

ON QUARTICS WITH LINES OF THE SECOND KIND

SLAWOMIR RAMS AND MATTHIAS SCHÜTT

ABSTRACT. We study the geometry of quartic surfaces in \mathbb{P}^3 that contain a line of the second kind over algebraically closed fields of characteristic different from 2,3. In particular, we correct Segre's claims made for the complex case in 1943.

1. INTRODUCTION

The main aim of this note is to study the geometry of certain quartics in \mathbb{P}^3 that contain a line. Our investigations concern not only the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} , but any algebraically closed field \mathbb{K} of characteristic $\neq 2, 3$. Specifically, let $X \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^3$ be a smooth quartic surface that contains a line ℓ . The linear system $|\mathcal{O}_X(1) - \ell|$ endows the surface in question with an elliptic fibration

$$(1.1) \quad \pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1.$$

The geometry of (1.1) can in principle be used to determine all lines on X . Notably, any line on X meeting ℓ occurs as component of a singular fiber of (1.1). Euler number considerations yield that ℓ meets at most 24 other lines on X . Following Segre [8] this bound can be improved by noticing that the intersection point of ℓ with any other line on X lies in the closure of the locus of inflection points of smooth fibers of the fibration (1.1). Thus elimination theory gives the (sharp) upper bound of 18 other lines on X possibly met by ℓ unless ℓ is contained in the flex locus (cf. [8, p.88], [7, Lem. 5.2]). Therefore the lines satisfying the latter condition are crucial for a good understanding of possible configurations of lines on smooth quartics. This motivated B. Segre to formulate the following definition (cf. [8, p. 87]) that played central role in his attempt to show that a smooth complex quartic contains at most 64 lines (as proved in [7, Thm. 1.1]).

Definition 1.1 (Lines of the second kind). The line ℓ is of the second kind iff it is contained in the closure of the flex locus of the smooth fibers of the fibration (1.1).

Date: March 6, 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 14J28, 14J25; Secondary 14J70.

Funding by ERC StG 279723 (SURFARI) and NCN grant no. N N201 608040 (S. Rams) is gratefully acknowledged.

The starting point of this paper is Segre's observation that every smooth quartic obtained by perturbing the equation of a ruled quartic S with a product of 4 planes that vanish along a directrix ℓ of S , i.e.

(1.2)

$$S + \hat{L}_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \hat{L}_4, \text{ where the planes } \hat{L}_1, \dots, \hat{L}_4 \text{ meet along the directrix } \ell,$$

contains ℓ as a line of the second kind (see Construction 2.2). Moreover, Segre claimed that any quartic with a line of the second kind is given by (1.2). The latter statement was used in [8] to deduce various properties of quartics with lines of the second kind (see Sect. 3 for details). However, in full generality Segre's claims are wrong. Notably, in [7] we exhibited a family \mathcal{Z} of quartics that crucially contradicted certain bounds stated in [8] (see Sect. 7). Since our main aim was to give a correct characteristic-free proof of the bound on the number of lines, the question why Segre's idea does not work in general was not addressed in [7].

In this paper, we study the geometry of smooth quartics with a line of the second kind in detail. Following an idea from [7] we show that there are 3 distinct families of smooth quartics with such lines, distinguished by the ramification type R of the morphism $\pi|_\ell$, the restriction of (1.1) to ℓ . Here $\pi|_\ell$ has either 2 ramification points (type $R = 2^2$), 3 ramification points (type $R = 2, 1^2$), or 4 ramification points (type $R = 1^4$). The following condition on the fibration (1.1) will arise naturally in this paper:

(1.3)

If a fiber F of (1.1) meets ℓ in exactly one point, then F has type *IV*.

The main results of this paper are summarised in the following theorem, see Sect. 8 for a collection of the ingredients of the proof and Sect. 6, 7 for the definition of the families \mathcal{T} , \mathcal{Z} .

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a smooth quartic with a line ℓ of the second kind of ramification type R .*

- (a) *The surface X can be obtained by Segre's construction (1.2) if and only if the fibration (1.1) satisfies the condition (1.3).*
- (b) *If $R = 2, 1^2$ (resp. $R = 2^2$), then X is projectively equivalent to a member of the family \mathcal{T} (resp. \mathcal{Z}).*

In particular, every quartic with a line of the second kind of ramification type $R = 1^4$ can be written as (1.2), whereas within smooth quartics with a line of the second kind of ramification type $R = 2, 1^2$ (resp. $R = 2^2$), those of the shape (1.2) have codimension 1 (resp. codimension 2).

As a result, we verify that Segre's claims generally do not hold for smooth quartics with a line of the second kind of ramification type $R = 2, 1^2$ or $R = 2^2$ (see Sect. 6, 7). On the other hand, we show that if a quartic X is given by (1.2), then both S and $\hat{L}_1, \dots, \hat{L}_4$ are uniquely determined by (X, ℓ) and can be effectively computed (see Prop. 5.2 and Cor. 6.7, 7.5). In this case, the degree of the singular locus $\text{sing}(S)$ and the ramification

type R determine the Kodaira types of all singular fibers of (1.1) (compare Claim 3.4).

Our interest in surfaces with lines of the second kind has various reasons. Firstly, lines on surfaces play a key role in arithmetic considerations (see e.g. [10, Thm. 2], [2], [3]). In the case of quartics, lines of the second kind appear (implicitly) for example in [3, proof of Thm 5.1]. Secondly, even for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ the maximal number of lines on smooth degree- d surfaces in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^3$ remains unknown for $d \geq 5$. The existence of lines of the second kind is one of the obstacles to improving the general bound given in [8, § 4] (see e.g. [1, Prop. 6.2]). A better understanding of the degree-4 case sheds light on the question what happens for higher degrees, which we plan to address in future work. Finally, one of our main aims is to clarify certain misconceptions/errors that appear in the classical paper [8], and thus correct the current picture of certain aspects of the geometry of quartics in \mathbb{P}^3 that contain a line.

Conventions: Unless otherwise indicated, in this note we work over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{K} of characteristic $p \neq 2, 3$. By abuse of notation, whenever it leads to no ambiguity, we use the same symbol to denote a homogeneous polynomial and the set of its zeroes.

2. SEGRE'S CONSTRUCTION OF QUARTICS WITH LINES OF THE SECOND KIND

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^3$ be a **smooth** quartic surface that contains a line ℓ . For a point $P \in \ell$ we put F_P to denote the fiber of the fibration (1.1) that contains P ; equivalently F_P is the planar cubic residual to the line ℓ in the intersection of X with the tangent space $T_P X$:

$$X \cap T_P X = \ell + F_P.$$

The restriction of the fibration (1.1) to the line ℓ defines a degree 3 map

$$(2.1) \quad \pi|_{\ell} : \ell \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1.$$

Following [7, § 3] we put \mathcal{R} to denote the ramification divisor of (2.1). By the Hurwitz formula, one has $\deg(\mathcal{R}) = 4$, so we distinguish three possible **ramification types**:

$$R = 1^4 \quad (\text{i.e. } \mathcal{R} \text{ is a sum of four distinct points}), \quad R = 2, 1^2 \quad \text{or} \quad R = 2^2.$$

In his attempt to describe all complex quartics with lines of the second kind, Segre uses the geometry of ruled surfaces in the classical sense, i.e. surfaces covered by lines. For the convenience of the reader, in the remark below we collect certain facts about ruled surfaces (in the classical sense), that can be found in [5].

Remark 2.1. Let $S \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^3$ be a reduced, irreducible surface that is a union of a family of lines and which is not a cone over a planar curve (such surfaces were classically called ruled surfaces, see [5, p. 152]). The lines on S give an

algebraic subset \tilde{C} of the Grassmanian $\text{Gr}(2, 4)$. By [5, Lemma 1.2] and [5, Corollary 1.6] the set \tilde{C} consists of an irreducible curve C and at most two points (so-called isolated lines - see [5, Def. 1.4]). A line $\tilde{\ell} \subset S$ is a directrix iff it meets all lines from C (equivalently, the curve C is contained in the tangent space $\text{T}_{\tilde{\ell}}\text{Gr}(2, 4)$ of the Grassmanian at the point $\tilde{\ell}$).

The following simple observation forms the backbone of Segre's considerations in [8, § 6].

