

ON THE PERIODICITY OF THE FIRST BETTI NUMBER OF THE SEMIGROUP RINGS UNDER TRANSLATIONS

ADRIANO MARZULLO

ABSTRACT. Let k be a field of characteristic zero. Given an ordered 3-tuple of positive integers $\mathbf{a} = (a, b, c)$ and for $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$, a family of sequences $\underline{\mathbf{a}}_j = (j, a + j, a + b + j, a + b + c + j)$, we consider the collection of monomial curves in \mathbb{A}^4 associated with $\underline{\mathbf{a}}_j$. The Betti numbers of the Semigroup rings collection associated with $\underline{\mathbf{a}}_j$ are conjectured to be eventually periodic with period $a + b + c$ by Herzog and Srinivasan. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$, in this paper, we prove that for $\mathbf{a} = (p(b+c), b, c)$ or $\mathbf{a} = (a, b, p(a+b))$ in the collection of defining ideals associated with $\underline{\mathbf{a}}_j$, for large j the ideals are complete intersections if and only if $(a + b + c) | j$. Moreover, the complete intersections are periodic with the conjectured period.¹

1

INTRODUCTION

Let k be a field of characteristic zero. Let \mathbb{N} denote the nonnegative integers. Consider the finite sequence $\mathbf{a} = (\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$. The numerical semigroup generated by \mathbf{a} is given by $S_{\mathbf{a}} = \mathbf{a}_1\mathbb{N} + \mathbf{a}_2\mathbb{N} + \dots + \mathbf{a}_n\mathbb{N} = \langle \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n \rangle$.

Consider the monomial curve $\Gamma_{\mathbf{a}} = \{(t^{\mathbf{a}_1}, t^{\mathbf{a}_2}, \dots, t^{\mathbf{a}_n}) \in \mathbb{A}_k^n : t \in k\}$, then the Monomial Prime Ideal or the defining Ideal of $\Gamma_{\mathbf{a}}$ is given by

$$P_{\mathbf{a}} = P(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n) = \text{Ker } \varphi$$

where φ is the homomorphism of k -algebras:

$$\varphi : R = k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] \longrightarrow k[t] \quad x_i \longmapsto t^{\mathbf{a}_i}, \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

The image of φ , denoted by $k[S_{\mathbf{a}}]$ or $k[\Gamma_{\mathbf{a}}]$, is the *semigroup ring* associated with the numerical semigroup $S_{\mathbf{a}}$.

Closely related to the map φ is the homomorphism

$$(1) \quad \psi : \mathbb{Z}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad \psi(e_i) = \mathbf{a}_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

The image of ψ , $\text{Im}(\psi) = S_{\mathbf{a}} = \langle \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n \rangle$. The kernel of ψ plays an important role so we denote it by $K = \text{Ker}(\psi)$. As a consequence of the link between the map ϕ and ψ , we see that a binomial

$$g = x^{\alpha} - x^{\beta} = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdot \dots \cdot x_n^{\alpha_n} - x_1^{\beta_1} \cdot x_2^{\beta_2} \cdot \dots \cdot x_n^{\beta_n} \in P_{\mathbf{a}} \iff$$

Date: September, 14 2012.

Key words and phrases. Semigroup Rings and Betti Numbers .

¹This is the author's Ph.D. thesis, under the direction of Professor Hema Srinivasan. The author sincerely thanks Professor Srinivasan for suggesting the problem and for many helpful discussions concerning the material in this paper.

$$(2) \quad \alpha - \beta = (\alpha_1 - \beta_1, \alpha_2 - \beta_2, \dots, \alpha_n - \beta_n) \in K.$$

Let us recall the following general definitions:

Definition 1. [7] A binomial $f_i = x_i^{\alpha_i} - \prod_{j \neq i} x_j^{\alpha_{ij}} \in P_{\mathbf{a}}$ is called a *critical binomial* with respect to x_i if α_i is the least positive integer such that $\alpha_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_i \in \langle \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{a}}_i, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n \rangle$.

Definition 2. [7] A set $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$ is called a *full set* of critical binomials if f_i is a critical binomial with respect to x_i for all $i \in 1, 2, \dots, n$.

It is proved in [9] that there is always a minimal generators set for $P_{\mathbf{a}}$ containing the full set of critical binomials:

Proposition 3. Let $P_{\mathbf{a}}$ be the defining ideal of a monomial curve in \mathbb{A}_k^n and $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$ be a critical set of binomials. Then $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$ is part of a minimal system of generators of $P_{\mathbf{a}}$. \square

By Corollary 2.5.7 in [8], recall the following definition:

Definition 4. Let $R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} R_i$ be a polynomial ring of dimension n over a field k and let M be a \mathbb{N} -graded R -module, then the minimal free resolution of M is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{b_g} R(-d_{gi}) \xrightarrow{\varphi_g} \dots \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{b_k} R(-d_{ki}) \xrightarrow{\varphi_k} \dots \\ \dots \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{b_1} R(-d_{1i}) \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{b_0} R(-d_{0i}) \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} M \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

The integers b_0, \dots, b_g , the ranks of the graded modules, are called the Betti numbers of M , the d_{ji} are the twists and they indicate a shift in the graduation. In particular, b_0 is the minimal number of generators of M .

Note that for any permutation $\mathbf{a}' = (\mathbf{a}'_1, \mathbf{a}'_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}'_n)$ of $\mathbf{a} = (\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n)$ we get that:

$$S_{\mathbf{a}} = S_{\mathbf{a}'}, \quad P_{\mathbf{a}} \cong P_{\mathbf{a}'}, \quad \Gamma_{\mathbf{a}} \cong \Gamma_{\mathbf{a}'}$$

so we can always assume that: $\mathbf{a}_1 \leq \mathbf{a}_2 \leq \dots \leq \mathbf{a}_n$.

Let $d = \gcd(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n)$ and consider the sequence given by:

$$\frac{\mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{d}} = \left(\frac{\mathbf{a}_1}{d}, \frac{\mathbf{a}_2}{d}, \dots, \frac{\mathbf{a}_n}{d} \right) \text{ then } P_{\mathbf{a}} = P_{\frac{\mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{d}}}$$

Given $\mathbf{a}_j = \{(\mathbf{a}_1 + j, \mathbf{a}_2 + j, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n + j) \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ a collection of sequences, let $P_{\mathbf{a}_j}, \varphi_j, \psi_j$ be the collection of defining ideals, homomorphisms of k -algebras and homomorphisms with kernels K_j associated to \mathbf{a}_j .

Notation 5. For any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let $P_{\mathbf{a}_j}$ be the ideal defined above. In in this paper we will set $b_0 = \mu(P_{\mathbf{a}_j})$.

