PALINDROMIC WIDTH OF FREE NILPOTENT GROUPS

VALERIY G. BARDAKOV AND KRISHNENDU GONGOPADHYAY

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider the palindromic width of free nilpotent groups. In particular, we prove that the palindromic width of a finitely generated free nilpotent group is finite. We also prove that the palindromic width of a free abelian-by-nilpotent group is finite.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a group with a set of generators A. A reduced word in the alphabet $A^{\pm 1}$ is a *palindrome* if it reads the same forwards and backwards. The palindromic length $l_{\mathcal{P}}(g)$ of an element g in G is the minimum number k such that g can be expressed as a product of k palindromes. The *palindromic width* of G with respect to A is defined to be pw(G) = $\sup_{g \in G} l_{\mathcal{P}}(g)$. In analogy with commutator width of groups (for example see [2, 3, 4, 5]), it

is a problem of potential interest to study palindromic width of groups. Palindromes of free groups have already proved useful in studying various aspects of combinatorial group theory, for example see [8, 9, 12]. In [6], it was proved that the palindromic width of a non-abelian free group is infinite. This result was generalized in [7] where the authors proved that almost all free products have infinite palindromic width; the only exception is given by the free product of two cyclic groups of order two, when the palindromic width is two. Piggot [13] studied the relationship between primitive words and palindromes in free groups of rank two. It follows from [6, 13] that up to conjugacy, a primitive word can always be written as either a palindrome or a product of two palindromes and that certain pairs of palindromes will generate the group. Recently Gilman and Keen [10, 11] have used tools from hyperbolic geometry to reprove this result and further have obtained discreteness criteria for two generator subgroups in $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ using the geometry of palindromes. The work of Gilman and Keen indicates a deep connection between palindromic width of groups and geometry.

Let $N_{n,r}$ be the free nilpotent group of rank n and of step r. In this paper we consider the palindromic width of free nilpotent groups. We prove that the palindromic width of a finitely generated free nilpotent group is finite. In fact, we prove that the palindromic width of an arbitrary rank n free nilpotent group is bounded by 3n. For the 2-step free nilpotent groups, we improve this bound. For the groups, $N_{n,1}$ and $N_{2,2}$ we get the exact values of the palindromic width. Our main theorem is the following.

Theorem 1.1. Let $N_{n,r}$ be the free *r*-step nilpotent group of rank $n \ge 2$. Then the following holds:

Date: December 2, 2013.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20F18; Secondary 20D15,20E05.

Key words and phrases. palindromic width, free nilpotent groups.

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of the Indo-Russian DST-RFBR project grant DST/INT/RFBR/P-137.

- (1) The palindromic width $pw(N_{n,1})$ of a free abelian group of rank n is equal to n.
- (2) For $r \ge 2$, $n \le pw(N_{n,r}) \le 3n$.
- (3) $pw(N_{n,2}) \le 3(n-1).$

We prove the theorem in Section 3. Along the way, we also prove $pw(N_{2,2}) = 3$. In Section 2, after recalling some basic notions and related basic results, we prove Lemma 2.5 which is a key ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.1. As a consequence of Lemma 2.5 we also prove that the palindromic width of a free abelian-by-nilpotent group of rank nis bounded by 5n, see Proposition 3.7. For the group $N_{3,2}$ it is possible to improve the bound given in (2) of the above theorem. In fact, $4 \leq pw(N_{3,2}) \leq 6$. A detailed proof of this fact will appear elsewhere. It would be interesting to obtain solutions to the following problems.

Problem 1. (1) For $n \ge 3$, $r \ge 2$, find $pw(N_{n,r})$. (2) Construct an algorithm that determines $l_{\mathcal{P}}(g)$ for arbitrary $g \in N_{n,r}$.

The above problem can be asked for any other groups as well. In general, the palindromic width of an arbitrary group depends on the generating set of the group. However, the advantage of working with free nilpotent groups is that, in this case we have a basis and hence, the palindromic width is the same regardless of the choice of a basis as a generating set.

For g, h in G, the commutator of g and h is defined as $[g,h] = g^{-1}h^{-1}gh$. If C is the set of commutators in some group G then the commutator subgroup G' is generated by C. The length $l_{\mathcal{C}}(g)$ of an element $g \in G'$ is called the commutator length. The width wid(G', C) is called the commutator width of G and is denoted by cw(G). It is well known [1] that the commutator width of a free non-abelian group is infinite, but the commutator width of a finitely generated nilpotent group is finite (see [3, 4]). An algorithm of the computation of the commutator length in free non-abelian groups can be found in [5]. The following problem is natural to ask.