Construction 2.2. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^3$ be a smooth quartic surface that contains a line ℓ . Assume that X is given by the equation*

$$(2.2) \quad S + \hat{L}_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \hat{L}_4,$$

where the quartic S is a union of a family of lines, each of which meets ℓ , and the planes $\hat{L}_1, \dots, \hat{L}_4$ meet along the line ℓ . Then ℓ is a line of the second kind on X .

Proof. Let $\ell' \subset S$, $\ell' \neq \ell$ be a line that is not contained in the union of the planes $\hat{L}_1, \dots, \hat{L}_4$, and let P be the point in $\ell \cap \ell'$. By (2.2) we have

$$\ell' \cdot (\ell + F_P) = \ell' \cdot X = 4P,$$

so P is an inflection point of the cubic F_P . Consequently, the line ℓ meets the flex locus of the smooth fibers of the fibration (1.1) in infinitely many points, so ℓ is contained in its closure. \square

On the other hand a line ℓ (not necessarily of the second kind) on a smooth quartic $X \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^3$ gives rise to a hypersurface that is covered by lines as follows. Consider the set

$$(2.3) \quad \mathfrak{S}_{\ell} := \{\tilde{\ell} \subset \mathbb{P}^3 \text{ a line}; \exists P \in \ell \text{ s.t. } \tilde{\ell} \subset \text{T}_P X \text{ and } i(\tilde{\ell}, F_P; P) \geq 2\},$$

where $i(\cdot)$ stands for the proper intersection multiplicity of the line $\tilde{\ell}$ with the planar cubic F_P in the point P (inside the plane $\text{T}_P X$). One has the following observation:

Lemma 2.3. *The union $\cup\{\tilde{\ell} : \tilde{\ell} \in \mathfrak{S}_{\ell}\} =: S_8$ of the family of lines \mathfrak{S}_{ℓ} is a hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^3 of degree at most eight.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\ell = \text{V}(x_3, x_4)$. Let f be a generator of the ideal $\mathcal{I}(X)$. We have

$$f = \sum_{0 < i+j \leq 4} \alpha_{i,j} x_3^i x_4^j \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha_{i,j} \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2] \text{ homogeneous of degree } 4 - i - j.$$

Since X is smooth, $\alpha_{1,0}$, $\alpha_{0,1}$ have no common roots; after a coordinate transformation we can also assume that $\alpha_{1,0}$, $\alpha_{0,1}$ have no multiple roots. In particular, by (2.3) the planes $\text{V}(x_3)$, $\text{V}(x_4)$ are not contained in S_8 .

Let $P = (p_1 : p_2 : 0 : 0) \in \ell$. Since $\text{T}_P X = \text{V}(\alpha_{1,0}(P)x_3 + \alpha_{0,1}(P)x_4)$, if $\alpha_{0,1}(p_1, p_2) \neq 0$, then the cubic F_P is given by the vanishing of the polynomial

$$g(x_1, x_2, x_3) := f(\alpha_{0,1}(p_1, p_2)x_1, \alpha_{0,1}(p_1, p_2)x_2, \alpha_{0,1}(p_1, p_2)x_3, -\alpha_{1,0}(p_1, p_2)x_3)/x_3.$$

By direct computation, there exist $h_1, h_2 \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2]$ (resp. h_3) of degree 5 (resp. 8) such that

- (1) $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_3}(p_1, p_2, 0) = \alpha_{0,1}^2(p_1, p_2) \cdot h_3(p_1, p_2)$ and
- (2) $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}(p_1, p_2, 0) = \alpha_{0,1}^3(p_1, p_2) \cdot h_j(p_1, p_2)$ for $j = 1, 2$.

We define bihomogenous polynomials $H_8 \in \mathbb{K}[z_1, z_2][x_1, \dots, x_4]$ (resp. H_3) of bidegree $(8, 1)$ (resp. $(3, 1)$)

$$\begin{aligned} H_8 &:= \alpha_{0,1}(z_1, z_2) \cdot h_1(z_1, z_2) \cdot x_1 + \alpha_{0,1}(z_1, z_2) \cdot h_2(z_1, z_2) \cdot x_2 + h_3(z_1, z_2) \cdot x_3, \\ H_3 &:= \alpha_{1,0}(z_1, z_2) \cdot x_3 + \alpha_{0,1}(z_1, z_2) \cdot x_4, \end{aligned}$$

and put

$$\mathfrak{H}_8 = V(H_8) \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3, \quad \mathfrak{H}_3 = V(H_3) \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3.$$

By construction, for a point $P \in \ell$ such that $\alpha_{0,1}(P) \neq 0$, the union of the lines $\tilde{\ell} \in \mathfrak{S}_\ell$ through the point P is given by the intersection

$$\mathbb{P}^3 \supset V(H_8(p_1, p_2)) \cap V(H_3(p_1, p_2)) = \cup\{\tilde{\ell} \in \mathfrak{S}_\ell; P \in \tilde{\ell}\}.$$

Thus the union $S_8 = \cup\{\tilde{\ell} : \tilde{\ell} \in \mathfrak{S}_\ell\}$ is contained in support of the push-forward \mathfrak{H} of $\mathfrak{H}_8 \cap \mathfrak{H}_3$ to \mathbb{P}^3 via the projection map. Clearly (up to an appropriate change of coordinates) \mathfrak{H} is given by the resultant of H_3 and H_8 with respect to z_1 after dehomogenising $z_2 = 1$. By inspection of the Sylvester matrix, we infer that $\mathfrak{H} \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ has degree 11.

We continue by eliminating a superfluous component of \mathfrak{H} that does not contribute to S_8 . To this end, we assume that $\alpha_{0,1}(p_1, p_2) = 0$. Then by inspection of the equations, $\{(p_1 : p_2 : 0 : 0)\} \times V(x_3)$ is a component of $\mathfrak{H}_8 \cap \mathfrak{H}_3$. In consequence the plane $V(x_3)$ occurs in \mathfrak{H} with multiplicity $m \geq 3$ (once for each of the three distinct roots of $\alpha_{0,1}$). Hence the degree of the effective divisor $(\mathfrak{H} - mV(x_3))$ in \mathbb{P}^3 is at most 8. By definition, we have

$$(2.4) \quad \cup\{\tilde{\ell} : \tilde{\ell} \in \mathfrak{S}_\ell, \tilde{\ell} \not\subset V(x_3)\} \subset \text{supp}(\mathfrak{H} - mV(x_3)) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{supp}(\mathfrak{H}) \setminus V(x_3) \subset S_8.$$

It remains to show the equality

$$(2.5) \quad S_8 = \text{supp}(\mathfrak{H} - mV(x_3)).$$

For this purpose we use the fact that the fiber F_P is also given in $T_P X$ by the alternative equation

$$f(\alpha_{1,0}(p_1, p_2)x_1, \alpha_{1,0}(p_1, p_2)x_2, -\alpha_{0,1}(p_1, p_2)x_4, \alpha_{1,0}(p_1, p_2)x_4)/x_4 = 0,$$

as soon as $\alpha_{1,0}(p_1, p_2) \neq 0$. Repeating the above reasoning, we derive an effective divisor $(\mathfrak{H}' - m'V(x_4))$ in \mathbb{P}^3 of degree at most 8 such that

$$(2.6) \quad \cup\{\tilde{\ell} : \tilde{\ell} \in \mathfrak{S}_\ell, \tilde{\ell} \not\subset V(x_4)\} \subset \text{supp}(\mathfrak{H}' - m'V(x_4))$$

The inclusions (2.4), (2.6) imply that $(S_8 \setminus \ell)$ is a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^3 \setminus \ell$. Since ℓ meets all lines in the family \mathfrak{S}_ℓ , it lies in the closure of $(S_8 \setminus \ell)$ and S_8 is a hypersurface. Finally, (2.5) follows from (2.4) (since $V(x_3)$ is not contained in S_8 by assumption). \square

Remark 2.4. Segre claims that S_8 is always of degree 8 (see [8, p. 92]) and justifies that claim by a short, dynamic argument dealing with a line of the ramification type $R = 1^4$. We were unable to track any proof of Lemma 2.3 in the literature. We will show that the above claim is true for a general quartic with a line of the second kind (Propositions 6.5, 7.1), and for all quartics with the above ramification type $R = 1^4$ (Lemma 5.1 (d)). However, if we treat S_8 as a *reduced* surface, then the above claim does not always hold (see Cor. 6.7 (b), Cor. 7.5 (b)).