Definition 6. For any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let $P_{\mathbf{a}_j}$ be the ideal defined above, then $P_{\mathbf{a}_j}$ is a complete intersection ideal if $\text{ht}(P_{\mathbf{a}_j}) = \mu(P_{\mathbf{a}_j})$

In general we will say that for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, the sequence \mathbf{a}_j defines a complete intersection if and only if the ideal $P_{\mathbf{a}_j}$ is a complete intersection ideal.

Conjecture. [1] [J. Herzog, H. Srinivasan]

- (A) The Betti Numbers of $P_{\mathbf{a}_j}$ are eventually periodic in j ;
- (B) $\{\mu(P_{\mathbf{a}_j}) \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is eventually periodic in j .
- (C) $\{\mu(P_{\mathbf{a}_j}) \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded.

The above conjecture is true for $n = 3$, see [2]. Moreover in this case, considering the monomial curve associated to the sequence $\mathbf{a} = (q, q + a, q + a + b)$, we have the following Herzog-Srinivasan characterization for complete intersection ideals:

Lemma 7. [2] *If $q \geq \max\{ab + b^2, ab + a^2\}$ then $\mathbf{a} = (q, q + a, q + a + b)$ defines a complete intersection ideal if and only if there exist $\gcd(q, a + b) = x \neq 1$ and two non-negative integers α, β such that*

$$x(q + a) = \alpha q + \beta(q + a + b).$$

Moreover, in this case, $\alpha + \beta = x$ and $\gcd(a, b) = t$ with $a + b = tx$.

In particular, if a and b are relatively prime, $(q, q + a, q + a + b)$ is a complete intersection if and only if $a + b$ divides q . \square

In the paper we prove a partial result for $n = 4$:

Theorem. Let $\underline{\mathbf{a}} = (1, 1 + a, 1 + a + b, 1 + a + b + c)$, $c = p(a + b)$ or $a = p(b + c)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ then

- (A) $\mu(P_{\underline{\mathbf{a}}_j}) = 3$, occurs eventually periodically with period $a + b + c$ starting with $j = a + b + c$;
- (B) $\mu(P_{\underline{\mathbf{a}}_j}) = 4$ occurs eventually periodically with period $a + b + c$;
- (C) For $j \geq (a + b + c)^3$, $P_{\underline{\mathbf{a}}_j}$ is a complete intersection if and only if $(a + b + c) \mid j$.

1. SRINIVASAN'S SEMIGROUP RINGS

Let $\mathbf{a} = (\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m$. To any sequence \mathbf{a} of length m we can associate the corresponding sequence of length $m + 1$ given by:

$$(3) \quad \underline{\mathbf{a}} = (1, 1 + \mathbf{a}_1, 1 + \mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, 1 + \mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2 + \dots + \mathbf{a}_m) \in \mathbb{N}^{m+1}$$

The semigroup ring associated with (3) is

$$(4) \quad k[S_{\underline{\mathbf{a}}}] = k[t, t^{1+\mathbf{a}_1}, t^{1+\mathbf{a}_1+\mathbf{a}_2}, \dots, t^{1+\sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{a}_i}]$$

and the monomial prime ideal $P_{\underline{\mathbf{a}}}$ has height m .

Consider $j \in \mathbb{N}$. To (3), we can associate the collection of sequences given by:

$$\underline{\mathbf{a}}_j = (1 + j, 1 + \mathbf{a}_1 + j, 1 + \mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2 + j, \dots, 1 + \mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2 + \dots + \mathbf{a}_m + j)$$

that we redefine as:

$$(5) \quad \underline{\mathbf{a}}_j = (j, \mathbf{a}_1 + j, \mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2 + j, \dots, \mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2 + \dots + \mathbf{a}_m + j)$$

for $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$, and the collection of semigroup rings given by:

$$(6) \quad k[S_{\underline{\mathbf{a}}_j}] = k \left[t^j, t^{\mathbf{a}_1 + j}, t^{\mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2 + j}, \dots, t^{\sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{a}_i + j} \right]$$

Then, \mathbf{a} generates a class of semigroup rings by translation

$$(7) \quad F_{\mathbf{a}} = \left\{ k[S_{\underline{\mathbf{a}}_j}], \mid j \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1} \right\}$$

which we call the Srinivasan's class of semigroup rings generated by \mathbf{a} .

The Herzog-Srinivasan Conjecture states that the first Betti number of the Srinivasan's class of semigroup rings $F_{\mathbf{a}}$ is eventually periodic.

2. PROBLEM'S SETTING IN \mathbb{A}^4

Let $R = k[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$, $n = 4$, $m = 3$ and $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then:

$$(8) \quad \mathbf{a} = (\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_3) = (a, b, c)$$

Moreover, we have that the Srinivasan's semigroup rings corresponding to (8) is led by:

$$(9) \quad \underline{\mathbf{a}} = (1, 1 + a, 1 + a + b, 1 + a + b + c) \in \mathbb{N}^4$$

and the collection of sequences associated to (9) is:

$$(10) \quad \underline{\mathbf{a}}_j = (j, a + j, a + b + j, a + b + c + j) \in \mathbb{N}^4$$

where $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$. Here the conjectured period of the first Betti number of the Srinivasan's semigroup rings is $a + b + c$.

Let $\mathcal{M} \subset P_{\underline{\mathbf{a}}_j}$ be a set of binomials with one coefficient 1, the other -1 and the two terms relatively prime to each other:

$$\mathcal{M} = \{g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, g_5, g_6, g_7\}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_3^{\alpha_3} x_4^{\alpha_4}, & g_2 &= x_1^{\alpha_1} x_3^{\alpha_3} - x_2^{\alpha_2} x_4^{\alpha_4}; \\ g_3 &= x_1^{\alpha_1} x_4^{\alpha_4} - x_2^{\alpha_2} x_3^{\alpha_3}, & g_4 &= x_1^{\alpha_1} - x_2^{\alpha_2} x_3^{\alpha_3} x_4^{\alpha_4}; \\ g_5 &= x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_1^{\alpha_1} x_3^{\alpha_3} x_4^{\alpha_4}, & g_6 &= x_3^{\alpha_3} - x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} x_4^{\alpha_4}; \\ g_7 &= x_4^{\alpha_4} - x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} x_3^{\alpha_3}; \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 8. *Let $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ and $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)$ be the exponent of a binomial in \mathcal{M} . Then $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) \in K_j$ if and only if the α_i 's satisfy the following equation:*

$$(11) \quad j \left(\sum_{i=1}^4 \alpha_i \right) + a\alpha_2 + (a+b)\alpha_3 + \alpha_4(a+b+c) = 0$$

