

Diffraction Microlensing: A New Probe of the Local Universe

Jeremy S. Heyl

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of British Columbia, Vancouver BC Canada

Diffraction is important when nearby substellar objects gravitationally lens distant stars. If the wavelength of the observation is comparable to the Schwarzschild radius of lensing object, diffraction leaves an observable imprint on the lensing signature. The SKA may have sufficient sensitivity to detect the typical sources, giant stars in the bulge. The diffractive signatures in a lensing event break the degeneracies between the mass of the lens, its distance and proper motion.

1. Preliminaries

As light passes an object, it diffracts around it and spreads out by an angle $\sim \lambda/L$, so if the angle that the object subtends, L/d , is less than this, the object “disappears”. Furthermore, if the object has mass, light that passes close-by is delayed by travelling through its potential well. The figure of merit for whether diffraction is important in gravitational lensing is $f = 4\pi R_S/\lambda$ where $R_S = 2GM/c^2$ is the Schwarzschild radius of the lens. For values of f much less than unity, diffraction dominates and the peak magnification is greatly diminished, For large values of f the diffraction fringes are closely spaced, so either the finite size of the source or the finite bandwidth of the observations can easily smear them out yielding the magnification that one expects from geometric optics.

The path length from the star at \vec{v} to the telescope through the point \vec{u} in the lens plane is

$$\Delta l = \frac{|\vec{u} - \vec{v}|^2}{2} = \frac{u^2}{2} - uv \cos \varphi + \frac{v^2}{2} - f \ln u. \quad (1)$$

The magnification of the star is related to the sum of all the phases from the light taking various paths,

$$\mu_\omega = \left| \int_{u_d}^{\infty} du u^{1-if} e^{iu^2/2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi e^{-iuv \cos \varphi} \right|^2. \quad (2)$$

The Schwarzschild radius of the Earth is about one centimeter. Beyond 10^4 AU lensing dominates over occultation. Therefore, let's take $u_d \rightarrow 0$. If we take the integral over the amplitudes to be I_ω ($\mu_\omega = |I_\omega|^2$),

$$I_\omega = e^{\pi f/4} e^{i(\pi - f \ln 2)/2} \Gamma \left(1 - i\frac{f}{2} \right) {}_1F_1 \left(1 - i\frac{f}{2}; 1; -i\frac{v^2}{2} \right). \quad (3)$$

The magnification increases with the value of f with the peak magnification given by $\pi f/(1 - e^{-\pi f})$. The left panel of figure 1 depicts the magnification as function




Fig. 1. Left panel: The values of the magnification μ as a function of the distance between the source and the centre of the lens in units of the Einstein radius, v/R_E . The result for $f = 1$ is the slowly varying sinusoidal curve and $f = 10$ is the more rapidly varying one. The magnification from geometric optics is plotted in green. Notice for $f = 10$ there are about three peaks over a length of one Einstein radius. The other colours assume that the angular radius of the source equals the Einstein radius. Blue is the geometric optics result, red is for $f = 10$ and magenta is $f = 1$ Right panel: The blue lines give the expected oscillation at 10 GHz induced by an Earth-mass planet at one parsec microlensing an OGLE source. About two percent of all OGLE-II bulge sources would have a detectable diffractive microlensing signal with the SKA.

of the impact parameter of the source relative to the lens in units of the Einstein radius and the value of f . Averaging over bandwidth or over a finite source brings the oscillations toward the geometric. However, if $f < 1$ there is little magnification. For large values of f , the size of the oscillation scales as $(f\theta_S/\theta_E)^{-3/2}$. The right panel of figure 1 gives a estimate of the radio flux density (red) and amplitude of its oscillation (blue) at 10 GHz for stars in the bulge field 1 of the OGLE-II survey (Szymanski 2005; Udalski, Kubiak & Szymanski 1997). The fluxes are normalized using the values for Arcturus at 1 kpc (consult Heyl (2010b) for details).

2. Astrometry

There is a second complementary signature (Heyl 2010c). As the lens passes in front of the source, its position on the sky appears to move. The key idea to figure how where the image appears is that the gradient of the phase of the wave as measured at the telescope points toward the image. Furthermore, the situation is symmetric about the lens, so moving the telescope to the right is like moving the source to the left, and we can take the gradient of the phase with respect to the position of the

source instead at the telescope, yielding the centroid of the image

$$\bar{u} = -\mathfrak{S} \frac{\partial \ln I_\omega}{\partial v} = v \Re \left[\left(1 - i \frac{f}{2} \right) \frac{{}_1F_1 \left(2 - i \frac{f}{2}; 2; -i \frac{v^2}{2} \right)}{{}_1F_1 \left(1 - i \frac{f}{2}; 1; -i \frac{v^2}{2} \right)} \right] \quad (4)$$

Figure 2 shows that lensing moves the centroid radially outward: the closer the passage, the greater the deviation. Minima in the displacement correspond to maxima of the magnification, and the displacement reaches the blue curve $2R_E^2/v$ at minima of the magnification.




Fig. 2. The left panel gives the trajectory of the centroid: black denotes $f = 10$, green denotes the geometric limit and red is the unlensed trajectory. The dashed circle denotes the Einstein radius of the lens. The right panel gives the total magnification in red and the motion of the image in black for $f = 10$ and $f = 1$.

3. Conclusions

For distant asteroids $\lambda/L \sim L/d$ (Heyl 2010a). If they are massive, lensing is also important. If lensing is weak, the Fresnel pattern gets lensed. If lensing is strong, things are more complex. The SKA could detect oscillations from Earth-mass lenses as far as 10 pc against bulge giants.

Bibliography

Heyl, J., 2010a, *MNRAS*, **402**, L39-L43 (arXiv:0910.3922).

—, 2010b, *MNRAS*, **411**, 1780-1786 (arXiv:1002.3007).

—, 2010c, *MNRAS*, **411**, 1787-1791 (arXiv:1003.0250).

Schneider, P., Ehlers, J., Falco, E. 1992, *Gravitational Lenses*, Springer: Berlin.

Szymanski M. K., 2005, *Acta Astron.*, 55, 43.

Udalski A., Kubiak M., Szymanski M., 1997, *Acta Astron.*, 47, 319.