3. SEGRE'S CLAIMS ABOUT COMPLEX QUARTICS WITH LINES OF THE SECOND KIND

In [8, § 6] Segre claims that each complex quartic with a line of the second kind can be constructed as in Construction 2.2. One of the key points in his arguments deals with components of S_8 in case $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ (see the erroneous Claim 3.2). Here we recall Segre's claims before correcting them in the following sections.

Crucially one can find components of S_8 by the following lemma. Here we give a proof over an arbitrary algebraically closed field \mathbb{K} of characteristics $\neq 2, 3$ based on the use of elliptic fibrations in [7]; Segre's original argument over \mathbb{C} uses convergent series (see [8, p. 91]).

Lemma 3.1. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^3$ be a smooth quartic and $\ell \subset X$ a line of the second kind. If $P \in \text{supp}(R_\ell)$, then the tangent plane $T_P X$ is a component of the ruled surface S_8 .*

Proof. We claim that P is a singular point of the fiber F_P . Given this, any line in $T_P X$ through P meets F_P with multiplicity at least 2 in P , and the inclusion $T_P X \subset S_8$ results directly from (2.3).

For P of multiplicity 2 in \mathcal{R} , the claim follows from [7, Lemma 3.2] and its proof therein. On the other hand, if P has multiplicity 1 in R_ℓ , then F_P is a cuspidal cubic (Kodaira type *II*) by [7, Lemma 3.2]. By assumption ℓ meets F_P in two distinct points. Since ℓ lies in the closure of the flex locus of smooth fibers of (1.1), the intersection points can only be the unique smooth inflection point of the fiber and the cusp; in particular, P equals the cusp. \square

Lemma 3.1 implies that the surface S_8 contains four (resp. three or two) planes when ℓ is a line of the second kind with ramification type $R = 1^4$ (resp. $R = 2, 1^2$ or 2^2). According to Segre, however, the hypersurface S_8 contains *always* four planes over \mathbb{C} :

Claim 3.2 ([8, § 6., p. 92]). If ℓ is a line of the second kind, then the octic S_8 is always a union

$$S_4 \cup \Pi_1 \cup \dots \cup \Pi_4,$$

where S_4 is a ruled quartic, the line ℓ is its directrix and the planes Π_1, \dots, Π_4 contain ℓ .

This leads to another claim:

Claim 3.3 ([8, § 6., p. 94]). Each quartic with a line of the second kind is given by (2.2). Moreover, the singular locus of S is either a twisted cubic of double points or a line of triple points.

The latter is used by Segre to show the following statement.

Claim 3.4 ([8, § 7., p. 95]). A line of the second kind on a smooth quartic is met by 12, 15 or 18 other lines on X , with the exact number of lines meeting ℓ given by the formula

$$(24 - 3 \cdot \#(\text{supp}(\mathcal{R}))).$$

As a consequence Segre derives the following bound.

Claim 3.5 ([8, § 7]). A line on a smooth quartic is met by at most 18 other lines on the surface in question.

In Sect. 5 (in combination with [7]) we will verify that Segre's claims are correct for quartics with lines of the second type of the ramification type 1^4 in any characteristic $\neq 2, 3$. In Sections 6, 7, however, we will give counterexamples to the first three claims for the other two ramification types, i.e. $R = 2^2$ and $2, 1^2$. We will also discuss some aspects of the geometry of all quartics that violate the bound of Claim 3.5, but first we will need some technical preliminaries.

4. TECHNICAL PRELIMINARIES

In our considerations we will need the following lemma about ruled quartics.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^3$ be a hypersurface of degree four that is a union of a family \mathfrak{F} of lines, $S = \cup\{\tilde{\ell} : \tilde{\ell} \in \mathfrak{F}\}$. If there exists a line $\ell \subset S$ such that*

$$\ell \cap \text{sing}(S) = \emptyset \text{ and } \ell \cap \tilde{\ell} \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } \tilde{\ell} \in \mathfrak{F},$$

then one has

- *S is not a cone over a quartic curve,*
- *the line ℓ is its directrix and*
- *the singular locus $\text{sing}(S)$ consists either of a line of triple points, or of a twisted cubic of double points.*

Proof. The line ℓ is contained in the smooth locus of S and meets all its components (since each component by assumption is covered by lines from \mathfrak{F}), so the quartic in question is irreducible. We claim that S is not a cone. Indeed, if the hypersurface were a cone, then ℓ would not run through its vertex and hence S would contain a plane. Thus S is a ruled quartic in the sense of [5]. The line ℓ is a directrix of S because it meets infinitely many lines in \mathfrak{F} . As for the singular locus, one can continue by ruling out all other possibilities from [5, § 3.2]. For space reasons, we omit the details here. \square

As an immediate consequence we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.2. *If a smooth quartic $X \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^3$ is given by Construction 2.2, then S is a ruled quartic (in the classical sense) and the singular locus $\text{sing}(S)$ consists either of a line of triple points, or of a twisted cubic of double points.*

If a smooth quartic X is given by Construction 2.2, then the intersection $\hat{L}_j \cap S$ consists of four lines. On the fibration (1.1), this gives a singular fiber of Kodaira type I_3 or IV comprising the 3 lines other than ℓ . Without difficulty one can distinguish these two cases according to the shape of $\text{sing}(S)$:

Lemma 4.3. *Let X be a smooth quartic given by Construction 2.2.*

- (a) *The four planes $\hat{L}_1, \dots, \hat{L}_4$ contain fibers of Kodaira type IV of the fibration (1.1) iff $\text{sing}(S)$ is a line of triple points.*
- (b) *The four planes $\hat{L}_1, \dots, \hat{L}_4$ contain fibers of Kodaira type I_3 of the fibration (1.1) iff $\text{sing}(S)$ is a twisted cubic.*

We can now derive quite easily the following uniqueness result:

Lemma 4.4. *Let X be a smooth quartic obtained by Construction 2.2. If X is given by the polynomial $\tilde{S} + \hat{L}_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \hat{L}_4$, where \tilde{S} is a ruled quartic and the line ℓ is its directrix, then*

$$S = \tilde{S}.$$

Proof. The quartic X is smooth, so S has no singularities on the line ℓ . We can apply Lemma 4.1, to see that $\text{sing}(S)$ is either a line of triple points or a twisted cubic of double points, and likewise for $\text{sing}(\tilde{S})$. For $j = 1, \dots, 4$, we have the following intersections consisting of 4 lines:

$$(4.1) \quad \hat{L}_j \cap \tilde{S} = \hat{L}_j \cap X \stackrel{(*)}{=} \hat{L}_j \cap S.$$

Suppose that $\text{sing}(S)$ is a line of triple points. Let $j = 1, \dots, 4$. Then \hat{L}_j contains a fiber of Kodaira type IV of the fibration (1.1) by Lemma 4.3. Moreover, (4.1) yields that $\text{sing}(\tilde{S})$ meets the plane \hat{L}_j only in the singular point of the type IV fiber. In particular, $\text{sing}(\tilde{S})$ cannot be a twisted cubic, so it is a line as well. It meets $\text{sing}(S)$ at singular points of the four type IV fibers, so the lines of singularities coincide, i.e.

$$\text{sing}(S) = \text{sing}(\tilde{S}).$$

Thus the irreducible quartics S, \tilde{S} meet along the line $\text{sing}(S)$ with multiplicity at least 9. Furthermore, they contain the 13 lines in (4.1), so Bézout implies $S = \tilde{S}$.

On the other hand, if $\text{sing}(S)$ is a twisted cubic of double points, then \hat{L}_j contains a fiber of Kodaira type I_3 of the fibration (1.1) for each $j = 1, \dots, 4$. By (4.1), $\text{sing}(\tilde{S})$ is also a twisted cubic; it meets $\text{sing}(S)$ in 12 points, so they coincide for degree reasons. Hence the irreducible quartics S, \tilde{S} meet

along this twisted cubic with multiplicity at least 4. Since they have 13 lines in common, we obtain $S = \tilde{S}$ by Bézout again. \square

The assumption that X is given by Construction 2.2 has another consequence for the singular fibers of the fibration (1.1).

