

HYPERBOLIC ALEXANDROV-FENCHEL QUERMASSTEGRAL INEQUALITIES. I

YUXIN GE, GUOFANG WANG, AND JIE WU

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove the following geometric inequality in the hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^n ($n \geq 5$), which is a hyperbolic Alexandrov-Fenchel inequality,

$$\int_{\Sigma} \sigma_4 d\mu \geq C_{n-1}^4 \omega_{n-1} \left\{ \left(\frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2} \frac{n-5}{n-1}} \right\}^2,$$

provided that Σ is a horospherical convex hypersurface. Equality holds if and only if Σ is a geodesic sphere in \mathbb{H}^n .

1. INTRODUCTION

The Alexandrov-Fenchel inequalities for quermassintegrals of convex domains in \mathbb{R}^n , as a generalization of the classical isoperimetric inequality, play an important role in classical geometry. For a bounded smooth domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with boundary $\partial\Omega = \Sigma$, let $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_{n-1})$ be the set of the principal curvatures of Σ and $\sigma_k : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the k -th elementary symmetric function. One of equivalent definitions of the quermassintegrals of Ω is

$$(1.1) \quad V_{n-k}(\Omega) = c_{n,k} \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa), \quad k \geq 1,$$

where $c_{n,k} = C_{n-1}^k / C_{n-1}^{k-1}$. In this paper we denote $C_{n-1}^k = \frac{(n-1)!}{k!(n-1-k)!}$. V_n is the volume of Ω up to a constant multiple and V_{n-1} the area of Σ . The celebrated Alexandrov-Fenchel quermassintegral inequalities state that if Ω is convex, then for $0 \leq i < j < n$,

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{V_{n-j}(\Omega)^{\frac{1}{n-j}}}{V_{n-j}(B)^{\frac{1}{n-j}}} \geq \frac{V_{n-i}(\Omega)^{\frac{1}{n-i}}}{V_{n-i}(B)^{\frac{1}{n-i}}}.$$

When $i = 0$ and $j = 1$, (1.2) is the isoperimetric inequality. For $k \geq 1$ in (1.1), inequality (1.2) is equivalent to

$$(1.3) \quad \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_k \geq C_{n-1}^k \omega_{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{C_{n-1}^j} \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_j \right)^{\frac{n-1-k}{n-1-j}}, \quad 0 \leq j < k \leq n-1,$$

where ω_{n-1} is the area of the standard sphere \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . (In this paper we use a convention that $\sigma_0 = 1$.) Hence inequality (1.3) is also interpreted as a generalization of the isoperimetric

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 52A40, 53C65.

The first named author is partly supported by ANR project ANR-08-BLAN-0335-01. The second and third named authors are partly supported by SFB/TR71 "Geometric partial differential equations" of DFG.

inequality. When $k = 1$, this is usually called a Minkowski inequality. When $j = 0$, it is

$$(1.4) \quad \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_k \geq C_{n-1}^k \omega_{n-1} \left(\frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-1-k}{n-1}}.$$

The Alexandrov-Fenchel quermassintegral inequalities in \mathbb{R}^n have been intensively studied in the last several decades. See classical books of Santos[39], Burago-Zalgaller [9] and Schneider [41]. For the non-convex domains, see the recent interesting work of Guan-Li [25] and Huisken [31] and also the work of Chang-Wang [11]. Here we just mention a conformal version of Alexandrov-Fenchel quermassintegral inequalities in \mathbb{S}^n in [29], which is curious that there is a very closed relation to the hyperbolic Alexandrov-Fenchel quermassintegral inequalities which we want to establish in this paper.

In this paper we are interested in its analogue in the hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^n . Let $\mathbb{H}^n = \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ with the hyperbolic metric

$$\bar{g} = dr^2 + \sinh^2 r g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}},$$

where $g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$ is the standard round metric on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . The isoperimetric inequality on the hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^n was obtained by Schmidt [40]. See a flow approach in [35]. When $n = 2$, the hyperbolic isoperimetric inequality is

$$L^2 \geq 4\pi A + A^2,$$

where L is the length of a curve γ in \mathbb{H}^2 and A is the area of the enclosed domain by γ . Moreover, equality holds if and only if γ is a circle. There are many attempts to establish Alexandrov-Fenchel inequalities on the hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^n . See, for example, [38] and [42]. In [18], Gallego-Solanes proved by using integral geometry the following interesting inequality for convex domains in \mathbb{H}^n , precisely, there holds,

$$(1.5) \quad \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_k d\mu > c C_{n-1}^k |\Sigma|,$$

where $c = 1$ if $k > 1$ and $c = (n-2)/(n-1)$ if $k = 1$ and $|\Sigma|$ is the area of Σ . Here $d\mu$ is the area element of the induced metric. See also [5]. The above inequality (1.5) ($k > 1$) is sharp in the sense that the constant c could not be improved. However, this inequality is far away from being optimal, especially when $|\Sigma|$ is small.

Recently motivated by the study of the quasi-local mass and the Penrose inequality, Brendle-Hung-Wang [8] established the following Minkowski type inequalities (i.e., $k = 1$)

$$(1.6) \quad \int_{\Sigma} \left(\lambda' H - (n-1) \langle \bar{\nabla} \lambda', \nu \rangle \right) d\mu \geq (n-1) \omega_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}},$$

and de Lima and Girao [15] proved the following related inequality

$$(1.7) \quad \int_{\Sigma} \lambda' H d\mu \geq (n-1) \omega_{n-1} \left(\left(\frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} + \left(\frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \right),$$

where $\lambda'(r) = \cosh r$, if Σ is star-shaped and mean convex (i.e. $H > 0$). This total mean curvature integral with the weight λ' appears naturally in the definition of the Brown-York mass and the Liu-Yau mass and in the Penrose inequality for asymptotically hyperbolic graphs [14]. The higher order mean curvature integrals $\int \lambda' \sigma_{2k-1}$ appear also in our work [21] on a

new mass on asymptotically hyperbolic graphs. It is an interesting open problem if one can generalize (1.6) and (1.7) for general k .

In this paper we are interested in the Alexandrov-Fenchel quermassintegral inequalities in \mathbb{H}^n for curvature integrals without the weight λ' , i.e, for

$$\int_{\Sigma} \sigma_k d\mu.$$

Such curvature integrals, like in the Euclidean case, have a close relationship with quermassintegral in \mathbb{H}^n . See for example [39, 18, 42]. We will give more details in our forthcoming paper [22]. Such an inequality for σ_2 was first proved by Li-Wei-Xiong in a recent work [34].

$$(1.8) \quad \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_2 d\mu \geq \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2} \left(|\Sigma| + \omega_{\frac{n-1}{2}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{n-3}{n-1}} \right),$$

provided that $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ is a star-shaped and two-convex hypersurface, i.e., $\sigma_1 \geq 0$ and $\sigma_2 \geq 0$.

In this paper we obtain

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n \geq 5$. If $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ is horospherical convex, then*

$$(1.9) \quad \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_4 d\mu \geq C_{n-1}^4 \omega_{n-1} \left\{ \left(\frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2} \frac{n-5}{n-1}} \right\}^2,$$

where ω_{n-1} is the area of the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{n-1} and $|\Sigma|$ is the area of Σ . Equality holds if and only if Σ is a geodesic sphere. Moreover, when $n = 5$, (1.9) holds provided that $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ is a star-shaped and two-convex hypersurface, i.e., $\sigma_1 \geq 0$ and $\sigma_2 \geq 0$.