Problem 2. Is it true that for a finitely generated group $G = \langle A \rangle$, the palindromic width pw(G) is finite if and only if the commutator width cw(G) is finite?

2. Background and Preliminary Results

2.1. Background.

2.1.1. Widths of groups. Let G be a group and $A \subseteq G$ a subset that generates G. For each $g \in G$ define the length $l_A(g)$ of g with respect to A to be the minimal k such that g is a product of k elements of $A^{\pm 1}$. The supremum of the values $l_A(g)$, $g \in G$, is called the width of G with respect to A and is denoted by wid(G, A). In particular, wid(G, A)is either a natural number or ∞ . If wid(G, A) is a natural number, then every element of G is a product of at most wid(G, A) elements of A.

Let A be a set of generators of a group G. A reduced word w in the alphabet $A^{\pm 1}$ is called a *palindrome* if w reads the same left-to-right and right-to-left. An element g of G is called a *palindrome* if g can be represented by some word w that is a palindrome in the alphabet $A^{\pm 1}$. We denote the set of all palindromes in G by $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(G)$. Evidently, the set \mathcal{P} generates G. Then any element $g \in G$ is a product of palindromes

$$g=p_1p_2\ldots p_k.$$

 $\mathbf{2}$

The minimal k with this property is called the *palindromic length* of g and is denoted by $l_{\mathcal{P}}(g)$. The *palindromic width* of G is then given by

$$pw(G) = wid(G, \mathcal{P}) = \sup_{g \in G} l_{\mathcal{P}}(g)$$

2.1.2. Free Nilpotent Groups. Let $N_{n,r}$ be the free r-step nilpotent group of rank n with a basis x_1, \ldots, x_n . For example, when r = 1, $N_{n,1}$ is simply the free abelian group generated by x_1, \ldots, x_n , so every element of $N_{n,1}$ can be presented uniquely as

$$g = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$$

for some integers $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$. For r = 2, every element $g \in N_{n,2}$ has the form

(2.1)
$$g = \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{\alpha_i} \cdot \prod_{1 \le j < i \le n} [x_i, x_j]^{\beta_{ij}}$$

for some integers α_i and β_{ij} , where $[x_i, x_j] = x_i^{-1} x_j^{-1} x_i x_j$ are basic commutators (see [14, Chapter 5]).

For the free nilpotent group $N_{n,r}$, let $N'_{n,r}$ be its commutator subgroup. We note the following lemmas that will be used later.

Lemma 2.1. [4, 2] Any element g in the commutator subgroup $N'_{n,r}$ can be represented in the form

$$g = [u_1, x_1] [u_2, x_2] \dots [u_n, x_n], \ u_i \in \mathcal{N}_{n,r}.$$

In fact, Allambergenov and Roman'kov [4] proved the following.

- (i) Any element of the commutator subgroup $N'_{n,2}$ is a product of no more than [n/2] commutators.
- (ii) Any element of the commutator subgroup $N'_{n,r}$ in all other cases $(r \ge 3, n \ge 4 \text{ or } r > 3, n = 2)$ is a product of no more than n commutators.

Lemma 2.2. [2, Lemma 3] Let A be a normal subgroup of $N_{n,r}$. If A is abelian or A lies in the second center of $N_{n,r}$, then every element of $[A, N_{n,r}]$ has the form $[u_1, x_1] [u_2, x_2] \dots [u_n, x_n]$ for some $u_i \in A$.

2.2. **Preliminary Results.** Let $G = \langle A \rangle$ be a group and $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(A)$ be the set of palindromes in G. Evidently, any palindrome $p \in \mathcal{P}$ can be represented in the form

$$p = ua^{\alpha}\overline{u}, \text{ for some } a \in A, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$$

where

$$u = a_1^{\alpha_1} a_2^{\alpha_2} \dots a_k^{\alpha_k}, \ a_i \in A, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

is a word and

$$\overline{u} = a_k^{\alpha_k} a_{k-1}^{\alpha_{k-1}} \dots a_1^{\alpha_1}$$

is its reverse word. Clearly, $\overline{u}^{-1} = \overline{u^{-1}}$.

The following lemma is easy to prove.