Proof. $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) = \alpha_1 \cdot e_1 + \alpha_2 \cdot e_2 + \alpha_3 \cdot e_3 + \alpha_4 \cdot e_4 \in K_j \subset \mathbb{Z}^4$ if and only if

$$\psi_j(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) = \alpha_1 \psi_j(e_1) + \alpha_2 \psi_j(e_2) + \alpha_3 \psi_j(e_3) + \alpha_4 \psi_j(e_4) = 0$$

that is

$$\alpha_1(j) + \alpha_2(a+j) + \alpha_3(a+b+j) + \alpha_4(a+b+c+j) = 0 \Leftrightarrow$$

$$j(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4) + a\alpha_2 + (a+b)\alpha_3 + \alpha_4(a+b+c) = 0$$

where the α_i for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ are considered according to their signs. \square

3. MAIN THEOREMS

Theorem 1. Let $n, t, p \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq t \leq p$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$. Then

- (i) If $c = p(a+b)$ or $a = p(b+c)$ and $j = (a+b+c)n$ then $\mu(P_{\mathbf{a}_j}) = 3$;
- (ii) If $c = p(a+b)$ and $j = (a+b+c)n + (a+b)t$ then $\mu(P_{\mathbf{a}_j}) = 4$;
- (iii) If $a = p(b+c)$ and $j = (a+b+c)n + (b+c)t$ then $\mu(P_{\mathbf{a}_j}) = 4$.

Proof. (i) We prove the theorem for $c = p(a+b)$ and $j = (a+b+c)n$. The proof for the case $a = p(b+c)$ is similar and it was done in [9]. Under these hypotheses, rewrite (11), as

$$(12) \quad (p+1)(a+b)n(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4) + a\alpha_2 + (a+b)\alpha_3 + (p+1)(a+b)\alpha_4 = 0$$

Suppose that $\gcd(a, b) = d \neq 1$, then $a = da'$ and $b = db'$, where $\gcd(a', b') = 1$. By (12) we have:

$$d \left[(p+1)(a'+b')n(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4) + a'\alpha_2 + (a'+b')\alpha_3 + (p+1)(a'+b')\alpha_4 \right] = 0.$$

So, without loss of generality assume that $\gcd(a, b) = 1$. Let

$$I = \left\langle x_1^{n+1} - x_4^n, x_3^{p+1} - x_1^p x_4, x_2^{a+b} - x_1^b x_3^a \right\rangle$$

It is a computation to show that $I \subset P_{\mathbf{a}_j}$. We need to prove the other inclusion: all the binomials in $P_{\mathbf{a}_j}$ can be written as a combination of the generators of I .

We divide the proof in two steps:

Case 1: $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 = 0$. $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) \in K_j \subset \mathbb{Z}^4$ is a solution of (11) if and only if satisfies the following linear system:

$$(13) \quad \begin{cases} \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 = 0 \\ a\alpha_2 + (a+b)\alpha_3 + (p+1)(a+b)\alpha_4 = 0 \end{cases}$$

It was completely proved in [9] that:

(A) Two independent solutions of (13) are:

$$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) = (-p, 0, p+1, -1) \text{ and } (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) = (-b, a+b, -a, 0)$$

(B) Let $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Every $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)$ solution of (13) can be expressed as

$$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) = c_1(-p, 0, p+1, -1) + c_2(-b, a+b, -a, 0)$$

(C) Let g_1 and g_2 be the binomials corresponding to the two independent solutions of (13). Then every binomial in $P_{\mathbf{a}_j}$ satisfying $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 = 0$ is inside the ideal generated by g_1 and g_2 .

Case 2: $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 \neq 0$. Without loss of generality we can consider $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4$ to be positive. Since $c = p(a+b)$ and $j = (a+b+c)n - 1$, we already saw that (11) becomes (12), that is:

$$(p+1)(a+b)n(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4) + a\alpha_2 + (a+b)\alpha_3 + (p+1)(a+b)\alpha_4 = 0$$

Now, since $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, by (12) we conclude that $a+b \mid \alpha_2$. Let's denote with

$$I_2 = \left\langle x_3^{p+1} - x_1^p x_4, x_1^{n+1} - x_4^n \right\rangle \subset I.$$

- Let $\alpha_2 = 0$. It was proved in [9] that every binomial $g_i \in \mathcal{M}$ is in $P_{\mathbf{a}_j}$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7\}$. To give an idea on how we did this, let us show that $g_1 \in P_{\mathbf{a}_j}$.

$g_1 = x_1^{\alpha_1} - x_3^{\alpha_3} x_4^{\alpha_4} \in P_{\mathbf{a}_j} \Leftrightarrow (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) = (k_1, 0, -k_3, -k_4) \in K_j$ now, by Lemma 8 this is true if and only if $(k_1, 0, -k_3, -k_4)$ satisfies (11) that is

$$(14) \quad k_1 = k_3 + k_4 + \frac{k_3}{(p+1)n} + \frac{k_4}{n}$$

on the other hand:

$$(15) \quad 0 < k_1 - k_3 - k_4 = \frac{k_3}{(p+1)n} + \frac{k_4}{n} = \frac{\frac{k_3}{p+1} + k_4}{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^+$$

If $n > \frac{k_3}{p+1} + k_4$ then $\frac{\frac{k_3}{p+1} + k_4}{n} < 1$ and this is a contradiction. So there is no solution for $n > \frac{k_3}{p+1} + k_4$. If $n \leq \frac{k_3}{p+1} + k_4$, then

$$(16) \quad \frac{k_3}{p+1} + k_4 = Hn \text{ where } H \in \mathbb{N}.$$

and by (14), we get that

$$(17) \quad k_1 = k_3 + k_4 + H.$$

(A) If $\alpha_3 = 0$ ($\alpha_2 = 0$), then by (16) and by (17)

$$g_1 = x_1^{H(n+1)} - x_4^{Hn} \in \left\langle x_1^{(n+1)} - x_4^n \right\rangle \subset I.$$

(B) If $\alpha_3 \neq 0$ ($\alpha_2 = 0$), then by (16), we get $\frac{k_3}{p+1} \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, that is $(p+1) \mid k_3$.
So write

$$(k_1, 0, -k_3, -k_4) + \left(-\frac{k_3 p}{p+1}, 0, \frac{(p+1)k_3}{p+1}, -\frac{k_3}{p+1} \right) = \left(k_1 - \frac{k_3 p}{p+1}, 0, 0, -k_4 - \frac{k_3}{p+1} \right).$$