Theorem 1.1 implies trivially an (equivalent) isoperimetric type result: *In the class of horospherical convex hypersurfaces with fixed area, the minimum of $\int \sigma_4 d\mu$ is achieved by and only by geodesic spheres.*

Unlike in the case of \mathbb{R}^n , we believe that in the case of \mathbb{H}^n the parity of k in the k -scalar curvature σ_k plays a role in the Alexandrov-Fenchel quermassintegral inequalities¹. Namely, for odd k the Alexandrov-Fenchel quermassintegral inequalities should look like (1.6) or (1.7), while for even k (1.8) and (1.9) are the correct and the best ones. See also Theorem 3.7 below. In a forthcoming paper [22] we will establish the Alexandrov-Fenchel quermassintegral inequalities for general even k .

$\Sigma \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ is *horospherical convex* if all principal curvatures are larger than or equal to 1. The horospherical convexity is a natural geometric concept, which is equivalent to the geometric convexity in Riemannian manifolds. Through our work, we believe that it is (almost) the best condition for inequality (1.9). See Remark 3.4 and Remark 3.5 below.

The fundamental idea to show the above geometric inequalities is the same: Consider a suitable functional and a suitable geometric flow and prove this functional is non-increasing under the geometric flow. If the flow converges to the standard sphere, then we have an inequality, with a best constant achieved by the standard sphere. The flow we use is the inverse curvature

¹Haizhong Li has a similar idea [33]

flow studied by Gerhardt [23]

$$(1.10) \quad \frac{\partial X}{\partial t} = \frac{n-4}{4} \frac{\sigma_3}{\sigma_4} \nu,$$

where ν is the outer normal of Σ .

The first problem we meet is: what is the suitable functional for our inequality (1.9)? By the work of Brendle-Hung-Wang [8], de Lima-Girão [15] and Li-Wei-Xiong [34], one may guess that the following functional

$$(1.11) \quad Q(\Sigma) := |\Sigma|^{-\frac{n-5}{n-1}} \int_{\Sigma_t} \left\{ \sigma_4 - \frac{(n-3)(n-4)}{6} \sigma_2 + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)}{24} \right\},$$

could be a good candidate. In fact,

$$l_2 := \left(\sigma_4 - \frac{(n-3)(n-4)}{6} \sigma_2 + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)}{24} \right),$$

is the Gauss-Bonnet curvature L_2 up to a constant multiple. The Gauss-Bonnet curvature L_2 is an intrinsic geometric invariant which is a natural generalization of the scalar curvature R . For the Gauss-Bonnet curvature L_2 , see for example [20]. We remark that the functional considered in [34] is in fact the Yamabe quotient for the scalar curvature R . Our functional (1.11) is also a Yamabe type quotient for L_2 . Due to the complication of the geometry of the hyperbolic space, we obtain a variation formula of $\int l_2$, which has three terms that we have to deal with. Unlike the cases of proving inequalities (1.6), (1.7) and (1.8), one can not directly use the Newton-MacLaurin inequalities (Lemma 2.1) to deal with these three terms. More precisely, among the three terms appeared in (3.1),

$$5 \frac{\sigma_5 \sigma_3}{\sigma_4} - \frac{4(n-5)}{n-4} \sigma_4, \quad \frac{4(n-3)}{n-4} \sigma_2 - 3 \frac{\sigma_3^2}{\sigma_4},$$

are non-positive, and the term

$$\frac{\sigma_1 \sigma_3}{\sigma_4} - \frac{4(n-1)}{n-4},$$

is non-negative in the use of the Newton-MacLaurin inequalities. For the monotonicity of the functional Q we need to show that the sum of these 3 term is non-positive. Hence we have to deal with them together. Since these terms have different scaling of κ , one could not expect the sum of these three terms is non-positive for all $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}$. Fortunately we show that it does be non-positive, if $\kappa_i \geq 1$ for all i . See Proposition 3.3. This is one of crucial points of this paper, where the assumption of the horospherical convexity plays a crucial role. By applying the work of Gerhardt on the inverse curvature flow, one can show that flow (1.10) preserves the condition of the horospherical convexity. Therefore, the functional Q defined in (1.11) is non-increasing under flow (1.10). Hence, in order to obtain an inequality we now only need to consider the limit of Q under flow (1.10). Now, we meet another problem, flow (1.10) converges only asymptotically in the sense presented in Proposition 2.2, namely the flow converges asymptotically to a sphere with a metric g conformal to the standard round metric on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . We show that along the flow, the induced metric has (asymptotically) positive Schouten tensor, if the evolving hypersurface is horospherical convex. For such a metric on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , a generalized Sobolev inequality was proved by Guan-Wang [29] in conformal geometry. Here

the horospherical convexity plays again an important role. With this inequality we get a best estimate for Q in Theorem 3.7. Therefore Theorem 1.1 follows. It is interesting to see that the results in conformal geometry on the sphere are closely related to the hyperbolic Alexandrov-Fenchel inequality in \mathbb{H}^n . The connecting bridge is the inverse curvature flow of Gerhardt [23]. See also the work of Ding [16]. For the recent related work see [6, 5, 32].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present some basic facts about the elementary functions σ_k , the variational formula for $\int \sigma_k$ and recall a generalized Sobolev inequality from [29]. The preservation of the horospherical convexity under a inverse curvature flow considered by Gerhardt, together with its convergence, is given in this section. In Section 3, we prove the crucial monotonicity of Q , analyze its asymptotic behavior under flow (1.10), and prove Theorem 1.1.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let σ_k be the k -th elementary symmetry function $\sigma_k : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\sigma_k(\Lambda) = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_k} \quad \text{for } \Lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}.$$

The definition of σ_k can be easily extended to the set of all symmetric matrix. The Garding cone Γ_k^+ is defined as

$$\Gamma_k^+ = \{\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \mid \sigma_j(\Lambda) > 0, \quad \forall j \leq k\}.$$

We collect the basic facts about σ_k , which will be directly used in this paper. For other related facts, see a survey of Guan [24] or [34].

Lemma 2.1. *For $\Lambda \in \Gamma_k^+$, we have the following Newton-MacLaurin inequalities*

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{\sigma_{k-1}\sigma_{k+1}}{\sigma_k^2} \leq \frac{k(n-k-1)}{(k+1)(n-k)},$$

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{\sigma_1\sigma_{k-1}}{\sigma_k} \geq \frac{k(n-1)}{n-k}.$$

Moreover, equality holds in (2.1) or (2.2) at Λ if and only if $\Lambda = c(1, 1, \dots, 1)$.

The Newton-MacLaurin inequalities play a very important role in proving geometric inequalities mentioned above. However, we will see that these inequalities are not precise enough to show our inequality (1.9).

