^{q-1}(Z) & \xrightarrow{\eta^*} & \tilde{M}^{q-1}(W) \\ \downarrow T_Z & & \downarrow T_W \\ MX^q(Y) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & MX^q(X). \end{array}$$

PROPOSITION 3.8. *The coarse cohomology $HX^*(-)$, the coarse K -theory $KX^*(-)$ and the K -theory of the reduced stable Higson corona $K_*(\mathbf{c}^r(-))$ are generalized coarse cohomology theories. Especially, $KX^*(-)$ and $HX^*(-)$ are respectively the coarsening of the K -theory and the Alexander-Spanier cohomology with compact supports.*

PROOF. The statements for HX^* are proved in [26], those for $K_*(\mathbf{c}^r(-))$ are proved in [6] and [29]. See Proposition 4.9. The statements for KX^* are proved in Section 4. \square

3.2. Coarse homology theories. Generalized coarse homology theories are formulated similarly to Definition 3.3, but we omit the detail. We remark that for a generalized homology theory M_* on LCSH, we have a generalized coarse homology theory MX_* by defining $MX_*(X) := \varinjlim M_*(|\mathcal{U}(j)|)$ where X is a proper metric space and $\{\mathcal{U}(j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an anti-Čech system of X . (See [17, Section 2].) We say that MX_* is a coarsening of M_* . Using a partition of unity, we can define the coarsening map $c: M_*(X) \rightarrow MX_*(X)$. If X is uniformly contractible and has bounded geometry, the coarsening map c is an isomorphism. Emerson-Mayer proved a similar statement for coarse K -theory. (See [6, Theorem 4.8].) Their proof also works for MX_* . We remark that this statement is first

proved in [17, Proposition 3.8] under an additional assumption that X is a simplicial complex with a spherical metric.

The transgression map is constructed as follows. Let X be a proper metric space and let (W, ζ) be a corona of X . Let $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an anti-Čech system of X . Since the nerve complex $|\mathcal{U}_n|$ is coarsely equivalent to X (Proposition 2.12), the pair (W, ζ) is also a corona of $|\mathcal{U}_n|$ and we obtain a compact space $|\mathcal{U}_n| \cup W$. A long exact sequence ([18, Definition 7.1.1]) for $W \subset |\mathcal{U}_n| \cup W$ defines the boundary homomorphism $\partial: M_*(|\mathcal{U}_n|) \rightarrow \tilde{M}_{*-1}(W)$. Here $\tilde{M}_*(W)$ is the reduced M -homology of W defined as the kernel of π_{W*} , where $\pi_W: Y \rightarrow \{*\}$ is a constant map. By taking the inductive limit, we obtain $T_W: MX_*(X) \rightarrow \tilde{M}_{*-1}(W)$. From the construction, it is easy to see that the transgression map is natural in the obvious sense.

The K -theory of the Roe-algebra, the coarse K -homology are generalized coarse homology theories and the coarse K -homology is the coarsening of the K -homology. See [17], [19] and [18].

4. THE COARSE K -THEORY

4.1. The coarse K -theory. In this section we see that the coarse K -theory $KX^*(-)$ is a generalized coarse cohomology theory and is the coarsening of the K -theory $K^*(-)$ in the sense of the previous section. Originally, $KX^*(-)$ is defined and studied by Emerson-Meyer [6, Section 4]. We introduce a definition of $KX^*(-)$ by a slightly different manner, but we confirm that they are compatible. The original definition uses the Rips complex, while ours uses the anti-Čech system, which is more flexible and essentially used in the proof of Proposition 6.8.

Let X be a space with a proper metric d . Suppose that $\{\mathcal{U}(k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an anti-Čech system of X with uniformly bounded diameter $R_k \rightarrow \infty$ and Lebesgue numbers $\delta_k \geq R_{k-1}$ of $\mathcal{U}(k)$.

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we fix a coarsening map $\psi_{k,k+1}: |\mathcal{U}(k)| \rightarrow |\mathcal{U}(k+1)|$. We put $\psi_{k,l} := \psi_{l-1,l} \circ \cdots \circ \psi_{k,k+1}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \leq l-1$ and we also call them coarsening maps. We denote the inductive limit by \mathcal{X} , which depends on choice of $\psi_{k,k+1}$. Also we denote the canonical map $|\mathcal{U}(k)| \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ by $\psi_{k,\infty}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We put

$$C_0(\mathcal{X}) := \{f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \circ \psi_{k,\infty} \in C_0(|\mathcal{U}(k)|) \text{ for any } k \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

and we identify it with the projective limit of $\{C_0(|\mathcal{U}(k)|)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. This is a σ - C^* -algebra. Now we define $KX^*(X)$ as $KK_*(C_0(\mathcal{X}))$. Here $KK_*(-)$ is a representable K -theory of σ - C^* -algebras [23]. We abbreviate $KK_*(C_0(\mathcal{X}))$ to $KK^*(\mathcal{X})$.

We remark that by Phillips [23], there exists an exact sequence, called a Milnor exact sequence,

$$(4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \varprojlim^1 K_{p+1}(C_0(|\mathcal{U}(k)|)) \rightarrow RK^p(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \varprojlim K_p(C_0(|\mathcal{U}(k)|)) \rightarrow 0.$$

See also Appendix A.

LEMMA 4.1. *Under the above setting, there exists an anti-Čech system $\{\mathcal{U}'(k)\}$ such that a coarsening map $\psi'_k: \mathcal{U}'(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}'(k+1)$ is injective for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $KK^*(\mathcal{X}) \cong KK^*(\varinjlim \mathcal{U}'(k))$.*

PROOF. We take a copy $\mathcal{U}_i(k)$ of $\mathcal{U}(k)$ parameterized by $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}_i(k)$ is a cover of X , but it is not locally finite. The identification between $\mathcal{U}_i(k)$ and $\mathcal{U}(k)$ define the surjection $P_k: \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}_i(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(k)$. Then we can take an anti-Čech system $\{\mathcal{U}'(k)\}$ of X and proper injective simplicial map $\psi'_{k,k+1}: |\mathcal{U}'(k)| \rightarrow |\mathcal{U}'(k+1)|$ satisfying $\mathcal{U}'(1) = \mathcal{U}_1(1)$, $\mathcal{U}_1(k) \subset \mathcal{U}'(k) \subset \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}_i(k)$ and the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{U}'(1) & \xrightarrow{\psi'_{1,2}} & \mathcal{U}'(2) & \xrightarrow{\psi'_{2,3}} & \mathcal{U}'(3) & \xrightarrow{\psi'_{3,4}} & \dots \\ \downarrow p_1 & & \downarrow p_2 & & \downarrow p_3 & & \\ \mathcal{U}(1) & \xrightarrow{\psi_{1,2}} & \mathcal{U}(2) & \xrightarrow{\psi_{2,3}} & \mathcal{U}(3) & \xrightarrow{\psi_{3,4}} & \dots, \end{array}$$

where p_k is a proper surjective simplicial map induced by P_k of the restriction on $|\mathcal{U}'(k)|$. For each k , we choose a section $e_k: \mathcal{U}(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}'(k)$ of p_k . Then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{U}'(1) & \xrightarrow{\psi'_{1,2}} & \mathcal{U}'(2) & \xrightarrow{\psi'_{2,3}} & \mathcal{U}'(3) & \xrightarrow{\psi'_{3,4}} & \dots \\ \downarrow p_1 & & \downarrow p_2 & & \downarrow p_3 & & \\ \mathcal{U}(1) & \xrightarrow{\psi_{1,2}} & \mathcal{U}(2) & \xrightarrow{\psi_{2,3}} & \mathcal{U}(3) & \xrightarrow{\psi_{3,4}} & \dots \\ \downarrow e_1 & & \downarrow e_2 & & \downarrow e_3 & & \\ \mathcal{U}'(1) & \xrightarrow{e_2 \circ \psi_{1,2} \circ p_1} & \mathcal{U}'(2) & \xrightarrow{e_3 \circ \psi_{2,3} \circ p_2} & \mathcal{U}'(3) & \xrightarrow{e_4 \circ \psi_{3,4} \circ p_3} & \dots \\ \downarrow p_1 & & \downarrow p_2 & & \downarrow p_3 & & \\ \mathcal{U}(1) & \xrightarrow{\psi_{1,2}} & \mathcal{U}(2) & \xrightarrow{\psi_{2,3}} & \mathcal{U}(3) & \xrightarrow{\psi_{3,4}} & \dots \end{array}$$

Note that the inductive limits of the second line and the fourth line are \mathcal{X} . We denote by \mathcal{X}'' and \mathcal{X}''' , respectively, the inductive limits of the first line and the third line. Since every $p_k \circ e_k$ are identity maps, $(\varinjlim e_k)^* : RK^*(\mathcal{X}''') \rightarrow RK^*(\mathcal{X})$ is surjective. The Milnor exact sequence and its functoriality imply the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim^1 K^{*-1}(|\mathcal{U}'(k)|) & \longrightarrow & RK^*(\mathcal{X}'') & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim K^*(|\mathcal{U}'(k)|) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \uparrow \varprojlim^1 (e_k \circ p_k)^{*-1} & & \uparrow (\varinjlim e_k \circ \varinjlim p_k)^* & & \uparrow \varprojlim (e_k \circ p_k)^* \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim^1 K^{*-1}(|\mathcal{U}'(k)|) & \longrightarrow & RK^*(\mathcal{X}''') & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim K^*(|\mathcal{U}'(k)|) \longrightarrow 0.
\end{array}$$

Since $e_k \circ p_k$ is contiguous to the identity map, $(\varinjlim e_k \circ \varinjlim p_k)^*$ is an isomorphism by the five lemma, and thus $(\varinjlim e_k)^*$ is injective. Hence $(\varinjlim p_k)^* : RK^*(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow RK^*(\mathcal{X}'')$ is an isomorphism. \square

PROPOSITION 4.2. *$KX^*(X)$ is well-defined, that is, this is independent of the choice of the anti-Čech system $\{\mathcal{U}(k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and the coarsening maps $\{\psi_{k,l}\}_{k \leq l}$.*

PROOF. Let $\{\mathcal{U}(k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an anti-Čech system and let $\{\psi_{k,l}\}_{k \leq l}$ be coarsening maps. By Lemma 4.1, we can assume that $\psi_{k,l}$ is injective. We denote by \mathcal{X} the injective limit of $\{\mathcal{U}(k)\}$.

We compare $\{\mathcal{U}(k)\}$ with a special kind of an anti-Čech system of X defined as follows. We take a subset Z of X and a constant $C > 0$ such that $\text{Pen}(Z, C) = X$ and $d(x, y) > 1$ for any $x, y \in Z$ with $x \neq y$. The existence of such a subset follows from Zorn's lemma. (See [26, Lemma 3.15].) We call Z a C -dense uniformly discrete subset of X . For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, put $\mathcal{U}_{Z,C}(k) := \{\text{Pen}(z, (k+1)C) \subset X \mid z \in Z\}$ which is a locally finite cover of X since X is proper. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, diameter of any element of $\mathcal{U}_{Z,C}(k)$ is at most $2(k+1)C$ and the Lebesgue number of $\mathcal{U}_{Z,C}(k)$ is at least kC . Hence $\{\mathcal{U}_{Z,C}(k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an anti-Čech system of X . We have a proper simplicial map $\iota_{k,l} : |\mathcal{U}_{Z,C}(k)| \rightarrow |\mathcal{U}_{Z,C}(l)|$ induced by $\mathcal{U}_{Z,C}(k) \ni \text{Pen}(z, (k+1)C) \mapsto \text{Pen}(z, (l+1)C) \in \mathcal{U}_{Z,C}(l)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \leq l$. We denote the inductive limit by $\mathcal{X}_{Z,C}$. Also we denote the induced map $|\mathcal{U}_{Z,C}(k)| \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{Z,C}$ by $\iota_{k,\infty}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that $\iota_{k,l}$ is injective for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ with $k \leq l$.

We prove that $RK^*(\mathcal{X})$ and $RK^*(\mathcal{X}_{Z,C})$ are canonically isomorphic. Then we have the desired conclusion. We take an increasing sequence $\{k_j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that for each j , the cover $\mathcal{U}(j)$ is a refinement of $\mathcal{U}_{Z,C}(k_j)$. Then for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we can choose an coarsening

map $f_j: \mathcal{U}(j) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{Z,C}(k_j)$ such that the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} |\mathcal{U}(1)| & \xrightarrow{\psi_{1,2}} & |\mathcal{U}(2)| & \xrightarrow{\psi_{2,3}} & \dots \\ \downarrow f_1 & & \downarrow f_2 & & \\ |\mathcal{U}_{Z,C}(k_1)| & \xrightarrow{\iota_{k_1,k_2}} & |\mathcal{U}_{Z,C}(k_2)| & \xrightarrow{\iota_{k_2,k_3}} & \dots \end{array}$$

is commutative without arranging any maps in both horizontal lines.

Next, we take an increasing sequence $\{k'_j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that for each j , $\mathcal{U}_{Z,C}(k_j)$ and $\mathcal{U}(k'_j)$ are respectively refinement of $\mathcal{U}(k'_j)$ and $\mathcal{U}(k'_{j+1})$. Then we can choose coarsening maps $g_j: \mathcal{U}_{Z,C}(k_j) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(k'_j)$ and $\psi'_{k'_j,k'_{j+1}}: \mathcal{U}(k'_j) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(k'_{j+1})$ such that the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} |\mathcal{U}_{Z,C}(k_1)| & \xrightarrow{\iota_{k_1,k_2}} & |\mathcal{U}_{Z,C}(k_2)| & \xrightarrow{\iota_{k_2,k_3}} & \dots \\ \downarrow g_1 & \psi'_{k'_1,k'_2} & \downarrow g_2 & \psi'_{k'_2,k'_3} & \\ |\mathcal{U}(k'_1)| & \xrightarrow{\psi'_{k'_1,k'_2}} & |\mathcal{U}(k'_2)| & \xrightarrow{\psi'_{k'_2,k'_3}} & \dots \end{array}$$

is commutative. We note that $\psi'_{k'_j,k'_{j+1}}$ is contiguous to $\psi_{k'_j,k'_{j+1}}$ and that $g_j \circ f_j$ is contiguous to ψ_{j,k'_j} . We denote by \mathcal{X}' the inductive limit of the second horizontal line. We remark that there are no canonical map from \mathcal{X}' to \mathcal{X} in general.