Lemma 2.3. Let $G = \langle A \rangle$ and $H = \langle B \rangle$ be two groups, $\mathcal{P}(A)$ is the set of palindromes in the alphabet $A^{\pm 1}$, $\mathcal{P}(B)$ is the set of palindromes in the alphabet $B^{\pm 1}$. If $\varphi : G \longrightarrow H$ is an epimorphism such that $\varphi(A) = B$ then

$$pw(H) \le pw(G).$$

For free nilpotent groups of rank n we have the following set of epimorphisms

$$N_{n,1} \longleftarrow N_{n,2} \longleftarrow N_{n,3} \longleftarrow \dots$$

where

$$N_{n,1} = N_{n,2}/\gamma_2(N_{n,2}), N_{n,2} = N_{n,3}/\gamma_3(N_{n,3}), \dots$$

Applying the above lemma we have:

Corollary 2.4. The following inequalities hold:

$$pw(N_{n,1}) \le pw(N_{n,2}) \le pw(N_{n,3}) \le \dots$$

Lemma 2.5. Let $G = \langle A \rangle$ be a group generated by a set A. Then the following hold.

(1) If p is a palindrome, then for m in \mathbb{Z} , p^m is also a palindrome.

1

- (2) Any element in G which is conjugate to a product of n palindromes, $n \ge 1$, is a product of n palindromes if n is even, and of n + 1 palindromes if n is odd.
- (3) Any commutator of the type [u, p], where p is a palindrome is a product of 3 palindromes. Any element $[u, a^{\alpha}]a^{\beta}$, $a \in A$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$, is a product of 3 palindromes.
- (4) In G any commutator of the type [u, pq], where p, q are palindromes is a product of 4 palindromes. Any element $[u, pa^{\alpha}]a^{\beta}$, $a \in A$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$, is a product of 4 palindromes.

Proof. 1) Let $p = ua^{\alpha}\overline{u}$, where u is as above. Then $p^2 = ua^{\alpha}\overline{u}ua^{\alpha}\overline{u}$, $p^3 = ua^{\alpha}\overline{u}ua^{\alpha}\overline{u}ua^{\alpha}\overline{u}$ are palindromes. The result now follows by induction.

2) Let $v = u^{-1}pu$ be conjugate to a palindrome p. If \overline{u} is the reverse word of u, then

$$v = (u^{-1} p \overline{u^{-1}}) \cdot \overline{u} u$$

and we see that $u^{-1} p \overline{u^{-1}}$ and $\overline{u} u$ are palindromes.

If v is the conjugate to the product of 2m palindromes p_1, \dots, p_{2m} , for some $u \in G$ let $v = u^{-1}p_1p_2 \dots p_{2m}u$. Then

$$v = (u^{-1} p_1 \overline{u^{-1}})(\overline{u} p_2 u)(u^{-1} p_3 \overline{u^{-1}}) \dots \overline{u} p_{2m} u$$

is the product of 2m palindromes.

If $v = u^{-1}p_1p_2...p_{2m}p_{2m+1}u$, then

$$v = u^{-1}p_1 \dots p_{2m} \overline{u^{-1}} \overline{u} p_{2m+1} u = u^{-1}p_1 \dots p_{2m} u \cdot (u^{-1} \overline{u^{-1}}) \cdot \overline{u} p_{2m+1} u.$$

By part (2) above, $u^{-1}p_1 \dots p_{2m}u$ is a product of 2m palindromes, $u^{-1}\overline{u^{-1}}$ and $\overline{u}p_{2m+1}u$ are palindromes. Hence, v is a product of 2m + 2 palindromes.

3) We can check that

$$[u,p] = u^{-1} p^{-1} u p = u^{-1} p^{-1} \overline{u^{-1}} \cdot \overline{u} u \cdot p$$

and $u^{-1}p^{-1}\overline{u^{-1}}$ and $\overline{u}u$ are palindromes. If we take $p = a^{\alpha}$ then it is clear that $[u, a^{\alpha}]a^{\beta}$ is the product of three palindromes.

4) Observe that $[u, pq] = (u^{-1}(q^{-1}p^{-1})u)pq$. By (2), $u^{-1}(pq)^{-1}u$ is a product of two palindromes, hence the result follows.

Proposition 2.6. Let G be a group and let an element g in the center of G be a product of 2 palindromes. Then for any integer m the power g^m is a product of 2 palindromes.