Let $\mathbb{H}^n = \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ with the hyperbolic metric

$$\bar{g} = dr^2 + \sinh^2 r g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}},$$

where $g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$ is the standard round metric on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{n-1} and $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ a smooth closed hypersurface in \mathbb{H}^n with a unit outward normal ν . Let h be the second fundamental form of Σ and $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_{n-1})$ the set of principal curvatures of Σ in \mathbb{H}^n with respect to ν . The k -th mean curvature of Σ is defined by

$$\sigma_k = \sigma_k(\kappa).$$

We now consider the following curvature evolution equation

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{d}{dt} X = F\nu,$$

where $\Sigma_t = X(t, \cdot)$ is a family of hypersurfaces in \mathbb{H}^n , ν is the unit outward normal to $\Sigma_t = X(t, \cdot)$ and F is a speed function which may depend on the position vector X and principal curvatures of Σ_t . One can check that along flow (2.3),

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_k d\mu = (k+1) \int_{\Sigma} F \sigma_{k+1} d\mu + (n-k) \int_{\Sigma} F \sigma_{k-1} d\mu.$$

For a proof see for instance [37]. Here we use a convention $\sigma_{-1} = 0$. If one compares flow (2.3) in \mathbb{H}^n with a similar flow of hypersurfaces in \mathbb{R}^n , the last term in (2.4) is an extra term. This extra term comes from the sectional curvature -1 of \mathbb{H}^n and makes the phenomenon of hypersurfaces in \mathbb{H}^n much different from the one of hypersurfaces in \mathbb{R}^n .

As mentioned above, we use exactly the following inverse flow

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{d}{dt} X = \frac{n-4}{4} \frac{\sigma_3}{\sigma_4} \nu.$$

By using the result of Gerhardt [23], we have

Proposition 2.2. *If the initial hypersurface Σ is horospherical convex, then the solution for flow (2.5) exists for all time $t > 0$ and preserves the condition of horospherical convexity. Moreover, the hypersurfaces Σ_t become more and more umbilical in the sense of*

$$|h_j^i - \delta_j^i| \leq C e^{-\frac{t}{n-1}}, \quad t > 0,$$

i.e., the principal curvatures are uniformly bounded and converge exponentially fast to one. Here $h_j^i = g^{ik} h_{kj}$, where g is the induced metric and h is the second fundamental form.

Proof. In [23] Gerhardt studied a more general inverse flow under a weaker condition that the initial surface is star-shaped.

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{d}{dt} X = -\Phi(F) \nu,$$

with a function $\Phi(r) = -r^{-1}$ for $r > 0$ and F is a smooth curvature function, homogeneous of degree 1, monotone, and concave. What we only need to check is that flow (2.5) or the general flow (2.6) preserves the condition of horospherical convexity.

By using (4.23) in [23] for the second fundamental form h_j^i , we have the evolution equation for $\tilde{h}_j^i := h_j^i - \delta_j^i$ that

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{\tilde{h}}_j^i &= Q(\nabla^2 \tilde{h}, \nabla \tilde{h})_j^i + \dot{\Phi} F^{kl} h_{lr} h_k^r \tilde{h}_j^i + \dot{\Phi} F^{kl} h_{lr} h_k^r \delta_j^i \\ &\quad + (\Phi - \dot{\Phi} F) \tilde{h}^{ik} \tilde{h}_{kj} + 2(\Phi - \dot{\Phi} F) \tilde{h}_j^i + (\Phi - \dot{\Phi} F) \delta_j^i \\ &\quad - \{(\Phi + \dot{\Phi} F) \delta_j^i - \dot{\Phi} F^{kl} g_{kl} \tilde{h}_j^i - \dot{\Phi} F^{kl} g_{kl} \delta_j^i\}. \\ &:= Q(\nabla^2 \tilde{h}, \nabla \tilde{h})_j^i + H_j^i, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\nabla^2 \tilde{h}, \nabla \tilde{h})_j^i &= \dot{\Phi} F^{kl} \tilde{h}_{j;kl}^i + \dot{\Phi} F^{kl,rs} \tilde{h}_{kl;j} \tilde{h}_{rs;}^i + \ddot{\Phi} (F^{kl} \tilde{h}_{kl;j}) (F^{rs} \tilde{h}_{rs;}^i) \\ &=: \dot{\Phi} F^{kl} \tilde{h}_{j;kl}^i + N_j^i. \end{aligned}$$

In order to use the maximum principle for symmetric tensors in [3], which is a refinement of Hamilton's maximum principle [13], we need to check the following two statements:

- (i) $H_j^i a^j a_i \geq 0$,
- (ii) $N_j^i a_i a^j + \dot{\Phi} \sup_{\Gamma} 2F^{kl} (2\Gamma_k^p \tilde{h}_{ip;l} a^i - \Gamma_k^p \Gamma_l^q \tilde{h}_{pq}) \geq 0$,

for any $a = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ with $\tilde{h}_j^i a^j = 0$, where $a^j = g^{jl} a_l$.

From (2.7) it is easy to say that

$$H_j^i a^j a_i = \dot{\Phi} (F^{kl} h_{lr} h_k^r + F^{kl} g_{kl} - 2F) |a|^2.$$

Now, we can write

$$F = F^{kl} h_{kl},$$

for F is homogeneous of degree 1. Therefore, we infer in the orthonormal basis

$$\begin{aligned} & F^{kl} h_{lr} h_k^r + F^{kl} g_{kl} - 2F \\ &= F^{kl} g_{ls} (\tilde{h}_r^s + \delta_r^s) (\tilde{h}_k^r + \delta_k^r) + F^{kl} g_{kl} - 2F^{kl} g_{ls} (\tilde{h}_k^s + \delta_k^s) \\ &= F^{kl} g_{ls} \tilde{h}_r^s \tilde{h}_k^r \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

since F^{kl} is positive definite. On the other hand, we have

$$\dot{\Phi} > 0.$$

Hence, (i) follows.

Noticing that

$$N_j^i = (\Phi(F))^{kl,rs} \tilde{h}_{kl;j} \tilde{h}_{rs}^i,$$

and that the smallest eigenvalue of h equals to 1, we can apply the work of Andrews [3] to show that statement (ii) holds. Hence the preservation of the horospherical convexity under (2.5) follows from his maximum principle. \square

In [10], Cabezas-Rivas and Miquel showed that the preserving volume mean curvature flow preserves the horospherical convexity. See also the work of Makowski [35].

Let g be a Riemannian metric on M^{n-1} . Denote Ric_g and R_g the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature of g respectively. The Schouten tensor is defined by

$$A_g = \frac{1}{n-3} \left(Ric_g - \frac{R_g}{2(n-2)} g \right).$$

Let Λ_g be the set of the eigenvalues of the Schouten tensor A_g with respect to the metric g . The σ_k -scalar curvature, which is introduced by Viaclovsky, is defined by

$$\sigma_k(g) := \sigma_k(\Lambda_g).$$

This is a natural generalization of the scalar curvature R . In fact, $\sigma_1(g) = \frac{1}{2(n-2)} R$. Recall that M is of dimension $n-1$. We now consider the conformal class $[g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}]$ of the standard sphere \mathbb{S}^{n-1} and the following functionals defined by

$$(2.8) \quad \mathcal{F}_k(g) = vol(g)^{-\frac{n-1-2k}{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sigma_k(g) dg, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$

If a metric g satisfies $\sigma_j(g) > 0$ for all $j \leq k$, we call it k -positive and denote $g \in \Gamma_k^+$. We recall some generalized Sobolev inequality.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $0 < k < \frac{n-1}{2}$ and $g \in [g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}]$ k -positive. We have*

$$(2.9) \quad \mathcal{F}_k(g) \geq \mathcal{F}_k(g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}) = \frac{C_{n-1}^k}{2^k} \omega_{n-1}^{\frac{2k}{n-1}}.$$

Moreover, when $k = 2$, $n > 5$ and $g \in [g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}]$ 1-positive, the above inequality still holds.