Again, we take an increasing sequence $\{k''_j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that for each j , covers $\mathcal{U}(k'_j)$ and $\mathcal{U}_{Z,C}(k''_j)$ are respectively refinements of $\mathcal{U}_{Z,C}(k''_j)$ and $\mathcal{U}_{Z,C}(k''_{j+1})$. Then we can choose coarsening maps $h_j: \mathcal{U}(k'_j) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{Z,C}(k''_j)$ and $\iota'_{k''_j,k''_{j+1}}: \mathcal{U}_{Z,C}(k''_j) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{Z,C}(k''_{j+1})$ such that the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} |\mathcal{U}(k'_1)| & \xrightarrow{\psi'_{k'_1,k'_2}} & |\mathcal{U}(k'_2)| & \xrightarrow{\psi'_{k'_2,k'_3}} & \dots \\ \downarrow h_1 & \iota'_{k''_1,k''_2} & \downarrow h_2 & \iota'_{k''_2,k''_3} & \\ |\mathcal{U}_{Z,C}(k''_1)| & \xrightarrow{\iota'_{k''_1,k''_2}} & |\mathcal{U}_{Z,C}(k''_2)| & \xrightarrow{\iota'_{k''_2,k''_3}} & \dots \end{array}$$

is commutative. We note that $\iota'_{k''_j,k''_{j+1}}$ is contiguous to $\iota_{k''_j,k''_{j+1}}$ and that $h_j \circ g_j$ is contiguous to $\iota_{k'_j,k''_j}$. We denote by $\mathcal{X}'_{Z,C}$ the inductive limit of the second horizontal line. We remark that there are no canonical map from $\mathcal{X}'_{Z,C}$ to $\mathcal{X}_{Z,C}$ in general.

Now we have a sequence of maps

$$\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{f_\infty} \mathcal{X}_{Z,C} \xrightarrow{g_\infty} \mathcal{X}' \xrightarrow{h_\infty} \mathcal{X}'_{Z,C},$$

where we put $f_\infty := \varinjlim f_j$, $g_\infty := \varinjlim g_j$ and $h_\infty := \varinjlim h_j$. We prove that all maps induce isomorphisms of representable K -theory. Indeed we show that $g_\infty \circ f_\infty$ and $h_\infty \circ g_\infty$ induce isomorphisms of their representable K -theory.

We discuss only on the map $g_\infty \circ f_\infty$, since we can treat $h_\infty \circ g_\infty$ by the same way. We consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
|\mathcal{U}(k'_1)| & \xrightarrow{\psi_{k'_1, k'_2}} & |\mathcal{U}(k'_2)| & \xrightarrow{\psi_{k'_2, k'_3}} & \dots \\
\psi_{1, k'_1} \uparrow & & \psi_{2, k'_2} \uparrow & & \\
|\mathcal{U}(1)| & \xrightarrow{\psi_{1, 2}} & |\mathcal{U}(2)| & \xrightarrow{\psi_{2, 3}} & \dots \\
g_1 \circ f_1 \downarrow & & g_2 \circ f_2 \downarrow & & \\
|\mathcal{U}(k'_1)| & \xrightarrow{\psi'_{k'_1, k'_2}} & |\mathcal{U}(k'_2)| & \xrightarrow{\psi'_{k'_2, k'_3}} & \dots
\end{array}$$

The inductive limit of the first line is identified with that of the second line by the induced map $\varinjlim \psi_{j, k'_j}$. Thus we also denote by \mathcal{X} the inductive limit of the first line. By Milnor exact sequence (4) and its functoriality (see [23, Theorem 5.8 (5)] and also Proposition A.1), we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim^1 K^{*-1}(|\mathcal{U}(k'_j)|) & \longrightarrow & RK^*(\mathcal{X}) & \xrightarrow{\varprojlim \psi_{k'_j, \infty}} & \varprojlim K^*(|\mathcal{U}(k'_j)|) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \varprojlim^1(\psi_{j, k'_j})^{*-1} \downarrow & & (\varinjlim \psi_{j, k'_j})^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \varprojlim(\psi_{j, k'_j})^* \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim^1 K^{*-1}(|\mathcal{U}(j)|) & \longrightarrow & RK^*(\mathcal{X}) & \xrightarrow{\varprojlim \psi_{j, \infty}} & \varprojlim K^*(|\mathcal{U}(j)|) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \varprojlim^1(g_j \circ f_j)^{*-1} \uparrow & & (g_\infty \circ f_\infty)^* \uparrow & & \uparrow \varprojlim(g_j \circ f_j)^* \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim^1 K^{*-1}(|\mathcal{U}(k'_j)|) & \longrightarrow & RK^*(\mathcal{X}') & \xrightarrow{\varprojlim \psi'_{k'_j, \infty}} & \varprojlim K^*(|\mathcal{U}(k'_j)|) \longrightarrow 0.
\end{array}$$

Since $\varinjlim \psi_{j, k'_j} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is the identity map, $(\varinjlim \psi_{j, k'_j})^*$ is an isomorphism. Also $\varprojlim(\psi_{j, k'_j})^*$ is an isomorphism. Thus so is $\varprojlim^1(\psi_{j, k'_j})^{*-1}$ by the five lemma. Since $g_j \circ f_j$ is contiguous to ψ_{j, k'_j} , both $\varprojlim^1(g_j \circ f_j)^{*-1}$ and $\varprojlim(g_j \circ f_j)^*$ are isomorphisms, thus so is $(g_\infty \circ f_\infty)^*$. \square

By the definition and Milnor exact sequence (4), $KX^*(-)$ satisfies axiom (iv).

Suppose we have a proper metric space Y and a coarse map $f : X \rightarrow Y$. We take an anti-Čech system $\{\mathcal{V}(k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of Y . We take an increasing sequence $\{k_j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that for each j , the covers $\mathcal{U}(j)$ and $\mathcal{V}(k_j)$ are respectively refinement of $\mathcal{U}(k_j)$ and $\mathcal{V}(k_{j+1})$. Then we can choose a map $f_j : \mathcal{U}(j) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(k_j)$ and $\phi_{k_j, k_{j+1}} : \mathcal{V}(k_j) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(k_{j+1})$ such that $f(U) \subset f_j(U)$ for any $U \in \mathcal{U}(j)$ and the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
|\mathcal{U}(1)| & \xrightarrow{\psi_{1, 2}} & |\mathcal{U}(2)| & \xrightarrow{\psi_{2, 3}} & \dots \\
\downarrow f_1 & & \downarrow f_2 & & \\
|\mathcal{V}(k_1)| & \xrightarrow{\phi_{k_1, k_2}} & |\mathcal{V}(k_2)| & \xrightarrow{\phi_{k_2, k_3}} & \dots
\end{array}$$

This induces a homomorphism $f^*: KX^*(Y) \rightarrow KX^*(X)$, which does not depend on the choice of anti-Čech systems, the maps f_j and $\phi_{k_j, k_{j+1}}$. Let $g: X \rightarrow Y$ be another coarse map which is close to f . Then we have $f^* = g^*$. These facts can be proved by the similar arguments with the proof of Proposition 4.2, so we omit the details.

Let Z be a C -dense uniformly discrete subset of X . Then $KX^*(Z)$ coincides with the coarse K -theory of X defined by Emerson-Mayer[6]. Since Z and X are coarsely equivalent, we have $KX^*(Z) \cong KX^*(X)$. Hence Emerson-Meyer's definition and ours are compatible.

LEMMA 4.3. *The coarse K -theory satisfies axiom (i).*

PROOF. Let $\{\mathcal{U}(k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an anti-Čech system of X . Let $\psi_k: \mathcal{U}(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(k+1)$ denote a coarsening map. Set $\mathcal{V}(k) := \{U \times [n, n+k] : U \in \mathcal{U}(k), n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then $\{\mathcal{V}(k)\}$ forms an anti-Čech system of $X \times \mathbb{N}$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we define a simplicial map $\phi_{k,s}: |\mathcal{V}(k)| \rightarrow |\mathcal{V}(k+1)|$ by

$$\phi_{k,s}(U \times [n, n+k]) := \begin{cases} \psi_k(U) \times [n, n+k+1] & \text{if } n > s, \\ \psi_k(U) \times [n+1, n+k+2] & \text{if } n \leq s \end{cases}$$

where $U \in \mathcal{U}(k)$. Since $\phi_{k,s}$ is contiguous to $\phi_{k,s+1}$, we have a proper homotopy

$$h_{k,s}(t): |\mathcal{V}(k)| \rightarrow |\mathcal{V}(k+1)|$$

between geometric realization of $\phi_{k,s}$ and $\phi_{k,s+1}$ where $t \in [s, s+1]$. Then we define a continuous proper map $H_k: |\mathcal{V}(k)| \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow |\mathcal{V}(k+1)|$ by $H_k(x, t) = h_{k,s}(t)(x)$ where s is an integer satisfying $t \in [s, s+1]$. We remark that the restriction $H_k(-, 0)$ is a coarsening map $\phi_{k,0}$. Thus the induced map $\phi_{k,0}^*: K^*(|\mathcal{V}(k+1)|) \rightarrow K^*(|\mathcal{V}(k)|)$ factors through $K^*(|\mathcal{V}(k)| \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}) = 0$, so $\varprojlim K^*(|\mathcal{V}(k)|) = \varprojlim^1 K^*(|\mathcal{V}(k)|) = 0$. Therefore $KX^*(X \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}) = 0$. \square

We need the following lemma to show that $KX^*(-)$ satisfies axiom (ii).

LEMMA 4.4. *Let the following be a pullback diagram of σ - C^* -algebras:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_k & \xrightarrow{g_{1,k}} & A_{1,k} \\ g_{2,k} \downarrow & & \downarrow f_{1,k} \\ A_{2,k} & \xrightarrow{f_{2,k}} & B_k \end{array}$$

where we suppose that $f_{1,k}$ and $f_{2,k}$ are surjective for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\Pi_k : P_{k+1} \rightarrow P_k$, $\pi_{1,k} : A_{1,k+1} \rightarrow A_{1,k}$, $\pi_{2,k} : A_{2,k+1} \rightarrow A_{2,k}$ and $\pi_k : B_{k+1} \rightarrow B_k$ be $*$ -homomorphisms. Suppose that the following diagram is commutative for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 P_{k+1} & \xrightarrow{g_{1,k+1}} & A_{1,k+1} & & \\
 \downarrow g_{2,k+1} & \searrow \Pi_k & \downarrow & \searrow \pi_{1,k} & \\
 & & P_k & \xrightarrow{g_{1,k}} & A_{1,k} \\
 & & \downarrow & \downarrow f_{1,k+1} & \downarrow f_{1,k} \\
 A_{2,k+1} & \xrightarrow{f_{2,k+1}} & B_{k+1} & & \\
 \downarrow g_{2,k} & \searrow \pi_{2,k} & \downarrow & \searrow \pi_k & \\
 & & A_{2,k} & \xrightarrow{f_{2,k}} & B_k
 \end{array}$$

Then we have the following Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence:

$$\longrightarrow RK_{*+1}(\varprojlim B_k) \longrightarrow RK_*(\varprojlim P_k) \longrightarrow RK_*(\varprojlim A_{1,k}) \oplus RK_*(\varprojlim A_{2,k}) \longrightarrow .$$

PROOF. We refer to the proof of [1, Theorem 21.2.2].

By taking projective limit, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 P_\infty := \varprojlim P_k & \xrightarrow{g_{2,\infty}} & A_{1,\infty} := \varprojlim A_{1,k} \\
 \downarrow g_{1,\infty} & \searrow \varprojlim g_{1,k} & \downarrow f_{1,\infty} \\
 A_{2,\infty} := \varprojlim A_{2,k} & \xrightarrow{f_{2,\infty}} & B_\infty := \varprojlim B_k
 \end{array}$$

which is not necessarily a pull-back diagram. Put for each $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$,

$$C_k := \{(h_{1,k}, h_{2,k}) \in C_0([0, 1]) \otimes A_{1,k} \oplus C_0([0, 1]) \otimes A_{2,k} \mid f_{1,k}(h_{1,k}(0)) = f_{2,k}(h_{2,k}(0))\}.$$

For a σ - C^* -algebra A , we denote by SA the suspension $C_0(0, 1) \otimes A$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, there is a canonical map $\psi_k : C_k \rightarrow SB_k$ defined by

$$[\psi_k(h_{1,k}, h_{2,k})](t) := \begin{cases} f_{1,k}(h_{1,k}(1 - 2t)) & \text{for } t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ f_{2,k}(h_{2,k}(2t - 1)) & \text{for } t \geq \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}.$$

Then we have the following commutative diagram where two horizontal sequences are both exact,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim^1 RK_{*+1}(C_k) & \longrightarrow & RK_*(\varprojlim C_k) & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim RK_*(C_k) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow \varprojlim^1 (\psi_k)_{*+1} & & \downarrow (\psi_\infty)_* & & \downarrow \varprojlim (\psi_k)_* \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim^1 RK_{*+1}(SB_k) & \longrightarrow & RK_*(S\varprojlim B_k) & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim RK_*(SB_k) \longrightarrow 0.
\end{array}$$

Since $(\psi_k)_*$ is an isomorphism for each k , so is a map $(\psi_\infty)_*$.

We have the following

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & SA_{1,k+1} \oplus SA_{2,k+1} & \longrightarrow & C_{k+1} & \longrightarrow & P_{k+1} \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & SA_{1,k} \oplus SA_{2,k} & \longrightarrow & C_k & \longrightarrow & P_k \longrightarrow 0.
\end{array}$$

Here each horizontal sequence is exact. (See [22, Section 2].) Since the left vertical map is surjective by the given condition, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow SA_{1,\infty} \oplus SA_{2,\infty} \rightarrow C_\infty \rightarrow P_\infty \rightarrow 0.$$

We define $\kappa_\infty : SA_{1,\infty} \oplus SA_{2,\infty} \rightarrow SB_\infty$ as the restriction of ψ_∞ . Then we have the following exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\longrightarrow & RK_{*+1}(P_\infty) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & RK_*(SA_{1,\infty} \oplus SA_{2,\infty}) & \longrightarrow & RK_*(C_\infty) & \longrightarrow \\
& & & \cong \downarrow & \searrow (\kappa_\infty)_* & (\psi_\infty)_* \downarrow \cong & \\
& & & RK_*(SA_{1,\infty}) \oplus RK_*(SA_{2,\infty}) & & RK_*(SB_\infty) &
\end{array}$$

This gives the desired exact sequence by $RK_{*+1}(-) \cong RK_*(S-)$. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.8 for $KX^(-)$.* We prove that $KX^*(-)$ satisfies axiom (ii). Let X be a space with a proper metric d . We take a C -dense uniformly discrete subset Z of X . We denote $\mathcal{U}_{Z,C}(k)$ in Proof of Claim 4.2 by $\mathcal{U}(k)$ in this proof. It is straightforward to show the following claim.