Since

$$(18) \quad x_3^{\frac{(p+1)k_3}{p+1}} - x_1^{\frac{k_3 p}{p+1}} x_4^{\frac{k_3}{p+1}} \in \langle x_3^{p+1} - x_1^p x_4 \rangle.$$

we need to show that

$$(19) \quad x_1^{k_1 - \frac{k_3 p}{p+1}} - x_4^{k_4 + \frac{k_3}{p+1}} \in \langle x_1^{n+1} - x_4^n \rangle.$$

To prove (19) rewrite (14) as

$$\begin{aligned} (p+1)n(k_1 - k_3 - k_4) &= (p+1)k_4 + k_3 \Rightarrow \\ (p+1)nk_1 - (p+1)nk_3 &= (p+1)k_4 + k_3 + (p+1)nk_4 \Rightarrow \\ n(p+1) \left(k_1 - \frac{k_3 p}{p+1} \right) &= nk_3 + k_3 + (p+1)k_4(n+1) \Rightarrow \end{aligned}$$

$$(20) \quad n \left(k_1 - \frac{pk_3}{p+1} \right) = (n+1) \left(k_4 + \frac{k_3}{p+1} \right).$$

Consider the following map:

$$\xi : K[x_1, x_4] \rightarrow K[t] \quad \text{where } x_1 \mapsto t^n, \quad x_4 \mapsto t^{n+1}.$$

Of course, $\ker \xi = \langle x_1^{n+1} - x_4^n \rangle$ and by (20)

$$x_1^{k_1 - \frac{k_3 p}{p+1}} - x_4^{k_4 + \frac{k_3}{p+1}} \in \langle x_1^{n+1} - x_4^n \rangle.$$

and this proves (19).

Finally, by (18) and (19), considering the map $\pi : R \rightarrow \frac{R}{I_2}$, it is a computation to show that $g_1 = x_1^{k_1} - x_3^{k_3} x_4^{k_4}$ is zero in $\frac{R}{I_2}$. This completes the proof for $\alpha_2 = 0$.

- Let $\alpha_2 \neq 0$. In [9] we proved that every binomial $g_i \in \mathcal{M}$ is in $P_{\mathbf{a}_j}$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$. Here, let us prove that $g_2 \in P_{\mathbf{a}_j}$.

$g_2 = x_1^{\alpha_1} x_3^{\alpha_3} - x_2^{\alpha_2} x_4^{\alpha_4} \in P_{\mathbf{a}_j} \Leftrightarrow (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) = (k_1, -k_2, k_3, -k_4) \in K_j$. where $k_1 - k_2 + k_3 - k_4 > 0$. Since $(a+b) \mid \alpha_2$, we can consider

$$\begin{aligned} (k_1, -k_2, k_3, -k_4) + \left(-\frac{bk_2}{a+b}, \frac{(a+b)k_2}{(a+b)}, -\frac{ak_2}{a+b}, 0 \right) &= \\ = \left(k_1 - \frac{bk_2}{a+b}, 0, k_3 - \frac{ak_2}{a+b}, -k_4 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\left(k'_1, 0, k'_3, k'_4 \right) = \left(k_1 - \frac{bk_2}{a+b}, 0, k_3 - \frac{ak_2}{a+b}, -k_4 \right).$$

Now, it is hard to predict the signs of k'_1 and k'_3 because they could be either positive or negative. On the other hand, we can certainly say that they cannot be both negative (actually in this case we do not have a

binomial anymore). Then we have three possibilities, and in all of them the binomial associated to $(k'_1, 0, k'_3, k'_4)$ is in I for what we have done above. Moreover, it is just a computation to prove that

$$(21) \quad x_2^{\frac{(a+b)k_2}{(a+b)}} - x_1^{\frac{bk_2}{a+b}} x_3^{\frac{ak_2}{a+b}} \in \langle x_2^{a+b} - x_1^b x_3^a \rangle$$

Claim 9. $x_1^{k_1} x_3^{k_2} - x_2^{k_3} x_4^{k_4} \in I$

Proof. Consider the map $\pi : R \rightarrow R/I$.

(A) If $k'_1 < 0$ and $k'_3 > 0$, then as mentioned above

$$(22) \quad x_3^{k_3 - \frac{ak_2}{a+b}} - x_1^{\frac{bk_2}{a+b} - k_1} x_4^{k_4} \in I_2 \subset I$$

By (21), we can write

$$g_2 = x_1^{k_1} x_3^{k_2} - x_2^{k_3} x_4^{k_4} + x_2^{k_2} - x_1^{\frac{bk_2}{a+b}} x_3^{\frac{ak_2}{a+b}} + C_3 (x_2^{a+b} - x_1^b x_3^a)$$

where $C_3 \in R$. In $\frac{R}{I}$, we have that $x_2^{k_2} = x_1^{\frac{bk_2}{a+b}} x_3^{\frac{ak_2}{a+b}}$. So the last equation becomes:

$$g_2 = x_1^{k_1} x_3^{k_2} - \left(x_1^{\frac{bk_2}{a+b}} x_3^{\frac{ak_2}{a+b}} \right) x_4^{k_4} + C_3 (x_2^{a+b} - x_1^b x_3^a)$$

then

$$g_2 = x_1^{k_1} x_3^{\frac{ak_2}{a+b}} \left(x_3^{k_3 - \frac{ak_2}{a+b}} - x_1^{\frac{bk_2}{a+b} - k_1} x_4^{k_4} \right) + C_3 (x_2^{a+b} - x_1^b x_3^a)$$

then by (22), we get

$$g_2 = x_1^{k_1} x_3^{\frac{ak_2}{a+b}} \left[C_1 (x_3^{p+1} - x_1^p x_4) + C_2 (x_1^{n+1} - x_4^n) \right] + C_3 (x_2^{a+b} - x_1^b x_3^a)$$

where $C_1, C_2 \in R$.

(B) For $k'_1 > 0$ and $k'_3 < 0$ or $k'_1 > 0$ and $k'_3 > 0$, similar proofs are done in [9].

This concludes the proof of (i). \square

(ii) Let $j = (a+b+c)n + (a+b)t$, $c = p(a+b)$, $1 \leq t \leq p$ where $n, t, p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Again assume that $\gcd(a, b) = 1$.

Recall that $S_{\mathbf{a}_j} = \langle j, a+j, a+b+j, a+b+c+j \rangle$ where

$$j = (p+1)(a+b)n + (a+b)t = (a+b)[(p+1)n + t].$$

Let $L := [(p+1)n + t] \in \mathbb{N}$, then rewrite:

$$(23) \quad S_{\mathbf{a}_j} = \langle \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_3, \mathbf{a}_4 \rangle = \\ = \langle (a+b)L, (a+b)L + a, (a+b)(L+1), (a+b)(L+p+1) \rangle$$

Let

$$I = \left\langle x_1^{n+t+1} - x_3^t x_4^n, x_2^{a+b} - x_1^b x_3^a, x_3^{p+1} - x_1^p x_4, x_4^{n+1} - x_1^{n+t-p+1} x_3^{p-t+1} \right\rangle$$

It is an computation to show that $I \subset P_{\mathfrak{a}_j}$. We need to show the other inclusion.