Inequality (2.9) is a generalized Sobolev inequality, since when $k = 1$ inequality (2.9) is just the optimal Sobolev inequality. See for example [4] and [12].

Proof. The first part follows from Theorem 1.A in [29]. When $k = 2$, $n > 5$ and $g \in [g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}]$ 1-positive, by Theorem 1 in [19] (see also [26]), we infer

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sigma_1(g) dg \right)^{-\frac{n-5}{n-3}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sigma_2(g) dg \geq \left(\frac{n-1}{2} \right)^{-\frac{n-5}{n-3}} \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{8} \omega_{n-1}^{\frac{2}{n-3}}.$$

On the other hand, since g is 1-positive, we have

$$\mathcal{F}_1(g) \geq \mathcal{F}_1(g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}) = \frac{n-1}{2} \omega_{n-1}^{\frac{2}{n-1}}.$$

Hence, the desired result yields. \square

3. AN ALEXSANDROV-FENCHEL INEQUALITY IN THE HYPERBOLIC SPACE

First, a direct computation gives the following result.

Lemma 3.1. *Along the inverse flow (2.5), we have*

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma} \left\{ \sigma_4 - \frac{(n-3)(n-4)}{6} \sigma_2 + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)}{24} \right\} \\ &= (n-5) \int_{\Sigma} \left\{ \sigma_4 - \frac{(n-3)(n-4)}{6} \sigma_2 + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)}{24} \right\} \\ &+ \frac{n-4}{4} \left\{ \int_{\Sigma} \left(5 \frac{\sigma_5 \sigma_3}{\sigma_4} - \frac{4(n-5)}{n-4} \sigma_4 \right) + \frac{(n-4)(n-5)}{6} \left(\frac{4(n-3)}{n-4} \sigma_2 - 3 \frac{\sigma_3^2}{\sigma_4} \right) \right. \\ &+ \left. \int_{\Sigma} \frac{(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)(n-5)}{24} \left(\frac{\sigma_1 \sigma_3}{\sigma_4} - \frac{4(n-1)}{n-4} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Under the flow (2.3), (2.4) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma} \left\{ \sigma_4 - \frac{(n-3)(n-4)}{6} \sigma_2 + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)}{24} \right\} \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} 5\sigma_5 F - \frac{(n-4)(n-5)}{2} \sigma_3 F + \frac{(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)(n-5)}{24} \sigma_1 F. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting $F = \frac{n-4}{4} \frac{\sigma_3}{\sigma_4}$ into the previous formula and arranging it, we thus get the desired result (3.1). \square

Remark 3.2. *When $n = 5$, Lemma 3.1 implies that $\int_{\Sigma} l_2$ is a constant. This is actually the fact that $\int_{\Sigma} l_2$ is the Euler characteristic of Σ up to a constant multiple.*

Compared the last three terms in (3.1) with the Newton-MacLaurin inequalities (2.1),(2.2), one will see immediately that the first two terms are non-positive, but the last one is non-negative. Therefore, unlike in the papers of [8], [15] and [34] we can not use the Newton-MacLaurin inequalities directly to establish the desired inequalities. We have to use more precise inequalities, which are fortunately true for any

$$(3.2) \quad \kappa \in \{\kappa = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \mid \kappa_i \geq 1\}.$$

This is one of key points of this paper.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $n > 5$. For any κ satisfying (3.2) we have a refined Newton-MacLaurin inequality*

$$(3.3) \quad \left(5 \frac{\sigma_5 \sigma_3}{\sigma_4} - \frac{4(n-5)}{n-4} \sigma_4\right) + \frac{(n-4)(n-5)}{6} \left(\frac{4(n-3)}{n-4} \sigma_2 - 3 \frac{\sigma_3^2}{\sigma_4}\right) \\ + \frac{(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)(n-5)}{24} \left(\frac{\sigma_1 \sigma_3}{\sigma_4} - \frac{4(n-1)}{n-4}\right) \leq 0.$$

Equality holds if and only if one of the following two cases holds

$$(3.4) \quad \text{either } (i) \kappa_i = \kappa_j \forall i, j, \quad \text{or } (ii) \exists i \text{ with } \kappa_i > 1 \ \& \ \kappa_j = 1 \ \forall j \neq i.$$

Proof. For simplicity of notation, we denote

$$(3.5) \quad p_k = \frac{\sigma_k}{C_{n-1}^k}.$$

By a direct computation, it is easy to see that (3.3) is equivalent to

$$(3.6) \quad \left(\frac{p_5 p_3}{p_4} - p_4\right) + 2 \left(p_2 - \frac{p_3^2}{p_4}\right) + \left(\frac{p_1 p_3}{p_4} - 1\right) \leq 0.$$

This inequality follows directly from the following two claims.

Claim 1. $3(p_2 p_4 - p_3^2) + (p_3 p_1 - p_4) \leq 0$. Equality holds if and only if κ satisfies (3.4).

Claim 2. $3(p_5 p_3 - p_4^2) + (p_3 p_1 - p_4) \leq 0$. Equality holds if and only if κ satisfies (3.4).

In the proof of these two claims, we replace $n-1$ by n for simplicity of notation and consider $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with

$$\kappa_i \geq 1, \quad \forall i,$$

and denote p_k the average of n -choose- k type products for n real numbers $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n$ with $\kappa_j \geq 1$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$).

Let

$$(3.7) \quad F_n(x) = x^n + C_n^1 p_1 x^{n-1} + C_n^2 p_2 x^{n-2} + \dots + C_n^{n-1} p_{n-1} x + p_n = \prod_{i=1}^n (x + \kappa_i),$$

which has exactly n real roots $-\kappa_i \leq -1$. By the mean value theorem we have that

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{1}{n} F_n'(x) = x^{n-1} + \frac{n-1}{n} C_n^1 p_1 x^{n-2} + \frac{n-2}{n} C_n^2 p_2 x^{n-3} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} C_n^{n-1} p_{n-1} = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (x + \tilde{\kappa}_i),$$

is a $(n-1)$ -degree polynomial with $n-1$ real roots $-\tilde{\kappa}_i \leq -1$ ($1 \leq i \leq n-1$). We can write

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{1}{n}F'_n(x) = x^{n-1} + C_{n-1}^1 p_1 x^{n-2} + C_{n-1}^2 p_2 x^{n-3} + \cdots + C_{n-1}^{n-2} p_{n-2} x + p_{n-1} = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (x + \tilde{\kappa}_i).$$

This means that p_i ($1 \leq i \leq n-1$) of $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^n$ can be viewed as the average of $(n-1)$ -choose- i type products of $\tilde{\kappa} = (\tilde{\kappa}_1, \dots, \tilde{\kappa}_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ with $\tilde{\kappa}_j \geq 1$ for all j . Namely

$$p_i(\kappa) = p_i(\tilde{\kappa}), \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

Therefore, by an argument of mathematical induction, it suffices to prove Claim 1 for $n=4$, and prove Claim 2 for $n=5$.