CLAIM 4.5. *Let $L \subset X$ be a closed subset. By restriction, we have an anti-Čech system $\{L \cap \mathcal{U}(k) := \{L \cap U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}(k)\}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of L . Also we consider the subcomplex $|\mathcal{U}(k)^L|$ of $|\mathcal{U}(k)|$ completely spanned by $\mathcal{U}(k)^L := \{U \in \mathcal{U}(k) \mid L \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have an injective proper simplicial map $|L \cap \mathcal{U}(k)| \hookrightarrow |\mathcal{U}(k)^L|$ induced by $L \cap \mathcal{U}(k) \ni L \cap U \mapsto U \in \mathcal{U}(k)^L$. This induces an isomorphism from $\varprojlim C_0(|\mathcal{U}(k)^L|)$ to $\varprojlim C_0(|L \cap$*

$\mathcal{U}(k)|)$ as σ - C^* -algebras and thus induces an isomorphism from $RK_*(\varprojlim C_0(|\mathcal{U}(k)^L|))$ to $RK_*(\varprojlim C_0(|L \cap \mathcal{U}(k)|))$

Note that $KX^*(L) = RK_*(\varprojlim C_0(|L \cap \mathcal{U}(k)|))$ in the above.

Now we consider an ω -excisive decomposition $X = A \cup B$. Then $|\mathcal{U}(k)| = |\mathcal{U}(k)^A| \cup |\mathcal{U}(k)^B|$ is an excisive decomposition as simplicial complexes. Hence we have the following projective system of pull-back diagrams of C^* -algebras:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_0(|\mathcal{U}(k)|) & \longrightarrow & C_0(|\mathcal{U}(k)^B|) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C_0(|\mathcal{U}(k)^A|) & \longrightarrow & C_0(|\mathcal{U}(k)^A| \cap |\mathcal{U}(k)^B|). \end{array}$$

Since $|\mathcal{U}(k)^L| \rightarrow |\mathcal{U}(k+1)^L|$ is injective for any closed subspace $L \subset X$, Lemma 4.4 implies the following exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow RK_*(\varprojlim C_0(|\mathcal{U}(k)^A|)) \oplus RK_*(\varprojlim C_0(|\mathcal{U}(k)^B|)) &\rightarrow RK_*(\varprojlim C_0(|\mathcal{U}(k)|)) \\ &\rightarrow RK_{*-1}(\varprojlim C_0(|\mathcal{U}(k)^A| \cap |\mathcal{U}(k)^B|)) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Claim 4.5 that $KX^*(A)$, $KX^*(B)$ and $KX^*(X)$ are naturally isomorphic to $RK_*(\varprojlim C_0(|\mathcal{U}(k)^A|))$, $RK_*(\varprojlim C_0(|\mathcal{U}(k)^B|))$ and $RK_*(\varprojlim C_0(|\mathcal{U}(k)|))$, respectively.

Now we prove that $RK_*(\varprojlim C_0(|\mathcal{U}(k)^A| \cap |\mathcal{U}(k)^B|))$ is naturally isomorphic to $KX^*(A \cap B)$. We have a natural injection $|\mathcal{U}(k)^{A \cap B}| \hookrightarrow |\mathcal{U}(k)^A| \cap |\mathcal{U}(k)^B|$. Also we have $|\mathcal{U}(k)^A| \cap |\mathcal{U}(k)^B| \hookrightarrow |\mathcal{U}(k)^{\text{Pen}(A, 2(k+1)C) \cap \text{Pen}(B, 2(k+1)C)}|$. Since $X = A \cup B$ is an ω -excisive decomposition, there exists $k' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{Pen}(A, 2(k+1)C) \cap \text{Pen}(B, 2(k+1)C) \subset \text{Pen}(A \cap B, 2(k'+1)C)$. Hence we have $|\mathcal{U}(k)^{\text{Pen}(A, 2(k+1)C) \cap \text{Pen}(B, 2(k+1)C)}| \hookrightarrow |\mathcal{U}(k)^{\text{Pen}(A \cap B, 2(k'+1)C)}|$. Then we have $|\mathcal{U}(k)^{\text{Pen}(A \cap B, 2(k'+1)C)}| \hookrightarrow |\mathcal{U}((k+2k'+3)C)^{A \cap B}|$. By taking an increasing sequence $\{k_j \in \mathbb{N}\}_j$, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} |\mathcal{U}(k_1)^{A \cap B}| & \longrightarrow & |\mathcal{U}(k_2)^{A \cap B}| & \longrightarrow & |\mathcal{U}(k_3)^{A \cap B}| & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ |\mathcal{U}(k_1)^A| \cap |\mathcal{U}(k_1)^B| & \longrightarrow & |\mathcal{U}(k_2)^A| \cap |\mathcal{U}(k_2)^B| & \longrightarrow & |\mathcal{U}(k_3)^A| \cap |\mathcal{U}(k_3)^B| & \longrightarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

This implies that $\varprojlim C_0(|\mathcal{U}(k_j)^{A \cap B}|) \cong \varprojlim C_0(|\mathcal{U}(k_j)^A| \cap |\mathcal{U}(k_j)^B|)$. By combining Claim 4.5, we have that $RK_*(\varprojlim C_0(|\mathcal{U}(k)^A| \cap |\mathcal{U}(k)^B|))$ is naturally isomorphic to $KX^*(A \cap B)$. Hence we have the desired exact sequence:

$$\cdots \rightarrow KX^*(A) \oplus KX^*(B) \rightarrow KX^*(X) \rightarrow KX^{*+1}(A \cap B) \rightarrow \cdots$$

We can easily confirm its functoriality.

Now we show that $KX^*(-)$ satisfies axiom (iii). We have a proper continuous map $X \rightarrow |\mathcal{U}(1)|$ by using partition of unity (see [17, Section 3]). Then we have a $*$ -homomorphism $\varprojlim C_0(|\mathcal{U}(k)|) \rightarrow C_0(X)$. This induces the character map $c : KX^*(X) \rightarrow K^*(X)$. It follows from Proof of the axiom (ii) that the character maps preserve Mayer-Vietoris sequences for ω -excisive decomposition. Also the character map for a uniformly contractible proper metric space with bounded geometry is an isomorphism by [6, Theorem 4.8]. We can confirm that this does not depend on the choice of partition of unity and so on.

Finally we show that $KX^*(-)$ satisfies axiom (v). We consider a proper continuous map $\epsilon : X \rightarrow |\mathcal{U}(1)|$ in the above. Then we can give a proper coarse structure on $|\mathcal{U}(k)|$ such that $\iota_{i,k} \circ \epsilon : X \rightarrow |\mathcal{U}(k)|$ is a coarse equivalence by using Proposition 2.9. Hence if W is a corona of X , then W is naturally a corona of $|\mathcal{U}(k)|$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & C_0(|\mathcal{U}(k+1)|) & \longrightarrow & C(|\mathcal{U}(k+1)| \cup W) & \longrightarrow & C(W) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C_0(|\mathcal{U}(k)|) & \longrightarrow & C(|\mathcal{U}(k)| \cup W) & \longrightarrow & C(W) \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where we can assume that left vertical map is surjective without loss of generality. Hence we have

$$0 \longrightarrow \varprojlim C_0(|\mathcal{U}(k)|) \longrightarrow \varprojlim C(|\mathcal{U}(k)| \cup W) \longrightarrow C(W) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The map ϵ induces the following:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim C_0(|\mathcal{U}(k)|) & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim C(|\mathcal{U}(k)| \cup W) & \longrightarrow & C(W) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C_0(X) & \longrightarrow & C(X \cup W) & \longrightarrow & C(W) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Since the inclusion $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow C(W)$ factors through $\varprojlim C(|\mathcal{U}(k)| \cup W) \rightarrow C(W)$, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{K}^{*-1}(W) & \xrightarrow{T_W} & KX^*(X) \\ & \searrow \partial & \downarrow c \\ & & K^*(X). \end{array}$$

From the construction, it is easy to see that the transgression map is natural in the sense of axiom (v). \square

4.2. The coarse co-assembly map. Let X be a proper metric space. We denote by $B(\mathcal{H})$ the C^* -algebra of bounded linear operators on a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . We also denote by \mathfrak{K} the C^* -algebra of compact operators on \mathcal{H} .

DEFINITION 4.6 ([6]). We let $\bar{\mathfrak{c}}^r$ be the C^* -algebra of bounded continuous $B(\mathcal{H})$ -valued Higson functions on X such that $f(x) - f(y) \in \mathfrak{K}$ for all $x, y \in X$. The quotient $\mathfrak{c}^r(X) := \bar{\mathfrak{c}}^r(X)/C_0(X, \mathfrak{K})$ is called the reduced stable Higson corona of X .

See [6, Definition 4.3] for the unreduced stable Higson corona.

PROPOSITION 4.7 ([6]). *The assignment $X \mapsto \mathfrak{c}^r(X)$ is a contravariant functor from the coarse category to the category of C^* -algebras.*

Let $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$ be an anti-Čech system of X . We fix coarsening maps $|\mathcal{U}_n| \rightarrow |\mathcal{U}_{n+1}|$ and put $\mathcal{X} := \varprojlim |\mathcal{U}_n|$. Then we have canonical maps $\Psi_n: |U_n| \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. We put

$$\begin{aligned} C_0(\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{K}) &:= \{f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{K} : f \circ \Psi_n \in C_0(|U_n|, \mathfrak{K}) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\}; \\ \bar{\mathfrak{c}}^r(\mathcal{X}) &:= \{f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}) : f \circ \Psi_n \in \bar{\mathfrak{c}}^r(|U_n|) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Both of $C_0(\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{K})$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{c}}^r(\mathcal{X})$ are σ - C^* -algebras. We have

$$C_0(\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{K}) = \varprojlim C_0(|U_n|, \mathfrak{K}), \quad \bar{\mathfrak{c}}^r(\mathcal{X}) = \varprojlim \bar{\mathfrak{c}}^r(|U_n|).$$

Since coarsening maps $X \rightarrow |U_n|$ and $|U_n| \rightarrow |U_{n+1}|$ are coarse equivalences, Proposition 4.7 implies that the projective limit

$$\mathfrak{c}^r(\mathcal{X}) := \varprojlim \mathfrak{c}^r(|U_n|)$$

is again a C^* -algebra, which is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{c}^r(X)$. The following sequences of σ - C^* -algebras is exact ([6, Lemma 3.12]).

$$(5) \quad 0 \rightarrow C_0(\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{K}) \rightarrow \bar{\mathfrak{c}}^r(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}^r(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow 0.$$

DEFINITION 4.8 ([6]). Let X be a proper metric space. The coarse co-assembly map for X is the map

$$\mu^*: K_{*+1}(\mathfrak{c}^r(X)) \rightarrow KX^*(X)$$

that is obtained from the connecting map of the exact sequence (5).

PROPOSITION 4.9 (Emerson-Meyer, Willett). *The K -theory of the reduced stable Higson corona is a generalized coarse cohomology theory.*

PROOF. The axiom (i) follows from [6, Theorem 5.2.]. The axiom (ii) is proved in [29, Proposition 4.3.6]. \square

The Mayer-Vietoris exact sequences for both of $K_*(\mathbf{c}^r(-))$ and $KX^*(-)$ come from the general notion of the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence associated to a pull-back diagram of C^* -algebras. (See [1, Theorem 21.2.2].) Therefore, the connecting maps in both of these exact sequences and coarse co-assembly maps are naturally commutative. That is, for an ω -excisive decomposition $X = A \cup B$ of a proper metric space X , we have the following commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \longrightarrow & K_{p+1}(\mathbf{c}^r(X)) & \longrightarrow & K_{p+1}(\mathbf{c}^r(A)) \oplus K_{p+1}(\mathbf{c}^r(B)) & \longrightarrow & K_{p+1}(\mathbf{c}^r(A \cap B)) & \longrightarrow \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \longrightarrow & KX^p(X) & \longrightarrow & KX^p(A) \oplus KX^p(B) & \longrightarrow & KX^p(A \cap B) & \longrightarrow
 \end{array}$$

where both of horizontal sequences are exact and vertical maps are coarse co-assembly maps.

5. COARSE COHOMOLOGY OF HYPERBOLIC METRIC SPACES

In this section, we summarize the result of [25] and [17] from the view point of the coarse cohomology theories. Let M^* be the K -theory or the Alexander-Spanier cohomology with compact supports and let MX^* be its coarsening.

5.1. The transgression map of the open cone. Let Y be a compact subset of the unit sphere in a separable Hilbert space H . The open cone on Y , denoted $\mathcal{O}Y$, is the set of all non-negative multiples of points in Y . The closed cone $\mathcal{C}Y = \{tx \in H : t \in [0, 1], x \in Y\}$ is a compactification of $\mathcal{O}Y$ and Y is a corona of it. By axiom (v), there is a commutative diagram. (See also [26, Example 5.28].)

$$(6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
 & & MX^q(\mathcal{O}Y) \\
 & \nearrow T_Y & \downarrow c \\
 \tilde{M}^{q-1}(Y) & & M^q(\mathcal{O}Y) \\
 & \searrow \partial &
 \end{array}$$

Here T_Y is a transgression map and ∂ is the boundary map in the long exact cohomology sequence for $Y \subset \mathcal{C}Y$.

LEMMA 5.1. *The character map $c: MX^q(\mathcal{O}Y) \rightarrow M^q(\mathcal{O}Y)$ and the transgression map $T_Y: \tilde{M}^{q-1}(Y) \rightarrow MX^q(\mathcal{O}Y)$ are isomorphisms.*

PROOF. First, we consider a cohomology long exact sequence for $Y \subset \mathcal{C}Y$. Since $\mathcal{C}Y$ is homotopic to one point, the long exact sequence splits and we obtain

$$0 \rightarrow M^{q-1}(\mathcal{C}Y) \rightarrow M^{q-1}(Y) \xrightarrow{\partial} M^q(\mathcal{O}Y) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence $\partial: \tilde{M}^{q-1}(Y) \rightarrow M^q(\mathcal{O}Y)$ is an isomorphism.