First, it was proved in [9] that:

$$I = \langle f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 \rangle$$

where f_i is the critical binomial with respect x_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. To give the reader an idea on how this was done, let us show that:

Lemma 10. $f_3 = x_3^{p+1} - x_1^p x_4$.

Proof. By Definition 1, we need to show that $p+1$ is the minimal integer such that

$$(24) \quad (p+1)\mathfrak{a}_3 = k_1\mathfrak{a}_1 + k_2\mathfrak{a}_2 + k_4\mathfrak{a}_4 \quad k_1, k_2, k_4 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$$

It is proved in [9] that:

Claim 11. *If α is the minimal positive integer such that*

$$(25) \quad \alpha\mathfrak{a}_3 = k_1\mathfrak{a}_1 + k_2\mathfrak{a}_2 + k_4\mathfrak{a}_4$$

where $k_1, k_2, k_4 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then $k_2 = 0$. □

Let $\alpha < (p+1)$ and suppose that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $k_1, k_4 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that

$$(26) \quad \alpha\mathfrak{a}_3 = k_1\mathfrak{a}_1 + k_4\mathfrak{a}_4$$

It is proved in [9] that:

Claim 12. *In (26), if $\alpha < (p+1)$ then $k_4 \geq 1$.* □

So far, we got that (26) holds when $k_4 \geq 1$. Now, (26) can be rewritten as:

$$(27) \quad \alpha(L+1) = k_1L + k_4(L+p+1)$$

(27) implies:

$$(A) \quad L(\alpha - k_1 - k_4) = k_4(p+1) - \alpha \implies$$

$$(28) \quad \alpha > k_1 + k_4$$

since, $k_4 > 1$ and $\alpha < p+1$.

$$(B) \quad \alpha[(p+1)n+t+1] = k_1[(p+1)n+t] + k_4[(p+1)n+t+(p+1)] \text{ that is:}$$

$$(p+1)[(\alpha - k_1 - k_4)n - k_4] = -[(\alpha - k_1 - k_4)t] - \alpha < 0$$

because of (28). Then we get that

$$(\alpha - k_1 - k_4)n - k_4 < 0 \implies k_4 > n(\alpha - k_1 - k_4) > n \implies$$

$$(29) \quad k_4 > n$$

Finally, by (28) and (29), we get

$$\alpha > k_1 + k_4 > n \implies n < \alpha < p+1.$$

In conclusion, what we got is that (26) does not hold for $n \geq p + 1$, and this is a contradiction. \square

Second, consider now the following isomorphism $\delta : R \rightarrow R$ given by $x_1 \mapsto x_1$, $x_2 \mapsto x_4$, $x_3 \mapsto x_3$, $x_4 \mapsto x_2$. Let $J = \delta(I) = \langle \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F}_3, \mathcal{F}_4 \rangle$ where:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_1 &= \delta(f_1) = x_1^{n+t+1} - x_3^t x_2^n \\ \mathcal{F}_4 &= \delta(f_2) = x_4^{a+b} - x_1^b x_3^a \\ \mathcal{F}_3 &= \delta(f_3) = x_3^{p+1} - x_1^p x_2 \\ \mathcal{F}_2 &= \delta(f_4) = x_2^{n+1} - x_1^{n+t-p+1} x_3^{p-t+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Since the binomials \mathcal{F}_i are critical, by Proposition 3.4 in [7] we can conclude that J is prime and so is I .

Finally, consider the ideal J generated by:

$$J = \langle x_1^{a_2} - x_2^{a_1}, x_1^{a_3} - x_3^{a_1}, x_1^{a_4} - x_4^{a_1}, x_2^{a_3} - x_3^{a_2}, x_2^{a_4} - x_4^{a_2}, x_3^{a_4} - x_4^{a_3} \rangle$$

By Corollary 10.1.10 in [8] we know that $\sqrt{J} = P_{\mathbf{a}_j}$. To prove that $I \supset J$, we proved in [9] that each generator of J is inside I . Consider the quotient ring R/I . Then, for $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$:

$$x_i^{a_j} - x_j^{a_i} \in I \iff x_i^{a_j} - x_j^{a_i} = 0 \text{ in } R/I \iff x_i^{a_j} = x_j^{a_i} \text{ in } R/I.$$

To have an idea of how this proof goes, show that $x_1^{a_2} - x_2^{a_1} \in I$.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{By (23): } x_1^{a_2} = x_2^{a_1} &\iff x_1^{(a+b)[(p+1)n+t]+a} = x_2^{(a+b)[(p+1)n+t]} \iff \\ x_1^{(a+b)[(p+1)n+t]+a} &= (x_1^b x_3^a)^{[(p+1)n+t]} \iff x_1^{a[pn+n+t+1]} = x_3^{a[(p+1)n+t]} \iff \\ x_1^{apn} (x_1^{n+t+1})^a &= \left(x_3^{(p+1)}\right)^{an} x_3^{at} \iff x_1^{apn} (x_3^t x_4^n)^a = (x_1^p x_4)^{an} x_3^{at}.\end{aligned}$$

So far we proved that not only the ideal I is prime but also that $I \supset J$. By Corollary 10.1.10 in [8], we know that $\sqrt{J} = P_{\mathbf{a}_j}$. On the other hand, by definition:

$$P_{\mathbf{a}_j} = \sqrt{J} = \bigcap_{P \supset J} P \subset I$$

and this concludes our proof.