Proof of Claim 1 for $n=4$: Given n numbers $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_n)$, we denote $\sum_{cyc} f(\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n)$ the cyclic summation which takes over all *different* terms of the type $f(\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n)$. For instance,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{cyc} \kappa_1 &= \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \cdots + \kappa_n, & \sum_{cyc} \kappa_1^2 \kappa_2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\kappa_i^2 \sum_{j \neq i} \kappa_j \right), \\ \sum_{cyc} \kappa_1 (\kappa_2 - \kappa_3)^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\kappa_i \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j < k \leq n \\ j, k \neq i}} (\kappa_j - \kappa_k)^2 \right), \\ &= (n-2) \sum_{cyc} \kappa_1 \kappa_2^2 - 6 \sum_{cyc} \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3. \end{aligned}$$

When $n=4$, we have

$$p_1 = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{cyc} \kappa_1, \quad p_2 = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{cyc} \kappa_1 \kappa_2, \quad p_3 = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{cyc} \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3, \quad p_4 = \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3 \kappa_4.$$

Then we can get

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} p_1 p_3 - p_4 &= \frac{1}{16} \left((\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \kappa_3 + \kappa_4) (\kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3 + \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_4 + \kappa_1 \kappa_3 \kappa_4 + \kappa_2 \kappa_3 \kappa_4) - 16 \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3 \kappa_4 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{16} \sum_{cyc} \kappa_1 \kappa_2 (\kappa_3 - \kappa_4)^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} 3(p_2 p_4 - p_3^2) &= 3 \left(\frac{1}{6} \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3 \kappa_4 (\kappa_1 \kappa_2 + \kappa_1 \kappa_3 + \kappa_2 \kappa_3 + \kappa_1 \kappa_4 + \kappa_2 \kappa_4 + \kappa_3 \kappa_4) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{16} (\kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3 + \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_4 + \kappa_1 \kappa_3 \kappa_4 + \kappa_2 \kappa_3 \kappa_4)^2 \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{16} \sum_{cyc} \kappa_1^2 \kappa_2^2 (\kappa_3 - \kappa_4)^2, \end{aligned}$$

from above, we can infer that

$$(3.12) \quad 3(p_2 p_4 - p_3^2) + p_1 p_3 - p_4 = \frac{1}{16} \sum_{cyc} \kappa_1 \kappa_2 (1 - \kappa_1 \kappa_2) (\kappa_3 - \kappa_4)^2 \leq 0.$$

This proves Claim 1.

Proof of Claim 2 for $n = 5$: We have:

$$p_1 = \frac{1}{5} \sum_{cyc} \kappa_1, \quad p_3 = \frac{1}{10} \sum_{cyc} \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3, \quad p_4 = \frac{1}{5} \sum_{cyc} \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3 \kappa_4, \quad p_5 = \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3 \kappa_4 \kappa_5.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 p_3 - p_4 &= \frac{1}{50} \left((\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \kappa_3 + \kappa_4 + \kappa_5) \sum_{cyc} \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3 - 10 \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3 \kappa_4 \kappa_5 \sum_{i=1}^5 \frac{1}{\kappa_i} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{50} \left(\sum_{cyc} \kappa_1^2 \kappa_2 \kappa_3 - 6 \sum_{cyc} \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3 \kappa_4 \right) \\ (3.13) \quad &= \frac{1}{100} \sum_{cyc} \kappa_1 \kappa_2 (\kappa_3 - \kappa_4)^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 3(p_5 p_3 - p_4^2) &= 3 \left(\frac{1}{10} \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3 \kappa_4 \kappa_5 \sum_{cyc} \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3 - \frac{1}{25} \left(\sum_{cyc} \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3 \kappa_4 \right)^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{3}{50} \left(-2 \sum_{cyc} (\kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3 \kappa_4)^2 + \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3 \kappa_4 \kappa_5 \sum_{cycle} \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3 \right) \\ (3.14) \quad &= \frac{3}{100} \left(- \sum_{cyc} (\kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3)^2 (\kappa_4 - \kappa_5)^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 3(p_5 p_3 - p_4^2) + (p_3 p_1 - p_4) &= \frac{1}{100} \sum_{cyc} \kappa_1 \kappa_2 (\kappa_3 - \kappa_4)^2 + \frac{3}{100} \left(- \sum_{cyc} (\kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3)^2 (\kappa_4 - \kappa_5)^2 \right) \\ (3.15) \quad &= \frac{1}{100} \sum_{cyc} [\kappa_1 \kappa_2 + \kappa_2 \kappa_3 + \kappa_1 \kappa_3 - 3(\kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3)^2] (\kappa_4 - \kappa_5)^2 \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies Claim 2. Therefore the proof completes. \square

Remark 3.4. *One can also prove the Proposition by using a replacement with $\kappa_i = 1 + \tilde{\kappa}_i$ with $\tilde{\kappa}_i \geq 0$ for all i and the ordinary Newton-McLaughlin inequalities (Lemma 2.1). With the same proof we present here, Proposition 3.3 holds for $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ with $\kappa_i \kappa_j \geq 1$ for all $i \neq j$. This is equivalent to the condition that the sectional curvature of Σ is non-negative. We believe that the results proved in this paper for horospherical convex hypersurfaces hold also for hypersurfaces with non-negative sectional curvature.*

Remark 3.5. *From the proof of Proposition 3.3, it is easy to see that (3.3) changes sign for $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ with $0 \leq \kappa_i \leq 1$.*

Now we have a monotonicity of $Q(\Sigma_t)$ defined by (1.11) under flow (1.10).

Theorem 3.6. *Functional $Q(\Sigma_t)$ is non-increasing under the flow (1.10), provided that the initial surface is horospherical convex.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.2, Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 3.3, we have

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma} \left\{ \sigma_4 - \frac{(n-3)(n-4)}{6} \sigma_2 + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)}{24} \right\} \\ & \leq (n-5) \int_{\Sigma} \left\{ \sigma_4 - \frac{(n-3)(n-4)}{6} \sigma_2 + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)}{24} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (2.4) and (2.2), we also have

$$(3.17) \quad \frac{d}{dt} |\Sigma_t| = \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{n-4}{4} \frac{\sigma_3 \sigma_1}{\sigma_4} d\mu \geq (n-1) |\Sigma_t|.$$

Combining (3.16) and (3.17) together, we complete the proof. \square

Theorem 3.7. *For any horospherical convex hypersurface Σ in \mathbb{H}^n with $n > 5$, we have*

$$(3.18) \quad Q(\Sigma) \geq \frac{(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)}{24} \omega_{n-1}^{\frac{4}{n-1}}.$$

Equality holds if and only if Σ is a geodesic sphere.

Proof. Let $\Sigma(t)$ be a solution of flow (1.10) obtained by the work of Gerhardt [23]. We have showed that this flow preserves the horospherical convexity and non-increases the functional Q in proposition 2.2 and Theorem 3.6 respectively. Hence, to show (3.18) we only need to show

$$(3.19) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Q(\Sigma_t) \geq \frac{(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)}{24} \omega_{n-1}^{\frac{4}{n-1}}.$$

Since Σ is a horospherical convex hypersurface in (\mathbb{H}^n, \hat{g}) , it can be written as a graph of function $r(\theta)$, $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. We denote $X(t)$ as graphs $r(t, \theta)$ on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} with the standard metric \hat{g} . We set $\lambda(r) = \sinh(r)$ and we have $\lambda'(r) = \cosh(r)$. It is clear that

$$(\lambda')^2 = (\lambda)^2 + 1.$$

We define $\varphi(\theta) = \Phi(r(\theta))$. Here Φ is a function satisfying

$$\Phi' = \frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

We need another function

$$v = \sqrt{1 + |\nabla \varphi|_{\hat{g}}^2}.$$

By the result of Gerhardt [23], we have the following results.