Lemma 4.5. *Let X be a smooth quartic given by Construction 2.2 and let F be a fiber of the fibration (1.1). If F meets the line ℓ in exactly one point P , then F is of Kodaira type IV.*

Proof. We can assume that $F \subset V(x_4)$, the line $\ell = V(x_3, x_4)$ and $P = (0 : 1 : 0 : 0)$. Since ℓ is a directrix of S , the intersection $X \cap V(x_4)$ is given by product of four linear forms:

$$x_3 \cdot \prod_{i=1}^3 (a_i x_1 + b_i x_2 + c_i x_3).$$

By (2.2), the fiber F is given as

$$\prod_{i=1}^3 (a_i x_1 + b_i x_2 + c_i x_3) + \gamma x_3^3 \quad \text{for some } \gamma \in \mathbb{K}.$$

But $P \in F$ implies $b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = 0$, and we obtain the 3 fiber components meeting at $(0 : 1 : 0)$ as required (recall that X is smooth, so the intersection $X \cap V(x_4)$ is reduced). \square

In the sequel we will also use some properties of the surface S_8 .

Lemma 4.6. *Let ℓ be a line of the second kind on a smooth quartic X and let S_8 be the surface defined by the pair (X, ℓ) .*

- (a) *If a plane Π is a component of the surface S_8 , then $\Pi = T_P X$ for a point $P \in \text{supp}(\mathcal{R})$.*
- (b) *The surface S_8 does not decompose into planes neither does it contain an irreducible quadric.*

Proof. (a) Let the plane Π be a component of S_8 . Each line $\ell' \in \mathfrak{S}_\ell$ is contained in a component of S_8 , so infinitely many are contained in Π . If two of these lines run through the same point on ℓ , the point is a singularity of the fiber and we are done. Otherwise, Π meets ℓ in two points, so it contains ℓ . Let $F \subset \Pi$ be the fiber of (1.1). Suppose that F meets ℓ in three distinct points. Then, by definition of the family \mathfrak{S}_ℓ (see (2.3)), exactly three lines contained in Π are members of \mathfrak{S}_ℓ , so $\Pi \cap S_8$ consists of three lines and Π is no component of S_8 .

(b) We consider the planes contained in S_8 and denote by S_k the residual hypersurface of degree k . We shall use the following elementary fact: A general hyperplane H containing ℓ meets S_8 and S_k in the same 4 lines, namely ℓ and the 3 flex tangents of the cubic residual to ℓ in H at the intersection points with ℓ . This immediately implies that $k > 0$, that is, S_8 does not decompose into planes.

Suppose that $S_2 \subset S_k$ is an irreducible quadric. Observe that S_2 contains the line ℓ . If S_2 is a cone, then its vertex P lies on ℓ . By (2.3) the quadric S_2 contains the tangent plane $T_P X$, contradiction. Thus S_2 is smooth. Recall the intersection of S_k with a general hyperplane H containing ℓ :

$$S_k \cap H = \ell + \ell_1 + \ell_2 + \ell_3.$$

By the quadric structure we have, say, $\ell_1 \subset S_2$ and $\ell_2, \ell_3 \not\subset S_2$. But then S_2 clearly contains a unique line $\tilde{\ell} \neq \ell, \ell_2$ through the intersection point $P = \ell \cap \ell_2$. That is, S_k contains two lines through P other than ℓ . However, through a general point $P \in \ell$, S_k contains exactly one line other than ℓ , namely the flex tangent $T_P F_P$ (inside $T_P X$). This gives the required contradiction. \square

We end this section with a lemma that follows one of the main ideas of [8, § 6].

Lemma 4.7. *Let ℓ be a line of the second kind on a smooth quartic X and let S_8 be defined by the pair (X, ℓ) . Moreover, let $\text{supp}(\mathcal{R}) = \{P_1, \dots, P_k\}$ ($k = 2, 3, 4$). Assume that*

- *there exists a quartic S_4 that contains none of the planes $T_{P_i} X$, where $i = 1, \dots, k$, and the following equality holds*

$$(4.2) \quad S_8 = S_4 \cup T_{P_1} X \cup \dots \cup T_{P_k} X$$

- *there exist pairwise distinct planes L_1, \dots, L_4 , none of which coincides with $T_{P_1} X, \dots, T_{P_k} X$, that contain ℓ and intersect X along four lines, i.e. for $j = 1, \dots, 4$ one has:*

$$(4.3) \quad L_j \cap X = \ell + \ell_j + \ell'_j + \ell''_j.$$

Then X is given by the equation

$$(4.4) \quad X = \lambda \cdot S_4 + L_1 \cdot \dots \cdot L_4 \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \mathbb{K}^\times.$$

Proof. We consider the proper intersection cycle of the two quartics

$$S_4 \cdot X = m\ell + D,$$

where ℓ is not contained in the support of the residual divisor D of degree $(16 - m)$. We claim that $m \geq 4$. Indeed, fix a point $P \in (\ell \setminus \text{supp}(D))$ such that the fiber F_P is a smooth; by [7, Lemma 3.2] (see also the proof of Lemma 3.1), F_P meets the line ℓ in three distinct points. Let $\tilde{\ell} := T_P F_P$ and let $\Pi \neq T_P X$ be a plane that contains $\tilde{\ell}$. Then

$$(4.5) \quad m = i(S_4 \cap \Pi, X \cap \Pi; P).$$

Since ℓ is a line of the second kind, P is an inflection point of F_P , which yields the equality

$$\tilde{\ell} \cdot X = 4P.$$

Thus $\tilde{\ell}$ meets the planar quartic $X \cap \Pi$ in the point P with multiplicity 4. But $\tilde{\ell}$ is a component of the planar curve $S_4 \cap \Pi$ so the right-hand side of (4.5) is at least 4 as claimed.

By definition, the surface S_8 contains all lines on X that meet ℓ . We infer from (4.2), that the quartic S_4 , and consequently the support of the divisor D contain four triplets $\ell_j, \ell'_j, \ell''_j$ of coplanar lines, where $j = 1, \dots, 4$. For degree reasons we thus find $m = 4$ and

$$S_4 \cdot X = 4\ell + \sum_{j=1}^4 (\ell_j + \ell'_j + \ell''_j).$$

Since S_4 and $L_1 \cdot \dots \cdot L_4$ intersect X along the same divisor, one obtains (4.4) which completes the proof of Lemma 4.7. \square

5. RAMIFICATION TYPE 1^4

In this section we study quartics with a line of the second kind of ramification type $R = 1^4$. Recall from Theorem 1.2 that it is exactly this ramification type where Segre's claims listed in Sect. 3 ought to hold true (cf. [8, §6]). Our purpose is to give precise proofs of these claims over the field \mathbb{K} (not only \mathbb{C}).

In the lemma below we collect certain consequences of [7, § 3] that we will need in the sequel.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a smooth quartic and $\ell \subset X$ be a line of the second kind with ramification type 1^4 and $\mathcal{R} = P_1 + \dots + P_4$.*

- (a) *The fibers F_{P_1}, \dots, F_{P_4} are singular fibers of type II. In particular, they contain no lines.*
- (b) *The fibration (1.1) has no singular fibers of type I_2 .*
- (c) *The line ℓ is met by exactly 12 lines $\ell' \neq \ell$ on X . They form four triplets of coplanar lines.*
- (d) *The tangent planes $T_{P_j} X$, where $j = 1, \dots, 4$, are components of the surface S_8 and one has $\deg(S_8) = 8$.*
- (e) *The quartic S_4 residual to the tangent spaces $T_{P_1} X, \dots, T_{P_4} X$ in S_8 is a union of a family of lines, each of which meets ℓ . Moreover, ℓ is no component of $\text{sing}(S_4)$.*

Proof. (a) By [7, Lemma 3.2] the fibers F_{P_1}, \dots, F_{P_4} are cuspidal cubics (i.e. fibers of type II).

(b) The fibers of type I_2 are ruled out by [7, Lemma 3.1] and [7, Lemma 3.2].

(c) [7, Prop. 4.1] implies that the line ℓ is met by exactly 12 lines $\ell' \neq \ell$ on X . By [7, Lemma 3.1] they are contained in fibers of type either I_3 or IV of the elliptic fibration (1.1), so they form triplets of coplanar lines.

(d) The first assertion follows from Lemma 3.1. Let S_4 denote the hypersurface residual to the tangent spaces $T_{P_1} X, \dots, T_{P_4} X$ in S_8 . A plane $\Pi \neq T_{P_j} X$ ($j = 1, \dots, 4$) containing ℓ meets S_4 along four distinct lines (ℓ and the flex tangents to the 3 intersection points of ℓ and the residual plane cubic). Hence $\deg(S_4) \geq 4$. Since $\deg(S_8) \leq 8$ by Lemma 2.3, this completes the proof of (d).