Next, let $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}$ be an anti-Čech system of $\mathcal{O}Y$ constructed in the proof of [17, Proposition 4.3] (see also [9, Appendix B]). Then it is shown that:

- Each $|\mathcal{U}_i|$ is equipped with a proper coarse structure which is coarsely equivalent to $\mathcal{O}Y$, so Y is also a corona of $|\mathcal{U}_i|$. Thus we have a coarse compactification $\overline{|\mathcal{U}_i|} := |\mathcal{U}_i| \cup Y$.
- The coarsening map $|\mathcal{U}_i| \rightarrow |\mathcal{U}_{i+1}|$ covers the identity on Y .
- The extended map $\overline{|\mathcal{U}_i|} \rightarrow \overline{|\mathcal{U}_{i+1}|}$ is nullhomotopic.

By the argument similar to the proof of [17, Proposition 4.3], we can show that the boundary map ∂ gives an isomorphism between $\tilde{M}^{q-1}(Y)$ and $\text{Im}[M^q(|\mathcal{U}_{i+1}|) \rightarrow M^q(|\mathcal{U}_i|)]$. This implies $\varprojlim^1 M^q(|\mathcal{U}_i|) = 0$ and $\tilde{M}^{q-1}(Y) \cong \varprojlim M^q(|\mathcal{U}_i|)$. Thus it follows from axiom (iv) that the character map $c: MX^q(\mathcal{O}Y) \rightarrow M^q(\mathcal{O}Y)$ is an isomorphism. Now the diagram (6) shows that the transgression map T_Y is an isomorphism. \square

5.2. Hyperbolic spaces. Let X be a proper geodesic space which is hyperbolic in the sense of Gromov. Roe [25] showed that the Gromov boundary of X , denoted by ∂X , is a corona of X . Higson-Roe [17] constructed a coarse map $\mathcal{O}(\partial X) \rightarrow X$ and showed that it is a coarse homotopy equivalence. Thus by coarse homotopy invariance, we have $MX^*(X) \cong MX^*(\mathcal{O}(\partial X))$. For details, see [17, Section 8] and [29, Section 4.7]. By the same reason, we have $K_*(\mathbf{c}^r(X)) \cong K_*(\mathbf{c}^r(\mathcal{O}(\partial X)))$. Willett [29, Section 4.5] showed that the coarse co-assembly map for the open cone $\mathcal{O}(\partial X)$ is an isomorphism. Therefore we have the following.

PROPOSITION 5.2. *Let X be a proper geodesic space which is hyperbolic in the sense of Gromov. Then the coarse co-assembly map $\mu^*: K_{*+1}(\mathbf{c}^r(X)) \rightarrow KX^*(X)$ is an isomorphism.*

It is easy to see that the coarse map $\mathcal{O}(\partial X) \rightarrow X$ covers the identity on ∂X . Therefore, by Lemma 5.1, axiom (v) and coarse homotopy invariance, we have the following.

COROLLARY 5.3. *Let X be a non-compact proper geodesic space which is hyperbolic in the sense of Gromov. The transgression maps*

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\partial X} &: KX_*(X) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_{*-1}(\partial X); \\ T_{\partial X} &: \tilde{K}^{*-1}(\partial X) \rightarrow KX^*(X); \\ T_{\partial X} &: \tilde{H}^{*-1}(\partial X) \rightarrow HX^*(X). \end{aligned}$$

are isomorphisms.

6. RELATIVELY HYPERBOLIC GROUPS

Let G be a finitely generated group with a finite family of infinite subgroups $\mathbb{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_k\}$. Groves and Manning [15] introduced an *augmented space* on which G acts properly discontinuously by isometries. The augmented space characterizes hyperbolicity of G relative to \mathbb{P} . We review the construction and show that there exists a weak coarsening of the augmented space for cohomology theories.

REMARK 6.1. Suppose that G is hyperbolic relative to \mathbb{P} . If $P = \emptyset$, then G is hyperbolic and thus Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 follow from Proposition 5.2 and Corollary 5.3. If $G \in \mathbb{P}$ then $\mathbb{P} = \{G\}$, thus Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 are trivial. It is well known that all elements are of infinite index of G if $G \notin \mathbb{P}$.

From now on, we assume that \mathbb{P} is not empty and all elements of \mathbb{P} are of infinite index in G .

6.1. The augmented space.

DEFINITION 6.2. Let (P, d) be a proper metric space. *The combinatorial horoball* based on P , denoted by $\mathcal{H}(P)$, is the graph defined as follows:

- (a) $\mathcal{H}(P)^{(0)} = P \times (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})$.
- (b) $\mathcal{H}(P)^{(1)}$ contains the following two type of edges:
 - (i) For each $l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $p, q \in P$, if $0 < d(p, q) \leq 2^l$ then there is a *horizontal edge* connecting (p, l) and (q, l) .
 - (ii) For each $l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $p \in P$, there is a *vertical edge* connecting (p, l) and $(p, l + 1)$.

We endow $\mathcal{H}(P)$ with the graph metric.

When P is a discrete proper metric space, $\mathcal{H}(P)$ is a proper geodesic space which is hyperbolic in the sense of Gromov. (See [15, Theorem 3.8]). It is easy to see that $\mathcal{H}(P)$ is coarsely flasque. The following is used in Section 7.

LEMMA 6.3. *Let P be a proper metric space. We suppose that P is discrete. Then the Gromov compactification of the combinatorial horoball $\mathcal{H}(P)$ is a one-point compactification of P . Thus the Gromov boundary of $\mathcal{H}(P)$ consists of one point, called the parabolic point of $\mathcal{H}(P)$.*

PROOF. See Lemma 3.11. in [15]. □

Let G be a finitely generated group with a finite family of infinite subgroups $\mathbb{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_k\}$. We take a finite generating set \mathcal{S} for G . We assume that \mathcal{S} is symmetrized, so that $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}^{-1}$. We endow G with the left-invariant word metric $d_{\mathcal{S}}$ with respect to \mathcal{S} .

DEFINITION 6.4. Let G and \mathbb{P} be as above. An order of the cosets of (G, \mathbb{P}) is a sequence $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $g_i = e$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, and for each $r \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, the map $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow G/P_r : a \mapsto g_{ak+r}P_r$ is bijective. Thus the set of all cosets $\bigsqcup_{r=1}^k G/P_r$ is indexed by the map $\mathbb{N} \ni i \mapsto g_iP_{(i)}$. Here (i) denotes the remainder of i divided by k .

We fix an order $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the cosets of (G, \mathbb{P}) . Each coset $g_iP_{(i)}$ has a proper metric d_i which is the restriction of $d_{\mathcal{S}}$. Let Γ be the Cayley graph of (G, \mathcal{S}) . There exists a natural embedding $\psi_i : \mathcal{H}(g_iP_{(i)}; \{0\}) \hookrightarrow \Gamma$ such that $\psi_i(x, 0) = x$ for all $x \in g_iP_{(i)}$.

DEFINITION 6.5. *The augmented space $X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$ is obtained by pasting $\mathcal{H}(g_iP_{(i)})$ to Γ by ψ_i for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus we can write it as follows:*

$$X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S}) := \Gamma \cup \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}(g_iP_{(i)}).$$

REMARK 6.6. The vertex set of $X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$ can naturally be identified with the disjoint union of G and the set of 3-tuple (i, p, l) , where $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in g_iP_{(i)}$, and $l \in \mathbb{N}$. We sometimes denote $g \in g_iP_{(i)}$ by $(i, g, 0)$ for simplicity.

DEFINITION 6.7. A group G is hyperbolic relative to \mathbb{P} if the augmented space $X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$ is hyperbolic in the sense of Gromov.

Groves and Manning [15] showed that the above definition is equivalent to the original one by Gromov.

6.2. Weak coarsening of relatively hyperbolic groups. In this section, we construct a topological counterpart of the augmented space, which is the key to the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. Let G be a finitely generated group which is hyperbolic relative to $\mathbb{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_k\}$. Here we assume that for $r \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, each P_r admits a finite P_r -simplicial complex $\underline{E}P_r$ which is a universal space for proper actions. By [9, Appendix A], there exists a finite G -simplicial complex $\underline{E}G$ which is a universal space for proper actions such that all $\underline{E}P_r$ are embedded in $\underline{E}G$. We can assume that G is naturally embedded in the set of vertices of $\underline{E}G$ and $g_i P_{(i)}$ is embedded in $g_i \underline{E}P_{(i)}$.

We define an embedding $\eta_i: g_i \underline{E}P_{(i)} \times \{0\} \hookrightarrow \underline{E}G$ as $\eta_i(x, 0) = x$. We define a space $EX(G, \mathbb{P})$ in LCSH by pasting $g_i \underline{E}P_{(i)} \times [0, \infty)$ to $\underline{E}G$ by η_i for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus we can write it as follows:

$$EX(G, \mathbb{P}) := \underline{E}G \cup \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (g_i \underline{E}P_{(i)} \times [0, \infty)).$$

In the rest of this section, we show that $EX(G, \mathbb{P})$ is a weak coarsening of $X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$, that is, $MX^*(X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})) \cong M^*(EX(G, \mathbb{P}))$. Here M^* is the K -theory K^* or the Alexander-Spanier cohomology with compact support H_c^* .

We can regard $EX(G, \mathbb{P})$ as a metric simplicial complex in the sense of [17, Definition 3.1]. However, the bounded coarse structure associated to this metric is not coarsely equivalent to $X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$. Therefore we equip $EX(G, \mathbb{P})$ with a pull-back coarse structure as follows.

Let $X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})^{(0)}$ denote the 0-skeletons of $X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$. Since G and P_r for $r = 1, \dots, k$ are embedded respectively into $\underline{E}G$ and $\underline{E}P_r$, there is a natural embedding $\iota: X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})^{(0)} \hookrightarrow EX(G, \mathbb{P})$. We define a left inverse φ of ι as follows. We take a finite subcomplex $\Delta \subset \underline{E}G$ containing a fundamental domain of $\underline{E}G$. We may assume that $\Delta_r := \Delta \cap \underline{E}P_r$ contains a fundamental domain of $\underline{E}P_r$ for $r = 1, \dots, k$ without loss of generality. Then we can write $EX(G, \mathbb{P})$ as follows.

$$EX(G, \mathbb{P}) = \bigcup_{g \in G} g\Delta \cup \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{h \in P_{(i)}} g_i h \Delta_{(i)} \times (0, \infty).$$

For every $x \in \underline{E}G$, we choose $g_x \in G$ such that $x \in g_x \Delta$ and put $\varphi(x) := g_x \in \Gamma$. For $(x, t) \in g_i h \Delta_{(i)} \times (0, \infty)$, we put $\varphi(x, t) := (i, g_i h, [t]) \in \mathcal{H}(g_i P_{(i)})$ where $[t]$ denotes the integral part of t . We equip $EX(G, \mathbb{P})$ with a pullback coarse structure by φ . It is easy to see that ι and φ satisfy the conditions in Proposition 2.9 and Proposition 2.11. Therefore $EX(G, \mathbb{P})$ is a proper coarse space which is coarsely equivalent to $X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$. By the

construction, \underline{EG} and \underline{EP}_i with the restricted coarse structure are respectively coarsely equivalent to G and P_i . Since G is finitely generated, \underline{EG} has bounded geometry in the sense of [27, Definition 3.9] and is uniformly contractible in the sense of [27, Definition 5.24], and so does \underline{EP}_i .

In Section 2.3 and Section 3.1 of [9], the followings are defined.

- (a) An anti-Čech system $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_n$ of $X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$.
- (b) Coarsening maps $\alpha_n: \mathcal{U}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{n+1}$.
- (c) Subsets $\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{Y}_n, \mathcal{Z}_n$ of \mathcal{U}_n .
- (d) An anti-Čech system $\{E\mathcal{U}_n\}_n$ of $EX(G, \mathbb{P})$ in the sense of [27, Definition 5.36].
- (e) Simplicial maps $\phi_n: E\mathcal{U}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{n+1}$.

A partition of unity defines a continuous map $\psi: EX(G, \mathbb{P}) \rightarrow E\mathcal{U}_1$. For $n \geq 3$, set $F_n := \alpha_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ \alpha_2 \circ \phi_1 \circ \psi: EX(G, \mathbb{P}) \rightarrow |\mathcal{U}_n|$. We remark that the image of the restriction of F_n to \underline{EG} lies on $|\mathcal{X}_n|$. Then we have the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\longrightarrow & M^p(|\mathcal{U}_{n+1}|) & \longrightarrow & M^p(|\mathcal{X}_{n+1}|) \oplus M^p(|\mathcal{Y}_{n+1}|) & \longrightarrow & M^p(|\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}|) & \longrightarrow \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
\longrightarrow & M^p(|\mathcal{U}_n|) & \longrightarrow & M^p(|\mathcal{X}_n|) \oplus M^p(|\mathcal{Y}_n|) & \longrightarrow & M^p(|\mathcal{Z}_n|) & \longrightarrow \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
\longrightarrow & M^p(EX(G, \mathbb{P})) & \longrightarrow & M^p(\underline{EG}) & \longrightarrow & M^p(\bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} g_i \underline{EP}_{(i)}) & \longrightarrow .
\end{array}$$

Here a map $M^p(|\mathcal{X}_n|) \oplus M^p(|\mathcal{Y}_n|) \rightarrow M^p(\underline{EG})$ is given by $(a, b) \mapsto F_n^*(a)$. Since \underline{EG} and \underline{EP}_i are of bounded geometry, uniformly contractible coarse spaces, by the same way as in the proof of [17, Proposition 3.8], taking subsequence if necessary, we can show that $\text{Im}[M^*(|\mathcal{X}_{n+1}|) \rightarrow M^*(|\mathcal{X}_n|)] \cong M^*(\underline{EG})$ and $\text{Im}[M^*(|\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}|) \rightarrow M^*(|\mathcal{Z}_n|)] \cong M^*(\bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} g_i \underline{EP}_{(i)})$ for all $n \geq 1$. By the same argument as in the proof of [9, Lemma 2.7], we can show that $\text{Im}[M^*(|\mathcal{Y}_{n+1}|) \rightarrow M^*(|\mathcal{Y}_n|)] = 0$. Thus by diagram chasing, we have $\text{Im}[M^*(|\mathcal{U}_{n+1}|) \rightarrow M^*(|\mathcal{U}_n|)] \cong M^*(EX(G, \mathbb{P}))$ for all $n \geq 1$. Therefore we have $\varprojlim^1 M^*(|\mathcal{U}_n|) = 0$ and $\varprojlim M^*(|\mathcal{U}_n|) \cong M^*(EX(G, \mathbb{P}))$. By axiom (iv), we have the following conclusion.