Lemma 3.8.

$$\lambda = O(e^{-\frac{t}{n-1}}), \quad |\nabla \varphi| + |\nabla^2 \varphi| = O(e^{-\frac{t}{n-1}}).$$

The second fundamental form of Σ is written in an orthonormal basis

$$h_j^i = \frac{\lambda'}{v\lambda} \left(\delta_j^i - \frac{\varphi_j^i}{\lambda'} + \frac{\varphi^i \varphi^l \varphi_{jl}}{v^2 \lambda'} \right).$$

We have also

$$\nabla \lambda = \lambda \lambda' \nabla \varphi.$$

We recall the basic facts

$$\sigma_4 = \frac{1}{24} (s_1^4 - 6s_1^2s_2 + 8s_1s_3 + 3s_2^2 - 6s_4),$$

$$\sigma_2 = \frac{1}{2} (s_1^2 - s_2),$$

where

$$s_k := \sum_i \kappa_i^k.$$

From above, we have the following expansions.

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= \frac{\lambda'}{v\lambda} \left(n-1 - \frac{\Delta\varphi}{\lambda'} + \frac{\varphi^i\varphi^j\varphi_{ij}}{v^2\lambda'} \right) + O(e^{-\frac{6t}{n-1}}), \\ s_2 &= \left(\frac{\lambda'}{v\lambda} \right)^2 \left(n-1 - \frac{2\Delta\varphi}{\lambda'} + \frac{\varphi_l^i\varphi_i^l}{(\lambda')^2} + \frac{2\varphi^i\varphi^j\varphi_{ij}}{v^2\lambda'} \right) + O(e^{-\frac{6t}{n-1}}), \\ s_3 &= \left(\frac{\lambda'}{v\lambda} \right)^3 \left(n-1 - \frac{3\Delta\varphi}{\lambda'} + \frac{3\varphi_l^i\varphi_i^l}{(\lambda')^2} + \frac{3\varphi^i\varphi^j\varphi_{ij}}{v^2\lambda'} \right) + O(e^{-\frac{6t}{n-1}}), \\ s_4 &= \left(\frac{\lambda'}{v\lambda} \right)^4 \left(n-1 - \frac{4\Delta\varphi}{\lambda'} + \frac{6\varphi_l^i\varphi_i^l}{(\lambda')^2} + \frac{4\varphi^i\varphi^j\varphi_{ij}}{v^2\lambda'} \right) + O(e^{-\frac{6t}{n-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

These give

$$\sigma_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\lambda'}{v\lambda} \right)^2 \left((n-1)(n-2) - \frac{2(n-2)\Delta\varphi}{\lambda'} - \frac{\varphi_l^i\varphi_i^l}{(\lambda')^2} + \left(\frac{\Delta\varphi}{\lambda'} \right)^2 + \frac{2(n-2)\varphi^i\varphi^j\varphi_{ij}}{v^2\lambda'} \right) + O(e^{-\frac{6t}{n-1}}),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_4 &= \frac{1}{24} \left(\frac{\lambda'}{v\lambda} \right)^4 (n-3)(n-4) \left((n-1)(n-2) - \frac{4(n-2)\Delta\varphi}{\lambda'} - \frac{6\varphi_l^i\varphi_i^l}{(\lambda')^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 6 \left(\frac{\Delta\varphi}{\lambda'} \right)^2 + \frac{4(n-2)\varphi^i\varphi^j\varphi_{ij}}{v^2\lambda'} \right) + O(e^{-\frac{6t}{n-1}}), \end{aligned}$$

which imply

$$\begin{aligned} l_2 &= \sigma_4 - \frac{(n-3)(n-4)}{6} \sigma_2 + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)}{24} \\ &= \frac{(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)}{24} \left[\left(\frac{\lambda'}{v\lambda} \right)^2 - 1 \right]^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)}{6} \frac{\Delta\varphi}{\lambda'} \left(\frac{\lambda'}{v\lambda} \right)^2 \left[\left(\frac{\lambda'}{v\lambda} \right)^2 - 1 \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)}{6} \left(\frac{\lambda'}{v\lambda} \right)^2 \left[\left(\frac{\lambda'}{v\lambda} \right)^2 - 1 \right] \frac{\varphi^i\varphi^j\varphi_{ij}}{v^2\lambda'} \\ &\quad + \frac{(n-3)(n-4)}{4} \left(\frac{\Delta\varphi}{\lambda'} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\lambda'}{v\lambda} \right)^2 \left[\left(\frac{\lambda'}{v\lambda} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{3} \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{(n-3)(n-4)}{4} \frac{\varphi_l^i\varphi_i^l}{(\lambda')^2} \left(\frac{\lambda'}{v\lambda} \right)^2 \left[\left(\frac{\lambda'}{v\lambda} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{3} \right] + O(e^{-\frac{6t}{n-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.8 and expressions of v, λ, λ' , we get

$$\left(\frac{\lambda'}{v\lambda}\right)^2 - 1 = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} - |\nabla\varphi|^2 + O(e^{-\frac{4t}{n-1}}),$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{6l_2}{(n-3)(n-4)} &= \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{4} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2} - |\nabla\varphi|^2 \right)^2 - (n-2) \frac{\Delta\varphi}{\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2} - |\nabla\varphi|^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\Delta\varphi}{\lambda} \right)^2 - \frac{\varphi_i^i \varphi_j^j}{(\lambda')^2} + O(e^{-\frac{6t}{n-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

We now define a 2-tensor

$$A = -\lambda \nabla^2 \varphi - \frac{1}{2} (\lambda^2 |\nabla\varphi|^2 - 1) \hat{g}.$$

One can check that

$$\frac{3l_2}{(n-3)(n-4)} = \lambda^{-4} \sigma_2(\hat{g}^{-1}A) + O(e^{-\frac{6t}{n-1}}).$$

Recall $\varphi(\theta) = \Phi(r(\theta))$. It is easy to check that $\lambda_i = \lambda' r_i$. It follows that

$$\varphi_i = \lambda_i / \lambda \lambda' \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_{ij} = \frac{\lambda_{ij}}{\lambda^2} - \frac{2\lambda_i \lambda_j}{\lambda^3} + O(e^{-\frac{3t}{n-1}}).$$

Hence we have

$$(3.20) \quad \frac{3l_2}{(n-3)(n-4)} = \lambda^{-4} \sigma_2(\hat{g}^{-1}(-\frac{\nabla^2 \lambda}{\lambda} + \frac{2\nabla\lambda \otimes \nabla\lambda}{\lambda^2} - \frac{1}{2}(\frac{|\nabla\lambda|^2}{\lambda^2} - 1)\hat{g})) + O(e^{-\frac{6t}{n-1}}).$$

Recall the definition of the Schouten tensor

$$S_{\hat{g}} = \frac{1}{n-3} \left(Ric_{\hat{g}} - \frac{R_{\hat{g}}}{2(n-2)} \hat{g} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \hat{g}.$$