Proof. Let m > 0. Use induction on m. If $g = p_1 p_2$ is a product of 2 palindromes then

$$g^2 = p_1 p_2 \cdot g = p_1 g p_2 = p_1^2 \cdot p_2^2$$

and by Lemma 2.5(1) p_1^2 and p_2^2 are palindromes. Assume the result for some m. Then

$$g^{m+1} = (p_1 p_2)^m \cdot g = p_1^m g p_2^m = p_1^{m+1} \cdot p_2^{m+1}$$

and by Lemma 2.5(1) p_1^{m+1} and p_2^{m+1} are palindromes. If m < 0 then $g^m = (g^{-m})^{-1}$ and the result follows from the previous case and the fact that the inverse of a palindrome is a palindrome.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

3.1. The palindromic widths of free nilpotent groups. Let $N_{n,r}$ be a free r-step nilpotent group of rank $n \geq 2$. Let x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n be a basis for $N_{n,r}$. Let \mathcal{P} be the set of all palindromes in $N_{n,r}$. Note that an element $p \in N_{n,r}$ is a palindrome if it can be be represented in the form

(3.1)
$$p = x_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} x_{i_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots x_{i_k}^{\alpha_k} x_{i_{k+1}}^{\alpha_{k+1}} x_{i_k}^{\alpha_k} \dots x_{i_2}^{\alpha_2} x_{i_1}^{\alpha_1}$$

where

 $i_j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}, \ \alpha_j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}.$

Lemma 3.1. $pw(N_{n,1}) = n$.

Proof. In this case $N_{n,1}$ is a free abelian group of rank n. Since any element $g \in N_{n,1}$ has the form

(3.2)
$$g = x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}, \ \alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z},$$

then g is a product of n palindromes $x_i^{\alpha_i}$ and hence

$$pw(N_{n,1}) \le n.$$

To prove the equality we shall show that $l_{\mathcal{P}}(x_1x_2...x_n) = n$. For this define a map

$$\widehat{}: \mathbb{N}_{n,1} \longrightarrow \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}_2}_n,$$

where \mathbb{Z}_2 is a cyclic group of order 2 by the rule

$$\widehat{g} = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n),$$

where

$$\varepsilon_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha_i \text{ in } (3.2) \text{ is even,} \\ 1 & \text{if } \alpha_i \text{ in } (3.2) \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Evidently, that for any $g, h \in G$ we have $\widehat{gh} = \widehat{g} + \widehat{h}$.

If a palindrome p has the form (3.2) then

$$\widehat{p} = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n)$$

contains no more than one non-zero component. On the other hand, for $w = x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$,

$$\widehat{w} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$$

Thus $x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$ is a product of at least *n* palindromes.

Lemma 3.2. For $r \ge 2$, $n \le pw(N_{n,r}) \le 3n$.

Proof. We claim that any element g in $N_{n,r}$ can be represented in the form

$$g = [u_1, x_1] x_1^{\alpha_1} [u_2, x_2] x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots [u_n, x_n] x_n^{\alpha_n}$$

Indeed, use induction on the step of nilpotency r. If r = 2 and $g \in N_{n,2}$ then by Lemma 2.1,

$$g = x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} [u_1, x_1] [u_2, x_2] \dots [u_n, x_n], \ \alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \ u_i \in \mathbb{N}_{n, 2}.$$

But the commutators $[u_i, x_i]$, i = 1, 2, ..., n, lie in the center of N_{n,2}. Hence

$$g = [u_1, x_1] x_1^{\alpha_1} [u_2, x_2] x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots [u_n, x_n] x_n^{\alpha_n}.$$

has the required form. Let the result holds for groups $N_{n,r}$. We claim that the result also holds for $N_{n,r+1}$. Let $\Gamma = \gamma_{r+1}(N_{n,r+1}) = [\gamma_r(N_{n,r+1}), N_{n,r+1}]$. Then an element g of $N_{n,r+1}$ has the form

$$g = [u_1, x_1] x_1^{\alpha_1} [u_2, x_2] x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots [u_n, x_n] x_n^{\alpha_n} d$$

for some $d \in \Gamma$. By Lemma 2.2,

$$d = [a_1, x_1] [a_2, x_2] \dots [a_n, x_n], \text{ for some } a_i \in \gamma_r(N_{n, r+1}).$$

Since all $[a_i, x_i]$ lie in the center of $N_{n,r+1}$ then

$$g = [u_1, x_1] [a_1, x_1] x_1^{\alpha_1} [u_2, x_2] [a_2, x_2] x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots [u_n, x_n] [a_1, x_1] x_n^{\alpha_n} = = [u_1 a_1, x_1] x_1^{\alpha_1} [u_2 a_2, x_2] x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots [u_n a_n, x_n] x_n^{\alpha_n}$$

has the required form.