PROPOSITION 6.8. *The space $EX(G, \mathbb{P})$ is a weak coarsening of $X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$, that is, $MX^*(X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})) \cong M^*(EX(G, \mathbb{P}))$.*

We use the following notations introduced in [9]

$$\begin{aligned} X_n &:= \Gamma \cup \bigcup_{i>n} \mathcal{H}(g_i P_{(i)}); \\ X_\infty &:= \bigcap_{n>0} X_n; \\ EX_n &:= \underline{EG} \cup \bigcup_{i>n} (g_i \underline{EP}_{(i)} \times [0, \infty)); \\ EX_\infty &:= \bigcap_{n>0} EX_n. \end{aligned}$$

We remark that $X_0 = X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$, $X_\infty = \Gamma$, $EX_0 = EX$ and $EX_\infty = \underline{EG}$. We note that the definition of X_n is slightly different from the one in [9], that is, the index is shifted by one. By the Mayer-Vietoris argument and Proposition 6.8, we have the following

PROPOSITION 6.9. *The following is commutative for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} MX^*(X_n) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & M^*(EX_n) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ MX^*(X_{n+1}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & M^*(EX_{n+1}). \end{array}$$

By the continuity of M^* , we have $\varinjlim M^*(EX_n) \cong M^*(\underline{EG})$. Since \underline{EG} is a finite model, we have $MX^*(G) \cong M^*(\underline{EG})$. Hence Proposition 6.9 implies the following.

COROLLARY 6.10. *We have an isomorphism $\varinjlim MX^*(X_n) \cong MX^*(G)$.*

6.3. Coarse assembly map and its dual. In this section, we give a proof of Theorem 1.1. The first statement is proved in [9]. The second statement is proved by a similar way. We suppose that \mathbb{P} satisfies the condition in Theorem 1.1, that is, the coarse co-assembly map is an isomorphism for all $P \in \mathbb{P}$.

By Proposition 5.2, the coarse co-assembly map $\mu^*: K_{*+1}(\mathbf{c}^r(X_0)) \rightarrow KX^*(X_0)$ is an isomorphism. Since $X_n = X_{n+1} \cup \mathcal{H}(g_{n+1} P_{(n+1)})$ is an ω -excisive decomposition, by using the Mayer-Vietoris sequences, we can show that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the coarse co-assembly map $\mu^*: K_{*+1}(\mathbf{c}^r(X_n)) \rightarrow KX^*(X_n)$ is an isomorphism. Finally, by the continuity of the K -theory and Corollary 6.10 we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varinjlim K_{*+1}(\mathbf{c}^r(X_n)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \varinjlim KX^*(X_n) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ K_{*+1}(\mathbf{c}^r(G)) & \longrightarrow & KX^*(G). \end{array}$$

The following is a somewhat converse statement of Theorem 1.1. However, we assume nothing on universal spaces for proper actions.

PROPOSITION 6.11. *Let G be a group which is hyperbolic relative to \mathbb{P} .*

- (a) *If $\mu_* : KX_*(G) \cong K_*(C^*(G))$, then $\mu_* : KX_*(P) \cong K_*(C^*(P))$ for every $P \in \mathbb{P}$.*
- (b) *If $\mu^* : K_{*-1}(\mathfrak{c}^r(G)) \cong KX^*(G)$, then $\mu^* : K_{*-1}(\mathfrak{c}^r(P)) \cong KX^*(P)$ for every $P \in \mathbb{P}$.*

PROOF. We fix $r \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Set $A := \Gamma \cup \bigcup_{i \neq r} \mathcal{H}(g_i P_i)$ and $B := \Gamma \cup \mathcal{H}(g_r P_r)$. Then $X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S}) = A \cup B$ and $B = \Gamma \cup \mathcal{H}(g_r P_r)$ are ω -excisive decompositions. By the Mayer-Vietoris arguments for $A \cup B$, we have $\mu_* : KX_*(B) \rightarrow K_*(C^*(B))$ and $\mu^* : K_*(\mathfrak{c}^r(B)) \rightarrow KX^*(B)$ are both isomorphisms. By the Mayer-Vietoris arguments for $B = \Gamma \cup \mathcal{H}(g_r P_r)$, we have $\mu_* : KX_*(\Gamma \cap \mathcal{H}(g_r P_r)) \rightarrow K_*(C^*(\Gamma \cap \mathcal{H}(g_r P_r)))$ and $\mu^* : K_*(\mathfrak{c}^r(\Gamma \cap \mathcal{H}(g_r P_r))) \rightarrow KX^*(\Gamma \cap \mathcal{H}(g_r P_r))$ are both isomorphisms. Here we use the fact that $\mathcal{H}(g_r P_r)$ is coarsely flasque. Since $\Gamma \cap \mathcal{H}(g_r P_r)$ is coarsely equivalent to P_r , we have the conclusion. \square

7. CORONA OF RELATIVELY HYPERBOLIC GROUPS

In this section, we construct a corona of a relatively hyperbolic group. Here we sketch the construction. Let (G, \mathbb{P}) be a relatively hyperbolic group. We fix a generating set \mathcal{S} of G and an order $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the cosets of (G, \mathbb{P}) in the sense of Definition 6.4. The Bowditch boundary $\partial X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$ contains no information on a maximal parabolic subgroup P because all orbits by P go to a single parabolic point $s \in \partial X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$. We remove the parabolic point s and equip $\partial X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S}) \setminus \{s\}$ with a coarse structure which is coarsely equivalent to P . Let (W, ζ) be a corona of P . Then (W, ζ) is also a corona of $\partial X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S}) \setminus \{s\}$. Thus we obtain a blown-up $\partial X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S}) \setminus \{s\} \cup W$. Repeating this procedure to all parabolic points, we obtain a corona ∂X_∞ of G .

7.1. A coarse structure on the complement of a parabolic point. Let G be a group which is hyperbolic relative to \mathbb{P} . For $p, x, y \in X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$, we denote by $(x|y)_p$ the Gromov product

$$(x|y)_p := \frac{1}{2}(d(x, p) + d(y, p) - d(x, y)).$$

We denote by $[x, y]$ a geodesic connecting x and y . Since $X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$ is hyperbolic in the sense of Gromov, there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that every geodesic triangle is δ_0 -thin, that is, for any $x, y, z \in X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$, and for any $u \in [x, y]$ and $v \in [x, z]$, if $d(x, u) = d(x, v) \leq (y|z)_x$, then $d(u, v) \leq \delta_0$. For details, see [12, Chapter 2].

Two geodesic rays in $X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$ are said to be equivalent if the Hausdorff distance of their images is finite. For a geodesic ray $l: [0, \infty) \rightarrow X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$, we denote by $[l]$ the equivalent class of l . We also write $l(\infty) = [l]$. The Gromov boundary of $X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$, denoted by $\partial X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$, consists of equivalent classes of geodesic rays. It carries a natural topology and $\overline{X}(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S}) := X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S}) \cup \partial X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$ is a compactification of $X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$. The Gromov product is extended on $\overline{X}(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$ as follows. For $u, v \in \overline{X}(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$ and $p \in X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$, we put

$$(u|v)_p := \sup \liminf_{i,j \rightarrow \infty} (x_i|y_j)_p$$

where the supremum is taken over all sequences $(x_i)_{i \geq 1}$ and $(y_i)_{i \geq 1}$ tending to u and v , respectively. For details, see [12, Chapter 7]. Let $l_0, l_1: [0, \infty) \rightarrow X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$ be geodesic rays such that $p := l_0(0) = l_1(0)$. Then it is easy to see that $(l_0(s)|l_1(t))_p$ is non-decreasing for all $s, t \geq 0$, thus we have $([l_0]|[l_1])_p \geq (l_0(s)|l_1(t))_p$ for all $s, t \geq 0$. The following is known.

LEMMA 7.1. *In the above setting, there exists t_0 such that for all $s, t \geq t_0$, we have $(l_0(s)|l_1(t))_p \geq ([l_0]|[l_1])_p - 3\delta_0$.*

PROOF. The lemma follows immediately from [12, Remark 7.2.8]. \square

The augmented space have the following *tautness*.

LEMMA 7.2. *The augmented space $X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$ is taut, in fact, for any vertex $x \in X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$, there exists a bi-infinite geodesic $l: (-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$ such that x lies on l .*

PROOF. Take any vertex $(i, g, n) \in X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$. (See Remark 6.6, we often use this notation.) We choose $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathcal{H}(g_i P_{(i)}) \cap \mathcal{H}(g_j P_{(j)}) = \emptyset$. Then we choose a shortest geodesic $\gamma: [0, a] \rightarrow X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$ connecting $\mathcal{H}(g_i P_{(i)})$ and $\mathcal{H}(g_j P_{(j)})$. We remark that its end points $p := l(0)$ and $q := l(a)$ lie respectively on $g_i P_{(i)}$ and $g_j P_{(j)}$. We take the vertical ray $\gamma_-: [0, \infty) \rightarrow X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$ from p to the parabolic point s_i of $\mathcal{H}(g_i P_{(i)})$. Also we take the vertical ray $\gamma_+: [0, \infty) \rightarrow X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$ from q to parabolic point point s_j of $\mathcal{H}(g_j P_{(j)})$. Then $\gamma_-([0, \infty)) \cup l([0, a]) \cup \gamma_+([0, \infty))$ is a bi-infinite geodesic from s_i to s_j . There exists $h \in G$ such that $(i, g, n) = (i, hp, n)$. Then (i, g, n) lies on the bi-infinite geodesic $h(\gamma_-([0, \infty)) \cup l([0, a]) \cup \gamma_+([0, \infty)))$. \square

Let N_{δ_0} be an integer greater than $\delta_0 + 1$. We fix $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and put $X^i := \Gamma \cup \bigcup_{j \neq i} \mathcal{H}(g_j P_{(j)})$. Set $e_i := (i, g_i, N_{\delta_0})$ as in remark 6.6. There exists a metric ρ_i on $\partial X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$ which is

compatible with the topology and satisfying that there exists constants $A, C > 0$ such that for any $u, v \in \partial X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$, we have $A^{-1}e^{-C(u|v)e_i} \leq \rho_i(u, v) \leq Ae^{-C(u|v)e_i}$.

Let s_i be the parabolic point of the combinatorial horoball $\mathcal{H}(g_i P_{(i)})$. Set $\hat{P}_i := \partial X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S}) \setminus \{s_i\}$. We equip \hat{P}_i with the subspace topology, as a subspace of $\partial X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$. Let $l: \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$ be a geodesic ray such that $l(0) = e_i$ and $l(\infty) \neq s_i$. We define $n_i(l) := \max\{n : l(n) \in g_i P_{(i)}\}$. By [15, Lemma 3.10], we can assume that geodesic segments $l([0, \infty)) \cap \mathcal{H}(g_i P_{(i)})$ consist of at most two vertical segments and a single horizontal segment of length at most 3.

LEMMA 7.3. *For any vertex $x \in X^i$, there exists a geodesic ray $l_x: [0, \infty) \rightarrow X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$ and $t_x \in [0, \infty)$ such that $l_x(0) = e_i$, $l_x(\infty) \neq s_i$, $l_x(t_x) \in X^i$ and $d(x, l_x(t_x)) \leq 2\delta_0$.*

PROOF. By Lemma 7.2, there exists a geodesic $l: (-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$ and $s_x \in (-\infty, \infty)$ such that $x = l(s_x)$. Let $l_1, l_2: [0, \infty) \rightarrow X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$ be geodesic rays such that $l_1(0) = l_2(0) = e_i$, $l_1(\infty) = l(-\infty)$, and $l_2(\infty) = l(\infty)$. We consider a geodesic triangle $l_1([0, \infty)) \cup l((-\infty, \infty)) \cup l_2([0, \infty))$. We can assume without loss of generality that $l(s_x)$ is contained in a δ_0 -neighborhood of $l_1([0, \infty))$. Therefore there exists $t'_x \in [0, \infty)$ such that $d(l(s_x), l_1(t'_x)) \leq \delta_0$. Suppose that $l_1(\infty) = s_i$. Then $l_1([0, \infty)) \subset \mathcal{H}(g_i P_{(i)}; [N_{\delta_0}, \infty))$, so x lies on the δ_0 -neighborhood of $\mathcal{H}(g_i P_{(i)}; [N_{\delta_0}, \infty))$. This contradicts that $N_{\delta_0} > \delta_0$. Thus $l_1(\infty) \neq s_i$. Set $l_x := l_1$. Then we have $d(x, l_x(t'_x)) \leq \delta_0$. If $l_x(t'_x) \in X^i$, then set $t_x := t'_x$, otherwise set $t_x := n_i(l_x)$. Then $d(x, l_x(t_x)) \leq 2\delta_0$. \square

In the rest of this section, we fix the following notations. For any vertex $x \in X^i$, we choose a geodesic ray l_x and $t_x \in [0, \infty)$ satisfying the statement of Lemma 7.3. For any point $u \in \hat{P}_i$, we choose a geodesic ray l^u such that $l^u(0) = e_i$ and $u = [l^u]$.