(iii) Let $a = p(b + c)$, $j = (a + b + c)n + (b + c)t$, $1 \leq t \leq p$ where $n, t, p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\gcd(b, c) = 1$. Recall that the arithmetic semigroup we are considering is given by

$$S_{\mathbf{a}_j} = \langle j, a + j, a + b + j, a + b + c + j \rangle$$

where

$$j = (p + 1)(b + c)n + (b + c)t = (b + c)[(p + 1)n + t]$$

now let $L := [(p + 1)n + t] \in \mathbb{N}$, then rewrite:

$$\begin{aligned}(30) \quad S_{\mathbf{a}_j} &= \langle \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_3, \mathbf{a}_4 \rangle = \\ &= \langle (b + c)L, (b + c)(L + p), (b + c)(L + p) + b, (b + c)(L + p + 1) \rangle\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$I = \langle x_1^{n+2} - x_2^{p+1-t} x_4^{n+t-p}, x_2^{p+1} - x_1 x_4^p, x_3^{b+c} - x_2^c x_4^b, x_4^{n+t} - x_1^{n+1} x_2^t \rangle.$$

It is an computation to show that $I \subset P_{\mathbf{a}_j}$. We need to show the other inclusion. Similarly to (ii), it was proven in [9] that:

- $I = \langle f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 \rangle$;
- I is a prime ideal;
- $I \supset J = \langle x_1^{a_2} - x_2^{a_1}, x_1^{a_3} - x_3^{a_1}, x_1^{a_4} - x_4^{a_1}, x_2^{a_3} - x_3^{a_2}, x_2^{a_4} - x_4^{a_2}, x_3^{n_4} - x_4^{n_3} \rangle$

By Corollary 10.1.10 in [8], we know that $\sqrt{J} = P_{\mathbf{a}_j}$. On the other hand, by definition:

$$P_{\mathbf{a}_j} = \sqrt{J} = \bigcap_{P \supset J} P \subset I$$

and this concludes our proof. □

Theorem 2. Let $\mathbf{a} = (a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3$. Let $p, n, r \in \mathbb{N}$, $j = (a + b + c)n + r$ and $a = p(b + c)$ or $c = p(a + b)$. Let

$$(31) \quad \mathbf{a}_j = (j, a + j, a + b + j, a + b + c + j) \in \mathbb{N}^4$$

Then for $j \geq (a + b + c)^3$, the Srinivasan's semigroups rings $k[S_{\mathbf{a}_j}]$ associated with the collection of monomial prime ideals $P_{\mathbf{a}_j}$ is a complete intersection if and only if $(a + b + c) | j$. In particular, in the family of the Srinivasan's semigroups rings the complete intersection appears eventually with period $a + b + c$.

Proof. If $r = 0$ then $j = (a + b + c)n$, so we are under the ipotheses of Theorem 1.(1) and by the Definition 6, the collection of defining ideal $P_{\mathbf{a}_j}$ associated is a family of complete intersection ideals. We need to prove the converse: if $P_{\mathbf{a}_j}$ is a family of complete intersection ideals then $r = 0$.

Let $a = p(b + c)$. In this case, by (31) the arithmetic semigroup associated with the shifted sequence is given by $S_{\mathbf{a}_j} = \langle \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_3, \mathbf{a}_4 \rangle$, where:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_1 &= j = (p + 1)(b + c)n + r \\ \mathbf{a}_2 &= j + a = (p + 1)(b + c)n + p(b + c) + r \\ \mathbf{a}_3 &= j + a + b = (p + 1)(b + c)n + p(b + c) + b + r \\ \mathbf{a}_4 &= j + a + b + c = (p + 1)(b + c)n + (p + 1)(b + c) + r \end{aligned}$$

To prove Theorem 2 for $a = p(b + c)$, we will use the following Lemma proved in [9]

Lemma 13. *Let $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_3, \mathbf{a}_4$ as above. If $r' > 0$ and $n \geq (b + c)^2$, then there is a relation, $\gamma_1 \mathbf{a}_1 = \gamma_3 \mathbf{a}_3 + \gamma_4 \mathbf{a}_4$ with all γ_1, γ_3 and γ_4 positive numbers, and $\gamma_1 \leq c(n + 1) \leq (b + c)(n + 1)$. In particular, in the critical binomial*

$$f_1 = x_1^{\alpha_1} - x_2^{\alpha_{12}} x_3^{\alpha_{13}} x_4^{\alpha_{14}}, \text{ we have that } \alpha_1 < (n + 1)(b + c) \quad \square$$

By (11), we have that $x_2^{p+1} - x_1 x_4^p, x_3^{b+c} - x_2^c x_4^b \in P_{\mathbf{a}_j}$. Moreover it is a computation to prove that:

- (A) In $f_3 = x_3^{\alpha_3} - x_1^{\alpha_{31}} x_3^{\alpha_{32}} x_4^{\alpha_{34}}$ at least two of $\alpha_{31}, \alpha_{32}, \alpha_{34}$ are not zero. As a consequence of this, we get $f_3 \neq -f_i, i \in \{1, 2, 4\}$.
- (B) In $f_2 = x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_1^{\alpha_{21}} x_3^{\alpha_{23}} x_4^{\alpha_{24}}$ then at least two of $\alpha_{21}, \alpha_{23}, \alpha_{24}$ are not zero. As a consequence of this, we get $f_2 \neq -f_i, i \in \{1, 3, 4\}$.

By Proposition 3, we know that $\{f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4\}$, a full set of critical binomials in R , is part of a minimal set of generators of $P_{\mathbf{a}_j}$. Now, if the monomial prime ideal $P_{\mathbf{a}_j}$ is a complete intersection ideal, by Theorem 3.1 in [7], we must have two of the critical binomials equal up to change of sign. So we have that:

$$(32) \quad f_1 = -f_4$$

Now, if $P_{\mathbf{a}_j}$ is a complete intersection ideal in R then by Lemma 7 either

- (A) $\mathbf{a} = \langle \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_4 \rangle$ defines a complete intersection ideal in $k[x_1, x_2, x_4]$ with $\{f_1 = -f_4, f_2\}$ as set of minimal generators — it is a computation to modify Lemma 8 for $n = 3$ and prove that $f_1, f_2 \in P_{\mathbf{a}}$, then by Proposition 3, we have $P_{\mathbf{a}} = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ — or
- (B) $\mathbf{a} = \langle \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_3, \mathbf{a}_4 \rangle$ defines a complete intersection in $k[x_1, x_3, x_4]$ with $\{f_1 = -f_4, f_3\}$ as set of minimal generators — again, it is a computation to modify Lemma 8 for $n = 3$ and prove that $f_1, f_3 \in P_{\mathbf{a}}$, then by Proposition 3, we have $P_{\mathbf{a}} = \langle f_1, f_3 \rangle$.

Let us analyze these cases separately.