By Lemma 2.5(3) any element $[u_i, x_i] x_i^{\alpha_i}$ is a product of 3 palindromes and g is a product of 3n palindromes. The lower bound follows from Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 2.4.

3.2. The palindromic widths of 2-step free nilpotent groups. In what follows we will consider 2-step nilpotent group $N_{n,2}$. We know that any palindrome has the form $p = u x_l^{\beta} \overline{u}$, where

$$u = x_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} x_{i_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots x_{i_k}^{\alpha_k}$$

and

$$\overline{u} = x_{i_k}^{\alpha_k} x_{i_{k-1}}^{\alpha_{k-1}} \dots x_{i_1}^{\alpha_1}$$

is its reverse. We can assume that

$$i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_k$$

and

$$l \notin \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k\}.$$

Indeed, if

$$p = u_1 x_i^{\alpha_i} x_j^{\alpha_j} p_0 x_j^{\alpha_j} x_i^{\alpha_i} \overline{u_1}$$

for some palindrome p_0 then

$$p = u_1 x_j^{\alpha_j} x_i^{\alpha_i} [x_i, x_j]^{\alpha_i \alpha_j} p_0 x_i^{\alpha_i} x_j^{\alpha_j} [x_j, x_i]^{\alpha_i \alpha_j} \overline{u_1} = u_1 x_j^{\alpha_j} x_i^{\alpha_i} p_0 x_i^{\alpha_i} x_j^{\alpha_j} \overline{u_1}.$$

Hence, we can permute any two letters in a word representing u and the element p does not change.

Let $N_{2,2} = \langle x, y \rangle$ be the free nilpotent group of rank 2. Any element in this group has the form

$$x^{\alpha}y^{\beta}[y,x]^{\gamma}, \ \alpha,\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

Lemma 3.3. For some integers a and b, any palindrome in $N_{2,2}$ has one of the following forms:

$$p_{(2a,b)} = x^{2a} y^b z^{ab}, \ p_{(a,2b)} = x^a y^{2b} z^{ab}, \ where \ z = [y,x]$$

Proof. Let p be a palindrome in N_{2,2}. Induction on the syllable length of p. If it is equal to 1 then $p = x^a$ or $p = y^b$. If the syllable length is 3 then,

$$p = x^{\alpha}y^{\beta}x^{\alpha} = x^{2\alpha}y^{\beta}[y,x]^{\alpha\beta}$$

or

$$p = y^{\alpha} x^{\beta} y^{\alpha} = x^{\beta} y^{2\alpha} [y, x]^{\alpha\beta}.$$

Using the note before the lemma, we see that all other possibilities are reduced to these two cases. $\hfill \Box$

We see that if a palindrome lies in the commutator subgroup $N'_{2,2}$ then the palindrome is trivial. More generally, we have the following.

Lemma 3.4. If a product of two palindromes lies in $N'_{2,2}$ then this product is trivial.

Proof. We know that any palindrome has the form $p_{(2a,b)}$ or $p_{(a,2b)}$. Consider the product of two palindromes. If both palindromes have the type the $p_{(2a,b)}$ then their product

$$p_{(2a_1,b_1)} \cdot p_{(2a_2,b_2)} = x^{2a_1} y^{b_1} z^{a_1b_1} \cdot x^{2a_2} y^{b_2} z^{a_2b_2} = x^{2(a_1+a_2)} y^{b_1+b_2} z^{b_1(a_1+2a_2)+a_2b_2} z^{b_2(a_1+a_2)} y^{b_1+b_2} z^{b_2(a_1+a_2)+a_2b_2} z^{b_2(a_1+a_2)} y^{b_2(a_2+a_2)+a_2b_2} z^{b_2(a_1+a_2)} y^{b_2(a_2+a_2)+a_2b_2} z^{b_2(a_2+a_2)+a_2b_2} z^{b_2(a_2+$$

lies in the commutator subgroup if and only if

$$\begin{cases} a_1 + a_2 = 0, \\ b_1 + b_2 = 0, \end{cases}$$
$$\begin{cases} a_1 = -a_2, \\ b_1 = -b_2. \end{cases}$$

But this means that

$$p_{(2a_1,b_1)} \cdot p_{(2a_2,b_2)} = z^{-b_2a_2+a_2b_2} = z^0 = 1$$

The case of a product $p_{(a_1,2b_1)} \cdot p_{(a_2,2b_2)}$ is similar.