LEMMA 7.4. *Let $x \in X^i$ be a vertex. Set $u = [l_x]$. There exists $s_x \in [0, \infty)$ such that $l^u(s_x) \in X^i$ and $d(x, l^u(s_x)) \leq 4\delta_0$.*

PROOF. The Hausdorff distance of l_x and l^u is at most δ_0 . Thus there exists $s'_x \in [0, \infty)$ such that $d(l_x(t_x), l^u(s'_x)) \leq \delta_0$. If $l^u(s'_x) \in X^i$, we put $s_x = s'_x$, otherwise we put $s_x = n_i(l^u)$. Then by Lemma 7.3, $d(x, l^u(s_x)) \leq 4\delta_0$. \square

LEMMA 7.5. *Let $l_1: [0, a] \rightarrow X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$ and $l_2: [0, b] \rightarrow X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$ be geodesics such that $l_1(0) = l_2(0) = e_i$, and both of $l_1(a)$ and $l_1(b)$ lie on X^i . Then*

$$d(l_1(n_i(l_1)), l_2(n_i(l_2))) \leq d(l_1(a), l_2(b)) + 2\delta_0.$$

PROOF. Set $x := l_1(a)$, $y := l_2(b)$, $x' := l_1(n_i(l_1))$ and $y' := l_2(n_i(l_2))$. Here we remark that $x', y' \in g_i P_{(i)}$. Let r be an integer such that $d((i, x', r), (i, y', r)) \leq 1$. We choose g_{xy} such that $d((i, x', r), (i, g_{xy}, r)) = d((i, y', r), (i, g_{xy}, r)) = 1$. Set $p := (i, g_{xy}, r)$. We define $[p, x]$ as a geodesic consisting of a horizontal edge $\{(i, g_{xy}, r), (i, x', r)\}$, a vertical geodesic $[(i, x', r), (i, x', 0)]$ and $l_1([n_i(l_1), a])$. We also define a geodesic $[p, y]$ similarly. We consider a geodesic triangle $[p, x] \cup [x, y] \cup [p, y]$, which is δ_0 -thin. Here we remark that $d(p, x') = d(p, y') = r + 1$. If $r + 1 \leq (x|y)_p$, then

$$d(x', y') \leq \delta_0.$$

If $r + 1 > (x|y)_p$, then $d(x, x') \leq (y|p)_x$ since $(x|y)_p + (y|p)_x = d(p, x)$. Therefore, for a point $z \in [x, y]$ with $d(x, z) = d(x, x')$, we have $d(x', z) \leq \delta_0$. By the same reason, for a point $w \in [x, y]$ with $d(y, w) = d(y, y')$, we have $d(y', w) \leq \delta_0$. Since $d(z, w) \leq d(x, y)$, we have

$$d(x', y') \leq d(x, y) + 2\delta_0.$$

□

We define a map $L_i: \hat{P}_i \rightarrow g_i P_{(i)}$ and $F_i: X^i \rightarrow \hat{P}_i$ as follows:

$$L_i(u) := l^u(n_i(l^u)) \text{ for } u \in \hat{P}_i;$$

$$F_i(x) := [l_x] \text{ for } x \in X^i.$$

LEMMA 7.6. *For any $x \in g_i P_{(i)}$, we have $d(x, L_i(F_i(x))) \leq 6\delta_0$.*

PROOF. Let $x \in g_i P_{(i)}$. Set $u = [l_x]$. By Lemma 7.4, there exists $s_x \in [0, \infty)$ such that $d(x, l^u(s_x)) \leq 4\delta_0$ and $l^u(s_x) \in X^i$. Then by Lemma 7.5, $d(x, L_i([l_x])) \leq d(x, l^u(s_x)) + 2\delta_0 \leq 6\delta_0$. □

LEMMA 7.7. *The composite $L_i \circ F_i$ is a large scale Lipschitz map, in fact, for any $x, y \in X^i$, we have*

$$d(L_i \circ F_i(x), L_i \circ F_i(y)) \leq d(x, y) + 10\delta_0.$$

PROOF. Let $x, y \in X^i$. Set $u = [l_x]$ and $v = [l_y]$. Then $L_i \circ F_i(x) = l^u(n_i(l^u))$ and $L_i \circ F_i(y) = l^v(n_i(l^v))$. By Lemma 7.4, there exist $s_x, s_y > 0$ such that $d(x, l^u(s_x)) \leq 4\delta_0$ and $d(y, l^v(s_y)) \leq 4\delta_0$. Then by Lemma 7.5,

$$d(L_i \circ F_i(x), L_i \circ F_i(y)) \leq d(x, y) + 10\delta_0.$$

□

We equip \hat{P}_i with the pullback coarse structure by L_i . We remark that $\hat{E} \subset \hat{P}_i \times \hat{P}_i$ is controlled if and only if there exists $R > 0$ such that for any $(u, v) \in \hat{E}$, we have $d(L_i(u), L_i(v)) < R$.

LEMMA 7.8. *Let $l: [0, \infty) \rightarrow X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$ be a geodesic such that $l(0) = e_i$ and $l(\infty) \neq s_i$. Then for any $r > 0$, there exists t_r such that for all $t \geq t_r$, we have $d(l(t), \mathcal{H}(g_i P_{(i)})) > r$.*

PROOF. Suppose that there exists $r > 0$ such that $d(l(t), \mathcal{H}(g_i P_{(i)})) \leq r$ for all $t \geq 0$. Since the r -neighborhood of $\mathcal{H}(g_i P_{(i)})$ is coarsely equivalent to $\mathcal{H}(g_i P_{(i)})$, by Proposition 6.3, $l(t)$ converges to a parabolic point s_i as t goes to infinity. This contradicts the assumption. \square

LEMMA 7.9. *\hat{P}_i is a proper coarse space.*

PROOF. We show that L_i satisfies the conditions in Proposition 2.11. Let $K \subset g_i P_{(i)}$ be a compact set. Fix $R > 0$ such that $K \subset B(e_i; R)$. Here $B(e_i; R)$ denotes a closed ball in $X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$ of radius R centered at e_i . Let $u \in \hat{P}_i$. If $L_i(u) \in B(e_i; R)$, then $(u|s_i)_{e_i} \leq R$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_i^{-1}(K) &\subset \{u \in \hat{P}_i : d(e_i, L_i(u)) \leq R\} \\ &\subset \{u \in \hat{P}_i : \rho_i(s_i, u) \geq A^{-1}e^{-CR}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $L_i^{-1}(K)$ is relatively compact.

We fix $u \in \hat{P}_i$. Since $u \neq s_i$, by Lemma 7.8, there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that for all $t > t_0$, we have $d(l^u(t), \mathcal{H}(g_i P_{(i)})) > 2\delta_0$. Let $v \in \hat{P}_i$ such that $(u|v)_{e_i} > t_0 + 3\delta_0$. By Lemma 7.1, there exists $s > 0$ such that $(l^u(s), l^v(s))_{e_i} \geq (u|v)_{e_i} - 3\delta_0$. Set $\tau = (l^u(s)|l^v(s))_{e_i}$. Since $\tau > t_0$, we have $d(l^u(\tau), \mathcal{H}(g_i P_{(i)})) > 2\delta_0$. Since a geodesic triangle

$$l^u([0, s]) \cup [l^u(s), l^v(s)] \cup l^v([0, s])$$

is δ_0 -thin, we have $d(l^u(\tau), l^v(\tau)) \leq \delta_0$. Thus, $d(l^v(\tau), \mathcal{H}(g_i P_{(i)})) > \delta_0$. Then we can apply Lemma 7.5 to l^u and l^v , so we have $d(L_i(u), L_i(v)) < 3\delta_0$. Thus, the inverse image $L_i^{-1}(B(L_i(u), 3\delta_0))$ contains a neighborhood $\{v \in \hat{P}_i : (u|v)_{e_i} > t_0 + 3\delta_0\}$ of u . Therefore L_i is pseudocontinuous. \square

PROPOSITION 7.10. *\hat{P}_i and $g_i P_{(i)}$ are coarsely equivalent.*

PROOF. We define a map $H_i: g_i P_{(i)} \rightarrow \hat{P}_i$ as the restriction of F_i , that is, $H_i(x) := [l_x]$ for $x \in g_i P_{(i)}$. Then by Lemma 7.6, the composite $L_i \circ H_i$ is close to the identity. So by Proposition 2.9, \hat{P}_i and $g_i P_{(i)}$ are coarsely equivalent. \square

PROPOSITION 7.11. *For any Higson function $f \in C_h(\hat{P}_i)$, the pullback $F_i^* f := f \circ F_i$ is a Higson function on X^i .*

PROOF. Let $f \in C_h(\hat{P}_i)$ be a Higson function. We fix $\epsilon > 0$ and $R > 0$. Let $\hat{E} := \{(u, v) : d(L_i(u), L_i(v)) < R + 10\delta_0\}$ be a controlled set of \hat{P}_i . There exists $S > 0$ such that for a bounded set $\hat{K} := \{u \in \hat{P}_i : d(e_i, L_i(u)) < S\}$ and for any $(u, v) \in \hat{E}$,

$$(7) \quad \text{if } (u, v) \notin \hat{K} \times \hat{K} \text{ then } |\mathbf{d}f(u, v)| < \epsilon.$$

On the other hand, since \hat{P}_i is a proper coarse space, \hat{K} is relatively compact. Thus the restriction $f|_{\hat{K}}$ is uniformly continuous on \hat{K} , so there exists $\theta > 0$ such that

$$(8) \quad \text{for any } u, v \in \hat{K}, \text{ if } \rho_i(u, v) < \theta \text{ then } |\mathbf{d}f(u, v)| < \epsilon.$$

Let $E_R := \{(x, y) : d(x, y) < R\}$ be a controlled set of X^i . By Lemma 7.7, we have $F_i(E_R) \subset \hat{E}$. Set

$$K' := \{x \in X^i : d(e_i, L_i \circ F_i(x)) < S\};$$

$$T := -\frac{1}{C} \log\left(\frac{\theta}{A}\right) + R + 4\delta_0;$$

$$K := B(e_i, T).$$

We remark that K' is unbounded. Let $(x, y) \in E_R$ such that $(x, y) \notin K \times K$. We first assume $(x, y) \notin K' \times K'$, then $(F_i(x), F_i(y)) \notin \hat{K} \times \hat{K}$. Thus by (7) we have $|\mathbf{d}F_i^* f(x, y)| = |\mathbf{d}f(F_i(x), F_i(y))| < \epsilon$. Next, we assume $(x, y) \in K' \times K'$. Since Lemma 7.3 implies

$$([l_x]||[l_y])_{e_i} \geq (l_x(t_x)||l_y(t_y))_{e_i} \geq T - R - 4\delta_0,$$

we have $\rho_i([l_x], [l_y]) < Ae^{-C(T-R-4\delta_0)} = \theta$. Then by (8) we have $|\mathbf{d}F_i^* f(x, y)| < \epsilon$. \square

By Proposition 7.11, F_i extends to a continuous map

$$hF_i: hX^i \rightarrow h\hat{P}_i.$$

Since the Gromov boundary is a corona, there exists a continuous map

$$\alpha: hX(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \overline{X}(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$$

which is the identity on $X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$. Since coarse embedding $X^i \hookrightarrow X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$ induces an embedding $\nu X^i \hookrightarrow \nu X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$, we regard νX^i as a subspace of $\nu X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$. (See Proposition 2.15.)

LEMMA 7.12. *For any $y \in \nu X^i$, if $y \notin \alpha^{-1}(s_i)$ then we have $\alpha(y) = hF_i(y) \in \hat{P}_i$.*

PROOF. Let $y \in \nu X^i \setminus \alpha^{-1}(s_i)$. We choose a net $\{y_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ in X^i such that $y_\lambda \rightarrow y$. Then $\alpha(y_\lambda) \rightarrow \alpha(y)$. The restriction of α to $X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$ is the identity, so

$$(F_i(y_\lambda)|\alpha(y))_{e_i} \geq (y_\lambda|\alpha(y))_{e_i} = (\alpha(y_\lambda)|\alpha(y))_{e_i} \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus $F_i(y_\lambda) \rightarrow \alpha(y)$ in \hat{P}_i , so we have $hF_i(y) = \alpha(y)$. \square

7.2. Blow-up of parabolic points. In this section, we construct a corona of

$$X_n = \Gamma \cup \bigcup_{i > n} \mathcal{H}(g_i P_{(i)}).$$

For $r = 1, \dots, k$, let (W_r, ζ_r) be a corona of P_r . For $i \in \mathbb{N}$, set $W_i := W_{(i)}$ and $\zeta_i := \zeta_{(i)} \circ \nu g_i^{-1}$, where $\nu g_i^{-1}: \nu(g_i P_{(i)}) \rightarrow \nu P_{(i)}$ is an homeomorphism induced by an isometry $g_i P_{(i)} \ni x \mapsto g_i^{-1}x \in P_{(i)}$. Then (W_i, ζ_i) is a corona of $g_i P_{(i)}$. By Proposition 7.10, $\nu \hat{P}_i$ is homeomorphic to $\nu g_i P_{(i)}$, so we identify these two spaces. Thus we have a corona (W_i, ζ_i) of \hat{P}_i and a compact metrizable space $\hat{P}_i \cup W_i$. We recall that $\bar{\zeta}_i: h\hat{P}_i \rightarrow \hat{P}_i \cup W_i$ denotes an extension of ζ_i by the identity on \hat{P}_i . (See Section 2.2.)

We construct a corona of X_n by replacing s_i by W_i as follows. Set

$$(9) \quad \partial X_n(W_i; i = 1, \dots, n) := \partial X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S}) \setminus \{s_1, \dots, s_n\} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n W_i.$$

We abbreviate $\partial X_n(W_i; i = 1, \dots, n)$ to ∂X_n . We equip ∂X_n with the weakest topology such that the maps $\sigma_i: \partial X_n \rightarrow \hat{P}_i \cup W_i$ are continuous for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Here $\sigma_i(x) = s_j$ if $x \in W_j$ with $j \neq i$ and $\sigma_i(x) = x$ otherwise.

DEFINITION 7.13. The n -th blown-up of $\partial X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$ with respect to $W_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ is a compact space $\partial X_n(W_i; i = 1, \dots, n)$ equipped with the above topology. The blown-up corona of $(G, \mathbb{P}, \{W_1, \dots, W_k\})$ is the projective limit $\partial X_\infty = \varprojlim \partial X_n$.

We also regard νX_n and νG as subspaces of $\nu X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$. We define a map $\xi_n: \nu X_n \rightarrow \partial X_n$ as

$$\xi_n(x) := \begin{cases} \alpha(x) & \text{if } x \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^n \alpha^{-1}(s_i), \\ \bar{\zeta}_i \circ hF_i(x) & \text{if } x \in \alpha^{-1}(s_i) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$

PROPOSITION 7.14. *The map $\xi_n: \nu X_n \rightarrow \partial X_n$ is continuous for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. Thus ∂X_n and ∂X_∞ are respectively coronae of X_n and G . If ζ_i is surjective for $i = 1, \dots, k$, then so is ξ_n for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$.*

PROOF. It is enough to show that ξ_n is continuous on $\nu X_n \cap \alpha^{-1}(s_i)$. We fix $x \in \nu X_n \cap \alpha^{-1}(s_i)$. Let $\{x_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a net in νX_n such that $x_\lambda \rightarrow x$. If $x_\lambda \in \alpha^{-1}(s_i)$ then $\xi_n(x_\lambda) = \bar{\zeta}_i \circ hF_i(x_\lambda)$. If $x_\lambda \notin \alpha^{-1}(s_i)$ then by Lemma 7.12, $\xi_n(x_\lambda) = \alpha(x_\lambda) = \bar{\zeta}_i \circ hF_i(x_\lambda)$. Here we remark that $\bar{\zeta}_i$ is the identity on \hat{P}_i . Since $\bar{\zeta}_i \circ hF_i$ is continuous, we have $\xi(x_\lambda) \rightarrow \xi(x)$.