Its conformal transformation formula is well-known (see for example [43])

$$(3.21) \quad S_{\lambda^2 \hat{g}} = -\frac{\nabla^2 \lambda}{\lambda} + \frac{2\nabla\lambda \otimes \nabla\lambda}{\lambda^2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\nabla\lambda|^2}{\lambda^2} \hat{g} + S_{\hat{g}} = -\frac{\nabla^2 \lambda}{\lambda} + \frac{2\nabla\lambda \otimes \nabla\lambda}{\lambda^2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\nabla\lambda|^2}{\lambda^2} \hat{g} + \frac{1}{2} \hat{g}.$$

From (3.20) and (3.21), we obtain

$$\frac{3l_2}{(n-3)(n-4)} = \sigma_2(\lambda^2 \hat{g}) + O(e^{-\frac{6t}{n-1}}).$$

Recall that the metric on $\Sigma(t)$ has the following expansion

$$g = \lambda^2(\hat{g} + \nabla\varphi \otimes \nabla\varphi) = \lambda^2 \hat{g} + O(1).$$

It follows

$$\sqrt{\det(g)} = \lambda^{n-1} (1 + O(e^{-\frac{2t}{n-1}})),$$

which gives

$$|\Sigma(t)| = (1 + o(1)) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \lambda^{n-1} = (1 + o(1)) \text{vol}(\lambda^2 \hat{g}).$$

Similarly, we have

$$(3.22) \quad \int \frac{3l_2}{(n-3)(n-4)} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sigma_2(\lambda^2 \hat{g}) d\text{vol}_{\lambda^2 \hat{g}} + O(e^{-\frac{(n-7)t}{n-1}}) = (1 + o(1)) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sigma_2(\lambda^2 \hat{g}) d\text{vol}_{\lambda^2 \hat{g}}.$$

Here we have used the fact $\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sigma_2(\lambda^2 \hat{g}) dvol_{\lambda^2 \hat{g}} = O(e^{\frac{(n-5)t}{n-1}})$ (in fact, we have $\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sigma_2(\lambda^2 \hat{g}) dvol_{\lambda^2 \hat{g}} \geq c|\Sigma(t)|^{\frac{n-5}{n-1}} \geq c_1 e^{\frac{(n-5)t}{n-1}}$ for some $c, c_1 > 0$ see the proof below). We try to use the generalized Sobolev inequality for the conformal metric $\lambda^2 \hat{g}$, which is presented in Proposition 2.3. For this purpose, we need to show that $\lambda^2 \hat{g} \in \Gamma_1^+$. Our observation is that it is asymptotically true. More precisely, we have the following asymptotic property

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= n-1 + \frac{n-1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2} - |\nabla \varphi|^2 \right) - \frac{\Delta \varphi}{\lambda} + O(e^{-\frac{4t}{n-1}}) \\ &= n-1 + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \left(\frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{n-5}{2} \frac{|\nabla \lambda|^2}{\lambda^2} - \frac{\Delta \lambda}{\lambda} \right) + O(e^{-\frac{4t}{n-1}}) \\ &= n-1 + \sigma_1(\lambda^2 \hat{g}) + O(e^{-\frac{4t}{n-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Recall $\Sigma(t)$ is a horospherical convex hypersurface. As a consequence, $\sigma_1 \geq n-1$ so that

$$\sigma_1(\lambda^2 \hat{g}) + O(e^{-\frac{4t}{n-1}}) \geq 0.$$

We consider $\tilde{\lambda} := \lambda^{1-e^{-\frac{t}{n-1}}}$ and the conformal metric $\tilde{\lambda}^2 \hat{g}$. We have

$$\tilde{\lambda}^2 \sigma_1(\tilde{\lambda}^2 \hat{g}) = \frac{n-1}{2} e^{-\frac{t}{n-1}} + \frac{n-3}{2} e^{-\frac{t}{n-1}} (1 - e^{-\frac{t}{n-1}}) \frac{|\nabla \lambda|^2}{\lambda^2} + (1 - e^{-\frac{t}{n-1}}) \lambda^2 \sigma_1(\lambda^2 \hat{g}).$$

This yields $\tilde{\lambda}^2 \hat{g} \in \Gamma_1^+$. From the Sobolev inequality (2.9) for the σ_2 operator, we have

$$(3.23) \quad (vol(\tilde{\lambda}^2 \hat{g}))^{-\frac{n-5}{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sigma_2(\tilde{\lambda}^2 \hat{g}) dvol_{\tilde{\lambda}^2 \hat{g}} \geq \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{8} \omega_{\frac{4}{n-1}}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(3.24) \quad (vol(\tilde{\lambda}^2 \hat{g}))^{-\frac{n-5}{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sigma_2(\tilde{\lambda}^2 \hat{g}) dvol_{\tilde{\lambda}^2 \hat{g}} = (1 + o(1)) (vol(\lambda^2 \hat{g}))^{-\frac{n-5}{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sigma_2(\lambda^2 \hat{g}) dvol_{\lambda^2 \hat{g}},$$

since

$$\lambda^{-e^{-\frac{t}{n-1}}} = 1 + o(1).$$

As a consequence of (3.22), (3.23) and (3.24), we deduce

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} (vol(\Sigma(t)))^{-\frac{n-5}{n-1}} \int_{\Sigma(t)} l_2 \geq \frac{(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)}{24} \omega_{\frac{4}{n-1}}.$$

This proves (3.19), and hence (3.18). When (3.18) is an equality, then Q is constant along the flow. In this case (3.17) is an equality, which implies that equality in the inequality

$$\frac{n-4}{4} \frac{\sigma_1 \sigma_3}{\sigma_4} \geq n-1,$$

holds. Therefore, Σ is a geodesic sphere. \square

Theorem 3.7 has its own interest. It is in fact a Sobolev type inequality. See similar Sobolev type inequalities in [29]. Now we can finish the proof of our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. First of all, it is easy to check that for geodesic spheres all inequalities considered in this paper are equalities.

In view of (1.11) and (3.18), we have when $n > 5$,

$$(3.25) \quad \int_{\Sigma} l_2 \geq \frac{(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)}{24} \omega_{n-1}^{\frac{4}{n-1}} (|\Sigma|)^{\frac{n-5}{n-1}}.$$

Since we can write $\sigma_4 = l_2 + \frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{6} \sigma_2 - \frac{(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)}{24}$, combining above with (1.8) we get

$$(3.26) \quad \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_4 \geq C_{n-1}^4 \omega_{n-1}^{\frac{4}{n-1}} (|\Sigma|)^{\frac{n-5}{n-1}} + \int \frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{6} \sigma_2 - \frac{(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)}{24}$$

$$(3.27) \quad \geq C_{n-1}^4 \omega_{n-1} \left\{ \left(\frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2} \frac{n-5}{n-1}} \right\}^2.$$

This is inequality (1.9). By Theorem 3.7, equality holds if and only if Σ is a geodesic sphere,.

When $n = 5$, the Euler characteristic is equal to 1 since the hypersurface Σ is star-shaped. By Remark 3.2, we know that (3.25) is an equality when $n = 5$, even for any hypersurface diffeomorphic to a sphere. Hence in this case, we also have the above inequalities with equality in (3.26), and hence (1.9). Equality in (1.9) implies equality in (3.27), which, in turn, implies by [34] that Σ is a geodesic sphere. \square

Acknowledgment. We would like to thank Pengfei Guan for his helpful discussions and constant support and Wei Wang for his elegant proof of Proposition 3.3.