Consider a product of palindromes of different types:

$$p_{(2a_1,b_1)} \cdot p_{(a_2,2b_2)} = x^{2a_1} y^{b_1} z^{a_1b_1} \cdot x^{a_2} y^{2b_2} z^{a_2b_2} = x^{2a_1+a_2} y^{b_1+2b_2} z^{a_1b_1+a_2b_2+b_1a_2}.$$

We see that this product lies in the commutator subgroup if and only if

$$\begin{cases} 2a_1 + a_2 = 0, \\ b_1 + 2b_2 = 0, \end{cases}$$
$$\begin{cases} a_2 = -2a_1, \\ b_1 = -2b_2 \end{cases}$$

or

or

But this means that

$$p_{(2a_1,b_1)} \cdot p_{(a_2,2b_2)} = z^{b_1(a_1+a_2)+a_2b_2} = z^0 = 1$$

The case of the product $p_{(a_2,2b_2)} \cdot p_{(2a_1,b_1)}$ is similar.

Proposition 3.5. $pw(N_{2,2}) = 3$.

Proof. Note that [y, x] is an element in the center of $N_{2,2}$. Note that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x^{\alpha}y^{\beta}[y,x]^{\gamma} & = & x^{\alpha}y^{\beta-\gamma}y^{\gamma}[y,x]^{\gamma} \\ & = & x^{\alpha}y^{\beta-\gamma}(x^{-1}yx)^{\gamma}. \end{array}$$

It follows that

$$x^{\alpha}y^{\beta}z^{\gamma} = x^{\alpha}y^{\beta-\gamma}y^{\gamma}x^{\alpha}\cdot x^{-\alpha-2}\cdot xy^{\gamma}x.$$

Hence, $pw(N_{2,2}) \leq 3$. On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 3.4 that z is not a product of 2 palindromes. Hence $pw(N_{2,2}) \geq 3$.

In the general case we can prove

Lemma 3.6. Any element in $N_{n,2}$, $n \ge 2$ is a product of at most 3(n-1) palindromes.

Proof. Let $g \in N_{n,2}$. Then g has the form

$$g = x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} \prod_{1 \le j < i \le n} [x_i, x_j]^{\gamma_{i,j}}$$

for some integers α_i and γ_{ij} . Using the commutator identities (see e.g. [14]) we have

$$\prod_{1 \le j < i \le n} [x_i, x_j]^{\gamma_{ij}} = [x_n^{\gamma_{n1}} x_{n-1}^{\gamma_{n-1,1}} \dots x_2^{\gamma_{21}}, x_1] [x_n^{\gamma_{n2}} x_{n-1}^{\gamma_{n-1,2}} \dots x_3^{\gamma_{32}}, x_2] \dots [x_n^{\gamma_{n,n-2}} x_{n-1}^{\gamma_{n-1,n-2}}, x_{n-2}] [x_n^{\gamma_{n,n-1}}, x_{n-1}]$$

Since, the commutator subgroup $N'_{n,2}$ is equal to the center of $N_{n,2}$ then

$$g = [x_n^{\gamma_{n-1}} x_{n-1}^{\gamma_{n-1,1}} \dots x_2^{\gamma_{21}}, x_1] x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot [x_n^{\gamma_{n-2}} x_{n-1}^{\gamma_{n-1,2}} \dots x_3^{\gamma_{32}}, x_2] x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdot \dots [x_n^{\gamma_{n,n-2}} x_{n-1}^{\gamma_{n-1,n-2}}, x_{n-2}] x_{n-2}^{\alpha_{n-2}} \cdot x_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}} [x_n^{\gamma_{n,n-1}}, x_{n-1}] x_n^{\alpha_n}.$$