We suppose ζ_r is surjective for $r = 1, \dots, k$. We show that ξ_n is surjective for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In fact, we prove that the restriction $\xi_n: \nu G \rightarrow \partial X_n$ is surjective. Since the action of G on $\partial X_0 = \partial X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$ is minimal ([2, Section 6]), $\xi_0: \nu G \rightarrow \partial X_0$ is surjective. We assume that $\xi_n: \nu G \rightarrow \partial X_n$ is surjective. Let $\pi_n: \partial X_{n+1} \rightarrow \partial X_n$ be a natural projection. Then we have $\xi_n = \pi_n \circ \xi_{n+1}$. Let $x \in \partial X_{n+1}$. If $x \in \pi_n^{-1}(s_{n+1}) = W_{n+1}$, then there exists y in $\nu(g_{n+1}P_{(n+1)})$ such that $\xi_{n+1}(y) = x$, where we regard $\nu(g_{n+1}P_{(n+1)})$ as a subspace of νG . Otherwise, there exists $y' \in \nu G$ such that $\pi_n(x) = \xi_n(y') = \pi_n(\xi_{n+1}(y'))$. Then we have $\xi_{n+1}(y') = x$ since the restriction of π_n to the complement of $\pi_n^{-1}(s_{n+1})$ is injective. \square

8. THE TRANSGRESSION MAPS

Let M^* be the K -theory K^* or the Alexander-Spanier cohomology with compact support H_c^* . Let G be a group which is hyperbolic relative to \mathbb{P} satisfying the condition of Theorem 1.2. Let $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an order of the cosets of (G, \mathbb{P}) . Let s_i be the parabolic point of $g_i P_{(i)}$. Let X_n and EX_n be as defined in Section 6.2. We can choose a map $\varphi_n: EX_n \rightarrow X_n$ such that the pullback coarse structure is proper and the φ_n is a coarse equivalence. (See loc. cit.) Therefore we can regard a corona of X_n as that of EX_n .

For a compact space Z , we denote by $\mathcal{C}Z$ a closed cone of Z , that is, $\mathcal{C}Z = Z \times [0, 1] / \sim$ where $(z, 1) \sim (z', 1)$ for all z, z' in Z . Let (W_i, ζ_i) be a corona of $g_i P_{(i)}$ as in Section 7.2. Let $\partial X_n = \partial X_n(W_i; i = 1, \dots, n)$ be the n -th blown-up of $\partial X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$. Let S_n be a space obtained by pasting $\mathcal{C}W_{n+1}$ on ∂X_{n+1} along W_{n+1} .

$$S_n := \partial X_{n+1} \cup \mathcal{C}W_{n+1}.$$

LEMMA 8.1. *The natural quotient map $S_n \rightarrow \partial X_n$ which sends $\mathcal{C}W_{n+1}$ to the parabolic point s_{n+1} induces an isomorphism $M^*(\partial X_n) \cong M^*(S_n)$.*

PROOF. The lemma follows from the strong excision property. (See [28, Chapter 6, Section 6] for the case of Alexander-Spanier cohomology.) \square

We use the following notations.

$$\begin{aligned} E\mathcal{H}(g_i P_{(i)}) &:= g_i E P_{(i)} \times [0, \infty); \\ \overline{E\mathcal{H}(g_i P_{(i)})} &:= \mathcal{C}(g_i \underline{E} P_{(i)} \cup_{\zeta_i} W_i). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\overline{E\mathcal{H}(g_i P_{(i)})}$ is a compactification of $E\mathcal{H}(g_i P_{(i)})$ and $\overline{E\mathcal{H}(g_i P_{(i)})} \setminus E\mathcal{H}(g_i P_{(i)}) = \mathcal{C}W_i$. We remark that $\overline{E\mathcal{H}(g_i P_{(i)})}$ is not any coarse compactification of $E\mathcal{H}(g_i P_{(i)})$.

PROPOSITION 8.2. *We suppose that the boundary map $\partial: \tilde{M}^{*-1}(W_i) \rightarrow M^*(\underline{E}P_i)$ is an isomorphism for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then $\partial: \tilde{M}^{*-1}(\partial X_n) \rightarrow M^*(EX_n)$ is an isomorphism for all $n \geq 1$.*

PROOF. Since $X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$ is hyperbolic and $\partial X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S})$ is its Gromov boundary, by Corollary 5.3 and Lemma 8.1, the boundary map induces an isomorphism

$$\tilde{M}^{*-1}(S_0) \cong M^*(EX(G, \mathbb{P})).$$

The proposition inductively follows from Lemma 8.1 and Mayer-Vietoris sequences for $S_n = \partial X_{n+1} \cup \mathcal{C}W_{n+1}$ and for $EX_n = EX_{n+1} \cup E\mathcal{H}(g_{n+1} P_{(n+1)})$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \longrightarrow & \tilde{M}^{q-1}(S_n) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{M}^{q-1}(\partial X_{n+1}) \oplus \tilde{M}^{q-1}(\mathcal{C}W_{n+1}) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{M}^{q-1}(W_{n+1}) & \longrightarrow \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ \longrightarrow & M^q(EX_n) & \longrightarrow & M^q(EX_{n+1}) \oplus M^q(E\mathcal{H}(g_{n+1} P_{(n+1)})) & \longrightarrow & M^q(g_{n+1} \underline{E} P_{(n+1)}) & \longrightarrow \end{array} .$$

Here we remark that $\tilde{M}^{q-1}(\mathcal{C}W_{n+1}) = M^q(E\mathcal{H}(g_{n+1} P_{(n+1)})) = 0$. \square

8.1. Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let M^* be the Alexander-Spanier cohomology with compact supports or the K -theory. Let (W_r, ζ_r) be a corona of P_r for $r = 1, \dots, k$. We remark that the boundary map $\partial: \tilde{M}^{*-1}(W_i) \rightarrow M^*(g_i \underline{E} P_{(i)})$ is an isomorphism if and only if so is the transgression map $T_{W_i}: \tilde{M}^{*-1}(W_i) \rightarrow MX^*(g_i P_{(i)})$. A similar statement for K -homology holds. By the continuity of M^* , we have $\tilde{M}^{*-1}(\partial X_\infty) \cong \varinjlim \tilde{M}^{*-1}(\partial X_n)$. Therefore, if $T_{W_r}: \tilde{M}^{*-1}(W_r) \rightarrow MX^*(P_r)$ is an isomorphism for all $r = 1, \dots, k$, then by Proposition 8.2 and Corollary 6.10, we have $\tilde{M}^{*-1}(\partial X_\infty) \cong MX^*(G)$.

If $T_{W_r}: KX_*(P_r) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_{*-1}(W_r)$ is an isomorphism for all $r = 1, \dots, k$, then, by the same way as in the proof of Proposition 8.2, we can show that $K_*(EX_n) \cong \tilde{K}_{*-1}(\partial X_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By the Milnor exact sequence for $K_*(EX_n)$ and $\tilde{K}_{*-1}(\partial X_n)$, we have $KX_*(G) \cong \tilde{K}_{*-1}(\partial X_\infty)$.

9. APPLICATION

We give two applications of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. First, we consider virtually polycyclic groups. We recall the following fact [20, Proposition 4.4].

THEOREM 9.1 (Ji). *Any virtually polycyclic group P has a finite P -simplicial complex $\underline{E}P$ which is a universal space for proper P -actions.*

It follows from [31, Theorem 1.1], [6, Theorem 9.2], Theorem 9.1 and the fact that any virtually polycyclic group has Yu's Property A that the coarse assembly map and the coarse co-assembly map for the group are isomorphisms.

PROPOSITION 9.2. *Let P be a virtually polycyclic group. Then there exists a corona W of P such that W is homeomorphic to a sphere S^{n-1} and satisfies the following:*

$$\begin{aligned} K_*(C^*(P)) &\cong KX_*(P) \cong \tilde{K}_{*-1}(W) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & (* = n) \\ 0 & (* = n + 1) \end{cases}, \\ K_{*-1}(c^r(P)) &\cong KX^*(P) \cong \tilde{K}^{*-1}(W) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & (* = n) \\ 0 & (* = n + 1) \end{cases}, \\ HX^*(P) &\cong \tilde{H}^{*-1}(W) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & (* = n) \\ 0 & (* \neq n) \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Any virtually polycyclic group has a finite index subgroup which is isomorphic to a polycyclic group by definition. It follows from [24, Theorem 4.28] that any polycyclic group has a finite index normal subgroup which is isomorphic to a lattice of some n -dimensional simply connected solvable Lie group. Hence the given virtually polycyclic group is naturally coarsely equivalent to a lattice of some n -dimensional simply connected solvable Lie group.

Now we can assume that a given group P is a lattice of some n -dimensional simply connected solvable Lie group H without loss of generality. Then it follows from the Mayer-Vietoris argument in [11, Section 7] that the coarse assembly map and the coarse co-assembly map for the group are isomorphisms.

By [11, Section 7], H has a coarse compactification $H \cup W$ which is homeomorphic to the closed ball in n -dimensional euclidean space. Moreover W is homeomorphic to S^{n-1} .

Since H is uniformly contractible and has bounded geometry, the coarsening map and the character maps

$$K_*(H) \rightarrow KX_*(H), KX^*(H) \rightarrow K^*(H), HX^*(H) \rightarrow H_c^*(H)$$

are isomorphisms. (See [17, Section 3], [6, Theorem 4.8], [26, (3.33) Proposition]). Also since \overline{H} is contractible, we have

$$K_*(H) \cong \tilde{K}_{*-1}(W), \tilde{K}^{*-1}(W) \cong K^*(H), \tilde{H}^{*-1}(W) \cong H_c^*(H).$$

Hence we have

$$KX_*(H) \cong \tilde{K}_{*-1}(W), \tilde{K}^{*-1}(W) \cong KX^*(H), \tilde{H}^{*-1}(W) \cong HX^*(H).$$

Since the inclusion from P to H is a coarse equivalence map, W is regarded as a corona of P and thus the map covers the identity on W , we have the assertion. \square

9.1. Coronae of the fundamental groups of pinched negatively curved complete Riemannian manifolds with finite volume.

COROLLARY 9.3. *Let G be a group which properly isometrically acts on an m -dimensional simply-connected pinched negatively curved complete Riemannian manifold X . Suppose that the quotient is with finite volume, but not compact. Then we have a corona ∂G of G and the following:*

$$\begin{aligned} K_*(C^*(G)) &\cong KX_*(G) \cong \tilde{K}_{*-1}(\partial G) \cong \begin{cases} \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z} & (* = m - 1) \\ 0 & (* = m) \end{cases}, \\ K_{*-1}(\mathfrak{c}^r(G)) &\cong KX^*(G) \cong \tilde{K}^{*-1}(\partial G) \cong \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z} & (* = m - 1) \\ 0 & (* = m) \end{cases}, \\ HX^*(G) &\cong \tilde{H}^{*-1}(\partial G) \cong \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z} & (* = m - 1) \\ 0 & (* \neq m - 1) \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. It is already known that the coarse assembly map and the coarse co-assembly map for G in the above are isomorphisms. Indeed G is known to be hyperbolic relative to a family of virtually nilpotent subgroups ([14, 8.6] and also [8, Theorem 5.1]) and thus we can use [31, Theorem 1.1], [6, Theorem 9.2] and [3, Section 1]. This fact also follows from Proposition 9.2, Theorem 9.1 and Theorem 1.1. Note that nilpotent groups are polycyclic groups.

We take a set \mathbb{P} of representatives of conjugacy invariant classes of maximal parabolic subgroups of G with respect to the action on X . Then \mathbb{P} is a finite family of virtually nilpotent groups, and G is hyperbolic relative to \mathbb{P} ([14, 8.6] and also [8, Theorem 5.1]). Then we have that \mathbb{P} satisfies the assumptions in Theorem 1.2 by Proposition 9.2 and

Theorem 9.1. Indeed we take a corona W_r of P_r in Proposition 9.2, which is homeomorphic to S^{m-2} and satisfies

$$KX_*(P_r) \cong \tilde{K}_{*-1}(W_r), KX^*(P_r) \cong \tilde{K}^{*-1}(W_r), HX^*(P_r) \cong \tilde{H}^{*-1}(W_r).$$

We define ∂G as the blown-up boundary of $(G, \mathbb{P}, \{W_r\})$. Then Theorem 1.2 implies the assertion except for concrete computations.

Now we compute $\tilde{K}_*(\partial G)$. From now on, we refer to Section 7.2 for symbols as ∂X_n and so on. Note that ∂G is $\partial X_\infty = \varprojlim \partial X_n$ as in Proof of Theorem 1.2. In order to use the Milnor exact sequence, we compute the map $\tilde{K}_m(\partial X_{n+1}) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_m(\partial X_n)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Note that the Gromov boundary $\partial_G X$ of X is the Bowditch boundary of (G, \mathbb{P}) and homeomorphic to a sphere S^{m-1} . Take a finite generating set \mathcal{S} of G . Then we have a G -equivariant homeomorphism $\partial X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S}) \cong \partial_G X$ by uniqueness of the Bowditch boundary of a relatively hyperbolic group (see [2, Section 9]). We note that \mathbb{P} is not empty because the action of G on X is not cocompact.

We consider the following long exact sequence for the excision pair $(\partial X_n, W_n)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$(10) \quad \rightarrow \tilde{K}_*(W_n) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_*(\partial X_n) \rightarrow K_*(\partial X_n \setminus W_n) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_{*-1}(W_n) \rightarrow,$$

where we put $\partial X_0 := \partial X(G, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{S}) \cong \partial_G X \cong S^{m-1}$. Note that $\partial X_n \setminus W_n$ is naturally homeomorphic to $\partial X_{n-1} \setminus \{s_n\}$ and also that $K_*(\partial X_n \setminus W_n)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\tilde{K}_*(\partial X_{n-1})$. For $n = 1$, the boundary map of the long exact sequence (10) is the composite of the coarsening map $K_*(\partial X_0 \setminus \{s_1\}) \rightarrow KX_*(\partial X_0 \setminus \{s_1\})$ and the transgression map $KX_*(\partial X_0 \setminus \{s_1\}) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_{*-1}(W_1)$, where $\partial X_0 \setminus \{s_1\}$ is coarsely equivalent to $g_1 P_{(1)}$. The latter map is an isomorphism because the transgression map $KX_*(g_1 P_{(1)}) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_{*-1}(W_1)$ is an isomorphism. Also the former map is an isomorphism because $\partial X_0 \setminus \{s_1\}$ is uniformly contractible and with bounded geometry. Hence the boundary map is an isomorphism for $n = 1$ and thus we have $\tilde{K}_*(\partial X_1) = 0$. Then by using the long exact sequence (10) inductively, for any $n \geq 2$, we have a split exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{K}_m(W_{n+1}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \tilde{K}_m(\partial X_{n+1}) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_m(\partial X_n) \cong \prod_1^{n-1} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

and $\tilde{K}_{m-1}(\partial X_n) = 0$. Now we can compute the reduced K -homology of ∂G by the Milnor exact sequence. By a similar way, we can compute the reduced K -theory and reduced cohomology of ∂G . \square

9.2. Coronae of the fundamental groups of 3-dimensional closed manifolds. We give coronae of the fundamental groups of 3-dimensional closed manifolds.