(e) The quartic S_4 is covered by the planes containing ℓ . Each intersection with a plane outside the ramified points P_1, \dots, P_4 decomposes into 4 lines by the proof of (d). On the other hand the intersection of the quartic S_4 and the plane $\mathbb{T}_{P_j} X$, where $j = 1, \dots, 4$, contains at least three distinct lines: ℓ , the line tangent to F_{P_j} in the unique smooth inflection point, and the tangent cone $\mathbb{C}_{P_j} F_{P_j}$. For degree reasons, it thus consists solely of lines (each meeting ℓ). Hence, S_4 is the union of the family of lines given by intersecting with the planes containing ℓ .

By (c), ℓ is met by 12 lines on X comprising four singular fibers of (1.1). On these fibers, the flex tangents to the 3 intersection points of ℓ and the fiber are by definition the lines themselves. Thus S_4 contains all lines on X meeting ℓ .

By the proof of (d) again, intersecting of S_4 with a general plane containing ℓ , we obtain ℓ as a reduced component of the intersection for degree reasons; hence ℓ cannot be contained in $\text{sing}(S_4)$. This completes the proof of (e). \square

In the proposition below, ruled surface stands for a reduced, irreducible surface that is a union of a family of lines. Moreover, a ruled surface is assumed not to be a cone (see Remark 2.1). As in Sect. 2 we put S_8 to denote the octic defined by the pair (X, ℓ) (see Lemma 2.3).

Proposition 5.2. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a smooth quartic and $\ell \subset X$ be a line of the second kind with ramification type $R = 1^4$. Then*

(a) *The quartic X is given by the equation (4.4), where*

- *the planes L_1, \dots, L_4 are pairwise distinct and meet along the line ℓ ,*
- *each plane L_j intersects X along four lines,*
- *the ruled quartic S_4 is a component of the surface S_8 .*

Moreover, the line ℓ is a directrix of S_4 , the quartic S_4 is smooth along ℓ and the singular locus $\text{sing}(S_4)$ consists either of a line of triple points, or of a twisted cubic of double points.

(b) *The decomposition (2.2) for the pair (X, ℓ) is unique and coincides with (4.4), i.e. $S = S_4$ and $\{\hat{L}_1, \dots, \hat{L}_4\} = \{L_1, \dots, L_4\}$.*

(c) *The fibration (1.1) has singular fibers $4I_3 \oplus 4I_1 \oplus 4II$, iff $\text{sing}(S_4)$ is a twisted cubic.*

(d) *The fibration (1.1) has singular fibers $4IV \oplus 4II$ iff $\text{sing}(S_4)$ is a line of triple points.*

Proof. (a) Lemma 5.1 (d), (e) implies that the equality (4.2) holds. By Lemma 5.1 (a) the planes $\mathbb{T}_{P_1} X, \dots, \mathbb{T}_{P_4} X$ contain no lines $\ell' \neq \ell$ on X . We infer from Lemma 5.1 (c) that there exist four planes L_1, \dots, L_4 that satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 4.7 (see (4.3)). Thus X is given by (4.4).

The quartic X is smooth, so S_4 has no singularities on the line ℓ . By Lemma 5.1 (e) we can use Lemma 4.1 to complete the proof of (a).

(b) Suppose that X is given by the equation (2.2), where the ruled quartic S and the planes $\hat{L}_1, \dots, \hat{L}_4$ satisfy the conditions of Construction 2.2. Obviously the equality (*) of (4.1) holds, so the plane \hat{L}_j intersects the quartic X along four lines. Thus Lemma 5.1 (c) yields the equality

$$\{\hat{L}_1, \dots, \hat{L}_4\} = \{L_1, \dots, L_4\}.$$

Claim (b) follows directly from Lemma 4.4.

(c), (d) By Lemma 5.1 (a), the fibration (1.1) has four fibers of Kodaira type II . In case (d), there are also 4 fibers of Kodaira type IV by Lemma 4.3 (a). Thus Euler number considerations prove the claim. Similarly, Lemma 4.3 (b) shows that there are 4 I_3 fibers in case (c). Since fibers of Kodaira type I_2 are ruled out by Lemma 5.1 (b), there are 4 other singular fibers, each of Kodaira type I_1 , as follows from [7, Lemma 4.2]. \square

6. RAMIFICATION TYPE $2, 1^2$

In this section we determine a 6-parameter family of quartics that (up to projective equivalence) contains all smooth quartics with a line of the second kind and ramification type $R = 2, 1^2$. This enables us to show that neither Claim 3.2, 3.3 nor 3.4 hold for this ramification type. We put

$$(6.1) \quad \mathcal{R} = 2P_1 + P_2 + P_3.$$

At first we develop a suitable projective model for the quartics of this ramification type, much like the family \mathcal{Z} determined for ramification type $R = 2^2$ in [7] (see Sect. 7).

Lemma 6.1. *Let ℓ be a line of the second kind on a smooth quartic X with ramification type $R = 2, 1^2$. Then X is projectively equivalent to a quartic in the family \mathcal{T} given by the polynomials*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T} : \quad & g - 4c^3(b + 4ac^3)x_1x_2x_4^2 + bx_1x_2x_3x_4 + ax_1x_2x_3^2 + x_2^2(x_2 - 3cx_1)x_4 \\ & + x_1^3x_3 + c(x_3 - 4c^3x_4)((b + 4ac^3)x_4 + ax_3)^2(cx_1 + x_2)/3, \end{aligned}$$

where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{K}, c \neq 0$, and $g \in \mathbb{K}[x_3, x_4]$ is homogeneous of degree 4.

Proof. By a linear transformation, we can assume that the line ℓ is given by $x_3 = x_4 = 0$, and that the ramification occurs doubly at 0 and simply at ∞ , that is, at $x_3 = 0$ and $x_4 = 0$. A further normalisation makes the residual cubic polynomials in these fibers $x_1^3, x_2^2(x_2 - 3cx_1)$ for some $c \in \mathbb{K}^\times$. The equation thus becomes

$$(6.2) \quad x_3x_1^3 + x_4x_2^2(x_2 - 3cx_1) + x_3^2q_1 + x_3x_4q_2 + x_4^2q_3 = 0,$$

where q_1, q_2, q_3 are homogeneous quadratic forms in x_1, \dots, x_4 . Translations of x_1 and x_2 in linear terms in x_3, x_4 ensure that the terms involving $x_1^2x_3$ and $x_2^2x_4$ in (6.2) are zero. Then we solve for ℓ to be a line of the second kind, i.e. for the Hessian of (6.2) to vanish identically on ℓ . The corresponding system of equations can be solved directly, resulting in the given equation for \mathcal{T} . \square

Remark 6.2. For the ramification type of a smooth quartic $X \in \mathcal{T}$ to really be $R = 2, 1^2$, we need $c \neq 0$, for otherwise the two fibers of Kodaira type *II* come together to a single fiber of type *IV* meeting the line in the singularity of the fiber, so the ramification type changes to $R = 2^2$.

Recall that we defined P_1 to be the only non-reduced component of \mathcal{R} . For all $X \in \mathcal{T}$, the fiber F_{P_1} is contained in the plane $V(x_3)$. By definition of the ramification type, it is the only fiber of (1.1) that meets the line ℓ in exactly one point.

Lemma 6.3. (a) *A general quartic $X \in \mathcal{T}$ is smooth and the fibration (1.1) is of type $5I_3 \oplus 5I_1 \oplus 2II$. In particular, the fiber F_{P_1} is of Kodaira type I_1 , and ℓ meets exactly 15 lines on X .*

(b) *Let $X \in \mathcal{T}$ be smooth. Then*

- *the fiber F_{P_1} is of type I_2 iff the coefficient of x_3^4 in g equals $4a^3c^3/27 \neq 0$,*
- *the fiber F_{P_1} is of type IV if and only if $a = 0$,*
- *the fibers F_{P_2}, F_{P_3} are always of type II if the ramification type is $R = 2, 1^2$.*

Proof. (a) Singularities of X cause the elliptic fibration (1.1) induced by the line ℓ to either attain (more) reducible fibers or degenerate completely. Presently the singular fibers at the ramification points P_1, \dots, P_3 have Kodaira types I_1 at $x_3 = 0$ and II at $x_4 = 0$ and $x_3 = 4c^3x_4$. Generically, the discriminant reveals that there are 5 reducible fibers, each of Kodaira type I_3 . One checks that each fiber corresponds to a hyperplane in \mathbb{P}^3 whose intersection with the quartic splits into ℓ and three residual lines. Hence a general quartic $X \in \mathcal{T}$ is smooth. Since the lines met by ℓ appear as components of singular fibers of (1.1), ℓ generally meets exactly 15 lines on X .