By Lemma 2.5(2) any element

 $[x_n^{\gamma_{n-1}}x_{n-1}^{\gamma_{n-1,1}}\dots x_2^{\gamma_{21}}, x_1]x_1^{\alpha_1}, [x_n^{\gamma_{n2}}x_{n-1}^{\gamma_{n-1,2}}\dots x_3^{\gamma_{32}}, x_2]x_2^{\alpha_2}, \dots, [x_n^{\gamma_{n,n-2}}x_{n-1}^{\gamma_{n-1,n-2}}, x_{n-2}]x_{n-2}^{\alpha_{n-2}}$ is a product of 3 palindromes. Elements x_{n-1} and x_n generate a group which is isomorphic to N_{2,2} and by Proposition 3.5, the element $x_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}}[x_n^{\gamma_{n,n-1}}, x_{n-1}]x_n^{\alpha_n}$ is a product of 3 palindromes. Hence, g is a product of 3(n-1) palindromes.

3.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Theorem 1.1 is obtained by combining Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.6.

3.4. The palindromic widths of free abelian-by-nilpotent groups.

Proposition 3.7. Let G be a non-abelian free abelian-by-nilpotent group of rank n. Then $pw(G) \leq 5n$.

Proof. Let G be a non-abelian free abelian-by-nilpotent group with a basis x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n . Let A be an abelian normal subgroup of G such that G/A is nilpotent. It follows from [2, Theorem 2] that any element $g \in G$ has the form

 $g = x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} [u_1, x_1]^{a_1} [u_2, x_2]^{a_2} \dots [u_n, x_n]^{a_n}, \ \alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \ u_i \in G, \ a_i \in A.$

By (3) of Lemma 2.5 any commutator $[u_i, x_i]$ is a product of 3 palindromes, thus by (2) of Lemma 2.5, any commutator $[u_i, x_i]^{a_i}$ is a product of 4 palindromes. Hence, g is a product of n + 4n = 5n palindromes.

Since free metabelian groups are free abelian-by-abelian groups, hence we have the following.

Corollary 3.8. The palindromic width of a finitely generated free metabelian group is finite.

References

- A. H. Rhemtulla, A problem of bounded expressibility in free groups, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 64 (1969), 573–584.
- M. Akhavan-Malayeri, A. Rhemtulla, Commutator length of abelian-by-nilpotent groups. Glasg. Math. J. 40 (1998), no.1, 117–121.
- [3] Kh. S. Allambergenov, V. A. Roman'kov, Products of commutators in groups, (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk UzSSR, 1984, no. 4, 14–15.
- [4] Kh. S. Allambergenov, V. A. Roman'kov, On products of commutators in groups, (Russian) Depon. VINITI, 1985, no. 4566-85, 20 pp.
- [5] V. Bardakov, Computation of commutator length in free groups (Russian), Algebra Log., 39 (2000), no. 4, 395–440; translation in Algebra and Logic 39 (2000), no. 4, 224–251.
- [6] V. Bardakov, V. Shpilrain, V. Tolstykh, On the palindromic and primitive widths of a free group, J. Algebra, 285 (2005), 574–585.
- [7] V. Bardakov, V. Tolstykh, The palindromic width of a free product of groups, J. Aust. Math. Soc., 81 (2006), no. 2, 199–208.
- [8] D. Collins, Palindromic automorphism of free groups, in: Combinatorial and Geometric Group Theory, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 204, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995, 63 – 72.
- [9] H. Glover, C. Jensen, Geometry for palindromic automorphisms of free groups, Comment. Math. Helv. 75 (2000), 644–667.
- [10] J. Gilman, L. Keen, Enumerating palindromes and primitives in rank two free groups, J. Algebra 332 (2011), 1–13.
- [11] J. Gilman, L. Keen, Discreteness criteria and the hyperbolic geometry of palindromes, Conform. Geom. Dyn. 13 (2009), 76–90.
- [12] H. Helling, A note on the automorphism group of the rank two free group , J. Algebra 223 (2000), 610–614.
- [13] A. Piggott, Palindromic primitives and palindromic bases in the free group of rank two, J. Algebra 304 (2006), 359–366.
- [14] W. Magnus, A. Karrass, D. Solitar, Combinatorial group theory: Presentations of Groups in Terms of Generators and Relations, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1996.

SOBOLEV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, NOVOSIBIRSK, 630090, RUSSIA *E-mail address*: bardakov@math.nsc.ru

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH (IISER) MOHALI, KNOWLEDGE CITY, SECTOR 81, S.A.S. NAGAR, P.O. MANAULI 140306, INDIA *E-mail address*: krishnendu@iisermohali.ac.in, krishnendug@gmail.com