COROLLARY 9.4. *Let G be the fundamental group of a 3-dimensional closed manifold M . Suppose that G is infinite. Then we have a corona ∂G of G and the following:*

$$\begin{aligned} K_*(C^*(G)) &\cong KX_*(G) \cong \tilde{K}_{*-1}(\partial G), \\ K_{*-1}(c^r(G)) &\cong KX^*(G) \cong \tilde{K}^{*-1}(\partial G), \\ HX^*(G) &\cong \tilde{H}^{*-1}(\partial G). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. The coarse Baum-Connes conjecture for G is well-known. For example, each group can be coarsely embeddable to a Hilbert space and thus satisfies the conjecture by Yu's result. The below contains another proof.

If M is not orientable, then the fundamental group of the double covering of M is contained in that of M with index 2 and thus those two groups are coarsely equivalent. We can assume that M is orientable without loss of generality.

We take a prime decomposition $M = N_1 \# N_2 \# \cdots \# N_n$ and put $P_j := \pi_1(N_j)$ for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then G is regarded as a free product $P_1 * P_2 * \cdots * P_n$. We remark that N_j is orientable for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and that N_j is not irreducible only if N_j is diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times S^2$ and thus P_j is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} . Without loss of generality, we can assume that there exists $0 \leq m \leq n$ such that P_j is infinite and not cyclic for each $j \leq m$ and otherwise P_j is finite or cyclic. If $m = 0$, then G is hyperbolic and the assertion follows from Higson-Roe's result [17]. We can assume that $m \geq 1$. Then G is hyperbolic relative to $\{P_1, \dots, P_m\}$.

Now we take $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. If N_j is geometric, then the universal cover \widetilde{N}_j is a universal space for free proper P_j -actions and coarsely equivalent to P_j . Then \widetilde{N}_j is isometric to either of model spaces of 6-geometry except for S^3 and $S^1 \times S^2$ by choice of j . Each of them has a coarse compactification $\widetilde{N}_j \cup W_j$ which is homeomorphic to a closed ball in 3-dimensional euclidean space and then the corona W_j is homeomorphic to a 2-dimensional sphere. Indeed *Nil* and *Sol* are simply connected solvable Lie groups with a lattice and thus have such coarse compactifications ([11, Section 7]). When we consider \mathbb{R}^3 , $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ and \mathbb{H}^3 , they are Hadamard manifolds and thus the visual boundaries give such coarse compactifications ([17], [29], [10]). Also since we have a homeomorphic coarse equivalence from $\widetilde{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ to \mathbb{H}^3 (see for example [21, Section 2]), the visual boundary

of \mathbb{H}^3 induces a desired coarse compactification of $\widetilde{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. Then P_j and W_j satisfy assumptions in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

If N_j is not geometric, it follows from Thurston's geometrization conjecture which was solved by Perelman that N_j is a Haken manifold. Suppose that N_j is Haken and not geometric. Fix a metric on N_j . By Kapovich-Leeb's result [21], even if N_j is not non-positively curved and moreover has no metric with non-positive curvature, there exists a closed 3-dimensional non-positively curved manifold L_j and a bilipschitz homeomorphism between the universal covers \widetilde{N}_j and \widetilde{L}_j . In particular P_j and \widetilde{L}_j are coarsely equivalent. Since \widetilde{L}_j is an Hadamard manifold, \widetilde{L}_j and (thus P_j) has a coarse compactification which is homeomorphic to a closed ball in 3-dimensional euclidean space. The corona W_j is homeomorphic to a 2-dimensional sphere. Then P_j and W_j have assumptions in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

By Theorem 1.1 the coarse assembly map and the coarse co-assembly map for G are isomorphisms. Moreover by Theorem 1.2, we have a desired corona W of G . \square

COROLLARY 9.5. *Let G be the fundamental group of a 3-dimensional orientable closed manifold M . Take a prime decomposition $M_1 = N_1 \# N_2 \# \cdots \# N_m$. Suppose that m is at least 2, all fundamental groups of N_j are infinite and all N_j are irreducible. Then we have a corona ∂G of G and the following:*

$$\begin{aligned} K_*(C^*(G)) &\cong KX_*(G) \cong \tilde{K}_{*-1}(\partial G) \cong \begin{cases} \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z} & (* = 1) \\ 0 & (* = 0) \end{cases}, \\ K_{*-1}(c^r(G)) &\cong KX^*(G) \cong \tilde{K}^{*-1}(\partial G) \cong \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z} & (* = 1) \\ 0 & (* = 0) \end{cases}, \\ HX^*(G) &\cong \tilde{H}^{*-1}(\partial G) \cong \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z} & (* = 1) \\ 0 & (* \neq 1) \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Since G is isomorphic to $P_1 * \cdots * P_m$, the group G is hyperbolic relative to P_1, \dots, P_m and the Bowditch boundary is homeomorphic to the Cantor set. Also we take a corona of P_j in the above proof, which is homeomorphic to S^2 . Then we can compute the reduced K -homology, the reduced K -theory and reduced cohomology of a blown-up corona ∂G of G by a similar way as Proof of Corollary 9.3. \square

APPENDIX A. MILNOR EXACT SEQUENCES BY PHILLIPS

The K -theory for C^* -algebras can be extended for countable projective limits of C^* -algebras that are called σ - C^* -algebras. Phillips [23] studied such an extended theory that he called the representable K -theory. The theory possesses basic properties of the ordinary K -theory. Indeed the theory consists of functors RK_i from the category of σ - C^* -algebras to the category of abelian groups, which are homotopy invariant, are stable under the tensor product with the C^* -algebra of compact operators on a separable Hilbert space, have a long exact sequence for a short exact sequence, satisfy the Bott periodicity and have a Milnor exact sequence for a countable projective limit. See [23] for details.

In this appendix, we state the Milnor exact sequence by Phillips and give a proof for reader's convenience. He stated the following (in fact an equivariant version of the following) in [23, Theorem 5.8 (5)].

PROPOSITION A.1. *Let $\{\pi_k : A_{k+1} \rightarrow A_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a projective system of σ - C^* -algebras. Then we have the following functorial exact sequence for each $p \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

$$0 \rightarrow \varprojlim^1 RK_{p+1}(A_k) \rightarrow RK_p(\varprojlim A_k) \rightarrow \varprojlim RK_p(A_k) \rightarrow 0.$$

Phillips gives a proof under the condition that every π_k is surjective [23, Theorem 3.2]. In order to prove, we refer to it and to [22].

PROOF. We define

$$T := \{(F_k) \in \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} C([k-1, k], A_k) \mid F_k(k) = \pi_k(F_{k+1}(k)) \text{ for any } k \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

$$B_{k+1} := \{(F_k, a_{k+1}) \in C([k-1, k], A_k) \oplus A_{k+1} \mid F_k(k) = \pi_k(a_{k+1})\},$$

$$g_1 : T \ni (F_k) \mapsto (F_{2m-1}, F_{2m}(2m-1)) \in \prod_{m \in \mathbb{N}} B_{2m},$$

$$g_2 : T \ni (F_k) \mapsto (F_1(0), (F_{2m}, F_{2m+1}(2m))) \in A_1 \oplus \prod_{m \in \mathbb{N}} B_{2m+1},$$

$$f_1 : \prod_{m \in \mathbb{N}} B_{2m} \ni (F_1, a_2, F_3, a_4, \dots) \mapsto (F_1(0), a_2, F_3(2), a_4, \dots) \in \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k,$$

$$f_2 : A_1 \oplus \prod_{m \in \mathbb{N}} B_{2m+1} \ni (a_1, F_2, a_3, F_4, \dots) \mapsto (a_1, F_2(1), a_3, F_3(2), \dots) \in \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k,$$

$$\iota : \varprojlim A_k \ni (a_k) \mapsto ([k-1, k] \ni t \mapsto a_k) \in T,$$

$$\pi : T \ni (F_k) \mapsto (F_k(k)) \in \varprojlim A_k.$$

We have a pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & \xrightarrow{g_1} & \prod_{m \in \mathbb{N}} B_{2m} \\ \downarrow g_2 & & \downarrow f_1 \\ A_1 \oplus \prod_{m \in \mathbb{N}} B_{2m+1} & \xrightarrow{f_2} & \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k. \end{array}$$

Hence we have a Mayer-Vietoris sequence. Since $\pi \circ \iota = id$ and also $\iota \circ \pi$ and id are homotopic, ι gives a homotopy equivalence between the above pullback diagram and the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varprojlim A_k & \longrightarrow & \prod_{m \in \mathbb{N}} A_{2m} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \prod_{m \in \mathbb{N}} A_{2m-1} & \longrightarrow & \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k. \end{array}$$

Now we have the desired functorial Milnor exact sequence. □

REFERENCES

1. Bruce Blackadar, *K-theory for operator algebras*, second ed., Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, vol. 5, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. MR 1656031 (99g:46104)
2. B. H. Bowditch, *Relatively hyperbolic groups*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **22** (2012), no. 3, 1250016, 66. MR 2922380
3. Marius Dădarlat and Erik Guentner, *Uniform embeddability of relatively hyperbolic groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **612** (2007), 1–15. MR 2364071 (2008h:20064)
4. François Dahmani, *Combination of convergence groups*, Geom. Topol. **7** (2003), 933–963 (electronic). MR 2026551 (2005g:20063)
5. A. N. Dranishnikov, J. Keesling, and V. V. Uspenskij, *On the Higson corona of uniformly contractible spaces*, Topology **37** (1998), no. 4, 791–803. MR MR1607744 (99k:57049)
6. Heath Emerson and Ralf Meyer, *Dualizing the coarse assembly map*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **5** (2006), no. 2, 161–186. MR 2225040 (2007f:19007)
7. ———, *A descent principle for the Dirac–dual-Dirac method*, Topology **46** (2007), no. 2, 185–209. MR 2313071 (2008f:57038)
8. B. Farb, *Relatively hyperbolic groups*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **8** (1998), no. 5, 810–840. MR 1650094 (99j:20043)
9. Tomohiro Fukaya and Shin-ichi Oguni, *The coarse Baum-Connes conjecture for relatively hyperbolic groups*, J. Topol. Anal. **4** (2012), no. 1, 99–113. MR 2914875
10. ———, *The coarse Baum-Connes conjecture for Busemann non-positively curved spaces*, arXiv:1304.3224 (2013).
11. ———, *Coronae of product spaces and the coarse baum-connes conjecture*, arXiv:1404.2770 (2014).

12. É. Ghys and P. de la Harpe (eds.), *Sur les groupes hyperboliques d'après Mikhael Gromov*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 83, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1990, Papers from the Swiss Seminar on Hyperbolic Groups held in Bern, 1988. MR MR1086648 (92f:53050)
13. M. Gromov, *Hyperbolic groups*, Essays in group theory, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 8, Springer, New York, 1987, pp. 75–263. MR 919829 (89e:20070)
14. ———, *Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups*, Geometric group theory, Vol. 2 (Sussex, 1991), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 182, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993, pp. 1–295. MR 1253544 (95m:20041)
15. Daniel Groves and Jason Fox Manning, *Dehn filling in relatively hyperbolic groups*, Israel J. Math. **168** (2008), 317–429. MR 2448064 (2009h:57030)
16. Nigel Higson, Erik Kjær Pedersen, and John Roe, *C^* -algebras and controlled topology*, *K-Theory* **11** (1997), no. 3, 209–239. MR 1451755 (98g:19009)
17. Nigel Higson and John Roe, *On the coarse Baum-Connes conjecture*, Novikov conjectures, index theorems and rigidity, Vol. 2 (Oberwolfach, 1993), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 227, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995, pp. 227–254. MR 1388312 (97f:58127)
18. ———, *Analytic K -homology*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000, Oxford Science Publications. MR 1817560 (2002c:58036)
19. Nigel Higson, John Roe, and Guoliang Yu, *A coarse Mayer-Vietoris principle*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **114** (1993), no. 1, 85–97. MR MR1219916 (95c:19006)
20. Lizhen Ji, *Integral Novikov conjectures and arithmetic groups containing torsion elements*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **15** (2007), no. 3, 509–533. MR 2379803 (2009b:22010)
21. M. Kapovich and B. Leeb, *3-manifold groups and nonpositive curvature*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **8** (1998), no. 5, 841–852. MR 1650098 (2000a:57040)
22. John Milnor, *On the Steenrod homology theory*, Novikov conjectures, index theorems and rigidity, Vol. 1 (Oberwolfach, 1993), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 226, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995, pp. 79–96. MR 1388297 (98d:55005)
23. N. Christopher Phillips, *Representable K -theory for σ - C^* -algebras*, *K-Theory* **3** (1989), no. 5, 441–478. MR 1050490 (91k:46082)
24. M. S. Raghunathan, *Discrete subgroups of Lie groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 68. MR 0507234 (58 #22394a)
25. John Roe, *Hyperbolic metric spaces and the exotic cohomology Novikov conjecture*, *K-Theory* **4** (1990/91), no. 6, 501–512. MR 1123175 (93e:58180a)
26. ———, *Coarse cohomology and index theory on complete Riemannian manifolds*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** (1993), no. 497, x+90. MR MR1147350 (94a:58193)
27. ———, *Lectures on coarse geometry*, University Lecture Series, vol. 31, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003. MR MR2007488 (2004g:53050)
28. Edwin H. Spanier, *Algebraic topology*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1981, Corrected reprint. MR 666554 (83i:55001)
29. Rufus Willett, *Band-dominated operators and the stable Higson corona*, PhD thesis, Penn State (2009).

30. Guoliang Yu, *Coarse Baum-Connes conjecture*, *K-Theory* **9** (1995), no. 3, 199–221. MR 1344138 (96k:58214)
31. ———, *The coarse Baum-Connes conjecture for spaces which admit a uniform embedding into Hilbert space*, *Invent. Math.* **139** (2000), no. 1, 201–240. MR 1728880 (2000j:19005)

Tomohiro Fukaya

Mathematical institute, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8578, Japan

E-mail address: `tomo@math.tohoku.ac.jp`

Shin-ichi Oguni

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Ehime University, 2-5 Bunkyo-cho,
Matsuyama, Ehime, 790-8577 Japan

E-mail address: `oguni@math.sci.ehime-u.ac.jp`