(b) The residual cubic F_{P_1} generally has degree one in x_2 ; it is given by the polynomial

$$ax_3(ax_3 + 3x_1)x_2 + 3 \operatorname{coeff}(g, x_3^4)x_3^3 + c^2a^2x_1x_3^2 + 3x_1^3.$$

Therefore the cubic becomes reducible if and only if

- either the linear term in x_2 vanishes identically, i.e. $a = 0$ and the fiber is of the type IV ,
- or the factor $(ax_3 + 3x_1)$ of the coefficient of the linear term in x_2 divides the constant term as well, i.e. $\operatorname{coeff}(g, x_3^4) = 4a^3c^3/27$ and the fiber type is I_2 for $a \neq 0$.

The last claim of (b) follows from [7, Lemma 3.2]. □

As an immediate corollary of Lemma 6.3 (b), we obtain the criterion when ℓ is met by 16 lines on $X \in \mathcal{T}$.

Corollary 6.4. *Let $X \in \mathcal{T}$ be smooth of ramification type $R = 2, 1^2$. The line ℓ meets exactly 16 lines on X if and only if the coefficient of x_3^4 in g equals $4a^3c^3/27$.*

Proof. By [7, §4] the ramified I_1 fiber degenerating to Kodaira type I_2 is the only degeneration which increases the number of lines on X met by ℓ without the ramification type changing. Hence the corollary follows from Lemma 6.3 (b). \square

Cor. 6.4 gives the announced counterexample to Segre's Claim 3.4 for ramification type $R = 2, 1^2$. Now we are in the position to study a general element of \mathcal{T} . The question when a smooth quartic X with a line of the second kind with ramification type $R = 2, 1^2$ can be obtained by Construction 2.2 will be answered by Cor. 6.7 (a).

Proposition 6.5. *Let the quartic X be a general member of the family \mathcal{T} .*

- (a) *The quartic X is not given by Construction 2.2.*
- (b) *The surface S_8 consists of three planes and an irreducible quintic.*

Proof. (a) The line ℓ meets a fiber of type I_1 in only one point by Lemma 6.3 (a). The claim follows directly from Lemma 4.5.

(b) We follow the proof of Lemma 2.3 to find the surface S_8 . By direct computation S_8 consists of the tangent planes $T_{P_1}X, \dots, T_{P_3}X$ and a quintic S_5 . In particular, by direct computation, S_5 contains none of the planes $T_{P_1}X, \dots, T_{P_3}X$. By Lemma 4.6 the quintic S_5 is irreducible. \square

In order to give an intrinsic characterization of quartics with lines of the second kind with ramification type $R = 2, 1^2$ that can be obtained by Segre's construction, we will need the following lemma.

Lemma 6.6. *Let $X \in \mathcal{T}$ be smooth of ramification type $R = 2, 1^2$ and let the fiber F_{P_1} be of Kodaira type IV (i.e. ℓ runs through the singular point of a type IV fiber of the fibration (1.1)). Then*

- (a) *The line ℓ is met by exactly 15 other lines on X .*
- (b) *The 15 lines that meet ℓ form five triplets of coplanar lines. None of them is contained in any of the planes $T_{P_2}X, T_{P_3}X$.*
- (c) *The fibration (1.1) has no fibers of Kodaira type I_2 .*

Proof. (a), (b) follow directly from the proof of Lemma 6.3.

(c) By [7, Lemma 3.2] only the fiber F_{P_1} can be of type I_2 , but it isn't by assumption. \square

Now we are in the position to give the desired coordinate-free characterization of quartics with lines of the second kind with ramification type $R = 2, 1^2$ which can be obtained by Segre's construction. We maintain the notation (6.1).

Corollary 6.7. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a smooth quartic and let $\ell \subset X$ be a line of the second kind with ramification type $R = 2, 1^2$.*

- (a) *The quartic X is given by Construction 2.2 iff the line ℓ runs through the singular point of a type IV fiber of the fibration (1.1).*
- (b) *If the equivalent conditions of (a) hold, then*

- the surface S_8 defined by (X, ℓ) is a septic that consists of the tangent planes $T_{P_1}X, \dots, T_{P_3}X$ and an (irreducible) ruled quartic S_4 ;
- the line ℓ is met by exactly five triplets of coplanar lines on X , that are contained in the plane $T_{P_1}X$, and four other planes L_1, \dots, L_4 ;
- the decomposition (2.2) is unique and coincides with (4.4);
- the fibration (1.1) is of type $IV \oplus 4I_3 \oplus 4I_1 \oplus 2II$ (resp. $5IV \oplus 2II$) iff $\text{sing}(S_4)$ is a twisted cubic (resp. a line).

Proof. (a) The implication (\Rightarrow) follows directly from Lemma 4.5.

(\Leftarrow) By Lemma 6.1 we can assume that $X \in \mathcal{T}$. Suppose that the fiber F_{P_1} is of type IV . By Lemma 6.3 (c) the quartic X is given by the equation of Lemma 6.1 with $a = 0$. We put $a = 0$ in the quintic S_5 from (the proof of) Proposition 6.5 to see that S_8 consists of the tangent planes $T_{P_1}X, \dots, T_{P_3}X$ and a quartic S_4 . In particular, by direct computation, for $i = 1, 2, 3$

(6.3) the intersection of S_4 with the plane $T_{P_i}X$ consists of lines,

so S_4 contains none of the planes $T_{P_1}X, \dots, T_{P_3}X$. The assumption (4.2) of Lemma 4.7 is fulfilled. By Lemma 6.6 (b) there exist four planes L_1, \dots, L_4 , each of which contains four lines on X that meet ℓ . Lemma 4.7 completes the proof of (a).

(b) Let $\Pi \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a plane that contains ℓ . By definition of S_8 the intersection $S_4 \cap \Pi$ consists of lines, provided $\Pi \neq T_{P_i}X$ where $i = 1, 2, 3$. Thus (6.3) yields that S_4 is a union of a family of lines each of which meets ℓ . Lemma 4.1 implies that S_4 is an (irreducible) ruled quartic. Thus Lemma 3.1 gives the first claim of (b), whereas the second claim results immediately from Lemma 6.6.

To prove the uniqueness suppose that X is given by (2.2). By Lemma 4.1, the quartic S is a ruled surface, ℓ is its directrix and $\text{sing}(S)$ is either a twisted cubic or a line of triple points. Moreover, we have the equality (*) of (4.1), so the plane \hat{L}_j intersects the quartic X along four lines. Since ℓ contains no singularities of S , the curve $\hat{L}_1 \cap X$ has at least one singularity away from ℓ . Therefore

$$(6.4) \quad \hat{L}_1 \neq T_{P_1}X.$$

Furthermore, the quartic X is smooth and S is singular along a curve, so $\hat{L}_{j_1} \neq \hat{L}_{j_2}$ for $j_1 \neq j_2$. Thus Lemma 6.6 (b) implies the equality

$$(6.5) \quad \{\hat{L}_1, \dots, \hat{L}_4\} = \{L_1, \dots, L_4\}.$$

The uniqueness follows directly from Lemma 4.4.

To show the last claim recall that (1.1) has two type II fibers (see Lemma 6.3 (b)) and none of type I_2 by Lemma 6.6 (c). It has one type IV fiber contained in $T_{P_1}X$ by assumption.

Assume that $\text{sing}(S_4)$ is a twisted cubic. Then (1.1) has four I_3 fibers by Cor. 4.3. The existence of four singular fibers of Kodaira type I_1 follows from [7, Lemma 4.2].