- (A) If $\langle \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_4 \rangle$ defines a complete intersection ideal then by Lemma 7, we have:

$$(33) \quad \alpha_2 = \gcd((p+1)(b+c)n+r, p(b+c)+(b+c)) = \gcd(r, (p+1)(b+c))$$

Moreover in this case:

$$t = \gcd(p(b+c), b+c) = b+c.$$

Finally, again by Lemma 7:

$$(34) \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{p(b+c)+(b+c)}{b+c} = p+1$$

By (34), we have not only that $f_2 = x_2^{p+1} - x_1 x_4^p$ but also (by (33)):

$$\gcd(r, (p+1)(b+c)) = p+1 \Rightarrow (p+1)|r.$$

Then:

$$r = (p+1)r' < (p+1)(b+c) \Rightarrow \frac{r}{p+1} = r' < b+c.$$

Now, rewriting $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_3$ and \mathbf{a}_4 as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_1 &= (p+1) \left[n(b+c) + r' \right] \\ \mathbf{a}_2 &= (p+1) \left[n(b+c) + r' \right] + p(b+c) \\ \mathbf{a}_3 &= (p+1) \left[n(b+c) + r' \right] + p(b+c) + b \\ \mathbf{a}_4 &= (p+1) \left[(n+1)(b+c) + r' \right] \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 7, we have that:

$$(35) \quad f_1 = x_1^{(n+1)(b+c)+r'} - x_4^{n(b+c)+r'}$$

and this is a contradiction because of the Lemma 13

(B) If $\langle \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_3, \mathbf{a}_4 \rangle$ defines a complete intersection ideal then by Lemma 7, we have:

$$(36) \quad \alpha_3 = \gcd((p+1)(b+c)n+r, p(b+c)+(b+c)) = \gcd(r, (p+1)(b+c))$$

Moreover in this case:

$$t = \gcd(p(b+c)+b, c) = \gcd((p+1)b+pc, c) = \gcd((p+1)b, c)$$

Let $q = \gcd(b, c)$ then:

$$t = q \cdot \gcd((p+1)b^*, c^*)$$

where $\gcd(b^*, c^*) = 1$.

So Lemma 7 implies:

$$\alpha_3 = \frac{(p+1)(b+c)}{q \cdot \gcd((p+1)b^*, c^*)} = \frac{q \cdot (p+1)(b^*+c^*)}{q \cdot \gcd((p+1)b^*, c^*)} = \frac{(p+1)(b^*+c^*)}{\gcd((p+1)b^*, c^*)}$$

that is:

$$\alpha_3 = \frac{(p+1)(b^*+c^*)}{\gcd((p+1)b^*, c^*)} = \frac{(p+1)(b^*+c^*)}{(p+1)b^*c^*} \cdot \text{lcm}((p+1)b^*, c^*) \Rightarrow$$

$$\alpha_3 = \frac{(b^*+c^*)}{b^*c^*} \cdot \text{lcm}((p+1)b^*, c^*) \Rightarrow$$

$$\alpha_3 = \frac{(b^*+c^*)}{b^*c^*} \cdot \text{lcm}((p+1)b^*, c^*) \geq \frac{(b^*+c^*)}{b^*c^*} \cdot (p+1)b^*c^* = (b^*+c^*)(p+1) > b^*+c^* \Rightarrow$$

$$\alpha_3 > b^* + c^*$$

and this a contradiction.

The proof of the case $c = p(a+b)$ follows the same steps and it was completely done in [9]. \square

4. EXAMPLE 1

Let $\mathbf{a} = (a, b, c) = (a, b, a + b) = (2, 3, 5)$. The leading member of the family is:

$$\underline{\mathbf{a}} = (1, 1 + a, 1 + a + b, 1 + a + b + c) = (1, 3, 6, 11)$$

and:

$$\underline{\mathbf{a}}_j = (j, 3 + j, 6 + j, 11 + j) \quad \text{where } j \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}.$$

For each j , we compute the ranks of the free modules in the minimal free resolution of $P_{\underline{\mathbf{a}}_j}$. Then by analyzing the results obtaining by running the Macaulay 2 computer program we get the period T starts at $j = 22$ and $T = a + b + c = 2(a + b) = 10$:

TABLE 1. Example 1

\vdots		
$j = 22 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{6}, 9, 4, 0)$	$j = 32 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{6}, 9, 4, 0)$	$j = 42 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{6}, 9, 4, 0)$
$j = 23 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{4}, 5, 2, 0)$	$j = 33 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{4}, 5, 2, 0)$	$j = 43 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{4}, 5, 2, 0)$
$j = 24 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{4}, 5, 2, 0)$	$j = 34 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{4}, 5, 2, 0)$	$j = 44 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{4}, 5, 2, 0)$
$j = 25 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{4}, 5, 2, 0)$	$j = 35 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{4}, 5, 2, 0)$	$j = 45 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{4}, 5, 2, 0)$
$j = 26 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{6}, 8, 3, 0)$	$j = 36 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{6}, 9, 4, 0)$	$j = 46 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{6}, 9, 4, 0)$
$j = 27 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{4}, 5, 2, 0)$	$j = 37 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{4}, 5, 2, 0)$	$j = 47 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{4}, 5, 2, 0)$
$j = 28 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{6}, 9, 4, 0)$	$j = 38 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{6}, 9, 4, 0)$	$j = 48 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{6}, 9, 4, 0)$
$j = 29 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{3}, 3, 1, 0)$	$j = 39 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{3}, 3, 1, 0)$	$j = 49 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{3}, 3, 1, 0)$
$j = 30 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{6}, 9, 4, 0)$	$j = 40 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{6}, 9, 4, 0)$	$j = 50 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{6}, 9, 4, 0)$
$j = 31 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{4}, 5, 2, 0)$	$j = 41 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{4}, 5, 2, 0)$	$j = 51 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{4}, 5, 2, 0)$
		\vdots

Note that the complete intersection happens at $j = 29$, $j = 29 + T = 29 + 10 = 39$ and $j = 29 + 2T = 29 + 20 = 49$.

5. EXAMPLE 2

Let $\mathbf{a} = (a, b, c) = (3(b+c), b, c) = (12, 3, 1)$. The leading member of the family is:

$$\underline{\mathbf{a}} = (1, 1+a, 1+a+b, 1+a+b+c) = (1, 13, 16, 17)$$

and:

$$\underline{\mathbf{a}}_j = (j, 13+j, 16+j, 17+j) \quad \text{where } j \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}.$$

For each j , we compute the ranks of the free modules in the minimal free resolution of $P_{\underline{\mathbf{a}}_j}$. Then by analyzing the results obtaining by running the Macaulay 2 computer program we get the period T starts at $j = 65$ and $T = a+b+c = 4(b+c) = 16$:

TABLE 2. Example 2

\vdots		
$j = 65 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{5}, 5, 1, 0)$	$j = 81 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{5}, 6, 2, 0)$	$j = 97 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{5}, 6, 2, 0)$
$j = 66 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{5}, 6, 2, 0)$	$j = 82 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{5}, 6, 2, 0)$	$j = 98 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{5}, 6, 2, 0)$
$j = 67 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{4}, 5, 2, 0)$	$j = 83 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{4}, 5, 2, 0)$	$j = 99 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{4}, 5, 2, 0)$
$j = 68 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{5}, 6, 2, 0)$	$j = 84 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{5}, 7, 3, 0)$	$j = 100 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{5}, 7, 3, 0)$
$j = 69 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{5}, 7, 3, 0)$	$j = 85 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{5}, 7, 3, 0)$	$j = 101 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{5}, 7, 3, 0)$
$j = 70 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{5}, 7, 3, 0)$	$j = 86 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{5}, 7, 3, 0)$	$j = 102 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{5}, 7, 3, 0)$
$j = 71 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{4}, 5, 2, 0)$	$j = 87 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{4}, 5, 2, 0)$	$j = 103 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{4}, 5, 2, 0)$
$j = 72 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{5}, 7, 3, 0)$	$j = 88 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{5}, 7, 3, 0)$	$j = 104 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{5}, 7, 3, 0)$
$j = 73 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{5}, 7, 3, 0)$	$j = 89 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{5}, 7, 3, 0)$	$j = 105 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{5}, 7, 3, 0)$
$j = 74 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{5}, 7, 3, 0)$	$j = 90 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{5}, 7, 3, 0)$	$j = 106 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{5}, 7, 3, 0)$
$j = 75 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{4}, 5, 2, 0)$	$j = 91 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{4}, 5, 2, 0)$	$j = 107 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{4}, 5, 2, 0)$
$j = 76 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{4}, 6, 3, 0)$	$j = 92 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{4}, 6, 3, 0)$	$j = 108 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{4}, 6, 3, 0)$
$j = 77 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{4}, 6, 3, 0)$	$j = 93 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{4}, 6, 3, 0)$	$j = 109 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{4}, 6, 3, 0)$
$j = 78 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{4}, 6, 3, 0)$	$j = 94 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{4}, 6, 3, 0)$	$j = 110 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{4}, 6, 3, 0)$
$j = 79 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{3}, 3, 1, 0)$	$j = 95 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{3}, 3, 1, 0)$	$j = 111 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{3}, 3, 1, 0)$
$j = 80 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{5}, 5, 1, 0)$	$j = 96 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{5}, 6, 2, 0)$	$j = 112 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{5}, 6, 2, 0)$
		\vdots

Note that the complete intersection happens at $j = 79$, $j = 79+T = 79+16 = 95$ and $j = 79 + 2T = 29 + 32 = 111$.

6. EXAMPLE 3

Let $\mathbf{a} = (a, b, c) = (a, a + c, c) = (3, 5, 2)$. The leading member of the family is:

$$\underline{\mathbf{a}} = (1, 1 + a, 1 + a + b, 1 + a + b + c) = (1, 4, 9, 11)$$

and:

$$\underline{\mathbf{a}}_j = (j, 4 + j, 9 + j, 11 + j) \quad \text{where } j \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}.$$

For each j , we compute the ranks of the free modules in the minimal free resolution of $P_{\underline{\mathbf{a}}_j}$. Then by analyzing the results obtaining by running the Macaulay 2 computer program we get the period T starts at $j = 32$ and $T = a + b + c = 10$:

TABLE 3. Example 3

\vdots		
$j = 32 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{10}, 16, 7, 0)$	$j = 42 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{10}, 16, 7, 0)$	$j = 52 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{10}, 16, 7, 0)$
$j = 33 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{8}, 12, 5, 0)$	$j = 43 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{8}, 12, 5, 0)$	$j = 53 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{8}, 12, 5, 0)$
$j = 34 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{10}, 16, 7, 0)$	$j = 44 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{10}, 17, 8, 0)$	$j = 54 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{10}, 17, 8, 0)$
$j = 35 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{9}, 14, 6, 0)$	$j = 45 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{9}, 14, 6, 0)$	$j = 55 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{9}, 14, 6, 0)$
$j = 36 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{9}, 15, 7, 0)$	$j = 46 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{9}, 15, 7, 0)$	$j = 56 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{9}, 15, 7, 0)$
$j = 37 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{8}, 12, 5, 0)$	$j = 47 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{8}, 12, 5, 0)$	$j = 57 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{8}, 12, 5, 0)$
$j = 38 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{10}, 17, 8, 0)$	$j = 48 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{10}, 17, 8, 0)$	$j = 58 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{10}, 17, 8, 0)$
$j = 39 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{8}, 12, 5, 0)$	$j = 49 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{8}, 12, 5, 0)$	$j = 59 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{8}, 12, 5, 0)$
$j = 40 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{9}, 15, 7, 0)$	$j = 50 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{9}, 15, 7, 0)$	$j = 60 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{9}, 15, 7, 0)$
$j = 41 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{9}, 14, 6, 0)$	$j = 51 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{9}, 14, 6, 0)$	$j = 61 \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{9}, 14, 6, 0)$
		\vdots

Note that, in this case, the hypotheses of Theorem 1 fail and so its conclusion is false.

Remark 14. In general, it looks like that for $(a, b, c) = (a, p(b + c), c)$ we do not have a complete intersection ideal in the period.

It would be interesting to prove the Herzog-Srinivasan conjecture for any given number a, b, c in the case $m = 4$, and it would be fascinating to analyze what happens for $m > 4$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Philippe Gimenez, Indranath Sengupta, Hema Srinivasan "Minimal Graded Free Resolutions for Monomial Curves Defined by an Arithmetic Sequence" Preprint, arXiv:1108.3203v1.
- [2] Jürgen Herzog, Hema Srinivasan "On the number of Generators of the defining ideal of a monomial curve" (in progress).
- [3] Jürgen Herzog, "Generators and relations of abelian semigroups and semigroup rings" Manuscripta Math. vol 3, pages 175-193 (1970).
- [4] Henrik Bresinsky, "On prime ideals with generic zero $x_i = t^{n_i}$ " Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol 47, pages 329-332 (1975).
- [5] Henrik Bresinsky, "Symmetric semigroups of integers generated by 4 elements" Manuscripta Math. vol 17, pages 205-219 (1975).
- [6] Henrik Bresinsky, "Monomial Gorenstein curves in A^4 as set-theoretic complete intersections" Manuscripta Math. vol 27, pages 353-358 (1979).
- [7] Adrián Alcántar, Rafael H. Villarreal, "Critical binomials of monomial curves" Comm. Algebra, vol 22, pages 3037-3052 (1994).
- [8] Rafael H. Villarreal, "Monomial Algebras", Book, Pure and Applied Mathematics.
- [9] Adriano Marzullo, "On the Periodicity of the First Betti Number of the Semigroup Rings under Translations", Doctoral Dissertation, Department of Mathematics, University of Missouri.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BECKER COLLEGE, WORCESTER MASSACHUSETTS, 01609
E-mail address: adriano.marzullo@becker.edu