REFERENCES

- [1] A.D. Alexandrov, *Zur Theorie der gemischten Volumina von konvexen Körpern, II. Neue Ungleichungen zwischen den gemischten Volumina und ihre Anwendungen*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 2 (1937) 1205–1238 (in Russian).
- [2] A.D. Alexandrov, *Zur Theorie der gemischten Volumina von konvexen Körpern, III. Die Erweiterung zweier Lehrsätze Minkowskis über die konvexen Polyeder auf beliebige konvexe Flächen*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 3 (1938) 27–46 (in Russian).
- [3] B. Andrews, *Pinching estimates and motion of hypersurfaces by curvature functions*, J. reine angew. Math. **608** (2007) 17–31.
- [4] W. Beckner, *Sharp Sobolev inequalities on the sphere and the Moser-Trudinger inequality*, Ann. of Math. **138**(1993), 213–242.
- [5] A. A. Borisenko and V. Miquel, *Total curvatures of convex hypersurfaces in hyperbolic space*, Illinois J. Math., 43(1):61–78, (1999).
- [6] S. Brendle, *Constant mean curvature surfaces in warped product manifolds*, [arXiv:1105.4273](#), to appear in Publ. Math. IHES.
- [7] S. Brendle, M. Eichmair, *Isoperimetric and Weingarten surfaces in the Schwarzschild manifold*, [arXiv:1208.3988](#), to appear in JDG.
- [8] S. Brendle, P.-K. Hung, and M.-T. Wang, *A Minkowski-type inequality for hypersurfaces in the Anti-deSitter-Schwarzschild manifold*, [arXiv: 1209.0669](#).
- [9] Y.D. Burago and V.A. Zalgaller, *Geometric Inequalities*, Springer, Berlin, (1988).
- [10] Esther Cabezas-Rivas, Vicente Miquel, *Volume preserving mean curvature flow in the hyperbolic space*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 56 No. 5 (2007), 2061–2086.
- [11] S.-Y. A. Chang and Y. Wang, *On Aleksandrov-Fenchel inequalities for k -convex domains*, Milan J. Math., **79** (2011), no. 1, 13–38.
- [12] S.-Y. A. Chang and P. C. Yang, *The inequality of Moser and Trudinger and applications to conformal geometry*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 56 (2003), 1135–1150.

- [13] B. Chow, P. Lu, L. Ni, *Hamilton's Ricci flow*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 77. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; Science Press, New York, (2006).
- [14] M. Dahl, R. Gicquaud, A. Sakovich, *Penrose type inequalities for asymptotically hyperbolic graphs*, **arXiv:1201.3321**.
- [15] L.L. de lima and F. Girão, *An Alexandrov-Fenchel-type inequality in hyperbolic space with an application to a Penrose inequality*, **arXiv:1209.0438v2**.
- [16] Q. Ding, *The inverse mean curvature flow in rotationally symmetric spaces*, Chinese Annals of Mathematics, Series B, 1–18 (2010).
- [17] F. Fillastre, *Fuchsian convex bodies: basics of Brunn–Minkowski theory*, **arXiv:1112.5353**, (2011).
- [18] E. Gallego and G. Solanes, *Integral geometry and geometric inequalities in hyperbolic space*, Differential Geom. Appl. **22**(2005), 315–325.
- [19] Y. Ge and G. Wang, *On a conformal quotient equation. II*, communications in analysis and geometry 21 (2012), 138.
- [20] Y. Ge, G. Wang and J. Wu, *A new mass for asymptotically flat manifolds*, **arXiv:1211.3645**.
- [21] Y. Ge, G. Wang and J. Wu, *The GBC mass for asymptotic hyperbolic manifolds*, in preparation.
- [22] Y. Ge, G. Wang, J. Wu, *Hyperbolic Alexandrov–Fenchel quermassintegral inequalities II*, in preparation.
- [23] C. Gerhardt, *Inverse curvature flows in hyperbolic space*, J. Differential Geom. **89** (2011), no. 3, 487–527.
- [24] P. Guan, *Topics in Geometric Fully Nonlinear Equations*, Lecture Notes, <http://www.math.mcgill.ca/guan/notes.html>.
- [25] P. Guan and J. Li, *The quermassintegral inequalities for k -convex starshaped domains*, Adv. Math. **221**(2009), 1725–1732.
- [26] P. Guan, C.S. Lin and G. Wang, *TApplication of The Method of Moving Planes to Conformally Invariant Equations*, Mathematische Zeitschrift 247 (2004), 1-19.
- [27] P. Guan, X. Ma, N. Trudinger, X.Zhu, *A form of Alexandrov-Fenchel inequality*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. 6 (2010), no. 4, Special Issue: In honor of Joseph J. Kohn. Part 2, 999–1012.
- [28] P. Guan and G. Wang, *A fully nonlinear conformal flow on locally conformally flat manifolds*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 557 (2003), 219–238.
- [29] P. Guan and G. Wang, *Geometric inequalities on locally conformally flat manifolds*, Duke Math. J. 124 (2004), 177–212.
- [30] G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood, G. Polya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, (1934).
- [31] G.Huisken, in preparation. See also [25].
- [32] K.K. Kwong, P. Miao, *A New Monotone Quantity along the Inverse Mean Curvature Flow in \mathbb{R}^n* , **arXiv:1212.1906**.
- [33] H. Li, a private communication.
- [34] H. Li, Y. Wei and C. Xiong, *A geometric inequality on hypersurface in hyperbolic space*, **arXiv:1211.4109**.
- [35] M. Makowski, *Mixed volume preserving curvature flows in hyperbolic space*, **arXiv:1208.1898**.
- [36] A. Neves, *Insufficient convergence of inverse mean curvature flow on asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. **84**(2010), 191–229.
- [37] R. Reilly, *On the Hessian of a function and the curvatures of its graph*, Michigan Math. J. 20 (1973) 373–383.
- [38] I. Rivin and Jean-Marc Schlenker, *On the Schlafli differential formula*, **arXiv:math/0001176**.
- [39] L. Santaló, *Integral geometry and geometric probability*, Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2004).
- [40] E. Schmidt, *Die isoperimetrischen Ungleichungen auf der gewöhnlichen Kugel und für Rotationskörper im n -dimensionalen sphärischen Raum.* (German) Math. Z. 46, (1940), 743–794.
- [41] R. Schneider, *Convex bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski theory*, Cambridge University, (1993), MR1216521.
- [42] G. Solanes, *Integrals de curvatura i geometria integral a l'espai hiperbolic*, Univ. Aut. Barcelona, PhD Thesis, (2003).
- [43] J. Viaclovsky, *Conformal geometry, contact geometry, and the calculus of variations*, Duke Math. J. **101** (2000), no. 2, 283–316.

LABORATOIRE D'ANALYSE ET DE MATHÉMATIQUES APPLIQUÉES, CNRS UMR 8050, DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS EST-CRÉTEIL VAL DE MARNE,, 61 AVENUE DU GÉNÉRAL DE GAULLE, 94010 CRÉTEIL CEDEX, FRANCE

E-mail address: `ge@u-pec.fr`

ALBERT-LUDWIGS-UNIVERSITÄT FREIBURG, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT ECKERSTR. 1 D-79104 FREIBURG

E-mail address: `guofang.wang@math.uni-freiburg.de`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA HEFEI 230026, P. R. CHINA AND ALBERT-LUDWIGS-UNIVERSITÄT FREIBURG, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT ECKERSTR. 1 D-79104 FREIBURG

E-mail address: `jie.wu@math.uni-freiburg.de`