Suppose that $\text{sing}(S_4)$ is a line. We obtain four type IV fibers from Cor. 4.3. In both cases Euler number computation shows that we found all singular fibers. \square

7. RAMIFICATION TYPE 2^2

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a smooth quartic that contains a line ℓ of the second kind with ramification type $R = 2^2$ and let

$$(7.1) \quad \mathcal{R} = 2P_1 + 2P_2.$$

By [7, Lemma 4.4] the quartic X is projectively equivalent to a member of the family \mathcal{Z} given by the polynomials

$$(7.2) \quad \mathcal{Z} : x_3x_1^3 + x_4x_2^3 + x_1x_2q(x_3, x_4) + g(x_3, x_4),$$

where $q, g \in \mathbb{K}[x_3, x_4]$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree 2, resp. 4. Here the line ℓ becomes $V(x_3, x_4)$ and $P_1 = (1 : 0 : 0 : 0)$, $P_2 = (0 : 1 : 0 : 0)$. Moreover, [7, Lemma 4.5] yields that

$$(7.3) \quad \text{both fibers } F_{P_1}, F_{P_2} \text{ are of Kodaira type } IV \text{ iff } q = \gamma x_3x_4 \text{ for some } \gamma \in \mathbb{K}.$$

In this section we investigate the quartics in the family \mathcal{Z} . At first we study general elements of \mathcal{Z} (see Prop. 7.1). Quartics that can be obtained by Construction 2.2 are discussed in Cor. 7.5 (a).

Proposition 7.1. *Let the quartic X be a general member of the family \mathcal{Z} .*

- (a) *X is smooth and contains exactly 18 lines $\ell' \neq \ell$ that meet the line of the second kind ℓ .*
- (b) *The quartic X cannot be obtained from Construction 2.2.*
- (c) *The surface S_8 consists of two planes and an irreducible sextic.*

Proof. (a) We consider the elliptic fibration π induced by the line $\ell \subset X \in \mathcal{Z}$ (see (1.1)). Generically, π has six singular fibers of Kodaira type I_1 located at $0, \infty$ and at the zeroes of g , and 6 fibers of Kodaira type I_3 at the zeroes of $q^3 + 27x_3x_4g$. In other words, the discriminant Δ of the generic fiber is

$$\Delta = x_3x_4g(q^3 + 27x_3x_4g)^3.$$

In particular, for general $X \in \mathcal{Z}$ the fibration (1.1) is of type

$$(7.4) \quad 6I_3 \oplus 6I_1.$$

Recall that singularities of X either give rise to some of the reducible fibers in the first place (if the generic member of \mathcal{Z} were not to be smooth), or to a degeneration of the fibration. That is, either there are further or worse singular fibers, or the degeneration leaves the class of K3 surfaces. Presently one can easily check for some specific member $X \in \mathcal{Z}$, for instance for $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) \neq 2, 3, 5, 7$ at

$$q = 3(2x_3^2 - x_3x_4 + x_4^2), \quad g = 4(20x_3^4 + 5x_4^4 - 18x_3^3x_4 - 4x_3^2x_4^2 - 9x_3x_4^3)/3$$

that the six planes in \mathbb{P}^3 at the zeroes of $q^3 + 27x_3x_4g$ split into ℓ and three other lines when intersected with X . In particular, this proves that

the general member of \mathcal{Z} is smooth and contains exactly $6 \times 3 = 18$ lines meeting ℓ .

(b) The claim follows directly from (7.4) and Lemma 4.5.

(c) By Lemma 3.1 the surface S_8 contains the planes tangent to X in P_1, P_2 . The residual surface will be shown to be an irreducible sextic by Lemma 7.2. \square

To complete the proof of Prop. 7.1 we need the following lemma.

Lemma 7.2. *For a smooth quartic $X \in \mathcal{Z}$, the surface S_8 contains an irreducible sextic hypersurface unless x_3 or x_4 divides q .*

Proof. Following the proof of Lemma 2.3, we arrive at the sextic polynomial

$$(7.5) \quad h := 27x_1^3x_3^2x_4 + 27x_2^3x_3x_4^2 + 27x_1x_2x_3x_4q - q^3.$$

Note that x_3 or x_4 divides h if and only if the coordinate in question divides q . Otherwise, we can multiply h by $x_3x_4^2$ and then substitute x_1 by $x_1/(x_3x_4)$, so that h is equivalent to the following polynomial:

$$h' := 27x_1^3 + 27x_2^3x_3^2x_4^4 + 27x_1x_2x_3x_4^2q - x_3x_4^2q^3.$$

Regarding h' as an element in $\mathbb{K}[x_2, x_3, x_4][x_1]$, the Eisenstein criterion applied to the prime ideal (x_3) shows that h' is irreducible if $x_3 \nmid q$. \square

Prop. 7.1 shows that neither Claim 3.2 nor Claim 3.3 is true in general for ramification type $R = 2^2$. In particular, *it is the erroneous Claim 3.2 that brings about the crucial false statements about configurations of lines made by Segre in [8] which we corrected in [7].*

We shall now elaborate the family \mathcal{Z} a little further to study the quartics given by Construction 2.2. For a specific member $X \in \mathcal{Z}$ to be smooth, it suffices to verify the following criterion:

Lemma 7.3. *A quartic $X \in \mathcal{Z}$ is smooth if and only if*

- Δ has still 6 single and 6 triple roots with the possible extension that
- Δ may have double roots at $0, \infty$ (i.e. square factors of x_3, x_4) and
- Δ may have fourfold roots at the zeroes of $q^3 + 27x_3x_4g$.

Proof. This is mostly [7, Lemma 4.5], but we give some details here for completeness. It is trivial, but crucial to note that if X is smooth, the fibers of π are the residual cubics, so they consist of at most 3 components. By the classification of Kodaira [4] and Tate [9], this allows for six different types of singular fibers, listed below with corresponding vanishing order v of Δ :

fiber type	I_1	I_2	I_3	II	III	IV
$v(\Delta)$	1	2	3	2	3	4

There is a hidden 3-torsion structure on the generic fiber of π as explored in [7, §3.4]. This severely limits the possible singular fibers; for instance, there cannot be any fiber of Kodaira type II or III , and the I_3 fibers cannot degenerate to type I_4 or I_5 (compare [7, Lemma 3.2 and Prop. 4.1]). Hence, for instance, if Δ has a fourfold root at a zero of $q^3 + 27x_3x_4g$, then

the degenerate fiber has automatically Kodaira type IV ; in particular the degeneration is smooth. Similarly, a double root at 0 or ∞ is easily seen to correspond to the hyperplane $x_3 = 0$ or $x_4 = 0$ splitting off a conic and a line other than ℓ when intersected with X , so this again gives smooth degenerations.

On the contrary, a double root of Δ outside $0, \infty$ necessarily occurs at the root of a square factor of g . But then this results in a singularity of S at the double root with $x_1 = x_2 = 0$. Along the same lines, a triple root of Δ at 0 or ∞ implies that x_3^2 or x_4^2 divides g , causing a singularity on X again. \square

As recorded in the previous paragraphs, the degenerations of $X \in \mathcal{Z}$ where x_3 or/and x_4 divide g cause the quartic X to contain 1 or 2 additional lines meeting ℓ (see [7, Example 6.9] for an explicit example). This implies that *Claims 3.4, 3.5 which are true generically on \mathcal{Z} , are false for certain specific examples of ramification type $R = 2^2$.*

We maintain the notation (7.1) and collect extra information on the lines $\ell' \neq \ell$ that meet ℓ in the lemma below.

Lemma 7.4. *Let ℓ be a line of the second kind with ramification type $R = 2^2$ on a smooth quartic $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$. Moreover, we assume that the fibers F_{P_1}, F_{P_2} are of Kodaira type IV .*

- (a) *The fibration (1.1) has no singular fibers of type I_2 .*
- (b) *The line ℓ is met by exactly 18 lines $\ell' \neq \ell$ on X . They form six triplets of coplanar lines.*

Proof. (a) By [7, Lemma 3.1], a type I_2 fiber of the fibration (1.1) must be either F_{P_1} or F_{P_2} .

(b) By [7, Prop. 4.1] (see also study of the case $R = 2^2$ in the proof of [7, Lemma 4.2]) the line ℓ is always met by six triplets of coplanar lines on X . By [7, Lemma 4.5], if ℓ is met by either 19 or 20 lines $\ell' \neq \ell$, then each line ℓ' that does not belong to one of the six triplets is a component of a fiber of type I_2 of the fibration (1.1). Thus (a) completes the proof. \square

Now we are in the position to give an intrinsic characterization of quartics with lines of the second kind with ramification type $R = 2^2$ that can be obtained by Segre's construction.

Corollary 7.5. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a smooth quartic and let $\ell \subset X$ be a line of the second kind with ramification type $R = 2^2$.*

(a) *The quartic X is given by Segre's construction (2.2) iff the line ℓ runs through the singular points of two singular fibers of Kodaira type IV of the fibration (1.1).*

(b) *If the assumption of (a) is satisfied, then*

- *the surface S_8 defined by (X, ℓ) is a sextic that consists of the tangent planes $T_{P_1}X, T_{P_2}X$ and an (irreducible) ruled quartic S_4 ,*

- the line ℓ is met by exactly six triplets of coplanar lines on X that are contained in the planes $T_{P_1}X$, $T_{P_2}X$, and in four other planes L_1, \dots, L_4 ,
- the decomposition (2.2) is unique and coincides with (4.4),
- the fibration (1.1) is of type $2IV \oplus 4I_3 \oplus 4I_1$ (resp. $6IV$) iff $\text{sing}(S_4)$ is a twisted cubic (resp. a line).

Proof. (a) The implication (\Rightarrow) is a consequence of Lemma 4.5.

(\Leftarrow) We can assume that $X \in \mathcal{Z}$ and the fibers F_{P_1}, F_{P_2} are of type IV . By (7.3) the quartic X is given by the equation (7.2) with $q = \gamma x_3 x_4$ for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{K}$. At first we follow the proof of Lemma 2.3 to compute the equation of the surface S_8 defined by the pair (X, ℓ) . To this end, we plug $q = \gamma x_3 x_4$ into (7.5) to see that the sextic given by (7.5) contains the planes $V(x_3), V(x_4)$. Canceling out the factor $x_3 x_4$, we derive the equation of the quartic S_4 residual to the above planes in the surface S_8 (cf. Remark 7.6). By direct computation

$$(7.6) \quad S_4 \text{ meets each plane } V(x_3) \text{ and } V(x_4) \text{ along a union of two lines.}$$

In particular, neither $T_{P_1}X = V(x_3)$ nor $T_{P_2}X = V(x_4)$ are components of the hypersurface S_4 , so S_4 is irreducible by Lemma 4.6, and we have

$$(7.7) \quad S_8 = S_4 \cup T_{P_1}X \cup T_{P_2}X.$$

Lemma 7.4 (b) and (7.7) imply that the assumptions of Lemma 4.7 are fulfilled. Thus X is given by (4.4). Furthermore, by definition of S_8 and (7.6), the quartic S_4 is a union of a family of lines each of which meets ℓ . Thus it is ruled (in particular, it is irreducible – see Lemma 4.1). This completes the proof of (a).

(b) The first claim follows from (7.7). The second claim results immediately from Lemma 7.4.

To prove the next part of (b) (i.e. the uniqueness) we can follow almost verbatim the proof in case of ramification $2, 1^2$ (see Cor. 6.7). We suppose that X is given by (2.2) and show that (compare (6.4))

$$\hat{L}_1 \neq T_{P_1}X, T_{P_2}X.$$

Thus we arrive at the equality (6.5) and apply Lemma 4.4.

Finally, the fibration (1.1) is assumed to have two fibers of type IV . It has four fibers of type I_3 (resp. four extra fibers of type IV) iff $\text{sing}(S)$ is a twisted cubic (resp. a line of triple points) by Cor. 4.3. Lemma 7.4 (a) combined with [7, Lemma 4.2] completes the proof. \square

Remark 7.6. In case of a quartic in the family \mathcal{Z} , the condition of Corollary 7.5 (a) translates to $q = \gamma x_3 x_4$ for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{K}$. Then the ruled quartic S_4 is given by the polynomial

$$S_4 : \quad x_3 x_1^3 + x_4 x_2^3 + \gamma x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 - \gamma^3 x_3^2 x_4^2 / 27.$$

As one can check, the singular locus of S_4 is a twisted cubic (resp. a line) iff $\gamma \neq 0$ (resp. $\gamma = 0$).

8. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Up to projective equivalence, the families \mathcal{T} , \mathcal{Z} are 6-dimensional as one can still rescale coordinates while preserving the two normalisations of Lemma 6.1 resp. (7.2) to eliminate two of the 8 parameters. As the singular fibers generally differ, we infer that neither family is a subfamily of the other. By inspection of the singular fibers, the same can be said about the ramification type $R = 1^4$, but we omit the details here since the explicit equations become too involved. This explains why proving statements about ramification types $R = 2, 1^2$ and $R = 2^2$ by degenerating from $R = 1^4$, as apparently believed to work by Segre for Claims 3.2 – 3.5, is bound to fail.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 (a). For the ramification type $R = 1^4$ it was proved in Proposition 5.2 that any such smooth quartic takes the shape of (1.2).

For ramification type $R = 2, 1^2$ it was proved in Corollary 6.7 that any smooth quartic with a line ℓ of the second kind of ramification type R takes the shape of (1.2) if and only if the singular fiber of (1.1) met by ℓ in a single point (which generically has Kodaira type I_1) degenerates to type IV , that is, iff (1.3) holds. In terms of the explicit family \mathcal{T} , the codimension 1 condition $a = 0$ is given in Lemma 6.3 (b). This proves the extra claim of Theorem 1.2 for this ramification type.

For ramification type $R = 2^2$ it was proved in Corollary 7.5 (a) that any smooth quartic with a line ℓ of the second kind of ramification type R takes the shape of (1.2) if and only if both singular fibers of (1.1) met by ℓ in a single point (which generically have Kodaira type I_1) degenerate to type IV . Equivalently, the fibration (1.1) satisfies (1.3). As for the extra claim for ramification type $R = 2^2$, the codimension 2 conditions are given in terms of the family \mathcal{Z} in Remark 7.6.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 (b). This is exactly Lemma 6.1 resp. [7, Lemma 4.4].

Acknowledgement. We thank Wolf Barth and Jaap Top for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Boissière, S., Sarti, A.: *Counting lines on surfaces*. Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa, Cl. Sci. **6** (2007), 39–52.
- [2] Caporaso L., Harris J., Mazur B.: *How many rational points can a curve have*. in The Moduli Space of Curves (R. Dijkgraaf, C Faber, G. van der Geer eds.), Progress in Math. 129, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1995, 13–31.
- [3] Harris, J.; Tschinkel, Y.: *Rational points on quartics*. Duke Math. J. **104** (2000), no. 3, 477–500.
- [4] Kodaira, K.: *On compact analytic surfaces I-III*. Ann. of Math., **71** (1960), 111–152; **77** (1963), 563–626; **78** (1963), 1–40.
- [5] Polo-Blanco, I., van der Put, M., Top, J.: *Ruled quartic surfaces, models and classification*. Geom. Dedicata **150** (2011), 151–180.
- [6] Rams, S.: *Projective surfaces with many skew lines*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2005), 11–13.

- [7] Rams, S., Schütt, M.: *64 lines on smooth quartic surfaces*. Preprint available at arXiv:math/1212.3511v1, 2012.
- [8] Segre, B.: *The maximum number of lines lying on a quartic surface*, Quart. J. Math., Oxford Ser. **14** (1943), 86–96.
- [9] Tate, J.: *Algorithm for determining the type of a singular fiber in an elliptic pencil. Modular functions of one variable IV* (Antwerpen 1972), Lect. Notes in Math. **476** (1975), 33–52.
- [10] Voloch, F.: *Surfaces in \mathbb{P}^3 over finite fields*, Topics in Algebraic and Noncommutative Geometry, Contemp. Math. **324** (2003), 219–226.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY, UL. ŁOJASIEWICZA 6, 30-348 KRAKÓW, POLAND

CURRENT ADDRESS: INSTITUT FÜR ALGEBRAISCHE GEOMETRIE, LEIBNIZ UNIVERSITÄT HANNOVER, WELFENGARTEN 1, 30167 HANNOVER, GERMANY

E-mail address: `slawomir.rams@uj.edu.pl`

INSTITUT FÜR ALGEBRAISCHE GEOMETRIE, LEIBNIZ UNIVERSITÄT HANNOVER, WELFENGARTEN 1, 30167 HANNOVER, GERMANY

E-mail address: `schuett@math.uni-hannover.de`